

#### Multi-analytical investigation of ceramic productions from Formative Mesoamerica to reassess the influence of Chupicuaro culture in the Basin of Mexico

Fanny Alloteau, Ayed Ben Amara, Nadia Cantin, Alejandra Castañeda,

Véronique Darras

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Fanny Alloteau, Ayed Ben Amara, Nadia Cantin, Alejandra Castañeda, Véronique Darras. Multianalytical investigation of ceramic productions from Formative Mesoamerica to reassess the influence of Chupicuaro culture in the Basin of Mexico. XXIVe colloque du GMPCA (Archéométrie 2023), Apr 2023, Nice, France. . hal-04195994

#### HAL Id: hal-04195994 https://hal.science/hal-04195994

Submitted on 5 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# XXIVE COLLOQUE DU GMPCA : ARCHÉOMÉTRIE 2023, NICE, FRANCE, 17 – 21 AVRIL 2023 Multi-analytical investigation of ceramic productions from Formative Mesoamerica to reassess the influence of Chupicuaro culture in the Basin of Mexico



Fanny ALLOTEAU<sup>\*1</sup>, Ayed BEN AMARA<sup>1</sup>, Nadia CANTIN<sup>1</sup>, Alejandra CASTANEDA<sup>2</sup>, Véronique DARRAS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX MONTAIGNE – ARCHÉOSCIENCES BORDEAUX, UMR 6034 – CNRS – FRANCE

<sup>2</sup>Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne – Laboratoire Archéologie des Amériques, ARCHAM, UMR 8096 – CNRS – France



# CONTEXT



The Chupicuaro culture is known as one of the most dynamic traditions in Western Mesoamerica during the Middle / Late Formative periods (600 BCE – 250 CE). Excavations in the Acambaro Valley – especially on the sites TR6 and JR24 - have notably highlighted its well-polished ceramics combining complex shapes, shimmering colors and diversified iconographic motifs [1]. For the site TR6 in particular, a local production has been attested. Until now, little work has been carried out on Chupicuaro ceramics, while it is assumed that they could have influenced the ceramic productions of the Basin of Mexico, or to have been the source of local imitations and reinterpretations [2]. It is expected that the detailed study of



Chronology of the Chupicuaro culture (Braniff, 1996)

Mixtlan 1

250

Site

desertion

-100

Recent Chupicuaro

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

• Over 180 ceramic sherds of the Chupicuaro culture from both archeological sites TR6 / JR24 4 corpus in total from both periods Chupicuaro / Mixtlan



Establishment of stylistic / technical / compositional groupings



the ceramic material will shed new light on the evolution of the Chupicuaro culture between

Chupicuaro and Mixtlan periods, and on its social, cultural

and economic relationships with Central Mexico. Chupicuaro ceramics

### **AIMS OF THE STUDY**

- To rediscover the **production techniques** of the Chupicuaro ceramic wares
- To trace the sources of supply of raw materials for the archeological sites TR6 and JR24 in the diachrony (Chupicuaro / Mixtlan periods)

Ancient Chupicuaro

-600

-400

To compare Chupicuaro ceramics with ceramics from the Basin of Mexico from the same periods (Cuicuilco culture)

- Examination of the colors / patterns / shape of the sherds
  - Visual observation (macro / micro) Hyperspectral imaging
- Compositional, morphological and mineralogical analyses of the pastes and of the decorations
  - $\checkmark$  Non-destructive analyses (p-XRF, FTIR,  $\mu$ -Raman) Preparation of polished cross-sections from a reduced number of sherds for their analyses by SEM-EDX

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 1 – Ceramic pastes





#### 2 – Ceramic decorations

During the Mixtlan period, the geometric motifs of the Chupícuaro tradition continue but there is a radical turn in iconography with the appearance of anthropomorphic and zoomorphic figurative motifs: human beings, birds with spread wings, snakes, felines, etc.



Mixtlan ware with figurative moti

### \* Red



Whatever the corpus, the **red** decorations are fine-grained and consist of a high ferruginous

binocular magnifier images

#### Whatever the corpus, the ceramic pastes

- are fine- to medium-grained
- show a high macroporosity

Low firing temperature – inf. 900 °C ?

show a variability in color from one sherd to another (orange to grey to black). In most cases, they are grey to black at the core and light brown at the sub-surface.

Firing in a reducing atmosphere followed by a rapid cooling in an oxidizing atmosphere

- contain numerous non-plastic inclusions of type volcanic glass (VG), quartz (Q) and (Na, Ca)plagioclase (PL)
- consist of non-calcareous clays (CaO < 2.8 wt%) with a relatively high  $Fe_2O_3$  content (between 3.4 and 5.2 wt%) (EDX results).



The composition of the pastes of each of the 4 corpus appear distinct, with K, Ca, AI (major elements) and Rb, Zr, Zn (trace elements) as discriminating elements (p-XRF results).

The composition of the fine clays of the 4 corpus appear also distinct, with Na, K, Ca, AI as discriminating elements (EDX results)



Analysis areas by EDX for the fine clay



the Whatever the beige corpus, decorations are Ca-rich and show cubic inclusions assigned to  $CaCO_3$  or voids attributed to the decarbonation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> that occurred during the firing of the ware.

### ✤ White



#### clay. They contain numerous phytolithes (P).

#### ✤ Black / Coffee



Whatever the corpus, there is no textural difference between the black/coffee decorations: they consist of coarsegrained inclusions, either Fe-rich for coffees or Mn- and Ferich for blacks (Ba associated to Mn).

The composition of the black decorations of the corpus Chupicuaro TR6 / Mixtlan TR6 / Mixtlan JR24 appear different.



the black decorations

The **white** decorations are observed only for the Mixtlan period. It consists of a white clay, very likely a kaolinitic clay.

The sources of raw materials for ceramics at TR6 and JR24 and during the Chupicuaro period and the Mixtlan period are different.

### CONCLUSION

- $\checkmark$  Stylistic and technological similarities but compositional dissimilarity between the Chupicuaro ceramic wares of sites TR6 and JR24: JR24 ceramics would not have been produced at TR6.
- $\checkmark$  Technological continuity but stylistic evolution and compositional dissimilarities between the ceramic wares of periods Chupicuaro / Mixtlan: disruption in the sources of raw materials at the transition Chupicuaro Period / Mixtlan period ?

#### References

[1] Darras V., Hamon C. (2020) Indicators of Household Pottery Production: A Case-Study from the Chupicuaro Formative Culture. Journal of Field Archeology, 45, pp.445-463 [2] Darras V. (2006) Las Relaciones entre Chupicuaro y el Centro de Mexico durante el Preclasico Reciente. Journal de la Société des Américanistes, 92, pp. 69-110.

#### \* fanny.alloteau@u-bordeaux-montaigne.fr

**P**ERSPECTIVES

- ✓ **Petrographic** and **XRD analysis** of the sherds.
- ✓ Provenance study: comparative analysis with geological samples from nearby sites and with **ceramic replicas** fired from these samples.
- ✓ Analysis of the Cuicuilco material.



Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Sergey Sedov and Georgina Ibarra from the Institute of Geology of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), Hector Cabadas Baez from the Faculty of Geography of the Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Mexico (UAEM), Jose Luis Rubalcaba from the Institute of Physical Science of the UNAM, François-Xavier Le Bourdonnec from Archéosciences Bordeaux. This research is financially supported by the ANR (ANR CHUPICERAM, Project Nr. 20-CE27-0022).