

Solidification Study of an Organic Fine Sediment Using Geopolymer Binders Properties

Ishak Moghrabi, Harifidy Ranaivomanana, Fateh Bendahmane, Ouali Amiri,

Dimitri Deneele

► To cite this version:

Ishak Moghrabi, Harifidy Ranaivomanana, Fateh Bendahmane, Ouali Amiri, Dimitri Deneele. Solidification Study of an Organic Fine Sediment Using Geopolymer Binders Properties. International Journal of Geomechanics, 2023, 23 (10), 10.1061/IJGNAI.GMENG-8187. hal-04193796

HAL Id: hal-04193796 https://hal.science/hal-04193796

Submitted on 6 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

| 1 | Solidification study of an organic fine sediment using geopolymer | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | binders properties | | | |
| 3 | Ishak MOGHRABI, PhD. ¹ ; Harifidy RANAIVOMANANA, PhD. ² ; Fateh | | | |
| 4 | BENDAHMANE, PhD. ³ ; Ouali AMIRI, PhD. ⁴ , Dimitri DENEELE, PhD. ⁵ | | | |
| 5 | ¹ Structural design engineer, Chabanne Architecte, 38 Quai de Pierre-Scize, 69009 Lyon, France. | | | |
| 6 | Email: moghrabi.ishak@gmail.com | | | |
| 7 | ² Associate Professor, Nantes Université, Ecole Centrale Nantes, CNRS, GeM, UMR 6183, F-44600 | | | |
| 8 | Saint-Nazaire, France (corresponding author). | | | |
| 9 | Email: harifidy.ranaivomanana@univ-nantes.fr | | | |
| 10 | ³ Associate Professor, Nantes Université, Ecole Centrale Nantes, CNRS, GeM, UMR 6183, F-44600 | | | |
| 11 | Saint-Nazaire, France | | | |
| 12 | Email: fateh.bendahmane@univ-nantes.fr | | | |
| 13 | ⁴ Full Professor, Nantes Université, Ecole Centrale Nantes, CNRS, GeM, UMR 6183, F-44600 Saint- | | | |
| 14 | Nazaire, France. | | | |
| 15 | Email: ouali.amiri@univ-nantes.fr | | | |
| 16 | ⁵ Senior Researcher, GERS-GIE, Université Gustave Eiffel, IFSTTAR, F-44344 Bouguenais, France. | | | |
| 17 | Email: dimitri.deneele@univ-eiffel.fr | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| | | | | |

19 Abstract

The present paper addresses the characterization of long-term and durability properties for employment in road subgrade construction of geopolymer-treated sediments previously studied in Part I of this work. Four mixtures are presently selected: untreated sediment (F₀), sediment treated with 2% lime and 7% OPC (2L7OPC) and two geopolymer-treated mixtures using 5 and 10% of GGBFS/sodium-silicate based geopolymers (5GP2 and 10GP2 respectively). Long-term properties are investigated through unconfined compressive strength and tensile strength tests. The resistance of designed materials against weathering conditions
are tested through freeze-thaw cycles, humidification-drying cycles, and immersion at short
term. 10GP2 mixtures showed long-term properties superior to that of 2L7OPC mixtures.
Specimens treated using 10% GP2 are resistant against weathering conditions.

30

31 Keywords

32 Long-term properties, durability, geopolymer binder, sub-grade recovery

33

34 **1 Introduction**

35 The recovery of dredged sediments in civil engineering has been the subject of numerous 36 studies. Earthwork structures, e.g., fill, roads, dykes, allow to recover important quantities of 37 sediments, with minimal environmental and economic impacts, compared to other recovery methods like substitution in concrete and cement that requires thermal/chemical pre-treatment 38 39 of sediments. Following the traditional method, in order to use sediments in road construction 40 and assimilated recovery methods, these are solidified using cement and hydraulic admixtures. 41 However, the consumption of cement worldwide has important environmental impacts due to 42 the high CO₂ emissions and consumption of energy and natural resources.

43 In the present study, an innovative method which consists of solidifying sediments using 44 geopolymer binders (GP) is presented. The used GP binders are user-friendly and fabricated basing on industrial by-products, *i.e.*, grain granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) and fly ash 45 46 (FA) respectively. Two geopolymers are tested: a first one denoted as GP1 composed of 47 FA/GGBFS/K-silicate and a second one denoted as GP2 made using GGBFS/Na-silicate. In 48 part I of the present study, short-term properties of treated sediments were investigated using 49 aptitude for treatment test and unconfined compressive resistance (UCS). Basing on results of 50 part I, the experimental program is pursued on 4 formulations (see section 2).

51 The investigation of short-term properties is important regarding the all-weather 52 trafficability of the earthmoving machinery but also for the protection of earthworks against 53 climatic agents during construction. Nevertheless, short term properties are not sufficient to 54 assess the sustainability of designed materials for subgrade recovery. It is therefore required to 55 examine long-term properties of materials in order to obtain homogeneous bearing capacity of 56 designed materials and to maintain over time, despite fluctuations in the hydric state of water-57 sensitive subsoils, an acceptable bearing capacity. The durability properties against weathering 58 conditions, freezing-thaw, wetting drying and immersion, should be as well tested. The destructive durability testing techniques are usually employed to evaluate the durability 59 60 characteristics of stabilised soils (NF P 94-102-2 AFNOR 2001; NF P 234-1 AFNOR 1992; 61 Stegemann and Cote 1996). Although the durability of geopolymers binders has been widely 62 studied (Firdous et al. 2018; Nawaz et al. 2020) very few studies are available in literature that 63 focused on durability properties of soil treated using geopolymers. These are mainly focused 64 on the improvement of mechanical properties and mainly concern fly ash geopolymer-treated 65 soils (Abdullah et al. 2021; Cristelo et al. 2011; Ghadir and Ranjbar 2018; Khadka et al. 2020; 66 Phummiphan et al. 2018; Sukmak et al. 2013).

In terms of wetting–drying durability cycles, (Rios et al. 2017) observed low volumetric change on Class (F) fly-ash geopolymer-treated sand in addition to reasonable residual strength. Similar low volumetric change results were obtained by (Sargent et al. 2013) on an activated fly ash geopolymer-treated clay, despite a low residual UCS performance. The authors explain this result due to the lack of clay content in the stabilised soil limiting the cation exchange capacity. Recently, (Rivera et al. 2020) observed on an A4 type soil stabilized using fly ash based geopolymer, a low acceptable mass loss after twelve wetting-drying cycles.

74 In terms of freezing-thawing durability cycles, (Abdullah et al. 2019) observed on a Class
75 (F) fly-ash geopolymer-stabilised kaolin clay a lower mechanical resistance in a freezing

76 climate than that in a tropical climate. The authors reported that low temperatures delay77 geopolymerization reactions.

It is worth noting that no study is available in literature concerning long-term and durability properties of dredged sediments treated using user-friendly geopolymers. Available studies in literature were mainly focused on drying-wetting cycles of treated soils.

For the above reasons, long term and durability properties of treated sediments are investigated in the present study with a particular attention paid to microstructural aspects. Long-term properties are tested using UCS and DCS tests over 4 curing periods (60, 90, 180 and 360 days), followed by thermogravimetric analysis test (TGA). Three durability tests are performed, namely, freeze-thaw cycles, humidification-drying cycles and immersion at longterm.

87

88 **2 Tested mixtures**

89 In part I of the present study, the composition of used hydraulic and geopolymer binders 90 as well as their characteristics were presented. These included the mechanical resistance, 91 chemical activity, mineralogical composition and its pore size distribution. The characteristics 92 of used sediments were investigated as well. Note that two geopolymers were used, GP1: 93 FA/GGBFS/K-silicate and GP2: GGBFS/Na-silicate. 18 mixtures were tested using 5, 10 or 15 94 % of binders, 9 without granular corrector and 9 with the addition of dredged sand. Aptitude 95 for treatment test results showed that without a granular corrector, any of the tested binders is apt due to unmeasurable swelling and very low diametral compressive resistance. However, the 96 97 addition of dredged sand with sediments-to-sand ratio of 0.3, allowed to limit organic matter 98 content in the overall mixtures below 3 % and enhanced its granular skeleton. Thus, the 99 experimental investigation was pursued using sediments with granular corrector.

100 Firstly, short-term properties were tested using unconfined compressive strength (UCS) 101 at 7- and 28-days curing periods, then the mineralogical and microstructural properties were 102 investigated using thermogravimetric analysis, X-ray diffraction and mercury intrusion 103 porosimetry. Results showed that GP1 treatment does not allow to solidify the sediment-sand 104 mixture. Thus, long-term and durability properties of GP1 are not tested herein. Sediments 105 treated using 10 and 15% of GP2 showed acceptable results with low swelling and high 106 mechanical performance. Specimens treated using 5% of GP2 showed an improvement in its 107 mechanical performance (300 kPa) with respect to reference non-treated sediments (100 kPa), 108 yet not sufficient for road subgrade use. Yet, it is interesting to investigate the potential of 109 mechanical properties enhancement at long-term. Sediments treated using 2% of hydraulic lime 110 and 8% of OPC showed acceptable mechanical resistance as well. As the objective herein is to 111 optimize the used binder dosage, and in order to reduce the time needed to perform long-term 112 and durability tests over 4 curing periods (60, 90, 180 and 360 days), 4 formulations are selected 113 to pursue the experimental campaign. Untreated sediment-sand mixture (F_0) is used as reference 114 to be compared with treated formulations. As the effect of geopolymers on treated sediments 115 mechanical properties at long term is still obscure, treatment using 5 and 10% of GP2 is 116 considered. Despite the low mechanical efficiency of 5% GP2 treatment at short-term, the 117 experimental program is pursued on 5GP2 in order to investigate a potential long-term 118 enhancement. Therefore, 4 mixtures are selected, namely, one untreated (F_0), one treated using 119 OPC/L (2L7OPC) and two treated using GP2 geopolymer (5GP2 and 10GP2). Tested 120 formulations are given in Table 1.

121

122 **3 Methods**

Long-term properties investigation consists of performing UCS and DCS tests and
 TGA/DTG analysis at 60-, 90-, 180- and 360-days curing periods. The resistance of designed

materials against weathering conditions are tested through 3 tests: freeze-thaw cycles,
humidification-drying cycles, and immersion at short term.

127 Cylindrical specimens, of 5cm diameter, dedicated for UCS and durability tests are 128 fabricated with a height-to-diameter ratio of 2, while those dedicated for DCS test are fabricated 129 using a height-to-diameter ratio of 1. Specimens are compacted in order to obtain a density $\rho =$ 130 0.96* ρ_d (NF P 98-114-3 AFNOR 2001). Compaction parameters are presented after fabrication, 131 specimens are conserved at temperature of 20 °C and 90 % humidity.

132

133 **3.1 Unconfined compressive resistance (UCS)**

The unconfined compressive resistance (UCS) is a reliable test used to evaluate the effectiveness of using designed materials in a civil engineering application *e.g., road embankments, fill materials.* For instance, in case of a road sub-grade application, UCS helps to evaluate the applicability of designed materials, and allows assessing the effect of applied treatment on the evolution of the mechanical resistance at long term as well.

139

140 **3.2 Tensile resistance (TS)**

141 Long term performance of treated materials is evaluated through the tensile strength (TS) 142 and elasticity modulus (E) after a curing period of 90 days at least on cylindrical specimens of 143 5 cm diameter and 5 cm height. Plotting (E, TS) couple, allows classifying treated materials. Then the required subgrade layer thickness can be determined as function of obtained 144 145 mechanical classification, road bed classification and required road support platform ranking 146 (SETRA-LCPC 2000a). Tensile strength TS can be estimated through direct tensile test or 147 diametral compression test. However, direct tensile test is not applicable for class A soil, 148 therefore diametral compression test is used to find TS with TS = 0.8DCS (NF P 98-114-3 149 AFNOR 2001). This test is performed with charging speed less than 0.2 MPa/sec. Following NF P 98-114-3 (NF P 98-114-3 AFNOR 2001), the modulus of elasticity (E) corresponds to 30
% of maximal tensile stress on UCS stress-strain curve. Afterwards, E is obtained using Hook's
law.

- 153
- 154

3.3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

TGA test allows identifying the presence/absence of organic matter and inorganic minerals in sediments as well as it enables to detect the potential new formed geopolymerization and OPC hardening products. It consists of increasing the ignition temperature of a sample from 25-to-1025 °C with a heating rate of 5 °C /min, while measuring the evolution of its mass.

159

160 **3.4 Durability tests**

161 The strength of designed materials against weathering conditions are characterized 162 through 3 tests: freeze-thaw and humidification-drying cycles, and immersion at short term. In 163 fact, earthworks structures (roads, dykes, fill embankments) are subject to potential extreme 164 natural conditions due to important temperature changes and floods followed by draining and 165 appearance of sun. These phenomena induce freezing-thawing, wetting-drying and total 166 immersion for long durations. Thus, to avoid severe failure, earthworks materials should be 167 resistant in terms of durability properties. These potential extreme conditions can be simulated 168 in the laboratory in order to evaluate the sustainability of designed materials.

169

170 *3.4.1 <u>Freeze-thaw cycles</u>*

When the temperature drops under 0 °C, the water in the capillary pores freezes and its volume increases, which provokes cracking and swelling. Frost-induced swelling is a cumulative phenomenon that increases as the material is subjected to repeated freeze-thaw cycles. The damage of materials depends on several factors, like the cooling rate, the number

175 of cycles, the minimum temperature reached and the duration of the freezing. Thawing-freezing 176 test is carried out according to the French standard (NF P 234-1 AFNOR 1992). The fabricated 177 specimens (Φ : 5 cm, h: 10 cm) are conserved at a room temperature of 20 °C for 40 days. 178 Afterwards, these are subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles. A freezing-thawing cycle lasts 24 h 179 and consists of 2 stages. During the first stage, specimens are maintained at 10 °C for 4 hours, 180 while the second consists of keeping specimens at - 10 °C for 14 hours. The remaining time 181 allows temperature alteration. The resistance of samples to freeze and thaw is evaluated through 182 the UCS of specimens subjected to freeze-thaw cycles to that conserved at 20 °C.

- 183
- 184

3.4.2 <u>Wetting-drying cycles</u>

The test methodology of wetting-drying durability test was proposed by (Stegemann and Cote 1996). It consists of comparing the UCS of samples submitted to 10 wetting-drying cycles with that of specimens kept at ambient temperature for 60 days. The fabricated specimens (Φ : 5 cm, h: 10 cm) are conserved for 40 days at room temperature of 20 °C to be submitted to wetting-drying cycles for 20 days. Specimens are immersed for 24 hours allow total saturation, to be dried later at 50 °C for 24 hours.

191

192

3.4.3 Immersion in water at short term

193 The water immersion test is carried out according to the French standard (NF P 94-102-2 194 AFNOR 2001). After fabrication, the cylindrical samples (Φ : 5 cm, h: 10 cm) are conserved at 195 a room temperature of 20 °C for 28 days. Then these are immersed in water for 32 days then 196 removed to perform UCS test. To be resistant against immersion, UCS ratio of specimens after 197 immersion to that cured at ambient conditions should be higher than 0.8. Durability tests are 198 performed on 3 specimens per mixture in order to acquire the mean values.

199

200

4 Long term properties

Long-term properties of treated sediments are investigated through unconfined compression test and diametral compression test. These are performed over the following curing periods: 60, 90, 180 and 360 days.

204

205

4.1 Unconfined compressive strength

Unconfined compressive strength results are presented in Fig. 1, indicating the average of 3 tested specimens along with the maximum and minimum values. Fig. 1 shows progressive increase of UCS till 360 days for 2L7OPC mixture. The obtained strength at 28 days is acceptable for road sub-grade application (> 1 MPa) (SETRA-LCPC 2000b).

210 No significant UCS development is observed in the case of 5GP2 mixture, even after 360 211 days curing period. With such UCS, 5GP2 cannot be employed in subgrade construction. Yet, 212 it can be used as fill material since 300-400 kPa is largely sufficient for such application 213 (SMOCS 2013; Stabcon 2011). This result can be explained by the fact that the quantity of 214 calcium ions present in 5GP2 mixture is not sufficient to encapsulate the negative effects of 215 OM and reacts with Na-silicate solution afterwards. GGBFS contains 43.2 % by mass of calcium oxide. Thus, adding 5 % of GGBFS includes 0.05*43.2=2.16 % of Ca²⁺ ions. This 216 217 percentage is merely higher than the needed quantity of hydraulic lime to hinder the negative effects of OM. Thus, the lack of free Ca²⁺ in 5GP2 mixtures available for geopolymerization 218 219 results in poor solidified materials mechanical strength.





221

Fig. 1. Unconfined compressive strength of designed materials as function of curing period

222 10GP2 mixtures showed high UCS and high stability at short term curing period. Fig. 1 223 shows that the UCS of 10GP2 mixtures is superior to that of 2L7OPC. Moreover, the 224 development of UCS continues over the tested curing periods. Yet a decrease in the UCS at 360 225 days is observed. Given that the diametral compressive strength increases between 180 and 360 226 days (Fig. 1), the decrease of UCS is supposedly due to an experimental default like a change 227 of the humidity of the conservation chamber. 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures can be employed 228 as subgrade and sub-base materials according to its tensile strength (TS). The progressive 229 increase of UCS can be explained by the fact that OM retards, and sometimes hinders (case of 230 5GP2), hardening reactions.

231

232 **4.2** Mineralogical analysis

Fig. 2(a) shows the TGA/DTG analysis of 2L7OPC sample over time. Fig. 2(a) shows 4 peaks at 80 °C, 130 °C, 430 °C and 710 °C which correspond to the release of free water, dehydration of OPC hydrates (*calcium silicate hydrate C-S-H, calcium aluminates C-A-H*),
dehydroxylation of portlandite Ca(OH)₂ and decarbonation of calcium carbonates CaCO₃
respectively (Bhatty 1986; Monteagudo et al. 2014).



11

TGA curves as well show that the decomposed mass increases with time knowing that the initial mass of all specimens is of 20mg. Thus, the increase of UCS can be correlated with the hydration of lime and OPC and thus the formation of new hydrates (Ranaivomanana et al. 248 2013).

5GP2 DTG curves (Fig. 2(b)) show that the principle GP2 binder products, C-S-H and hydrotalcite that decompose at 105 °C and 400 °C respectively, cannot be identified. DTG peaks correspond to the materials contained in sediments but with lower amplitudes due to the dilution effect induced by GGBFS.

253 10GP2 DTG results in Fig. 2(c) show that the quantities of hydrotalcite (at 400 °C) and
254 CaCO₃ increase with curing period. Which explains the mechanical improvement observed in
255 Fig. 1.

256

257

4.3 Diametral compressive strength (DCS)

Fig. 3 shows a very low DCS of F_0 mixture. Similarly, in the case of 5GP2, where a slight increase of 10 kPa with respect to F_0 is observed. DCS of 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures are in correlation with UCS results. In order to classify designed materials, it is necessary to determine their elastic modulus (E) in addition to tensile strength (TS), knowing that TS = 0.8 DCS. According to the obtained classification, the dimensioning of a subgrade or sub-base layer basing on designed materials can be performed.



264

Fig. 3. Diametral compressive strength of designed materials as function of curing period
 266

267 4.4 Classification of designed materials

The mean values of (E, TS) couple of 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures are presented in Fig.
4. Both TS and E values of tested mixtures increase with curing period due to the formation of
new hydration products.



271

272

Fig. 4. Evolution of designed materials long term properties

273 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures are located in the zone 1 on (E, TS) diagram for the 3 curing 274 periods: 90, 180 and 360 days. Solidified sediments are considered as materials of class 2 and 275 thus can be used for sub-base as well as subgrade construction.

- 276
- 277

5 Durability properties

Testing durability properties is essential in order to determine the feasibility of employing designed materials in subgrade construction. Durability properties of designed materials are evaluated by considering 3 weathering tests, namely, immersion at short term, freeze-thaw cycles and humidification-drying cycles.

282 **5.1** Immersion in water at short term

Water immersion test is carried out according to the French standard (NF P 94-102-2 283 284 AFNOR 2001). After 28 days curing period, 3 specimens of each mixture, are immersed in 285 water for 32 days. Three other specimens are left at 20 °C and 90 % humidity for 60 days. After 286 60 days, immersed specimens are removed from water then tested for UCS, to be compared to 287 that cured at normal conditions. For subgrade application, materials are considered resistant to 288 immersion if the ratio UCS(immersed specimens)/UCS(normally cured specimens) is less than 289 0.8 for materials having a methylene blue value (MBV) less than 5 g/100 g, and less than 0.6 if 290 MBV greater than 5 g/100g. UCS results of specimens cured at normal conditions and those 291 immersed in water are presented in Fig. 5.

Untreated specimens (F_0) are deteriorated few days after immersion in water. Even if 100 kPa is sufficient for certain applications such as fill embankments, untreated sediments would not resist to rainy conditions. By comparing UCS results with and without immersion, 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures are considered to be insensible against immersion, given that UCS_{im}/UCS₆₀ ratio remained higher than 0.6, even higher than 0.8 knowing that raw sediment MBV is of 5.8 g/100 g. UCS of 2L7OPC decreased slightly while that of 10GP2 is not affected. 5GP2 mixture is not resistant against immersion with a UCS_{im}/UCS_{60} ratio equal to 0.38 < 0.6.



300

301

Fig. 5. UCS results of sensibility to water test

302 UCS_{im}/UCS₆₀: UCS (immersed specimens)/ UCS(normally cured specimens for 60 days)

303

5.2 Freeze thaw cycles

When the temperature drops under 0 °C, the water in the capillary pores freezes and is accompanied by a volume increase which provokes swelling and cracking. Frost-induced swelling is a cumulative phenomenon that evolves as the material is submitted to repeated freeze-thaw cycles. The damage of materials depends on several factors, like the cooling rate, the number of cycles, the minimum temperature reached and the duration of the freezing. Thawing-freezing test is carried out according to (NF P 234-1 AFNOR 1992).

After fabrication, specimens are conserved for 28 days at normal conditions and then subjected
against 20 freeze-thaw cycles afterwards. UCS of specimens cured at 20 °C (UCS₆₀) is

313 compared to that subjected to freeze-thaw cycles (UCS_{F-T}). As UCS_{F-T}/UCS₆₀ ratio of the 4

tested mixtures is higher than 0.8, these are considered to be resistant to freeze-thaw cycles.



- Fig. 0. 005 results of freeze
- 317 UCS_{F-T}/UCS₂₈: UCS(after freeze-thaw cycles) /UCS(normally cured for 28 days)
- 318

315

316

319

5.3 Humidification-drying cycles

The test methodology of wetting-drying test was proposed by (Stegemann and Cote 320 321 1996). Humidification-drying cycles could be the most intense weathering conditions on treated 322 sediments/soil. 28 days after fabrication, specimens are subjected to 10 humidification-drying 323 cycles. Specimens are weighed before and after the experiment in order to assess the mass loss 324 afterwards. The percentages of mass change are given in Fig. 7. Untreated specimens (F_0) and 325 5GP2 are partially deteriorated after 1 humidification cycle, then destroyed few hours after the 326 beginning of the second humidification cycle. On the other hand, 2L3OPC and 10GP2 327 specimens remained totally intact after 10 humidification-drying cycles. Contrariwise, mass 328 measurements after the end of the experiment reveal a slight gain in specimen's mass. Fig. 8 329 and Fig. 9 show the TGA/DTG curves of both 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures. This result is due 330 to the formation of CaCO₃ upon contact with tap water during 10 humidification phases. In 331 2L7OPC, the decomposed mass between 600 and 750 °C is doubled after immersion. Normally 332 cured 10GP2 specimens do not contain CaCO₃ while the humidification cycles lead to the 333 formation of new CaCO₃ minerals. The formation of CaCO₃ improves the mechanical 334 properties of treated materials, and thus increases the strength of specimens to humidification-335 drying cycles. (Ranaivomanana et al. 2013) reported that immersing cementitious materials in 336 carbonated water leads to the formation of new calcium carbonates. Durability results are consistent with obtained UCS, DCS and Sv results. 337





Fig. 7. Humidification-drying cycles results. Percentage of mass gain







Fig. 9. 10GP2 TGA/DTG results at normal curing and after humidification/drying cycles
 344

342

```
345
```

6

Conclusion

346 In the present study, long term and durability properties of a highly organic sediment 347 treated using a geopolymer binder, based on GGBFS/sodium silicate solution (GP2), for an 348 application in road subgrade construction are investigated.

Long-term mechanical properties and durability properties are tested on 4 formulations: untreated-reference mixture (F₀) and 3 treated mixtures, namely 2L7OPC, 5GP2 and 10GP2. The main observed results are the following:

• UCS and TS of 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures increased with time. TGA results showed that the formation of hydrotalcite in 10GP2 specimens and OPC hydration products in 2L7OPC specimens, is responsible for its mechanical strength enhancement. TGA curves showed as well an increase in the formation of hydrates/geopolymerisation products over curing period.

357 UCS and TS results of 5GP2 do not show an improvement even at 360 days curing
358 period. The quantity of calcium in 5GP2 is not sufficient to saturate the OM and
359 participate to geopolymerization reactions.

• F₀ and 5GP2 mixtures are not resistant to any of the 3 weathering tests namely, immersion in water, freeze-thaw and humidification-drying cycles. The absence of 362 treatment in F_0 , and the weak geopolymer setting reactions in 5GP2, produced materials 363 of important macro-porosity, and thus high permeability. Furthermore, the absence of 364 binding between particles, and the high permeability made the tested specimens 365 unresistant against weathering cycles.

366 2L7OPC and 10GP2 mixtures are very resistant to weathering conditions, with higher 367 mechanical performance of 10GP2 compared to 2L7OPC. The formation of 368 hydration/geopolymerisation products induces the agglomeration of particles, and thus 369 generating larger cluster that increases the mechanical resistance of designed materials. 370 Furthermore, the formed hydrates decreased the volume of porosity, and replaced the 371 macro-pores by micro-pores, which decreased its permeability. Stronger binding between particles and lower permeability reduces the sensitivity of materials against 372 373 weathering conditions.

Based on elastic modulus and tensile strength results, geopolymer based mixture
 10GP2, can be employed for subgrade and sub-base road layers. However, 2L7OPC
 mixtures can be used only for subgrade construction.

377

378 Notation

379 The following symbols are used in this paper:

| 380 | CaCO ₃ | Calcium carbonates |
|-----|-------------------|---|
| 381 | CSH | Calcium silicate hydrate |
| 382 | DCS | Diametral compressive strength |
| 383 | DTG | Differential thermogravimetric analysis |
| 384 | Е | Elastic modulus |
| 385 | FA | Fly ash |
| 386 | GP | Geopolymer binder |

| 387 | GGBFS | Ground granulated blast furnace slag |
|-----|-------|--------------------------------------|
| 388 | L | Hydraulic lime |
| 389 | OPC | Ordinary Portland Cement |
| 390 | OM | Organic matter |
| 391 | TGA | Thermogravimetric analysis |
| 392 | TS | Tensile strength |
| 393 | UCS | Unconfined compressive strength |

7 Data availability statement

395 The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the

396 article (measured by the authors or extracted from their respective published source in the

397 literature that is listed in the reference section).

398

399 **8 References**

- 400 Abdullah, H. H., M. A. Shahin, and P. Sarker. 2019. "Use of Fly-Ash Geopolymer
 401 Incorporating Ground Granulated Slag for Stabilisation of Kaolin Clay Cured at
 402 Ambient Temperature." *Geotech. Geol. Eng.*, 37 (2): 721–740.
 403 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-018-0644-2.
- 404 Abdullah, H. H., M. A. Shahin, M. L. Walske, and A. Karrech. 2021. "Cyclic behaviour of clay
 405 stabilised with fly-ash based geopolymer incorporating ground granulated slag."
 406 *Transp. Geotech.*, 26: 100430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2020.100430.
- Bhatty, J. I. 1986. "Hydration versus strength in a portland cement developed from domestic
 mineral wastes a comparative study." *Thermochim. Acta*, 106: 93–103.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-6031(86)85120-6.
- Cristelo, N., S. Glendinning, and A. Teixeira Pinto. 2011. "Deep soft soil improvement by
 alkaline activation." *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Ground Improv.*, 164 (2): 73–82.
 https://doi.org/10.1680/grim.900032.
- Firdous, R., D. Stephan, and J. N. Y. Djobo. 2018. "Natural pozzolan based geopolymers: A
 review on mechanical, microstructural and durability characteristics." *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 190: 1251–1263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.09.191.
- Ghadir, P., and N. Ranjbar. 2018. "Clayey soil stabilization using geopolymer and Portland
 cement." *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 188: 361–371.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.207.

- Khadka, S. D., P. W. Jayawickrama, S. Senadheera, and B. Segvic. 2020. "Stabilization of highly expansive soils containing sulfate using metakaolin and fly ash based geopolymer modified with lime and gypsum." *Transp. Geotech.*, 23: 100327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2020.100327.
- Monteagudo, S. M., A. Moragues, J. C. Gálvez, M. J. Casati, and E. Reyes. 2014. "The degree
 of hydration assessment of blended cement pastes by differential thermal and
 thermogravimetric analysis. Morphological evolution of the solid phases." *Thermochim. Acta*, 592: 37–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2014.08.008.
- Nawaz, M., A. Heitor, and M. Sivakumar. 2020. "Geopolymers in construction recent developments." *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 260: 120472.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.120472.
- NF P 94-102-2 AFNOR. 2001. "Sols: Reconnaissance et essais Sol traité au liant hydraulique, éventuellement associé à la chaux, pour utilisation en couche de forme —
 Partie 2 : Méthodologie des études de formulation en laboratoire. [Soils: Investigation and testing Soil treated with hydraulic binder, possibly combined with lime, for use as a selected fill Part 2: Methodolog of laboratory formulation studies]."
- NF P 98-114-3 AFNOR. 2001. "Assises de chaussées Méthodologie d'étude en laboratoire
 des matériaux traités aux liants hydrauliques Partie 3 : Sols traités aux liants
 hydrauliques éventuellement associés à la chaux. [Roadway foundations —
 Methodology for laboratory study of materials treated with hydraulic binders Part 3:
 Soils treated with hydraulic binders possibly combined with lime]."
- 440 NF P 234-1 AFNOR. 1992. "Essais relatifs aux chaussées Comportement au gel des matériaux 441 traités aux liants hydrauliques - Partie 1 : essai de résistance au gel-dégel des graves et 442 sables traités [Tests relating to pavements. Freezing behaviour of material treated with 443 hydraulic binders. Part 1: freezing–thawing test of stabilized gravel or sand]."
- Phummiphan, I., S. Horpibulsuk, R. Rachan, A. Arulrajah, S.-L. Shen, and P. Chindaprasirt.
 2018. "High calcium fly ash geopolymer stabilized lateritic soil and granulated blast
 furnace slag blends as a pavement base material." *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 341: 257–267.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.07.067.
- Ranaivomanana, H., J. Verdier, A. Sellier, and X. Bourbon. 2013. "Sealing process induced by
 carbonation of localized cracks in cementitious materials." *Cem. Concr. Compos.*,
 Complete (37): 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.12.008.
- Rios, S., N. Cristelo, A. Viana da Fonseca, and C. Ferreira. 2017. "Stiffness Behavior of Soil
 Stabilized with Alkali-Activated Fly Ash from Small to Large Strains." *Int. J. Geomech.*, 17 (3): 04016087. American Society of Civil Engineers.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GM.1943-5622.0000783.
- 455 Rivera, J. F., A. Orobio, N. Cristelo, and R. Mejía de Gutiérrez. 2020. "Fly ash-based
 456 geopolymer as A4 type soil stabiliser." *Transp. Geotech.*, 25: 100409.
 457 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trgeo.2020.100409.
- 458 Sargent, P., P. Hughes, M. Rouainia, and M. White. 2013. "The use of alkali activated waste
 459 binders in enhancing the mechanical properties and durability of soft alluvial soils."
 460 Eng. Geol., 152: 96–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.10.013.
- 461 SETRA-LCPC. 2000a. GTS. Treatment of soil by lime and/or hydraulic binders: application to
 462 the realization of embankments and road sub-grade layer [Traitement des sols à la
 463 chaux et/ou aux liants hydrauliques: application à la réalisation des remblais et des

- 464 *couches de forme]*. 246. Technical guide. LCPC, Laboratoire central des ponts et chaussées; SETRA, Service d'études techniques des routes et autoroutes.
- 466 SETRA-LCPC. 2000b. *GTR. Technical guide for the implementation of fill and road subgrade*467 [*Guide technique pour la réalisation des remblais et des couches de forme*]. Fascicules
 468 I Principes généraux, 211. Technical guide. LCPC, Laboratoire central des ponts et
 469 chaussées; SETRA, Service d'études techniques des routes et autoroutes.
- 470 SMOCS. 2013. Sustainable Management of Contaminated Sediments (SMOCS) Guideline.
 471 82. Baltic Sea Region Programme 2007-2013.
- 472 Stabcon. 2011. "Vägledning för nyttiggörande av muddermassor i hamn- och 473 anläggningskonstruktioner [Recovery guidance of sediments in port and harbors]."
 474 Sweden: Swedish geotechnical institute.
- 475 Stegemann, J. A., and P. L. Cote. 1996. "A proposed protocol for evaluation of solidified
 476 wastes." *Sci. Total Environ.*, 178 (1–3): 103–110.
- 477 Sukmak, P., S. Horpibulsuk, and S.-L. Shen. 2013. "Strength development in clay–fly ash 478 geopolymer." *Constr. Build. Mater.*, Special Section on Recycling Wastes for Use as 479 Construction Materials, 40: 566–574. 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.11.015.
- 481

482 Tables

483

Table 1. Composition of tested mixtures

| Designation | Binder(s) | Sediment + dredged sand ⁽¹⁾ | Water-to-dry ratio |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| F ₀ | - | 100% | 11.4% |
| 2L7OPC | 2% lime + 7% OPC (2) | 91% | 11.4% |
| 5GP2 | 5% GP2 ⁽³⁾ | 95% | 11.4% |
| 10GP2 | 10% GP2 | 90% | 11.4% |

484 ⁽¹⁾ dredged sediments to dredged sand ratio = 3

485 ⁽²⁾ Ordinary Portland cement: CEM II 32.5

486 ⁽³⁾ GP2: GGBFS + 35% sodium silicate solution + 7.7% water (the composition of GP2 binder is

487 given in part I of the present study)