

Numerical modelling of the healing process induced by carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures: Theoretical formulation and Embedded Finite Element Method implementation

Harifidy Ranaivomanana, Nathan Benkemoun

► To cite this version:

Harifidy Ranaivomanana, Nathan Benkemoun. Numerical modelling of the healing process induced by carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures: Theoretical formulation and Embedded Finite Element Method implementation. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 2017, 132, pp.42-51. 10.1016/J.finel.2017.05.003. hal-04194704

HAL Id: hal-04194704 https://hal.science/hal-04194704

Submitted on 17 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Numerical modelling of the healing process induced by carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures : Theoretical formulation and Embedded Finite Element Method implementation

Ranaivomanana, H.^a, Benkemoun N.^{a,*}

^a Université Nantes Angers Le Mans (L'UNAM), GeM, Research Institute of Civil Engineering and Mechanics, CNRS UMR 6183, Nantes University, IUT Saint-Nazaire 58 rue Michel Ange, 44600 Saint-Nazaire, FRANCE

Abstract

We consider a model for reactive flows which describes the healing process induced by carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures. The aim of this paper is to study the complex interplay between advection-diffusion mechanisms in a crack-matrix system combined with different chemical reactions taking place (dissolution/precipitation). Carbonated water is first injected through a crack. Then, a diffusion process of calcium ions $(C_a^{2+}\)$ takes place from the porous matrix to the crack due to the existing calcium ions concentration gradient. Finally, those calcium and carbonates ions (CO_3^{2-}) from the percolating solution react to form a calcite $(C_a C O_3)$ layer responsible for the healing of the crack. The developed model takes the form of transport-reaction partial differential equations for both crack and porous matrix. From numerical point of view these equations are discretized by means of the Embedded Finite Element Method (E-FEM). The E-FEM allows to use meshes not necessarily matching the physical interface, defined herein as the crack, while retaining the accuracy of the classical finite element approach. This is achieved by introducing a weak discontinuity in the calcium ions concentration field for finite elements where the crack is present. A numerical solving strategy is presented to efficiently resolve the FE problem both in terms of calcium and carbonate concentration field variables and weak discontinuity parameters. In addition, an analytical model for the computation of the calcite layer width, resulting in the healing process, is suggested. Finally, considering the dependence of the diffusivity and permeability coefficients on the width of the calcite, a coupled model arises for the numerical modelling of the healing process induced by carbonation in a crack. *Keywords:* Embedded Finite Element formulation; EAS method; Weak Discontinuity; Healing process; Concrete; Crack; Structure durability;

1 1. Introduction

The assessment of concrete structure lifetime is nowdays necessary for the design of durable structures. As a complementary means to experimental approaches, numerical modelling can be a relevant tool for this lifetime assessment. The development of thorough numerical models requires a comprehension not only of the degradation phenomena but also of the healing process. Indeed, healing process can improve the durability of structures (storage or containment structures for instance). The healing process can occur in both ways (see [1], [2] and [3]):

naturally by calcium carbonate formation, expansion of hydrated cementitious matrix,
 blocking of cracks by impurities present in water (sealing) and further hydration of
 unreacted cement.

12 13 • *artificially* by the use of chemical admixtures, polymers and geo-materials and even microorganism which are able to produce calcium carbonates.

Among the natural healing processes mentionned above, the formation of calcium carbonate is investigated in this study, since it is considered as one of the most promising autogenous healing mechanisms (see [4], [5], [6] or [7] for a review and [8] for experimental results concerning microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation). Most publications dedicated to the modeling of natural self-healing process mainly concern the process of further hydration of unreacted cement ([1], [9], [10] and [11]). However concerning the modeling of the natural self-healing process induced by carbonation, there is not so much information in

Preprint submitted to Finite Elements in Analysis and Design

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: nathan.benkemoun@univ-nantes.fr (Benkemoun N.)

the literature. The authors in [6] have proposed a simplified model for the evolution of the 21 leakage rate through a cracked material versus time, while carbonated water flows through 22 the crack. However, their approach is limited by the fact that two calibration parameters, 23 whose values vary from one material to another, are present in the model. In [12], the authors 24 have developed a multiple phase self-healing-model, that simulates three distinct stages in 25 the healing process: fracture process, transport of healing agents to the healing location 26 and mechanical strength recovery. The authors introduced a hygro-chemical transportation 27 model (momentum and mass balance equations), in which the active species are transported 28 by advective, diffusive and dispersive fluxes through the pore fluid to damaging and heal-29 ing sites. More recently, [13] have proposed a finite-element model describing self-healing 30 mechanisms in engineered cementitious composites and based on C_aCO_3 precipitation. The 31 model takes into account the diffusive mechanisms of aqueous species in the material, and 32 the most fundamental chemical equations that take place during the healing phenomenon. 33 The concentration of the three main species (calcium ions C_a^{2+} , carbonate ions CO_3^{2-} and 34 calcite $C_a CO_3$) acting on the healing process are identified as the main model variables and 35 the modeling results into a reaction-diffusive set of equations. However, the model requires 36 further validations. Indeed simplified assumptions are assumed by the authors such as the 37 fact that the diffusion coefficients are independent from damage and healing variables. In 38 addition no water-flowing through the crack is considered. 39

In this paper, a numerical approach is presented in the context of the natural healing 40 process. In this sense, we suggest a numerical model for the healing process induced by 41 carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures. Transport equations of C_a^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} 42 written in the crack and transport equation of C_a^{2+} written in the porous media are consid-43 ered and discretized by means of the Embedded Finite Element Method (E-FEM, see [14] 44 for instance). The E-FEM allows to use meshes not necessarily matching the physical inter-45 face, defined herein as the crack, while retaining the accuracy of the classical finite element 46 approach. This is achieved by considering a weak discontinuity [15] in the calcium ions con-47 centration field for finite elements where the crack is present. This enhancement, introduced 48 in the framework of the EAS method [16], allows to have the calcium ions concentration 49

field continuous itself and a jump in the normal direction of the calcium ions concentration 50 gradient, when passing through the crack. This results in a discontinuous leakage flux that 51 flows from the porous matrix toward the crack. This flux represents the mass coupling term 52 between the porous media surrounding the crack and the crack itself. It is important to 53 stress the fact that this coupling term arises naturally in the weak form of the problem, 54 since the crack is directly embedded in the mesh through the E-FEM. This a serious ad-55 vantage when the FE discretization is performed. Finally, having at hands the calcite and 56 calcium concentration fields values for each time step, the width of the calcite layer in the 57 crack is computed by means of an analytical model, resulting in the healing process in the 58 crack. Finally, considering the fact that diffusivity and permeability coefficients values also 59 depend on this calcite layer width, a coupled model arises for the numerical modelling of 60 the healing process induced by carbonation in a crack. 61

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, the governing equations of the 62 problem are introduced. They consist in the transport equation of C_a^{2+} in the porous media 63 and in the crack, and the transport equation of CO_3^{2-} in the crack. In Section 3, the weak 64 form of the problem is suggested. It is obtained by means of the Galerkin approximation, 65 leading to the FE to be solved. In Section 4, the method to compute the coupling term 66 is shown. Also we present the analytical model to evaluate the width of the calcite layer, 67 resulting in the healing process. In Section 5, the FE discretization of the concentration 68 fields, based upon the E-FEM, and the solving strategy are presented. 69

70 2. Governing equations

In this section a model for reactive flows which describes the healing process induced by carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures is considered. In this sense, the strong form of the model governing equations is presented. We consider the transport equations of C_a^{2+} and CO_3^{2-} written in the crack and the transport equation of C_a^{2+} written in the porous media. Also chemical reactions (dissolution/precipitation) in the porous matrix and the crack are regarded. Technically speaking, carbonated water is first injected through the crack. Then, a diffusion process of calcium ions (C_a^{2+}) takes place from the porous matrix to the crack due to the existing calcium ions concentration gradient. Finally, those calcium and carbonates ions (CO_3^{2-}) from the percolating solution react to form a calcite (C_aCO_3) layer responsible for the healing of the crack. Those mechanisms are illustrated in Fig. 1 and are described hereafter for each transport equation.



Carbonated water injected at P_0

Figure 1: Schematic of the mechanisms taking place during the healing process induced by carbonation into a single crack

⁸³ 2.1. Transport equation of C_a^{2+} in the porous media

⁸⁴ We note C_{Ca} the calcium ions concentration in the pore solution of the matrix, Φ^m the ⁸⁵ matrix porosity and φ_{Ca_s} a source term taking into account the dissolution of the calcium ⁸⁶ in the solid phase Ca_s . Transport by diffusion, resulting from the existing calcium ions ⁸⁷ concentration gradient between the crack and the porous matrix, is considered through the ⁸⁸ flux J_{Ca}^m . Consequently, the transport equation (diffusion equation) of C_a^{2+} in the porous ⁸⁹ media is such as:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^m C_{Ca}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J_{Ca}^m}) = \Phi^m \varphi_{Ca_s} \tag{1}$$

90 2.2. Transport equation of C_a^{2+} in the crack

Transport by diffusion and permeation is considered through the fluxes J_{Ca}^{f} and $\Phi^{f}C_{Ca}v_{w}^{f}$. 91 respectively. Again transport by diffusion, resulting from the existing calcium ions concen-92 tration gradient between the crack and the porous matrix, is considered through the flux 93 J^m_{Ca} . Transport by permeation takes place because of the pressure gradient in between the 94 bottom and the top side of the crack (see Fig. 1: $\nabla p_w = P_1 - P_0$). We note \boldsymbol{v}_w^f the fluid 95 velocity and Φ^f the crack porosity. Last but not least, considering the fact that the C_a^{2+} 96 and CO_3^{2-} ions react together all over the time to form calcite C_aCO_3 into the crack, the 97 evolution of calcite formation has to be also taken into account. This is achieved by means 98 of the source term $-\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t}$ where ξ is the amount of calcite formed in the crack. This formation 99 of calcite results in the healing process taking place into the crack. 100

This leads to the following transport equation (diffusion-permeation equation) of C_a^{2+} in the crack:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J_{Ca}^f}) + \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v_w^f}) = -\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}$$
(2)

¹⁰³ 2.3. Transport equation of CO_3^{2-} in the crack

We note C_{CO_3} the carbonate ions concentration in the crack. Transport by permeation is considered through the flux $\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^f$. It takes place also because of the pressure gradient in between the bottom and the top side of the crack.

¹⁰⁷ The transport equation of CO_3^{2-} in the crack is such as:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{CO_3}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) = -\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}$$
(3)

The amount of $C_a CO_3$, labeled as ξ , created in the crack is evaluated by means of the following relation:

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = K C_{Ca} C_{CO_3} \tag{4}$$

110 with K a constant value.

Last but not least, it is important to stress the fact that the diffusion model suggested in this paper based on Fick's Law oversimplifies some physical phenomena. For instance, the electrical coupling between the ions and its effect on their movements is overlooked. It is worth noting because it can influence the kinetic of calcite formation and consequently the healing process.

Having at hands the strong form of the transport equations, we now turn to Galerkin
approximation of these equations.

¹¹⁸ 3. Galerkin approximation: weak form of the transport equations

The domain Ω considered for the problem is shown in 2D in Fig. 2. We note $\partial\Omega$ the external boundary where essential and natural boundary conditions are prescribed. Also this domain contains a geometrical discontinuity labeled as Γ_d . We note Γ_d^+ and Γ_d^- the boundary of the discontinuity domain. The essential boundary conditions are imposed on $\partial\Omega$ such as

$$C_{Ca} = \bar{C}_{Ca} \text{ on } \partial\Omega_{C_a}, \tag{5}$$

¹¹⁹ where \bar{C}_{Ca} is the imposed calcium ions concentration on $\partial\Omega_{Ca}$.

The natural boundary conditions are imposed on $\partial \Omega$ such as

$$\boldsymbol{J_{Ca}^{m}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = \bar{J}_{C_{a}}^{m} \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{J_{C_{a}}^{m}}.$$
(6)

We note $\bar{J}_{C_a}^m$ the prescribed flux over $\partial \Omega_{J_{C_a}^m}$ and \boldsymbol{n} the unit outward normal vector to the external boundary $\partial \Omega$, where the usual condition $\partial \Omega_{C_a} \cup \partial \Omega_{J_{C_a}^m} = \partial \Omega$ has to be respected.

In addition, considering the fact that there is a geometrical discontinuity Γ_d embedded in the domain Ω , a mass transfer coupling between the porous bulk material surrounding the crack and the crack itself arises. In this paper, this mass transfer comes from the exchange by diffusion of the calcium ions flow between the porous matrix surrounding the crack and the crack itself. Consequently, on Γ_d we have:

$$[|\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{m}}|] \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_{d}} = J_{\Gamma_{d}} \text{ on } \Gamma_{d}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

We note J_{Γ_d} the leakage flux of calcium ions induced by diffusion from the porous matrix 122 toward the crack. As stated in [17] and [18] in the context of the X-FEM and in [19] in 123 the context of the E-FEM, the normal component of this leakage flux is discontinuous when 124 passing across Γ_d . The physical meaning of this discontinuity is that a part of the calcium 125 ions flow induced by diffusion that enters in the crack through one of its faces flows away 126 tangentially when inside the crack or can even be stored within the crack. Consequently 127 the flux of calcium ions normal to the crack is discontinuous. We refer n_{Γ_d} as the the unit 128 normal vector to the discontinuity Γ_d pointing out to Ω^+ and $[|\bullet|] = \bullet^+ - \bullet^-$ as the jump 129 between the values at Γ_d^+ and Γ_d^- sides. 130



Figure 2: Illustration of the domain Ω including the discontinuity Γ_d and the boundary conditions (inspired from [17])

131 3.1. Weak form of 1

The discrete form of eqn. 1 is obtained by employing the Galerkin approximation. This approximation leads to :

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^m C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J_{Ca}}^m) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \Phi^m \varphi_{Ca_s} d\Omega, \tag{8}$$

where δC_{Ca} is the virtual ions calcium concentration in the space \mathcal{C} such as $\mathcal{C} = \{\delta C_{Ca} :$ $\Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \delta C_{Ca} \in H^1, \delta C_{Ca} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{Ca} \}.$ Using the divergence theorem on the second term of eqn. 8 left hand side yields:

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m}} d\Omega + \int_{\partial \Omega_{J_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m}}}} \delta C_{Ca} \bar{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m}} d\partial \Omega + \int_{\Gamma_{d}^{+}} \delta C_{Ca}^{+} (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m},+} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_{d}^{+}}) d\Pi + \int_{\Gamma_{d}^{-}} \delta C_{Ca}^{-} (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{m},-} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_{d}^{-}}) d\Gamma,$$

$$(9)$$

where eqn. 6 on $\partial \Omega_{J_{C_a}}^m$ and $\delta C_{C_a} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega_{C_a}$ have been considered.

Assuming the fact that the calcium ions concentration has the same value at both faces of the crack ([18] and [17]): $C_{Ca}^+ = C_{Ca}^- = C_{Ca}$ and considering a Bubnov-Galerkin approach, the virtual calcium ions concentration at both faces is such as $\delta C_{Ca}^+ = \delta C_{Ca}^- = \delta C_{Ca}$. Finally invoking $\mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} = -\mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} = \mathbf{n}_{\Gamma_d}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J_{Ca}^{m}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot \boldsymbol{J_{Ca}^{m}} d\Omega + \int_{\partial \Omega_{J_{Ca}^{m}}} \delta C_{Ca} \bar{J}_{Ca}^{m} d\partial \Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_{d}} d\Gamma, \quad (10)$$

¹³⁷ where eqn. 7 has been considered on Γ_d .

Note that considering the same value for the calcium ions concentrations at both faces of 138 the crack and in a more general manner considering an "hydraulic" variable as continuous 139 passing through a crack is not something agreed in the computational mechanics commu-140 nity. An enlightening classification can be found in [20] where the author describes both 141 continuous and discontinuous approaches for modelling the pressure field passing across a 142 crack. The author shows that the discontinuity in the pressure field can be taken into ac-143 count by considering (1) a jump in the pressure field or (2) a jump in the pressure field 144 plus an independent pressure at the discontinuity. The choice between (1) and (2) being 145 done regarding the physics of the problem. In [19], a discontinuous capillary pressure is also 146 considered accross a crack. In this case it is to fit the recquired nodal conditions imposed 147 by the G-FEM for the capillary pressure field discretization. 148

¹⁴⁹ Finally combining eqn. 10 and 8, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^m C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot J^m_{Ca} d\Omega + \int_{\partial \Omega_{J^m_{Ca}}} \delta C_{Ca} \bar{J}^m_{Ca} d\partial \Omega - \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \Phi^m \varphi_{Cas} d\Omega, \qquad (11)$$

¹⁵⁰ The sign - before the coupling term $\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$ indicates that J_{Γ_d} "leaves" the bulk ¹⁵¹ material surrounding the crack and flows toward the crack. It is important to note that ¹⁵² the coupling term, representing the exchange by diffusion of the calcium ions flow between ¹⁵³ the porous matrix surrounding the crack and the crack itself, arises naturally in the weak ¹⁵⁴ form of the transport equation (eqn. 1) since the discontinuity (crack) is embedded into the ¹⁵⁵ problem.

156 3.2. Weak form of 2

The discrete form of eqn. 9 is obtained by employing the Galerkin approximation. This approximation leads to :

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^f) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^f) d\Omega$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega, \tag{12}$$

where δC_{Ca} is the virtual ions calcium concentration in the space $\mathcal{C}_{d,Ca}$ such as $\mathcal{C}_{d,Ca} = \{\delta C_{Ca} : \Omega_d \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \delta C_{Ca} \in H^1, \delta C_{Ca} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{d,Ca} \}$. We note Ω_d the domain of the discontinuity.

Using now the divergence theorem on the second term of eqn. 12 yields:

$$\int_{\Omega_{d}} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_{d}} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_{d}^{+}} \delta C_{Ca}^{+} (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f},+} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_{d}^{+}}) d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{d}^{-}} \delta C_{Ca}^{-} (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f},-} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_{d}^{-}}) d\Gamma$$
(13)

Considering the fact that the calcium ions flow between the porous matrix surrounding the crack and the crack itself is continuous at each of the faces Γ_d^+ and Γ_d^- of the discontinuity domain (see [18] for the same argument) :

$$J_{Ca}^{f,+} = J_{Ca}^{m,+}$$
 and $J_{Ca}^{f,-} = J_{Ca}^{m,-}$, (14)

the convention for the unit normal vectors within the discontinuity domain Ω_d : $-\boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} =$ $\boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d}$ (opposite to the convention for unit normal vectors within the domain Ω) and $\delta C_{Ca}^+ = \delta C_{Ca}^- = \delta C_{Ca}$ lead to

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$$
(15)

¹⁶⁴ where eqn. 7 has been considered on Γ_d .

Using the divergence theorem on the third term of eqn. 12 yields:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d^+} \delta C_{Ca}^+ (\Phi^f C_{Ca}^+ \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},+}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_d^-} \delta C_{Ca}^- (\Phi^f C_{Ca}^- \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},-}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} d\Gamma$$

$$(16)$$

Invoking the arguments : $C_{Ca}^+ = C_{Ca}^- = C_{Ca}$, $\delta C_{Ca}^+ = \delta C_{Ca}^- = \delta C_{Ca}$, $-\boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},-} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},+} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ in the domain Ω_d leads to

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega$$
(17)

Finally combining eqn. 15, eqn. 17 and 12 gives:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega - \int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma \\
= -\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega \tag{18}$$

The sign + before the term $\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$ indicates that J_{Γ_d} flows towards the crack and "leaves" the bulk material surrounding the crack. Again the coupling term appears naturally in the weak form (eqn. 18).

168 3.3. Weak form of 3

The discrete form of eqn. 10 is obtained by employing the Galerkin approximation. This approximation leads to :

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{CO_3}}{\partial t} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega$$
(19)

where δC_{CO_3} is the virtual ions carbonate concentration in the space C_{d,CO_3} such as C_{d,CO_3} = { $\delta C_{CO_3} : \Omega_d \mapsto \mathbb{R} \mid \delta C_{CO_3} \in H^1, \delta C_{CO_3} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{d,CO_3}$ }. Using now the divergence theorem on the second term of eqn. 19 yields:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{CO_3}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d^+} \delta C_{CO_3}^+ (\Phi^f C_{CO_3}^+ \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},+}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} d\Pi + \int_{\Gamma_d^-} \delta C_{CO_3}^- (\Phi^f C_{CO_3}^- \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},-}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} d\Gamma$$

$$(20)$$

Invoking the arguments: $C_{CO_3}^+ = C_{CO_3}^- = C_{CO_3}$, $\delta C_{CO_3}^+ = \delta C_{CO_3}^- = \delta C_{CO_3}$ (Bubnov-Galerkin approach also considered for δC_{CO_3}), $-\boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^-} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d^+} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},-} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f},+} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ in the domain Ω_d leads to

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \nabla \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{CO_3}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega$$
(21)

Finally combining eqn. 21 and eqn. 19 gives

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{CO_3}}{\partial t} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{CO_3}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{CO_3} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{CO_3} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega \qquad (22)$$

176 4. Evaluation of the coupling term $\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$

177 4.1. Numerical hypothesis within the crack

In order to evaluate the coupling term $\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma$ present in eqn. 11, we use eqn. 18 to express it as a function of the integrals on Ω_d :

$$\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma = -\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_d} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega - \int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega$$
(23)

This approach is also retained in [17] for an hydraulic crack problem. Nervertheless terms related to the mechanical problem are furthemore present in this case. In [18], the author proposes two ways to handle the coupling. The first way is very close to the one presented in this paper and in [17]. The second way considers the crack totally filled by the fluid (no deformable solid in the crack). Starting from the fluid mass conservation equation in the crack, the author obtains the expression of the mass coupling term that ressembles to Reynolds lubrification equation (see [20]). This approach is also present in [21]. Hereafter the coupling term is evaluated in the local cartesian coordinate system (x_d, y_d) . x_d and y_d are in the directions of the normal and tangent unit vectors to the discontinuity, n_{Γ_d} and t_{Γ_d} . We assume that:

- the width of the crack 2h is negligible compared to its length (see [17] and [18] for the same argument). Consequently the variation of the calcium ions concentration in the n_{Γ_d} direction is not considered. C_{Ca} and δC_{Ca} have therefore a uniform value in the cross section of the discontinuity. They only depend on x_d ;
- the width of the crack 2h evolves in function of the amount of C_aCO_3 , ξ , created in the crack, i.e $2h(\xi)$. The physical meaning being that the amount of C_aCO_3 created decreases the crack width value;
- the fluid velocity follows Darcy's Law such as $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}} = -k_d(h)\nabla p_w$ where $k_d(h)$ is the rack permeability depending on the crack width h through the cubic law [22] and ∇p_w is the pressure gradient imposed during the computation;
- the transport by diffusion is induced by Fick's Law such as $J_{Ca}^{f} = -\Phi^{f}D^{f}(h)\nabla C_{Ca}$ where $D^{f}(h)$ is the diffusion coefficient in the crack depending on the crack width h.

 $_{200}$ 4.2. Analytical model relating the width of the crack 2h and the amount of C_aCO_3

In order to relate the width of the crack 2h to the amount of C_aCO_3 , ξ , an analytical model is suggested hereafter.

As suggested in [6], we assume that the updated crack width 2h taking into account the layer of calcite e formed during the sealing process is

$$2h = 2h_0 - 2e, (24)$$

where $2h_0$ is the initial width of the crack.

According to [6], the layer calcite e can be written as follows:

$$e = \lambda V_{\xi} \bar{C}_{Ca} \bar{C}_{CO_3},\tag{25}$$

where λ is a fitting parameter depending on the crack characteristics and V_{ξ} the molar volume of calcite (37 $cm^3.mol^{-1}$). \bar{C}_{Ca} and \bar{C}_{CO_3} are the mean values of C_{Ca} and C_{CO_3} in the crack.

²⁰⁸ 4.3. Computational aspects for the coupling term

The first and last integrals in eqn. 23 are such as:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega = \int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} dy_d d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Gamma$$
(26)

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Omega = \int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} dy_d d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Gamma$$
(27)

For the second term in eqn. 23, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} k_d(h) \nabla p_{\boldsymbol{w}}) dy_d d\Gamma$$
$$= -\int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} (\Phi^f C_{Ca} k_d(h)) \left(\frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial p_{\boldsymbol{w}}}{\partial x_d} + \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial y_d} \frac{\partial p_{\boldsymbol{w}}}{\partial y_d}\right) dy_d d\Gamma$$
(28)

Because the (virtual) calcium ions concentration is supposed to be dependent only in x_d , its derivative in relation with y_d vanishes. Consequently, eqn. 28 becomes:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f C_{Ca} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\boldsymbol{f}}) d\Omega = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f C_{Ca} k_d(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial p_w}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma$$
(29)

For the third term in eqn. 23, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^f) d\Omega = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\Phi^f D^f(h) \nabla C_{Ca}) dy_d d\Gamma$$
$$= -\int_{\Gamma_d} \int_{-h(\xi)}^{h(\xi)} \Phi^f D^f(h) \left(\frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} + \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial y_d} \frac{\partial C_{Ca}}{\partial y_d} \right) dy_d d\Gamma$$
(30)

As mentioned, the (virtual) calcium ions concentration derivative in relation with y_d vanishes. Consequently, eqn. 30 becomes:

$$\int_{\Omega_d} \nabla(\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{J}_{Ca}^f) d\Omega = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f D^f(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma$$
(31)

Injecting eqn. 26, 27, 29 and 31 in eqn. 23 yields to the expression of the coupling term:

$$\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f C_{Ca} k_d(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial p_w}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f D^f(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Gamma$$
(32)

Finally, combining eqn. 32 and eqn. 11 leads to:

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \frac{\partial \Phi^m C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \nabla (\delta C_{Ca}) \cdot J_{Ca}^m d\Omega + \int_{\partial \Omega_{J_{Ca}^m}} \delta C_{Ca} \bar{J}_{Ca}^m d\partial\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi^f C_{Ca}}{\partial t} d\Gamma \\
+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f C_{Ca} k_d(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial p_w}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_d} \Phi^f D^f(h) 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \delta C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} \frac{\partial C_{Ca}}{\partial x_d} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_d} \delta C_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Gamma \\
= \int_{\Omega} \delta C_{Ca} \Phi^m \varphi_{Ca_s} d\Omega.$$
(33)

Eqn. 33 and 22 represent the equations of the problem to be solved in terms of C_{Ca} and C_{CO_3} .

Having in hand, the weak form of the problem equations, we now turn to the discretization of the concentration fields C_{Ca} and C_{CO_3} .

²¹³ 5. FE discretization of the governing equations

214 5.1. Continuous form of the concentration fields

215 5.1.1. Calcium ions concentration field

As mentioned the fluid flow of calcium ions normal to the discontinuity has to be dis-216 continuous. Because the fluid flow is related to the calcium concentration gradient through 217 Darcy's Law, the gradient of the calcium ions concentration normal to the discontinuity 218 has to be discontinuous. Consequently, the enrichment function of the interpolation of the 219 calcium ions concentration field must be such as the calcium ions concentration itself is con-220 tinuous but has a discontinuous gradient in the normal direction. To fulfil this requirement, 221 a weak discontinuity is introduced in the calcium ions concentration field through the EAS 222 method [16]. In this sense, we consider both the calcium ions concentration and the virtual 223

calcium ions concentration fields decomposed into a regular and an enhanced part. Thisassumption gives for the calcium ions concentration field

$$C_{Ca} = \underbrace{\bar{C}_{Ca}}_{\text{regular}} + \underbrace{\tilde{C}_{Ca}}_{\text{enhanced}}, \qquad (34)$$

and for the virtual calcium ions concentration field

$$\delta C_{Ca} = \underbrace{\delta \bar{C}_{Ca}}_{\text{regular}} + \underbrace{\delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}}_{\text{enhanced}} .$$
(35)

As in [16], we refer to \tilde{C}_{Ca} and $\delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}$ as the enhanced parts of the calcium ions concentration fields. The notation ($\tilde{\bullet}$) refers to the weak discontinuity.

The enrichment function \tilde{C}_{Ca} satisifying the condition - continuous concentration field and discontinuous gradient in normal direction - is based upon a weak discontinuity such as:

$$\tilde{C}_{Ca} = \Theta \ \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) \tag{36}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ represents the position of Γ_d and Θ an unidentified shape function. The product 228 $\boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is called the signed distance function, $\Sigma_{\Gamma_d}(\boldsymbol{x})$. This function is plotted in Fig. 229 3 in the context of 1D problem. Note that when x is equal to ξ (in other words when we 230 are on the discontinuity Γ_d), \tilde{C}_{Ca} is equal to zero thus the calcium ions concentration is 231 continuous through the discontinuity. A signed distance function is also considered in [14] 232 and [23] for the meso-scale modelling of a two-phase quasi-brittle material and a two-phase 233 poro-elastic material, respectively. Both authors suggest a model written in the E-FEM 234 framework. In the context of the X-FEM, the absolute value of the signed distance function 235 is regarded in [17] and [18] for the modelling of hydraulic crack and in [24] to represent 236 complex microstructure geometries. 237

Considering the gradient of $\Sigma_{\Gamma_d}(\boldsymbol{x})$ yield (see [23]):

$$\nabla(\Sigma_{\Gamma_d}(\boldsymbol{x})) = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d}.$$
(37)



Figure 3: 1D plot of the signed distance function $\Sigma_{\Gamma_d}(\boldsymbol{x})$

Finally, eqn. 37 gives for the gradient of \tilde{C}_{Ca} the following form:

$$\nabla \tilde{C}_{Ca} = \Theta \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \Theta \boldsymbol{n}_{\Gamma_d}.$$
(38)

As it will be explained after, eqn. 38 satisfies the discontinuous requirement in the gradient normal direction.

240 5.1.2. Carbonate ions concentration field

For the carbonate ions concentration field, there is no need for an enhanced function. Only the regular part is recquired such as:

$$C_{CO_3} = \underbrace{\bar{C}_{CO_3}}_{\text{regular}}.$$
(39)

²⁴³ 5.2. Discrete form of the concentration fields

After presenting the continuous forms of the concentration fields and more particularly the form of the enhanced calcium concentration field, we now turn to their discrete expressions.

247 5.2.1. Calcium ions concentration field

Starting from eqn. 34 and 35, the discrete forms of C_{Ca} and δC_{Ca} labeled as C_{Ca}^{h} and δC_{Ca}^{h} are

$$C_{Ca}^{h} = \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} + M_{Ca} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}, \qquad (40)$$

and

$$\delta C_{Ca}^{h} = \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} + M_{Ca} \delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}, \qquad (41)$$

where N_{Ca} is a row vector containing the standard shape functions, \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h} is a column vector containing the regular calcium ions concentration unknowns. M_{Ca} is a scalar value corresponding to the discrete form of the enhanced function (eqn. 36) and \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h} is a scalar value corresponding to the enhanced parameter. This parameter is computed during the resolution process only for the elements containing a crack.

Following the idea presented in [23] and eqn. 36, the form of M_{Ca} is such as:

$$M_{Ca} = \begin{cases} M_{Ca}^{\oplus} = \Theta^{\oplus} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{\oplus} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{V^{\ominus}}{V} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{\oplus} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) & \text{in } \Omega_{e}^{\oplus} \\ M_{Ca}^{\ominus} = \Theta^{\ominus} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{\ominus} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\frac{V^{\oplus}}{V} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^{\ominus} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) & \text{in } \Omega_{e}^{\ominus} \end{cases},$$
(42)

where V^{\oplus} and V^{\ominus} are the volume of Ω_e^{\oplus} and Ω_e^{\ominus} , respectively.

Fig. 4 plots the enhanced function M_{Ca} in the 1D case. We have arbitrarily chosen V^{\ominus} equals to 0.3, V^{\oplus} to 0.7 and ξ to 0.3. The section and the length of the 1D domain are equal to 1.



Figure 4: 1D plot of the enhanced function M_{Ca}

260 Consequently the gradients of C_{Ca}^h and δC_{Ca}^h are

$$\nabla C_{Ca}^{h} = \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} + \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}, \qquad (43)$$

and

$$\nabla \delta C_{Ca}^{h} = \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} + \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} \delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}, \qquad (44)$$

where B_{Ca} is a matrix containing the derivatives of the shape functions N_{Ca} and G_{Ca} is a vector containing the derivative of M_{Ca} . G_{Ca} corresponds to the discrete form of eqn. 38.

The form of G_{Ca} is such as

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{\oplus} = \Theta^{\oplus} \boldsymbol{H} = \frac{V^{\ominus}}{V} \boldsymbol{H} & \text{in } \Omega_{e}^{\oplus} \\ \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{\ominus} = \Theta^{\ominus} \boldsymbol{H} = -\frac{V^{\oplus}}{V} \boldsymbol{H} & \text{in } \Omega_{e}^{\ominus} \end{cases}$$
(45)

with

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{46}$$

Fig. 5 plots the enhanced function G_{Ca} in the 1D case and illustrates the discontinuous recquirement in the normal direction when passing through Γ_d .



Figure 5: 1D plot of the enhanced function G_{Ca}

²⁶⁵ 5.2.2. Carbonate ions concentration field

²⁶⁶ The discrete form of the carbonate ions concentration field is such as:

$$C^{h}_{CO_3} = \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}_{CO_3} \tag{47}$$

and

$$\delta C^h_{CO_3} = \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^h_{CO_3},$$
(48)
19

where N_{CO_3} is a row vector containing the standard shape functions and $\bar{C}^h_{CO_3}$ a column 267 vector containing the regular carbonate ions concentration unknowns. 268

Consequently the gradients of $C_{CO_3}^h$ and $\delta C_{CO_3}^h$ are: 269

$$\nabla C^h_{CO_3} = \boldsymbol{B}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^h_{CO_3} \tag{49}$$

and

$$\nabla \delta C^h_{CO_3} = \boldsymbol{B}_{CO_3} \delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^h_{CO_3}, \tag{50}$$

where B_{CO_3} is a matrix containing the derivatives of the shape functions N_{CO_3} . 270

5.3. Discrete form of the governing equations 271

Combining eqn. 40, 41, 43, 44 and eqn. 33 and considering the fact that the weak form of the equations has to hold for all kinematically admissible test functions $\delta \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h}$ and $\delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}$ yield:

$$\begin{cases} M_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\dot{\bar{C}}^{h}_{Ca} + M_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\dot{\bar{C}}^{h}_{Ca} + H_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\bar{C}^{h}_{Ca} + H_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\bar{C}^{h}_{Ca} - F_{int,\bar{C}a} = F_{ext,\bar{C}a} \\ M^{T}_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\dot{\bar{C}}^{h}_{Ca} + M_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\dot{\bar{C}}^{h}_{Ca} + H^{T}_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\bar{C}^{h}_{Ca} + H_{\bar{C}a\bar{C}a}\bar{C}^{h}_{Ca} - F_{int,\bar{C}a} = F_{ext,\bar{C}a} \end{cases}$$
(51)

Combining eqn. 47, 48, 49, 50 and eqn. 22, assuming that C_{CO_3} and δC_{CO_3} depend only on x_d (see the arguments before) and considering the fact that the weak form of the equation has to hold for all kinematically admissible test functions $\delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h$ lead to:

$$\boldsymbol{F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_3}}} = 0 \tag{52}$$

(53)

272

The definition of the matrix and vector coefficients are given in Appendix A.

5.4. Linearization of the governing equations 273

In a first time, we note for the k th iteration at the n + 1 time step : 274

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{R}_{n+1}^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{t}, \bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{t}, \bar{\boldsymbol{C}} \boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{n+1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{F}_{ext,\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)},$$

$$(54)$$

²⁷⁵ where the Newmark integration scheme for time dependent terms has been considered, and

$$F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_3}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} = 0.$$
 (55)

Although several schemes are possible, here we consider determinating $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$, $\Delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ and $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ by linearizing $\boldsymbol{R}_{n+1}^{(k)}$, $h_{n+1}^{(k)}$ and $\boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}|_{n+1}^{(k)}$ about the current state, defined by $\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k)}$, $\tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k)}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k)}$.

²⁷⁹ Consequently, the linearization of eqn. 53, 54 and 55 leads to:

$$-\boldsymbol{R}_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{t},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} + \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{t},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{t},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)},$$

$$(56)$$

$$-h_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} + \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{C03}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{C03}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)},$$

$$(57)$$

and

$$-F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_{3}}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \frac{\partial F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} + \frac{\partial F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} + \frac{\partial F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{C}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{C}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}.$$
(58)

²⁸⁰ The form of the partial derivatives are given hereafter.

For eqn. 56, we have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{C}a}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} D^{f}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}) d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} k_{d}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}^{T} \cdot \nabla p_{w}) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\xi) K \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} (\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_{3}} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}) d\Gamma,$$
(59)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{C}a}}{\partial \tilde{C}^{h}_{Ca}}\Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}^T_{Ca} 2h(\xi) \Phi^f M_{Ca} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{B}^T_{Ca} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f D^f(h) (\boldsymbol{t}^T_{\Gamma_d} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}) d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{B}^T_{Ca} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}^T_{\Gamma_d} \cdot \nabla p_w) M_{Ca} d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}^T_{Ca} 2h(\xi) K M_{Ca} (\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^h_{CO_3}) d\Gamma,$$
(60)

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{C}a}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}_{CO_3}} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) K(\boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}_{Ca} + M_{Ca} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}_{Ca}) \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma.$$
(61)

For eqn. 57, we have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{C}a}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) \Phi^f \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f D^f(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}) d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) K \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} (\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h) d\Gamma,$$
(62)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{C}a}}{\partial \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}} |_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Gamma_{d}} M_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} M_{Ca} d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} D^{f}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}) d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^{f} k_{d}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}^{T} \cdot \nabla p_{w}) M_{Ca} d\Gamma
- \int_{\Gamma_{d}} M_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\xi) K M_{Ca} (\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_{3}} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}) d\Gamma,$$
(63)

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{C}a}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) K(\boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h + M_{Ca} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h) \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma.$$
(64)

For eqn. 58, we have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h}|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T \ 2h(\xi) K \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}(\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h) d\Gamma, \tag{65}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F_{int}, \bar{C}_{CO_3}}}{\partial \tilde{C}_{Ca}^h} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T \ 2h(\xi) K M_{Ca}(\boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h) d\Gamma, \tag{66}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \big|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T 2h(\xi) \Phi^f \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma
+ \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{B}_{CO_3}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma
+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T 2h(\xi) K(\boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h + M_{Ca} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h) \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma.$$
(67)

284 5.5. Numerical solving strategy

From eqn. 58, we can establish the expression of $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ in function of $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ and $\Delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ such as:

$$\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} = \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)}\right]^{-1} \left[-\boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}\right].$$

$$(68)$$

Combining eqn. 68 and eqn. 57 gives the expression of $\Delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ in function of $\Delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ such as:

$$\Delta \tilde{C}^{h}_{Ca}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} = \left[A|_{n+1}^{(k)}\right]^{-1} \left[-h_{n+1}^{(k)} - B|_{n+1}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{X}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}_{Ca}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}\right]$$
(69)

where, for sake of clarity, we note

$$\boldsymbol{X}|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}^{T} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}} \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)},$$

$$(70)$$

$$A|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}} \left[\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right]|_{n+1}^{(k)},$$

$$(71)$$

and

$$B|_{n+1}^{(k)} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F_{int,\tilde{C}a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h}|_{n+1}^{(k)} \left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_3}}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h}|_{n+1}^{(k)}\right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{F_{int,\bar{C}_{CO_3}}}|_{n+1}^{(k)}.$$
(72)

We eventually obtain the problem to be solved in terms of $\Delta \bar{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ by combining eqn. 68 and 69 into eqn. 56 such as:

$$\hat{K}_{n+1}^{(k)} \Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k+1)} = \hat{F}_{n+1}^{(k)}, \tag{73}$$

285 where

$$\hat{K}_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} - \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}}\right] \left[\boldsymbol{A}\right]^{-1} \left[\boldsymbol{X}\right] \\
+ \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}} \left[\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}} \left[\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{int},\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}}}{\partial\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}}\right]^{-1} \left[\boldsymbol{X}\right]\right] \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)}$$

$$(74)$$

and

$$\hat{F}_{n+1}^{(k)} = -\boldsymbol{R}_{n+1}^{(k)} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Big[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Big]^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}} \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \\ + \Big[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{M}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} + \boldsymbol{H}_{\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}\boldsymbol{a}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \Big[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}^h} \Big]^{-1} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_3}}}{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h} \Big] \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \\ \Big[A \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Big]^{-1} \Big[h_{n+1}^{(k)} + B \Big|_{n+1}^{(k)} \Big].$$
(75)

Once $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ is known, $\Delta \tilde{C}_{Ca}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ and $\Delta \bar{\boldsymbol{C}}_{CO_{3}}^{h}|_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ can be computed through eqn. 69 and 68, respectively.

288 6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have suggested a numerical model for the healing process induced by 289 carbonation of a single crack in concrete structures. We have shown that careful consider-290 ations concerning the transport equations are needed to have a realistic model. Chemical 291 reactions such as the calcite precipitation (resulting in the healing process), transport by 292 diffusion and permeation equations written in the porous matrix and in the crack and de-293 pendence of the permeability and diffusivity coefficients on the calcite width are for instance 294 worth noting. In addition, considering the fact that there is a geometrical discontinuity Γ_d 295 embedded in the domain Ω , a mass transfer coupling between the porous bulk material sur-296 rounding the crack and the crack itself arises. This mass transfer comes from the exchange 297 by diffusion of the calcium ions flow between the porous matrix surrounding the crack and 298 the crack itself. It is important to stress the fact that this coupling term arises naturally in 299 the weak form of the problem, since the crack is directly embedded in the mesh through the 300 E-FEM. This a serious advantage when the FE discretization is performed. Consequently, 301 concerning this term, an accurate FE approximation capable of accomodating the jump in 302 the normal direction of the calcium ions gradient has to be introduced. In this sense, a 303 weak discontinuity in the calcium ions concentration field for finite elements where the crack 304 is present is added in the framework of the EAS method. In addition, the solution proce-305 dure for this class of problems retained in this paper is attractive since the framework of a 306 classical FE code is preserved. The enhanced parameters are just post-calculated. Finally, 307 the experimental validation of the model and its sensitivity analysis will be the object of a 308 forthcoming work. In addition, healing process implies consideration of strength recovery 309 in the mechanical process. However the numerical model suggested in this paper only deals 310 with reactive transport equations. In this sense, no mechanical considerations are regarded 311 for the moment. One lead for introducing the healing process into a mechanical problem 312 could be to consider a (weak) coupled approach as done in [25]. The key point is to express 313 the mechanical properties (Young modulus for example) as a function of the crack opening 314 value, which depends itself on the calcite concentration. This idea is inspired by the work 315

of [26] where the authors obtain the diffusion coefficient as a function of the crack opening in the context of chloride ingress problem.

318 7. Appendix A

³¹⁹ The matrix and vector coefficients involved in system 51 and eqn. 52 are given herefater.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{D}^{m} \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{D}^{m} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{D}^{m} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \boldsymbol{D}^{m} \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca} d\Omega \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{int,\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}\boldsymbol{a}} &= \int_{\Gamma_{d}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} D^{f}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{T_{d}}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}) d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} D^{f}(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{T_{d}}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} p_{w}) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} bd(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{T_{d}}^{T} \cdot \nabla p_{w}) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} bd(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{T_{d}}^{T} \cdot \nabla p_{w}) \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}^{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_{d}}) 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Phi^{f} kd(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{T_{d}}^{T} \cdot \nabla p_{w}) \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^{h} \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_{d}} (\boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} 2h(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}{\partial t} d\Gamma \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{ext,\boldsymbol{\bar{C}a}} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} \Phi^{m} \varphi_{Cas} d\Omega - \int_{\partial \Omega_{J_{Ca}}} \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca}^{T} \boldsymbol{\bar{J}}_{Ca}^{m} d\Omega \end{split}$$
(76)

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{F_{int,\tilde{C}a}} &= \int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T J_{\Gamma_d} d\Gamma \\ &= -\int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) \Phi^f \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) \Phi^f M_{Ca} d\Gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f D^f(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{Ca}) d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f D^f(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}) d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{N}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{G}_{Ca}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{M}_{Ca} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{Ca}^h \\ &- \int_{\Gamma_d} M_{Ca}^T 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Gamma \\ \boldsymbol{F_{ext,\tilde{C}a}} &= \int_{\Omega} M_{Ca}^T \Phi^m \varphi_{Cas} d\Omega - \int_{\partial \Omega_{J_{Ca}}} M_{Ca}^T J_{Ca}^m d\partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$
(77)

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{F_{int}}, \boldsymbol{\bar{C}_{CO_3}} &= \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T 2h(\xi) \Phi^f \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma \dot{\boldsymbol{\bar{C}}}_{CO_3}^h \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_d} (\boldsymbol{B}_{CO_3}^T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}) 2h(\xi) \Phi^f k_d(h) (\boldsymbol{t}_{\Gamma_d}^T \cdot \nabla p_w) \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3} d\Gamma \boldsymbol{\bar{C}}_{CO_3}^h \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_d} \boldsymbol{N}_{CO_3}^T 2h(\xi) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} d\Gamma \end{aligned}$$
(78)

320 References

³²¹ [1] M. de Rooij, K. Van Tittelboom, N. De Belie, E. Schlangen, State-of-the-art report of RILEM technical

committee 221-SHC: Self-healing phenomena in cement-based materials, Springer Dordrecht Heidel-

- berg, New York, London, 2013.
- K. Van Tittelboom, N. De Belie, Self-healing in cementitious materials-a review, Materials 6 (6) (2013)
 2182–2217.
- 326 [3] N. Z. Muhammad, A. Shafaghat, A. Keyvanfar, M. Z. A. Majid, S. Ghoshal, S. E. M. Yasouj, A. A.
- 327 Ganiyu, M. S. Kouchaksaraei, H. Kamyab, M. M. Taheri, M. R. Shirdar, R. McCaffer, Tests and

- methods of evaluating the self-healing efficiency of concrete: A review, Construction and Building Materials 112 (2016) 1123–1132.
- [4] C. Edvardsen, Water permeability and autogenous healing of cracks in concrete, ACI Materials Journal American Concrete Institute 96 (4) (1999) 448–454.
- [5] A. Neville, Autogenous healing-a concrete miracle?, Concrete International 24 (11).
- [6] F. Ranaivomanana, V. Jérôme, S. Alain, B. Xavier, Sealing process induced by carbonation of localized
- cracks in cementitious materials, Cement and Concrete Composites 37 (2013) 37–46.
- W. De Muynck, N. De Belie, W. Verstraete, Microbial carbonate precipitation in construction materials:
 a review, Ecological Engineering 36 (2) (2010) 118–136.
- [8] V. Wiktor, H. Jonkers, Quantification of crack-healing in novel bacteria-based self-healing concrete,
 Cement and Concrete Composites 33 (7) (2011) 763–770.
- [9] B. Hilloulin, D. Hilloulin, F. Grondin, A. Loukili, N. De Belie, Mechanical regains due to self-healing
 in cementitious materials: experimental measurements and micro-mechanical model, CEMENT AND
 CONCRETE RESEARCH 80 (2016) 21–32.
- [10] A. S. Chitez, A. D. Jefferson, A coupled thermo-hygro-chemical model for characterising autogenous
 healing in ordinary cementitious materials, Cement and Concrete Research 88 (2016) 184–197.
- [11] D. Robert, J. Anthony, Micromechanical modelling of self-healing cementitious materials, International
 Journal of Solids and Structures (2017) in press.
- J. J. Remmers, R. de Borst, Numerical modelling of self healing mechanisms, in: Self Healing Materials,
 Springer, 2007, pp. 365–380.
- [13] A. Aliko-Benítez, M. Doblarén, J. Sanz-Herrera, Chemical-diffusive modeling of the self-healing behav ior in concrete, International Journal of Solids and Structures 69-70 (2015) 392–402.
- [14] E. Roubin, A. Vallade, N. Benkemoun, J.-B. Colliat, Multi-scale failure of heterogeneous materials: A
 double kinematics enhancement for embedded finite element method, International Journal of Solids
 and Structures 52 (2015) 180–196.
- [15] N. Benkemoun, M. Hammood, O. Amiri, Embedded finite element formulation for the modeling of
 chloride diffusion accounting for chloride binding in meso-scale concrete, Finite Elements in Analysis
 and Designdoi:10.1016/j.finel.2017.03.003.
- [16] J. Simo, M. Rifai, A class of mixed assumed strain methods and the method of incompatible modes.,
 International Journal of Numerical Methods in Engineering 29 (1990) 1595–1638.
- [17] T. Mohammadnejad, A. Khoei, An extended finite element method for hydraulic fracture propagation
 in deformable porous media with the cohesive crack model, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design
 73 (0) (2013) 77–95.
- [18] J. Réthoré, R. de Borst, M.-A. Abellan, A two-scale approach for fluid flow in fractured porous media,

- International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 71 (7) (2007) 780–800.
- [19] J. Alfaiate, P. Moonen, L. Sluys, J. Carmeliet, On the use of strong discontinuity formulations for the
 modeling of preferential moisture uptake in fractured porous media, Computer Methods in Applied
- Mechanics and Engineering 199 (45–48) (2010) 2828–2839.
- R. de Borst, A classification of poromechanical interface elements, Journal of Modeling in Mechanics
 and Materials.
- B. Carrier, S. Granet, Numerical modeling of hydraulic fracture problem in permeable medium using
 cohesive zone model, Engineering fracture mechanics 79 (2012) 312–328.
- X. Jourdain, J. Colliat, C. De Sa, F. Benboudjema, F. Gatuingt, Upscaling permeability for fractured
 concrete: mesomacro numerical approach coupled to strong discontinuities, International Journal for
 Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 38 (5) (2013) 536–550.
- ³⁷³ [23] N. Benkemoun, R. Gelet, E. Roubin, J.-B. Colliat, Poroelastic two-phase material modeling: theoretical
- [23] N. Benkemoun, R. Gelet, E. Roubin, J.-B. Colliat, Poroelastic two-phase material modeling: theoretical
 formulation and embedded finite element method implementation, International Journal for Numerical
 and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 39 (12) (2015) 1255–1275.
- [24] N. Moës, M. Cloirec, P. Cartraud, J.-F. Remacle, A computational approach to handle complex mi crostructure geometries, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 192 (2003) 3163–
 3177.
- [25] N. Benkemoun, M. Hammood, O. Amiri, A meso-macro numerical approach for crack-induced diffusivity evolution in concrete, Construction and Building Materials 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.02.146.
- 381 [26] A. Djerbi, S. Bonnet, A. Khelidj, Influence of traversing crack on chloride diffusion into concrete,
- 382 Cement and Concrete Research 38 (6) (2008) 877–883.