

First observation of ²⁸O

Y Kondo, N.L Achouri, H. Al Falou, L Atar, T Aumann, H Baba, K Boretzky, C Caesar, D Calvet, H Chae, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Y Kondo, N.L Achouri, H. Al Falou, L Atar, T Aumann, et al.. First observation of $^{28}\text{O}.$ Nature, 2023, 620 (7976), pp.965-970. 10.1038/s41586-023-06352-6 . hal-04196834

HAL Id: hal-04196834 https://hal.science/hal-04196834

Submitted on 9 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

First observation of ²⁸O

Y. Kondo^{*}, ¹ N.L. Achouri, ² H. Al Falou, ^{3,4} L. Atar, ⁵ T. Aumann, ^{5,6,7} H. Baba, ⁸ K. Boretzky, ⁶ C. Caesar, ^{5,6} D. Calvet, ⁹
H. Chae, ¹⁰ N. Chiga, ⁸ A. Corsi, ⁹ F. Delaunay, ² A. Delbart, ⁹ Q. Deshayes, ² Zs. Dombrádi, ¹¹ C.A. Douma, ¹² A. Ekström, ¹³
Z. Elekes, ¹¹ C. Forssén, ¹³ I. Gašparić, ^{14,8,5} J.-M. Gheller, ⁹ J. Gibelin, ² A. Gillibert, ⁹ G. Hagen, ^{15,16} M.N. Harakeh, ^{6,12}
A. Hirayama, ¹ C.R. Hoffman, ¹⁷ M. Holl, ^{5,6} A. Horvat, ⁶ Á. Horváth, ¹⁸ J.W. Hwang, ^{19,20} T. Isobe, ⁸ W.G. Jiang, ¹³ J. Kahlbow, ^{5,8}
N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki, ¹² S. Kawase, ²¹ S. Kim, ^{19,20} K. Kisamori, ⁸ T. Kobayashi, ²² D. Körper, ⁶ S. Koyama, ²³ I. Kuti, ¹¹
V. Lapoux, ⁹ S. Lindberg, ¹³ F.M. Marqués, ² S. Masuoka, ²⁴ J. Mayer, ²⁵ K. Miki, ²² T. Murakami, ²⁶ M. Najafi, ¹² T. Nakamura, ¹
K. Nakano, ²¹ N. Nakatsuka, ²⁶ T. Nilsson, ¹³ A. Obertelli, ⁹ K. Ogata, ^{27,28,29} F. de Oliveira Santos, ³⁰ N.A. Orr, ² H. Otsu, ⁸ T. Otsuka, ^{23,8} T. Oraki, ¹ V. Panin, ⁸ T. Papaphrock, ^{16,15} S. Pagehelis, ⁵ A. Pagel, ^{2,30} D. Pagei, ⁵ A. T. Saito, ¹ T.Y. Saito, ²³ ⁸ K. Nakaho, N. Nakatsuka, T. Misson, A. Oberteni, K. Ogata, ¹⁰ F. de Onverta Santos, N.A. Oh, H. Otsu,
⁹ T. Otsuka,^{23,8} T. Ozaki,¹ V. Panin,⁸ T. Papenbrock,^{16,15} S. Paschalis,⁵ A. Revel,^{2,30} D. Rossi,⁵ A.T. Saito,¹ T.Y. Saito,²³
¹⁰ M. Sasano,⁸ H. Sato,⁸ Y. Satou,²⁰ H. Scheit,⁵ F. Schindler,⁵ P. Schrock,²⁴ M. Shikata,¹ N. Shimizu,³¹ Y. Shimizu,⁸ H. Simon,⁶
¹¹ D. Sohler,¹¹ O. Sorlin,³⁰ L. Stuhl,^{19,8} Z.H. Sun,^{16,15} S. Takeuchi,¹ M. Tanaka,³² M. Thoennessen,³³ H. Törnqvist,^{6,5}
¹² Y. Togano,^{34,1} T. Tomai,¹ J. Tscheuschner,⁵ J. Tsubota,¹ N. Tsunoda,²⁴ T. Uesaka,⁸ Y. Utsuno,³⁵ I. Vernon,³⁶ H. Wang,⁸ Z. Yang,⁸ M. Yasuda,¹ K. Yoneda,⁸ and S. Yoshida³⁷ 13 ¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 O-Okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan. ²LPC Caen UMR6534, Université de Caen Normandie, ENSICAEN, 14

CNRS/IN2P3, F-14000 Caen, France. ³Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon. ⁴Lebanese-French University of Technology and Applied Science, Deddeh, Lebanon. ⁵Institut für 16 Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany. ⁶GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany. ⁷Helmholtz Research Academy Hesse for FAIR, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany. ⁸RIKEN Nishina Center, Hirosawa 2-1, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan. ⁹Irfu, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. ¹⁰IBS, 55, expo-ro, yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34126, Republic of Korea. ¹¹MTA Atomki, 4001 Debrecen, Hungary. ¹²ESRIG, University of Groningen, 17 Zernikelaan 25, 9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands. ¹³Institutionen för Fysik, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola, 412 96 Göteborg, Sweden. ¹⁴Ruder Bošković Institute.Bijenička cesta 19 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. ¹⁵Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA. ¹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA. ¹⁷Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA. ¹⁸Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter Sétány 1/A, 20 H-1117 Budapest, Hungary. ¹⁹Center for Exotic Nuclear Studies, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 34126, Republic of Korea. ²⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul 22 National University, Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea.²¹ Department of Advanced Energy Engineering Science, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 23 819-0395, Japan. ²²Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan. ²³Unversity of Tokyo, Tokyo 1130033, Japan. ²⁴Center for Nuclear Study, University of 24 Tokyo, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan.²⁵Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, 50937 Köln, Germany.²⁶Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 25 606-8502, Japan. ²⁷ Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan. ²⁸ Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Japan. ²⁹ Department of 26 Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan. 30 Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Bvd Henri Becquerel, 14076 Caen, France. 31 Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8577, Japan. 32 Department of Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan. 33 Facility for 28 Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA. ³⁴Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima, Tokyo 172-8501, Japan. ³⁵Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan. ³⁶Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. 37 Liberal and General Education Center, Institute for Promotion of Higher Academic Education, Utsunomiya University, Tochigi, Japan.

Subjecting a physical system to extreme conditions is one of the means often employed to obtain a better understanding and deeper insight into its organization and structure. In the case of the atomic nucleus, one such approach is to investigate isotopes that have very different neutron-to-proton (N/Z) ratios than in stable nuclei. Light neutron-rich isotopes exhibit the most asymmetric N/Z ratios and those lying beyond the limits of binding, which undergo spontaneous neutron emission and exist only as very short-lived resonances ($\sim 10^{-21}$ seconds), provide the most stringent tests of modern nuclear structure theories. Here we report on the first observation of ²⁸O and ²⁷O via their decay into ²⁴O and four and three neutrons. The ²⁸O nucleus is of particular interest as, with 8 protons and 20 neutrons, it is expected in the standard shell model picture of nuclear structure to be one of a relatively small number of so-called "doubly-magic" nuclei. Both ²⁷O and ²⁸O were found to exist as narrow low-lying resonances and their decay energies are compared here to the results of sophisticated theoretical modelling, including a large-scale shell model calculation and a newly developed statistical approach. In both cases, the underlying nuclear interactions were derived from effective field theories of quantum chromodynamics. Finally, it is shown that the cross section for the production of ²⁸O from a ²⁹F beam is consistent with it not exhibiting a closed N = 20 shell structure.

32 33 is the investigation of rare isotopes with large N/Z imbalances. The structure of such nuclei provides for strong tests of our theories, including most recently, sophisticated ab initio 35 type approaches whereby the underlying interactions between 36 the constituent nucleons are constructed from first principles approaches (see, for example, ref.¹). 38

Owing to the strong nuclear force, nuclei remain bound to 39 the addition of many more neutrons than protons and the most 57 41 extremely N/Z asymmetries are found for light neutron-rich ⁴² nuclei (Fig. 1a). Here, beyond the limits of nuclear binding - the so-called neutron dripline — nuclei can exist as very 43 ⁴⁴ short-lived (~ 10^{-21} seconds) resonances, which decay by 45 spontaneous neutron emission, with their energies and life-⁴⁶ times dependent on the underlying structure of the system. ⁴⁷ Experimentally, such nuclei can only be reached for the light-48 est systems (Fig.1a), where the location of the neutron dripline 65 owing to the large shell gaps, they can be considered, when

One of the most active areas of present day nuclear physics $_{49}$ has been established up to neon $(Z = 10)^2$ and the heaviest ⁵⁰ neutron unbound nucleus observed for fluorine $(Z = 9)^{28}$ F $_{51}$ (ref.³). Arguably the most extreme system, if confirmed to ⁵² exist as a resonance, would be the tetra-neutron for which, a 53 narrow near threshold continuum structure has been found in ⁵⁴ a recent missing mass measurement⁴. Here we report on the ⁵⁵ direct observation of ²⁸O (N/Z = 2.5), which is unbound to 4 ⁵⁶ neutron decay, and of neighbouring ²⁷O (3 neutron unbound).

> The nucleus ²⁸O has long been of interest^{5,6} as, in the stan-58 dard shell-model picture of nuclear structure, it is expected to ⁵⁹ be "doubly magic". Indeed, it is very well established that 60 for stable and near stable nuclei the proton and neutron num-61 bers 2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126 correspond to spherical closed 62 shells^{7,8}. Such nuclei represent a cornerstone in our under-63 standing of the structure of the many-body nuclear system. In 64 particular, as considerable energy is required to excite them

68 69 70 71 72 73 decades (see e.g., ref.⁹). 74

Of the very limited number of nuclei that are expected to 136 - 0.5 MeV decay energy (see Methods). 75 be doubly magic based on the classical shell closures, ²⁸O is, 76 given its extreme N/Z asymmetry, the only one that is in prin- 137 Analysis and results 77 ciple experimentally accessible that has yet to be observed. In 78 79 80 The remaining candidate, two-neutron unbound nucleus ¹⁰He 82 (Z = 2, N = 8; N/Z = 4), has been observed as a well-83 defined resonance but its magicity or otherwise has yet to be 84 established (ref.¹²). 85

86 to disappear in the neutron-rich Ne, Na and Mg (Z = 10-87 12) isotopes (see, for example, refs^{13,14}). This region is referred to as the "Island of Inversion" (IoI)¹⁵, whereby the en-89 ergy gap between the neutron sd- and pf-shell orbitals, rather than being well pronounced (Fig. 1b), is weakened or even vanishes and configurations with neutrons excited into the pf-shell orbitals dominate the ground state (gs) of these nu-93 clei as shown schematically in Fig. 1c. The IoI nuclei with such configurations are well deformed, rather than spheri-95 cal, and exhibit low-lying excited states. Very recently, the 96 IoI has been shown to extend to the fluorine isotopes ^{28,29}F $(N = 19, 20)^{3,16-18}$ which neighbour ²⁸O. On the other hand, the last particle-bound oxygen isotope, ²⁴O has been found to 98 be doubly magic, with a new closed shell forming at N = 16(refs. $^{19-23}$). As such, the structural character of the more 101 neutron-rich oxygen isotopes and, in particular, ²⁸O is an in-102 triguing question. To date, however, only 25,26 O (N = 17, 18) have been observed, as single- and two-neutron unbound sys-104 tems respectively²⁴⁻²⁷, with the latter existing as an extremely 105 narrow, barely unbound resonance. 106

The present investigation focussed on the search for ^{27,28}O, 107 produced in high-energy reactions, through the direct detec-108 tion of their decay products $-{}^{24}O$ and 3 or 4 neutrons. Critical 109 to the success of this work was the capability of the RIKEN RIBF (RI Beam Factory) to produce intense neutron dripline 111 beams coupled to a thick active liquid hydrogen target system 112 and a high performance multi-neutron detection array. 113

Experiment 114

115 116 118 119 120 121 123 124 decay energy resolution. 125

126

66 modelling nuclei in their mass region, as an "inert" core with 127 charged fragments and fast neutrons – were detected and their no internal degrees of freedom. Such an approach has his- 128 momenta determined, using the SAMURAI spectrometer²⁹ torically enabled more tractable calculations to be made than 129 including the three large-area segmented plastic scintillator attempting to model an A-body (A = Z + N) nucleus from the 130 walls of the NeuLAND³⁰ and NEBULA arrays. An overall full ensemble of nucleons. Indeed, this approach has been a 131 detection efficiency for the 3 and 4 neutron decay of around fundamental premise of the shell model methods which have $_{132}$ 2 and 0.4% was achieved for decay energies of ~0.5 MeV enabled an extremely wide variety of structural properties of 133 (Extended Data Fig. 1). The decay energies were reconnuclei to be described with good accuracy over a number of 134 structed from the measured momenta using the invariant-mass 135 technique with a resolution (FWHM) of around 0.2 MeV at

The ²⁴O fragments were identified by the magnetic rigidrecent years, the doubly magic character of the two other such neutron-rich nuclei, ⁷⁸Ni (Z = 28, N = 50; N/Z = 1.8)¹⁰ and ¹³⁵RJ, chergy 1000 and 1100 regime for the neutrons incident on the 132 Sn (Z = 50, N = 82; N/Z = 1.6)¹¹, has been confirmed. ¹⁴¹ NeuLAND and NEBULA arrays were identified based on the 142 time-of-flight and energy deposited in the plastic scintillators. 143 Importantly, the multi-neutron detection required the applica-144 tion of dedicated off-line analysis procedures in order to reject 145 crosstalk (see Methods) – that is, events in which a neutron is The N = 20 shell closure has long been known, however, ₁₄₆ scattered between and registered in two or more scintillators.

> 147 In the analysis, the decay neutrons were denoted n_1 , n_2 , $_{148}$ · · · by ascending order of the two-body relative energy E_{0i} ¹⁴⁹ between ²⁴O and n_i – such that $E_{01} < E_{02} < E_{03} < E_{04}$ ¹⁵⁰ (Fig. 2d). The ²⁸O decay energy, E_{01234} , reconstructed from 151 the measured momentum vectors of the five decay particles, 152 is shown in Fig. 2a. A narrow peak is clearly observed at $_{153}$ ~0.5 MeV and may be assigned to be the ²⁸O ground state. 154 As a small fraction of crosstalk events could not be elimi-155 nated by the rejection procedures care must be taken to under-¹⁵⁶ stand their contribution to the E_{01234} spectrum. In particular, $_{157}$ ²⁴O+3*n* events, in which one of the neutrons creates crosstalk 158 and is not identified as such in the analysis can mimic true ¹⁵⁹ ²⁸O decay. In this context, in order to provide a complete and ¹⁶⁰ consistent description of all the ²⁴O+xn decay energy spec-161 tra, a full Monte-Carlo simulation was constructed (see Meth-162 ods). As shown in Fig. 2a the contribution from the residual 163 cross talk events is found to be rather limited in magnitude in ¹⁶⁴ the ²⁴O+4*n* decay energy spectrum and, moreover, produces 165 a very broad distribution .

> The decay of ²⁸O was investigated by examining the corre-¹⁶⁷ lations in the ²⁴O plus neutrons subsystems (see Methods). In ¹⁶⁸ particular, the three-body ($^{24}O+n_1+n_2$) partial decay energy ¹⁶⁹ E_{012} (Extended Data Fig. 2a) was reconstructed from the ²⁴O $_{170}$ + 4*n* data set. The corresponding spectrum exhibits a sharp ¹⁷¹ threshold peak arising from ²⁶O_{gs}, which is known to have $_{172}$ a decay energy of only 18(5) keV²⁷. This observation clearly ¹⁷³ indicates that ²⁸O sequentially decays through $^{26}O_{gs}$ as shown 174 by the arrows A and B in Fig. 2e.

We have also observed, in the ${}^{24}O+3n$ channel, a ${}^{27}O$ reso-175 The neutron-unbound ^{27,28}O were produced via proton in- 176 nance for the first time, as may be seen in the four-body decay duced nucleon knockout reactions from a 235 MeV per nu- $_{177}$ energy (E_{0123}) spectrum of Fig. 2b. As confirmed by the simucleon beam of ²⁹F. As depicted in Extended Data Fig. 1 the $_{178}$ lations, which are able to simultaneously describe the $^{24}O+3n$ ²⁹F ions were characterised and tracked onto a thick (151 mm) $_{179}$ and 4n decay energy spectra, the well populated peak-like liquid hydrogen reaction target using plastic scintillators and 180 structure below ~0.5 MeV corresponds to ²⁸O events where multi-wire drift chambers (MWDCs). The hydrogen target 181 only three of the four emitted neutrons are detected. The was surrounded by a time projection chamber (MINOS²⁸) ₁₈₂ peak at $E_{0123} \sim 1$ MeV, however, cannot be generated by such which allowed for the determination of the reaction vertex. 183 events and must arise from a ²⁷O resonance. This was con-This combination provided for both the maximum possible 184 firmed by the analysis of the data acquired with a ²⁹Ne beam luminosity together with the ability to maintain a good ^{27,28}O ₁₈₅ (see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 2e) where ²⁷O can be 186 produced by two-proton removal but not ²⁸O as this requires The forward focussed beam velocity reaction products -187 the addition of a neutron. The ²⁷O resonance also decays se-



Fig. 1 | Nuclear chart and shell structure. a) Nuclear chart up to Z = 18 showing the stable and short-lived (β -decaying) nuclei. The experimentally established neutron dripline is shown by the thick blue line. Known doubly-magic nuclei are also indicated. b) Schematic illustration of the neutron configuration for a nucleus with a closed N = 20 shell. c) The neutron configuration involving particle-hole excitations across a quenched N = 20 shell gap.

Figs.2c and d). 190

The decay energies of the ^{27,28}O resonances were derived ²³⁰ limit of the width (see Methods). 191 from a fit of the E_{0123} spectrum with the condition that the par-192 tial decay energy satisfies $E_{012} < 0.08$ MeV (Fig. 2c) – that 231 Comparison to theory 193 is, decay via the ²⁶O ground-state was selected so as to mini-²³² The experimental ground-state energies of the oxygen iso-194 195 196 197 Data Fig. 1). The fitting employed lineshapes which incor- 236 including those with continuum effects^{35,36}. 199 200 ing from the residual crosstalk (see Methods). 201

In the case of ^{28}O a decay 202 203 204 confidence interval). The cross section for single-proton 243 The large-scale shell model calculations were undertaken 205 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 state has been observed. 219

 ^{27}O In the case of a decay 220 221 222 223 experimental resolution of 0.22 MeV (FWHM). Nevertheless, 261 admixtures of configurations involving neutron excitations in $_{224}$ it was possible to obtain an upper limit on the width $-_{262}$ the pf-shell orbitals as expected for nuclei in the IoI. This is 225 0.18 MeV (68% confidence interval) – through a fit of a 263 supported by the measured cross section as discussed below. 226 gated E_{012} spectrum for the much higher statistics ²⁴O and ₂₆₄ First-principle calculations were performed using the

188 quentially via ²⁶Ogs as shown by the arrows B and C in Fig. 2e 227 two-neutron coincidence events, as shown in Extended Data from the analysis of the partial decay energies (Extended Data 228 Fig. 2f. The spin and parity (J^{π}) of the resonance may be tentatively assigned to be $3/2^+$ or $7/2^-$ based on the upper

mize the uncertainties due to contributions from higher lying 233 topes ²⁵⁻²⁸O are summarized in Fig. 3 and compared to ²⁸O resonances which were not identified in the present mea-surements owing to the limited detection efficiency (Extended $_{235}$ (χ EFT)^{32,33,37-40} and large-scale shell model calculations^{9,34} We focus porated the effects of the experimental response functions, as 237 on large-scale shell-model and coupled-cluster calculations, derived from the simulations, including the contribution aris- 238 where the latter is augmented with a novel statistical method. 239 Both techniques include explicitly three-nucleon forces which energy of 240 are known to play a key role in describing the structure of $E_{01234}=0.46^{+0.05}_{-0.04}(\text{stat})\pm 0.02(\text{syst})$ MeV was found with ²⁴¹ neutron-rich nuclei, including the oxygen isotopes and the lo-an upper limit of the width of the resonance of 0.7 MeV (68% ²⁴² cation of the Z = 8 neutron dripline at ²⁴O (refs.⁴¹⁻⁴³).

removal from ²⁹F populating the resonance was deduced to be ²⁴⁴ using the new EEdf3 interaction which was constructed based $1.36^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$ (stat) ± 0.13 (syst) mb. The systematic uncertainties $_{245}$ on χ EFT (see Methods). Since the calculations employ a for the decay energy and the width were dominated by the $_{246}$ model space which includes the pf shell orbitals, the disprecise conditions employed in the neutron crosstalk rejection $_{247}$ appearance of the N = 20 shell closure can be naturally procedures, while the principal contribution to that for the 248 described. The EEdf3 interaction is a modified version of cross section arose from the uncertainty in the neutron detec- 249 EEdf1^{32,33}, which predicts correctly the neutron dripline at F, tion efficiency. It may be noted that if the resonance observed 250 Ne and Na, as well as a relatively low-lying ²⁹F excited state¹⁷ here is an excited state of ²⁸O (presumably the 2⁺ level), then $_{251}$ and the appreciable occupancy of the neutron $2p_{3/2}$ orbital^{3,18}. the ground state must lie even closer to threshold and the 252 The EEdf3 interaction, which includes the effects of the EFT excitation energy of the former must be less than 0.46 MeV. 253 three-nucleon forces⁴⁴, provides a reasonable description of This, however, is very much lower than theory suggests 254 the trends in the masses of the oxygen isotopes. However, as (2 MeV or more), even when the N = 20 shell-closure is 255 may be seen in Fig. 3 it predicts somewhat higher 27,28 O enerabsent (see below). As such, it is concluded that the ground 256 gies (~1 MeV) than found in the experiment. The calculated $_{257}$ sum of the occupation numbers for the neutron pf-shell orenergy of 258 bitals is 2.5 (1.4) for ²⁸O (²⁷O) and for the $1d_{3/2}$ orbital 2.0 $E_{0123} = 1.09 \pm 0.04 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.02 (\text{syst})$ MeV was found. The 259 (2.1), which are consistent with a collapse of the N = 20 shell width of the resonance was comparable to the estimated 260 closure. The EEdf3 calculations show that ²⁸O_{gs} has large



Fig. 2 | Decay energy spectra and decay scheme. a) Five-body decay energy (E_{01234}) spectrum for ²⁴O+4n events. The red-solid histogram shows the best-fit result taking into account the experimental response function. The dotted histogram shows the contribution arising from residual crosstalk that survives the rejection procedures (see Methods). b) Four-body decay energy (E_{0123}) spectrum for ²⁴O+3*n* events. c) Same as b, but gated by the partial decay energy $E_{012} < 0.08$ MeV. The red-dashed histograms represent the contributions from ²⁸O and ²⁷O events, and the red-solid histogram shows the sum. **d**) Definition of the partial decay energies. **e**) Decay scheme of the unbound oxygen isotopes. The newly observed resonances and their decays are shown in red.

265 coupled-cluster (CC) method guided by history matching 289 are valuable anchors for theoretical approaches based on $(HM)^{45-47}$ to explore the parameter space of the 17 low- 290 χ EFT. energy constants (LECs) in the χ EFT description of the two- 291 268 269 270 271 272 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 ues $\Delta E(^{27,28}\text{O})=0.63\pm0.06(\text{stat})\pm0.03(\text{syst})$ MeV and 304 excited states at 0.71 MeV. 281 $\Delta E(^{28,24}\text{O})=0.46^{+0.05}_{-0.04}(\text{stat})\pm0.02(\text{syst})$ MeV, located at the 305 The remaining theoretical predictions are based on χEFT 282 edge of the 68% credible region, are consistent with the CC 306 interactions. The valence-space in-medium similarity renor-²⁸³ ppd. However, it is far enough away from the maximum to ³⁰⁷ malization group (VS-IMSRG)³⁷ employs the 1.8/2.0 (EM) ²⁸⁴ suggest that only a few finely-tuned chiral interactions may be ³⁰⁸ EFT potential⁴⁴. The results for the self-consistent Green's ²⁸⁵ able to reproduce the ²⁷O and ²⁸O energies. In addition, the ³⁰⁹ function (SCGF) approach are shown for the NNLO_{sat}⁴⁸ and ³¹⁰ NN+3N(lnl) potentials³⁸. The coupled-cluster calculation (Λ - 287 to 24 O are relatively large, demonstrating that the measured 311 CCSD(T)³⁹) using NNLO_{sat} is also shown. Except for the re-

In Fig. 3 the predictions of a range of other models are disand three-nucleon interactions. HM identifies the region of 292 played. The USDB⁹ effective interaction (constructed within parameter space for which the emulated CC method generates 293 the sd shell) provides for arguably the most reliable predicnon-implausible results (see Methods). A reliable low- $_{294}$ tions of the properties of sd-shell nuclei. The continuum shell statistic sample of 121 different LEC parameterizations was 295 model (CSM)³⁵ and the Gamow shell model (GSM)³⁶ include extracted for which the CC posterior predictive distribution 296 the effects of the continuum, which should be important for (ppd) was computed for the ground-state energies of ^{27,28}O, ₂₉₇ dripline and unbound nuclei. The shell-model calculation emwhich are shown in Fig. 3. The predicted 27,28 O energies are $_{298}$ ploying the SDPF-M interaction³⁴ includes the pf shell orcorrelated, as is clearly seen in the plot of energy distributions 299 bitals in its model space, which should be important if either displayed in Extended Data Fig. 3. From this, the median val- 300 or both ^{27,28}O lie within the IoI. All the calculations, except ues and 68% credible regions were obtained for the ²⁷O-²⁸O ₃₀₁ those with the SDPF-M interaction, predict a J^{π} = 3/2⁺ ²⁷O_{gs}. and ²⁸O-²⁴O energy differences: $\Delta E(^{27,28}O)=0.11^{-0.39}_{+0.36}$ MeV ₃₀₂ In the case of the SDPF-M, a 3/2⁻ ground state is found with and $\Delta E(^{28,24}O)=2.1^{-1.3}_{+1.2}$ MeV. The experimental val- ³⁰³ essentially degenerate 3/2⁺ (energy plotted in Fig. 3) and 7/2⁻

288 decay energies of the extremely neutron-rich isotopes ^{27,28}O ³¹² sults obtained using the GSM, all of the calculations shown $_{313}$ predict higher energies than found here for 27 O and 28 O.



Fig. 3 | Ground-state energies with respect to ²⁴O. Experiment is shown by the filled circles where the values for $^{27,28}O$ are the present results and those for ^{25,26}O are taken from the atomic mass evaluation³¹. The experimental uncertainties are smaller than the ³⁶⁴ (ref.³). symbol size. Comparison is made with predictions of shell-model calculations employing the EEdf $3^{32,33}$, USDB⁹ and SDPF-M³⁴ (see ₃₆₅ **Conclusions** text for ²⁷O) interactions, the coupled-cluster method with the statistical approach (CC) and shell-model calculations incorporating continuum effects (CSM³⁵ and GSM³⁶). Also shown are the predictions of *ab initio* approaches (VS-IMSRG³⁷, SCGF³⁸, and Λ-CCSD(T)³⁹). The vertical bars for CC denote 68% credible intervals. The shaded band for GSM shows the uncertainties owing to 370 *pf*-continuum couplings.

314 316 317 318 319 322 323 324 325 326 theoretical calculations predict $J^{\pi} = 5/2^+$ or $1/2^+$ for ${}^{29}F_{gs}$ acter. This result suggests that the island of inversion extends (see, for example, refs.^{3,32,33,49-51}), the momentum distribu-³⁸⁹ beyond ${}^{28,29}F$ into the oxygen isotopes. 327 328 ion has been investigated (Extended Data Fig. 4) and was 329 found to be consistent with proton removal from the $1d_{5/2}$ 390 330 orbital (see Methods), leading to a $5/2^+$ assignment. The ra- 391 331 tio of the measured to theoretical single-particle cross section ³⁹² 332 provides for an experimentally deduced spectroscopic fac- 393 333 tor of $C^2S=0.48^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$ (stat) ± 0.05 (syst). Such an appreciable ³⁹⁴ 334 strength indicates that the ${}^{28}O$ neutron configuration resembles quite strongly that of 29 F. This value may be compared to $_{_{397}}$ that of 0.68 derived from the EEdf3 shell model calculations 398 337 (where the center-of-mass correction factor⁵² $(29/28)^2$ has ₃₉₉ 338 $_{339}$ been applied). The 30% difference between the experimen- $_{400}$ tal C^2S as compared to theory, is in line with the well-known 401

reduction factor observed in (p, 2p) and (e, e'p) reactions⁵³. 342 Importantly, the EEdf3 calculations predict admixtures of the ground state wave function of ²⁹F with *sd*-closed-shell con-343 figurations of only some 12%. Consequently, even when the 344 neutrons in 28 O are confined to the *sd* shell a spectroscopic 345 346 factor of only 0.13 is obtained. As such, it is concluded that, $_{347}$ as in 29 F, the *pf*-shell neutron configurations play a major role ³⁴⁸ in ²⁸O and that the N = 20 shell closure disappears. Conse-³⁴⁹ quently the IoI extends to ²⁸O and it is not a doubly-magic 350 nucleus.

More effort will be required to properly quantify the char-351 acter of the structure of 28 O and the neutron *pf*-shell con-352 353 figurations. In this context, the determination of excitation energy of the first 2^+ state is the next step which may be envisaged experimentally¹⁷. The EEdf3 calculations predict an excitation energy of 2.097 MeV, which is close to that 357 of ~2.5 MeV computed by the particle rotor model assum-³⁵⁸ ing moderate deformation⁵⁴. Both predictions are much $_{359}$ lower than the energies found in doubly-magic nuclei – e.g., ³⁶⁰ 6.917 MeV in ¹⁶O and 4.7 MeV in ²⁴O (refs.^{21,23}). A complementary probe of the neutron sd - pf-shell gap, which is 361 within experimental reach, is the energy difference between 362 ³⁶³ the positive- and negative-parity states of ²⁷O as seen in ²⁸F

We have reported here on the first observation of the 366 ³⁶⁷ extremely neutron-rich oxygen isotopes ^{27,28}O. Both nuclei were found to exist as relatively low-lying resonances. These 368 observations were made possible using a state-of-the-art setup 369 which permitted the direct detection of 3 and 4 neutrons. From an experimental point of view, the multi-neutron-decay spec-³⁷² troscopy demonstrated here opens up new perspectives in the 373 investigation of other extremely neutron-rich systems lying 374 beyond the neutron dripline and the study of multi-neutron We now turn to the question of whether the N = 20 shell 375 correlations. Comparison of the measured energies of $^{27,28}O$ closure occurs in ²⁸O. Specifically, the measured cross sec- ³⁷⁶ with respect to ²⁴O with a broad range of theoretical prediction for single-proton removal from ²⁹F may be used to de- 377 tions, including two approaches employing nuclear interacduce the corresponding spectroscopic factor (C^2S) – a mea- 378 tions derived from effective field theories of quantum chrosure of the degree of overlap between initial and final state 379 modynamics, showed that in almost all cases theory underwave functions. As noted in the Introduction, the N = 20 300 binds both systems. The statistical coupled-cluster calculashell closure disappears in ²⁹F and the ground state is dom-³⁸¹ tions indicated that the energies of ^{27,28}O can provide valuinated by neutron pf-shell configurations^{3,16–18}. As such, ³⁸² able constraints of such *ab initio* approaches and, in particuif the neutron configuration of ²⁸O is very similar to ²⁹F ³⁸³ lar, the interactions employed. Finally, while ²⁸O is expected and the Z = 8 shell closure is rigid, the spectroscopic fac- 384 in the standard shell-model picture to be a doubly-magic nutor for proton removal will be close to unity. The spectro- 385 cleus (Z = 8 and N = 20), the single-proton removal cross scopic factor was deduced using the distorted-wave impulse 386 section measured here, when compared to theory, was found approximation (DWIA) approach (see Methods). As recent 387 to be consistent with it not having a closed neutron shell char-

- 1. Hergert, H. A Guided Tour of ab initio Nuclear Many-Body Theory. Front. Phys. 8, (2020).
- Ahn, D. S. et al. Location of the Neutron Dripline at Fluorine 2. and Neon. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 212501 (2019).
- Revel, A. et al. Extending the Southern Shore of the Island of Inversion to ²⁸F. Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 152502 (2020).
- Duer, M. et al. Observation of a correlated free four-neutron system. Nature 606, 678–682 (2022).
- Sakurai, H. et al. Evidence for particle stability of ³¹F and par-5. ticle instability of ²⁵N and ²⁸O. Phys. Lett. B 448, 180-184 (1999).

- 402 near the N = 20 shell closure. Phys. Lett. B **409**, 64–70 (1997). 471 403
- 7. Mayer, M. G. On Closed Shells in Nuclei. II. Phys. Rev. 75, 472 404 1969-1970 (1949). 405
- 8. Haxel, O., Jensen, J. H. D. & Suess, H. E. On the "Magic Num- 474 406 bers" in Nuclear Structure. Phys. Rev. 75, 1766-1766 (1949). 407
- 9. Brown, B. A. & Richter, W. A. New "USD" Hamiltonians for 476 408 the sd shell. Phys. Rev. C 74, 034315 (2006). 409
- 10. Taniuchi, R. et al. ⁷⁸Ni revealed as a doubly magic stronghold ⁴⁷⁸ 37. 410 against nuclear deformation. Nature 569, 53-58 (2019). 411
- Jones, K. L. et al. The magic nature of ¹³²Sn explored through ⁴⁸⁰ 38. 412 the single-particle states of 133 Sn. Nature **465**, 454–457 (2010). ⁴⁸¹ 413
- Matta, A. et al. New findings on structure and production of 482 414 12. ¹⁰He from ¹¹Li with the $(d, {}^{\overline{3}}$ He) reaction. <u>Phys. Rev. C</u> 92, 415
- 041302(R) (2015). and references therein. 416
- 13. Orr, N. A. et al. New mass measurements of neutron-rich nuclei 485 417 near N=20. Phys. Lett. B 258, 29-34 (1991). 418
- Otsuka, T., Gade, A., Sorlin, O., Suzuki, T. & Utsuno, Y. Evo-487 419 14. lution of shell structure in exotic nuclei. Rev. Mod. Phys. 92, 420
- 015002 (2020). 421 15. Warburton, E. K., Becker, J. A. & Brown, B. A. Mass systemat-422 ics for A=29–44 nuclei: The deformed A~32 region. Phys. Rev. 423
- C 41, 1147-1166 (1990). 424 Gaudefroy, L. et al. Direct Mass Measurements of ¹⁹B, ²²C, 16. 425
- ²⁹F, ³¹Ne, ³⁴Na and Other Light Exotic Nuclei. Phys. Rev. Lett. 426
- 109, 202503 (2012). 427 17. Doornenbal, P. et al. Low-Z shore of the "island of inversion" 428
- and the reduced neutron magicity toward ²⁸O. Phys. Rev. C 95, 429 041301 (2017). 430
- 18. Bagchi, S. et al. Two-Neutron Halo is Unveiled in ²⁹F. Phys. 431 Rev. Lett. 124, 222504 (2020). 432
- Ozawa, A., Kobayashi, T., Suzuki, T., Yoshida, K. & Tanihata, I. 19 433 New Magic Number, N = 16, near the Neutron Drip Line. Phys. 434 Rev. Lett. 84, 5493-5495 (2000). 435
- 20. Otsuka, T. et al. Magic Numbers in Exotic Nuclei and Spin-436 Isospin Properties of the NN Interaction. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 437 082502 (2001). 438
- 439 21. Hoffman, C. R. et al. Evidence for a doubly magic ²⁴O. Phys. Lett. B 672, 17-21 (2009). 440
- Kanungo, R. et al. One-Neutron Removal Measurement Reveals 441 2.2. ²⁴O as a New Doubly Magic Nucleus. Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 442 152501 (2009). 443
- 23. Tshoo, K. *et al.* N = 16 Spherical Shell Closure in ²⁴O. Phys. 444 Rev. Lett. 109, 022501 (2012). 445
- Hoffman, C. R. *et al.* Determination of the N = 16 Shell Closure 24. 446 at the Oxygen Drip Line. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 152502 (2008). 447
- Lunderberg, E. et al. Evidence for the Ground-State Resonance 448 of ²⁶O. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 142503 (2012). 449
- Caesar, $\overline{\text{C. et al.}}$ Beyond the neutron drip line: The unbound ⁵¹⁸ oxygen isotopes ²⁵O and ²⁶O. <u>Phys. Rev. C</u> **88**, 034313 (2013). ⁵¹⁹ 450 26. 451
- 27. Kondo, Y. et al. Nucleus ²⁶O: A Barely Unbound System be-452 vond the Drip Line. Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 102503 (2016). 453
- Obertelli, A. et al. MINOS: A vertex tracker coupled to a thick 28. 454 liquid-hydrogen target for in-beam spectroscopy of exotic nuclei. 455 Eur. Phys. J. A 50, 8 (2014). 456
- 29. Kobayashi, T. et al. SAMURAI spectrometer for RI beam exper-457 iments. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 317, 294-458
- 304 (2013). 459 30. Boretzky, K. et al. NeuLAND: The high-resolution neutron 460
- time-of-flight spectrometer for R3B at FAIR. Nucl. Instrum. 461 Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 1014, 165701 (2021). 462
- 463 31. Wang, M., Huang, W., Kondev, F., Audi, G. & Naimi, S. The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and ref-464 erences. Chinese Physics C 45, 030003 (2021). 465
- 466 32. Tsunoda, N. et al. Exotic neutron-rich medium-mass nuclei with realistic nuclear forces. Phys. Rev. C 95, 021304 (2017). 467
- Tsunoda, N. et al. The impact of nuclear shape on the emergence 33. 468 of the neutron dripline. Nature 587, 66-71 (2020). 469

- 6. Tarasov, O. et al. Search for ²⁸O and study of neutron-rich nuclei 470 34. Utsuno, Y., Otsuka, T., Mizusaki, T. & Honma, M. Varying shell gap and deformation in $N \sim 20$ unstable nuclei studied by the Monte Carlo shell model. Phys. Rev. C 60, 054315 (1999).
 - 473 35. Volya, A. & Zelevinsky, V. Continuum shell model. Phys. Rev. C 74, 064314 (2006).
 - 36. Fossez, K., Rotureau, J., Michel, N. & Nazarewicz, W. Contin-475 uum effects in neutron-drip-line oxygen isotopes. Phys. Rev. C 96, 024308 (2017). 477
 - Stroberg, S. R., Holt, J. D., Schwenk, A. & Simonis, J. Ab Initio Limits of Atomic Nuclei. Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 022501 (2021). 479
 - Somà, V., Navrátil, P., Raimondi, F., Barbieri, C. & Duguet, T. Novel chiral Hamiltonian and observables in light and mediummass nuclei. Phys. Rev. C 101, 014318 (2020).
 - 483 39. Hagen, G., Hjorth-Jensen, M., Jansen, G. R. & Papenbrock, T. Emergent properties of nuclei from ab initio coupled-cluster calculations. Phys. Scr. 91, (2016).

484

- 40. Bogner, S. K. et al. Nonperturbative Shell-Model Interactions 486 from the In-Medium Similarity Renormalization Group. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 142501 (2014). 488
- 41. Otsuka, T., Suzuki, T., Holt, J. D., Schwenk, A. & Akaishi, Y. 489 Three-Body Forces and the Limit of Oxygen Isotopes. Phys. 490 Rev. Lett. 105, 032501 (2010). 491
- Hagen, G., Hjorth-Jensen, M., Jansen, G. R., Machleidt, R. & 42. 492 Papenbrock, T. Continuum Effects and Three-Nucleon Forces in 493 Neutron-Rich Oxygen Isotopes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 242501 494 (2012).495
- Holt, J., Menéndez, J. & Schwenk, A. Chiral three-nucleon 43. 496 forces and bound excited states in neutron-rich oxygen isotopes. 497 Eur. Phys. J. A 49, (2013). 498
- 499 44. Hebeler, K., Bogner, S. K., Furnstahl, R. J., Nogga, A. & Schwenk, A. Improved nuclear matter calculations from chiral 500 low-momentum interactions. Phys. Rev. C 83, 031301 (2011). 501
- 502 45. Vernon, I., Goldstein, M. & Bower, R. G. Galaxy formation: a Bayesian uncertainty analysis. Bayesian Anal. 5, 619-669 503 (2010).504
- 505 46. Vernon, I., Goldstein, M. & Bower, R. Galaxy Formation: Bayesian History Matching for the Observable Universe. Statist. 506 Sci. 29, 81-90 (2014). 507
- 47. Vernon, I. et al. Bayesian uncertainty analysis for complex 508 systems biology models: emulation, global parameter searches 509 and evaluation of gene functions. BMC Systems Biology 12, 1 510 (2018).511
- Ekström, A. et al. Accurate nuclear radii and binding energies 48. 512 513 from a chiral interaction. Phys. Rev. C 91, 051301 (2015).
- 514 49. Utsuno, Y. et al. Shape transitions in exotic Si and S isotopes and tensor-force-driven Jahn-Teller effect. Phys. Rev. C 86, 515 051301(R) (2012). 516
- 517 50. Caurier, E., Nowacki, F. & Poves, A. Merging of the islands of inversion at N = 20 and N = 28. Phys. Rev. C 90, 014302 (2014).
- 520 51. Fossez, K. & Rotureau, J. Density matrix renormalization group description of the island of inversion isotopes ^{28–33}F. Phys. Rev. 521 C 106, 034312 (2022). 522
- 523 52. Dieperink, A. E. L. & de Forest, T. Center-of-mass effects in single-nucleon knock-out reactions. Phys. Rev. C 10, 543-549 524 (1974).525
- 53. Wakasa, T., Ogata, K. & Noro, T. Proton-induced knockout re-526 actions with polarized and unpolarized beams. Prog. Part. Nucl. 527 Phys. 96, 32-87 (2017). 528
- Macchiavelli, A. et al. Structure of ²⁹F in the rotation-aligned 54. 529 coupling scheme of the particle-rotor model. Phys. Lett. B 775, 530 160-162 (2017). 531

532 METHODS

534 536 537 pared using the BigRIPS⁵⁵ fragment separator operated with 601 consistent results within the statistical uncertainties. aluminum degraders of 15-mm and 7-mm median thicknesses 602 540 542 543 90 particles per second. 544

547 548 average intensity of 8×10^3 particles per second.

550 551 552 557 to selection of the ²⁶O ground-state decay – exhibits a clear 619 the rejection techniques and the rate and characteristics of 558 peak at around 1 MeV. As the simulations demonstrate, this 620 the crosstalk have been benchmarked in and compared to the 559 is consistent with the sequential decay of the ²⁷O resonance 621 simulations for dedicated measurements with single-neutron ⁵⁶⁰ observed in the ²⁹F beam data (Fig. 2c).

Invariant-mass method The invariant mass of ²⁸O, ₆₂₃ 561 ⁵⁶⁶ ergy is then obtained as $E_{01234} = M(^{28}\text{O}) - M(^{24}\text{O}) - 4M_n$, ⁶²⁸ to crosstalk. A very much smaller fraction is also estimated to 568 570 0.87)^{0.81} MeV. 571

Simulations The experimental response functions, for both 634 Partial decay energy of subsystems The partial decay en-573 575 576 578 579 detection efficiency as well as the detailed characteristics of 643 ergy E_{01} and three-body partial decay energy (E_{012}). neutron crosstalk events^{57,58}. The generated events treated us- 644 Extended Data Figs. 2a and b display the distributions of 584 585 is shown by the insets of Extended Data Fig. 1.

587 ⁵⁹² experimental responses were obtained by the simulations. As ⁶⁵⁴ to reproduce both of these spectra. We thus conclude that the

⁵⁹⁴ state (18 keV²⁷), the width of which is very small, the ob-**Production of the** ²⁹**F beam** The beam of ²⁹F ions was pro- ⁵⁹⁵ served widths will be dominated by the one- and two-neutron vided by the RI Beam Factory operated by the RIKEN Nishina ⁵⁹⁶ decay, respectively, to ²⁶O. We assume an E_{01234}^2 dependence Center and the Center for Nuclear Study (CNS), University ⁵⁹⁷ of the width for the 2n emission⁵⁹ to ²⁶O in the case of ²⁸O of Tokyo. It was produced by projectile fragmentation of an 598 and an energy dependence for the width of the single-neutron intense 345 MeV per nucleon ⁴⁸Ca beam on a 15-mm-thick ⁵⁹⁹ emission⁶⁰ from ²⁷O to ²⁶O. Fits with orbital angular momenberyllium target. The secondary beam, including 29 F, was pre- 600 tum (L) dependent widths (L = 2 and 3) for the latter gave

A non-resonant component is not included in the fitting as at the first and fifth intermediate focal planes, respectively. 603 it is small, if not negligible, as in the cases of ^{25,26}O produced The primary ⁴⁸Ca beam intensity was typically 3×10^{12} parti- ₆₀₄ in one-proton removal reactions in previous experiments^{24–27}. cles per second. The average intensity of the ²⁹F beam was $_{605}$ The event selection with $E_{012} < 0.08$ MeV should further 606 reduce any such contribution. As a quantitative check, a fit Measurement with a ²⁹Ne beam Data was also acquired to 607 with a non-resonant component — modelled with a lineshape measure the direct population of ²⁷O via two-proton removal 608 given by $p_0\sqrt{E_{0123}} \exp(-p_1 E_{0123})$, where p_0 and p_1 are fitting from ²⁹Ne. The beam was produced in a similar manner to 609 parameters — has been examined. This gives 8% reduction in that for ²⁹F and the energy was 228 MeV per nucleon with an 610 the ²⁸O cross section with a very limited impact on the ener-⁶¹¹ gies and widths of the ^{27,28}O resonances.

Unfortunately, in this measurement the cross section for the 612 Neutron crosstalk A single beam velocity neutron may two-proton removal was much lower than expected and the 613 scatter between individual plastic scintillator detectors of the statistics obtained for ${}^{24}O+3n$ coincidence events was too low 614 three neutron walls of the setup. Such crosstalk events can to be usefully exploited. Nevertheless, the decay of ²⁷O could 615 mimic true multi-neutron events and present a source of backbe identified from the ${}^{24}O+2n$ coincidence data. As may be 616 ground. By examining the apparent kinematics of such events seen in Extended Data Fig. 2e, the three-body decay energy 617 and applying so-called causality conditions, this background (E_{012}) spectrum gated by $E_{01} < 0.08$ MeV – corresponding 618 can be almost completely eliminated^{57,58}. Importantly, both 622 beams.

In the case of the 4 neutron detection to identify 28 O only $M(^{28}O)$, was reconstructed from the momentum vectors ₆₂₄ some 16% of the events arise from crosstalk which could not of all the decay particles (²⁴O and 4n) with $M(^{28}O) = _{625}$ be eliminated (Fig. 2a). The vast majority of these resid- $\sqrt{(\sum E_i)^2 - (\sum p_i)^2}$, where E_i and p_i denote the total energy 626 ual crosstalk events arise in cases when one (or occasionally and momentum vector of the decay particles. The decay en- 627 more) of the neutrons emitted in the decay of ²⁸O is subject where $M(^{24}O)$ and M_n are the masses of ^{24}O and the neu- 629 be produced when one of the three neutrons from the decay of tron, respectively. The decay energy resolution is estimated by 630 ²⁷O, produced directly by proton and neutron knockout, un-Monte-Carlo simulations. The resolution (FWHM) varies as a 631 dergoes crosstalk. Importantly the crosstalk cannot generate function of the decay energy approximately as $0.14(E_{01234} + 632)$ a narrow peak-like structure in the E_{01234} decay energy spec-

the full and partial decay energy spectra, were derived from a $_{635}$ ergies of the $^{24}O+xn$ subsystems can be used to investigate Monte-Carlo simulation based on GEANT4 (ref.⁵⁶). All rele- 636 the manner in which ^{27,28}O decay. In this analysis the devant characteristics of the setup (geometrical acceptances and $_{637}$ cay neutrons are numbered (n_1, n_2, \cdots) by ascending order detector resolutions) were incorporated, as well as those of 638 of two-body relative energy E_{0i} between ²⁴O and n_i – that the beam, target and reaction effects. The QGSP_INCLXX 639 is, such that, $E_{01} < E_{02} < E_{03} < E_{04}$. Of particular interphysics class was adopted to describe the interactions of the 640 est here is the extremely low decay energy of the ²⁶O ground neutrons in the detectors (as well as non active material) as it 641 state (18 keV²⁷), such that it appears just above zero energy reproduces well the experimentally determined single-neutron 642 (or neutron-decay threshold) in the two-body partial decay en-

ing the same analysis procedure as for the experimental data. $_{645}$ the partial decay energies E_{012} and E_{034} for the $^{24}O+4n$ co-The overall efficiency as a function of decay energy for detect- $_{646}$ incidence events with a total decay energy $E_{01234} < 1$ MeV. ing ²⁴O and 3 and 4 neutrons, as estimated by the simulations, $_{647}$ The resulting sharp threshold peak in the E_{012} spectrum is a ⁶⁴⁸ clear sign of sequential decay through the ²⁶O ground state. Fitting of decay energy spectra The energies, widths, 649 This is confirmed quantitatively by a simulation assuming and amplitudes of the resonances, as modelled by intrinsic 650 two-neutron emission to the the ²⁶O ground state, which in lineshapes with a Breit-Wigner form with energy-dependent 651 turn decays by two-neutron emission to the ²⁴O ground state, widths, were obtained via fits of the corresponding decay en- $_{652}$ which describes well the E_{012} and E_{034} spectra. By compariergy spectra using the maximum likelihood method, where the 653 son, a simulation assuming five-body phase space decay fails ⁵⁹³ the decays of both ²⁷O and ²⁸O proceed via the ²⁶O ground ₆₅₅ ²⁸O ground state sequentially decays via the ²⁶O ground state 656 as depicted in Fig. 2e.

657 ²⁶O ground state was identified from the analysis of the partial 658 $_{659}$ decay energies for the $^{24}O+3n$ coincidence events. Extended Data Figs. 2c and d show the distributions of the partial de-660 cay energies E_{012} and E_{03} for events for which $1.0 < E_{0123} <$ 1.2 MeV. The E_{012} spectrum exhibits a strong enhancement 662 at zero energy indicative of sequential decay through the ²⁶O ground state. This interpretation is confirmed by the comparison shown with a simulation for the sequential decay of ²⁷O 665 including the contribution from the decay of ²⁸O. 666

Widths of the 27,28 O resonances As the energy of the 28 O 667 esonance is lower than those of ²⁷O and ²⁵O (Fig. 2e), both 668 one- and three-neutron emission are energetically forbidden. The two-neutron decay to the ²⁶O ground state and the four-670 neutron decay to ²⁴O are allowed with nearly equal decay energies. The former decay should be favoured as the effective 672 few-body centrifugal barrier increases according to the num-673 ber of emitted particles⁵⁹. It may be noted that the upper limit of 0.7 MeV observed here for the ²⁸O resonance width is con-675 sistent with the theoretical estimates for its sequential decay⁵⁹. 676

677 678 the width of ²⁶O is very narrow due to the extremely small de- $_{741}$ (c_D and c_E) terms. cay energy (18 keV²⁷), the ²⁷O width should be dominated ⁷⁴² 680 681 small ($\gtrsim 0.1$), this would suggest that the decay occurs via d- ⁷⁴⁶ this increases the relative importance of the effects from 3NF, $_{685}$ or f-wave neutron emission. As such, the spin and parity of $_{747}$ we adopt the more modern 3NF of Hebeler et al.⁴⁴, which is ₆₈₆ the ²⁷O resonance may be tentatively assigned to be $3/2^+$ or ₇₄₈ expected to have finer details and improved properties. We $_{687} 7/2^{-}$.

688 Momentum distribution Extended Data Fig. 4 shows the 689 transverse momentum (P_x) distribution of the ²⁴O+3*n* sys-690 tem in the rest frame of the ²⁹F beam for events gated by $E_{012} < 0.08$ MeV and $E_{0123} < 0.8$ MeV – that is, events cor-⁶⁹² responding to population of the ²⁸O ground state. We note ⁶⁹³ that this analysis employed the ²⁴O+3*n* events as the limited $^{24}O+4n$ statistics could not be usefully exploited in distin-694 guishing between the momentum distributions for the proton 695 knockout from different orbitals. Even though the momen-696 tum distribution is slightly broadened by the undetected decay 697 neutron, it still reflects directly the character of the knocked-698 out proton. 699

700 701 703 luted with the experimental resolution as well as the much $_{764}$ A = 394 MeV/c. 704 smaller broadening induced by the undetected neutron ($\sigma = 765$ We used the coupled-cluster method⁷⁵⁻⁸¹ with singles-706 707 709 ⁷¹⁰ the $1d_{5/2}$ proton knockout and 3.7 (4.7) for the $2s_{1/2}$ knock-⁷⁷⁰ state energy of ²⁷O. The coupled-cluster calculations start 711 out. The curves in Extended Data Fig. 4 represent the cal- 771 from a spherical Hartree-Fock reference of ²⁸O in a model-712 culations obtained by the distorting potential with the Dirac 772 space of 13 major harmonic oscillator shells with an oscillator ⁷¹³ phenomenology. The better agreement for the $1d_{5/2}$ proton ⁷⁷³ frequency of $\hbar\omega = 16$ MeV. The three-nucleon force is limited ⁷¹⁴ knockout suggests that the spin and parity of the ²⁹F ground ⁷⁷⁴ to three-body energies up to $E_{3max} = 14\hbar\omega$. For energy differ- $_{715}$ state is $5/2^+$ as predicted by the shell model calculations, in- $_{775}$ ences the effects of model-space truncations and coupling to 716 cluding those using the EEdf3 interaction.

717 **EEdf3 calculations** The EEdf3 Hamiltonian³² is a variant In a similar vein the sequential decay of ²⁷O through the ⁷¹⁸ of the EEdf1 Hamiltonian, which was used in ref.³³ for de-⁷¹⁹ scribing F, Ne, Na, and Mg isotopes up to neutron dripline³². The EEdf1 Hamiltonian was derived from chiral effective field 720 ⁷²¹ theory (χ EFT) interaction, as described below. The χ EFT ⁷²² interaction proposed by Entem and Machleidt^{62,63} was taken ⁷²³ with $\Lambda = 500$ MeV, as the nuclear force in vacuum, up to the ⁷²⁴ next-to-next-to-leading-order (N³LO) in the χ EFT. It ⁷²⁵ was then renormalized using the V_{low-k} approach^{64,65} with ⁷²⁶ a cutoff of $\Lambda_{V_{low-k}} = 2.0 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, in order to obtain a low-727 momentum interaction decoupled from high-momentum phe-⁷²⁸ nomena. The EKK method^{66–68} was then adopted in order to 729 obtain the effective NN interaction for the sd-pf shells, by ⁷³⁰ including the so-called \hat{Q} -box, which incorporates unfolded ⁷³¹ effects coming from outside of the model space⁶⁹, up to third 732 order and its folded diagrams. As to the single-particle ba-733 sis vectors, the eigenfunctions of the three-dimensional har-734 monic oscillator potential were taken as usual. In addition, 735 the contributions from the Fujita Miyazawa three-nucleon $_{\rm 736}$ force $(3{\rm NF})^{70}$ were added in the form of the effective NN ⁷³⁷ interaction⁴¹. The Fujita Miyazawa force represents the ef-⁷³⁸ fects of the virtual excitation of a nucleon to a Δ baryon by The upper limit for the ²⁷O width (0.18 MeV) may be com- ⁷³⁹ pion-exchange processes and includes the effects of Δ -hole pared to the single-particle widths⁶¹ for neutron decay. Since 740 excitations, but does not include other effects such as contact

In the present study, we explicitly treat neutrons only, while by the one for the first step ${}^{27}O \rightarrow {}^{26}O + n$. The widths for 743 the protons remained confined to the ${}^{16}O$ closed-shell core. s, p, d, f-wave neutron emission are 5, 3, 0.8, 0.06 MeV. As- 744 As such, there is no proton-neutron interaction between active suming that the corresponding spectroscopic factors are not 745 nucleons, and the neutron-neutron interaction is weaker. As 749 obtain effective NN interactions from this 3NF first by deriv-750 ing density-dependent NN interactions from them⁷¹ and then ⁷⁵¹ by having the density dependence integrated out with the nor-752 mal density. It was suggested that this 3NF produces results ⁷⁵³ similar to those reported in ref.³³ for the F, Ne, Na and Mg iso-754 topes. As a result of this change, the single-particle energies $_{755}$ are shifted for the $1d_{5/2}$ and $2s_{1/2}$ orbitals by -0.72 MeV, for ⁷⁵⁶ the $1d_{3/2}$ orbital by -0.42 MeV and for the *pf* shell orbitals 757 by 0.78 MeV.

> 758 Coupled-cluster calculations and emulators The starting 759 point for the calculations is the intrinsic Hamiltonian,

$$H = T_{\rm kin} - T_{\rm CoM} + V_{NN} + V_{NNN}.$$
 (1)

The experimental P_x distribution is compared to DWIA 760 Here T_{kin} is the kinetic energy, T_{CoM} the kinetic energy of the (Distorted Wave Impulse Approximation) reaction theory cal-culations (see below) for knockout of a proton from the $1d_{5/2}$ reaction three-nucleon potentials from $\chi EFT^{62,72,73}$ and include Delta and $2s_{1/2}$ orbitals. The theoretical distributions are convo- 763 isobars⁷⁴. The momentum space cutoff of this interaction is

34 MeV/c). The best fit normalization of the theoretical distri- 766 doubles and perturbative triples excitations, known as the bution obtained by the distorting potential with the Dirac phe- 767 CCSDT-3 approximation^{82,83}, to compute the ground-state nomenology (microscopic folding model potential) through 768 energy of ²⁸O, and the particle-removed equation-of-motion a χ^2 minimization gives reduced- χ^2 values of 2.0 (2.0) for $_{769}$ (EOM) coupled-cluster method from refs.^{84,85} for the ground-776 the scattering continuum are small and were neglected in the

777 history matching analysis.

778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 and excited states of 16,22,24 O (see Extended Data Table 1 for ${}_{850}$ independently of each other and the inputs θ . 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 ref.⁸⁸ to excited states and increased the precision by includ- 862 dard implausibility measure 802 ing triples excitations via the CCSDT-3 and EOM-CCSDT-3 803 methods, respectively. Our SP-CC emulators employ up to 68 training points for each observable of interest, and use model 805 spaces consisting of 11 major harmonic oscillator shells. We 806 checked the precision of each emulator by performing emula- 863 which is a function over the input parameter space and quan-808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 methods. 821

823 824 825 notes the parameter vector of the theoretical model, as

$$z = M(\theta) + \epsilon_{\text{method}} + \epsilon_{\text{model}} + \epsilon_{\text{exp}}.$$
 (2)

883

⁸²⁶ In this relation, we consider experimental uncertainties, ϵ_{exp} , 884 as well as method approximation errors $\epsilon_{\rm method}$. The latter ⁸⁸⁵ 827 represent, e.g., model-space truncations and other approxima-886 tions in the *ab initio* many-body solvers and are estimated ⁸⁸⁷ 829 from method convergence studies⁷⁴. Most importantly, we 830 888 acknowledge the fact that even if we were to evaluate the 889 model $M(\theta)$ at its best possible choice of the parameter vec-832 tor, θ^* , the model output, $M(\theta^*)$, would still not be in ex- 890 833 act quantitative agreement with reality due to, e.g., simplifi- 891 cations and approximations inherent to the model. We de- 892 836 scribe this difference in terms of a model discrepancy term, 893

 ϵ_{model} . The expected EFT-convergence pattern of our model The low-energy constants (LECs) of this interaction are 333 allows us to specify further probabilistic attributes of ϵ_{model} constrained by a history matching approach employing high- 839 a priori^{90–93}. We employ the model errors defined in⁹⁴. The precision emulators enabled by eigenvector continuation⁸⁶. ⁸⁴⁰ use of emulators based on eigenvector continuation^{86–88} pro-These tools mimic the results of actual coupled-cluster com- $_{841}$ vides us with an efficient approximation, $\tilde{M}(\theta)$, of the model. putations, but are several orders of magnitude faster to eval- $_{842}$ This approach entails an emulator error $\epsilon_{\text{emulator}}$ such that uate, hence facilitating comprehensive exploration of the rel- ${}_{843} M(\theta) = \tilde{M}(\theta) + \epsilon_{\text{emulator}}$, as outlined in the previous section. evant parameter space. The emulators work as follows. In 844 Obviously, we do not know the exact values of the errors in the 17-dimensional space of LECs, the parameterization of 845 Eq. (2), hence we represent them as uncertain quantities and the $\Delta NNLO_{GO}(394)$ potential⁷⁴ serves as a starting point 846 specify reasonable forms for their statistical distributions, in around which we select emulator training points according to 847 alignment with the Bayesian paradigm. This allows for these space-filling lattice hypercube design for which we perform 848 uncertainties to be formally incorporated in all subsequent caloupled-cluster computations of ground-state energies, radii, 849 culations and inferences. We also assume that the errors add

details). Keeping track of the variations of the observables 851 Coupled-cluster calculations: History matching In this and the corresponding coupled-cluster eigenstates as the low- 852 work we use an iterative approach for complex computer modenergy constants are varied, allows us to construct an emu- 853 els known as history matching (HM)⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ in which the model, lator that can be used to predict the results for novel param- 854 solved at different fidelities, is confronted with experimental eterizations. This emulator strategy is rather general⁸⁷ and $_{855}$ data z using relation (2). The aim of HM is to estimate the possible because the eigenvector trajectory generated by con- $_{856}$ set Q(z) of values for θ , for which the evaluation of a model tinuous changes of the low-energy constants only explores a $B7 M(\theta)$ yields an acceptable—or at least not implausible (NI) relatively small subspace of Hilbert space. Eigenvector con- 858 match to a set of observations z. HM has been employed in tinuation emulation tailored to coupled-cluster eigenstates is $_{859}$ various studies $^{95-97}$ ranging, e.g., from effects of climate mod-referred to as the subspace-projected coupled-cluster (SP-CC) $_{860}$ eling 98,99 to systems biology 47 . The present work represents method. In this work, we extended the SP-CC method of 861 the first application in nuclear physics. We introduce the stan-

$$I^{2}(\theta) = \max_{i \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{|M_{i}(\theta) - z_{i}|^{2}}{\operatorname{Var}\left(\tilde{M}_{i}(\theta) - z_{i}\right)},$$
(3)

tor diagnostics⁸⁹: confronting the emulator predictions with 864 tifies the (mis-)match between our (emulated) model output he results of actual coupled-cluster computations, see Ex- 865 $M_i(\theta)$ and the observation z_i for all observables i in the tartended Data Fig. 5. Once constructed, the emulators are in- $\frac{1}{100}$ get set Z. This specific definition uses the maximum of the xpensive computational tools that can precisely predict the 867 individual implausibility measures (one for each observable) esults for virtually arbitrary parameterizations of the EFT po- 6868 as the restricting quantity. We consider a particular value for entials. This allows us to explore several hundred million $\theta = \theta$ as implausible if $I(\theta) > c_I \equiv 3.0$ appealing to Pukelsheim's parameterizations with the computational cost of only a few 870 three-sigma rule¹⁰⁰. In accordance with the assumptions leadhundred actual coupled-cluster computations. The use of em- 871 ing to Eq. (2), the variance in the denominator of Eq. (3) is a ulation hence represents a critical advance, that facilitates a far 872 sum of independent squared errors. Generalizations of these deeper analysis of the coupled-cluster method, that was previ- 873 assumptions are straightforward if additional information on ously infeasible due to the substantial computational expense 874 error covariances or possible inaccuracies in our error model of the coupled-cluster calculations. Hence, these techniques 875 would become available. An important strength of the HM overcome a major barrier to the use of such coupled-cluster 876 approach is that we can proceed iteratively, excluding regions 877 of input space by imposing cutoffs on implausibility measures Coupled-cluster calculations: Linking models to reality 878 that can include *additional* observables z_i and corresponding We describe the relationship between experimental observa- 879 model outputs M_i , and possibly refined emulators M_i , as the tions, z, and ab inito model predictions $M(\theta)$, where θ de- 880 iterations proceed. The iterative HM proceeds in waves ac-⁸⁸¹ cording to a straightforward strategy that can be summarized 882 as follows:

- 1. At iteration *j*: Evaluate a set of model runs over the current NI volume Q_i using a space-filling design of sample values for the parameter inputs θ . Choose a rejection strategy based on implausibility measures for a set \mathcal{Z}_i of informative observables.
- 2. Construct or refine emulators for the model predictions across the current non-implausible volume Q_i .
- 3. The implausibility measures are then calculated over Q_i , using the emulators, and implausibility cutoffs are imposed. This defines a new, smaller NI volume Q_{i+1} which should satisfy $Q_{i+1} \subset Q_i$.

894 895 896 the parameter space are deemed implausible, we: 898

 include any additional informative observables in 899 the considered set Z_{i+1} , and return to step 1. 900

5. If 4(a) or (b) is true we generate a large number of ac-901 ceptable runs from the final NI volume Q_{final} , sampled 902 according to scientific need. 903

The ab initio model for the observables we consider comprises 904 at most 17 parameters; 4 subleading pion-nucleon couplings, 905 1 nucleon-nucleon contact couplings, and 2 short-ranged 906 three-nucleon couplings. To identify a set of NI parameter 907 samples we performed iterative HM in four waves using observables and implausibility measures as summarized in Ex-909 tended Data Table 1. For each wave we employ a sufficiently 910 dense latin hypercube set of several million candidate param-911 eter samples. For the model evaluations we utilized fast com-912 putations of neutron-proton (np) scattering phase shifts and 913 efficient emulators for the few- and many-body observables 914 listed. See Extended Data Table 2 for the list of included ob-915 servables and key information for each wave. The input vol-916 me for wave 1 included large ranges for the relevant parame-917 ters, as indicated by the panel ranges in the lower left triangle 918 of Extended Data Fig. 6. In all four waves, the input volume 919 for $c_{1,2,3,4}$ is a four-dimensional hypercube mapped onto the 920 multivariate Gaussian pdf resulting from a Roy-Steiner analy-921 sis of πN scattering data¹⁰¹. In wave 1 and wave 2 we sampled 922 all relevant parameter directions for the set of included two-923 nucleon observables. In wave 3, the additional ³H and ⁴He 924 observables were added. Since they are known to be rather 925 insensitive to the four model parameters acting solely in the 926 -wave, we therefore ignored this subset of the inputs and 927 compensated by slightly enlarging the corresponding method 928 rrors. This is a well-known emulation procedure called in-929 active parameter identification⁴⁵. For the final iteration, i.e. 930 wave 4, we considered all 17 model parameters and added a 931 set of observables for the oxygen isotopes ^{16,22,24}O and emu-932 lated the model outputs for 5×10^8 parameter samples. Ex-933 tended Data Fig. 6 summarizes the sequential NI volume reduction, wave-by-wave, and indicates the set Q_4 of 634 NI 935 samples after the fourth and final wave. The volume reduc-936 tion is guided by the implausibility measure (3) and the op-937 938 939 940 941 942 data. This region is also where we would expect the poste-943 944 945 the iterations progress. 947

948 950 excellent starting points for extracting the posterior probabil-¹⁰¹² predict this key nucleus. p_{51} ity density function (pdf) of the parameters θ , i.e. $p(\theta|A = 1013)$ **DWIA calculations** The distorted-wave impulse approx- $_{952}$ 2 – 24). To this end, we assume a normally distributed likeli- 1014 imation (DWIA)^{53103,104} describes proton-induced proton $_{953}$ hood, according to Eq. (2), and a uniform prior corresponding $_{1015}$ knockout -(p, 2p) – processes as proton-proton (pp) elas- $_{954}$ to the initial volume of wave 1. Note that the prior for $c_{1,2,3,4}$ 1016 tic scattering. This is referred to as the impulse approxima-

10

4. Unless (a) the emulator uncertainties for all observ- 955 is the multivariate Gaussian resulting from a Roy-Steiner analables of interest are sufficiently small in comparison to $_{956}$ ysis of πN scattering data¹⁰¹. We sample the posterior using the other sources of uncertainty, (b) computational re- 957 the affine invariant Markov Chain Monte Carlo ensemble samsources are exhausted, or (c) all considered points in 958 pler emcee¹⁰² and the resulting distribution is shown in the up-959 per right triangle of Extended Data Fig. 6. The sampling was performed with four independent ensemble chains, each with 961 150 walkers, and satisfactory convergence was reached (diag-⁹⁶² nosed using the Gelman-Rubin test with $|\hat{R} - 1| < 10^{-4}$ in all dimensions). We performed $5 \cdot 10^5$ iterations per walker—after 963 an initial warm-up of 5000 steps-and kept one final sample 964 ⁹⁶⁵ for every 500 steps. Combining all chains we therefore end up with $4 \times 150 \times 1000 = 6 \cdot 10^5$ final samples. In addition, we 967 explored the sensitivity of our results to modifications of the 968 likelihood definition. Specifically, we used a student-t distribution ($\nu = 5$) to see the effects of allowing heavier tails, and 970 we introduced an error covariance matrix to study the effect $_{971}$ of correlations ($\rho \approx 0.6$) between selected observables. In the 972 end, the differences in the extracted credibility regions was not 973 great and we therefore present only results obtained with the 974 uncorrelated, multivariate normal distribution (see Extended 975 Data Table 3).

A subset of marginal posterior predictive distributions (ppd) 976 977 are shown in Extended Data Fig. 7. Clearly, a subset of 100 978 samples provides an accurate low-statistics representation of 979 this marginalized ppd. We exploit this feature in our final pre-⁹⁸⁰ dictions for ^{27,28}O presented in the main text. Note that the ppd does not include draws from the model discrepancy pdf. 981 To include information about the ²⁵O separation energy with 982 ⁹⁸³ respect to ²⁴O we perform a straightforward Bayesian update 984 of the posterior pdf $p(\theta|A = 2 - 24)$ for the LECs. This com-985 plements the statistical analysis of the *ab initio* model with 986 important information content from an odd and neutron-rich ₉₈₇ oxygen isotope. Using the pdf $p(\theta|A = 2 - 24)$ we draw 988 500 model predictions for $\Delta E(^{25,24}\text{O})$ and account for all in-989 dependent and normally distributed uncertainties according to 990 Extended Data Table 1. Next, we draw 121 different LEC pa-991 rameterizations from the updated posterior and use coupled ⁹⁹² cluster to compute the corresponding ground-state energies of 27,28 O. The full bivariate ppd for the $^{28}O-^{24}O$ and $^{27}O-^{28}O$ 993 ⁹⁹⁴ energy differences, $\Delta E(2^{8,24}O)$ and $\Delta E(2^{7,28}O)$, with asso-995 ciated credible regions, are shown in Extended Data Fig. 3. ⁹⁹⁶ The effect of the continuum on the energy difference was es-⁹⁹⁷ timated to be ~0.5 MeV in ref.³⁹ and was neglected in this ⁹⁹⁸ work. We note that our ability to examine the full ppd for these 999 expensive ab initio calculations provides welcome additional tical depths (see Eqs. (25) and (26) in ref.⁴⁷), where the lat-¹⁰⁰⁰ insight, which is a direct consequence of the use of the HM ter are illustrated in the lower left triangle of Extended Data ¹⁰⁰¹ procedure. We note that a sufficiently precise determination Fig. 6. The NI samples summarise the parameter region of ¹⁰⁰² of $\Delta E(^{28,24}\text{O})$ and $\Delta E(^{27,28}\text{O})$ requires wave 4 in the history nterest, and can directly aid insight regarding interdependen-¹⁰⁰³ matching and also using the separation energy $\Delta E(^{24,25}\text{O})$ for cies between parameters induced by the match to observed ¹⁰⁰⁴ the construction of the pdf. Without input about 25 O, the sep-¹⁰⁰⁵ aration energy $\Delta E(^{27,28}O)$ becomes too uncertain to be usetior distribution to reside. We see that the iterative history 1006 ful. It is in this sense that a sufficiently precise prediction matching process trains a nested series of emulators that be-¹⁰⁰⁷ of $\Delta E(^{27,28}O)$ is finely tuned and cannot be based only on come more and more accurate over this posterior region, as ¹⁰⁰⁸ the properties of light nuclei up to ⁴He. Changes in the low-1009 energy constants that have small impact in few nucleon sys-Coupled-cluster calculations: Bayesian posterior sam-¹⁰¹⁰ tems are magnified in ²⁸O. Apparently, one needs information **pling** The NI samples in the final HM wave also serve as 1011 about all nuclear shells including the *sd* shell to meaningfully

tion, which is considered to be valid at intermediate energies 1078 60. Lane, A. M. & Thomas, R. G. R-Matrix Theory of Nuclear Re-1017 when both outgoing protons have large momenta with respect 1079 1018 to the residual nucleus. The DWIA approach has been suc- 1080 61. 1019 cessful in describing proton-induced knockout reactions; in 1081 1020 ref.⁵³, it was shown that the spectroscopic factors deduced ¹⁰⁸² 1021 from (p, 2p) reactions for the single-particle levels near the ¹⁰⁸³ from (p, 2p) reactions for the single-particle levels near the Fermi surface of several nuclei are consistent with those ex-tracted from electron-induced (e, e'p) reactions. The transi-1022 1023 1024 tion matrix of (p, 2p) processes within DWIA theory is given $\frac{1}{1087}$ 1025 by $T_{p2p} = \langle \chi_1 \chi_2 | t_{pp} | \chi_0 \phi_p \rangle$, where χ_i are the distorted 1088 64. waves of the incoming proton (0) and the outgoing two pro- 1089 1026 1027 tons (1 and 2), whereas ϕ_p is the normalized bound-state wave ¹⁰⁹⁰ 1028 function of the proton inside the nucleus. The pp effective in-¹⁰⁹¹ 65. 1029 teraction is denoted by t_{pp} , the absolute square of which is ¹⁰⁹² 1030 proportional to the *pp* elastic cross section. The nonlocal-ity corrections¹⁰⁵ to both χ_i and ϕ_p are taken into account as well as the Moller factor¹⁰⁶ for t that account the Lemma for χ_i and ψ_p are taken into account as 1031 1032 well as the Moller factor¹⁰⁶ for t_{pp} that guarantees the Lorentz ¹⁰⁹⁵ 67. invariance of the *pp* reaction probability. The (p, 2p) cross ¹⁰⁹⁷ 68. 1033 1034 section is given by $F_{\rm kin}C^2S \left|T_{p2p}\right|^2$ with $F_{\rm kin}$ being a kinetic ¹⁰⁹⁸ 1035 factor and C^2S the spectroscopic factor. 1036

In this study, the cross section integrated over the allowed 1101 1037 kinematics of the outgoing particles was calculated. We em- 1102 1038 ployed the Franey-Love parameterization¹⁰⁷ for t_{pp} and the 1103 1039 Bohr-Mottelson's (BM) single-particle potential¹⁰⁸ in order to ¹¹⁰⁴ 1040 compute ϕ_p . We have used two types of the one-body distort-¹¹⁰⁵ 1041 ing potential to obtain χ – specifically, the Dirac phenomenol- ¹¹⁰⁶ 1042 ogy (set EDAD2¹⁰⁹) and a microscopic folding model poten-tial based on the Melbourne *G*-matrix interaction¹¹⁰ and one- $\frac{1107}{1108}$ 72. 1043 1044 body nuclear densities calculated with the BM single-particle $\frac{1}{1110}$ 1045 model¹⁰⁸. It was found that the difference in the (p, 2p) cross ₁₁₁₁ 1046 sections calculated with the two sets of distorting potentials 1112 1047 was at most 7.5%. In addition, they give almost identical 1113 1048 shapes for the momentum distributions. As such, we have 1114 1049 adopted here the average value of the cross sections for each 1115 1050 1116 single-particle configuration. 1051

1117 **Data availability** Source data for Figs. 2a-c, Extended Data ^{....} 1052 Figs. 2a-f, and Extended Data Fig. 4 are provided with this 1119 1053 paper. All of the other relevant data that support the findings 1120 1054 of this study are available from the corresponding author upon 1121 1055 1056 reasonable request.

Code availability Our unpublished computer codes used to ¹¹²³ 1057 generate the results reported in this paper are available from ¹¹²⁴ 1058 1125 the corresponding author upon reasonable request. 1059 1126

References 1060

- 1061
- 55. Kubo, T. et al. BigRIPS separator and ZeroDegree spectrome-1062 1131 ter at RIKEN RI Beam Factory. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012, 1063 03C003 (2012). 1064 1133
- 56. Agostinelli, S. et al. GEANT4-a simulation toolkit. Nucl. 1065 Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. A 506, 250–303 (2003). 1066
- 57. Nakamura, T. & Kondo, Y. Large acceptance spectrometers for 1067 1136 invariant mass spectroscopy of exotic nuclei and future develop-1068 1137 ments. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 376, 156-161 1069 1138 (2016).1070 1139
- 58. Kondo, Y., Tomai, T. & Nakamura, T. Recent progress and devel-1071 1140 opments for experimental studies with the SAMURAI spectrom-1072 1141
- eter. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 463, 173-178 1073 1142 (2020).1074 1143
- Grigorenko, L. V., Mukha, I. G., Scheidenberger, C. & Zhukov, 1143 59. 1075
- M. V. Two-neutron radioactivity and four-nucleon emission from $\frac{1}{1145}$ 1076 exotic nuclei. Phys. Rev. C 84, 021303 (2011). 1077

- actions. Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 257-353 (1958).
- Dover, C. B., Mahaux, C. & Weidenmüller, H. A. The singleparticle limit for partial widths. Nucl. Phys. A 139, 593-604 (1969).
- 62. Machleidt, R. & Entem, D. Chiral effective field theory and nuclear forces. Phys. Rep. 503, 1-75 (2011).
- Entem, D. R. & Machleidt, R. Accurate charge-dependent 63. nucleon-nucleon potential at fourth order of chiral perturbation theory. Phys. Rev. C 68, 041001 (2003).
- Bogner, S., Kuo, T. T. S., Coraggio, L., Covello, A. & Itaco, N. Low momentum nucleon-nucleon potential and shell model effective interactions. Phys. Rev. C 65, 051301 (2002).
- Nogga, A., Bogner, S. K. & Schwenk, A. Low-momentum interaction in few-nucleon systems. Phys. Rev. C 70, 061002 (2004).
- Takayanagi, K. Effective interaction in non-degenerate model space. Nucl. Phys. A 852, 61-81 (2011).
- Takayanagi, K. Effective Hamiltonian in the extended Krenciglowa-Kuo method. Nucl. Phys. A 864, 91-112 (2011).
- Tsunoda, N., Takayanagi, K., Hjorth-Jensen, M. & Otsuka, T. Multi-shell effective interactions. Phys. Rev. C 89, 024313 (2014).
- 1100 69. Hjorth-Jensen, M., Kuo, T. T. & Osnes, E. Realistic effective interactions for nuclear systems. Phys. Rep. 261, 125-270 (1995).
 - 70. Fujita, J. & Miyazawa, H. Pion theory of three-body forces. Prog. Theor. Phys. 17, 360 (1957).
 - Kohno, M. Nuclear and neutron matter G-matrix calculations with a chiral effective field theory potential including effects of three-nucleon interactions. Phys. Rev. C 88, 064005 (2013). ; erratum 96, 059903 (2017).
 - van Kolck, U. Few-nucleon forces from chiral Lagrangians. Phys. Rev. C 49, 2932-2941 (1994).
 - 73. Epelbaum, E., Hammer, H.-W. & Meißner, U.-G. Modern theory of nuclear forces. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1773-1825 (2009).
 - 74. Jiang, W. G. *et al.* Accurate bulk properties of nuclei from A = 2to ∞ from potentials with Δ isobars. Phys. Rev. C 102, 054301 (2020).
 - 75. Coester, F. Bound states of a many-particle system. Nucl. Phys. 7, 421–424 (1958).
 - 76. Coester, F. & Kümmel, H. Short-range correlations in nuclear wave functions. Nucl. Phys. 17, 477-485 (1960).
 - 77. Kümmel, H., Lührmann, K. H. & Zabolitzky, J. G. Manyfermion theory in expS- (or coupled cluster) form. Phys. Rep. 36, 1–63 (1978).
 - Mihaila, B. & Heisenberg, J. H. Microscopic Calculation of the 78. Inclusive Electron Scattering Structure Function in ¹⁶O. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1403–1406 (2000).
 - Dean, D. J. & Hjorth-Jensen, M. Coupled-cluster approach to nuclear physics. Phys. Rev. C 69, 054320 (2004).
- Bartlett, R. J. & Musiał, M. Coupled-cluster theory in quantum 1127 80. chemistry. <u>Rev. Mod. Phys.</u> 79, 291–352 (2007). 1128
- Hagen, G., Papenbrock, T., Hjorth-Jensen, M. & Dean, D. J. 81. 1129 Coupled-cluster computations of atomic nuclei. Rep. Prog. Phys. 1130 77, 096302 (2014).
- 1132 82. Noga, J., Bartlett, R. J. & Urban, M. Towards a full CCSDT model for electron correlation. CCSDT-n models. Chem. Phys. Lett. 134, 126-132 (1987).

1134

1135

- 83. Watts, J. D. & Bartlett, R. J. Iterative and non-iterative triple excitation corrections in coupled-cluster methods for excited electronic states: the EOM-CCSDT-3 and EOM-CCSD(\tilde{T}) methods. Chem. Phys. Lett. 258, 581-588 (1996).
- 84. Gour, J. R., Piecuch, P., Hjorth-Jensen, M., Włoch, M. & Dean, D. J. Coupled-cluster calculations for valence systems around ¹⁶O. Phys. Rev. C **74**, 024310 (2006).
- 85. Morris, T. D. et al. Structure of the Lightest Tin Isotopes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 152503 (2018).
- Frame, D. et al. Eigenvector Continuation with Subspace Learn-86. ing. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 032501 (2018).

- 1146 1147
- 1148
- 1149 88. Properties of an Atomic Nucleus. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 252501 1150
- (2019). 1151 89. Bastos, L. S. & O'Hagan, A. Diagnostics for Gaussian Process 1152 Emulators. Technometrics 51, 425-438 (2009). 1153
- Wesolowski, S., Klco, N., Furnstahl, R., Phillips, D. & Tha-90. 1154 1155 ories. J. Phys. G 43, 074001 (2016). 1156
- 1157 1158 servables. Phys. Rev. C 96, 024003 (2017). 1159
- 92. 1160
- 1161 theory using nucleon-nucleon phase shifts. J. Phys. G 46, 1162 045102 (2019). 1163
- Melendez, J., Furnstahl, R., Phillips, D., Pratola, M. & 1164 Wesolowski, S. Quantifying Correlated Truncation Errors in Ef-1165
- fective Field Theory. Phys. Rev. C 100, 044001 (2019). 1166 1167 94
- 1168 C 97, 024332 (2018). 1169
- 1170 1171 1172 Am. Stat. Assoc. 90, 402–416 (1995).
- Vernon, I., Goldstein, M. & Bower, R. G. Rejoinder. Bayesian 1173 Anal. 5, 697-708 (2010). 1174
- Andrianakis, I. et al. Bayesian history matching of complex in-1175 97. fectious disease models using emulation: a tutorial and a case 1176 1177 (2015).1178
- 1179 1180 1181
- 1182 ice-cliff instability. Nature 566, 58-64 (2019). 1183
- 100. Pukelsheim, F. The Three Sigma Rule. The American 1184 Statistician 48, 88-91 (1994). 1185
- 101. Siemens, D. et al. Reconciling threshold and subthreshold ex-1186 pansions for pion-nucleon scattering. Phys. Lett. B 770, 27-34 1187 (2017).1188
- 102. Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D. & Goodman, J. 1189 emcee: The MCMC Hammer. Publications of the Astronomical 1190 Society of the Pacific 125, 306–312 (2013). 1191
- 1192 structure. Rev. Mod. Phys. 38, 121-142 (1966). 1193
- 04. Jacob, G. & Maris, T. A. J. Quasi-free scattering and nuclear 1194 1195 structure. II. Rev. Mod. Phys. 45, 6-21 (1973).
- 105. Perey, F. & Buck, B. A non-local potential model for the scat-1196 tering of neutrons by nuclei. Nucl. Phys. 32, 353-380 (1962). 1197
- 106. Møller, C. General properties of the characteristic matrix in the 1198
- theory of elementary particles. Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, 1199 1200 Mat-fys. Medd., 1 (1945).
- 107. Franey, M. A. & Love, W. G. Nucleon-nucleon t-matrix inter-1201 action for scattering at intermediate energies. Phys. Rev. C 31, 1202 488-498 (1985). 1203
- 1204 (Benjamin, 1969). 1205
- 1206 1207 ing. Phys. Rev. C 47, 297-311 (1993). 1208
- 1209
- 1210
- J.W. Negele and E. Vogt, (Plenum, New York 2000). 1211
- 1212 Acknowledgement We thank the RIKEN Nishina Center 1274 in the setting up of the experiment and/or monitored the data 1213 and the Center for Nuclear Study, the University of Tokyo ac- 1275 accumulation and/or maintained the operation of experiment

87. König, S., Ekström, A., Hebeler, K., Lee, D. & Schwenk, A. 1214 celerator staff for the excellent beam delivery. This work was Eigenvector continuation as an efficient and accurate emulator 1215 supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 18K03672. for uncertainty quantification. Phys. Lett. B 810, 135814 (2020). 1216 and No. 18H05404. This work was also supported by the Ekström, A. & Hagen, G. Global Sensitivity Analysis of Bulk 1217 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research 1218 Foundation) - Proj.- ID 279384907 - SFB 1245, the GSI-1219 TU Darmstadt cooperation agreement, the GSI under con-1220 tract KZILGE1416, the German Federal Ministry for Educa-1221 tion and Research (BMBF) under contract No. 05P15RDFN1 paliya, A. Bayesian parameter estimation for effective field the- 1222 and 05P21PKFN1, the European Research Council (ERC) 1223 under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and in-91. Melendez, J., Wesolowski, S. & Furnstahl, R. Bayesian trunca- 1224 novation programme (grant agreement numbers 758027 and tion errors in chiral effective field theory: nucleon-nucleon ob- 1225 258567), the Swedish Research Council grant number 2011-1226 5324, 2017-03839 and 2017-04234. Partial support was also Wesolowski, S., Furnstahl, R., Melendez, J. & Phillips, D. Ex- 1227 supplied by the Franco-Japanese LIA-International Associploring Bayesian parameter estimation for chiral effective field 1228 ated Laboratory for Nuclear Structure Problems as well as 1229 the French ANR-14-CE33-0022-02 EXPAND. This work was 1230 also supported in part by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-1231 R031-D1) in Korea and the U.S. Department of Energy, Office 1232 of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Award Nos. DE-Ekström, A., Hagen, G., Morris, T. D., Papenbrock, T. & 1233 FG02-96ER40963 and DE-SC0018223. This work was also Schwartz, P. D. A isobars and nuclear saturation. Phys. Rev. 1234 supported in part by the National Science Foundation, USA 1235 under Grant No. PHY-1102511. Computer time was pro-95. Raftery, A. E., Givens, G. H. & Zeh, J. E. Inference from a De- 1236 vided by the Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on terministic Population Dynamics Model for Bowhead Whales. J. 1237 Theory and Experiment (INCITE) program. This research 1238 employed resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Comput-1239 ing Facility at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which 1240 is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Depart-¹²⁴¹ ment of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725, study on HIV in Uganda. PLoS Comput Biol. 11, e1003968 1242 and resources provided by the Swedish National Infrastruc-1243 ture for Computing (SNIC) at Chalmers Centre for Computa-98. Williamson, D. et al. History matching for exploring and re- 1244 tional Science and Engineering (C3SE), and the National Suducing climate model parameter space using observations and a 1245 percomputer Centre (NSC) partially funded by the Swedish large perturbed physics ensemble. Clim. Dyn. 41, 1703 (2013). 1246 Research Council. Y.T. acknowledges the support of the JSPS Edwards, T. L. et al. Revisiting Antarctic ice loss due to marine 1247 Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Grants No. JP21H01114. 1248 I.G. has been supported by HIC for FAIR and Croatian Sci-1249 ence Foundation under projects no. 1257 and 7194. Z.D. 1250 and D.S. have been supported by the National Research, 1251 Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary via project 1252 No. TKP2021-NKTA-42 and K128947. T.O., N.S., N.T., 1253 Y.U., and S.Y. acknowledge valuable support from the "Pri-1254 ority Issue on post-K computer" (hp190160), "Program for 1255 Promoting Researches on the Supercomputer Fugaku" (JP-103. Jacob, G. & Maris, T. A. J. Quasi-free scattering and nuclear 1256 MXP1020200105, hp200130, hp210165), and the KAKENHI 1257 Grants (17K05433, 20K03981, JP19H05145, JP21H00117). 1258 The material presented here is based upon work supported in 1259 part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, 1260 Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contract No. DE-AC02-1261 06CH11357 (ANL).

¹²⁶² Author Contributions Y.K. designed and proposed the ex-1263 periment, performed the offline analysis and the Monte Carlo 1264 simulations. Y.K., T.N., T.O., K.O., and N.A.O. drafted the 1265 manuscript. Y.K., T.N., N.L.A, H.F., L.A., T.A., H.B., K.B., 108. Bohr, A. & Mottelson, B. R. NUCLEAR STRUCTURE. Vol. I 1266 C.C., D.C., H.C., N.C., A.C., F.D., A.D., Q.D., Z.D., C.A.D., 1267 Z.E., I.G., J.-M.G., J.G., A.G., M.N.H., A.H., C.R.H., M.H., 109. Cooper, E. D., Hama, S., Clark, B. C. & Mercer, R. L. 1268 A.H., A.H., J.W.H., T.I., J.K., N.K-N., S.K., S.K., K.K., T.K., Global Dirac phenomenology for proton-nucleus elastic scatter- 1269 D.K., S.K., I.K., V.L., S.L., F.M.M., S.M., J.M., K.M., T.M., 1270 M.N., K.N., N.N., T.N., A.O., F.O.S., N.A.O., H.O., T.O., 110. Amos, K., Dortmans, P., von Geramb, H., Karataglidis, S. & 1271 V.P., S.P., A.R., D.R., A.T.S., T.S., M.S., H.S., Y.S., H.S., F.S., Raynal, J. ADVANCES IN NUCLEAR PHYSICS. edited by 1272 P.S., M.S., Y.S., H.S., D.S., O.S., L.S., S.T., M.T., M.T., H.T., 1273 Y.T., T.T., J.T., J.T., T.U., H.W., Z.Y., M.Y., and K.Y. took part

¹²⁷⁶ and detectors. T.O., N.S., N.T., Y.U. and S.Y. performed the ¹²⁷⁷ EEdf3 calculations. K.O. performed the DWIA calculations. ¹²⁷⁸ A.E., C.F., G.H., W.G.J., T.P., Z.H.S., and I.V. performed the ¹²⁷⁹ coupled-cluster calculations and their statistical analysis and ¹²⁸⁰ wrote the associated sections of the manuscript.

¹²⁸¹ **Competing Interests** The authors declare no competing in-¹²⁸² terests.



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Schematic view of the experimental setup. The insets show the overall efficiency as a function of decay energy for detecting $^{24}O + 3$ and 4 neutrons.

15



Extended Data Fig. 2 | **Partial decay energy spectra. a**, The filled grey histogram is the three-body decay energy $E_{01234} < 1$ MeV for the ²⁴O+4*n* coincidence events. The red and blue histograms are the results of simulations of sequential decay via the ²⁶O ground state (A and B in Fig. 2e) and five-body phase space decay, respectively. **b**, Same as a, but for the three-body decay energy E_{034} . **c**, The filled grey histogram is the partial decay energy spectrum E_{012} gated by $1.0 < E_{0123} < 1.2$ MeV for the ²⁴O+3*n* coincidence events. The red and blue dashed histograms are the results of simulations assuming ²⁷O sequential (B and C in Fig. 2e) and four-body phase space decay, respectively. The green hatched histogram represents the contribution from the decay of ²⁸O. The red (blue) solid histogram is the sum of the contributions from ²⁸O and ²⁷O for sequential (phase space) decay. **d**, Same as c, but for the two-body decay energy E_{03} . **e**, Decay energy spectrum of ²⁴O+2*n* events from the ²⁹Ne beam data. The grey histogram represents events with $E_{01} < 0.08$ MeV. The red histogram shows the results of the simulation for the decay of the ²⁷O resonance. The excess observed near zero decay energy is interpreted as arising from direct population of the ²⁶O ground state from ²⁹Ne. **f**, Decay energy spectrum of ²⁴O+2*n* events from the ²⁹Ne. The red histogram shows the best fit in the region of the peak arising from the decay of the ²⁷O resonance (dashed histogram) and an exponential distribution (dotted curve) arising from all other contributions which come primarily from the decay of ²⁸O.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | **Probability distribution of the calculated energy differences.** Survived non-implausible calculations are shown by blue dots as functions of energy differences $\Delta E(^{28,24}\text{O})$ and $\Delta E(^{27,28}\text{O})$. The black circle shows experiment. The dashed curves indicate 68% and 90% highest probability density regions. The top and right distributions are the 1-dimensional probability density distributions. The values given by the other theories are plotted as squares: green – USDB, GSM, and CSM, red –SDPF-M and EEdf3 and purple – VS-IMSRG.



Extended Data Fig. 4 | **Transverse momentum distribution of** the ²⁴**O**+3*n* system in the rest frame of the ²⁹**F** beam. Events corresponding to the population of the ²⁸O ground state ($E_{012} <$ 0.08 MeV and $E_{0123} <$ 0.8 MeV) are shown by the data points. The blue and red solid lines represent the DWIA calculations, including the experimental effects for $s_{1/2}$ and $d_{5/2}$ proton knockout, respectively, whereby the distributions have been scaled to best fit experiment.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | **Cross-validation of emulators.** (Upper left panel) Total energies of ²⁴O computed with the coupled-cluster method in the CCSDT-3 approximation versus the SP-CC emulator for a validation set of 100 parameter samples. (Upper right panel) Distribution of residuals in percent. (Lower left panel) 2⁺ excitation energies of ²⁴O computed with the coupled-cluster method in the EOM-CCSDT-3 approximation versus the SP-CC emulator for a validation set of 40 parameter samples. (Lower right panel) Distribution of residuals in percent.

Target	7	c	C 11	C .1 .1	6 1
Target	4	e exp	e model	e method	e emulator
$E(^{2}\mathrm{H})$	-2.2298	0.0	0.05	0.0005	0.001%
$r_{p}^{2}(^{2}\mathrm{H})$	3.9030	0.0	0.02	0.0005	0.001%
$Q(^{2}\mathrm{H})$	0.27	0.01	0.003	0.0005	0.001%
$E(^{3}\mathrm{H})$	-8.4818	0.0	0.17	0.0005	0.005%
$E(^{4}\text{He})$	-28.2956	0.0	0.55	0.0005	0.005%
$r_{p}^{2}(^{4}\text{He})$	2.1176	0.0	0.045	0.0005	0.05%
$\dot{E}(^{16}O)$	127.62	0.0	0.75	1.5	0.5%
$r_p^2(^{16}\text{O})$	6.660	0.0	0.16	0.05	1%
$\Delta E^{(22,16}O)$	-34.41	0.0	0.4	0.5	1%
$\Delta E(^{24,22}\mathrm{O})$	-6.35	0.0	0.4	0.5	4%
$E_{2^+}(^{24}\text{O})$	4.79	0.0	0.5	0.25	2%
$\Delta E(^{25,24}\mathrm{O})$	0.77	0.02	0.4	0.25	

Extended Data Table 1 | **Error assignments used in the statistical analysis.** Energies in (MeV), squared point-proton radii in (fm²) and the deuteron quadrupole moment in (e^2 fm²). $\Delta E(^{25,24}$ O) is used in the Bayesian update step and the experimental target is from Hoffman et al.²⁴. This observable is computed in particle-attached coupled-cluster theory⁸⁴ and does not involve an emulator.

Target	Z	ε _{exp}	€ _{model}	€ method	<i>e</i> mulator
E(² H)	-2.2298	0.0	0.05	0.0005	0.001%
$r_{p}^{2}(^{2}H)$	3.9030	0.0	0.02	0.0005	0.001%
Q(2H)	0.27	0.01	0.003	0.0005	0.001%
<i>E</i> (³ H)	-8.4818	0.0	0.17	0.0005	0.005%
<i>E</i> (⁴ He)	-28.2956	0.0	0.55	0.0005	0.005%
r ² _p (⁴ He)	2.1176	0.0	0.045	0.0005	0.05%
É(¹⁶ O)	127.62	0.0	0.75	1.5	0.5%
$r_{p}^{2}(^{16}\text{O})$	6.660	0.0	0.16	0.05	1%
$\Delta E^{(22, 16}O)$	-34.41	0.0	0.4	0.5	1%
$\Delta E(^{24,22}O)$	-6.35	0.0	0.4	0.5	4%
$E_{2^+}(^{24}O)$	4.79	0.0	0.5	0.25	2%
$\Delta E(^{25,24}O)$	0.77	0.02	0.4	0.25	_



Extended Data Fig. 6 | **History-matching waves and Bayesian posterior sampling.** (Lower left triangle) The panel limits correspond to the input volume of wave 1. The domain is iteratively reduced and the input volumes of wave 2, 3, 4 are indicated by green/dash-dotted, blue/dashed, black/solid rectangles. The optical depths of non-implausible samples in the final wave are shown in red with darker regions corresponding to a denser distribution of non-implausible samples. (Upper right triangle) Parameter posterior pdf from MCMC sampling with the non-implausible samples of the history-matching analysis as starting points. We use an uncorrelated, multivariate normal likelihood function and a uniform prior bounded by the first wave initial volume. Note that the relevant posterior regions are small in some directions, but larger in others such as c_D and c_E .

		Number of	Number of	NI samples
Wave	Target set (\mathcal{Z})	active parameters	input samples	fraction
1	np phase shifts	5–7	$10^{5} - 10^{7}$	$10^{-1} - 10^{-4}$
2	A = 2	7	10^{8}	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$
3	A = 2 - 4	13	10^{8}	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
4	A = 2-4, 16-24	17	$5 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1.3\cdot 10^{-6}$

Extended Data Table 2 | **Definitions of history-matching waves** used in this work. The np phase shifts correspond to six targets $(T_{\text{lab}} = 1, 5, 25, 50, 100, 200 \text{ MeV})$ for ${}^{1}S_{0}$, ${}^{3}S_{1}$, ${}^{1}P_{1}$, ${}^{3}P_{0}$, ${}^{3}P_{1}$, ${}^{3}P_{2}$ partial waves. The A = 2 observables are $E({}^{2}\text{H})$, $r_{p}({}^{2}\text{H})$, $Q({}^{2}\text{H})$, while A = 3, 4 are $E({}^{3}\text{H})$, $E({}^{4}\text{He})$, $r_{p}({}^{4}\text{He})$. Finally, A = 16 - 24 correspond to $E({}^{16}\text{O})$, $r_{p}({}^{16}\text{O})$, the binding-energy differences $\Delta E({}^{24,22}\text{O})$, $\Delta E({}^{22,16}\text{O})$ and $E_{2^+}({}^{24}\text{O})$.

Wave	Target set (Z)	Number of active parameters	Number of input samples	NI samples fraction
1	np phase shifts	5–7	10 ⁵ -10 ⁷	10 ⁻¹ –10 ⁻⁴
2	A = 2	7	10 ⁸	2.3·10 ⁻⁴
3	A = 2-4	13	10 ⁸	3.4·10 ⁻⁵
4	A = 2-4, 16-24	17	5 · 10 ⁸	1.3.10-6

Parameter	median	68% credible region
\tilde{C}_{1S0pp}	-0.343	[-0.360, -0.327]
\tilde{C}_{1S0np}	-0.339	[-0.352, -0.328]
\tilde{C}_{1S0nn}	-0.337	[-0.349, -0.327]
\tilde{C}_{3S1}	-0.258	[-0.272, -0.245]
C_{1S0}	2.502	[2.377, 2.785]
C_{3P0}	1.343	[1.217, 1.600]
$C_{1\text{P1}}$	-0.273	[-0.600, -0.115]
C_{3P1}	-1.074	[-1.199, -0.834]
C_{3S1}	0.982	[0.803, 1.160]
$C_{\rm E1}$	0.437	[0.358, 0.512]
C_{3P2}	-0.920	[-1.032, -0.809]
c_1	-0.740	[-0.760, -0.721]
c_2	-0.494	[-0.645, -0.347]
c_3	-0.645	[-0.841, -0.456]
c_4	0.958	[0.861, 1.056]
$c_{\rm D}$	-0.460	[-1.955, 0.175]
$c_{\rm E}$	-0.107	[-0.471, 0.196]

Extended Data Table 3 | Medians and 68% credible regions (highest-density intervals) from the Bayesian posterior pdf of χ EFT model parameters obtained with MCMC sampling as described in the text.

Parameter	median	68% credible region
\tilde{C}_{1S0pp}	-0.343	[-0.360, -0.327]
\tilde{C}_{1S0np}	-0.339	[-0.352, -0.328]
\tilde{C}_{1S0nn}	-0.337	[-0.349, -0.327]
\tilde{C}_{3S1}	-0.258	[-0.272, -0.245]
C_{1S0}	2.502	[2.377, 2.785]
C_{3P0}	1.343	[1.217, 1.600]
C_{1P1}	-0.273	[-0.600, -0.115]
C _{3P1}	-1.074	[-1.199, -0.834]
C_{3S1}	0.982	[0.803, 1.160]
C _{E1}	0.437	[0.358, 0.512]
C _{3P2}	-0.920	[-1.032, -0.809]
C1	-0.740	[-0.760, -0.721]
<i>c</i> ₂	-0.494	[-0.645, -0.347]
<i>c</i> ₃	-0.645	[-0.841, -0.456]
<i>C</i> ₄	0.958	[0.861, 1.056]
CD	-0.460	[-1.955, 0.175]
CE	-0.107	[-0.471, 0.196]



Extended Data Fig. 7 | **Posterior predictive distributions for** ^{16,22,24}O. MCMC samples of the posterior predictive distribution for selected oxygen observables. The black (maroon) histogram shows results obtained with an uncorrelated, Gaussian likelihood (including a discrete probability $p(E_{np.1S0} > 0|\theta) = 1$). The red histogram illustrates a low-statistics sample. The 68% credible regions and the medians are indicated by dashed lines on the diagonal, while the solid, vertical grey (blue) lines show the experimental target (prediction with the Δ NNLO_{GO}(394) interaction).