

An experimental study on the settling velocity of inertial particles in different homogeneous isotropic turbulent flows

Amélie Ferran, Nathanaël Machicoane, Alberto Aliseda, Martín Obligado

▶ To cite this version:

Amélie Ferran, Nathanaël Machicoane, Alberto Aliseda, Martín Obligado. An experimental study on the settling velocity of inertial particles in different homogeneous isotropic turbulent flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 2023, 970, pp.A23. 10.1017/jfm.2023.579 . hal-04199631

HAL Id: hal-04199631 https://hal.science/hal-04199631

Submitted on 11 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Banner appropriate to article type will appear here in typeset article

An experimental study on the settling velocity of inertial particles in different

³ homogeneous isotropic turbulent flows

4 Amélie Ferran^{1, 2}[†], Nathanaël Machicoane¹, Alberto Aliseda², Martín 5 Obligado¹

6 ¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble-INP, LEGI, F-38000 Grenoble, France

 $7~~^2 \mathrm{Department}$ of Mechanical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

8 98195-2600, USA

9 (Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

We propose an experimental study on the gravitational settling velocity of dense, 10sub-Kolmogorov inertial particles under different background turbulent flows. We 11 report Phase Doppler Particle Analyzer measurements in a low-speed wind tunnel 12uniformly seeded with micrometer scale water droplets. Turbulence is generated 13with three different grids (two consisting on different active-grid protocols while 14the third is a regular static grid), allowing us to cover a very wide range of 15turbulence conditions in terms of Taylor-scale based Reynolds numbers ($Re_{\lambda} \in$ 16 [30-520], Rouse numbers ($Ro \in [0-5]$) and volume fractions ($\phi_v \in [0.5 \times 10^{-5})$ 17 $10^{-5} - 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$]). 18

We find, in agreement with previous works, that enhancement of the settling 19velocity occurs at low Rouse number, while hindering of the settling occurs at 20higher Rouse number for decreasing turbulence energy levels. The wide range 21of flow parameters explored allowed us to observe that enhancement decreases 22significantly with the Taylor Reynolds number and is significantly affected by the 23volume fraction ϕ_{η} . We also studied the effect of large-scale forcing on settling 24velocity modification. The possibility of change the inflow conditions by using 25different grids allowed us to test cases with fixed Re_{λ} and turbulent intensity 26but different integral length scale. Finally, we assess the existence of secondary 27flows in the wind tunnel and their role on particle settling. This is achieved by 28characterising the settling velocity at two different positions, the centreline and 29close to the wall, with the same streamwise coordinate. 30

31 Key words:

1



[†] Email address for correspondence: amelie.ferran1@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

32 1. Introduction

Turbulent flows laden with particles are present in both environmental phenom-33 ena and industrial applications. For instance, water droplets, snowflakes and 34 pollutants in atmospheric turbulence, sediments in rivers and industrial sprays 3536 all involve turbulent environments carrying inertial particles (Crowe et al. (1996); Shaw (2003); Monchaux et al. (2012); Li et al. (2021)). Inertial particles do not 37 follow the fluid velocity field as tracers, having their own dynamics that depend 38 on both their finite size and their density ratio compared to that of the carrier 39 phase. 40

Two phenomena resulting from the influence of turbulence on the motion 41 of inertial particles have been widely studied: preferential concentration and 42modification of the settling velocity. Preferential concentration refers to the fact 4344 that an initially uniform or random distribution of particles will form areas of clusters and voids (Maxey (1987); Squires & Eaton (1991); Aliseda et al. (2002); 45Obligado et al. (2014); Sumbekova et al. (2017)) due to the accumulation in 46 certain regions of the turbulent flow where the hydrodynamic forces exerted by 47the flow tend to drive the particles. Furthermore, settling velocity modification 48 occurs when particles immersed in a turbulent flow have their settling speed V_s 49altered compared to that in a stagnant fluid or laminar flow V_T (Wang & Maxey 50(1993); Crowe et al. (1996); Aliseda & Lasheras (2011)). These two features of 51turbulent-laden flow are known to be linked together as the settling velocity of 52a particle can be increased due to an increase of the particle local concentration 53(Aliseda et al. (2002); Gustavsson et al. (2014); Huck et al. (2018)). 54

Regarding the modification of the settling velocity, multiple experimental and 55numerical studies have shown that turbulence can both hinder $(V_s < V_T)$ or 56enhance the particle settling velocity $(V_s > V_T)$. While several studies have re-57ported enhancement of the settling velocity (Wang & Maxey (1993); Aliseda et al. 58(2002); Bec et al. (2014); Rosa et al. (2016)), others show evidence of hindering 59only (Akutina et al. (2020); Mora et al. (2021)) or of both types of modification 60 (Nielsen (1993); Good et al. (2012); Sumbekova et al. (2016); Monchaux & Dejoan 61(2017); Petersen et al. (2019); Falkinhoff et al. (2020); Reartes & Mininni (2021)). 62 While the nature and number of mechanisms controlling this phenomenon is still a 63 matter of debate, several models have been proposed in the literature, sometimes 64 even giving contradictory predictions. 65

Enhancement of the settling velocity can be explained by the preferential 66 sweeping mechanism, also known as fast-tracking effect, where inertial particles 67 tend to spend more time in downwards moving regions of the flow than in upwards 68 flow (Wang & Maxey (1993)). Some mechanisms have been proposed as well to 69 explain hindering. The vortex trapping effect describes how light particles can be 70 trapped inside vortices (Nielsen (1993); Aliseda & Lasheras (2006)). The loitering 71mechanism assumes that falling particles spend more time in upward regions of 72the flow than downward regions (Chen *et al.* (2020)), while a nonlinear drag can 73 also explain that particles are slowed down in their fall by turbulence (Good 7475et al. (2014)). Models have been developed to estimate the influence of clustering and particle local concentration on the settling rate enhancement (Alipchenkov 76& Zaichik (2009); Huck et al. (2018)). 77

However, even in the simplified case of small, heavy particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence (HIT) no general consensus has been found on the influence of turbulence, through the Taylor-Reynolds number Re_{λ} , on the transition between

 $\mathbf{2}$

hindering and enhancement. $Re_{\lambda} = u'\lambda/\nu$ is based on the Taylor microscale λ 81 where u' and ν are the carrier phase rms (root-mean-square) of the fluctuating 82 velocity and kinematic viscosity, respectively. The influence of Re_{λ} on the maxi-83 mum of enhancement, i.e. when $V_s - V_T$ reaches its maximum, is also still under 84 debate. Depending on the range of Re_{λ} , some studies found that the maximum 85 enhancement increases with Re_{λ} (Nielsen (1993); Yang & Lei (1998); Bec et al. 86 (2014); Rosa et al. (2016); Wang et al. (2018)), whereas other studies show the 87 opposite trend (Mora et al. (2021)). Furthermore, a non-monotonic behaviour 88 of max $(V_s - V_T)$ with Re_{λ} has also been reported (Yang & Shy (2021)), where 89 $\max(V_s - V_T)$ corresponds to the maximal settling velocity with respect to the 90 terminal velocity, with both V_s and V_T being functions of the particle size. 91

Several non-dimensional parameters have been found to play a role on the 92settling velocity. The dispersed phase interactions with turbulent structures are 93 characterised by the Stokes and Rouse numbers (Maxey (1987)), whereas the 94magnitude of turbulence excitation is quantified by the Taylor Reynolds number. 95The Stokes number, describing the tuning of particle inertia to turbulent eddies 96 turn over time, is defined as the ratio between the particle relaxation time and 97 a characteristic timescale of the flow $St = \tau_p/\tau_k$, where τ_k has been shown to be 98 represented by the Kolmogorov time scale τ_{η} . The Rouse number - also known 99 as Sv - is a ratio between the particle terminal speed and the velocity scale of 100turbulence fluctuations, in this case the turbulent velocity rms, $Ro = V_T/u'$. 101 Hence, it is a competition between turbulence and gravity effects. While all 102these parameters are relevant for modelling and understanding the interactions 103104 of inertial particles and turbulence, there are still no consensus even on the set of non-dimensional numbers required to do so. Furthermore, the determination 105of length and time flow scales relevant to the settling speed modification has also 106been the subject of significant discussion in the literature. Yang & Lei (1998) 107 determined that a mixed scaling using both τ_{η} and u' appears to be an appropriate 108 combination of parameters for the present problem. There is a general agreement 109that the modification of the settling velocity is a process that encompass all 110turbulent scales and, consistent with even single-phase HIT, a single flow scale 111 is not sufficient to completely describe it. It has been shown that the particle 112settling velocity is affected by larger flow length scales with increasing Stokes 113number (Tom & Bragg (2019)). 114

Experimentally, the influence of turbulence on the particle settling velocity has 115been studied in an air turbulence chamber (Petersen et al. (2019) Good et al. 116(2014)), channel flows (Wang et al. (2018)), Taylor Couette flows (Yang & Shy 117(2021)), water tank with vibrating-grids turbulence (Yang & Shy (2003); Poelma 118et al. (2007); Zhou & Cheng (2009); Akutina et al. (2020)) and wind tunnel 119turbulence (Aliseda et al. (2002); Sumbekova et al. (2017); Huck et al. (2018); 120Mora *et al.* (2021). However, measuring the particle settling velocity in confined 121flows, such as in a wind tunnel, can be challenging due to the recirculation 122currents that may arise on the carrier phase. Weak carrier phase currents in 123the direction of gravity can be of the order of the smallest particle velocity and 124impact significantly the measurements of the settling velocity, (as reported in 125Good et al. (2012); Sumbekova (2016); Wang et al. (2018); Akutina et al. (2020); 126Mora et al. (2021); Pujara et al. (2021); De Souza et al. (2021)). Akutina et al. 127(2020) dealt with this bias by removing the local mean fluid velocity from the 128particle instantaneous velocity measurements. 129

4

130 Accurate measurements of settling velocity and the local properties of the carrier-phase flow are therefore one aspect of major importance to better un-131derstand the role of turbulence on settling velocity modification. This work 132studies the settling velocity of sub-Kolmogorov water droplets in wind tunnel 133grid-generated turbulence. Turbulence is generated with three different grids (two 134consisting on different active-grid protocols while the third is a regular static 135grid), allowing us to cover a very wide range of turbulence conditions, with the 136turbulence intensity u'/U_{∞} ranging from 2 to 15%, $Re_{\lambda} \in [34, 520]$ and integral 137length scales $\mathcal{L} \in [1, 15]$ cm. 138

Particle settling velocity and diameter were quantified using a Phase Doppler 139Particle Analyzer (PDPA), as described in a previous work on the same facility 140(Mora et al. (2021); Mora (2020)). Our experimental setup has three unique 141 features that contribute to the novelty of our results. First, the resolution of 142the particle vertical velocity is a factor of 10 higher than in Mora *et al.* (2021). 143This higher resolution enables the study of the settling velocity of particles with 144very small inertia, as small as 1 µm, corresponding to the range where settling 145is enhanced. Furthermore, thanks to the increased resolution in the vertical 146velocity, we can assess the existence of secondary flows in the wind tunnel by 147analysing the carrier flow vertical velocity with the Cobra probe and the PDPA 148velocity of tracer particles. We measure the settling velocity at two different 149positions, the centreline and near the sidewalls, for the same streamwise location. 150Additionally, we perform measurements of the single-phase velocity with a Cobra 151probe, a multi-hole pitot tube that resolves the average and rms values of the 1523D velocity vector (Obligado et al. 2022), that allows the quantification of small 153inhomogeneities in the single-phase flow, for all turbulent conditions studied. 154We find that the vertical velocity measured in dilute two-phase conditions is 155consistent with such inhomogeneities. For larger values of volume fraction, the 156vertical velocities become a non-trivial function of position, streamwise veloc-157ity and particle loading. This work, therefore, gives quantitative experimental 158evidence of the role and relevance of inhomogeneities and recirculation in the 159160 quantification of the settling velocity in confined domains.

Finally, the generation of turbulence with three different methods allows us 161 to explore experimental realisations with similar values of Re_{λ} and u'/U_{∞} but 162significantly different values of \mathcal{L} (a factor 2 different). This allows us to disen-163 tangle the role of the large turbulent scales on settling velocity modification, 164opening the door to expand available models to non-homogeneous flows. To 165the authors' best knowledge, our work presents the first experimental evidence 166 capable of discriminating between the influence of large and small turbulent scales 167on particle settling. This is relevant not only for real-world physics, but also to 168learn from different laboratory setups and numerical simulations, as the ratio 169170of small to large scales is different in each of these studies. In consequence, the present work is unique as it covers a broad range of turbulent flows, while 171resolving the settling velocity of particles as small as 1 µm. These measurements 172were complemented by hot-wire anemometry, that resolves all scales of the flow 173for the three turbulent conditions studied. 174

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 describes the experimental setup with the generation of turbulence, the injection of inertial particles and the PDPA misalignment correction. Section 3 presents the experimental results, with first the raw data and the presence of secondary currents. The influence of Re_{λ} , others non-dimensional numbers on the settling velocity and a scaling of the maximum of enhancement is then displayed. Re_{λ} is shown to have a non-monotonic influence on the settling enhancement. We found that the integral length scale have an influence on the settling velocity even for very low Stokes number. Section 4 presents the influence of the turbulent flow large scales on the settling velocity. Finally, section 6 summarises the results and draws conclusions.

185 2. Experimental setup

186

2.1. Grid Turbulence in the Wind Tunnel

Experiments were conducted in the Lespinard wind tunnel, a closed-circuit wind 187 tunnel at LEGI (Laboratoire des Ecoulements Géophysiques et Industriels), 188 Grenoble, France. The test section is 4 m long with a cross section of 189 $0.75 \,\mathrm{m} \times 0.75 \,\mathrm{m}$. A sketch of the facility is shown in the panel of Figure 1a. 190 The turbulence is generated with two different grids: a static (regular) and an 191 active grid. The regular grid (RG) is a passive grid composed by 7 horizontal 192and 7 vertical round bars forming a square mesh with a mesh size of 10.5 cm. 193The active grid is composed by 16 rotating axes (eight horizontal and eight 194vertical) mounted with co-planar square blades and a mesh size of 9 cm, (see 195Obligado et al. (2011); Mora et al. (2019b) for further details about the active 196 grid). Each axis is driven by a motor whose rotation rate and direction can be 197 controlled independently. Two protocols were used with the active grid. In the 198 active grid (AG) protocol -also referred to as "triple-random" in the literature 199 (Johansson (1991); Mydlarski (2017))- the blades move with random speed and 200direction, both changing randomly in time, with a certain time scale provided 201202 in the protocol. For the open-grid protocol (OG), each axis remains completely static with the grid fully open, minimising blockage. These two protocols have 203been shown to create a large range of turbulent conditions, from $Re_{\lambda} \sim 30$ for 204OG to above 800 for AG (Mora *et al.* (2019b); Obligado *et al.* (2020)). 205

The turbulent intensity u'/U_{∞} obtained for OG is in the same range as for RG 206 $(\approx 2-3\%)$. The turbulent intensity created by the AG is much larger, just below 20715%. However, some significant differences exist between RG and OG turbulence: 208the bar width of the regular grid is twice that of the open grid (2 cm vs. 1 cm) and 209the open grid has a 3D structure due to the square blades (see Figure 1b for an 210illustration of the OG). This implies significant differences in the integral length 211scale \mathcal{L} of the turbulence, $\approx 6 \ cm$ for RG versus $\approx 3 \ cm$ for RG. These various 212grid configurations allowed us to explore different Taylor-scale Reynolds numbers 213 Re_{λ} , from 34 to 513 at a fixed freestream velocity. Additionally, our experimental 214setup allowed for the study of particles at similar values of u'/U_{∞} and Re_{λ} , but 215different \mathcal{L} (with OG versus RG). Matching the AG Reynolds number with the 216passive grids was not possible as it would require high wind tunnel velocities in 217the RG/OG cases, which would limit the measurements of the settling velocity 218due to low resolution. 219

Hot-wire anemometry (HWA) measurements were taken to characterise the single-phase turbulence (Mora *et al.* (2019b)). A constant temperature anemometer (Streamline, Dantec Inc.) was used with a 55P01 hot-wire probe (5 µm in diameter, 1.25 mm in length). The hot-wire was aligned with the centreline of the tunnel, (3 m downstream the turbulence generation system). Additional measurements were carried out near the wall of the wind tunnel to check the homogeneity of the turbulence characteristics. Velocity time series were recorded for 180 s with a sampling frequency F_s of 50 kHz. This sampling frequency provides adequate resolution down to the Kolmogorov length scale η .

The background flow was also characterised with a Cobra Probe: a multi-229hole pressure probe which is able to capture three velocity components. This 230multi-hole pitot tube probe (Series 100 Cobra Probe, Turbulent Flow Instrument 231TFI, Melbourne, Australia) was used to characterise possible contributions of 232the non-streamwise velocity components to the average value. Weak secondary 233motions in the carrier phase can arise in two-phase flow conditions due to the fall 234of inertial particles, as we will see in section 3.2, and in single phase condition 235due to confinement effects. The Cobra probe was used in this study to estimate 236the mean vertical flow for the latter. The acquisition time of the measurements 237was set to $180 \,\mathrm{s}$ with a data rate of $1250 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ (the maximum attainable). As the 238turbulence scales may reach beyond this frequency, and may not be resolved 239due to the finite size of the probe, which has a sensing area of 4 mm^2 (Mora 240et al. (2019b); Obligado et al. (2022)), these measurements are used only to 241compute the mean and rms values of the 3D velocity vector. To estimate the 242small angle present between the probe head and the direction of the mean flow, 243measurements were collected in laminar flow conditions (i.e., without any grid in 244the test section), to estimate the misalignment angle between the Cobra head 245and the streamwise direction. 246247

Single-point turbulence statistics were calculated for each flow condition. The 248turbulent Reynolds number based on the Taylor microscale is defined as Re_{λ} = 249 $u'\lambda/\nu$ where u' is the standard deviation of the streamwise velocity component, 250 ν the kinematic viscosity of the flow and λ the Taylor microscale. The Taylor mi-251croscale was computed from the turbulent dissipation rate ε with $\lambda = \sqrt{15\nu u'^2/\varepsilon}$, 252extracted as $\varepsilon = \int 15\nu \kappa^2 E(\kappa) d\kappa$ where $E(\kappa)$ is the energy spectrum along the 253wavenumber κ . The small scales of the turbulent flow are characterised by the 254Kolmogorov length, time and velocity scales: $\eta = (\nu^3/\varepsilon)^{1/4}$, $\tau_{\eta} = (\nu/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ and $u_{\eta} = (\nu\varepsilon)^{1/4}$. Different methods were used to estimate the integral length scale. \mathcal{L} was first computed by direct integration of the autocorrelation function until the first zero-crossing $\mathcal{L}_a = \int_0^{\rho\delta} Ruu(\rho)d\rho$ and until the smallest value of ρ for which $Ruu(\varepsilon) = 1/2$ and $\mu = (1 + 1)/2$. 255256257258 $Ruu(\rho_{\delta}) = 1/\exp(\text{Puga}\& \text{Larue}(2017); \text{Mora et al.}(2019b))$. The integral length 259scale was also estimated from a Voronoï analysis of the longitudinal fluctuating 260velocity zero-crossings \mathcal{L}_{voro} , following the method recently proposed in (Mora & 261Obligado (2020), where an extrapolation of the 1/4 scaling law was performed 262when needed. The latter is particularly relevant for the active grid mode, where 263the value of R_{uu} has been found, in some cases, to not cross zero (Puga & Larue 264(2017)). The estimation of \mathcal{L} using $\mathcal{L} = C_{\varepsilon} u^{\prime 3} / \varepsilon$ was not used in this study as the prefactor C_{ε} is not fixed for different turbulent conditions (i.e. different grids). 265266

Table 1 summarises the flow parameters for all experimental conditions studied. The right panel of Figure 1c shows the power spectral density of the streamwise velocity computed from hot-wire time signals at the measurement location ($x \approx$ 3 m for all cases). The three spectra depicted in the figure were obtained from the three different grid configurations, all of them with an inlet velocity of approximately 4m/s. The power spectral density was normalised by the Kolmogorov length and velocity scales η and u_{η} . As expected, the turbulent flow



Figure 1: (a) Sketch of the wind tunnel with the PDPA measurement system. (b) Picture of the droplet injection system and, behind it, of the active grid in open grid mode. (c) Power spectral density of the longitudinal velocity from hot-wire records normalised by the Kolmogorov scale for an inlet velocity around 4 m/s. The dashed line presents a Kolmogorov -5/3 power law scaling, as reference. The inertial particle diameter distribution averaged over all the experiments and normalised by the Kolmogorov scale is shown on the right axis. Note that it is plotted against η/d_p .

generated by the active grid exhibits a considerably wider inertial range. On the 274right of the figure, for large values of $\kappa \eta$, the diameter distribution averaged over 275all the experiments is displayed. The diameter distribution, discussed in the next 276section, was normalised by the smallest Kolmogorov scale among all conditions 277(i.e. the Kolmogorov scale of the active grid turbulent flow). It can be observed 278that the distribution is polydisperse and particles are always much smaller than 279the Kolmogorov scale of the turbulence. Figure 2 shows the Taylor Reynolds 280 number Re_{λ} and the Taylor microscale λ for different wind tunnel velocities 3 m 281downstream (at approximately $x/M \approx 30$). 282

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.6 - 5.0 $49 - 68$ $2.5 - 2.7$ $9.9 - 59.5$ $511 - 792$ $17.4 - 41.9$ $0.83 - 1.09$ $5.5 - 8.7$ $2.2 - 2.4$ $3.7 - 4.5$

Table 1: Turbulence parameters for the carrier phase, sorted by grid category computed from hot-wire anemometry measurements 3 meters downstream of the grid. U_{∞} is the freestream velocity, u' the rms of the streamwise velocity fluctuations, $Re_{\lambda} = u'\lambda/\nu$ the Taylor-Reynolds number and $\varepsilon = 15\nu u'^2/\lambda^2$ the turbulent energy dissipation rate. $\eta = (\nu^3/\varepsilon)^{1/4}$ and $\tau_{\eta} = (\nu/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ are the Kolmogorov length and time scales. $\lambda = \sqrt{15\nu u'^2/\varepsilon}$ and \mathcal{L} are the Taylor microscale and the integral length scale, respectively, where three different

methods are used to compute \mathcal{L} .

2.2. Particle Injection

Water droplets were injected in the wind tunnel by means of a rack of 18 or 36 284285injectors distributed uniformly across the cross-section. The outlet diameter of the injectors is of 0.4 mm, and atomization is produced by high-pressure at 100 bars. 286The water flowrate introduced in the test-section by the droplet injection system 287was measured with a flow meter for each experiment and varied between 0.5 and 2883.4 l/min. The air flowrate in the tunnel was computed using the measured mean 289streamwise velocity and the cross-sectional area. The particle volume fraction 290 $\phi_v = F_{water}/F_{air}$ describes the ratio between the liquid and air volumetric 291flowrates. With the range of liquid flowrates and air velocities used in the 292experiments, the volume fraction ϕ_v varied between $\phi_v \in [0.5 \times 10^{-5}, 2.0 \times 10^{-5}]$. 29318 or 36 injectors were used depending on the experimental conditions, as low 294volume fractions could not be reached with 36 injectors. The resulting inertial 295water droplets have a polydisperse size distribution with a D_{max} and D_{32} of 296 \approx 30 μm and \approx 65 μm , respectively (Sumbekova *et al.* (2017)), as shown in 297Figure 1c, with D_{32} the Sauter mean diameter. The droplet Reynolds numbers 298 Re_p are smaller than one. For each grid mode, three different volume fraction 299 were tested, with three different freestream velocities ($U_{\infty} = 2.6, 4.0, 5.0 \text{ m/s}$). 300 This results in 27 different experimental conditions. 301

302

Measurements were collected with a Phase Doppler Analyzer (PDPA) (Bachalo & Houser (1984)). The PDPA (PDI-200MD, Artium Technologies) is composed of a transmitter and a receiver positioned at opposite sides of the wind tunnel. The transmitter emits two solid-state lasers, green at 532 nm wavelength and blue at 473 nm wavelength. Both lasers are split into two beams of equal intensity and one of these is shifted in frequency by 40 MHz, so that when they overlap in space they form an interference pattern. The 532 nm beam enables us to take

283

0



Figure 2: (a) Taylor Reynolds number Re_{λ} , (b) integral length scale from the integration of the autocorrelation to the first zero-crossing, (c) Taylor microscale λ . All plotted versus the mean streamwise velocity obtained from hot-wire measurements. The different symbols (\blacksquare), (\bullet) and (\bullet) represent the regular, active and open grid respectively. The size of the symbol is proportional to the volume fraction and darker colours correspond to higher mean velocities.

the particle's vertical velocity and diameter simultaneously. The second beam 310 is oriented to measure the horizontal velocity. The PDPA measurements were 311 non-coincident, i.e. horizontal and vertical velocities were taken independently, 312 since recording only coincident data points can significantly reduce the validation 313 rate. The particle's horizontal velocity $\langle U \rangle$ is assumed to be very close to the 314unladen incoming velocity $\langle U \rangle \approx U_{\infty}$. Contrary to the study of Mora *et al.* 315(2021) in the same facility, the transmitter and the receiver had a smaller 316 focal length of 500 mm. This enable us to measure the particle vertical velocity 317with better resolution. The vertical and streamwise velocity components were 318 recorded with a resolution of 1 mm/s. The PDPA configuration allow us to 319 detect particles with diameters ranging from $1.5\,\mu m$ to $150\,\mu m$. We verified that 320 all velocity distribution were Gaussian, as expected under HIT conditions (see 321appendix B). The measurement volume was positioned 3 m downstream of the 322 droplet injection (at approximately the same streamwise distance as the hot-323 wire and Cobra measurements). In order to quantify the effect of recirculation 324 325currents, data were collected on the centreline of the wind tunnel and at a offcentre location, 10 cm from the wind tunnel wall. For each set of experimental 326

10

conditions, at least 5×10^5 samples were collected. Depending on the water flow rate and the wind tunnel inlet velocity, the measurement sampling rate varied from 20 Hz to 4800 Hz with an average of 1030 Hz and 580 Hz for the streamwise and vertical velocities, respectively.

331

332

2.3. Angle correction

As the settling velocity is only a small fraction of the the particle velocity, any 333 slight misalignment of the PDPA with the vertical axis (y) would result in a 334large error on the measurements of this important variable. To correct the optical 335alignment bias, the misalignment angle β was computed from very small ($d_p <$ 336 $4 \ \mu m$) olive oil droplets measurements, as described in Mora *et al.* (2021). Olive 337oil generators produce a monodisperse droplet distribution ($\langle d_n \rangle \approx 3 \ \mu m$), that 338 behave as tracers. Using the empirical formula from Schiller & Nauman (Clift 339 et al. (1978) for the settling velocity of particles, and assuming that the mean 340 centreline velocity is purely streamwise, the misalignment between the PDPA 341and gravity was estimated. Data from the alignment bias correction is given in 342 appendix C. The angle β was determined to be $\beta = 1.5^{\circ} \pm 0.3^{\circ}$. The vertical 343 velocity measurements were then corrected subtracting the V_{β} misalignment bias 344 (proportional to the streamwise velocity and the sine of the misalignment angle). 345

346 **3. Results**

347 3.1. Settling velocity of inertial particles as a function of size.

Figure 3 presents the corrected averaged settling velocity $\langle V \rangle_D - V_\beta$ against the diameter D and the Stokes number St. Vertical velocity is defined as positive when downwards. In all figures, we averaged the settling velocity in 10 µm bins, from 0 to 150 µm.

For each experimental conditions, as expected, the velocity measurements show that, on average, larger particles have higher settling velocity.

354 3.2. Non-zero mean vertical flow in the limit of very small diameter

The ensemble average of the particle equation of motion projected in the direction of gravity gives:

357

$$\langle v_u^p(t) \rangle = \langle u_u(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t), t) \rangle + V_T \tag{3.1}$$

where y is the vertical coordinate directed towards gravity, $\boldsymbol{x}^{p}(t)$ and $v_{y}^{p}(t)$ are the particle position and particle vertical velocity. $u_{y}(\boldsymbol{x}^{p}(t),t)$ is defined as the fluid vertical velocity at the position of the particle, and V_{T} is the terminal velocity in a still fluid.

If particles have inertia, they preferentially sample the underlying flowfield following the preferential sweeping mechanism as described by Maxey (1987), as a consequence $\langle u_y(x^p(t),t) \rangle$ differs from the Eulerian mean fluid velocity $\langle U_y(t) \rangle$. In the absence of particle inertia, they sample uniformly the flowfield and $\langle u_y(x^p(t),t) \rangle = \langle U_y(t) \rangle$.

Similarly as in Maxey (1987), the one-point Eulerian statistics and the one point Lagrangian statistics are equal for homogeneous and stationary turbulence. If we rewrite equation 3.1 for the case of inertialess particles, we get:

370

$$\langle V_y(t) \rangle|_{St=0} = \langle U_y(t) \rangle + V_T|_{St=0}$$
(3.2)

Rapids articles must not exceed this page length



Figure 3: Corrected particle vertical velocity $\langle V \rangle_D - V_\beta$ averaged over bins of 10 µm against the diameter (a) and the Stokes number (b). The data from the active grid (AG) are in solid lines, the open grid (OG) in dashed line and the regular grid (RG) in dash-dotted line. The error bars show the estimation of the error in the velocity measurements. Darker colours correspond to higher mean velocities U_{∞} and the line width is proportional to the volume fraction.

where $\langle V_y(t) \rangle$ is the mean Eulerian particle vertical velocity and $\langle U_y(t) \rangle$ is the Eulerian mean fluid vertical velocity.

In the limit of zero particle inertia, the particle relaxation time τ_p tends to zero, and therefore V_T (which can be computed as $V_T = g\tau_p$) also tends to zero. Consequently, in the zero-inertia limit and for very dilute conditions, particles should behave as tracers and follow the fluid streamlines. Assuming that the air flow has no mean motion in the vertical direction in the centreline, the mean corrected vertical particle velocity $\langle V \rangle_D - V_\beta$ should tend to zero for small diameters.

However, experimental data shown in Figure 3 present an offset velocity when 380 the diameter tends to zero. This offset velocity for very small particle was already 381 encountered in this facility (Sumbekova (2016); Mora et al. (2021)) and suggests 382a vertical component due to secondary motion in the air in the wind tunnel, 383 $\langle U_n(t) \rangle \neq 0$. A mean gas velocity in the vertical direction could be due to two 384different physical phenomena. First, as discussed previously, confinement effects 385 (that would be different for each type of grid) can be responsible for secondary 386 recirculation motion inside the tunnel. Second, the injection of droplets could 387 modify the background flow, since falling droplets may entrain gas in their fall. 388 Even if the volume fraction is low enough for the particles to not affect the global 389 turbulence statistics, the dispersed phase can exert a significant back reaction 390 on the fluid in their vicinity (two way coupling effect) (Monchaux & Dejoan 391



Figure 4: Average settling velocity of the particles for the smallest diameter class, (a) at the centre, and (b) near the wall of the wind tunnel. The different symbols represent the regular (■), active (•) and open grid (•). The size of the symbols is proportional to the volume fraction and a darker colour correspond to a higher mean velocity. Carrier-phase vertical velocity measurements with the Cobra probe are presented at the two locations with coloured lines. Similar to Figure 3, active grid (AG), open grid (OG) and regular grid (RG) are in solid line, dashed line and dash-dotted line respectively.

(2017); Tom *et al.* (2022)). Entrainment in the wake of falling particles might
induced a downward mean gas flow, with a velocity that should be proportional to
the dispersed-phase volume fraction (Alipchenkov & Zaichik (2009); Sumbekova
(2016)). To compensate the downward gas secondary motion near the centreline
of the wind tunnel, an upwards flow in the gas near the walls should be present
(and viceversa for upwards gas velocity at the centreline).

Other studies have encountered similar difficulties due to recirculating secondary motions when measuring particle settling velocity (Wang *et al.* (2018); Akutina *et al.* (2020)). Akutina *et al.* (2020) corrected for this bias by subtracting the local mean fluid velocity measurements from the instantaneous vertical velocity of the particle (available in the point-particle simulations).

We estimated the existence and strength of recirculating secondary motion in the wind tunnel by taking PDPA measurements in the centre and close to the wall of the wind tunnel. We quantified the carrier-phase vertical velocity using the mean settling velocity of the smallest particles with enough statistical convergence. This parameter is referred to as $V_{physical}$. Figure 4 shows $V_{physical}$, measured in the centre (left panel) and near the wind tunnel wall (right panel). Figure 4 shows downward motion ($V_{physical} > 0$) at the centre and upward motion ($V_{physical} < 0$) near the wind tunnel sidewall, in most cases. A different 411 behaviour is observed for the open grid (star symbols), with opposite direction 412 of secondary motion, for some volume fractions.

Two possible causes of a mean vertical flow were explained above: confine-413 ment effects and the fluid dragging effect of the particles. With Cobra probe 414measurements, we observed that, even in the absence of particles, recirculating 415currents arise in the carrier phase. Regarding the fluid dragging effect, there 416are evidences of the particle back-reaction on the fluid in our measurements 417 since larger values of Vphysical are observed in the presence of particles than 418 in the measurements without particles. One would expect that the fluid-dragging 419contribution to $V_{physical}$ would increase with volume fraction (Alipchenkov & 420Zaichik (2009); Sumbekova (2016)), however there is no clear trend observed for 421422 $V_{physical}$ with volume fraction. This lack of volume fraction influence on $V_{physical}$ can be explained by the limited range investigated. In short, the first order 423contribution to Vphysical seems to be caused by confinement effects where as 424 a second minor contribution is due to the fluid dragging effect of the particles. 425

It is worth noticing that the Stokes number could have an influence on $V_{physical}$ 426 as the entrainment of the carrier flow by the dispersed phase is connected 427to the particle inertia. We would then expect $V_{physical}$ to increase with the 428average Stokes number of the particles in the flow. In the present experiments, 429however, the particle size distribution is fixed due to the atomization system. 430The value of $V_{physical}$, which is the best estimation of the vertical velocity of the 431 carrier flow, results from the interaction of the entire range of diameters (i.e. 432 $St \in [0, 14]$ with the turbulent gas flow. Thus, $V_{physical}$ cannot be computed 433 independently for different particle Stokes numbers. It would then be expected 434that, in an experiment with different polydispersity, the value of $V_{physical}$ would 435change because of the different Stokes numbers. While our current experimental 436setup does not allow for polydispersity variations, further studies may help to 437understand the role of St in $V_{physical}$. 438

We also observed recirculating secondary motions in the single-phase flow 439measured with the Cobra probe. Lines in Figure 4 show the mean single-phase 440 vertical velocity for the three turbulence conditions, against the mean streamwise 441velocity. Measurements with the Cobra probe provide evidence that there are 442weak secondary flows in the wind tunnel, even in the absence of particles. 443Moreover, these secondary flows are dependent on the turbulence generation 444mechanism, as the open grid (dashed line) causes an opposite sense of motion 445than the active or regular grids. Surprisingly, single-phase measurements confirm 446 the same trends as the particle velocity measurements. At the most dilute case 447 (i.e. for the lowest volume fraction, the vertical velocity of the secondary motion 448 is the same order of magnitude in the single- and two-phase flows: 0.1 m/s). 449

In Figure 4, each point corresponds to a single realisation of the experiment, where some realisations are repetitions of the same experimental conditions. We observe low but not insignificant dispersion between the different realisations of the single condition. However, the trend that we discuss is still robust: the sign of $V_{physical}$ does not change for the different realisations of the same conditions, although the magnitude does change.

To conclude, measurements in both laden and unladen flows show the existence of downward motion in the centre and upward motion near the sidewalls (with the active and regular grids, with the opposite sense of motion for the open grid). To the best of the authors' knowledge, this constitutes the first experimental evidence on the existence of $V_{physical}$ as a quantification of the carrier-phase vertical velocity in wind tunnel experiments. From now on, $V_{physical}$ and V_{β} are subtracted from the measurements of vertical velocity, $\langle V \rangle_{d_p} - V_{\beta} - V_{physical}$, to quantify settling velocity enhancement and/or hindering (corrected from these two experimental biases).

465 3.3. Influence of the carrier flow turbulent Reynolds number on the particle 466 settling velocity

467 To quantify modifications of the settling velocity, we subtract the particle ter-468 minal speed in a stagnant fluid V_T from the vertical velocity. We define this 469 difference as ΔV , where positive values imply settling velocity enhancement and 470 negative correspond to hindering. The value of V_T is estimated using the Schiller 471 & Naumann empirical formula for the particle relaxation time τ_p (Clift *et al.* 472 (1978)),

473
$$V_T = \tau_p g \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_p = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2}{18\mu_f (1 + 0.15Re_p^{0.687})}, \tag{3.3}$$

where μ_f is the carrier flow dynamic viscosity, g the gravitational acceleration, d_p the particle diameter, $\rho_p = 900 \ kg.m^{-3}$ the oil droplet density and $Re_p = V_T d_p / \nu$ the particle Reynolds number.

477 ΔV is usually normalized by the rms of the carrier-phase fluctuations, u', or by 478 the particle terminal velocity, V_T . Normalising ΔV by u' was first proposed by 479 Wang & Maxey (1993), and Yang & Lei (1998) confirmed u' is a better velocity 480 scale than u_η to express the settling velocity enhancement. It has been widely 481 used in other studies (Rosa *et al.* (2016); Huck *et al.* (2018)). Consequently, ΔV 482 is normalized by u', although this non-dimensionalisation of ΔV is still under 483 scrutiny.

Figure 5 shows the normalised velocity difference $\Delta V/u'$ against particle diame-484ter. All the measurements were taken at the same location, at the centreline of the 485wind tunnel. All the curves show the same trend: the settling velocity is enhanced 486for small particles, and this enhancement reaches a maximum, $\max(\Delta V/u')$. After 487 the maximum, the settling velocity enhancement decreases until it reaches a point 488where it is negative, that is, particle settling is hindered by turbulence. For very 489large particles (not attainable with our injection system), $\Delta V/u'$ would eventually 490become zero as they follow ballistic trajectories, unimpeded by turbulence. A 491discussion on the mechanisms that control enhancement and hindering of the 492settling velocity is available in section 5. 493

494Particle settling velocity tends to depend on the turbulence characteristics, that is, in this study, it depends on the type of grid used in the experiments. 495Series taken with the open-grid configuration show a higher enhancement for all 496volumes fractions (green dashed line). On the contrary, active-grid turbulence (in 497blue solid lines) causes mostly hindered settling, with enhancement present only 498for a small range of diameters. Finally, measurements taken with the regular grid 499(red dash-dotted lines) show an intermediate behaviour between the two other 500 grid configurations. 501

502

A combination between the Rouse and Stokes numbers, RoSt, has already been proven to be an interesting scaling (Ghosh *et al.* (2005)), as it was shown in several studies to collapse the data better (Good *et al.* (2014); Petersen *et al.* (2019); Mora *et al.* (2021); Yang & Shy (2021)). The Rouse-Stokes number can



Figure 5: Particle velocity over the carrier phase fluctuations $\Delta V/u' = (\langle V \rangle_{d_p} - V_{\beta} - V_{physical} - V_T)/u'$ against the particles diameter d_p for a volume fraction of 0.5×10^{-5} (a), 1.0×10^{-5} (b) and 2.0×10^{-5} (c). The data from the active grid (AG) are in solid lines, the open grid (OG) in dashed line and the regular grid (RG) in dash-dotted lines. The errorbars show the estimation of the error in the velocity measurements induced by the determination of the misalignement angle. A darker color correspond to a higher mean velocity U_{∞} .

be expressed as a ratio between a characteristic length of the particle L_p and a characteristic length of the flow. L_p can be seen as the distance that a particle will travel to adjust its velocity to the surrounding fluid starting with a velocity V_T . Using the Kolmogorov time scale in the Stokes number and u' in the Rouse number, the Taylor microscale appears to be the characteristic length scale of the flow:

513
$$RoSt = \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_\eta} \frac{V_T}{u'} = \sqrt{15} \frac{V_T \tau_p}{\lambda} = \sqrt{15} \frac{L_p}{\lambda} \quad \text{with} \quad L_p = V_T \tau_p \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda = \sqrt{15} \tau_\eta u' \quad (3.4)$$

In Figure 6, we present $\Delta V/u'$ against the Rouse-Stokes number RoSt. Similar to Figure 5, each panel presents data from a different value of volume fraction.

The RoSt number gives a better collapse of the position of maximum of 516enhancement than the Rouse number or Stokes number alone. Figure 6 indicates 517that enhancement of the settling velocity reaches a maximum for a Rouse-Stokes 518number around 0.6, which is consistent with previous findings. Yang & Shy (2021) 519reported a maximum for a RoSt around 0.72-1 in a Taylor Couette flow, whereas 520Petersen et al. (2019) presented a maximum of enhancement for RoSt of order 521522 0.1. Alternative scalings have been tested on our data, with the results provided for completion in appendix A. These measurements reveal that, for a fixed Re_{λ} , 523



Figure 6: Enhancement of the particle velocity, normalised by the turbulent rms velocity, $\Delta V/u'$, against the Rouse-Stokes number. (a) $\phi = 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$, (b) $\phi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and (c) $\phi = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$. Lines follow the legend of Figure 5.

the enhancement increases with volume fraction, consistent with Aliseda *et al.* (2002) and Monchaux & Dejoan (2017).

We observe that the enhancement is much stronger for the low values of Re_{λ} 526 $(\in [30-70], \text{ open and regular grids})$ than for the higher Re_{λ} ($\in [260-520], \text{ active}$ 527grid) for all volume fractions. As shown in Figure 2(a), the settling enhancement 528decreases significantly with an increase in the flow Taylor Reynolds number, with 529Taylor Reynolds number significantly higher for the active grid turbulence than 530for the two other grids $Re_{\lambda AG} >> Re_{\lambda RG} > Re_{\lambda OG}$. However, we observe when 531the Taylor Reynolds number is varied by increasing the inlet velocity U_{∞} alone, 532while keeping the same grid turbulence generation system, the trend is reversed: 533the settling enhancement increases with an increase in Re_{λ} within the small 534range achieved with each grid, and keeping a quasi-constant large-to-small scales 535ratio. Thus, settling enhancement depends strongly on the characteristics of the 536turbulence, as reported in Mora et al. (2021). While the study of Mora et al. 537(2021) obtained the same trend by comparing against data from the literature, in 538 this study the entire range of Reynolds number and turbulent length scales were 539explored in the same facility. 540

This would suggest that the maximum of enhancement has a non-monotonic behaviour with the turbulent Reynolds number, as reported in (Mora *et al.* (2021)). A non-monotonic dependency of the degree of enhancement with Reynolds number has also been observed recently in Yang & Shy (2021). This effect of Re_{λ} on the maximum of enhancement confirms that the settling velocity modification is



Figure 7: Enhancement of the particle velocity, normalised by the particle terminal velocity, $\Delta V/V_T$, against the Rouse-Stokes number. (a) $\phi = 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$, (b) $\phi = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and (c) $\phi = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$. Lines follow the legend of Figure 5.

a multiscale phenomenon and one turbulent scale is not sufficient to characterise
it (Tom & Bragg (2019)).

Although u' has been widely used in the literature to normalise ΔV , it has 548already been pointed out that there is no consensus on the scale for settling 549modification (Tom & Bragg (2019)). The influence of the Reynolds number on 550the settling modification is also affected by normalising ΔV with u'. Since the 551range of flow scales that interact with the particles depends on the Stokes number. 552an interesting choice would be to non-dimensionalise ΔV with a vertical velocity 553that depend on St. Similarly to previous studies (Good et al. (2014); Rosa et al. 554(2016)), a normalisation of the results with the terminal velocity $V_T = Stg\tau_n$ 555is proposed in Figure 7. Figure 7 uses the same legend as Figures 5 and 6. 556Normalising with V_T , the three different sets of curves for the three turbulence 557generation schemes are observed to collapse in Figure 6. However, looking closely 558at Figure 7, the Reynolds number dependency of the settling velocity modification 559is still non-monotonic, even after normalising with a velocity scale different than 560u'. 561

562

3.4. Variance of the vertical particle velocity

The variance of the vertical particle velocity $\langle (v'_y)^2 \rangle$ normalised by the Kolmogorov velocity square is shown in Figure 8. In Figure 8(a), we observe that the variance increases with the Reynolds number Re_{λ} . This is expected since the fluid velocity variance increases with the Reynolds number so the particle velocity variance. The normalised particle velocity variance was also computed for each bin of diameters to have the influence of the Stokes number and the Rouse number on this metric. Panel Figure 8(b) shows that the variance decreases slowly with the Rouse-Stokes number. This is consistent with the fact that the filtering by inertial particles becomes more important as inertia increases. Inertial particles with higher Stokes number are less sensitive to the carrier flow's high velocity fluctuations.



Figure 8: Variance of the vertical particle velocity $\langle (v'_y)^2 \rangle$ normalised by the Kolmogorov velocity square u^2_{η} . The variance is plotted towards the Reynolds number Re_{λ} in the left panel (a) and towards the Stokes number in the right panel (c). The symbols follow the legend of Figure 4.

3.5. Scaling of the maximum of enhancement

As no theoretical consensus have been found on the settling velocity modification, 575empirical scalings are proposed. This study focuses on the value and location of 576maximum of enhancement $\max(\Delta V/u')$, and not on the critical RoSt, where 577 enhancement turns into hindering, as most cases with the passive grid did not 578reach the transition enhancement/hindering for high Rouse number, contrary 579to Mora *et al.* (2021). As said in the previous section, the enhancement seems 580to increase when varying only the wind tunnel velocity U_{∞} . In order to take 581this trend into account, a global Reynolds number is introduced $Re_G = MU_{\infty}/\nu$ 582base on U_{∞} and M the mesh spacing in the turbulence-generating grid. Several 583dimensionless parameters were tested to scale $\max(\Delta V/u')$: the global Reynolds 584number Re_G , the volume fraction ϕ_v , the Taylor-scale Reynolds number Re_{λ} , a 585Reynolds number based on the integral length scale, and the Ro or St numbers 586corresponding to the maximum of enhancement. The best scaling from the 587 parameters above was found to be a combination of Re_{λ} , Re_{G} and ϕ_{v} . 588

Figure 9(a) represents max($\Delta V/u'$) against $Re_{\lambda}^{\alpha}\phi_{v}^{\beta}Re_{G}^{\gamma}$, where α, β and γ are

574

590 best-fit exponents:

591

$$\max(\Delta V/u') \sim Re^{\alpha}_{\lambda} \phi^{\beta}_{v} Re^{\gamma}_{G}$$
(3.5)

with $\alpha = -1.1$, $\beta = 0.6$ and $\gamma = 0.9$. The values of α , β and γ are consistent with previous observations: the maximum of enhancement increases with inlet velocity and volume fraction but decreases with an overall increase of Re_{λ} (when varying the Reynolds number on the entire range [30, 520]).

Figure 9 show the third panel of Figure 6 with $\Delta V/u'$ divided by the power law scaling. A gap in data exists due to the jump in Reynolds number between the active grid and the two passive grids (see Figure 2). No measurements were taken for Re_{λ} between 70 and 260, since the present experimental setup cannot reach those intermediate values.

Figure 9 shows that no simple scaling of the peak of settling enhancement can be inferred from this data. The dispersion of the results is partly due to the effect of the different large scale turbulence, as discussed in the next section.



Figure 9: Scaling of the settling velocity with Re_{λ} , Re_{G} and ϕ_{v} . (a) $\max(\Delta V/u')$ versus $Re_{\lambda}^{\alpha}\phi_{v}^{\beta}Re_{G}^{\gamma}$ with the fitted value of α , β and γ . (b) $\max(\Delta V/u')$ divided by the scaling versus the Rouse Stokes number.

604 4. Influence of large-scale structures

Although the open and regular grids create very similar values of turbulent intensity, the settling speed of inertial particles in these two flows are very different. Indeed, regular grid data (dash-dotted lines) is as different from open grid data as it is from active grid data (see Figures 5 and 6). This discrepancy between regular and open-grid behaviours can be explained by the difference in integral length scales between these two turbulent flows (see table 1 and Figure 2).

Figure 10 illustrates the settling velocity modification from two series with 612 similar Reynolds numbers, turbulent intensities and volume fractions, but differ-613 ent integral length scales \mathcal{L} . The figure is plotted against RoSt but presents a 614similar trend when made with Ro or St. It can be seen that the degree of settling 615 enhancement is stronger for a smaller integral length scale and this behaviour 616 is consistent for different volume fractions and wind tunnel Reynolds numbers. 617 This suggests that the integral length scale and large-scale structures play a 618 role in the settling velocity modification. According to the study of Tom & 619 620 Bragg (2019), there is a length scale $l_c(St)$ above which the effects of particle inertia are negligible and only the flow scales smaller than l_c contribute to the 621

622 settling velocity enhancement. l_c has been proposed to be an increasing function of the Stokes number, thus, as St increases, the range of flow scales impacting 623 the settling velocity becomes larger. Consequently, we would expect the integral 624 length scale to play a role on $\Delta V/u'$ only when the Stokes number is above 625 $St(l_c)$. With our experiments, we provide the first evidence of settling velocity 626 modification by turbulence where the integral length scale is the only difference 627 between two turbulent datasets, in Figure 10. According to the l_c hypothesis, one 628 would expect the curves from the regular grid and the open grid to collapse for the 629 $St < St(l_c)$ data. Figure 10 reveals that the integral length scale has a measurable 630 631 influence on the settling velocity modification for almost the entire range of RoStnumber studied, and not only for the large RoSt. The data presented in Figure 10 632 shows a collapse for RoSt < 0.1, suggesting that the integral length scale does not 633 play a role in settling velocity modification for very small RoSt. This contradicts 634 the hypothesis in Tom & Bragg (2019), unless $l_c < \mathcal{L}$ for the smallest particles in 635 the flow and $l_c > \mathcal{L}$ for larger particles. However, Tom & Bragg (2019) showed 636 that $l_c(St)$ is larger than expected and can be larger than the flow integral scale 637 even for St = O(0.1). 638

As a consequence of the evidence provided in this paper, the preferential sweeping mechanism is more accurate at explaining the observations in flows where the large-scale structures are reduced in size.



Figure 10: Open and regular grid data. Settling velocity difference over the carrier-phase fluctuations $(\Delta V)/u'$ against the Rouse-Stokes number, for a volume fraction of 2.0×10^{-5} . The left panel displays data taken with an inlet velocity of 2.7 m/s, whereas the bulk velocity in panel (b) is 5.0 m/s.

642 5. Mechanisms of the settling velocity modification

643

5.1. Competition between preferential sweeping and loitering

The different models of the settling velocity modification require the measurement of fluid variables (flow structure, slip velocity, etc.) that is not possible, at least in an instantaneous manner, in large Reynolds number two-phase flows. Nevertheless, qualitative comparison of our experimental data with theoretical models for the proposed mechanisms shows good agreement. The enhancement of the settling velocity for small Stokes number, i.e. small diameter, particles found in our experiments is consistent with the preferential sweeping mechanism (Maxey (1987)). The hindering for large Stokes number found at high Reynolds numbers, on the other hand, is consistent with the loitering mechanism proposed by Nielsen (1993). The mechanisms and the parameters that control the transition between enhancement and hindering, for which this manuscript provides novel data at turbulent Reynolds numbers and length scales not studied before, remain poorly understood and need theoretical analysis.

Indeed, the Ro, St or RoSt critic that set the transition between enhancement 657 and hindering have a non-monotonic dependence with the Reynolds number. 658No simple scaling of the Stokes or Rouse critic could be found from other non 659 dimensional parameters (i.e. volume fraction, global Reynolds number or Taylor 660 based Reynolds). However the fact that the maximum of enhancement collapses 661 for $RoSt \approx 0.6 - 1.0$ gives a threshold for which the lottering effect starts to 662 balance out the preferential sweeping mechanism (although enhancement remains 663 664 the main outcome).

As mentioned before, the *RoSt* number can be expressed as the ratio between 665 L_p and λ , where L_p is the distance that a particle will travel to adjust its velocity 666 to the surrounding fluid starting with a velocity V_T . Furthermore, the Taylor 667 microscale can be seen as the separation between two large-scale eddies (Mazellier 668 & Vassilicos (2008)). When L_p starts to be larger than λ preferential sweeping 669 mechanism becomes less and less important since particles take a longer time and 670 distance to respond to the fluid. As particles are less often swept in the downward 671 side of eddies with an increase in L_p they cross both upward and downward regions 672 of the flow which result in a more frequent loitering. Consistently, Figure 10 shows 673 that the preferential sweeping mechanism is more effective when the flow large 674 scale structure are smaller. 675

676

5.2. Collective effects

Numerous studies have shown an increase in the particle settling velocity with the 677 particle local concentration (Aliseda et al. (2002); Monchaux & Dejoan (2017); 678 Huck et al. (2018)). An estimate of the particle local concentration can be 679 obtained with the use of Voronoï tessellations (Monchaux et al. (2010)). In this 680 study, only unidimensional statistics of a three-dimensional flow are collected 681 682 with the PDPA. For such signals, special attention is required as the analysis of preferential concentration via Voronoï tessellations has shown to present some 683 bias (Mora *et al.* (2019a)). A Voronoï cell is defined as the portion of the temporal 684 signal closer to one particle than to any other ones. The inverse of the Voronoï 685 cell length L gives an indication of the particle local concentration C = 1/L. 686 687 Preferential concentration is observed when small and large Voronoï cells are over represented compared to a random Poisson process (RPP). In other words, 688 the probability distribution function (PDF) of the normalised Voronoï cell length 689 $\mathcal{V} = L/\langle L \rangle$ crosses the PDF of a random Poisson process twice. Before the first 690 crossing, small Voronoï cell are over represented showing the presence of over 691 populated regions, clusters. Similarly, after the second crossing large Voronoï cells 692 are more probable than for a RPP showing the presence of depleted regions (i.e. 693 voids). Clusters and voids are defined as group of connected cells with cell length 694 smaller than the first, respectively larger than the second, crossing with the PDF 695 of a random Poisson process. According to Mora *et al.* (2019a), clustering can 696 be present and not be detected by the use of 1D Voronoï tesselations. However if 697 698 the standard deviation of the normalised cell length σ_{ν} is larger than for a RPP distribution $\sigma_{\nu} > \sigma_{RPP}$, it is a reliable evidence of the presence of preferential 699



concentration. For the next, we will only consider cases for which $\sigma_{\nu}/\sigma_{RPP} > 1.2$ to avoid time series that present a lack of information.

Figure 11: Mean settling velocity of particles in clusters $\langle v_y \rangle_{clusters}$ (a) and particles in voids $\langle v_y \rangle_{voids}$ (b) normalised by the unconditional average $\langle v_y \rangle$. Panel (c) shows the settling velocity conditioned on the particle local concentration $\langle v_y \rangle|_{C/C_0}$ normalised by the r.m.s. of the carrier phase fluid fluctuations. Symbols and lines follow the legend of Figure 4.

Figure 11(c) shows the conditional particle velocity on the local concentration compared to the average settling velocity over all particles $\langle v_y(t) \rangle$ versus the normalised concentration $C/C_0 = 1/\mathcal{V}$. In agreement with previous studies (Huck *et al.* 2018), the settling velocity is constant or increased with the particle local concentration.

The mean settling velocity for particles in clusters and particles in voids are shown in panels (a) and (b) of Figure 11. For low Reynolds number, the settling velocity for particles in clusters is, for most cases, larger than the global settling while particles in voids settle slower than the unconditional average. Figure 11 show that the particle local concentration and collective effects have an influence on the settling rate in our dataset as previously observed in Aliseda *et al.* (2002); Huck *et al.* (2018); Petersen *et al.* (2019).

714

5.3. Sweep-stick mechanism

The sweep-stick mechanism proposed by (Chen *et al.* 2006; Goto & Vassilicos 2008; Coleman & Vassilicos 2009) states that there is a strong correlation between

the carrier flow zero-acceleration points and inertial particle positions. This 717 mechanism was first proposed to explain the preferential concentration of inertial 718particles for direct numerical simulation data with zero gravity. The modified 719sweep-stick mechanism (Falkinhoff et al. 2020) suggests that, in presence of 720gravity, particles stick to low, but non-zero, acceleration points. Zero-acceleration 721points where shown to have an average lifetime of $\tau_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\tau_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}/u'$ the flow 722integral time scale (Coleman & Vassilicos 2009). This mechanism is restricted to 723 cases where the particle relaxation time is much smaller than the zero acceleration 724points life-time, that is to say when $\tau_p \ll \tau_{\mathcal{L}}$ or $St_{\mathcal{L}} = \tau_p / \tau_{\mathcal{L}} \ll 1$ and for St > 1. 725The average acceleration of the fluid at the particle's position can be estimated 726 from the ensemble average of Maxey Riley equation: 727

728
$$\frac{\langle v_y^p(t)\rangle}{V_T} = \frac{\langle u_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle}{V_T} + 1$$
(5.1)

729 Similarly as in Falkinhoff *et al.* (2020), we use the approximation that 730 $\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle \sim \langle u_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle/\tau_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)$ the fluid acceleration 731 at the particle position. The term $\langle u_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle/V_T$ can be rewritten as 732 $\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle/(gSt_{\mathcal{L}})$ with $St_{\mathcal{L}} = \tau_p/\tau_{\mathcal{L}}$.

733
$$\frac{\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle}{gSt_{\mathcal{L}}} = \frac{\langle v_y^p(t)\rangle}{V_T} - 1$$
(5.2)

734 Then with the fact $\tau_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}/u'$ and $St_{\mathcal{L}}/St = \tau_{\eta}u'/\mathcal{L}$ we get:

735
$$\frac{\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle}{gSt} = (\tau_\eta u'/\mathcal{L}) \Big(\frac{\langle v_y^p(t)\rangle}{V_T} - 1\Big)$$
(5.3)

To be able to compare with the data from Falkinhoff *et al.* (2020) for which the vertical axes is directed in the opposite direction, we plot the quantity $(\tau_{\eta}u'/\mathcal{L})(1 - \langle v_{y}^{p}(t)\rangle/V_{T})$ in Figure 12. This quantity is positive when there is hindering $(\langle v_{y}^{p}(t)\rangle < V_{T})$ and negative in case of enhancement $(\langle v_{y}^{p}(t)\rangle > V_{T})$.

Figure 12 presents the estimation of the normalised fluid acceleration at the 740 particles' position for the active grid data. The data from the direct numerical 741 simulation of Falkinhoff *et al.* (2020), also shown in Figure 12, correspond to 742 a turbulent flow Reynolds number of $Re_{\lambda} \approx 300$, various Stokes numbers and 743Froude number (St = 1,3,6,8,9), and Froude numbers (Fr = $(\varepsilon^3/\nu)^{1/4}1/g$ = 744 0.15, 0.23, 0.45, 1.36). As for the experimental data the fluid velocity at the par-745ticle position is not accessible, we can only compare the value of the acceleration 746 of the fluid elements between the experiments and the simulation. To better 747 compare with the numerical simulation, only samples taken with the active grid 748 and with a St number close to 1, 3, 6, 8, 9 are presented in Figure 12. There is a 749reasonable agreement in the value of $\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle/(gSt_{\mathcal{L}})$ between both studies. 750The slope of the data is controlled by the value of $\tau_n u'/\mathcal{L}$, thus depends only upon 751the flow characteristics. Discrepancies can be found between the values from the 752numerical simulation and the experiment since the Froude number and the flow 753integral length scale are different. 754



Figure 12: Average normalised acceleration of the fluid elements $\langle a_y(\boldsymbol{x}^p(t),t)\rangle/(gSt)$ following equation 5.3 as a function of the corrected settling velocity normalised by the terminal velocity $(\langle V \rangle_{d_p} - V_{\beta} - V_{physical})/V_T$. The black triangles present the data from the study of Falkinhoff *et al.* (2020). The data from the present study, taken with the active grid and a volume fraction of 0.5×10^{-5} , are shown in blue.

755 6. Conclusion

The settling velocity of sub-Kolmogorov inertial particles in wind tunnel de-756 caying turbulence is presented and analyzed. Accurate settling velocity measure-757 ments were carefully collected and calibrated, by correcting different experimental 758sources of potential bias. First, a correction for PDPA misalignment angle is 759 computed and applied. Second, secondary flows in the wind tunnel test section 760 were characterised, $V_{physical}$, for both single-phase and two-phase flows. High 761resolution in the vertical velocity, compared to Mora et al. (2021), was obtained 762 thanks to a new PDPA setup. This, together with the detailed measurements of 763 764alignment and secondary motions, created a more accurate dataset of settling velocity for small Stokes number particles. 765

The results in this study confirm and extend the trends observed previously 766 (among others by Wang & Maxey (1993); Aliseda et al. (2002); Good et al. 767 (2014); Mora et al. (2021)). Specifically, the settling velocity enhancement, that 768 has been observed under a wide range of conditions, disappears with an increase of 769global (wind tunnel) Reynolds number, and turns to hindering at high Reynolds 770 numbers $Re_{\lambda} > 260$. This dependence with Reynolds number is in contradiction 771 with most numerical studies (Bec et al. (2014); Rosa et al. (2016); Tom & Bragg 772 (2019)). However, for a smaller range of Reynolds numbers, the maximum of 773 774enhancement is proportional to the inlet velocity U_{∞} , and therefore to the global Reynolds number. A new phenomenological scaling considering the influence of 775 the bulk velocity has been proposed. 776

The range of volume fractions investigated is limited, and precludes the influence of this variable on settling enhancement to appear. Different turbulence generation schemes allow for flows with different integral and Taylor length scales, at the same turbulent intensities and Reynolds numbers. We show that even if the Reynolds number and the turbulent intensity are similar, significant differences
in the settling modification remain, due to widely different integral length scales.
This suggests an important role of the large flow structures on the settling velocity
modification.

The settling rate modification observed in this study is due to the intervention of several mechanisms, including at least preferential sweeping, loitering and sweep-stick mechanism, operating on different ranges of Stokes and Rouse numbers. In addition to the aforementioned mechanisms, our results show that collective effects might take a part in the settling velocity modification.

This work has been supported by a LabEx Tec21 grant (Investissements d'Avenir - Grant Agreement # ANR-11-LABX-0030). We also would like to thank Laure Vignal for her help with the PDPA measurements and Vincent Govart for producing experimental rigs.

794

The authors report no conflict of interest.

796 Appendix A. Additional scalings.

Particle settling velocity is often presented against the Stokes number (Wang & Maxey (1993); Yang & Lei (1998); Aliseda *et al.* (2002); Good *et al.* (2014); Rosa *et al.* (2016); Petersen *et al.* (2019); Yang & Shy (2021)), the Rouse number (Good *et al.* (2012, 2014); Mora *et al.* (2021)) and a Rouse number based on the Kolmogorov scale V_T/u_η (Good *et al.* (2014)). Figure 13 show the present data against these three different parameters.

Appendix B. Stationnarity of the temporal signal and PDF of particles' velocities.

In this section we show the raw velocity obtained with the PDPA. The temporal signals are stationary (see Figure 14). In this figure, one portion of the time signals is presented for each of the three grids using a volume fraction of $\phi_v =$ 1.0×10^{-5} and an inlet velocity of $U_{\infty} \approx 4.0 \ m/s$. The left panel corresponds to the streamwise velocity whereas the right panel represents the vertical velocity.

It can also be observed that all inertial particles horizontal and vertical velocities have a Gaussian distribution (see Figure 15). The skewness $(\mu_3/\mu_2^{3/2})$ and the kurtosis (μ_4/μ_2^2) have been computed for each velocity distribution, (with μ_n the nth central moment). The average values over all these experiments for both of these moments are :

$$\frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}}(V_x) = -0.12, \quad \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2}(V_x) = 3.00, \quad \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}}(V_y) = 0.08 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2}(V_y) = 3.17 \; .$$

In Figure 16 the skewness and the kurtosis for each velocity pdf is shown against the mean streamwise velocity U_{∞} . Since the skewness always falls between -0.5 and 0.5, the velocity distribution are considered symmetrical. The values of the

flatness are also relatively close to 3, the value of the Gaussian distribution.



Figure 13: Particle velocity over the carrier phase fluctuations $\Delta V/u'$ against the Stokes number (a), Rouse number (b) and the Rouse number based on the Kolmogorov scale (c) for a volume fraction of 2.0×10^{-5} . Line styles follow the caption of Figure 5.

814 Appendix C. Determination of the PDPA misalignement angle.

A small deviation angle between the PDPA axes and the wind tunnel axes is 815 always present even if the best precautions were taken during the setup of the 816 device. The deviation angle has a negligible impact on the horizontal velocity but 817 can induce a significant bias on the measurements of the settling velocity, since 818 the particle's horizontal velocity component is much larger than the vertical one. 819 We call β the angle between the axes of the PDPA and the axes of the 820 wind tunnel. V_{XPDPA} and V_{YPDPA} are respectively the streamwise and vertical 821 components of the velocity measured by the instrument while V_{XWT} and V_{YWT} 822 are the exact particle velocity component in the wind tunnel coordinate system 823 824 (see Mora *et al.* (2021)).

By projecting the accurate droplet velocity in the frame of reference of the PDPA we get:

827
$$\overrightarrow{V_{YWT}} = \left(\underbrace{V_{YPDPA}\cos(\beta)}_{\approx V_{YPDPA}} - V_{XPDPA}\sin(\beta)\right)\overrightarrow{y}$$
(C1)

Since the PDPA was set in noncoincident mode, we do not have access to the horizontal component V_{XPDPA} corresponding to the biased settling velocity. We then approximate by using the mean of the time series horizontal velocity



Figure 14: Temporal signals of the streamwise (left panel (a)) and the vertical velocity (right panel (b)). One example of temporal PDPA signal is shown for each of the three grids: active grid in blue color, open grid in green and regular rid in red color. The measurements were taken for a volume fraction of $\phi_v = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and an inlet velocity $U_{\infty} \approx 4.0 \ m/s$.



Figure 15: Probability distribution function of the streamwise (top) and vertical (bottom) velocity for each type of grid: active grid (a,b), open grid (c,d) and regular grid (e,f).

831 $V_{XPDPA} \approx \langle U \rangle$ and define the angle-corrected velocity as follow:

832
$$V_{YWT} = V_{YPDPA} - \underbrace{\langle U \rangle \sin(\beta)}_{V_{\beta}}$$
(C 2)

In order to compute the vertical velocity due to the horizontal component projection V_{β} , we estimated the misalignement angle β through measurements of olive oil droplets settling velocities. We used olive oil to be closer to the limit of very small diameter and very small volume fraction ϕ_v . Indeed, olive oil droplets



Figure 16: Skewness (left panels) and kurtosis (right panels) of the velocity PDF against the mean streamwise velocity U_{∞} . The two top panels shows the moments for the horizontal velocity (a, c) where as the bottom panels correspond to the vertical velocity (b, d). The different symbols (\blacksquare), (\bullet) and (\bullet) represent the regular, active and open grid respectively. The size of the symbol is proportional to the volume fraction and darker colours correspond to higher mean velocities. The quantity given in each panel is the mean value over all the conditions.

have a much smaller average diameter, $\langle d_p \rangle \approx 3 \mu m$, and a less polydispersed size distribution than water droplets.

The settling velocity of olive oil droplets were collected for different freestream velocities in absence of grid in order to have a flow as laminar as possible. Measurements were taken when the probe volume was situated on the center, close to the wall of the wind tunnel and each time the PDPA had to be realigned. The particle speed in a still fluid is computed from the particle relaxation time τ_p including the non-linear drag from Schiller and Naumann semi-empirical equation (Clift *et al.* 1978),

846
$$V_T = \tau_p g \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_p = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2}{18\mu_f (1+0.15Re_p^{0.687})} \tag{C3}$$

847 With μ_f is the air dynamic viscosity, g the gravitational acceleration, d_p the 848 particles' diameter, the oil droplet density $\rho_p = 900 \ kg.m^{-3}$ and $Re_p = V_T d_p/\nu$ the particle Reynolds number. As the diameter of olive oil droplets is extremely small the actual velocity is supposed to be equal to the Stokes velocity $V_{YWT} = V_T$. We then get from equation C 2:

$$\langle V_{YPDPA} \rangle = V_T + \langle U \rangle \sin(\beta) \tag{C4}$$

With several freestream velocities and equation C4 a least squares polynomial fit on the values of $\langle V_{YPDPA} \rangle$ and $\langle U \rangle$ can be performed to estimate $\sin(\beta)$. Figure 17 shows $\langle V_{YPDPA} \rangle$ against $\langle U \rangle$ for the probe volume on the center where a linear fit was done and the slope gives the value of $\sin(\beta)$. In our case, β is found equal to $\beta = 1.5^{\circ} \pm 0.3^{\circ}$.



852



Figure 17: $\langle V_{YPDI} \rangle$ against $\langle U \rangle$ for the different incoming velocities with olive oil droplets measurements. A linear fit of the data is shown in dashed line.

REFERENCES

- AKUTINA, Y., REVIL-BAUDARD, T., CHAUCHAT, J. & EIFF, O. 2020 Experimental evidence of
 settling retardation in a turbulence column. *Physical Review Fluids* 5 (1), 14303.
- 861 ALIPCHENKOV, V. M. & ZAICHIK, L. I. 2009 Effect of particle clustering on the gravitational
- settling velocity in homogeneous turbulence. *Fluid Dynamics* 44 (3), 397–404.
 ALISEDA, A., CARTELLIER, A., HAINAUX, F. & LASHERAS, J. C. 2002 Effect of preferential concentration on the settling velocity of heavy particles in homogeneous isotropic
- turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics **468**, 77–105.
- ALISEDA, A. & LASHERAS, J.C. 2006 Effect of buoyancy on the dynamics of a turbulent
 boundary layer laden with microbubbles. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 559, 307–334.
- ALISEDA, A. & LASHERAS, J.C. 2011 Preferential concentration and rise velocity reduction
 of bubbles immersed in a homogeneous and isotropic turbulent flow. *Physics of Fluids* 23 (9), 093301.
- BACHALO, W. D. & HOUSER, M. J. 1984 Phase/Doppler Spray Analyzer For Simultaneous
 Measurements Of Drop Size And Velocity Distributions. *Optical Engineering* 23 (5), 583–590.
- BEC, J., HOMANN, H. & RAY, S. S. 2014 Gravity-driven enhancement of heavy particle clustering in turbulent flow. *Physical Review Letters* **112** (18), 1–5, arXiv: 1401.1306.
- CHEN, L., GOTO, S. & VASSILICOS, J. C. 2006 Turbulent clustering of stagnation points and inertial particles. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 553, 143–154.
- CHEN, X., LIU, Z., CHEN, Y. & WANG, H. 2020 Analytical expression for predicting the reduced
 settling velocity of small particles in turbulence. *Environmental Fluid Mechanics* 20 (4),
 905–922.

- CLIFT, R., GRACE, J.R. & WEBER, M.E. 1978 Bubbles, drops, and particles, , vol. 11. Academic
 Press.
- COLEMAN, S. W. & VASSILICOS, J. C. 2009 A unified sweep-stick mechanism to explain particle
 clustering in two- and three-dimensional homogeneous, isotropic turbulence. *Physics of Fluids* **21** (11), 1–10.
- CROWE, C T, TROUTT, T R & CHUNG, J N 1996 Numerical models for two-phase turbulent
 flows. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 28 (1), 11–43.
- DE SOUZA, D., ZÜRNER, T. & MONCHAUX, R. 2021 Simple distinction of similar-looking inertial
 particles and fluid tracers on camera images. *Experiments in Fluids* 62 (5), 1–14.
- FALKINHOFF, F., OBLIGADO, M., BOURGOIN, M. & MININNI, P. D. 2020 Preferential
 Concentration of Free-Falling Heavy Particles in Turbulence. *Physical Review Letters* **125** (6), 1–8, arXiv: 2004.08618.
- GHOSH, S, DAVILA, J, HUNT, J. C. R., SRDIC, A, FERNANDO, H. J. S. & JONAS, P. R.
 2005 How turbulence enhances coalescence of settling particles with applications to rain
 in clouds. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences 461 (2062), 3059–3088.
- GOOD, G. H., GERASHCHENKO, S. & WARHAFT, Z. 2012 Intermittency and inertial particle
 entrainment at a turbulent interface: The effect of the large-scale eddies. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 694, 371–398.
- GOOD, G. H., IRELAND, P. J., BEWLEY, G. P., BODENSCHATZ, E., COLLINS, L. R. &
 WARHAFT, Z. 2014 Settling regimes of inertial particles in isotropic turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics **759** (August 2015), R3.
- GOTO, SUSUMU & VASSILICOS, J. C. 2008 Sweep-stick mechanism of heavy particle clustering
 in fluid turbulence. *Physical Review Letters* 100 (5), 1–4.
- GUSTAVSSON, K., VAJEDI, S. & MEHLIG, B. 2014 Clustering of particles falling in a turbulent
 flow. *Physical Review Letters* 112 (21), 1–5, arXiv: 1401.0513.
- HUCK, P. D., BATESON, C., VOLK, R., CARTELLIER, A., BOURGOIN, M. & ALISEDA, A. 2018
 The role of collective effects on settling velocity enhancement for inertial particles in turbulence. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 846, 1059–1075.
- JOHANSSON, A. V 1991 Proceedings of the Third European Turbulence Conference. Springer
 Science & Business Media.
- LI, C., LIM, K., BERK, T., ABRAHAM, A., HEISEL, M., GUALA, M., COLETTI, F. & HONG,
 J. 2021 Settling and clustering of snow particles in atmospheric turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 912, 1–24, arXiv: 2006.09502.
- MAXEY, M. R. 1987 The gravitational settling of aerosol particles in homogeneous turbulence
 and random flow fields. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 174, 441–465.
- MAZELLIER, N. & VASSILICOS, J. C. 2008 The turbulence dissipation constant is not universal
 because of its universal dependence on large-scale flow topology. *Physics of Fluids* 20 (1).
- MONCHAUX, R., BOURGOIN, M. & CARTELLIER, A. 2010 Preferential concentration of heavy
 particles: A Voronoï analysis. *Physics of Fluids* 22 (10).
- MONCHAUX, R., BOURGOIN, M. & CARTELLIER, A. 2012 Analyzing preferential concentration and clustering of inertial particles in turbulence. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 40 (June 2020), 1–18.
- MONCHAUX, R. & DEJOAN, A. 2017 Settling velocity and preferential concentration of heavy
 particles under two-way coupling effects in homogeneous turbulence. *Physical Review Fluids* 2 (10), 1–16.
- MORA, D. O. 2020 Clustering and settling dynamics of inertial particles under turbulence.
 Theses, Université Grenoble Alpes.
- MORA, DANIEL ODENS, ALISEDA, A, CARTELLIER, ALAIN & OBLIGADO, M 2019a Pitfalls
 measuring 1d inertial particle clustering. In Progress in Turbulence VIII: Proceedings of
 the iTi Conference in Turbulence 2018 8, pp. 221–226. Springer.
- MORA, D. O., MUÑIZ PLADELLORENS, E., RIERA TURRÓ, P., LAGAUZERE, M. & OBLIGADO,
 M. 2019b Energy cascades in active-grid-generated turbulent flows. *Physical Review Fluids* 4 (10), arXiv: 1903.04258.
- MORA, D. O. & OBLIGADO, M. 2020 Estimating the integral length scale on turbulent flows
 from the zero crossings of the longitudinal velocity fluctuation. *Experiments in Fluids*61 (9), 1–10, arXiv: 2005.06055.

³⁰

- MORA, D. O., OBLIGADO, M., ALISEDA, A. & CARTELLIER, A. 2021 Effect of Reλ and Rouse
 numbers on the settling of inertial droplets in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. *Physical Review Fluids* 6 (4), 1–19, arXiv: 2011.05150.
- 941 MYDLARSKI, L. 2017 A turbulent quarter century of active grids: From Makita (1991) to the 942 present. *Fluid Dynamics Research* **49** (6).
- 943 NIELSEN, P. 1993 Turbulence effects on the settling of suspended particles. Journal of
 944 Sedimentary Research 63 (5), 835–838.
- OBLIGADO, M., BRUN, C., SILVESTRINI, J. H. & SCHETTINI, E. B.C. 2022 Dissipation Scalings
 in the Turbulent Boundary Layer at Moderate Reθ. Flow, Turbulence and Combustion
 108 (1), 105–122.
- OBLIGADO, M., CARTELLIER, A., ALISEDA, A., CALMANT, T. & DE PALMA, N. 2020 Study
 on preferential concentration of inertial particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence via
 big-data techniques. *Physical Review Fluids* 5 (2), arXiv: 1907.07607.
- OBLIGADO, M., MISSAOUI, M., MONCHAUX, R., CARTELLIER, A. & BOURGOIN, M. 2011
 Reynolds number influence on preferential concentration of heavy particles in turbulent
 flows. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 318 (SECTION 5).
- OBLIGADO, M., TEITELBAUM, T., CARTELLIER, A., MININNI, P. & BOURGOIN, M. 2014
 Preferential concentration of heavy particles in turbulence. Journal of Turbulence 15 (5), 293-310.
- PETERSEN, A. J., BAKER, L. & COLETTI, F. 2019 Experimental study of inertial particles
 clustering and settling in homogeneous turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 864,
 925–970, arXiv: 1812.04055.
- POELMA, C., WESTERWEEL, J. & OOMS, G. 2007 Particle-fluid interactions in grid-generated
 turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 589, 315–351.
- PUGA, A. J. & LARUE, J. C. 2017 Normalized dissipation rate in a moderate Taylor Reynolds
 number flow. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 818, 184–204.
- PUJARA, N., DU CLOS, K. T., AYRES, S., VARIANO, E. A. & KARP-BOSS, L. 2021
 Measurements of trajectories and spatial distributions of diatoms (Coscinodiscus spp.) at dissipation scales of turbulence. *Experiments in Fluids* 62 (7), 1–15.
- REARTES, CHRISTIAN & MININNI, PABLO D. 2021 Settling and clustering of particles of
 moderate mass density in turbulence. *Physical Review Fluids* 6 (11), 1–21, arXiv:
 2102.01209.
- ROSA, B., PARISHANI, H., AYALA, O. & WANG, L. P. 2016 Settling velocity of small inertial
 particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence from high-resolution DNS. International
 Journal of Multiphase Flow 83, 217–231.
- SHAW, R. A. 2003 Particle-turbulence interactions in atmospheric clouds. Annual Review of
 Fluid Mechanics 35, 183–227.
- SQUIRES, K. D. & EATON, J. K. 1991 Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence.
 Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics 3, 1169–1178.
- SUMBEKOVA, S. 2016 Clustering of inertial sub -Kolmogorov particles: Structure of clusters and
 their dynamics. PhD thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes.
- SUMBEKOVA, S., ALISEDA, A., CARTELLIER, A. & BOURGOIN, M. 2016 Clustering and settling
 of inertial particles in turbulence. Springer Proceedings in Physics 185 (August 2018),
 475-482.
- SUMBEKOVA, S., CARTELLIER, A., ALISEDA, A. & BOURGOIN, M. 2017 Preferential concentration of inertial sub-Kolmogorov particles: The roles of mass loading of particles, Stokes numbers, and Reynolds numbers. *Physical Review Fluids* 2 (2), 1–19, arXiv: 1607.01256.
- TOM, J. & BRAGG, A. D. 2019 Multiscale preferential sweeping of particles settling in turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 871, 244–270, arXiv: 1812.08830.
- TOM, J., CARBONE, M. & BRAGG, A. D. 2022 How does two-way coupling modify particle
 settling and the role of multiscale preferential sweeping? *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*990 947, 1–33.
- WANG, L.-P. & MAXEY, M. R. 1993 Settling velocity and concentration distribution of heavy
 particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 256, 27–68.
- WANG, Y., LAM, K. M. & LU, Y. 2018 Settling velocity of fine heavy particles in turbulent
 open channel flow. *Physics of Fluids* **30** (9).

- YANG, C. Y. & LEI, U. 1998 The role of the turbulent scales in the settling velocity of heavy
 particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 371, 179–205.
- YANG, T.-S. & SHY, S. 2021 The preferential accumulation and the settling velocity of small
 heavy particles in Taylor–Couette flows. *Journal of Mechanics* 37 (November), 651–658.
- 999 YANG, T. S. & SHY, S. S. 2003 The settling velocity of heavy particles in an aqueous near-1000 isotropic turbulence. *Physics of Fluids* **15** (4), 868–880.
- 1001 ZHOU, Q. & CHENG, N. S. 2009 Experimental investigation of single particle settling in 1002 turbulence generated by oscillating grid. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 149 (1-3), 289– 1003 300.