

# A new milestone for ultra-low 236 U/ 238 U isotope ratio measurements by ICP-MS/MS $\,$

Hugo Jaegler, Alkiviadis Gourgiotis

## ▶ To cite this version:

Hugo Jaegler, Alkiviadis Gourgiotis. A new milestone for ultra-low 236 U/ 238 U isotope ratio measurements by ICP-MS/MS. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 2023, 38 (10), pp.1914-1919.  $10.1039/\mathrm{D3JA00175J}$ . hal-04196252

## HAL Id: hal-04196252 https://hal.science/hal-04196252

Submitted on 5 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### **Technical Note**

## A new milestone for ultra-low <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratio measurements by ICP-MS/MS

Hugo Jaegler,\*\* Alkiviadis Gourgiotis<sup>b</sup>

Received 30th May 2023, Accepted 11th August 2023

DOI: <u>10.1039/D3JA00175J</u>

Uranium isotope composition in environmental samples allows to trace its origin. Therefore, the analytical community has been working for several years to reduce the detection limit of the <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotopic ratio using mass spectrometry, and in particular the ICP-MS/MS technology. The latest generation of ICP-MS/MS, recently commercialized, has improved performance, including better ion transmissions and an extended dynamic range of mass detection. These improvements, the coupling with an efficient desolvating module and the addition of oxygen in the collision/reaction cell permitted to remove the interferences of <sup>236</sup>U<sup>+</sup> ion measurements (abundance sensitivity of the major isotopes and polyatomic interferences, notably <sup>235</sup>UH<sup>+</sup> ions). For the first time a precise determination of the <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotopic ratio up to 10<sup>-11</sup> was demonstrated, validated by the analysis of certified reference materials and environmental samples previously measured by AMS. This new limit is a new milestone in the determination of ultra-low <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios and opens the way to various geochemical applications.

#### Introduction

Uranium isotope measurements are of increasing interest for environmental sciences, nuclear safeguards and nuclear forensics (1,2). Due to the small natural variations and to an important anthropogenic U dilution by the natural U in the environment, the use of  $^{235}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratios is sometimes irrelevant. Recently, several studies have focused on the minor isotopes of uranium in the environment (3–5). In fact, a minor isotope like  $^{236}U$  is of prime interest for the determination of the origin of uranium thanks to the variability of its isotope ratios depending on their origin (6).

The <sup>236</sup>U concentration in the environment (not contaminated by nuclear activities) is a few tens of fg/g (7) and their <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios are typically about  $10^{-14} - 10^{-13}$  (6). In U ore, high uranium concentration and neutron flux increase the <sup>236</sup>U formation rate and the isotope ratio varies between  $10^{-12}$  and  $10^{-10}$ . Anthropogenic <sup>236</sup>U, formed in enriched uranium, was spread in the environment. Global fallout from nuclear weapon tests released uranium thus leading to characteristic <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios of  $10^{-9} - 10^{-6}$  and  $> 10^{-6}$  for sediment and soils impacted by nuclear accidents or releases from nuclear reprocessing plants.

However, the detection of <sup>236</sup>U in environmental samples is quite challenging due to its low abundance and requires therefore instruments with high sensitivity and high resolution.

The most efficient technique is the Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS), in which ions are accelerated before the separation of isotopes. Its high resolution allows the detection of very low concentrations of <sup>236</sup>U ions and therefore very low <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios of about 10<sup>-14</sup> (8). The second most efficient method is the Thermal Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (TIMS), with a detection limit of about 10<sup>-10</sup> (6,9). Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) techniques were until recently less performant for the determination of <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios (10–15). Some spectral interferences limit the determination of very low isotope ratios, which are mainly the uranium hydride species (235U1H+), and the abundance sensitivity of most abundant isotopes <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U. Recent works have focused on the determination of very low isotope ratios by ICP-MS/MS (7,16–18) by improving the hydride formation, the peak tailing etc. In a recent work, the lowest isotope ratios measured using the ICP-MS/MS technology in UO<sup>+</sup> mode was about 1×10<sup>-10</sup>.

The present study was motivated by the possibility to experiment with the new model of ICP-MS/MS, the Agilent 8900. The objective of this work is to determine the performances of this new generation of ICP-MS/MS in terms of hydride formation rate and the lowest  $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratio achievable in UO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> mode. To this end, measurements of certified references materials and environmental samples were performed.

#### Experimental

#### Reagents

High purity nitric acid (VWR Chemicals, Normapur 68%), hydrochloric acid (Merck, Emsure 37%), hydrofluoric acid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-ENV/SAME/LERCA, F-78110, Le Vésinet, France. E-mail: hugo.jaegler@irsn.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-ENV/SEDRE/LELI, F-92260, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

#### **Technical Note**

**Journal Name** 

(MERCK, Normapur) and perchloric acid (Prolabo, Suprapur) were used in this work (sample digestion, uranium separation from sample matrix, etc.). Acid dilutions were performed with deionized water (18.2 M $\Omega$ .cm Milli Q system, Millipore). Sample solutions, instrumental blanks and washing procedures were performed with 2% HNO<sub>3</sub>. Moreover, for an efficient instrument washing, 0.05 mol.L<sup>-1</sup> (M) HEDPA and a solution with HF/HNO<sub>3</sub>/HCl were used to decrease the uranium background before sample measurements.

Care was taken to avoid the cross-contamination of samples. In addition to high purity reagents, samples were prepared in laminar flow cabinet (ISO3-ISO4) to minimise laboratory background. Procedural blanks (n=12) were prepared in the same conditions as samples and analysed by AMS. No contamination was highlighted. Moreover, blank solutions (n=32) were regularly measured between samples to ensure the non-contamination of the instrument (see Calculation procedures section below).

#### Standards and samples for method validation

As previously (7), several samples characterised by decreasing  $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratios were measured for method validation. First, a standard from the Joint Research Centre (Geel, Belgium) IRMM-075/6 was analysed. The uranium concentration in the measured solution was 5  $\mu g.g^{\text{-1}}\text{,}$  and its certified  $^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotope ratio is (1.09±0.01)×10<sup>-9</sup>. The second standard is an inhouse AMS standard of uranyl nitrate processed before 1918, called KkU, provided by the University of Physics in Vienna (19). Its isotopic ratio is well-known (6.98±0.32)×10<sup>-11</sup>. Finally, two environmental samples, called MBN and MTG, were analysed. These samples are uranium ores found in the vicinity of former uranium mines in France. They were previously characterised by AMS at VERA Laboratory (Vienna, Austria) and the  $^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ isotope ratios were respectively (1.04±0.18)×10<sup>-10</sup> for MBN and (1.13±0.17)×10<sup>-11</sup> for MTG. Uranium concentrations in these samples were approximately 100  $\mu g.g^{\text{-1}}$  for MBN and 1300 µg.g<sup>-1</sup> for MTG. Finally, mass fractionation was corrected using a certified standard from the Joint Research Centre (Geel, Belgium) IRMM-184. The uranium concentrations in the measured solutions were respectively about 0.04  $\mu$ g.g<sup>-1</sup>, 5 μg.g<sup>-1</sup>, 15 μg.g<sup>-1</sup>, 14 μg.g<sup>-1</sup> and 12 μg.g<sup>-1</sup> for IRMM-184, IRMM-075/6, KkU, MBN and MTG respectively.

#### Sample preparation

Environmental samples were collected in wetlands downstream from former U-mines in France. All samples contain high U concentrations (~ 3000-4000  $\mu$ g.g<sup>-1</sup>) and were prepared using the method described in a previous publication (7). In brief, about 100 mg of samples were dissolved in Savillex® PFA Teflon® vials using concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> and concentrated HF. Samples were heated to 90°C overnight with closed lids before adding concentrated HClO<sub>4</sub>. Samples were heated to 90°C with open lids during 3h to evaporate the nitric and hydrofluoric acids. Temperature was increased to 160°C to evaporate the perchloric acid. Samples were then purified with UTEVA resin (100 – 150  $\mu$ m). Samples were loaded on the column in 3 M  $HNO_3$  media and matrix elements were discarded using 6 mL 3 M  $HNO_3$ . Th was then eluted using 6 mL 3 M HCl, and finally U was eluted using 6 mL 0.1 M HCl. U fractions were evaporated to dryness and recovered with 0.05 M  $HNO_3$  for ICP-MS measurement.

#### Instrumentation

To achieve a very low hydride formation rate, a desolvating instrument was used before the introduction of the sample in the ICP-MS. In this work, we used APEX  $\Omega$  from Elemental Scientific (Nebraska, USA). It allows us to decrease the hydride formation rate in the plasma and to increase the uranium sensitivity. Desolvating instrument gas (nebuliser gas, N<sub>2</sub> gas and Ar gas) and ICP-MS parameters were daily optimized using a 1 ng.g<sup>-1</sup> uranium solution (Table 1). Argon and nitrogen gas quality is "premier". Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) or dioxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) were used in the CRC. Gas qualities were "Technique" for N<sub>2</sub>O and "ultrapure" for O<sub>2</sub>. All gases are from AirProducts (Aubervilliers, France).

Table 1: ICP-MS/MS parameters: desolvating instrument parameters, ICP-MS/MS and acquisition parameters

Parameter	Value
Desolvating system	ΑΡΕΧ Ω
Apex $\Omega$ Spray Chamber temperature	140 °C
Apex $\Omega$ Peltier Cooler temperature	3 °C
Apex $\Omega$ Desolvator temperature	155 °C
Nebulizer	PFA Micro-Concentric (ESI)
Nebulizer gas	100 μL/min
N <sub>2</sub> gas (desolvating system)	2.37 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Ar gas (desolvating system)	3.35 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Torch	One-piece (Quartz)
Sample gas	0.88 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Sample uptake	~300 μL min⁻¹
RF power	1500 W
Sampling depth	6 mm
Cell gas (O <sub>2</sub> ) flow rate	0.435 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
Extract 1 and 2	-11.2, -186 V
Deflect	10.6 V
Q1 and Q2 Mass Gain	132, 136
Q1 and Q2 Mass Offset	126, 124
Q1 and Q2 Axis Offset	-0.15, -0.08
Octopole Bias	-1.0 V
Energy discrimination	-10.0 V
Peak shape	1 point
Integration time of $^{234,235,236}U^{16}O_2^+$ ,	1, 0.1, 8, 2 s
$^{238}U^{1}H^{16}O_{2}^{+}$	
Number of sweeps per replicate	100
Number of replicates per sample	10
Sensitivity on <sup>238</sup> UO <sub>2</sub> +	4.3×10 <sup>6</sup> cps/ppb

#### Calculation procedures

Precautions were taken regarding the <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratio calculation procedure. Indeed, <sup>236</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U signals cannot be directly used for the determination of ratios because they are not measured using the same detection mode (pulse counting or analogue). To ensure that isotopes are measured in pulse

counting mode (and to avoid detector overrange due to an extremely high <sup>238</sup>U ions flux), the <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratio was deduced from the  ${}^{236}\text{U}/{}^{234}\text{U}$  isotope ratio, as explained in our previous work (7). All isotopes were measured in mass-shift mode, reacting with oxygen in the CRC. However, for an easy reading, all isotopes will be noted <sup>23X</sup>U<sup>+</sup>, instead of <sup>23X</sup>U<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and isotope ratios will be expressed as <sup>23X</sup>U<sup>+</sup>/<sup>23X</sup>U<sup>+</sup> and <sup>23X</sup>UH<sup>+</sup>/<sup>23X</sup>U<sup>+</sup> instead of  ${}^{23x}U{}^{16}O_2{}^+/{}^{23x}U{}^{16}O_2{}^+$  and  ${}^{23x}U{}^{16}O_2H{}^+/{}^{23x}U{}^{16}O_2{}^+$ .

For the determination of  $^{236}U^+/^{234}U^+$ , all signals (10 replicates for each isotope) were corrected for the blank signal. For the blank correction, several (n=32) 0.05 M HNO<sub>3</sub> samples were regularly measured during the analysis sequence. The <sup>236</sup>U<sup>+</sup> signals in these blank solutions were a mean of 0.7±0.5 cps (k=2). The same level of <sup>236</sup>U signal was found in the procedural blanks, which demonstrates the non-contamination from the lab or the reagents.

Then, the isotope ratios were corrected for outliers and for hydride formation rate and mass fractionation. After the blank correction, the <sup>236</sup>U<sup>+</sup>/<sup>234</sup>U<sup>+</sup> and <sup>238</sup>UH<sup>+</sup>/<sup>234</sup>U<sup>+</sup> isotope ratios were simply calculated. Outliers were rejected with a 2-sigma test. Then, the  ${}^{236}U^{+}/{}^{234}U^{+}$  isotope ratios were corrected for the hydride formation interferences using Eq. 1. More details can be found in Supplementary Information.

$$\left(\frac{{}^{236}\text{U}^{+}}{{}^{234}\text{U}^{+}}\right)_{\text{corr}} = \left(\frac{{}^{236}\text{U}^{+}}{{}^{234}\text{U}^{+}}\right)_{\text{meas}} - \left(\frac{{}^{238}\text{U}\text{H}^{+}}{{}^{234}\text{U}^{+}}\right)_{\text{meas}} \times \frac{1}{137.88} \quad (1)$$

Where,  $\binom{236}{234}{0}^{*}_{\text{corr}}$  correspond to the isotope ratio corrected for Where  $\sigma \binom{236}{238}{0}^{*}_{n}$  is the standard deviation of n repetitions of the hydride formation rate,  $\binom{236}{234}{0}^{*}_{\text{meas}}$  correspond to the measured isotope ratio, and  $\binom{236}{234}{0}^{*}_{\text{meas}}$  is the hydride formation rate measured in the same locate that 127.00 is the formation rate measured in the sample. Note that 137.88 is the natural <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>235</sup>U isotope ratio value recommended by the IGC Subcommision on geochronology. Mass fractionation was considered for  $^{236}U^{+}/^{234}U^{+}$  and  $^{238}UH^{+}/^{234}U^{+}$  isotope ratios using the <sup>235</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U ratio measured in the certified standard IRMM-184 in standard bracketing mode. Finally, <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios were deduced using the corrected  ${}^{236}U/{}^{234}U$  and the <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios measured in diluted solutions of the samples.

All signal corrections were performed before the mass fractionation correction which was applied to each replicate of measurement. Uncertainties with an extended coverage factor of 2 were determined using Eq. 2.

$$u\left(\frac{^{23x}U^{*}}{^{23x}U^{*}}\right) = 2 \times \frac{\sigma\left(\frac{^{23x}U^{*}}{^{23x}U^{*}}\right)}{\sqrt{N}}$$
(2)

Where  $u\left(\frac{23X}{23X_{11}^{*}}\right)$  is the uncertainty of measured isotope ratio,  $\sigma\left(\frac{^{23\times}{\rm U}^{*}}{^{23\times}{_{\rm I}}^{*}}\right)$  is the standard deviation of the replicates (N) of the

measured isotope ratios. For the mass fraction correction and the following corrections, uncertainties are propagated during each step.

Means of the N replicates are also presented in the result section; uncertainties of these mean values are based on the propagation of the uncertainties of the N replicates.

The method validation was quantified by the determination of trueness and repeatability for each sample. The trueness (%) of certified values was determined using Eq. 3.

Trueness (%) = 
$$\left(\frac{\left(\frac{236}{238}U^{+}\right)_{\text{meas}}}{\left(\frac{236}{238}U^{+}\right)_{\text{cert}}} \cdot 1\right) \times 100$$
 (3)

Where  $\binom{^{236}U^+}{^{238}U^+}_{meas}$  is the measured isotope ratio and  $\binom{^{236}U^+}{^{238}U^+}_{cert}$  is the certified isotope ratio.

Repeatabilities (%) were determined with an extended coverage factor of 2, following Eq. 4.

Repeatability (%) = 
$$2 \times \frac{\sigma \left(\frac{236}{238}U^{+}\right)}{\left(\frac{236}{238}U^{+}\right)_{mean}} \times 100$$
 (4)

#### **Result and discussion**

#### Uranium sensitivity with Agilent ICP-MS/MS

The new generation of Agilent ICP-MS/MS, the 8900, has new capabilities which greatly improve the performances of the ICP-MS/MS technology, compared with the first generation of Agilent 8800. The main differences are better interface cones, lenses and vacuum pump to enhance sensitivity. The major improvement is the widening of the dynamic range of mass filters, which allows now the measurement of isotopes up to a mass to charge ratio of 280. In our study this is a great improvement, as U ions could now be measured as UO<sub>2</sub>+ rather than UO<sup>+</sup>. As previously mentioned, all uranium isotopes were measured in mass shift mode: for example, for the <sup>238</sup>U determination, Q1 is fixed at m/z = 238, uranium isotopes are oxidised in the CRC with reaction gas, then Q2 is fixed at m/z=238+32=270.

Sensitivities obtained with UO<sup>+</sup> and UO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> were compared (Figure 1). O<sub>2</sub> was injected in the CRC with an increasing flow rate. Sensitivities at m/z=254 and 270 were observed. As in our previous study, a maximum of sensitivity is observed for a O2 flow rate of 0.04 mL/min (8 %). However, the maximum sensitivity is now about  $1 \times 10^6$  cps/ppb, which is an improvement by a factor of 2 compared with the 8800 ICP-MS/MS (7,20). Regarding the UO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> signal, the maximum of sensitivity is found for 0.435 mL/min (29 %) at a level of  $3.5 \times 10^6$  cps/ppb. This improvement of the sensitivity using UO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> is required to decrease the limit of detection of the  $^{236}$ U<sup>+</sup> ions.



Figure 2: Uranium oxide sensitivities using oxygen in the CRC, measured as UO<sup>+</sup> (blue) or  $UO_2^+$  (orange).

#### **Reaction gas optimisation**

In our previous work (7), efforts were made on the reduction of the hydride formation rate, which is the main interference during <sup>236</sup>U measurements using an Agilent 8800 ICP-MS/MS. For this purpose, several reaction gases in CRC, desolvating module and molarity of the nitric acid of the measured solution were tested. The optimal combination consisted in the use of N<sub>2</sub>O in CRC, APEX  $\Omega$  and 0.05 M HNO<sub>3</sub> and allowed us to obtain a sensitivity of 5×10<sup>5</sup> cps/ppb and a hydride formation rate of 6.40×10<sup>-9</sup>.

In this work, we compared the performance of Agilent 8800 and Agilent 8900 in terms of sensitivity and hydride formation rate (Figure 2). As previously mentioned, we observed an increase in sensitivity from  $5 \times 10^5$  cps/ppb with Agilent 8800 to a value of  $4 \times 10^6$  cps/ppb with Agilent 8900. This increase in sensitivity is mainly due to the improvement of the ion beam transport between the extraction lenses and the detector and to measuring uranium in the UO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> form (a more abundant species than UO+).

Moreover, results show a decrease of the uranium hydride formation rate UH<sup>+</sup>/U<sup>+</sup> to a value of  $0.72 \times 10^{-9}$  with the Agilent 8900 and O<sub>2</sub> as a reaction gas, which is an order of magnitude below the value published in our previous work ( $6.40 \times 10^{-9}$ ) (7). This extremely low interference rate brings new limits of detection and the capacities to determine ultra-low <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios. To this end, it is possible to quantify the theoretically lowest measurable <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratio, using Eq. 5. Details on this equation can be found in Supplementary Information.



Figure 1. Comparison of the hydride formation rate and sensitivity with Agilent 8800 ICP-MS/MS (left) from Jaegler *et al.* (2020) and Agilent 8900 ICP-MS/MS (right) using  $O_2$  or  $N_2O$  as a reaction gas in the CRC.

$$\binom{2^{36}U^{+}}{2^{38}U^{+}} = \frac{UH^{+}/U^{+}}{2^{35}UH^{+}/^{236}U^{+}} \times \frac{1}{137.88}$$
(5)

With a hydride formation rate of  $7.2 \times 10^{-10}$  and an interference to signal ratio of 1, the new lowest measurable  $^{236}U/^{238}U$ isotope ratio is  $5 \times 10^{-12}$ . Consequently, the developed method is now sufficiently effective for the quantification of  $^{236}U/^{238}U$ isotope ratios in uranium ore, hence the discrimination between anthropogenic uranium (from global fallout or nuclear industry releases) and natural uranium (from uranium ore).

Nitrous oxide was also tested with the Agilent 8900 ICP-MS/MS. In our previous work, using N<sub>2</sub>O in the CRC allows us to greatly decrease the hydride formation rate. Surprisingly, in this work, our results show a decrease of the sensitivity from  $4.3 \times 10^6$  cps/ppb with O<sub>2</sub> to  $2.4 \times 10^6$  cps/ppb using N<sub>2</sub>O, and also a decline of the hydride formation rate to  $1.01 \times 10^{-9}$ . This result is consistent with recent published research using N<sub>2</sub>O in Agilent 8900 ICP-MS/MS (21). Authors put forward the bigger size of the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule and the loss of analyte by collision. Accordingly, we used O<sub>2</sub> as a reaction gas and the APEX  $\Omega$  desolvating module to quantify the <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios in a certified reference solution and environmental samples.

#### Validation of the method with certified reference materials

The developed method was validated through the measurement of a certified reference solution, IRMM 075/6 (Figure 3), and an in-house AMS standard of uranyl nitrate, KkU (Figure 4).

The IRMM standard is certified for a  $^{236}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratio of 1.0885(63) × 10<sup>-9</sup>. This is the lowest certified material commercially available for uranium ratios. The mean of 8 replicates shows a value of 1.098(30) × 10<sup>-9</sup> (k=2) (Figure 3). The relative uncertainty is 2.7 %. It is worth mentioning that this

value is lower by a factor of 2 compared with previous results on the same certified reference material (4.6 %) (7). The trueness equals 0.9 %, and the repeatability is 5.9 % (k=2).



Figure 3. Measurement of  $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratios in IRMM 075/6 certified reference material. The open dot corresponds to the mean of the measurements (red dots). The blue rectangle highlights the certified value uncertainties. Uncertainties are extended with a coverage factor of 2.

Regarding the AMS standard, KkU sample, with a usual reference value of  $6.98(32) \times 10^{-11}$ , results on 5 measurement repetitions show a value of  $7.36(33) \times 10^{-11}$  (k=2). The relative uncertainty is 4.5 %, the trueness is 5.5 % and the repeatability is 13 % (k=2).



Figure 4: Measurement of  $^{236}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratios in KkU reference material. The open dot corresponds to the mean of the measurements (red dots). The blue rectangle highlights the certified value uncertainties. Uncertainties are extended with a coverage factor of 2.

These results on reference material IRMM 075/6 and KkU are in good agreement with the certified values, demonstrated by the trueness lower than 1% and 6% respectively. Relative uncertainties better than 5% and repeatabilities better than 15% (using a coverage factor of 2) validated the measurement method to uranium ratios down to  $10^{-11}$ . This is the first time that  $^{236}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratios at this level were determined using an ICP-MS/MS. To confirm the capabilities of our method, environmental samples were also analysed.

#### Validation of the method with environmental samples

The environmental samples are two fraction of uranium ores, MBN and MTG, whose  $^{236}U/^{238}U$  isotope ratios were previously

 $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratio measurements by AMS on MBN sample showed a value of 1.04(18) × 10<sup>-10</sup> (k=2). The mean value of ICP-MS/MS measurements over 20 repetitions is 9.08(18) × 10<sup>-11</sup> (Figure 5). The relative uncertainty equals 2.0% (k=2), the trueness is - 13%, whereas the repeatability is 19% (k=2).



Figure 5: Measurements of <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios in MBN sample. The open dot corresponds to the mean of the measurements (red dots). The blue rectangle highlights the certified value uncertainties. Uncertainties are extended with a coverage factor of 2.

The uranium ratio in the MTG sample determined by AMS was  $1.13(17) \times 10^{-11}$  (k=2) (Figure 6). ICP-MS/MS measurements over 4 repetitions show a mean value of  $1.33(23) \times 10^{-11}$  (k=2), a trueness of 18 % and a repeatability of 40 % (k=2).



Figure 6: Measurements of <sup>236</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U isotope ratios in MTG sample. The open dot corresponds to the mean of the measurements (red dots). The blue rectangle highlights the certified value uncertainties. Uncertainties are extended with a coverage factor of 2.

AMS and ICP-MS/MS measurements are in good agreement even for ultra-low  ${}^{236}U/{}^{238}U$  isotope ratios at level  $10^{-11}$  with trueness values below 20 % for both samples. These results confirmed the capabilities of the developed method to reach a new milestone in the determination of ultra-low levels of  ${}^{236}U/{}^{238}U$  isotope ratios in environmental samples.

#### Conclusions

The capabilities of a new generation of ICP-MS/MS were tested to reach a new limit of detection for the  $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratio of 10<sup>-11</sup>. This is one order of magnitude lower than the previously published limit of detection of 10<sup>-10</sup> (7). Earlier on, this level was a clear boundary in the capabilities of the method, as interferences were too significant to reach an accurate determination of the  $^{236}$ U/ $^{238}$ U isotope ratio below 10<sup>-10</sup>. With the new performance of the last generation of ICP-MS/MS and their capabilities to remove or reduce the measurement interferences, an accurate detection down to 10<sup>-11</sup> is now possible.

This new limit is now very close to the theoretical lowest achievable  ${}^{236}\text{U}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  isotope ratio (5 × 10<sup>-12</sup>). However, there are still some drawbacks that are difficult to subvert: high sample volumes for samples with natural U concentration (~10 g of sample, considering 3 µg.g<sup>-1</sup> for the Earth crust (22)) and instrumental memory effects requiring long washout time to ensure that uranium contamination is completely removed. With great care of the blank level, accurate detection of  ${}^{236}\text{U}/{}^{238}\text{U}$  isotope ratios below 10<sup>-11</sup> could be achievable. This method can be performed for example to discriminate uranium ore and natural uranium in environmental samples.

#### **Author Contributions**

HJ, AG performed the ICP-MS/MS investigations. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to Peter Steier, Robin Golser (VERA Laboratory, Vienna, Austria) for the AMS measurements and for providing us with a sample of the KkU reference material. We also express our acknowledgements to Nicolas Cariou and Béatrice Boulet for method discussions.

#### Notes and references

- Santos JS, Teixeira LSG, dos Santos WNL, Lemos VA, Godoy JM, Ferreira SLC. Uranium determination using atomic spectrometric techniques: An overview. Vol. 674, Analytica Chimica Acta. Elsevier; 2010. p. 143–56.
- Pollington AD, Kinman WS, Hanson SK, Steiner RE. Polyatomic interferences on high precision uranium isotope ratio measurements by MC-ICP-MS: applications to environmental sampling for nuclear safeguards. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry. 2016 Mar;307(3):2109–15.
- 3. Ohno T, Sato N, Shikimori J, Ijichi Y, Fukami Y, Igarashi Y. Temporal change of 236U/238U and 235U/238U isotopic

ratios in atmospheric deposition in Tokyo and Akita from 1963 to 1979. Science of the Total Environment. 2022;810(xxxx):151292.

- Lin HT, Chiang HW, Yu TL, Christl M, Liu J, DeLong K, et al. 236 U/ 238 U Analysis of Femtograms of 236 U by MC-ICPMS . Analytical Chemistry. 2021;
- Shao Y, Yang G, Xu D, Yamada M, Tazoe H, Luo M, et al. First report on global fallout 236U and uranium atom ratios in soils from Hunan Province, China. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. 2019 Feb 1;197:1–8.
- Bu W, Zheng J, Ketterer ME, Hu S, Uchida S, Wang X. Development and application of mass spectrometric techniques for ultra-trace determination of 236U in environmental samples-A review. Vol. 995, Analytica Chimica Acta. Elsevier B.V.; 2017. p. 1–20.
- Jaegler H, Gourgiotis A, Steier P, Golser R, Diez O, Cazala C. Pushing limits of ICP-MS / MS for the determination of ultralow 236U / 238U isotope ratios. Analytical Chemistry. 2020;7869–76.
- Steier P, Hain K, Klötzli U, Lachner J, Priller A, Winkler S, et al. The actinide beamline at VERA. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2019 Nov;458:82–9.
- Richter S, Alonso A, De Bolle W, Wellum R, Taylor PDPDP. Isotopic "fingerprints" for natural uranium ore samples. International Journal of Mass Spectrometry. 1999 Oct;193(1):9–14.
- Suzuki T, Yamamura T, Abe C, Konashi K, Shikamori Y. Actinide molecular ion formation in collision/reaction cell of triple quadrupole ICP-MS/MS and its application to quantitative actinide analysis. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry. 2018 Oct;318(1):221–5.
- Xing S, Luo M, Wu Y, Liu D, Dai X. Rapid determination of uranium isotopes in calcium fluoride sludge by tandem quadrupole ICP-MS/MS. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 2019 Oct;34(10):2027–34.
- Warwick PE, Russell BC, Croudace IW, Zacharauskas. Evaluation of inductively coupled plasma tandem mass spectrometry for radionuclide assay in nuclear waste characterisation. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry. 2019 Sep;34(9):1810–21.
- Wang W, Evans RD, Evans HE. A rapid, automated system for the separation, preconcentration and measurement of 90Sr, and U, Am and Pu isotopes. Talanta. 2021 Oct 1;233:122507.
- Zhu Y, Tomoko A, Nakano K, Shikamori Y. Trends and Advances in Inductively Coupled Plasma Tandem Quadruple Mass Spectrometry (ICP-QMS/QMS) With Reaction. Atomic Spectroscopy. 2021;

- Boulyga SF, Klötzli U, Prohaska T. Improved abundance sensitivity in MC-ICP-MS for determination of 236U/238U isotope ratios in the 10–7 to 10–8 range. J Anal At Spectrom. 2006 Nov 27;21(12):1427–30.
- Diez-Fernández S, Jaegler H, Bresson C, Chartier F, Evrard O, Hubert A, et al. A new method for determining 236U/238U isotope ratios in environmental samples by means OF ICP-MS/MS. Talanta. 2020 Jan;206.
- Wang Y, Hou X, Zhang W, Zhang L, Fan Y. Determination of ultra-low 236U in environment samples using ICP-MS/MS measurement and chemical separation. Talanta. 2021;224(121882).
- Harouaka K, Hoppe EW, Arnquist IJ. A novel method for measuring ultra-trace levels of U and Th in Au, Pt, Ir, and W matrices using ICP-QQQ-MS employing an O2 reaction gas. J Anal At Spectrom. 2020 Dec 8;35(12):2859–66.
- Steier P, Bichler M, Keith Fifield L, Golser R, Kutschera W, Priller A, et al. Natural and anthropogenic 236U in environmental samples. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 2008 May;266(10):2246–50.
- 20. Agilent Technologies. Agilent 8900 Triple Quadrupole ICP-MS: Leave interferences behind with MS/MS. 2020.
- Carrier C, Habibi A, Agarande M, Augeray C, Bourles D, Maro D, et al. Mass-shift mode to quantify low level 129I in environmental samples by ICP-MS/MS. J Anal At Spectrom. 2022 Jun 8;37(6):1309–17.
- 22. Taylor SR, McLennan SM. The geochemical evolution of the continental crust. Rev Geophys. 1995;33(2):241.