

Enhanced neuroimaging with a calcium sensor in ex-vivo Drosophila melanogaster brains using closed-loop adaptive optics light-sheet fluorescence microscopy

Antoine Hubert, Georges Farkouh, Fabrice Harms, Cynthia Veilly, Sophia Imperato, Mathias Mercier, Vincent Loriette, François Rouyer, Alexandra

Fragola

▶ To cite this version:

Antoine Hubert, Georges Farkouh, Fabrice Harms, Cynthia Veilly, Sophia Imperato, et al.. Enhanced neuroimaging with a calcium sensor in ex-vivo Drosophila melanogaster brains using closed-loop adaptive optics light-sheet fluorescence microscopy. Journal of Biomedical Optics, 2023, 28 (06), pp.066501. 10.1117/1.JBO.28.6.066501. hal-04194677

HAL Id: hal-04194677 https://hal.science/hal-04194677v1

Submitted on 4 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH PAPER

Enhanced neuroimaging with a calcium sensor in *ex-vivo Drosophila melanogaster* brains using closed-loop adaptive optics light-sheet fluorescence microscopy

Antoine Hubert[®],^{a,b,†} Georges Farkouh[®],^{c,†} Fabrice Harms[®],^b Cynthia Veilly,^b Sophia Imperato,^a Mathias Mercier,^a Vincent Loriette[®],^a François Rouyer[®],^d and Alexandra Fragola[®],*

^aSorbonne Université, PSL Research University, CNRS, Laboratoire Physique et Etudes des Matériaux, ESPCI Paris, Paris, France

^bImagine Optic, Orsay, France

^cUniversité de Paris, CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod, Paris, France ^dUniversité Paris Saclay, Institut des Neurosciences Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Saclay, France

^eUniversité Paris-Saclay, Institut des Sciences Moléculaires d'Orsay, CNRS, Orsay, France

ABSTRACT. Significance: Adaptive optics (AO) has been implemented on several microscopy setups and has proven its ability to increase both signal and resolution. However, reported configurations are not suited for fast imaging of live samples or are based on an invasive or complex implementation method.

Aim: Provide a fast aberration correction method with an easy to implement AO module compatible with light-sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) for enhanced imaging of live samples.

Approach: Development of an AO add-on module for LSFM based on direct wavefront sensing without requiring a guide star using an extended-scene Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor. The enhanced setup uses a two-color sample labeling strategy to optimize the photon budget.

Results: Fast AO correction of in-depth aberrations in an *ex-vivo* adult *Drosophila* brain enables doubling the contrast when imaging with either cell reporters or calcium sensors for functional imaging. We quantify the gain in terms of image quality on different functional domains of sleep neurons in the *Drosophila* brain at various depths and discuss the optimization of key parameters driving AO.

Conclusion: We developed a compact AO module that can be integrated into most of the reported light-sheet microscopy setups, provides significant improvement of image quality and is compatible with fast imaging requirements such as calcium imaging.

© The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.28.6.066501]

Keywords: adaptive optics; light-sheet microscopy; neuroimaging; Drosophila brain

Paper 230007GR received Feb. 20, 2023; revised May 16, 2023; accepted May 22, 2023; published Jun. 16, 2023.

JBO Journal of Biomedical Optics

^{*}Address all correspondence to Alexandra Fragola, alexandra.fragola@universite-paris-saclay.fr

[†]Authors contribute equally to this work.

1 Introduction

Understanding the functional organization and connectivity of the brain is currently a huge challenge in the field of neuroscience, which is of crucial importance to understand how the brain functions and the mechanisms behind the different brain disorders, for example, the neurode-generative diseases such as Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. In particular, such a challenge requires to decode the functional behavior of neuronal networks over larges scales. To this end, functional neuroimaging is a key step and recent developments around calcium and voltage sensors now enable the spatio-temporal monitoring of functional connections between neurons in living samples. In order to map the brain activity in specific areas while remaining as minimally invasive as possible, many strategies have been implemented over the last years, targeting the right combination of sensitivity, resolution and speed.^{1–4} Among these strategies, light-sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM)⁵ is a powerful imaging technique that allows both structural and functional imaging of neuronal networks, with appropriate spatio-temporal capabilities and minimal phototoxicity, making it particularly suitable for imaging living organisms.⁶

The intrinsic optical sectioning of LSFM enables to image with a high signal-to-background ratio (SBR), over a large field-of-view (FOV) using modern objectives, which also preserves a high spatial resolution at the single cell level. Starting from initial setups, various types of LSFM approaches have been reported,^{7–9} including improvements at the excitation path to provide a thin beam over a large FOV,¹⁰⁻¹² and advanced setups mostly based on remote focusing enabling fast 3D imaging.^{13–15} These new setups make LSFM undeniably one of the most recommended optical sectioning methods for high-speed imaging of 3D samples. Functional imaging using LSFM has therefore been widely used in various samples, such as zebrafish (Danio rerio), Caenorhabditis elegans, and Drosophila melanogaster, but suffers from the drastic reduction of SBR encountered in-depth due to the appearance of strong optical aberrations.¹⁶ To compensate for these aberrations, adaptive optics (AO) has been implemented on several LSFM setups, in both the excitation and the emission paths. Such implementations mainly differ on how aberrations are measured, either using sensorless methods¹⁷ or using direct wavefront sensing with a guide-star, such as a fluorescent bead¹⁸ or a virtual point source taking advantage of the confinement of multiphoton excited fluorescence and using a scan/descan geometry.¹⁹ These methods demonstrated significant improvement in SBR and in-depth resolution, but at the cost of either low temporal performance inducing phototoxicity for sensorless approaches, invasiveness when using beads, or implementation complexity and cost when based on multiphoton excitation.

Recently, we demonstrated AO-LSFM based on direct wavefront sensing, taking advantage of the use of an extended-scene Shack-Hartmann (ESSH) wavefront sensor.²⁰ The key benefits of this approach are its temporal performance and accuracy compared to sensorless methods, the need for a guide plane instead of a guide star, which simplifies the sample labeling protocol and minimizes its invasiveness, but also its reduced instrumental complexity compared to nonlinear excitation approaches. This strategy promises easy AO implementation on LSFM setups, fast closed-loop correction for minimal phototoxicity and easy use, and is compatible with a design as an add-on to existing systems. As a first proof of concept, after having characterized the metrological performances of the sensor, we reported significant improvement of image quality in-depth in the *ex-vivo Drosophila* brain, targeting neurons of the sleep network.²⁰ However, these initial results were obtained for structural imaging, and no demonstration of the capability of the approach to enhance calcium signal was performed.

In this paper, we demonstrate the capability of this new AO-LSFM approach to perform enhanced neuroimaging in freshly dissected samples, at significant depths, with significant gain for structural or calcium signals thanks to aberrations correction. In particular, we present an enhanced setup providing minimal toxicity induced by the AO process, no consumption of photons dedicated to neuroimaging, and improved speed of the AO loop. This enhancement is performed through a combination of an instrumental upgrade and of a minimal requirement in terms of sample preparation. We report on structural and calcium images in-depth of the *ex-vivo* adult *Drosophila* brain with a gain of at least 2 in terms of signal and a significant gain in terms of resolution, both brought by AO. Finally, we provide an analysis of a key parameter driving the AO process and performance, the number of modes, and provide initial analysis and discussion of the isoplanetic zone in the adult *Drosophila* brain in the context of our AO approach.

2 Methods

2.1 Two-Color Labeling and Spectral Separation for Photon Budget Optimization

When targeting functional imaging, it is of critical importance that the photons corresponding to the functional signal of interest are directed to the imaging camera with minimal loss, since this signal is usually close to the sensitivity of detectors. Moreover, the variation of the functional signal would be an additional difficulty if it were used to measure aberrations. In our initial proof of concept for structural imaging,²⁰ fluorescence photons were shared equally, using a 50:50 beamsplitter, between the imaging path and the wavefront sensing path for the sake of experimental simplicity. Jumping to functional imaging requires to take action on the optimization of the photon budget for both optical paths.

In this new setup, we demonstrate the combined use of a specific sample preparation and of a dual—or more—color optical setup at the emission of the LSFM to use photons, which are continuously emitted in a specific spectral range for the AO process, whereas photons corresponding to the calcium signal within a second spectral range are directed to the imaging camera with negligible loss. The principle is the following.

- 1. The genetically-encoded reporters are modified such that a specific labeling is available for structural imaging with a given fluorescence emission spectrum, while another labeling is used for functional imaging with a second fluorescence spectrum, the two emission spectra being separated by a shift compatible with efficient spectral separation.
- 2. The optical setup is modified such that it provides the excitation wavelengths corresponding to the two fluorescent labels, and the capability to separate the emission spectra with minimal photon loss.

While the first step could be seen as a constraint, current genetic methods now perform such sample preparation in routine, for multiple animal models used in neuroimaging. The addition of a stable structural fluorescence signal to the functional imaging also has the benefit of properly locating the functional signals⁴ and has been used as reference to compare the activity level of neurons between different animal samples.²¹ Moreover, structural labeling usually provides continuous fluorescence signal, thus enabling constant feed of photons to the wavefront sensor that is driving the AO process. Details about the adapted setup and corresponding sample preparation are given in the next paragraphs.

2.2 Optical Setup

The optical setup is based on the previously reported AO-LSFM system, for which full implementation details are described in Ref. 20 and in Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material, in particular regarding the ESSH wavefront sensor design and metrology performance. Figure 1(a) provides a simplified representation of the setup (conjugation lenses are not represented), focusing on modifications required for efficient functional imaging (see Sec. 2.1). In the excitation path, two lasers (Cobolt 06-MLD-488 nm and Cobolt Jive-561 nm) are coupled inside a monomode fiber, providing adequate excitation wavelengths for the green fluorescent protein (GFP) and its derived variant for calcium imaging GCaMP7b,²² and the red fluorescent protein ChRFP, (see Sec. 2.3, Sample Preparation). A 5 μ m thick light-sheet is formed by scanning the beam with a galvanometric mirror (GVS002, Thorlabs) and sent to the sample through an air objective $(10\times$, numerical aperture (NA) = 0.3, Olympus) and a custom sample holder with No. 1.5 coverslips on its sides. A variable diameter aperture diaphragm placed in the back focal plane of the illumination objective allows to adjust the thickness of the light-sheet. Since the groups of neurons of interest in the present study, involved in the circadian cycle of the adult Drosophila, do not cover the whole imaging FOV of the imaging camera (see Sec. 4, Results), we adjusted the aperture diaphragm to decrease the light-sheet thickness down to 5 μ m when compared to our previous publication (7 μ m). This allowed to improve optical sectioning and SBR of achieved images. Emitted fluorescence is collected through a high-NA water immersion objective $(25\times, NA = 0.95, Leica)$. Fluorescence emitted by GFP (for test experiments) or GCaMP (for functional imaging) is shown in green in Fig. 1(a) and is sent to an sCMOS camera (ORCA Flash



Fig. 1 (a) AO-LSFM schematic setup for functional imaging, as modified from Ref. 20. Red and green beams are of different sizes for representation purpose only. (b)–(d) Examples of cell bodies of FSB neurons in the *Drosophila* brain with GFP and ChRFP double labeling. Images acquired on a conventional epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss AxioImager M2). (b) GFP signal used for imaging. (c) ChRFP signal used to perform wavefront sensing and real sub-image associated on the ESSH. (d) Both previous signals merged, the localization is identical. Scale bar: 100 μ m.

V2 - Hamamatsu), whereas fluorescence corresponding to structural cherry red fluorescent protein (ChRFP) labeling (in red in Fig. 1(a) is sent to the ESSH wavefront sensor. The ESSH analyzer (from Ref. 20, Imagine Optic) has a custom design and is made of a 17×23 microlens array, with a 5.1 mm focal length, each microlens defining a 43×43 pixels area on a CMOS camera with a 6.9 μ m pixel size. Fluorescence signals are spectrally separated using a combination of a dichroic filter (Semrock FF556-SDi01) and of bandpass filters (Chroma ET525/50, Semrock FF01-618/50). Before reaching the spectral separation unit, fluorescence signal is reflected by a high stroke, high linearity deformable mirror (DM, Mirao 52e - Imagine Optic) for aberration correction. The FOV is $350 \times 350 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ on the camera and each microlens form a $130 \times 130 \ \mu m^2$ FOV image on the ESSH sensor. Before performing imaging experiments, the static system aberrations were calibrated by measuring the aberrations from a point source, coming from a sample made of sparse 2 μ m beads in a 2% agarose gel at the sample location, using the same direct wavefront sensor with a centroïd algorithm. Then a closed-loop was applied to determine the DM shape that compensates for these aberrations. Finally, an optimization was achieved on the image of a bead to take into account the non-common path aberrations coming from the spectral separation unit and visualize a diffraction-limited Airy disc coming from the bead (see Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Material for additional information). For this final shape of the DM, the corresponding wavefront is measured and recorded to be used as a reference for the ESSH in further AO experiments. The DM shape is recorded as the instrument-corrected shape, to be applied before any imaging. The aberration correction of the instrument requires <10% of the dynamic range of the mirror and thus allows to correct the aberrations induced by the sample in the linear operating range of the mirror). All results presented in this paper without AO are instrument-corrected so the reported gain brought by AO only comes from the correction of sample induced aberrations. The wavefront calculation procedure has been described in detail in a previous article,²³ and the slopes calculation is based on a Southwell geometry. The slopes calculation process based on intercorrelations follows the pseudo-code described in Anugu et al.²⁴

2.3 Sample Preparation

Samples consist of freshly dissected adult *Drosophila* brains with sleep-promoting neurons of the fan-shaped body (FSB) expressing either GFP or GCaMP7b, and ChRFP fluorescent proteins (23E10-GAL4 > UAS-mCD8::GFP, UAS-mCD8.ChRFP or 23E10-GAL4>UAS-GCaMP7b, UAS-mCD8.ChRFP), without any sample processing such as sample fixation or clarification. The emission spectra of the green and red fluorescent proteins are respectively centered at 509 and 610 nm. It corresponds to a spectral separation of 101 nm, which ensures negligible impact of the wavelength dependency of aberrations of our samples²⁵ on the quality of the AO correction. Moreover, the width of the emission spectra of the fluorescent proteins, combined with the position of the emission peak, allows a minimal loss of functional GCaMP7b signal when passing through the chosen dichroic filter.

The following genetic lines of Drosophila flies were obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center: 23E10-GAL4 (#49032), UAS-mCD8::GFP (#32186), UAS-mCD8.ChRFP (#27391), UAS-GCaMP7b (#80907). Standard genetic crosses were used to obtain flies expressing the dual green and red fluorescent proteins in the same neuronal structures, so the correction of aberrations based on the structural signal is optimized for the functional signal. More precisely, if red and green labeling were not done on the same structures, wavefront measurement based on the red structural signal could lead to a different result than a wavefront measurement based on the green functional signal in the case of large aberrations strongly limiting the isoplanetic patch down to a smaller size than the FOV captured by one microlens. Figure 1 illustrates the achieved sample preparation: it shows the FSB neurons as imaged at 30 to 55 μ m depth using a widefield microscope for [Fig. 1(b)] GFP, [Fig. 1(c)] ChRFP, and [Fig. 1(d)] numerically merged signals, over a 350 \times 350 μ m² FOV. The thumbnail on the middle image corresponds to the area of the image captured by each microlens of the ESSH to perform wavefront sensing on an extended scene (see Ref. 20 for details of the wavefront sensing process). FSB neurons project axons into a denser area at about 60 to 80 μ m depth; we also report AO-enhanced images of such neurons later in the manuscript (see Sec. 3.1).

2.4 Number of Corrected Modes

The choice of the number of aberrations modes to be corrected in the AO process is critical to achieve the best quality of aberration correction while still ensuring the capability of the AO loop to properly converge. Spherical aberration is one major aberration to be compensated for in biological samples, in particular due to the index mismatch with its surrounding medium in microscopy observation, but its sole correction does not ensure optimal image quality.^{26,27} Correcting higher order modes provides better performance. However, taking into account high-order modes, corresponding to high-order DM eigenmodes of the interaction matrix from the AO calibration process, induces higher sensitivity to the wavefront (WF) measurement noise, thus higher probability of a divergence of the AO loop.²⁸

Even though such a trade-off regarding the number of DM eigenmodes to be taken into account in the AO process has been widely reported as crucial, there has been poor experimental demonstration of its impact on AO-enhanced microscopy in biological samples. We thus experimentally determined such a trade-off in our setup. With the chosen DM, 52 eigenmodes are accessible for the correction, already corresponding to very high WF spatial frequencies, such as defined, e.g., by Zernike polynomials. We compared on two *Drosophila* samples, prepared according to the protocol described in Section 2.3, images of neuronal projections exhibiting high spatial frequencies without AO and with AO with different numbers of DM eigenmodes. When keeping all 52 modes, the AO loop starts diverging after ~ 10 iterations for a high gain value of the AO feedback loop (0.8). Figure 2 presents a comparison between no AO, AO using 16 and 36 DM eigenmodes, on the same FOV, 60 to 80 μ m deep, for two different areas of neuronal projections. For each case, a representation of measured aberrations is given by the values of the first 15 Zernike coefficients, up to the fifth-order spherical aberration. The Zernike coefficients beyond mode 15 are negligible and are therefore not shown here. Without AO, measured aberrations [Fig. 2(a)] confirm results from previous publications, ^{19,26} i.e., third-order spherical aberration (eighth Zernike coefficient) being dominant but accompanied by mostly third-order aberrations. AO correction based on 16 DM eigenmodes [Fig. 2(b)] show strongly reduced Zernike values but only provides a moderate gain regarding image quality.

Journal of Biomedical Optics



Fig. 2 Images of neuronal projections of FSB neurons between 60 and 80 μ m deep in two different freshly dissected *Drosophila* brains (a) without AO, and with AO with (b) 16 modes or (c) 36 modes. Scale bar: 20 μ m. Graphs represent absolute value of Zernike modes from 4 (astigmatism 0) to 15 (fifth-order spherical aberration) corresponding to images. Associated wavefront and full FOV for top image is presented in Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material.

Interestingly, fifth-order spherical aberration (15th Zernike coefficient) shows in this case an increased value after AO (confirmed by the corresponding wavefront map shown in Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material), that is likely to explain the limited visual gain on images. We attribute this behavior to the fact that the DM eigenmodes do not correspond to Zernike polynomials. DM eigenmodes are indeed linked to the membrane shape and the spatial distribution of actuators, and even in the case of the Mirao 52e DM exhibiting a circular membrane with regularly distributed actuators underneath, there is no single DM eigenmode perfectly describing the fifth-order spherical aberration, this discrepancy increasing with the order of the aberration to be corrected. For the DM to correctly describe the fifth-order spherical aberration, a combination of several DM eigenmodes, including high orders, is required. As spherical aberration of various orders is very present in depth, the restriction of the number of DM eigenmodes to 16 strongly limits the capability of the AO loop to compensate the fifth-order spherical aberration. This hypothesis is confirmed by the third experiment [Fig. 2(c)], showing the result of AO correction using 36 DM eigenmodes. In this case, there is a visual increase of image quality, regarding image contrast, and all first 15 Zernike coefficients including the fifth-order spherical aberration are reduced to values close to zero. This improvement is confirmed quantitatively by line profiles presented in Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material. For the two experiments involving AO, convergence of the loop was ensured after five to six iterations, and stability was properly maintained afterwards.



Fig. 3 Image of freshly dissected *Drosophila* brains with FSB neurons expressing GFP and ChRFP, and associated measured wavefronts before and after AO correction expressed in μ m. (a) Cell bodies at an approximate depth of 30 to 55 μ m. (b) Full FOV of neuronal projections at an approximate depth of 60 to 80 μ m with a zoom on the corrected area. For (a) and (b), in the right part, the top graph shows the average radial Fourier transform of the images before and after AO correction, and the bottom graph shows a profile corresponding to the line between the white arrows before and after AO correction.

3 Results

3.1 Ex-Vivo Quantification of AO Performance

We first demonstrate the performance of our new AO-LSFM setup on *ex-vivo*, freshly dissected adult Drosophila brain samples, with a structural dual color labeling and prepared according to the previously described procedure. Neuron cell bodies [Fig. 3(a)] and neuronal projections [Fig. 3(b)] were imaged at respective approximate depths of 30 to 55 μ m and 60 to 80 μ m. Exposure times were 200 ms on the imaging camera (GFP) and 100 ms on the ESSH wavefront sensor (ChRFP). For each structure of interest in (a) and (b), Fig. 3 shows images before (left) and after (right) AO correction, demonstrating a strong gain in image quality. More specifically, sub-images show the corresponding measured wavefronts, that drop from 256 to 34 nm root mean square (RMS) in Fig. 3(a) and from 377 to 37nm RMS in Fig. 3(b), which in both cases correspond to a correction better than $\lambda/15$ RMS as compared to the Maréchal criterion defining diffraction-limited imaging at $\lambda/14$ RMS residual wavefront error. From the measured wavefronts, it can be observed that, as expected, spherical aberration is dominant—together with astigmatism-before the AO process. To quantify the gain brought by AO, we computed the average radial Fourier transform for each image [top graph in the middle of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]: it shows a clear enhancement of medium spatial frequencies up to 50% in Figs. 3(a) and 30% in 3(b). This enhancement is quantitatively confirmed by the profiles corresponding to the white arrows in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) (bottom graph on the right). Profiles show a clear contrast enhancement by a factor of more than 2 in both cases, and a corresponding increase in terms of spatial resolution. The latter is particularly visible in Fig. 3(a): since GFP corresponds to a membrane labeling, AO provides a better view of the nucleus that corresponds to a dip in the profile (and a dark, round structure in the middle of the cytoplasm in the fluorescence image). In each case, the correction was achieved after a maximum of 6 iterations with a gain of 0.6, which corresponds to a typical correction time of 500 ms, mainly limited by the exposure time of the wavefront sensor.



Fig. 4 Neurons at 40 μ m (±10 μ m) depth labeled with GCaMP7b without and with adaptive optics. (a) (top) Full FOV image with delimited ROI. Scale bar : 100 μ m (bottom center), zoom on previous ROI at several depths. Scale bar: 20 μ m (bottom left and right), max intensity projection along x (x_{MIP}) of the stack without and with adaptive optics. Scale bar: 5 μ m, (b) (top) Zoom on the ROI at $z = 41 \ \mu$ m (±10 μ m) with an adapted colorbar. Scale bar: 10 μ m (center) Zoom on the delimited white rectangle above with a saturated colorbar [lower max value as compared to (top)], resulting in low-level signals being more visible, such as neuronal connections indicated with red arrows (bottom). Profiles without (orange) and with (blue) AO taken between white arrows of top images.

3.2 AO-LSFM for Enhanced Calcium Neuroimaging in an Ex-Vivo Adult Drosophila Brain

We then show the interest of our direct wavefront sensing AO strategy using ESSH for calcium neuroimaging by demonstrating the gain in both sensitivity and spatial resolution in the images obtained with our AO-LSFM setup, at an approximate depth of 40 µm in an ex-vivo Drosophila brain. The fluorescence signal in the images in Fig. 4 corresponds to emission of GCaMP7b that is proportionate to the native activity levels of sleep neurons at the time of the experiment.^{29,30} The fluorescence intensity levels recorded here are therefore consistent with those encountered in current functional neuroimaging experiments to map neuronal activity and ensure the relevance of this proof of concept. Exposure times of the imaging camera and the wavefront analyzer camera were 100 and 200 ms, respectively. The wavefront is measured at 41 μ m (±10 μ m) in-depth and the correction of the aberrations provides a gain in signal of a factor 2, clearly visible in the images of Fig. 4(a) (top) and confirmed by the profiles on the two bright left neurons shown in Fig. 4(b) (bottom). The signal enhancement can be observed at different depths [Fig. 4(a) (bottom)], over a thickness of 10 μ m (see the volume reconstruction in Video 1). This gain in intensity brought by AO directly corresponds to a gain of sensitivity of the imaging setup. Such a gain is of critical importance for functional experiments, since it provides the capability to detect and monitor neurons with lower activity, i.e., with faint signals close to the background level. An example of such neurons can be visualized in Fig. 4(b) (top), as indicated by the red arrows. Before aberrations correction [Fig. 4(b) (top left)], the intensity level of these neurons is of the same order of magnitude than the surrounding signal of brighter cells, whereas in Fig. 4(b) (top left), two neurons can be clearly identified thanks to the signal increase brought by AO. Zoom of the Fig. 4(b) (middle) confirm the gain in sensitivity where AO allows to reveal low level signals of projections and highlight neuronal connections, indicated with red arrows. It has to be noted that the gain provided by AO is directly linked to the amount of aberrations arising from the sample. The experimental results from Fig. 4 were obtained at an approximate depth of 40 μ m. As a consequence, it is expected that imaging deeper would provide a higher gain due to the correction of larger aberrations, that might unfortunately be counterbalanced by scattering at some point, depending on the characteristics of the sample. In our experiments in the *Drosophila* brain, it was possible to demonstrate a significant gain from AO at depths down to 80 μ m for structural imaging and 40 μ m for calcium imaging. For now, we failed to report significant image improvement using AO at larger depths, which is attributed to scattering starting to be predominant.

4 Discussion

We developed an AO-LSFM system based on direct wavefront sensing not requiring any guide star and compatible with calcium neuroimaging. We demonstrated a typical two-fold improvement in image contrast thanks to AO, with an optimal photon budget, which does not require the consumption of the photons used to build functional images. The approach requires a combination of a specific spectral excitation/detection and a dedicated sample preparation. Even though such sample preparation could be seen as a constraint, dual labeling enabling both structural and functional imaging is currently performed in routine in neuroimaging, where a stable fluorescence signal is needed as a reference. Indeed, the use of a second structural marker with a stable fluorescence intensity allows to normalize the activity levels of the different neurons in different samples to compare them over time without, for example, motion artifacts. This normalization is crucial during long-term recordings and allows to easily localize and segment neurons as well as subcellular structures whose activity levels, and consequently the fluorescence signal, are of low intensity. Our approach enables fast AO correction at a current speed up to 10 Hz, using a low complexity setup, demonstrating a significant speed increase, typically up to a factor of 5, as compared to our previous implementation,²⁰ thanks to the reported dual labeling, photonefficient strategy. One main advantage of AO based on direct wavefront sensing, such as reported here, is that it provides fast correction when compared to sensorless approaches that rely on multiple image acquisitions, typically 20 to 40, for third-order Zernike modes,³¹ thus requiring tens of seconds. This makes the device compatible with fast (500 ms or less) AO correction, which is particularly beneficial to 3D imaging or imaging of dynamic processes requiring a fast AO correction update. When targeting 3D imaging, depending on the extent of the axial isoplanetic patch, one or only a couple of AO loops can provide full correction over a large axial range, at the price of only one or several fast updates of the AO loop. One may object that reported imaging depths are limited. However, the adult *Drosophila* brain has been characterized as a strongly scattering sample when compared to other common animal models used in neuroimaging (e.g., zebrafish embryo (D. rerio), C. elegans). Typically, in one-photon setups without AO, reported SBR for this type of sample becomes weak starting at 40 μ m.³² We report here structural and calcium signal images down to 80 and 40 μ m, respectively, with increased contrast. The ultimate challenge is to image the whole adult *Drosophila* brain, corresponding to an approximate depth of 200 μ m, with a good contrast over the whole volume allowing for the recognition of all cellular structures of interest and the detection of functional signals. Observing the activity of neurons in real time and how it is affected by other neurons and the environmental stimuli is essential to understand the functional organization and connection of the brain. The development of fluorescent sensors whose brightness varies according the activity level of the neurons has allowed scientists to use fluorescent microscopy to map large-scale neuronal activity in 3D with spatial resolution at the individual neuron level.⁴ Nonetheless, the use of fluorescence intensity variation as a marker of cell activity has the inherent disadvantage of limited sensitivity, which prevents the detection of low activity cells, leading to an unintentional detection bias toward active bright cells. Likewise, within the neuron itself, the cell body is usually easily detected, whereas projections with much weaker signals are much more difficult to observe. Moreover, the same neuron can transmit distinct information to several neurons via different branches of projections and synapses, with variable levels of activity, and thus variations in fluorescence intensity. Improving detection sensitivity is therefore crucial to be able to observe the neuronal activity at a subcellular level and perform a local quantitative analysis.³³ Calcium imaging using GCaMP sensor, due to its fast kinetics, is used to study the fast-dynamic activity of



Fig. 5 Compact prototype AO system for LSFM.

the cell by tracing the changes in calcium traces over time in many publications (dF/F). For the specific case of the *Drosophila* line we studied, mainly involving neurons that induce sleep,³⁴ the recording of dynamic activity over time requires in vivo imaging over a long time, including nighttime. As a first approach, taking into account current instrumental limits including software and to minimize experimental constraints, we planned to demonstrate the gain brought by AO on calcium signal at a single time point. This approach was considered biologically relevant and informative since it is based on similar published studies in the Drosophila brain on sleep neurons facing the same experimental constraints. Indeed, similar to other fluorescent sensors, such as calcium-dependent nuclear import of LexA (CaLexA)³⁵ and calcium-modulated photoactivatable ratiometric integrator (CaMPRI)³⁶ in several publications using *Drosophila*, the fluorescent level of GCaMP for a certain time point is studied, which is sometimes referred to as baseline fluorescent level, in other words an "activity snapshot" of the cell. This approach is used to compare the activity level between neurons under different conditions, such as feeding state (Supp. Figs. 7F and 7G of Ref. 37) or at different time points of the day and after sleep deprivation (Fig. 5J of Ref. 21) to link the baseline calcium level of the neurons and by consequences their activity levels to the experimental conditions. Thus, we believe the experiment using GCaMP7b sensor we performed in Fig. 4 reflects a functional imaging experiment that is on line with scientific publications targeting the same biology topic.

The instrumental approach is compatible with the design of an add-on module for multiple geometries of LSFM setups, either research-oriented or commercial, since it only requires the insertion of the AO module before the imaging camera, such as for existing AO add-ons.³⁸ A compact prototype module (30 cm \times 30 cm \times 10 cm) has been designed as a first step toward a commercial AO add-on for LSFM systems, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The prototype is currently being tested on a LSFM system based on an upright microscope frame and will be tested on several different LSFM configurations.

On the current setup, the light-sheet thickness is significantly larger than the depth of focus of the detection objective. The overall volume captured by each microlens of the ESSH wave-front sensor is thus $130 \times 130 \times 5 \ \mu m^3$. As a consequence, the measured wavefront corresponds to an averaged wavefront from this volume, which might not be optimal if the size of the iso-planetic volumetric patch is significantly smaller. In our study, even if the $130 \times 130 \ \mu m^2$ FOV captured by each microlens of the ESSH is rather large, it has been shown that for our sample of interest the isoplanetic patch is at least of the same order of magnitude than this area. This is confirmed by the image obtained after AO correction of Fig. 3(b) where the wavefront is measured from the neurons and projections in the lower left area and an increase in fluorescence intensity can still be seen on the projections at the top of the image, as well as in Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material, where a signal enhancement can be observed on the neuronal

projections at the top of the image, at a distance of about 100 μ m from the FOV of the analyzer. In general, for samples in which an isoplanatic patch is smaller than the analyzer FOV, the ESSH approach provides an interesting versatility, that has not yet been studied, for examples on more aberrated samples: it is possible to numerically adjust (reduce) the area over which intercorrelations are performed to measure wavefront slopes, which means that the size of the isoplanetic patch for a given sample can be characterized from a single acquisition, to define an optimal size for the computation of intercorrelation—as soon as such a size is smaller than the size of a microlens. Also, decreasing the light-sheet thickness would directly generate better scattering background rejection, suggesting significant room for even better image quality using our AO-LSFM. Finally, in our current system, AO is only implemented at the emission path: we are working on the introduction of optimization of the excitation light-sheet, such as a combination of "low-order AO" as reported in Ref. 39, with wavefront shaping in the excitation beam.⁴⁰

Disclosures

Fabrice Harms and Cynthia Veilly are employed by the company Imagine Optic and Antoine Hubert's doctoral research is funded by Imagine Optic. The other authors declare no competing interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank Amaury Fourgeaud (ESPCI) for providing custom mechanical parts and Laurent Bourdieu (ENS-IBENS) for fruitful discussion. This project has been funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Grant No. ANR-AAPG 2018) call for project (Project No. INOVAO - GA n°ANR-18-CE19-0002-01). François Rouyer is supported by INSERM. Georges Farkouh is supported by Région Île-de-France, and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM). A.H. took part in conceptualization and methodology, experiments, analysis and interpretation, drafting; G.F. took part in methodology, sample preparation, experiments and drafting; F.H. took part in conceptualization and methodology, analysis, fund-raising and project and resource management and drafting; C.V. took part in conceptualization and project administration; S.I., M.M. and V.L. took part in the experiments; F.R. was involved in conceptualization, fund-raising, analysis, administration and management of the project; A.F. participated in conceptualization and methodology, experiments, analysis, fund-raising, project administration and management, and writing.

Data availability

Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

- M. B. Ahrens et al., "Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy," *Nat. Methods* 10, 413–420 (2013).
- T. Panier et al., "Fast functional imaging of multiple brain regions in intact zebrafish larvae using selective plane illumination microscopy," *Front. Neural Circuits* 7, 65 (2013).
- S. Aimon et al., "Fast near-whole-brain imaging in adult Drosophila during responses to stimuli and behavior," *PLoS Biol.* 17, e2006732 (2019).
- F. Delestro et al., "In vivo large-scale analysis of Drosophila neuronal calcium traces by automated tracking of single somata," Sci. Rep. 10, 7153 (2020).
- J. Huisken et al., "Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy," *Science* 305, 1007–1009 (2004).
- X. Liang, T. E. Holy, and P. H. Taghert, "Synchronous Drosophila circadian pacemakers display nonsynchronous ca2+ rhythms in vivo," Science 351, 976–981 (2016).
- T. F. Holekamp, D. Turaga, and T. E. Holy, "Fast three-dimensional fluorescence imaging of activity in neural populations by objective-coupled planar illumination microscopy," *Neuron* 57, 661–672 (2008).
- T. V. Truong et al., "Deep and fast live imaging with two-photon scanned light-sheet microscopy," *Nat. Methods* 8, 757–760 (2011).
- 9. F. O. Fahrbach et al., "Rapid 3D light-sheet microscopy with a tunable lens," *Opt. Express* 21, 21010 (2013).
- 10. B.-C. Chen et al., "Lattice light-sheet microscopy: imaging molecules to embryos at high spatiotemporal resolution," *Science* **346**, 1257998 (2014).

- K. M. Dean et al., "Deconvolution-free subcellular imaging with axially swept light sheet microscopy," *Biophys. J.* 108, 2807–2815 (2015).
- B. Yang et al., "DaXi-high-resolution, large imaging volume and multi-view single-objective light-sheet microscopy," *Nat. Methods* 19(4), 461–469 (2022).
- M. B. Bouchard et al., "Swept confocally-aligned planar excitation (SCAPE) microscopy for high-speed volumetric imaging of behaving organisms," *Nat. Photonics* 9(2), 113–119 (2015).
- E. Sapoznik et al., "A versatile oblique plane microscope for large-scale and high-resolution imaging of subcellular dynamics," *eLife* 9, e57681 (2020).
- G. de Vito et al., "Fast whole-brain imaging of seizures in zebrafish larvae by two-photon light-sheet microscopy," *Biomed. Opt. Express* 13(3), 1516–1536 (2022).
- J. Van Krugten, K.-K. H. Taris, and E. J. Peterman, "Imaging adult C. elegans live using light-sheet microscopy," J. Microsc. 281, 214–223 (2020).
- 17. C. Bourgenot et al., "3D adaptive optics in a light sheet microscope," Opt. Express 20, 13252 (2012).
- 18. R. Jorand et al., "Deep and clear optical imaging of thick inhomogeneous samples," *PLoS One* 7, e35795 (2012).
- T.-L. Liu et al., "Observing the cell in its native state: imaging subcellular dynamics in multicellular organisms," *Science* 360, eaaq1392 (2018).
- A. Hubert et al., "Adaptive optics light-sheet microscopy based on direct wavefront sensing without any guide star," Opt. Lett. 44, 2514 (2019).
- S. Liu et al., "Sleep drive is encoded by neural plastic changes in a dedicated circuit," *Cell* 165, 1347–1360 (2016).
- H. Dana et al., "High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in neuronal populations and microcompartments," *Nat. Methods* 16, 649–657 (2019).
- S. Imperato et al., "Single-shot quantitative aberration and scattering length measurements in mouse brain tissues using an extended-source Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor," *Opt. Express* 30(9), 15250–15265 (2022).
- N. Anugu, P. J. V. Garcia, and C. M. Correia, "Peak-locking centroid bias in Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensing," *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 476, 300–306 (2018).
- E. J. Fernández et al., "Ocular aberrations as a function of wavelength in the near infrared measured with a femtosecond laser," Opt. Express 13(2), 400–409 (2005).
- R. Turcotte, Y. Liang, and N. Ji, "Adaptive optical versus spherical aberration corrections for *in vivo* brain imaging," *Biomed. Opt. Express* 8, 3891 (2017).
- 27. C. Wang et al., "Modeling classical wavefront sensors," Opt. Express 28, 5273 (2020).
- E. J. Fernández and P. Artal, "Membrane deformable mirror for adaptive optics: performance limits in visual optics," *Opt. Express* 11, 1056 (2003).
- X. Liang et al., "Morning and evening circadian pacemakers independently drive premotor centers via a specific dopamine relay," *Neuron* 102, 843–857.e4 (2019).
- Z. Yao et al., "Analysis of functional neuronal connectivity in the Drosophila brain," J. Neurophysiol. 108, 684–696 (2012).
- A. Facomprez, E. Beaurepaire, and D. Débarre, "Accuracy of correction in modal sensorless adaptive optics," Opt. Express 20, 2598 (2012).
- K.-J. Hsu et al., "Optical properties of adult Drosophila brains in one-, two-, and three-photon microscopy," *Biomed. Opt. Express* 10, 1627 (2019).
- H. H. H. Yang et al., "Subcellular imaging of voltage and calcium signals reveals neural processing *in vivo*," *Cell* 166, 245–257 (2016).
- J. M. Donlea et al., "Inducing sleep by remote control facilitates memory consolidation in Drosophila," Science 332, 1571–1576 (2011).
- 35. K. Masuyama et al., "Mapping neural circuits with activity-dependent nuclear import of a transcription factor," *J. Neurogenet.* **26**, 89–102 (2012).
- B. F. Fosque et al., "Labeling of active neural circuits *in vivo* with designed calcium integrators," *Science* 347, 755–760 (2015).
- Q. Liu et al., "Branch-specific plasticity of a bifunctional dopamine circuit encodes protein hunger," *Science* 356, 534–539 (2017).
- 38. Imagine Optic, "MicAO3DSR product page," https://www.imagine-optic.com/product/micao-3dsr/.
- L. A. Royer et al., "Adaptive light-sheet microscopy for long-term, high-resolution imaging in living organisms," *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34(12), 1267–1278 (2016).
- D. Wilding et al., "Adaptive illumination based on direct wavefront sensing in a light-sheet fluorescence microscope," *Opt. Express* 24(22), 24896–24906 (2016).

Biographies of the authors are not available.