

Inferring and comparing metabolism across heterogeneous sets of annotated genomes using AuCoMe

Arnaud Belcour, Jeanne Got, Méziane Aite, Ludovic Delage, Jonas Collen, Clémence Frioux, Catherine Leblanc, Simon Dittami, Samuel Blanquart, Gabriel Markov, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Arnaud Belcour, Jeanne Got, Méziane Aite, Ludovic Delage, Jonas Collen, et al.. Inferring and comparing metabolism across heterogeneous sets of annotated genomes using AuCoMe. 2023. hal-04192851v2

HAL Id: hal-04192851 https://hal.science/hal-04192851v2

Preprint submitted on 2 May 2023 (v2), last revised 31 Aug 2023 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Inferring and comparing metabolism across heterogeneous sets of annotated genomes using AuCoMe

Arnaud Belcour^{1,*} Jeanne Got^{1,}, Méziane Aite^{1,}, Ludovic Delage², Jonas Collén²,
 Clémence Frioux³, Catherine Leblanc², Simon M. Dittami², Samuel Blanquart¹,

Gabriel V. Markov 2,† and Anne Siegel 1,†

March 23, 2023

- 5 1. Univ Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA, F-35000 Rennes, France
- 6 2. Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Integrative Biology of Marine Models (LBI2M), Station
- 7 Biologique de Roscoff (SBR), 29680 Roscoff, France
- 8 3. Inria, INRAE, Université de Bordeaux, France

⁹ These authors contributed equally to this work. - [†] Co-last authors - ^{*} Corresponding authors:

- ¹⁰ Arnaud Belcour and Anne Siegel.
- ¹¹ Keywords: genomics, metabolism, metabolic evolution, genomes, systems biology
- 12 Running Title: AuCoMe: Automatic Comparison of Metabolism
- ¹³ Character count (including spaces): 59743

¹⁴ Abstract

4

¹⁵ Comparative analysis of Genome-Scale Metabolic Networks (GSMNs) may yield important infor¹⁶ mation on the biology, evolution, and adaptation of species. However, it is impeded by the high

heterogeneity of the quality and completeness of structural and functional genome annotations, 17 which may bias the results of such comparisons. To address this issue, we developed AuCoMe – 18 a pipeline to automatically reconstruct homogeneous GSMNs from a heterogeneous set of anno-19 tated genomes without discarding available manual annotations. We tested AuCoMe with three 20 datasets, one bacterial, one fungal, and one algal, and demonstrated that it successfully reduces 21 technical biases while capturing the metabolic specificities of each organism. Our results also point 22 out shared metabolic traits and divergence points among evolutionarily distant algae, underlining 23 the potential of AuCoMe to accelerate the broad exploration of metabolic evolution across the tree 24 of life. 25

26 Introduction

The comparison of genomic data gave rise to today's view of the three domains of life: bacteria, 27 archaea, and eukaryotes, being divided into several supergroups (Burki et al., 2020). The evolution 28 of the organisms within these lineages is linked to their ability to adapt to their environment and, 29 therefore, to the plasticity of their metabolic responses. In this context, the analysis of Genome-30 Scale Metabolic Networks (GSMNs) constitutes a powerful approach, both for graph-based and 31 metadata comparison and, when compatible, for flux-based approaches (Gu et al., 2019). The 32 number of sequences available in public databases is continuously rising, as illustrated by the Gen-33 Bank database, which grew by 74.30% for Whole Genome Shotgun data in 2019 compared to 2018 34 (Savers et al., 2019). GSMN reconstruction is theoretically possible for any genome and has already 35 been used to explore evolutionary questions. Metabolic relationships in 975 organisms from the 36 three domains of life showed that these domains were well-separated Schulz and Almaas (2020). 37 Using GSMN reconstruction in bacteria, metabolic and phylogenetic distances between *Escherichia* 38 coli and Shiqella strains could be explained by the parasitic lifestyle of the latter (Vieira et al., 39 2011). Another GSMN-based study of 301 genomes from the human gut microbiota identified 40 marginal metabolic differences at the microbiota family level but significant metabolic differences 41 between closely related species (Bauer et al., 2015). Analysis of fungal GSMNs additionally demon-42 strated correlation between metabolic distances and the phylogeny of *Penicillium* species, even if 43 no connection was found between the metabolic distances and the species habitat (Prigent et al., 44 2018). In brown algae, the GSMNs of Saccharina japonica and Cladosiphon okamuranus (Nègre 45 et al., 2019) were compared to the GSMN of *Ectocarpus siliculosus* revealing that heterogeneity of 46 genome annotations may have a stronger impact on GSMNs than genuine biological differences. 47

For most GSMN analyses, some limitations still need to be addressed (Bernstein et al., 2021). When comparing different GSMNs, two main biases concern the variable quality of genome annotations and the multitude of reconstruction approaches. A variety of methods exists to perform structural (gene structure prediction) and functional (association of functions to genes) annotation steps (Yandell and Ence, 2012) and the method choice has previously been shown to have

direct effects on the reconstructed GSMNs (Karimi et al., 2021). Similarly, numerous methods 53 for GSMN reconstruction have been developed, e.g. Pathway Tools (Karp et al., 2019), RAVEN 54 (Wang et al., 2018), merlin (Dias et al., 2015; Capela et al., 2022), KBase (Arkin et al., 2018), 55 ModelSEED (Devoid et al., 2013), AuReMe (Aite et al., 2018), AutoKEGGRec (Karlsen et al., 56 2018), CarVeMe (Machado et al., 2018), and gapseq (Zimmermann et al., 2021). They rely on 57 one or several metabolic databases such as MetaCyc (Caspi et al., 2020), KEGG (Kanehisa and 58 Goto, 2000; Kanehisa et al., 2017), ModelSEED (Seaver et al., 2021) or BiGG (King et al., 2016). 59 Despite efforts in the direction of database reconciliation (Moretti et al., 2021), the heterogeneity 60 of metabolic databases requires time-consuming matching of their respective identifiers and may 61 thus impede the comparison of the GSMNs. 62

One strategy to resolve the issue of GSMN comparison is to work directly on GSMNs. A first 63 method is the reconstruction annotation jamboree (Thiele and Palsson, 2010), a community effort to 64 curate pathway discrepancies by examining reactions, Gene-Protein-Reaction (GPR) associations. 65 and metabolites in GSMNs in order to create a consensus GSMN for an organism. This is relevant 66 for organisms for which multiple GSMNs exist, in order to establish a reference one. This strategy 67 was successfully applied to Salmonella typhimurium LT2 (Thiele et al., 2011) as well as Saccha-68 romyces cerevisiae (Herrgård et al., 2008), and later multiple organisms to create a panmetabolism 69 of 33 fungi (Correia and Mahadevan, 2020). Although platforms now facilitate such community 70 efforts (Cottret et al., 2018), these methods are costly in terms of the manpower involved. 71

A second strategy to resolve GSMN comparison issues is to adapt the GSMN reconstruction 72 method. This strategy aims at reducing annotation biases through the reconstruction of GSMNs 73 from homogeneously annotated genomes using the same method and database, possibly followed 74 by the propagation of annotations with sequence alignments (Vieira et al., 2011; Prigent et al., 75 2018). This strategy was pushed forward and automatized in the tool CoReCo, which enabled 76 the reconstruction of gap-less metabolic networks from several non-annotated genomes (Pitkänen 77 et al., 2014; Castillo et al., 2016). The main limitation of such approaches is that the re-annotation 78 of the genomes supplants the previous genome annotation. 79

Annotations of genomes in databases also reflect the expertise of scientists. Their quality and 80 precision, ranging from structural features, such as accuracy of intron-exon boundaries or func-81 tional inferences, like the assignation to a specific catalytic activity based on previous biochemical 82 evidence, highly depend on the amount of curation effort done after the initial automated steps. 83 Such valuable information is lost during a systematic re-annotation step. For a reliable interpre-84 tation of data, expert annotations therefore ought to be preserved while automatically inferring 85 metabolic networks from any type of genomic resource. In this article, we introduce a new method, 86 AuCoMe (Automated Comparison of Metabolism) that creates a set of homogenized GSMNs from 87 heterogeneously-annotated genomes. This enables a less biased functional comparison of the net-88 works and the determination of metabolic distances using the presence/absence of reactions. Our 89 objective was to develop an efficient and robust approach, which does not depend on the quality 90 of the initial annotations and is able to aggregate heterogeneous information in both prokaryote 91 and eukaryote datasets. AuCoMe combines metabolic network reconstruction, propagation, and 92 verification of annotations. The method automatizes the strategy of transferring information from 93 the annotations of the genomes and complements this information transfer with local searches of 94 missing structural annotations. AuCoMe was applied to three heterogeneous datasets composed of 95 fungal, algal, and bacterial genomes. Our results demonstrate that AuCoMe succeeds at propagat-96 ing missing reactions to degraded metabolic networks while capturing the metabolic specificities 97 of organisms despite profound differences in the quality of genome annotations. This provides a 98 knowledge base for the comparison of metabolisms between different organisms. 99

$_{100}$ Results

¹⁰¹ A tool for homogenizing metabolism inference

AuCoMe is a Python package that aims to build homogeneous metabolic networks and panmetabolisms starting from genomes with heterogeneous functional and structural annotations. Au-CoMe propagates annotation information among organisms through a four-step pipeline (Fig. 1). The AuCoMe pipeline was tested on three datasets composed of genomes that offer different

levels of phylogenetic diversity. The *bacterial dataset* includes 29 genomes belonging to different 106 species of Escherichia and closely related Shigella, the fungal dataset (74 fungal genomes and 3 out-107 group genomes) covers a range of different phyla within this kingdom, and finally the algal dataset 108 (36 algal genomes and 4 outgroup genomes) exhibits the highest phylogenetic diversity including 109 eukaryotes from the supergroups SAR (Stramenopiles, Alveolata and Rhizaria), Haptophyta, Cryp-110 tophyta, and Archaeplastidia. For all species included in the three datasets, we used annotated 111 genomes publicly available (see Supplemental Tables S1, S2, S3). Run times of AuCoMe on a 112 cluster were 7 hours (10 CPUs), 25 hours (40 CPUs), and 45 hours (40 CPUs) for the bacterial, 113 fungal, and algal datasets, respectively. Details for individual steps are reported in Supplemental 114 file, section 2. 115

In the first step, the *draft reconstruction* step, draft metabolic networks are automatically in-116 ferred from the original annotations (especially Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Enzyme Commis-117 sion (EC) numbers) using Pathway Tools (Fig. 1A). Only reactions supported by gene associations 118 or spontaneous reactions were kept in the draft metabolic networks (see Methods). The GSMNs 119 reconstructed at this step from the three datasets exhibit highly heterogeneous reactions (Fig. 2A 120 and blue bars in Fig. 2 B, C, D, see also Supplemental Fig. S1, S2, and S3). Notably in the 121 fungal dataset, no reactions were inferred from annotations in seven species, and 12 draft GSMNs 122 contained less than ten reactions. For the latter, their respective genome annotations included no 123 EC number, and eleven did not include any GO term. 124

Similar observations were also made, although to a lesser extent, for the algal genome dataset, with seven genomes having more than 2,000 reactions and seven genomes less than 500 reactions. At this step, high heterogeneity in the number of reactions can be attributed mainly to differences in the quality and quantity of the functional annotations provided, precluding biologically meaningful comparisons of the GSMNs obtained at the draft reconstruction step. Those initial results from Pathway Tools are a good proxy for the quality of initial genome annotations.

The resulting GSMNs and their proteomes were then subjected to comparative genomic analyses in the *orthology propagation* step. During this process, GPR associations are propagated across

GSMNs according to orthology relations established using OrthoFinder (Fig. 1B). A robustness 133 filter (see Methods) then selects the robust GPR relationships among all propagated associations. 134 After this step, we observed an homogenization of the number of reactions in the datasets (orange 135 bars in Fig. 2, Supplemental Fig. S1, S2, and S3). The fungal dataset exhibits an outlier at this step; 136 the GSMN of *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* contained only 681 reactions compared to over thousand 137 reactions in the other fungal GSMNs. This is consistent with this species being a microsporidian 138 parasite with a strong genome and gene compaction (Grisdale et al., 2013). In all datasets, among 139 the reactions propagated by orthology, a few hundred were removed because they did not fulfill the 140 robustness score criterion (see Methods). 141

A third step (the *structural verification*) consists in checking for the presence of additional 142 GPR associations by finding missing structural annotations in all genomes (Fig. 1C). Compared 143 to the orthology propagation, the structural verification step had a smaller impact on the size 144 of the final networks (green bars in Fig. 2, Supplemental Fig. S1, S2, and S3). Ninety-five 145 percent of the GSMNs received less than 28 reactions during this step, and the maximum was 146 209. In the bacterial dataset, the six *Shiqella* received more reactions at this step compared to 147 the other strains (on average 76.2 vs. 7.4). After a manual examination, a majority of these 148 reactions were associated with pseudogenes. For the fungal dataset, AuCoMe added 209 reactions 149 for Saccharomyces kudriavzevii. These reactions were associated with 192 sequences recovered 150 during the structural step. For all of these sequences, we found corresponding transcripts in a 151 published transcriptome dataset (Blevins et al., 2021). As for the algal dataset, 86 reactions were 152 added for *Ectocarpus subulatus*. We validated the presence of 59 out of 65 genes (83 out of 86 153 reactions) by associating them with existing transcripts. The remaining six genes (three reactions) 154 corresponded to plastid sequences that had remained in the nuclear genome assembly. In both 155 fungal and algal datasets, the structural completion step was, therefore, able to recover sequences 156 likely to correspond to functional genes. 157

Finally, the *spontaneous completion* step (Fig. 1D) adds spontaneous reactions to each metabolic network if these reactions complete BioCyc pathways (red bars in Fig. 2, Supplemental Fig. S1,

S2, and S3). For the fungal dataset, this step added between two and 23 spontaneous reactions. 160 leading to two to 27 additional MetaCyc pathways that achieved a completion rate equal to 100%. 161 For the algae, the same step added between 4 and 36 spontaneous reactions yielding two to 31 162 additional pathways. The fewer reactions were inferred at the draft reconstruction step, the more 163 spontaneous reactions were added to complete pathways (Pearson R = -0.83 and -0.84 for the fungal 164 and algal datasets, respectively). The addition of these spontaneous reactions to the ones predicted 165 by Pathway Tools (only other step predicting this type of reactions) lead to the prediction of less 166 than a hundred spontaneous reactions per GSMN. 167

When looking at the size of the final networks, overall, in the three datasets, the final GSMNs 168 were of similar size after applying AuCoMe regardless of the quantity and quality of their corre-169 sponding genome annotations. In the bacterial dataset (Fig. 2B and Supplemental Fig. S1) the 170 networks of *Shigella* strains comprised fewer reactions than the rest (average of 2,148 reactions vs. 171 2,294, Wilcoxon rank-sum test W = 138, P = 2e-4). This is consistent with the results of Vieira 172 et al. (2011). On the other hand, E. coli K-12 MG1655 stood out with 2,568 reactions compared 173 to 2,047 to 2,342 for the other strains. This can be explained by the curation on this strain and 174 the fact that reactions propagated from E. coli K-12 MG1655 to the other strains were frequently 175 supported by only one gene predicted at the draft reconstruction step, and were removed after the 176 orthology propagation (see Methods). 177

178 Validation of AuCoMe predictions

¹⁷⁹ To estimate the quality of the predictions made by AuCoMe, experiments were performed.

In the first experiment, we compared the GSMNs created by AuCoMe to those created by CarveMe, ModelSEED and gapseq on the bacterial dataset (Supplemental Fig. S4). On this dataset, AuCoMe performed well regarding the recovery of EC numbers, although, it does not reconstruct the largest GSMNs, limiting the inference of reactions to those associated with genes. The ECs inferred by the different tools for *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 were compared with a reference containing ECs from EoCyc, KEGG, BiGG and ModelSEED associated with *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 (Supplemental Fig. S5). In this comparison, AuCoMe predicted the highest number of true positives
(Supplemental Fig. S6).

Then, a second comparison on the eukaryotes was performed with AuCoMe, gapseq find module 188 and ModelSEED on 5 fungal genomes. Results on the eukaryotic genomes showed that AuCoMe 189 predicts the most EC numbers, reactions, and pathways in species distant from the model ones 190 (Supplemental Table S5 and Figure S7). A comparison with metabolic pathways contained in 191 YeastCvc for the genome of Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C was done to estimate the quality 192 of the predicted pathways. AuCoMe predicted a high number of pathways with low completion 193 rate not found in YeastCyc (Supplemental Figure S8). For pathways with completion rate above 194 70%, AuCoMe and gapseq exhibited similar performance (Supplemental Fig. S9). Although these 195 experiments should be confirmed by an exhaustive comparative study, these results suggest that 196 AuCoMe is suitable for the study of the metabolism of multiple eukaryotic genomes by predicting 197 robust gene-reactions associations. 198

The third evaluation of the reliability of the reconstruction process was performed on the final algal dataset. We manually examined 100 random GPR associations across the metabolic networks generated by AuCoMe: 50 reactions that were predicted to be present and 50 reactions that were predicted to be absent (see methods). Not counting spontaneous reactions, manual annotations and automatic predictions corresponded in 86% of all cases (42/49) for the reactions predicted to be present and in 91% (40/44) for the reactions predicted to be absent (see Supplemental Tables S6 and S7). These data underline the robustness of the AuCoMe pipeline.

For the fourth verification, we extracted the EC numbers of all reactions of the fungal and the algal dataset GSMNs for which GPR associations were only predicted by orthology. For each EC number, we extracted the associated protein sequence and used DeepEC (Ryu et al., 2019) to infer EC numbers and compared them to the EC numbers linked to the reaction by the pipeline. An enrichment of sequences confirmed by DeepEC is observed in robust GPR associations compared to those discarded by the filter: 26% vs. 4.8 % in the fungal dataset and 13.6% vs. 1.4% in the algal dataset (see Supplemental Fig S10). This confirms that the robustness filter removed ²¹³ predominantly poorly supported reactions.

In the fifth experiment, thirty-two datasets were formed, each containing the 29 bacterial E. 214 coli and Shigella strains studied in Vieira et al. (2011), among them a replicate of the E. coli K-12 215 MG1655 genome degraded to a variable extent in its functional and/or structural annotations 216 (see Methods and Supplemental Table S4). The manually-curated EcoCyc database (Karp et al., 217 2018a) was used to check the reliability of the GSMN reconstructed for each corresponding degraded 218 genome. For each of the 32 datasets, F-measures were computed at each AuCoMe step according to 219 comparisons of the reconstructed GSMN with the gold-standard EcoCyc database (see Methods). 220 Fig. 3A illustrates the number of reactions predicted by AuCoMe for the E. coli K-12 MG1655 221 GSMN in each of the 32 synthetic bacterial datasets to assess the importance of each step in the 222 homogenization of the GSMN sizes. Fig. 3B represents the F-measure for the corresponding dataset. 223 As expected, the more the genomes were degraded, the lower the F-measures were. The orthology 224 propagation alleviated this degradation for functionally degraded genomes (dataset labeled 1 to 10). 225 And the structural verification step compensated the loss of annotation in structurally degraded 226 genomes (datasets labeled 22 to 31). With both types of degradation (datasets 11 to 21), the 227 combination of the two steps recovered lost reactions. 228

Notably, even when 100% of the *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 functional and structural annotations are degraded, the information from the other 28 non-altered genomes enabled the recovery of 2,244 reactions (Fig. 3A, dataset 31) and a F-measure of 0.60. Altogether, these results demonstrate that, by taking advantage of the annotations present in the other genomes of the considered dataset, Au-CoMe builds GSMNs with reactions even for genomes completely missing functional and structural annotations.

²³⁵ Exploration of Calvin cycle and pigment pathways in algae

The accuracy of the annotation transfer procedure by AuCoMe was further assessed using two pathways where there where clear biological expectations in the algal dataset. The Calvin cycle is a biochemical pathway present in photosynthetic organisms to fix CO_2 into three-carbon sugars ²³⁹ composed of 13 reactions (MetaCyc identifier: CALVIN-PWY, Fig. 4).

The three main AuCoMe steps are required to obtain a homogeneous view of this pathway in all 240 organisms. The draft reconstruction (blue) and the orthology propagation (orange) steps provide 241 most of the reactions. The robustness criterion (grey) applied during the orthology propagation step 242 removed a GPR association with the reaction RIBULOSE-BISPHOSPHATE-CARBOXYLASE-243 RXN for the non-photosynthetic fungus Neurospora crassa. The structural verification step added 244 one reaction (RIBULP3EPIM-RXN) for *Porphyra umbilicalis* (green square in Fig. 4). The G3P 245 dehydrogenase reaction (1.2.1.13-RXN) had to be added manually in brown algae, diatoms and 246 haptophytes because the canonical plastidial gene has been replaced by a cytosolic paralog (Liaud 247 et al., 1997). Similarly, the EC number associated with the reaction SEDOBISALDOL-RXN was 248 incomplete (only three digits) in the MetaCyc version used and not found in the 40 GSMNs, and 240 therefore manually added to the 40 GSMNs (GPR associations are indicated in yellow in Fig. 4, 250 for details, see Supplementary data). 251

A similar analysis was performed on pathways producing phycobilins in five brown algae (Supplemental Fig S11). As for the Calvin cycle, reactions in the pathways were added during draft reconstruction, orthology propagation and spontaneous completion. The finding of those pathways in brown algae may appear contradictory with the loss of associated phycobiliproteins during evolution (Bhattacharya et al., 2004). However, the retention of enzymes related to phycobilin biosynthesis is linked with their cooption from a role as photosynthetic pigments to a function of signaling within photoreceptors (Rockwell and Lagarias, 2017).

²⁵⁹ Both of these analyses highlight the potential of AuCoMe to help understand metabolism and ²⁶⁰ its evolution in a group of non-model organisms by predicting candidate GPRs and pathways.

²⁶¹ AuCoMe GSMNs are consistent with species phylogeny

To further assess the predictions of AuCoMe and to explore biological features, we clustered the GSMNs of the algal dataset after the draft reconstruction as well as at the end of the pipeline by using the presence or absence of reactions in the GSMNs (see Fig. 5A). We compared these

clusterings with a phylogeny compiled from Strassert et al. (2021). The initial GSMNs produced 265 from the annotations exhibited low consistency with the phylogenetic relationships. Even well-266 established phylogenetic groups like red algae or brown algae were not recovered. At this step, the 267 principal factor leading to the repartition of points in the MDS was the heterogeneity of genome 268 annotations. An ANOSIM test supports this as it was not able to differentiate the main phylogenetic 260 groups (R=0, P-value=0.45). However, in the MDS made from the GSMNs after the final step 270 of AuCoMe, we observed a clear separation between the known phylogenetic groups, supported 271 by an ANOSIM test (R=0.811, P-value=1e-04). This is also visible in the dendrograms clustering 272 the GSMNs generated by the complete AuCoMe pipeline, which was broadly consistent with the 273 reference species phylogeny (Fig. 5B). There were only three higher order inconsistencies concerning 274 C. paradoxa, for which the genome version deposited in GenBank fully lacked expert annotations 275 (Price et al., 2012), G. theta, which belongs to Cryptophytes, for which the phylogenetic position 276 is controversial (Strassert et al., 2021), and N. qaditana, which was the only representative of 277 eustigmatophycean stramenopiles. The two other stramenopile groups, diatoms and brown algae, 278 were represented by multiple species which likely minimizes errors linked with peculiarities of a 279 single genome. There were also some minor inconsistencies in intra-group relationships, in green 280 algae, diatoms, brown algae, and opisthokonts. 281

An illustration of the efficiency of AuCoMe was the *de novo* reconstruction of the GSMN of 282 the glaucophyte C. paradoxa. For the reconstruction of this GSMN, we used the initially published 283 genome sequence, which contained only two functionally-annotated genes (Price et al., 2012). The 284 draft reconstruction by AuCoMe enabled us to retrieve 1.675 GPRs, a number within the same 285 range as the other species from the dataset. Accordingly, C. paradoxa branched at the basis of 286 the dendrogram after the draft reconstruction step, whereas it moved to the archeplastids after 287 the orthology propagation step. Even if the grouping of C. paradoxa within archeplastids with 288 the streptophytes Chara braunii and Klebsormidium nitens does not reflect the phylogenetic rela-289 tionships, this shows that AuCoMe is a reasonable proxy for handling nearly unannotated genome 290 sequences. 291

By exploring cluster of reactions shared in phylogenetic groups (as shown in Supplemental Fig 292 S12), results of AuCoMe could pave the way to the identification of gene candidates for enzymatic 293 reactions. We analyzed a cluster of fourteen reactions present in *Cladosiphon okamuranus* and 294 Saccharing japonica but absent in other brown algae (see Supplemental Table S8). Among those 295 fourteen reactions, twelve were enzymatic reactions assigned based on annotations, but orthology 296 propagation in the AuCoMe pipeline identified only a subset of the potential orthologs (see Sup-297 plemental Table S9). A focus was made on the o-aminophenol oxidases. Comparative genomics 298 analysis using sequences from additional BLASTP searches showed that potential homologs were 290 present for the other brown algae (see Supplemental Fig. S13). The o-aminophenol oxidase family 300 proteins present in the genome of E. siliculosus are predicted to be cytoplasmic, extracellular, or to 301 target the membrane (see Supplemental Table S10), suggesting different roles depending on their 302 subcellular localization. In this case, AuCoMe, with the support of more focused analyses, led to 303 the identification of numerous candidate o-aminophenol oxidases in stramenopiles. 304

By exploring the group of stramenopiles in the final GSMN dendrogram (Fig. 5B), we noticed 305 that it grouped with the small unicellular alga G. theta, which belongs to the cryptophytes, usually 306 grouping with the archeplastids or the haptophytes. Its plastid is derived from a secondary en-307 dosymbiosis event with a red alga (Curtis et al., 2012). The phylogenetic position of cryptophytes 308 is unclear, but they have been suggested to be phylogenetically separate from haptophytes closer 309 to the green algae lineage (Burki et al., 2012). To further examine the position of G. theta in 310 our metabolic trees, we analyzed the presence/absence matrix of metabolic reactions to determine 311 which of them most clearly linked G. theta to each of the three groups in question (stramenopiles, 312 archeplastids, haptophytes). To this means we focused on reactions that distinguished at least two 313 of these groups, i.e. that were present in at least 80% of the networks of at least one group, and 314 absent from at least one other group (Supplemental Table S11). A total of 216 reactions met this 315 criterion, 109 of which were found in G. theta and 107 were absent. We found that the network of 316 G. theta shared the presence or absence of a similar number of distinctive reactions with all three 317 groups: 120 with stramenopiles, 112 with haptophytes, and 101 with archeplastids. 318

Next, we examined the metabolic pathways represented by the reactions that associated G. theta 319 with the three groups, focusing on pathways that were more than 50% complete. The metabolic 320 networks showed, for instance, that G. theta, (i) like haptophytes in our dataset, possess parts of 321 the mitochondrial L-carnitine shuttle pathway, (ii) like the stramenopiles, comprises the complete 322 pathway of glycine betaine synthesis, and, (iii) like terrestrial plants, can synthesize carnosine. We 323 also manually examined the genes associated with these reactions, and found that in all cases, 324 their sequences differed strongly from other sequences in the database, and could not be clearly 325 associated with either archeplastids, stramenopiles, or haptophytes (see Supplemental Table S12). 326 These examples underline the fact that cryptophytes diverged from the other lineages early 327 in the history of eukaryotes and support the hypothesis that the metabolic capacities of extant 328 cryptophytes might reflect adaptation to their specific environment more clearly than their ancient 320 evolutionary history. 330

331 Discussion

Numerous sequencing projects and available annotation approaches generate heterogeneously annotated data. There is currently a need to homogenize annotations to make them comparable for wider scale studies. In this work we introduced a method to automatically homogenize functional predictions across heterogeneously-annotated genomes for large-scale metabolism comparisons between species across the tree of life. We illustrated how the tool can be applied both to prokaryotes and eukaryotes, even with high levels of annotation degradation.

³³⁸ Accounting for existing annotations in the inference of homogenized GSMNs

Automatic inference of single species GSMNs is now routinely achieved, especially for prokaryotic species, and is often systematically performed for multiple genomes. With such data at hand, one may compare the predicted metabolism among related species from a given clade and subsequently identify metabolic specificities or putative functional interactions in microbial communities (Machado et al., 2018; Frioux et al., 2018). Such applications require consistent genome quality and

similar data treatment (genome annotation, metabolic network reconstruction) to minimize biases 344 in predictions. However, ensuring the latter is complex for eukaryotic genomes, as their enzymatic 345 functions are difficult to characterize automatically and they often need expert annotation. More-346 over, annotation efforts can greatly vary between genomes, resulting in heterogeneous annotation 347 and metabolic prediction quality. As the automatization of both (meta)genome reconstruction and 348 annotation is now routinely applied, it is likely that efforts toward manual annotation will decline. 340 However, we believe the need to manually curate annotations will remain (Karimi et al., 2021). In 350 addition, AuCoMe could also be used to homogenize annotations in several genome versions of the 351 same species, or to reconcile several annotations performed on the same genome. 352

We have shown above that the performance of AuCoMe is superior to or on par with other com-353 monly used reconstruction pipelines, notably GapSeq, ModelSEED, and CarveMe. The originality 354 of our metabolic inference method resides in the possibility to account for, and preserve, avail-355 able expert genome annotations. Not considering the genome annotations performed by specialists 356 may lead to the omission of unique metabolic functions that are not well described in reference 357 databases. On the other hand, comparing metabolic networks built from well-curated annotations 358 to those built from poorly or automatically-annotated genomes will result in biases. In such cases, 359 real metabolic differences between species cannot be distinguished from missing annotations in 360 some genomes. AuCoMe constitutes a solution to such challenges through the propagation of ex-361 pert annotations to less characterized genomes in the process of metabolic network reconstruction. 362 By accounting for possibly missing functional but also structural annotations in the input genomes. 363 the resulting metabolic networks are homogeneous and can therefore be directly compared in both 364 prokaryotes and eukaryotes. 365

³⁶⁶ Method limitations and improvements

AuCoMe incorporates several strategies to optimize the method's selectivity and sensitivity. Together these strategies collectively achieve comparable GSMN reconstruction with two objectives: having comparisons as homogeneous as possible given the initial heterogeneity and incompleteness ³⁷⁰ of databases, and thus identifying errors that can be corrected during further analysis.

A first limitation is illustrated by the comparison of AuCoMe reconstructions to the EcoCyc 371 database considered as ground truth in our experiment. We observed that the GSMN automatically 372 reconstructed from the reference genome substantially differs from the database. Extensive and 373 systematic manual curation has been performed on this database since its creation in 1998 and we 374 hypothesize that these efforts have not been all translated in the E. coli K–12 MG1655 annotations. 375 As a result, several reactions were systematically missing from the automatic inferences provided 376 by AuCoMe. This example illustrates the role of curation in producing high quality models. The 377 homogenization of metabolic inference proposed by AuCoMe does not aim at replacing this step 378 but rather enable an unbiased metabolic comparison between species. 379

Running AuCoMe on the bacterial dataset highlighted the impact of a single highly-annotated 380 genome on metabolic inference. This dataset included a single well-annotated reference genome 381 of the E. coli K-12 MG1655 strain, which caused a number of reactions initially propagated by 382 orthology from the E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome to others to be discarded by the AuCoMe fil-383 ter. Reasoning on ortholog clusters, the filter implies that several congruent genome sources are 384 mandatory to confidently achieve an annotation propagation. While the relevance of the filter was 385 demonstrated on the algal dataset by avoiding the propagation of annotations related to photo-386 synthesis to non-photosynthetic organisms, it may be too stringent in some applications. Several 387 improvements of the filtering approach could be devised. For example, the structural annotation 388 step could be improved: the annotation of pseudogenes in *Shigella* species would have been avoided 380 by considering the annotations as pseudogenes available for the identified loci. More generally, in 390 addition to the difficulties of automatically estimating protein homology, the link between orthol-391 ogy and conservation of function is still a matter of active investigation and methodological debate 392 (Stamboulian et al., 2020; Begum et al., 2021). 393

Finally, we want to emphasize that our attempts to limit the inference of false positive reactions also directed the choice of method for the initial draft metabolic inference. We used Pathway Tools because of its several advantages such as the capacity to work with eukaryotic genomes, the ³⁹⁷ suitability for parallel computing (Belcour et al., 2020a), and the possibility to limit gap-filling of ³⁹⁸ metabolic networks. However, metabolic pathway completion performed by Pathway Tools does ³⁹⁹ not systematically extend to ensuring the production of biomass. Pathway Tools was therefore ⁴⁰⁰ adapted to our objective of avoiding to go beyond the strict interpretation of genome annotations. ⁴⁰¹ This goal was fulfilled, as attested by the benchmark shown in Supplemental Fig. S4 which confirms ⁴⁰² that AuCoMe GSMNs have by design no reaction lacking gene association.

A typical use for genome-scale metabolic networks is their simulation, generally with flux-based 403 approaches. As AuCoMe performs an homogenization step on GSMNs but does not provide de-novo 404 annotation, using AuCoMe without further curation might lead to missing reactions in organisms. 405 In addition, the complexity of eukaryotes and their strong dependency on their environment makes 406 it difficult to provide a flux-based simulation-ready gap-filled model that would minimize the risk 407 of adding false positives. For further simulation studies, GSMNs built with AuCoMe therefore 408 still need to be gap-filled and curated (Karp et al., 2018b; Latendresse and Karp, 2018). However, 409 regarding the reactions that are present in at least one GSMN reconstructed by AuCoMe, the tool 410 ensures that their absence in other organisms is true. In that sense, AuCoMe reduces the need for 411 curation. 412

⁴¹³ Biological insights on the comparison of metabolic networks across species

414 Evolution

Our examples of the Calvin cycle and phycobiliprotein synthesis demonstrate that, once all steps 415 of the AuCoMe pipeline have been executed, the predicted metabolic capacities of the analyzed 416 genomes reflect the biological knowledge we have of the corresponding organisms. Our approach, 417 therefore, enables GSMNs to be compared in the light of evolutionary biology. The metabolic 418 dendrograms calculated from final AuCoMe reconstruction are mostly consistent with reference 419 species phylogeny. Indeed, numerous studies have shown that comparing GSMNs by computing a 420 metabolic distance and arranging them into a dendrogram allows clustering organisms into groups 421 close to the ones known by phylogenetic analysis. However, the position of species inside these 422

groups is often different from the one of the phylogenetic groups (Vieira et al., 2011; Bauer et al., 423 2015; Prigent et al., 2018; Schulz and Almaas, 2020). It furthermore gives support to the hypothesis 424 of a metabolic clock based on the congruence between molecular and metabolomic divergence in 425 phytoplankton (Marcellin-Gros et al., 2020). The difference observed in the tanglegram (Fig. 5B) 426 between phylogeny and metabolic distances could be further explored. One possibility could be to 427 look at different similarity measures for the clustering. In this work, the Jaccard distance has been 428 used but other measures could be used. For example, if we consider an absence of a reaction in 420 two organisms as a similarity (to represent the loss of a function) then other measures could be 430 envisaged such as the Simple Matching Coefficient. This also opens the perspective of inferring 431 ancestral metabolic networks to better understand the dynamics of character evolution across time 432 (Psomopoulos et al., 2020). 433

434 Adaptation

The second aim of reconstructing comparable GSMNs is to determine to what extent metabolic 435 changes are the result of or the prerequisite for adaptation. In our study, we made a first attempt 436 at this question regarding the cryptophyte Guillardia theta. This species has several potentially 437 plesiomorphic metabolic traits in common with other marine lineages, that may constitute adap-438 tations to their shared marine environment. Glycine-betaine, for instance, is known to be an 430 osmoregulator or osmoprotectant in green plants (Di Martino et al., 2003), and carnosine has been 440 proposed to function as an antioxidant in red algae (Tamura et al., 1998). Regarding carnitine, its 441 physiological significance in photosynthetic organisms is still largely unknown, but antioxidant and 442 osmolyte properties along with signaling functions have also been suggested (Jacques et al., 2018). 443 However, for now, all of this remains purely hypothetical. To dig deeper into such questions in the 444 future, we need to be able to distinguish changes that simply result from random processes such 445 as metabolic drift (Belcour et al., 2020b) from changes that have an adaptive value. Currently, we 446 envision two approaches that will help with this distinction. The first approach will be to further 447 increase the number of species and lineages included in order to identify adaptive patterns, for 448

example to among organisms occupying similar ecological niches. In phylogenomics, wide taxon 449 sampling is recognized as one of the key features for reliable comparisons (Young and Gillung, 450 2020), whereas pairwise genomic comparisons across species are generally viewed as problematic 451 (Dunn et al., 2018). Given that, as demonstrated above, phylogenetic signals in metabolism are 452 stronger than the adaptive signals we can expect, this approach would also benefit from the devel-453 opment or adaptation of statistical models that could help detect signals of adaptation in an overall 454 noisy dataset. Such models exist, for instance, to detect selective signatures in the evolution of 455 protein-coding gene (Shapiro and Alm, 2008), but to our knowledge, have not been developed for 456 metabolic networks or presence/absence signatures of genes. The second related strategy consists 457 in focusing on phylogenetically closely related species that have only recently diverged and adapted 458 to different environments. In such cases, we anticipate that the relative importance of drift along 450 with the noise from the phylogenetic signal will be reduced due to the short evolutionary time since 460 the separation. With such datasets, we may be able to reduce the level of replication required to 461 find biologically relevant metabolic adaptations. The range of questions that could be addressed 462 with the appropriate dataset is long and includes metabolic adaptations to different environments 463 (Xu et al., 2020), food sources and domestication (Giannakou et al., 2020), multicellularity (Cock 464 et al., 2010), or even life-history transitions to endophytism (Bernard et al., 2019). 465

466 Interactions

Lastly, we anticipate that AuCoMe will provide new opportunities to study metabolic interactions 467 between symbiotic organisms. For example, the tentative o-aminophenol oxidase activities pointed 468 out by AuCoMe in brown algae could be involved in the protection against pathogen attacks at 469 the cell surface. Indeed, a molecular oxygen-scavenging function in the chloroplast (Constabel 470 et al., 1995) and a defense role (Gandía-Herrero et al., 2005) have been suggested for these enzymes 471 in terrestrial plants. An o-Aminophenol Oxidase Streptomyces griseus is known to be involved 472 in the grixazone biosynthesis, i.e. an antibiotic (Suzuki et al., 2006). Similarly, brown algal o-473 aminophenol oxidases or tyrosinases might be involved in the production of specific antibiotics. 474

The o-aminophenol oxidase enzymes resemble laccases or tyrosinases. They can be involved in catechol or pigment production by oxidation (Le Roes-Hill et al., 2009). Numerous references have also shown that tyrosinases are efficiently inhibited by some phlorotannins, antioxidant compounds specific to the brown algae (Kang et al., 2004; Manandhar et al., 2019) suggesting there might be a regulation of polyphenol oxidation in certain conditions.

In the same vein, metabolic complementarity has previously been used to predict potentially 480 beneficial metabolic interaction between a host and its associated microbiome (Frioux et al., 2018), 481 and to successfully predict metabolic traits of the communities (Burgunter-Delamare et al., 2020). 482 These studies have, so far, examined large numbers of symbionts (all sequenced and annotated with 483 identical pipelines), but usually consider one specific host whose metabolic network was manually 484 curated. With AuCoMe, these previous efforts could be expanded to incorporate a range of different 485 hosts with their associated microbiota, thus facilitating the identification of common patterns in 486 host-symbiont metabolic complementarity as well as their differences in these complementarities 487 across different species and lineages. Just as for the question of adaptation, we believe this new 488 scale of comparisons enabled by tools such as AuCoMe, will enable researchers to move from the 489 study of specific examples to the identification of general trends, thus approaching the biologically 490 most relevant evolutionary constraints. 491

$_{492}$ Methods

⁴⁹³ Genomes and models

⁴⁹⁴ The *bacterial dataset* includes the 29 bacterial *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* strains studied in ⁴⁹⁵ (Vieira et al., 2011), downloaded from public databases (see Supplemental Table S1).

The *fungal dataset* includes 74 fungal genomes which were selected according to Wang et al. (2009) as representative of the fungal diversity, together with 3 outgroup genomes: *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Monosiga brevicollis*. All proteomes and genomes were downloaded from the NCBI Assembly Database (Kitts et al., 2016). See Supplemental Table S2. The *algal dataset* contains 36 algal genomes selected to represent a wide diversity of photosynthetic eukaryotes and downloaded from public databases. The dataset includes 16 Viridiplantae (green algae), 5 Phaeophyceae (brown algae), 5 Rhodophyceae (red algae), 4 diatoms, 3 haptophytes, 1 cryptophyte (*Guillardia theta*), 1 Eustigmatophyceae (*Nannochloropsis gaditana*), 1 Glaucophyceae (*Cyanophora paradoxa*). The genomes of *C. elegans* (Witting et al., 2018), *Muco* circinelloides (Vongsangnak et al., 2016), *N. crassa* (Dreyfuss et al., 2013), and *S. cerevisiae* (Lu et al., 2019) were selected as outgroup genomes (see Supplemental Table S3).

Each annotated genome of the datasets was curated manually in order to make it compatible with Pathway Tools v23.5. Curated genomes are available at https://zenodo.org/record/ 7752449#.ZBh0pi0ZN-E.

⁵¹⁰ AuCoMe, a method to reconstruct genome-scale metabolic networks homoge-⁵¹¹ nized across related species

AuCoMe is a Python package implementing a pipeline whose steps are described in Fig. 1. The 512 method aims at producing homogenized genome scale metabolic networks (GSMNs) for a set of 513 heterogeneously-annotated genomes containing closely related or outlier species of a taxonomic 514 group. AuCoMe takes as input GenBank files containing the genome sequences, the structural 515 annotation of the genomes (gene and protein locations), the functional annotations (especially with 516 GO terms and EC numbers) and the protein sequences. The output of AuCoMe is a set of GSMNs, 517 provided in SBML and PADMET formats (Hucka et al., 2018; Aite et al., 2018). AuCoMe also 518 produces a global report describing the sets of reactions added at all steps of the pipeline. The 519 global panmetabolism, which is the complete family of metabolic reactions included in at least one 520 GSMN of the set of genomes, is described in a tabulated file. 521

At the initialization step the command aucome init creates a template folder in which the user puts the input GenBank files.

The aucome reconstruction command runs the draft reconstruction step, which consists in reconstructing draft GSMNs according to the set of available genome annotations. During this step, the pipeline first checks the input GenBank files using Biopython (Cock et al., 2009). Then

21

using the mpwt package (Belcour et al., 2020a), AuCoMe launches parallel processes of the Patho-527 Logic algorithm of Pathway Tools (Karp et al., 2019). Pathway Tools creates Pathway/Genome 528 Databases (PGDB) for all genomes. The resulting PGDBs are converted into PADMET and SBML 520 files (Hucka et al., 2003, 2018) using the PADMet package (Aite et al., 2018). During this con-530 version, pathway hole reactions (reactions predicted by Pathway Tools for which no enzymes were 531 detected in the genomes) are removed as they are not associated with a gene and are not sponta-532 neous reactions. For example, in Fig. 1A, the draft reconstruction step generates 6 GPRs in total 533 for the 3 considered genomes. 534

The aucome orthology command runs the orthology propagation step, which complements 535 the previous GSMNs with GPRs associations whose genes are predicted to be orthologs to genes 536 from GPR relations of other GSMNs of the dataset (Fig. 1B). To that purpose, the pipeline relies 537 on OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2015, 2019) for the inference of orthologs defined as clusters 538 of homologous proteins shared across species. For each pair of orthologous genes shared between 539 two species, the pipeline checks whether one of the genes is associated with an existing GPR 540 association. If so, a putative GPR association with the orthologous gene is added to the GSMN. At 541 the end of the analysis of all genomes, a robustness score is calculated for assessing the confidence 542 of each putative GPR association based on the number of annotated GPRs associations between 543 the orthologs (see below). Non-robust GPR associations are not integrated in the final GSMNs. In 544 the example shown in Fig. 1B, applying the robustness criteria leads to generating a putative new 545 GPR association in the GSMN 2 (see the green orthogroup). In this example, the pipeline does 546 not validate the GPR association related to the blue orthogroup because of insufficient annotation 547 support. 548

The aucome structural command runs the structural verification step to identify GPRs associated with missing structural annotations of the input genomes. This pipeline step complements GSMNs with GPR associations from other GSMNs according to protein-against-genome alignment criteria. This enables the identification of reactions which are associated with gene sequences absent from the initial structural annotations of the input genomes. A pairwise comparison

of the reactions in the GSMNs produced during the previous step is performed (Fig. 1C). In this 554 comparison, if a reaction is missing in an organism, a structural verification will be performed. 555 For each protein sequence associated with a GPR relation in a GSMN, a TBLASTN (Altschul 556 et al., 1990; Camacho et al., 2009) with Biopython (Cock et al., 2009) is performed against the 557 other genome. If a match (evalue<1e-20) is found, the gene prediction tool Exonerate (Slater and 558 Birney, 2005) is run on the region linked to the best match (region +-10 KB). If Exonerate finds 559 a match, then the reaction associated with the protein sequence is added. In Fig. 1C, one reaction 560 is added to the GSMN 2. 561

The command aucome spontaneous runs the spontaneous completion step to fill metabolic pathways with spontaneous reactions, in order to complement each GSMN obtained after the structural-completion step with spontaneous reactions. For each pathway of the MetaCyc database (Caspi et al., 2020) that was incomplete in a GSMN, AuCoMe checks whether adding spontaneous reactions could complete the pathway. When this is the case, the spontaneous reaction is added to the GSMN. In Fig. 1D, two spontaneous reactions are added to the GSMN 1 and GSMN 3. Then the final PADMET and SBML files are created for each studied organism.

⁵⁶⁹ Robustness criteria for GPR association predicted by orthology

The robustness score of GPR associations of the pan-metabolic network after the orthology propa-570 gation was defined as illustrated in Algorithm 1 and detailed in the following. We denote by org(g)571 the organism of a gene q. For every pair of genes q_1, q_2 of two different organisms, we denote 572 orth(g1,g2) = 1 if the genes are predicted to be orthologs. We denote by association(r,g) = 1573 a GPR association between a reaction r and a gene g which is predicted by the AuCoMe al-574 gorithm. When the gene-association is predicted by the draft reconstruction step, we denote 575 $annot_type(r,g) = 1$ (and zero otherwise). When the gene-association is predicted according to 576 orthology criteria, we denote $ortho_type(r, g) = 1$ (and zero otherwise). 577

Let us consider now a reaction r of the pan-metabolic network. We denote by $N_{org}(r)$ the number of organisms for which the reaction r has been associated with a GPR relationship with any gene $g: N_org(r) = \#\{org(g), association(r, g) = 1\}$ (L2, Alg. 1). For every gene g with annot_type(r, g) = 1, we denote by $N_prop(r, g)$ the number of organisms different from org(g) the GPR association between r and g has been propagated to according to an orthology relation with the gene $g N_prop(r, g) = \#\{org(g1), \exists g1$ s.t. $org(g1) \neq org(g), orth(g, g1) = 1, association(r, g1) =$ 1}. The GPR association between r and g is considered robust: robust(r, g) = 1 as long as annot_type(r, g) = 1.

The robustness assessment of a GPR between r and g propagated by orthology (L7, Alg. 1) distinguishes two scenarios. In the first scenario g belongs to an orthology cluster which is supported by at least two annotations. Formally this means that there exist two genes g1 to g2, both orthologs to g, such that $annot_type(r, g1) = 1$ and $annot_type(r, g2) = 1$. The presence of these genes leads us to consider g robustly associated with r (L8-9, Alg. 1).

In the second scenario the GPR association between r and q was propagated from a unique 591 gene g1 with $annot_type(r,g1) = 1$ in the orthology cluster (L11, Alg. 1). For these genes our 592 strategy is to be as stringent as possible and we introduce a robustness criterion to reduce the risk 593 of propagating false-positive reactions. The GPR association is considered robust if the number 594 of organisms to which the reaction is propagated according to the annotation of q1 remains low 595 with respect to the total number of considered organisms. More precisely, robust(r,g) = 1 if 596 $N_prop(r,g1) \leq \lceil robust_func(N_org(r)-1) \times (N_org(r)-1) \rceil$ (L12-13, Alg. 1). The robustness 597 function $robust_func^{(t)}(x) = \min(1, \frac{1}{x} \max(\lceil t x \rceil, \lceil \frac{5}{x} \rceil))$ was chosen such that it is 1 for low values 598 of N₋org, and then decreases to a threshold value (by default t = 0.05) for large values of N₋org 599 (see a plot in Supplemental Fig S14). 600

Altogether, the robustness criterion removes orthology predictions for GPR associations that are supported by a unique gene annotation and propagated to a large number of organisms. A toy example of the application of the algorithm is detailed in Supplemental Methods Section and Fig S15). Algorithm 1 Robustness criterion algorithm

1: for r in pannetabolism do 2: $N_{org}(r) \leftarrow \#\{org(g), \exists g1 \text{ s.t. } association(r,g) = 1\}$ \triangleright Number of organisms with GPRs relations to r 3: for all genes g s.t. $annot_type(r,g) = 1$ do 4: robust(r, g) = 1 $N_{prop}(r,g) \leftarrow \#\{org(g1), \exists g1 \text{ s.t. } org(g1) \neq org(g), orth(g,g1) = 1, association(r,g1) = 1\}.$ \triangleright Number 5:of organisms to which the GPR has been propagated to 6: end for 7: for all genes q s.t. $annot_type(r;q) = 0$ and $orth_type(r;q) = 1$ do \triangleright Only other way to add the reaction 8: if $\exists g_1, g_2$ s.t. $orth(g, g_1) = orth(g, g_2) = 1$ and $annot_type(r, g_1) = annot_type(r, g_2) = 1$ then 9: robust(r, g) = 1 \triangleright At least two annotations support the GPR relation 10: else ▷ Prevent the propagation of an isolated annotation to too many organisms 11: $g1 \leftarrow$ unique gene s.t. orth(g, g1) = 1 and $annot_type(r; g1) = 1$ 12:if $N_prop(r,g1) \leq robust_func(N_org(r)-1) \times (N_org(r)-1)$ then 13:robust(r, g) = 114: else 15:robust(r,g) = 0end if 16:17:end if 18: end for 19: end for

⁶⁰⁵ Validation of AuCoMe predictions

A first experiment was performed on the bacterial dataset, for which we reconstructed the metabolic 606 networks (29 bacteria containing strains of *Escherichia coli*) using CarveMe 1.5.1 (Machado et al., 607 2018) with default parameters, gapseq 1.2 (Zimmermann et al., 2021) with default parameters 608 and ModelSEED with Kbase. For the latter, we first imported the genomes and annotated them 609 with 'Bulk Annotate Genomes/Assemblies with RASTtk - v1.073' (Aziz et al., 2008; Overbeek 610 et al., 2014; Brettin et al., 2015) and then reconstructed the models with 'Build Multiple Metabolic 611 Models' 2.0.0 (Henry et al., 2010). We compared the ECs predicted by these methods to the 612 ones contained in a reference EC catalog for E. coli K-12 MG1655 created from 4 databases 613 (KEGG, EcoCyc, ModelSEED and BiGG). For more information on the reference EC catalog, see 614 Supplmentary file (section Methods). 615

A second comparison was made on the eukaryotes and especially the fungal dataset (using five organisms: *Laccaria bicolor*, *Neurospora crassa*, *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*). We used Kbase (Arkin et al., 2018) and gapseq 1.2 (Zimmermann et al., 2021). The genomes were imported into Kbase and the metabolic networks were reconstructed with 'Build Fungal Model' 1.0.0 (with gap-filling). We also used gapseq to predict the metabolic pathways present in an organism using its *find* module associated with the option '-t Fungi'. We did not use CarveMe as it has been developed for Bacteria or Archaea (Capela et al., 2022). We compared the completion rate of metabolic pathways predicted by AuCoMe and gapseq. Then for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C*, we used the reference network YeastCyc to estimate the quality of the pathways predicted by both gapseq and AuCoMe.

In a third evaluation, one hundred random GPR associations were randomly selected and ex-626 amined across the metabolic networks generated by AuCoMe for the algal dataset. Among them, 627 50 reactions that were predicted to be present and 50 reactions that were predicted to be absent in 628 the metabolic networks. Regarding the former, their first associated gene was manually annotated 629 based on reciprocal BLAST searches against UniProt (Bateman et al., 2021) and the presence 630 of conserved domains and the result of this manual annotation was compared to the predicted 631 metabolic reaction. For absent reactions, we searched for characterized proteins known to catalyze 632 the reaction in question, and then performed reciprocal BLASTP searches with the corresponding 633 algal proteome. 634

A fourth experiment was performed to analyse the results of the orthology propagation and the 635 robustness filter. DeepEC (version 0.4.0) (Ryu et al., 2019) was applied both to fungal and algal 636 protein sequences. This tool predicts EC numbers for protein sequences. We extracted the EC 637 numbers of reactions for which at least one GPR association was predicted according to orthology 638 propagation for all reactions of the fungal and the algal datasets. For each EC number, we extracted 639 the protein sequences associated with the considered reaction in the GSMNs, and we used DeepEC 640 to infer an EC number for these proteins. Then we compared the EC number found by DeepEC 641 (if found) to the EC number linked to the reaction by the pipeline. 642

Finally, the complementarity between the orthology propagation step (second step) and the structural verification step (third step) was assessed using the *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 genome modified to generate replicates with randomly degraded annotations associated with GPR of the non degraded *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 GSMN. Two degradation types were simulated, (i) a degradation of the functional annotations of the genes, where all the annotations like GO Terms, EC numbers,

gene names, etc. associated with a reaction were removed, and (ii) a degradation of the struc-648 tural annotation of the genes, where gene positions and functional annotations were removed from 649 the genome annotations. A third type of replicate was considered including the degradation of 650 both structural and functional annotations. Replicates with increasing percentages of degraded 651 annotations were generated for each of the three types of degradation. Details on the degradation 652 algorithm are shown in the Supplementary file (section Methods). Furthermore the taxonomic ID 653 associated with the E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome was degraded to cellular organism, to focus on 654 the impact of genome annotations on GSMN reconstructions by AuCoMe, rather than on the effect 655 of the automatic completion by the EcoCyc source performed by Pathway Tools when analyzing 656 E. coli K-12 MG1655. Each degraded replicate was associated with the 28 other E. coli and 657 Shiqella genomes, generating 31 synthetic bacterial datasets, plus the dataset with non-degraded 658 E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome, which was called dataset 0. Their characteristics are detailed in 659 Supplemental Table S4. For each E. coli K-12 MG1655 replicate in a dataset, AuCoMe produced 660 a GSMN, which was compared to EcoCyc, considered as ground truth (Karp et al., 2002, 2018a; 661 Keseler et al., 2021). For more information on the computation of the F-measure, see Supplemental 662 file (section Methods). 663

⁶⁶⁴ Phylogenetic analysis of the brown algal o-aminophenol oxidases

A dataset of 193 protein sequences was constructed using the closest homologs of the S. japonica 665 o-aminophenol oxidase (SJ09941) in brown algae and extended to more distant sequences present 666 in other organisms. Sequences were submitted to NGPhylogeny.fr via the "A la carte" (Lemoine 667 et al., 2019) pipeline. The alignment was carried out by MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) 668 using default parameters and automatically cleaned with trimAl (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009) 669 to obtain 372 informative positions. Then a maximum likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction was 670 carried out using default parameters of the PhyML-SMS tool (Guindon et al., 2010; Lefort et al., 671 2017) allowing the best substitution model selection. Bootstrap analysis (Lemoine et al., 2018) 672 with 100 replicates was used to provide estimates for the phylogenetic tree topology. The Newick 673

⁶⁷⁴ file (Junier and Zdobnov, 2010) was further formatted by MEGA v10.1.1 (Tamura et al., 2021) to
⁶⁷⁵ obtain the simplified dendrogram (see Supplemental Fig. S13).

⁶⁷⁶ Supplemental Files and Software availability

677 Supplemental Files

Supplemental File The supplemental file contains the description of the datasets, additional 678 details on the results on running times of the AuCoMe pipeline, the three panels of B, C, D, of 679 Fig. 2, a detailed comparison with gapseq, ModelSEED and CarveMe on bacterial and fungal 680 datasets (if it is feasible). It also includes information about validation of filtering steps and GPR 681 associations, validation of EC numbers with deep-learning approaches, and two relevant biological 682 analyses: to two pathways, to the consistency between AuCoMe GSMNs and species phylogeny. 683 Moreover, it contains methodological details on the robustness criteria applied to a toy example, 684 on the comparison to EcoCyc, and on the degradation of E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome to generate 685 32 synthetic datasets. It also includes a description of the Zenodo archive. 686

Additional file The associated archive contains analyses (all tabulated files used to create the figures and results of the paper), the datasets on which AuCoMe was run: the bacterial, fungal, and algal datasets, and the 32 synthetic datasets, which contain an *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 genome to which various degradations were applied, together with 28 other bacterial genomes. It contains a version of AuCoMe, PADMet source code, and the scripts used to run some figures. It is available at https://zenodo.org/record/7752449#.ZBhOpiOZN-E.

⁶⁹³ Software availability

AuCoMe is a Python package under GPL-3.0 license, available through the Python Package Index at https://pypi.org/project/aucome. The source code and the complete documentation are freely available at https://github.com/AuReMe/aucome and as a supplementary zip file.

⁶⁹⁷ Running AuCoMe on the datasets studied in the paper required as dependencies BLAST v2.6.0

(Altschul et al., 1990), Diamond v0.9.35 (Buchfink et al., 2015), Exonerate v2.2.0 (Slater and
Birney, 2005), FastME v2.1.15 (Lefort et al., 2015), MCL (Enright et al., 2002), MMseqs2 v11e1a1c (Steinegger and Söding, 2017), OrthoFinder v2.3.3 (Emms and Kelly, 2015, 2019), Pathway
Tools v23.5 (Karp et al., 2019). The following Python packages are needed to install AuCoMe:
Matplotlib, mpwt v0.6.3 (Belcour et al., 2020a), padmet v5.0.1 (Aite et al., 2018), rpy2 v3.0.5,
seaborn, supervenn, and tzlocal. The pvclust R package is also required.

A docker or a singularity container can be created and enriched according to the dockerfile available on https://github.com/AuReMe/aucome/blob/master/recipes/Dockerfile.

706 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the GenOuest bioinformatics core facility https://www.genouest.org for providing the computing infrastructure. We also thank Erwan Corre (ABiMS Platform) and Pauline Hamon-Giraud for fruitful discussions. This work benefited from the support of the French Government via the National Research Agency investment expenditure program IDEALG (ANR-10-BTBR-04) and from Région Bretagne via the grant «SAD 2016 - METALG (9673)».

712 Author Contributions

AB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Software, Validation, Visu-713 alization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **JG**: Data curation, Formal Analysis, 714 Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. 715 MA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software. LD: Formal Analysis, Validation, 716 Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JC: Formal Analysis, Validation, Writing – 717 review & editing. CF: Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – 718 review & editing. CL: Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. 719 **SD**: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Vali-720 dation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SB: Conceptualization, Methodology, 721 Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **GVM**: Data curation, Formal Analysis, 722

Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review &
editing. AS: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision,
Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

726 Conflict of interest

727 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

728 **References**

- 729 Aite M, Chevallier M, Frioux C, Trottier C, Got J, Cortés MP, Mendoza SN, Carrier G, Dameron
- O, Guillaudeux N, et al.. 2018. Traceability, reproducibility and wiki-exploration for "à-la-carte"
 reconstructions of genome-scale metabolic models. *PLOS Computational Biology* 14: e1006146.
 DOI:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006146.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, and Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search
 tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403–410. DOI:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Arkin AP, Cottingham RW, Henry CS, Harris NL, Stevens RL, Maslov S, Dehal P, Ware D, Perez
 F, Canon S, et al.. 2018. KBase: The united states department of energy systems biology
- knowledgebase. *Nature Biotechnology* **36**: 566–569. DOI:10.1038/nbt.4163.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass
 EM, Kubal M, et al.. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* 9: 75.
- 741 Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, Magrane M, Agivetova R, Ahmad S, Alpi E, Bowler-Barnett
- EH, Britto R, Bursteinas B, et al.. 2021. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021.
- 743 Nucleic Acids Res **49**: D480–D489. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkaa1100.
- ⁷⁴⁴ Bauer E, Laczny CC, Magnusdottir S, Wilmes P, and Thiele I. 2015. Phenotypic differentiation of

gastrointestinal microbes is reflected in their encoded metabolic repertoires. *Microbiome* 3: 55.
DOI:10.1186/s40168-015-0121-6.

Begum T, Serrano-Serrano ML, and Robinson-Rechavi M. 2021. Performance of a phylogenetic
independent contrast method and an improved pairwise comparison under different scenarios of
trait evolution after speciation and duplication. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 12: 1875–
1887. DOI:10.1111/2041-210x.13680.

Belcour A, Frioux C, Aite M, Bretaudeau A, Hildebrand F, and Siegel A. 2020a. Metage2metabo,
microbiota-scale metabolic complementarity for the identification of key species. *eLife* 9: e61968.
DOI:10.7554/eLife.61968.

Belcour A, Girard J, Aite M, Delage L, Trottier C, Marteau C, Leroux C, Dittami SM, Sauleau P,
Corre E, et al.. 2020b. Inferring biochemical reactions and metabolite structures to understand
metabolic pathway drift. *iScience* 23. DOI:10.1016/j.isci.2020.100849.

Bernard MS, Strittmatter M, Murúa P, Heesch S, Cho GY, Leblanc C, and Peters AF. 2019.
Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera Lam-*inarionema* and Laminariocolax (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae). European Journal of Phycology
54: 39–51. DOI:10.1080/09670262.2018.1502816.

Bernstein DB, Sulheim S, Almaas E, and Segrè D. 2021. Addressing uncertainty in genome-scale
 metabolic model reconstruction and analysis. *Genome Biology* 22. DOI:10.1186/s13059-021 02289-z.

Bhattacharya D, Yoon HS, and Hackett JD. 2004. Photosynthetic eukaryotes unite: endosymbiosis
connects the dots. *Bioessays* 26: 50–60. DOI:10.1002/bies.10376.

⁷⁶⁶ Blevins WR, Ruiz-Orera J, Messeguer X, Blasco-Moreno B, Villanueva-Cañas JL, Espinar L, Díez

J, Carey LB, and Albà MM. 2021. Uncovering de novo gene birth in yeast using deep transcrip-

tomics. Nat Commun 12: 604. DOI:10.1038/s41467-021-20911-3.

- Brettin T, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello
 B, Pusch GD, et al.. 2015. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST
 algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. *Scientific Reports* 5: 8365.
- Buchfink B, Xie C, and Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.
 Nat Methods 12: 59–60. DOI:10.1038/nmeth.3176.
- Burgunter-Delamare B, KleinJan H, Frioux C, Fremy E, Wagner M, Corre E, Le Salver A, Leroux
 C, Leblanc C, Boyen C, et al.. 2020. Metabolic complementarity between a brown alga and
 associated cultivable bacteria provide indications of beneficial interactions. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 7. DOI:10.3389/fmars.2020.00085.
- Burki F, Okamoto N, Pombert JF, and Keeling PJ. 2012. The evolutionary history of haptophytes
 and cryptophytes: phylogenomic evidence for separate origins. *Proc Biol Sci* 279: 2246–2254.
 DOI:10.1098/rspb.2011.2301.
- Burki F, Roger AJ, Brown MW, and Simpson AG. 2020. The new tree of eukaryotes. Trends in
 Ecology & Evolution 35: 43–55. DOI:10.1016/j.tree.2019.08.008.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, and Madden TL. 2009.
 BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC bioinformatics* 10: 421. DOI:10.1186/1471-210510-421.
- ⁷⁸⁷ Capela J, Lagoa D, Rodrigues R, Cunha E, Cruz F, Barbosa A, Bastos J, Lima D, Ferreira EC,
 ⁷⁸⁸ Rocha M, et al.. 2022. merlin, an improved framework for the reconstruction of high-quality
 ⁷⁸⁹ genome-scale metabolic models. *Nucleic Acids Research* 50: 6052–6066.
- Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, and Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for auto mated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1972–1973.
 DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348.

Caspi R, Billington R, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Midford PE, Ong WK, Paley S,
Subhraveti P, and Karp PD. 2020. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes
- a 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Research 48: D445–D453. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkz862.

Castillo S, Barth D, Arvas M, Pakula TM, Pitkänen E, Blomberg P, Seppanen-Laakso T, Nygren H,
Sivasiddarthan D, Penttilä M, et al.. 2016. Whole-genome metabolic model of *Trichoderma reesei*built by comparative reconstruction. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 9: 252. DOI:10.1186/s13068016-0665-0.

⁸⁰⁰ Cock JM, Sterck L, Rouzé P, Scornet D, Allen AE, Amoutzias G, Anthouard V, Artiguenave F,
⁸⁰¹ Aury JM, Badger JH, et al.. 2010. The *Ectocarpus* genome and the independent evolution of
⁸⁰² multicellularity in brown algae. *Nature* 465: 617–621. DOI:10.1038/nature09016.

⁸⁰³ Cock PJA, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A, Friedberg I, Hamelryck T, Kauff F,
⁸⁰⁴ Wilczynski B, et al.. 2009. Biopython: freely available python tools for computational molecular
⁸⁰⁵ biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1422–1423. DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163.

⁸⁰⁶ Constabel CP, Bergey DR, and Ryan CA. 1995. Systemin activates synthesis of wound-inducible
⁸⁰⁷ tomato leaf polyphenol oxidase via the octadecanoid defense signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad*⁸⁰⁸ Sci U S A 92: 407-411. DOI:10.1073/pnas.92.2.407.

⁸⁰⁹ Correia K and Mahadevan R. 2020. Pan-genome-scale network reconstruction: Harnessing phy⁸¹⁰ logenomics increases the quantity and quality of metabolic models. *Biotechnology Journal* 15:
⁸¹¹ 1900519. DOI:10.1002/biot.201900519.

⁸¹² Cottret L, Frainay C, Chazalviel M, Cabanettes F, Gloaguen Y, Camenen E, Merlet B, Heux
⁸¹³ S, Portais JC, Poupin N, et al.. 2018. MetExplore: collaborative edition and exploration of
⁸¹⁴ metabolic networks. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46: W495–W502. DOI:10.1093/nar/gky301.

⁸¹⁵ Curtis BA, Tanifuji G, Burki F, Gruber A, Irimia M, Maruyama S, Arias MC, Ball SG, Gile ⁸¹⁶ GH, Hirakawa Y, et al.. 2012. Algal genomes reveal evolutionary mosaicism and the fate of ⁸¹⁷ nucleomorphs. *Nature* **492**: 59–65. DOI:10.1038/nature11681.

- ⁸¹⁸ Devoid S, Overbeek R, DeJongh M, Vonstein V, Best AA, and Henry C. 2013. Automated genome
 ⁸¹⁹ annotation and metabolic model reconstruction in the SEED and model SEED. *Methods in*⁸²⁰ Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.) 985: 17–45. DOI:10.1007/978-1-62703-299-5_2.
- ⁸²¹ Di Martino C, Delfine S, Pizzuto R, Loreto F, and Fuggi A. 2003. Free amino acids and glycine
- betaine in leaf osmoregulation of spinach responding to increasing salt stress. *New Phytologist*
- **158**: 455–463. DOI:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00770.x.
- ⁸²⁴ Dias O, Rocha M, Ferreira EC, and Rocha I. 2015. Reconstructing genome-scale metabolic models
 ⁸²⁵ with merlin. Nucleic Acids Research 43: 3899–3910. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkv294.
- ⁸²⁶ Dreyfuss JM, Zucker JD, Hood HM, Ocasio LR, Sachs MS, and Galagan JE. 2013. Reconstruction
 ⁸²⁷ and validation of a genome-scale metabolic model for the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*⁸²⁸ using FARM. *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**: e1003126. DOI:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003126.
- Dunn CW, Zapata F, Munro C, Siebert S, and Hejnol A. 2018. Pairwise comparisons across species
 are problematic when analyzing functional genomic data. *Proceedings of the National Academy*of Sciences 3: E409–E417. DOI:10.1073/pnas.1707515115.
- Emms DM and Kelly S. 2015. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biology* **16**: 157. DOI:10.1186/s13059-015-0721-2.
- Emms DM and Kelly S. 2019. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biology* **20**: 238. DOI:10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y.
- Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, and Ouzounis CA. 2002. An efficient algorithm for large-scale detection
 of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res* 30: 1575–1584. DOI:10.1093/nar/30.7.1575.
- Frioux C, Fremy E, Trottier C, and Siegel A. 2018. Scalable and exhaustive screening
 of metabolic functions carried out by microbial consortia. *Bioinformatics* 34: i934–i943.
 DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty588.

34

- Gandía-Herrero F, Escribano J, and García-Carmona F. 2005. Betaxanthins as substrates for
 tyrosinase. An approach to the role of tyrosinase in the biosynthetic pathway of betalains. *Plant Physiol* 138: 421–432. DOI:10.1104/pp.104.057992.
- Giannakou K, Cotterrell M, and Delneri D. 2020. Genomic adaptation of *Saccharomyces* species
 to industrial environments. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11. DOI:10.3389/fgene.2020.00916.
- Grisdale CJ, Bowers LC, Didier ES, and Fast NM. 2013. Transcriptome analysis of the parasite *Encephalitozoon cuniculi*: an in-depth examination of pre-mRNA splicing in a reduced eukaryote. *BMC Genomics* 14: 207. DOI:10.1186/1471-2164-14-207.
- Gu C, Kim GB, Kim WJ, Kim HU, and Lee SY. 2019. Current status and applications of genomescale metabolic models. *Genome Biology* 121. DOI:10.1186/s13059-019-1730-3.
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, and Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms
 and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML
 3.0. Systematic Biology 59: 307–321. DOI:10.1093/sysbio/syq010.
- Henry CS, DeJongh M, Best AA, Frybarger PM, Linsay B, and Stevens RL. 2010. High-throughput
 generation, optimization and analysis of genome-scale metabolic models. *Nature Biotechnology*28: 977–982.
- Herrgård MJ, Swainston N, Dobson P, Dunn WB, Arga KY, Arvas M, Blüthgen N, Borger S,
 Costenoble R, Heinemann M, et al.. 2008. A consensus yeast metabolic network reconstruction
 obtained from a community approach to systems biology. *Nature Biotechnology* 26: 1155–1160.
 DOI:10.1038/nbt1492.
- Hucka M, Bergmann FT, Dräger A, Hoops S, Keating SM, Le Novère N, Myers CJ, Olivier BG,
 Sahle S, Schaff JC, et al.. 2018. The Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML): Language Specification for Level 3 Version 2 Core. *Journal of integrative bioinformatics* 15. DOI:10.1515/jib2017-0081.

866	Hucka M, Finney A, Sauro HM, Bolouri H, Doyle JC, Kitano H, Arkin AP, Bornstein BJ, Bray
867	D, Cornish-Bowden A, et al 2003. The systems biology markup language (SBML): A medium
868	for representation and exchange of biochemical network models. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 19 : 524–531.
869	DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg015.

- Jacques F, Rippa S, and Perrin Y. 2018. Physiology of L-carnitine in plants in light of the knowledge in animals and microorganisms. *Plant Sci* **274**: 432–440. DOI:10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.06.020.
- Junier T and Zdobnov EM. 2010. The Newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree processing in the unix shell. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 1669–1670. DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq243.
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, and Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45: D353–D361.
 DOI:10.1093/nar/gkw1092.
- Kanehisa M and Goto S. 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids
 Research 28: 27–30. DOI:10.1093/nar/28.1.27.
- Kang HS, Kim HR, Byun DS, Son BW, Nam TJ, and Choi JS. 2004. Tyrosinase inhibitors
 isolated from the edible brown alga *Ecklonia stolonifera*. Arch Pharm Res 27: 1226–1232.
 DOI:10.1007/BF02975886.
- Karimi E, Geslain E, Belcour A, Frioux C, Aïte M, Siegel A, Corre E, and Dittami SM. 2021.
 Robustness analysis of metabolic predictions in algal microbial communities based on different
 annotation pipelines. *PeerJ* 9: e11344. DOI:10.7717/peerj.11344.
- Karlsen E, Schulz C, and Almaas E. 2018. Automated generation of genome-scale metabolic draft
 reconstructions based on KEGG. *BMC Bioinformatics* 19: 467. DOI:10.1186/s12859-018-2472-z.
- Karp PD, Midford PE, Billington R, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Latendresse M, Ong WK,
 Subhraveti P, Caspi R, Fulcher C, et al.. 2019. Pathway Tools version 23.0 update: software for pathway/genome informatics and systems biology. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* Bbz104,
 DOI:10.1093/bib/bbz104.

- ⁸⁹¹ Karp PD, Ong WK, Paley S, Billington R, Caspi R, Fulcher C, Kothari A, Krummenacker
 ⁸⁹² M, Latendresse M, Midford PE, et al.. 2018a. The EcoCyc database. *EcoSal Plus* 8.
 ⁸⁹³ DOI:10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0006-2018.
- Karp PD, Riley M, Saier M, Paulsen IT, Collado-Vides J, Paley SM, Pellegrini-Toole A, Bonavides C, and Gama-Castro S. 2002. The EcoCyc database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30: 56–58.
 DOI:10.1093/nar/30.1.56.
- Karp PD, Weaver D, and Latendresse M. 2018b. How accurate is automated gap filling of metabolic
 models? *BMC Syst Biol* 12: 73.
- Katoh K and Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
 Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 772–780.
 DOI:10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- ⁹⁰² Keseler IM, Gama-Castro S, Mackie A, Billington R, Bonavides-Martínez C, Caspi R, Kothari A,
 ⁹⁰³ Krummenacker M, Midford PE, Muñiz-Rascado L, et al.. 2021. The EcoCyc database in 2021.
 ⁹⁰⁴ Frontiers in Microbiology 12: 2098. DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2021.711077.
- ⁹⁰⁵ King ZA, Lu J, Dräger A, Miller P, Federowicz S, Lerman JA, Ebrahim A, Palsson BO, and Lewis
 ⁹⁰⁶ NE. 2016. BiGG models: A platform for integrating, standardizing and sharing genome-scale
 ⁹⁰⁷ models. Nucleic Acids Research 44: D515–D522. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkv1049.
- ⁹⁰⁸ Kitts PA, Church DM, Thibaud-Nissen F, Choi J, Hem V, Sapojnikov V, Smith RG, Tatusova T,
- Xiang C, Zherikov A, et al.. 2016. Assembly: a resource for assembled genomes at NCBI. Nucleic
 Acids Research 44: 73–80. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkv1226.
- Latendresse M and Karp PD. 2018. Evaluation of reaction gap-filling accuracy by randomization.
 BMC Bioinformatics 19: 53.
- ⁹¹³ Le Roes-Hill M, Goodwin C, and Burton S. 2009. Phenoxazinone synthase: what's in a name?
 ⁹¹⁴ Trends Biotechnol 27: 248–258. DOI:10.1016/j.tibtech.2009.01.001.

- ⁹¹⁵ Lefort V, Desper R, and Gascuel O. 2015. FastME 2.0: A Comprehensive, Accurate,
 ⁹¹⁶ and Fast Distance-Based Phylogeny Inference Program. *Mol Biol Evol* 32: 2798–2800.
 ⁹¹⁷ DOI:10.1093/molbev/msv150.
- Lefort V, Longueville JE, and Gascuel O. 2017. SMS: Smart model selection in PhyML. Molecular
 Biology and Evolution 34: 2422–2424. DOI:10.1093/molbev/msx149.
- Lemoine F, Correia D, Lefort V, Doppelt-Azeroual O, Mareuil F, Cohen-Boulakia S, and Gascuel
 O. 2019. NGPhylogeny.fr: new generation phylogenetic services for non-specialists. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47: W260–W265. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkz303.
- Lemoine F, Domelevo Entfellner JB, Wilkinson E, Correia D, Dávila Felipe M, De Oliveira T, and
 Gascuel O. 2018. Renewing Felsenstein's phylogenetic bootstrap in the era of big data. *Nature* **556**: 452–456. DOI:10.1038/s41586-018-0043-0.
- Liaud MF, Brandt U, Scherzinger M, and Cerff R. 1997. Evolutionary origin of cryptomonad
 microalgae: Two novel chloroplast/cytosol-specific GAPDH genes as potential markers of an cestral endosymbiont and host cell components. Journal of Molecular Evolution 44: S28–S37.
 DOI:10.1007/PL00000050.
- Lu H, Li F, Sánchez BJ, Zhu Z, Li G, Domenzain I, Marcišauskas S, Anton PM, Lappa D, Lieven C,
 et al.. 2019. A consensus S. cerevisiae metabolic model Yeast8 and its ecosystem for comprehensively probing cellular metabolism. Nat Commun 10: 3586. DOI:10.1038/s41467-019-11581-3.
- Machado D, Andrejev S, Tramontano M, and Patil KR. 2018. Fast automated reconstruction of
 genome-scale metabolic models for microbial species and communities. *Nucleic Acids Research*46: 7542–7553. DOI:10.1093/nar/gky537.
- Manandhar B, Wagle A, Seong SH, Paudel P, Kim HR, Jung HA, and Choi JS. 2019. Phlorotannins with Potential Anti-Tyrosinase and Antioxidant Activity Isolated from the Marine Seaweed *Ecklonia stolonifera*. Antioxidants (Basel) 8. DOI:10.3390/antiox8080240.

- Marcellin-Gros R, Piganeau G, and Stien D. 2020. Metabolomic insights into marine phytoplankton
 diversity. *Marine Drugs* 18. DOI:10.3390/md18020078.
- Moretti S, Tran V, Mehl F, Ibberson M, and Pagni M. 2021. MetaNetX/MNXref: unified namespace
 for metabolites and biochemical reactions in the context of metabolic models. *Nucleic Acids Research* 49: D570–D574. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkaa992.
- Nègre D, Aite M, Belcour A, Frioux C, Brillet-Guéguen L, Liu X, Bordron P, Godfroy O, Lipinska
 AP, Leblanc C, et al.. 2019. Genome-scale metabolic networks shed light on the carotenoid
 biosynthesis pathway in the brown algae Saccharina japonica and Cladosiphon okamuranus.
 Antioxidants 8: 564. DOI:10.3390/antiox8110564.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB,
 Simpson GL, Solymos P, et al.. 2020. vegan: Community ecology package.
- Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello
 B, Shukla M, et al.. 2014. The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using
 subsystems technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Research 42: D206-214.
- Pitkänen E, Jouhten P, Hou J, Syed MF, Blomberg P, Kludas J, Oja M, Holm L, Penttilä M, Rousu J, et al.. 2014. Comparative genome-scale reconstruction of gapless metabolic
 networks for present and ancestral species. *PLOS Computational Biology* 10: e1003465.
 DOI:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003465.
- Price DC, Chan CX, Yoon HS, Yang EC, Qiu H, Weber APM, Schwacke R, Gross J, Blouin NA,
 Lane C, et al.. 2012. *Cyanophora paradoxa* genome elucidates origin of photosynthesis in algae
 and plants. *Science* 335: 843–847. DOI:10.1126/science.1213561.
- Prigent S, Nielsen JC, Frisvad JC, and Nielsen J. 2018. Reconstruction of 24 *Penicillium* genome scale metabolic models shows diversity based on their secondary metabolism. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 115: 2604–2612. DOI:10.1002/bit.26739.

- Psomopoulos FE, van Helden J, Médigue C, Chasapi A, and Ouzounis CA. 2020. Ancestral 963 state reconstruction of metabolic pathways across pangenome ensembles. *Microbial genomics* 964 DOI:10.1099/mgen.0.000429. 965
- Rockwell NC and Lagarias JC. 2017. Ferredoxin-dependent bilin reductases in eukaryotic algae: 966 Ubiquity and diversity. J Plant Physiol 217: 57–67. DOI:10.1016/j.jplph.2017.05.022. 967
- Ryu JY, Kim HU, and Lee SY. 2019. Deep learning enables high-quality and high-throughput 968 prediction of enzyme commission numbers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 969 **116**: 13996–14001. DOI:10.1073/pnas.1821905116. 970
- Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Ostell J, Pruitt KD, and Karsch-Mizrachi I. 2019. GenBank. 971 Nucleic Acids Research 47: D94–D99. DOI:10.1093/nar/gky989. 972
- Schulz C and Almaas E. 2020. Genome-scale reconstructions to assess metabolic phylogeny and 973 organism clustering. PLOS ONE 15: e0240953. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0240953. 974
- Seaver SMD, Liu F, Zhang Q, Jeffryes J, Faria JP, Edirisinghe JN, Mundy M, Chia N, Noor E, 975 Beber M, et al. 2021. The ModelSEED biochemistry database for the integration of metabolic 976 annotations and the reconstruction, comparison and analysis of metabolic models for plants, 977 fungi and microbes. Nucleic Acids Research 49: D575–D588. DOI:10.1093/nar/gkaa746. 978
- Shapiro BJ and Alm EJ. 2008. Comparing patterns of natural selection across species using selective 979 signatures. PLOS Genetics 4: 1-12. DOI:10.1371/journal.pgen.0040023. 980
- Slater GSC and Birney E. 2005. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence com-981 parison. BMC Bioinformatics 6: 31. DOI:10.1186/1471-2105-6-31. 982
- Stamboulian M, Guerrero RF, Hahn MW, and Radivojac P. 2020. The ortholog conjecture revis-983 ited: the value of orthologs and paralogs in function prediction. *Bioinformatics* **36**: i219–i226. 984 DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa468.

985

Steinegger M and Söding J. 2017. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the 986 analysis of massive data sets. Nat Biotechnol 35: 1026–1028. DOI:10.1038/nbt.3988. 987

- Strassert JFH, Irisarri I, Williams TA, and Burki F. 2021. A molecular timescale for eukaryote
 evolution with implications for the origin of red algal-derived plastids. *Nature Communications* **12**: 1–13. DOI:10.1038/s41467-021-22044.
- ⁹⁹¹ Suzuki H, Furusho Y, Higashi T, Ohnishi Y, and Horinouchi S. 2006. A novel o-aminophenol
 ⁹⁹² oxidase responsible for formation of the phenoxazinone chromophore of grixazone. J Biol Chem
 ⁹⁹³ 281: 824–833. DOI:10.1074/jbc.M505806200.
- ⁹⁹⁴ Suzuki R and Shimodaira H. 2006. Pvclust: an r package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical
 ⁹⁹⁵ clustering. *Bioinformatics* 22: 1540–1542. DOI:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl117.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, and Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
 version 11. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38: 3022–3027. DOI:10.1093/molbev/msab120.
- Tamura Y, Takenaka S, Sugiyama S, and Nakayama R. 1998. Occurrence of Anserine as an Antioxidative Dipeptide in a Red Alga, *Porphyra yezoensis*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 62: 561–563.
 DOI:10.1271/bbb.62.561.
- Thiele I, Hyduke DR, Steeb B, Fankam G, Allen DK, Bazzani S, Charusanti P, Chen FC, Fleming
 RMT, Hsiung CA, et al.. 2011. A community effort towards a knowledge-base and mathematical model of the human pathogen *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2. *BMC Systems Biology* 5: 8.
 DOI:10.1186/1752-0509-5-8.
- Thiele I and Palsson BØ. 2010. Reconstruction annotation jamborees: a community approach to
 systems biology. *Molecular Systems Biology* 6: 361. DOI:10.1038/msb.2010.15.
- ¹⁰⁰⁷ Vieira G, Sabarly V, Bourguignon PY, Durot M, Le Fèvre F, Mornico D, Vallenet D, Bouvet O,
- Denamur E, Schachter V, et al.. 2011. Core and panmetabolism in *Escherichia coli*. Journal of
 Bacteriology 193: 1461–1472. DOI:10.1128/JB.01192-10.
- Vongsangnak W, Klanchui A, Tawornsamretkit I, Tatiyaborwornchai W, Laoteng K, and Meechai
 A. 2016. Genome-scale metabolic modeling of *Mucor circinelloides* and comparative analysis
 with other oleaginous species. *Gene* 583: 121–129. DOI:10.1016/j.gene.2016.02.028].

- Wang H, Marcišauskas S, Sánchez BJ, Domenzain I, Hermansson D, Agren R, Nielsen J, and
 Kerkhoven EJ. 2018. RAVEN 2.0: A versatile toolbox for metabolic network reconstruction
 and a case study on *Streptomyces coelicolor*. *PLOS Computational Biology* 14: e1006541.
 DOI:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006541.
- Wang H, Xu Z, Gao L, and Hao B. 2009. A fungal phylogeny based on 82 complete genomes using
 the composition vector method. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 9: 195. DOI:10.1186/1471-2148-9195.
- ¹⁰²⁰ Witting M, Hastings J, Rodriguez N, Joshi CJ, Hattwell JPN, Ebert PR, van Weeghel M, Gao AW,

Wakelam MJO, Houtkooper RH, et al. 2018. Modeling Meets Metabolomics—The WormJam

- ¹⁰²² Consensus Model as Basis for Metabolic Studies in the Model Organism *Caenorhabditis elegans*.
- 1023 Front Mol Biosci 5: 96. DOI:10.3389/fmolb.2018.00096.
- ¹⁰²⁴ Xu S, Wang J, Guo Z, He Z, and Shi S. 2020. Genomic convergence in the adaptation to extreme ¹⁰²⁵ environments. *Plant Communications* 1: 100117. DOI:10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100117.
- Yandell M and Ence D. 2012. A beginner's guide to eukaryotic genome annotation. Nature Reviews.
 Genetics 13: 329–342. DOI:10.1038/nrg3174.
- Young AD and Gillung JP. 2020. Phylogenomics principles, opportunities and pitfalls of big-data
 phylogenetics. Systematic Entomology 45: 225–247. DOI:10.1111/syen.12406.
- Zimmermann J, Kaleta C, and Waschina S. 2021. gapseq: informed prediction of bacterial
 metabolic pathways and reconstruction of accurate metabolic models. *Genome Biology* 22: 81.
 DOI:10.1186/s13059-021-02295-1.

¹⁰³³ Figure Legends

1021

Figure 1 Reconstruction and homogenization of metabolisms with AuCoMe. Starting
 from a dataset of partially structurally- and functionally-annotated genomes, AuCoMe's pipeline

performs the following four steps. A. Draft reconstruction. The reconstruction of draft genome-1036 scale metabolic networks (GSMNs) is performed using Pathway Tools in a parallel implementation. 1037 **B.** Orthology propagation. OrthoFinder predicts orthologs by aligning protein sequences of 1038 all genomes. The robustness of orthology relationships is evaluated (see Methods), and GPRs of 1039 robust orthologs are propagated. C. Structural verification. The absence of a GPR in genomes 1040 is verified through pairwise alignments of the GPR-associated sequence to all genomes where it is 1041 missing. If the GPR-associated sequence is identified in other genomes, the gene is annotated, and 1042 the GPR is propagated. **D. Spontaneous completion**. Missing spontaneous reactions enabling 1043 the completion of metabolic pathways are added to the GSMNs. GSMN: Genome-scale metabolic 1044 network. OG: orthologs. GPR: Gene-protein-reaction relationship. Outlines around GPR or 1045 reactions indicate that the GPR or reaction is newly added during the corresponding step. 1046

Figure 2 Application of the AuCoMe pipeline to the bacterial, fungal and algal 1047 datasets of genomes. The summary table (A.) depicts the number of reactions identified for 1048 each species at each step of the AuCoMe pipeline: reactions recovered by the draft reconstruc-1049 tion step (blue), unreliable reactions predicted by orthology propagation and removed by the filter 1050 (gray), robust reactions predicted by *orthology propagation* that passed the filter (orange), addi-1051 tional reactions predicted by the structural verification step (green), and spontaneous completion 1052 (red). The final metabolic networks encompass all these reactions except the non-reliable ones. 1053 Panels B., C., D. illustrate the results for each genome of the three datasets. The panmetabolism 1054 of each dataset (all the reactions occurring in any of the organisms after the final step of Au-1055 CoMe) is presented in brown in B, C and D. Organisms with grav labels are outgroups. See also 1056 Supplemental Fig. S1, S2, and S3. 1057

Figure 3 Efficiency of AuCoMe on degraded genome assemblies. (A) Number of reactions in *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 degraded networks after application of AuCoMe to 32 synthetic bacterial datasets. Each dataset consists of the genome of *E. coli* K–12 MG1655 to which degradation of the functional and/or structural annotations was applied, together with 28

bacterial genomes. Each vertical bar corresponds to the result on the E. coli K-12 MG1655 within 1062 a synthetic dataset, with the percentages of degraded annotations indicated below. The dataset 1063 labelled 0 was not subject to degradation of the E. coli K-12 MG1655 annotations. Three types 1064 of degradation have been performed: functional annotation degradation only (left side, datasets 1065 labelled 1 to 10), structural annotation degradation only (right side, datasets labelled 22 to 31) 1066 and both degradation types (middle, dataset labelled 11 to 21). The colored bars depict the 1067 number of reactions added to the degraded network at the different steps of the method (the 1068 blue, orange, green, grey and red color legends are as described in the figure 2). The table shown 1069 as axis indicates the dataset number and the percentage of functional or structural annotation 1070 impacted by the degradation for the corresponding column in both subfigures. (B) F-measures 1071 after comparison of the GSMNs recovered for each E. coli K-12 MG1655 genome 1072 replicates with a gold-standard network. Reactions inferred by each AuCoMe step for each 1073 replicate were compared to the gold-standard EcoCyc GSMN, allowing for the computation of F-1074 measures. F-measures obtained after the draft reconstruction step, the orthology propagation step, 1075 or the structural verification step are shown as blue circles, orange triangles, and green crosses, 1076 respectively. The hashed rectangle from F-measure 0.79 to 1 highlights the values of F-measure, 1077 which are unreachable because 1019 reactions in EcoCvc were not present in the panmetabolism of 1078 the 29 non-degraded bacteria. 1079

Figure 4 AuCoMe results on the Calvin cycle pathway in the algal dataset. AuCoMe was applied to the dataset of 36 algae and 4 outgroup species (columns). Each row represents a MetaCyc reaction of the pathway, the table shows whether it is predicted by AuCoMe: blue - draft reconstruction, orange - robust reactions predicted by orthology propagation that passed the filter, green - structural verification, and gray - non-robust reactions predicted by orthology propagation and removed by the filter, black - not predicted, yellow - manually added because the MetaCyc database 23.5 does not contain a reference gene-reaction association for this reaction.

¹⁰⁸⁷ Figure 5 AuCoMe as a tool to improve taxonomic consistency of GSMNs. A. MDS

plot for GSMNs calculated with the AuCoMe draft reconstruction step or after all AuCoMe steps. 1088 In both cases, ANOSIM values are indicated below (MDS and ANOSIM were computed using the 1089 vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2020)). B. Tanglegram evaluating the taxonomic consistency of 1090 AuCoMe dendrograms based on metabolic distances using the pvclust package (Suzuki and Shi-1091 modaira, 2006) with the Jaccard distance (right side) in comparison with reference phylogeny (left 1092 side), compiled from Strassert et al. (2021). Full lines join species for which the position in the 1093 AuCoMe dendrogram is consistent with the reference phylogeny. Dotted lines join species for which 1094 the metabolic dendrogram and the reference phylogeny diverge. A/C: Archeplastids/Cryptophytes, 1095 A: Archeplastids, R: Rodophytes, Gr: Green algae, M: Mamiellales, Chla: Chlamydomonadales, 1096 Sph: Sphaeropleales, T: Trebouxiophyceae, Chlo: Chlorellaceae, St: Streptophytes, Gl: Glauco-1097 phytes, C: Cryptophytes, H: Haptophytes, I: Isochrysida, D: Diatoms, S: Stramenopiles, B: Brown 1098 algae, E: Ectocarpales, Ec: Ectocarpaceae, Ch: Chordariaceae, Op: Opistochonts, F: Fungi, As: 1099 Ascomycetes. 1100



A. Draft reconstruction

Figure 1: Reconstruction and homogenization of metabolisms with AuCoMe.



Figure 2: Application of the AuCoMe pipeline to the *bacterial*, *fungal* and *algal* datasets of genomes.



Figure 3: Efficiency of AuCoMe on degraded genome assemblies.



Figure 4: AuCoMe results on the Calvin cycle pathway in the algal dataset.



Figure 5: AuCoMe as a tool to improve taxonomic consistency of GSMNs.