

Ideophones in Kambaata (Cushitic): Grammar, meaning and use

Yvonne Treis

▶ To cite this version:

Yvonne Treis. Ideophones in Kambaata (Cushitic): Grammar, meaning and use. Linguistic Typology at the Crossroads, 2024, Ideophones: honing in on a descriptive and typological concept (special issue edited by Aimée Lahaussois, Julie Marsault & Yvonne Treis), 4 (1), pp.147-200. 10.6092/issn.2785-0943/16393. hal-04187225v2

HAL Id: hal-04187225 https://hal.science/hal-04187225v2

Submitted on 4 Nov 2023 (v2), last revised 17 Jun 2024 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ideophones in Kambaata (Cushitic): Grammar, meaning and use

YVONNE TREIS

CNRS, UMR 8135 LANGAGE, LANGUES ET CULTURES D'AFRIQUE (LLACAN)

Abstract

In the literature on Cushitic languages, ideophones have often only been treated in a cursory manner. A little explored problem of the synchronic analysis concerns their word class status: do they constitute a word class on their own, or should they be subsumed under another word class and if so, under which one? The study of Kambaata ideophones presented here shows that there are valid arguments both for analyzing them as a separate word class and as a subclass of verbs. Based on a language-internal definition of the ideophone word class, features of its phonology, phonotactics and stress marking are discussed. The section on morphosyntax shows in which syntactic functions ideophones are used, clarifies the status of their support verbs ('say' and 'do') and considers the argument structure of ideophones. The section on ideophone-related word formation investigates which derivational processes take ideophonic inputs, describes the functions of reduplication and illustrates the significance of compounding and conversion for the formation of ideophones. The discussion of the semantics of ideophones is followed by preliminary information about the frequency of ideophones across text types. Finally, the use of 'say' as a quotative verb in report speech constructions is explored, and it becomes clear that the line between ideophone constructions with 'say' and reported interjections is not always easy to draw.

Keywords: Cushitic; ideophone; interjection; word class; stress

1. Introduction

Kambaata (Highland East Cushitic) is a morphologically rich language, which requires all nouns, pronouns, verbs and adjectives to be inflected (Treis 2023a). It

therefore catches the eye that two major word classes are morphologically invariant: ideophones, e.g. $x \acute{o} q q$ 'rise', in 2 in combination with the verb y- 'say', and interjections, e.g. $hashsh\acute{u}$ 'yay, great, congratulations' and $ekk\acute{u}$ 'okay' in 2.

- (1) Mexx-é afuu'll-itóo $ma'nn-\acute{e}echch$ $x\acute{o}qq = y-it\acute{a}n$ single-MULT sit_down-3F.PFV.REL place-F.ABL rise.IDEO = say-3F.PFV.CVB $me'-\dot{u}=rr-a-ndo$ gax-ée wól-i-s óos-ut other-F.NOM-DEF children-F.NOM how many-M.ACC = NMZ4-M.ACC-Q extent-M.DAT hujat-táa'u work-3F.IPFV 'How many (tasks) do the other children work before she stands up once from the place where she is sitting?' (Field notes 2015, DWD)
- (2) $Hashsh\acute{u}$, $g\acute{o}on\text{-}ch\text{-}u\text{-}ta$, $abb\text{-}\acute{i}shsh$ $galaxx\text{-}\acute{a}an\text{-}ke$. yay.INTJ males-SGV-F.PRED-F.COP2 exceed-CAUS1.1SG.PFV.CVB thank-1SG.IPFV-2SG.OBJ $Y\text{-}itoont\acute{t}=r\text{-}a$ $gu'mm\text{-}\acute{a}< n>ka$ $ekk\acute{u}!$ say-2SG.PFV.REL = NMZ4-M.ACC all-M.ACC < EMP > okay.INTJ 'Yay, you are a hero! I thank you very much. Okay to all what you said.' (SMS exchange 2019, BN)

In the literature on Cushitic languages, ideophones (as well as interjections) have so far only been treated in a cursory manner and their importance has (potentially) been underestimated. Tosco hypothesizes that ideophones "are not so common in Gawwada (nor generally in Cushitic) as they are in many other African languages" (2006: 887). Mous's detailed overview chapter of Cushitic dedicates only half a page to ideophones (2012: 381–382); interjections are not discussed at all. To date, there are only few detailed studies on ideophones in individual languages, the most notable exceptions are Cabdulqaadir & Tosco (1998) on Somali and Tosco (2006) on Gawwada, both languages of study belonging to the Lowland East Cushitic branch. In addition, three qualifying works from Addis Ababa University deal with ideophones in Cushitic languages (Amina 2013; Girum 2013; Desalegn 2020). While ideophones are underrepresented in descriptive and documentary works, so-called "descriptive compounds", i.e. complex predicates whose semantic core is a non-

inflecting coverb (e.g. an ideophone) followed by an inflecting, semantically bleached light verb (often 'say' and 'do'), have attracted the attention of areal linguists, who trace their distribution across Northeastern Africa, and historical linguists, who see them as the source construction for new verb inflections across Afroasiatic (see, for instance, Cohen et al. 2002; Güldemann 2005).

An important, little explored problem of the synchronic analysis of ideophones in Cushitic concerns their word class status: do they constitute a word class on their own, or can they be subsumed under another word class and if so, which one? For Tosco, ideophones in Cushitic languages are "undoubtedly [...] nominal in character" (2006: 887; see also Cabdulqaadir & Tosco 1998 on the nominal nature of Somali ideophones). The detailed study of Kambaata ideophones presented here significantly expands an earlier study of onomatopoeic ideophones (Treis & Deginet forthcoming). The present paper argues that ideophones could either be analyzed as a separate open word class or as a subclass of verbs. After a general introduction into the grammar of the language (§2), the core of this paper (§3) aims at characterizing ideophones from different angles. First, the defining criteria for the word class are established (§3.1), then features of its phonology, phonotactics and stress marking are discussed (§3.2). The section on morphosyntax (§3.3) shows in which syntactic functions ideophones are used, clarifies the status of their support verbs (enclitic or independent) and their variant forms, and looks at the argument structure of ideophones. The next section (§3.4) delves into ideophone-related word formation, investigates which derivational processes take ideophonic inputs, shows for which functions ideophones are morphologically reduplicated and illustrates the significance of compounding and conversion for the formation of ideophones. §3.5 is dedicated to the semantics of ideophones, while §3.6 provides preliminary information on the frequency of ideophones across text types and compares the size of the word classes of verbs and ideophones. §4 looks at the grammar of reported speech and other uses of 'say'. The section highlights, among others, that it is not always possible to draw a line between ideophones and reported interjections. The final discussion in §5 weighs up the pros and cons of considering ideophones as a word class on a par with the major word classes of nouns, adjectives and verbs, or of subsuming verbs and ideophones as subclasses under a joint word class. The final section also addresses to what extent the Kambaata ideophones fit the comparative concept of ideophones proposed by Mark Dingemanse.

The description presented here is based on data from my field notes¹ and transcribed recordings, local Kambaata publications and the extensive lexical documentation in Alemu's (2016) Kambaata-Amharic-English dictionary. If not indicated otherwise, all ideophones presented in this paper are attested in more than one source. Data taken from Alemu (2016) has all been checked with a native speaker and the translations corrected or refined.² Recorded field data is marked by the initials of the recorded speaker, the date of recording and the file number (e.g. AN2016-02-19_001). All data cited from local Kambaata publications has been segmented, stress-marked, glossed and translated by me. Wherever possible and relevant, complementary information about ideophones in related languages is given.

2. Background on Kambaata

(ISO-code Glottolog Kambaata 639-3: ktb. code: kamb1316. endonym: Kambaatissata) is a Highland East Cushitic language spoken in southwestern Ethiopia by at least 600,000 speakers, according to the latest census (Central Statistical Agency 2007: 74). Neighboring groups are speakers of closely related Cushitic languages (Hadiyya, Alaaba) and of Omotic languages (Wolaitta, Dawro). The Ethiopian lingua franca Amharic (Semitic) is the most important second language of Kambaata speakers. Kambaata's official orthography is based on the Roman script and used with minimal modifications to transcribe the data in this article. The following graphemes are not in accordance with IPA conventions: <ph>/p'/, <x>/t'/, <q>/k'/, <j>/d3/, <c>/tf'/, <ch>/tf/, <sh>/f/,

¹ The field notes 2021-2023 were collected remotely or in person with native speakers in Germany.

² Desalegn (2020) is a thesis on Kambaata ideophones, which is partly based on Alemu (2016). I was not (yet) able to confirm many of the new ideophones provided in the appendix (2020: 135–138), which is possibly related to the fact that the author and most of his consultants come from the Shiinshichcho area, while my consultants are from around Duuraame, Daambooyya and Hangacca.

<y>/j/ and <'>/?/. Geminate consonants and long vowels are marked by doubling, e.g. <shsh>/ \int :/ and <ee>/e:/. Consonant clusters consisting of a glottal stop and a simplex sonorant are spelled as trigraphs, e.g. <'rr>/?r/, to distinguish them from laryngealized sonorants, e.g. <'r>/r'/. Nasalization is marked by a macron, e.g. <ā>/ã/. The minor adaptations to the official orthography made in this contribution concern the additional marking of phonemic stress by an acute accent and the consistent notation of the phonemic glottal stop whenever it occurs in word-medial and word-final position.

Kambaata is a suffixing, agglutinating-fusional language with many portmanteau morphemes. It is both head- and dependent-marking with nine nominal cases and subject indexing on verbs. The constituent order is head-final: dependent clauses precede main clauses, the main verb or copula is the last constituent in a clause; in the NP, all modifiers (including relative clauses) are placed before the head noun.

The word classes can be divided into those that have morphologically variant (i.e. inflecting) members and those that have morphologically invariant members. Nouns inflect obligatorily for case and gender. The different types of pronouns are obligatorily marked for case as well as person, gender, number and/or honorificity. Adjectives must be minimally marked for case and gender; as modifiers, they agree with the head noun. All verb forms apart from the verbal noun are subject-indexed and marked for at least one other inflectional category (aspect, mood, subordination); verbal nouns are marked for case and gender. The non-inflected nominal, adjectival or verbal root is bound and never uttered in isolation. Pronominal roots are often not isolatable due to fusion or suppletion.

Of the five word classes with morphologically invariant members, three are very small. The word class of conjunctions contains only two members: $t\acute{e}$ 'or' for the disjunction of noun phrases and $bag\acute{a}an$ 'but', a contrastive clause conjunction. Instead, Kambaata makes use of subordinating and coordinating suffixes. There is also a small word class of discourse particles with members such as $amm\acute{o}o$ 'however, furthermore' (contrast, addition), $\acute{a}chche$ 'then' (consequence), $\acute{a}da$ 'oh!, I

see' (surprise, understanding) and *éman* 'congratulations!'.³ The third negligibly small word class are adverbs, encompassing *léelan* 'slowly', *dángo* 'unexpectedly' and *btinin* 'separately'. In the place of adverbs, Kambaata uses nouns and adjectives marked for adverbial cases or converbs in adverbial function. The two remaining invariant word classes, ideophones and interjections, have a large number of members. Ideophones are at the core of this paper, whereas features of interjections are here treated only insofar as they are relevant for the description of ideophones (in §4).

3. Features of ideophones

3.1. Language-internal definition and terminological choices

Ideophones are defined in Kambaata as an open word class of morphologically invariant lexemes that require the support verbs y- 'say' in intransitive clauses and a'- 'do' in transitive clauses to be inflected and syntactically integrated into an utterance. Ideophones differ from the equally invariant interjections in that they do not constitute an utterance on their own. In rare contexts, which are elliptic and belong to the domain of artistic speech, ideophones are attested in independent use. This is, for instance, seen in a riddle from Desalegn (2020: 111), here presented in 6.4 See also verse 7 in the song *Haate Haate Haate* in Treis (2012), which ends in an ideophone without a support verb.

(3) Cuph-á dúbb, can-á wíqq

pestle-M.ACC pound.IDEO leather_bag-M.ACC tie_tightly.IDEO

(Riddle) 'A pestle – to pound, a leather bag (filled with air) – to tie up tightly.'

(Desalegn 2020: 111; transcription, glossing and translation adapted; solution of the riddle provided by YYZ: cinú 'excrements')

³ The list of discourse particles is possibly incomplete. For the use of $\acute{e}man$ and $\acute{a}da$, see (23) and (53).

⁴ Girum (2013: 52–53) also reports about the independent use of ideophones in Sidaama riddles.

Ideophones principally combine with two different support verbs 7-7 (see §3.3.4 for exceptions). The support verb y- 'say' is used in intransitive contexts, the support verb a'- 'do' in transitive contexts. As ideophone support, y- 'say' varies freely with ih- 'become', and a'- 'do' with ass- 'do' (§3.3.3); the alternatives are, however, less commonly used. As argued in §3.3.2, the support verbs are enclitic to the ideophones. All support verbs are still used as regular verbs in isolation, where they have retained their full lexical meaning (§4). The full reduplication of ideophones, as in 7, is frequent (§3.4.3).

- (4) binn = y- 'be dispersed, be scattered' binn = a'- 'disperse (s.th.), scatter (s.th.)' see 37 for its use in an example
- (5) $d\acute{u}bb = y$ $/d\acute{u}bb \sim d\acute{u}bb = y$ 'produce the $d\acute{u}bb(\sim d\acute{u}bb)$ sound (of feet, flail, load hitting the ground, pestle hitting the wooden mortar), be pounded' $d\acute{u}bb = a$ '- $/d\acute{u}bb \sim d\acute{u}bb = a$ '- 'cause to produce the $d\acute{u}bb(\sim d\acute{u}bb)$ sound; pound; thresh'

The term "support verb" used here is equivalent to the more common term "light verb" found in the literature on complex predicates. The construction in which a non-inflecting ideophone (or another coverb) is combined with a support verb is referred to in the literature on languages of Northeast Africa by a variety of terms, among them "compound verb", "composite verb" and "descriptive compound", and considered an areal feature of the languages of the Ethiopian Linguistic Area or Northeast Africa (Leslau 1945: 72; Ferguson 1976: 71–72; Zaborski 1991: 125; Appleyard 2001: 1–2; Güldemann 2005). For Kambaata, I first speak of "ideophone-

_

⁵ For reasons of space, in all the data tables below, ideophones are presented with only one possible support verb, often the one that is most commonly attested in my database. However, if not indicated otherwise (as in §3.3.4), these ideophones can usually also combine with the transitive or intransitive counterpart.

⁶ Some languages in Northeast Africa have productive processes by which non-inflecting forms are derived from verbal lexemes. These constructions with a verb-based coverb are pragmatically marked when compared to simple verbs; see the "intersubjective modal functions" reported for Afar by Cohen et al. (2002: 247) or the attenuating/intensifying function of deverbal coverbs in Amharic (Meyer this volume). In this context, "descriptive" serves as a cover term for "pragmatically marked".

support constructions", before arguing in §5 that "periphrastic verb" could be a possible alternative.

3.2. The phonology, phonotactics and stress marking of ideophones

When compared to lexemes of other word classes, ideophonic lexemes do not have a particularly unusual phonology. The vast majority are phonologically inconspicuous. Only a handful contain phonemes that are elsewhere marginal (Treis & Deginet forthcoming), such as nasal vowels, e.g. $h\bar{a}\bar{a} = y$ - 'gape (of mouth, abyss, deep hole)' and the geminate glottal stop, e.g. $m\acute{e}$ "a=y- 'bleat (of goat)'. The geminate glottal stop is, however, also found in interjections, e.g. há"a 'yuck', and the nasal vowels are attested in the noun hāy-í 'front leg of cattle' and in several interjections, e.g. \hat{t} 'take what I have in my hand' and $\hat{a}\bar{a}$ 'yes'. The onomatopoeic ideophones $ny\acute{a}u = y$ - 'meow (of cat)', $w\acute{u}h = y$ - 'bark (of dog)' and $buhh\acute{u} = y$ - 'cough, make a coughing sound' are the only lexemes known to contain a palatal nasal,⁷ a word-final glottal fricative and a geminate glottal fricative, respectively. At first view, the frequency of certain phonemes in ideophones is not significantly different from that in lexemes of other word classes, but this question needs to be studied quantitatively.

Regarding phonotactics, the typical Kambaata ideophone is monosyllabic, less commonly disyllabic, and ends in a geminate consonant or consonant cluster;⁸ see selected examples in Table 1.⁹

Ideophone	English
Monosyllab	ic in CC#
kú'nn = a'-	tip (s.th.) out
qóss=y-	squat, sit on one's heels, sit down (of dog, cat)

⁷ Alemu (2016: 755) transcribes 'meow' with an alveolar nasal as *naawu*.

8

⁸ Crass (2005: 226–227) reports the same phonotactic preference for K'abeena ideophones.

⁹ Recall from §2 that certain consonants are represented by digraphs in the official orthography, e.g. < ph > /p' / and < phph > /p':/, and that glottal stop-sonorant clusters are represented by trigraphs, e.g. < nn > /?n/. The enclitic support verbs in this and the following tables are y- 'say' and a'- 'do'.

shóott = y-	get up abruptly			
will=y-	sneak away, disappear (from s.o.'s view)			
xéephph = y-	be about to do			
zágg=y-	fall flat to the ground (e.g. after heavy beating, when fainting)			
Disyllabic in	CC#			
canqárr=y-	cling (to s.o./s.th.), hold on (to s.o./s.th.)			
fitíkk = a'-	untie (s.th.)			
fokkótt=y-	bow (down), take a bow			
habább = y-	burn (vi) with a sudden and high flame (e.g. of dry leaves)			
hokkóbb = y-	stand on the hind legs and lean the front legs against (e.g. a tree)			
qomfó'll=y-	get a dent, be dented			

Table 1: Ideophones illustrating the most common phonotactic structures

Other phonotactic structures are not excluded, albeit less common (Table 2).¹⁰ Some ideophones end in a single consonant or a long or short vowel. If an ideophone is vowel-final, it is more likely to be disyllabic than monosyllabic.

Ideophone	English				
Monosyllabi	Monosyllabic in C#				
fúq=y-	gush out, spill out				
húf=y-	boil over (of liquid in cooking pot), rise through fermentation				
láph = y-	lie down a bit (e.g. of people taking a nap)				
shiq = y-	move over, scoot over				
túk = y-	walk behind each other in a large group				
Monosyllabi	c in V#				
tú=y-	spit				
fúu=a'-	blow (of strong wind)				
táa=a'-	shoot (a gun)				
wóo=y-	wail				
ū́u=y-	say mm-hmm, give sign of attentive listening, backchannel				
Disyllabic in	Disyllabic in C#				
chalál=y-	float				
hagág=y-	strut about				
hambúq = a'	down (a drink), finish (a drink) in one go				
-					

¹⁰ Only tendencies can here be described. Due to the large number of ideophones attested in the Kambaata sources (§3.6), many hundreds still need to be checked in the field.

9

shigíg = a'-	shock, disgust, revolt (s.o.)			
xambáq = a'-	stick, attach (s.th.)			
Disyllabic in	v#			
culú = a'-	please (s.o.), seem beautiful (to s.o.)			
forgó = y-	move a bit away, keep one's distance			
hamúu = a'-	drive (s.o.) insane, make (s.o.) lose their mind			
kachá=y- ¹¹	argue, thrash out a problem			
rejé = y-	become calm			

 Table 2: Ideophones illustrating less common phonotactic structures

Trisyllabic ideophones (Table 3) are considerably less frequent than disyllabic ones.¹²

Ideophone	English
biciríqq=y-	make a U-turn
bitikínn = a'-	discard (e.g. an idea)
budulúmm=y-	struggle, try hard
buxurúqq=y-	come out suddenly, pop out
chachachá = y-	be sufficiently fermented (of beer)
kushurúmm = a'-	crunch, eat (s.th. hard) noisily
menxeléqq = a'-	open completely/widely, uncover, disclose
munxulúqq = a'-	remove completely
ororó = a'-	soothe (a crying child) with a lullaby and rocking
tililí=y- ring (of telephone)	

Table 3: Trisyllabic ideophones

In the vast majority of cases, the vowels across multisyllabic ideophones are identical; see most examples in the lower halves of Table 1 and 2 as well as in Table 3. Among trisyllabic ideophones, exceptions to this rule are especially hard to come

¹¹ This ideophone was incorrectly given as an example with penultimate stress in Treis (2008: 87).

Desalegn (2020: 55–56) reports about simplex ideophones with more than three syllables. However, in the examples given, the support verb a'- 'do' is erroneously included in the syllable count, e.g. $munxul\acute{u}qq = a'$ - remove completely' ($munt'\acute{u}luk'k'a?$ in his writing), is considered to have four syllables.

by; but see haburútt = y- 'wilt (of plants); become meagre, get a gaunt face (due to disease)'.

Phonotactically, ideophones differ little (if at all) from other roots in the language. Most verb roots, for instance, also have one or two vowels as nucleus (e.g. laq- 'head (towards a place)', zuug- 'scrape; tan', iill- 'arrive'; dagud- 'run', fikkaan- 'have many descendants', sangaagg- 'lean back one's head'), while roots with three vowel nuclei (e.g. foroffiit- 'steam (tr.)') are infrequent. Verbs roots also tend to have identical vowel nuclei if they are multisyllabic; this tendency seems, however, less pronounced than in the case of ideophones.

Kambaata is a language with phonemic stress whose position in a word is predominantly grammatically determined. The bound verbal, adjectival and (pro)nominal roots are undetermined for stress, but all inflectional morphemes are realized segmentally by a suffix and suprasegmentally by a specific stress position. Thus the stress patterns of word forms of the inflecting word classes are determined by their inflectional morphology. Kambaata has many systematic stress minimal pairs; see, for instance, the difference between accusative $-\dot{u}$ vs. nominative \dot{u} in nouns of the masculine declension M3: $b\acute{o}os-u$ (M.NOM) vs. $boos-\acute{u}$ (M.ACC) 'large clay pot'. In contrast, in the non-inflecting word classes of ideophones and interjections, the position of the stressed syllable is lexically determined and unpredictable, i.e. it has to be noted in the dictionary. Overall, ultimate stress is far more frequent on ideophones (see most examples in this article) than penultimate stress (Table 4). Trisyllabic ideophones with antepenultimate stress ('ooo) are not attested.

Ideophone	English	
báa'a=y-	bleat (of sheep)	
bíira=y-	forgive (esp. in a reconciliation ceremony)	
cá'a=a'-	clear, remove, clean completely	
dú"u-dú"u=y-	beat (of heart)	
hấā"ā=y-	hee-haw, bray (of donkey)	

⁻

¹³ Unfortunately, the stress of ideophones and interjections is not marked in Alemu (2016). Desalegn (2020: 61) erroneously states that ideophones are accented on the "second syllable"; irrespective of whether this is to be interpreted as second syllable from the left or right, the statement is falsified by my data and even by the data that he himself presents in the thesis.

hánda=y-	become thankful, grateful, content; become gratifying
hó"a=a'-	cause to vomit
ilíli=y-	ululate
jába=y-	bless (before pouring coffee)
mé"a=y-	bleat (of goat)
qúuxo=y-	do deliberately, willfully, on purpose, according to a plan

Table 4: Ideophones with penultimate stress $((\sigma)^{\dagger}\sigma\sigma)$

Every simplex ideophone has one prominent (stressed) syllable. Stress thus helps distinguish between ideophones that have two (or more) identical syllables, i.e. which are lexically reduplicative, from ideophones that are morphologically reduplicated. The former have one stressed syllable, e.g. $tat\acute{a}=y$ - 'fall suddenly and heavily (of rain)', while the latter are stressed on each reduplicant, e.g. $cil \sim cil = y$ - 'become shiny (with oil, lotion)' and $k\acute{a}f \sim k\acute{a}f = y$ - 'put oneself in danger'. For information on the stress marking of the support verbs, see §3.3.3.

Ideophones display a higher degree of phonological variation across speakers than lexemes of other word classes (a similar observation is made for Sidaama ideophones in Girum 2013: 34). Variation affects vowel quality (e.g. $CaCaC \sim CuCuC$), the mode of articulation of consonants (e.g. $ph/p'/\sim b$, $q/k'/\sim g$, $c/t \int^{\infty}/\infty sh/\int^{\infty}/\infty sh/\int$

Variant 1	Variant 2	English	Sources
bárr=y-	búrr=y-	fly	V1: TD2016-02-11_001 /
			V2: assistant ¹⁴
birxí~birxí=y-	bírx∼bírx=y-	twist, wriggle about	V1: Frog Story DWD,
		(e.g. to free oneself)	Kambaata Education
			Bureau (1989: 7.125) /

¹⁴ "Assistant" stands for the native speaker who assisted in the transcription of a recording and who pointed out discrepancies between their and the recorded speaker's pronunciation.

			V2: Alemu (2016: 136)
bolóng~bolóng=a'-	bolónq~bolónq=a'-	move one's eyes	V1: TD2016-02-11_001,
		(up/down, left/right)	see 14 / V2: assistant
chílk~chílk=y-	chilkí~chilkí=y-	clink (of keys, coins,	V1 + V2 + V3: Treis &
	kilchí~kilchí=y-	small bells on a	Deginet (forthcoming)
		horse's neck)	
hokkóbb = y-	hochchóbb = y-	stand on the hind	V1 + V2: Alemu (2016:
		legs and lean the	493)
		front legs against	
		s.th.	
kirír = a'-	kurúr = a'-	rotate	V1 + V2: Alemu (2016:
			596)
kuukú=y-	guugú = y-	coo (of dove)	Treis & Deginet
			(forthcoming)
lácc = y-	láshsh = y-	go slowly, slow	V1 + V2: field notes and
		down (vi)	different written sources
ríph~ríph=y-	ríbb∼ríbb=y-	move slightly back	V1: Kambaata Education
		and forth, up and	Bureau (1989: 4.51) / V2:
		down, in waves	Alemu (2016: 870)
qacác = a'-	qucúc = a'-	crunch (e.g. roasted	V1 + V2: Alemu (2016:
		grain, bones)	789, 851)
qu'mmí = eecc-	qú'mm = eecc-	bring together (for	V1: AN2016-02-19_001,
		one's benefit)	see 23 / V2: assistant
qúrc = a'-	gúrc = a'-	swallow	V1 + V2: Alemu (2016:
			404)
shóott=y-	shokótt=y-	get up abruptly	V1 + V2: Kambaata
			Education Bureau (1989:
			8.22; 6.125)

Table 5: Illustrative examples of variation in the pronunciation of ideophones $(V1/V2 = pronunciation\ variants)$

3.3. The morphosyntax of ideophones

3.3.1. Syntactic functions of ideophones

With the support of 'say' and 'do', ideophones can be used in any syntactic context where verbs are used in Kambaata. 15 In 14, the last ideophone is a declarative main clause verb, while the preceding ideophones are converbs. In 14, the ideophone serves as a non-declarative, benedictive main clause verb.

- (6) *míkk.míll* = y-itu'nnáachch cimm = y-itbudge.IDEO = say-3f.NEG4.CVB shrink.IDEO = say-3f.PFV.CVB ill-í-se afuu'll-ít, al-í, muggeenn-á, sit down-3F.PFV.CVB eye-F.ACC-3F.POSS down-M.ACC up-M.ACC makk-íta **bolóng~bolóng** = at-táa', gur-á[ta], left-F.ACC right-F.ACC RED~move_one's_eyes.IDEO = do-3F.IPFV tah-íchch-u waal-anó = g-a zug-gán. flies-SGV-M.NOM come-3F.IPFV.REL = SIM-M.ACC lie in ambush-3F.PFV.CVB (Speaking about a chameleon:) 'It (lit. she) sat (there) stock-still, shrunk, (and only) moved its eyes up, down, to the left, to the right, (while) lying in ambush for a fly.' (TD2016-02-11_001)
- Maaráam-it xumm-íin *címm* = át-to-he (7) gulub-í-kk Mary-F.NOM peace-M.ICP knee-F.ACC-2sg.Poss bring_together.IDEO = do-3F.BDV-2sg.OBJ (Blessing for a woman who has just given birth:) 'May Mary bring your knees (i.e. here: pelvis) together (again) in peace!' (EK2016-02-23_002)

Ideophones can also be used as final constituents in relative clauses and relativebased adverbial clauses 14. In 15, the support verb enables the ideophone to be used as a case-inflected verbal noun complement of hoog- 'not do'.

(8) (...) resh-á $x \acute{o} qq = a' - eemm \acute{a} = g - a < n > ka$ corpse-M.ACC rise.IDEO = do-3HON.PFV.REL = SIM-M.ACC < EMP >iddir-í bun-á azzaz-eenáyyoomma funeral association-M.GEN coffee-M.ACC order-3HON.PROG '(...) as soon as the corpse has been picked up, one orders the funeral association's coffee.' (EK2016-02-23_003)

14

¹⁵ There is one known exception to this rule: The ideophone $q\dot{u}uxo = y$ - 'do deliberately, willfully, on purpose, according to a plan' is only used in adverbial function and therein inflected as a converb.

(9) Giir-áta danáam-o=gga tú'mm=a'-ú hóog-gi-yan fire-F.ACC good-M.OBL=SIM-M.OBL narrow_down.IDEO=do-M.ACC not_do-2sG.PFV.CVB-DS mát-oa=rr-áan aphph-íti-yan bu<m>bókkoomm! one-M.OBL=NMZ4-M.LOC seize.MID-3F.PFV.CVB-DS burn<1PL>APPR 'If you don't narrow down the fire properly (to the small spot in the center of the fire-place), (the fire) might light something, and we might burn.' (Field notes 2006, DWD)

3.3.2. The morphosyntactic status of the support verbs

Y- 'say' and a'- 'do' are not limited to the ideophone-supporting function but also used on their own. For the independent use of y- 'say', see §4; for a'- 'do', see 15 (for the stem alternation a'- $\sim at$ - 'do' see §3.3.3).

(10) *M-á* at-táyyoont?
what-M.ACC do-2sg.prog
'What are you doing?' (Geetaahun 2002: 178)

It is difficult to decide on the morphosyntactic status of 'say' and 'do', as ideophones and support verbs always immediately follow each other, no other constituents can intervene, and no morpheme can be suffixed to the ideophone or prefixed to the support verb. If I analyze y- 'say' and a'- 'do' as enclitic to the ideophones, and I mark the juncture with an equal sign. The support verbs are definitely not completely dependent on the ideophones (i.e. not suffixal), but they are not fully independent words either. They retain their independent stress marking when inflected (§3.3.3) but tend to be reduced segmentally. The root consonant of y- 'say' is often hardly audible in non-careful speech. Regarding [?]a'- 'do', the expected initial glottal stop, a phonetically determined boundary marker of vowel-initial words, is only realized in careful speech, e.g. $x \acute{o} q q = a' - \acute{u}$ 'to raise' is usually pronounced ['t'ok':a'?u] rather than ['t'ok':?a'?u] 'raise'. The ambiguous status of

-

¹⁶ This is different from Sidaama, where, according to Kawachi (2007: 175), constituents can intervene between ideophones and support verbs.

¹⁷ Crass (2005: 228) mentions that ideophone and support verb often fuse into one phonological word in K'abeena. Since he does not analyze K'abeena stress in much detail, it is unclear whether

the support verb is also reflected in written Kambaata texts, where one of the most common sources of inconsistency is their representation as (in)dependent elements. Some authors generally write both support verbs as separate (e.g. Alemu 2016; Alamu & Alamaayyo 2017), others attach 'do' but are undecided about 'say' (e.g. Geetaahun 2002; Kambaata and Hadiyya Translation Project Hosaina 2005), still others write 'say' as separate but are undecided about 'do' (Desalegn 2020). All this indicates that native speakers are not sure about the support verbs' status either; compare 16 and 16 from the same text.

```
(11) (...) ba'anch-áan <luqqitoot> l\acute{u}qq = y-ítoot-i argument-F.LOC enter_quickly.IDEO = say-2SG.NEG2-2SG.IMP '(...) don't enter quickly into an argument.' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 7.25)
```

```
(12) (...) < calba yitu'nnaan > calbá = y-itu'nnáan

waver.IDEO = say-2sg.NEG4.CVB

'(...) without (you) wavering' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 7.25)
```

The frozen middle suffix -a', e.g. in buqq(-)a'- 'uproot' (Treis 2022: 23), may easily be mistaken as the a'- 'do' support verb and vice versa. Even though they are segmentally identical in non-careful speech, they differ in the morphophonological processes and the stress patterns they bring about 16-16 when inflected.

```
(13) tákk = a'- 'simply drop' (IDEO)

3M.PRF = 3M.PFV: tákk = a'-ée'u

3F.PRF / 3F.PFV: tákk = át-tee'u / tákk = at-tóo'u

(14) buqq(-)a'- 'uproot' (VERB)
```

3M.PRF / 3M.PFV: buqq(-)á'-ee'u / buqq(-)á'-o
3F.PRF / 3F.PFV: buqq(-)á'-ee'u / buqq(-)a'-óo'u

there is a suprasegmental difference between regular verbs and ideophone-support constructions. The support verb 'say' is reduced and fused with the ideophone in Libido, but it is consistently indicated in the transcription by Crass (n.d.: 30). For Alaaba, Schneider-Blum (2007: 277) reports about difficulties to tease regular verbs and ideophones apart.

3.3.3. The variants and the inflectional potential of the support verbs

The verbs y- 'say' and a'- 'do' are the most frequent but not the only possible support verbs for ideophones. In intransitive contexts, the verb ih- 'become' is sometimes attested where y- 'say' would be expected. It is unknown when ih- is preferred over y-, e.g. with $s\acute{a}$ 'mm = y- $\sim s\acute{a}$ 'mm = ih- 'become quiet', $x\acute{u}nn = y$ - $\sim x\acute{u}nn = ih$ - 'smoke, fume' or color ideophones, as in 17-17; when asked, consultants are fine with either support verb. ¹⁸

- (15) Íchchi-yan hangaar-eemmá má'nn-it
 eat.3M.PFV.CVB-DS scratch-3HON.PFV.REL place-F.NOM
 bíishsh = **îk**-k¹⁹ xid-ú
 red.IDEO = become-3F.PFV.CVB hurt-M.ACC
 (From a dictionary definition) 'of a spot where it itched (and) that one scratched[:] to become red and hurt' (Alemu 2016: 126)
- (16) Qachch-ó áaz-u gambáll=**y**-án qax-ée
 beehive-F.GEN interior-M.NOM black.IDEO=say-3M.IPFV.CVB extent-M.DAT
 barg-í barg-í akkis-áamm
 add-1sg.PFV.CVB add-1sg.PFV.CVB smoke.CAUs1-1sg.IPFV
 'Until the interior of the beehive has become black, I continue smoking it.' (AYZ2021-06-25 006)

A rare alternative intransitive support verb is *eeh*-; no independent use is attested or elicitable, but (historically) it might have been the base of the causative *ees*-'do', a rare variant of *ass*- 'do' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 125).

_

¹⁸ See also the use of *ih*- 'become' with *xóphph* 'jump' in (17), an ideophone that usually combines with *y*- 'say' in my data. Speaker TH uses *ih*- 'become' more frequently than other speakers.

¹⁹ Ík-k is the result of a regular morphophonological assimilation process: h+t > kk.

(17) (...)
$$qo'rr-i$$
 $x\acute{o}qq = eek-k\acute{o}-o^{20}$ $maskoot-i$ $al-iichch$ $gird-3M.PFV.CVB$ $rise.IDEO = ?-.3M.PFV.REL-CIRC window-M.GEN$ $top-M.ABL$ $x\acute{o}phph = ikk$ $had-\acute{a}ta$ $l\acute{a}q-q$ (...) $jump.IDEO = become.3M.PFV.CVB$ outside-F.ACC head_towards.3M.PFV.CVB '(...) when he had gotten ready and stood (up there), he jumped out from the window (...)' (Frog Story 2007, TH)

In transitive contexts, the short verb a'- 'do' is in free variation with the heavier verb ass- 'do'; see 18 and 31. The verbs are entirely synonymous, but a'- is much more frequent with ideophones and ass- much more frequent elsewhere.

(18)
$$Kank-\acute{a} < n > ka$$
 $sh\acute{n}n = ass-\acute{t}$ this_much-M.ACC < EMP > RED~laugh_heartily.IDEO = do-3M.PFV.CVB $osal$ -siis- $\acute{a}yyoo$ - $'nne = r$ - u m - \acute{a} - haa - n ? laugh-CAUS2-3M.PROG-2PL.OBJ = NMZ4-M.NOM what-M.PRED-M.COP2-Q 'What makes you (PL) laugh so heartily?' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 4.34)

The morphophonology and stress patterns of the support verb a'- 'do' are unlike that of any other verb with a root-final glottal stop, as the comparison of the perfect and perfective paradigms in Table 6 show. If we focus on the shaded 3M and 3F forms, we see that the 3M forms of a'- 'do' follow the model of ga'- 'call'. In contrast, the 3F forms of a'- 'do' are unlike that of the two other verbs: Their stress patterns are similar to that of ossa'- 'lie down', but we observe neither the t-deletion of the 3F markers $-t\acute{oo'}u/'$ -tee'u (3F.PFV/3F.PRF) that is characteristic of verbs like ossa'- 'lie down', nor the insertion of an epenthetic vowel before the 3F markers $-t\acute{oo'}u/'$ -tee'u (3F.PFV/3F.PRF) that is characteristic of ga'- 'call'.

	a'- 'do'		ossa'- 'lie down'		ga'- 'call'	
	PFV	PRF	PFV	PRF	PFV	PRF
1sg	a'-éemm	a'-éemm	ossa'-óomm	ossá'-eemm	ga'-éemm	ga'-éemm
2sg	at-tóont	át-teent	ossa'-óont	ossá'-eent	ga'-itóont	ga'-íteent

 $^{^{20}}$ The stem of the support verb *eeh*- is here realized as *eekk*-, because stem-final h undergoes gemination and fortition in certain forms of the perfect(ive) due to a regular morphophonological process.

_

3м	a'-ée'u	a'-ée'u	ossá'-o	ossá'-ee'u	ga'-ée'u	ga'-ée'u
3F	at-tóo'u	át-tee'u	ossa'-óo'u	ossá'-ee'u	ga'-itóo'u	ga'-ítee'u
Зноп	a'-éemma	a'-éemmaa'u	ossa'-éemma	ossa'-éemmaa'u	ga'-éemma	ga'-éemmaa'u
1 _{PL}	a'-nnóomm	a'-nnéemm	ossa'-nnóomm	ossa'-nnéemm	ga'-inóomm	ga'-íneemm
2 _{PL}	at-téenta	at-téentaa'u	ossa'-éenta	ossa'-éentaa'u	ga'-itéenta	ga'-itéentaa'u

Table 6: Comparison of verbs with a root-final glottal stop (*ossa'*- 'lie down' is representative of all verbs with a frozen middle marker -*a'*)

The literature on Highland East Cushitic languages reports the use of 'say' and 'do' as support verbs in all languages (Table 7).

Language	Support verbs		Source
Kambaata	y- 'say'	<i>a'</i> - ~ <i>ass</i> - 'do'	(own data)
	~ ih- 'become'		
K'abeena	y- 'say'	a'- ~ ass- 'do'	(Crass 2005: 226)
Alaaba	(y)- 'say'	a'- ~ ass- 'do'	(Schneider-Blum 2007: 277-278; 304-305)
Hadiyya	(y-) 'say'	a'- [< *'do'?]	(Hudson 1976: 273; Tadesse 2015: 346) ²¹
Libido	(y-) 'say'	<i>aa'</i> - 'receive' [?] ²²	(Crass n.d.: 30)
Sidaama	y- 'say'	ass- 'do'	(Kawachi 2007: 174–179; Girum 2013: 44–45)
Gedeo	hiyy- 'say'	ass- 'do'	(Eyob 2015: §4.1.3.1)
Burji	iy- 'say'	iss- 'do'	(Tesfaye 2015: 198–200)

 Table 7: Cognate support verbs for ideophones in Highland East Cushitic

Whereas all Cushitic languages employ 'say' in intransitive contexts, the choice of the support verb in transitive contexts varies quite a bit. In Lowland East Cushitic,

19

Tadesse (2015) does not provide any information on the support verbs of ideophones (or any other information on this word class). The data in his appendix shows, however, that potentially ideophonic transitive lexemes have a recurring -a(a)'-element, e.g. witt'- 'gather' (vi) - witt'a'- 'collect'; see also the noun witt'ite 'meeting', which very likely contains the deideophonic nominalizer -it (§3.4.2). According to Hudson (1976: 273), the root consonant of 'say' is "omitted ordinarily" in Hadiyya, which might explain that is not written by Tadesse (cf. the situation in Libido and Alaaba). See also Hadiyya huf- 'boil (vi)' - hufa'- 'boil (vt)' and hinc'- 'approach; become close (vi)' - hinc-a'- 'present (vt)' in Hudson (1989: 282).

 $^{^{22}}$ I am not convinced that the support verb aa'- used in transitive contexts in Libido goes back to 'accept, receive', as claimed by Crass (n.d.: 30). Morphophonologically, it behaves like a'- in K'abeena and Alaaba, and it might (historically) originate from a 'do'-verb in Libido, too.

'say' pairs with 'do' in Oromo and Saho (Amina 2013: 41–45; Banti & Vergari 2005), with 'cause to say' in Konso and Gawwada (Ongaye 2013: 247; Tosco 2006: 888), with 'give' in Somali (Cabdulqaadir & Tosco 1998: 129) and with 'put' in Afar (Cohen et al. 2002: 228; Hassan Kamil 2015: 392–394). For the Central Cushitic language Xamtanga, Darmon argues that the support verbs originate from 'say' and 'cause to say' (2015: 293–294). Similarly, in Beja (Vanhove 2017: 111–112, 147), 'say' and 'cause to say' accompany ideophones (or onomatopoeia). In the South Cushitic languages Iraqw and Alagwa, only 'say' is used with ideophones (Mous 1993: 228–229; 2016: 202–205).

Amharic (Ethiosemitic), the Ethiopian lingua franca and most important second language of Kambaata speakers, employs 'say' and 'do' as support verbs for ideophones (Meyer this volume).

3.3.4. The argument structure of ideophones

Most ideophones occur in a 'say'/'do'-pair. When native speakers are consulted, even ideophones that are only attested with 'say' in the data usually also permit – given an appropriate context – a combination with 'do' and vice versa. If both support verbs are permitted, 'say' is used in intransitive contexts, 'do' in transitive contexts. Semantically, one could characterize ideophones with 'say' as expressing non-causal events and ideophones with 'do' as expressing causal events. Paired ideophones allow the 'do'-member to take an accusative object – see the accusative objects of ideophones in 14-15 – and to be passivized. The passive of 'do' is functionally equivalent to 'say' in ideophone-support constructions 20-21.

(19) Kú xáh-u bóoc = y-ée = da

A_DEM1.M.NOM thing-M.NOM clarify.IDEO = say-3M.PFV.REL = COND

xúm-a-a

good-M.PRED-M.COP2

'It's good (/better) if this issue is clarified.' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

(20) $K\acute{u}$ $x\acute{a}h$ -u $b\acute{o}oc = ass$ -amm- $\acute{o} = da$ ($\sim b\acute{o}oc = a'$ -amm- $\acute{o} = da$)

A_DEM1.M.NOM thing-M.NOM clarify.IDEO = do-PASS-3M.PFV.REL = COND $x\acute{u}m$ -a-agood-M.PRED-M.COP2

'It's good (/better) if this issue is clarified.' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

One rarely comes across ideophones for which one of the support verbs is ruled out (unpaired ideophones). See, however, onomatopoeic ideophones for animal cries (Treis & Deginet forthcoming), e.g. $kut\acute{a}akk = y$ - '(of chicken) produce a sound which warns others of a predator', which do generally not permit 'do'. Support with 'do' was also ruled out for $h\acute{a}nda = y$ - 'be thankful, grateful, content', $b\'{u}ira = y$ - 'forgive' and $q\'{u}uxo = y$ - 'do deliberately, willfully, on purpose, according to a plan'. There is reason to assume that these three ideophones (and possibly others) are not intransitive, in spite of them combining with 'say'. The evidence is most conclusive for $b\'{u}ira = y$ - 'forgive'. In 21, the patient whose sin the agent forgives is the direct object of the ideophone; see the accusative marking of $n\'{e}es$ 'us'. Through the passivization of 'say', the patient becomes the subject in 21.

- (21) Magán-o, nées **búira** = y-í-nne

 God-M.OBL 1PL.ACC forgive.IDEO = say-2SG.IMP-1PL.OBJ

 'God, forgive us (our sins)!' (Field notes 2022, DWD)
- (22) **Bíira** = y-am-ámm²⁴ fanqáll tees-ú-u forgive.IDEO = say-PASS-PASS.3M.PFV.CVB return.3M.PFV.CVB now-M.OBL-ADD ba'-íshsh-o go.bad-CAUS1-3M.PFV 'He was forgiven, (but then) he made a mistake again.' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

Examples such as 21-21 show that the use of 'say' as a support verb is not sufficient proof that the ideophone is intransitive. While some ideophones lack a 'do'-

-

²³ *Hánda* is not only an ideophone but also an interjection (§4.1), but the independent (interjectional) use of *bítra* and *qúuxo* was ruled out.

²⁴ Note that y- 'say' forms an irregular passive with -am-am (PASS), rather than simple -am (PASS).

counterpart, the opposite configuration is also possible. In the semantic field of experiencer verbs, the use of 'say' is often not attested, sometimes explicitly excluded through negative evidence; see, e.g., $cul\acute{u}=a'$ - 'please (s.o.)', $q\acute{u}l\sim q\acute{u}l=a'$ - 'make (s.o.) feel nostalgic, cause a longing feeling (in s.o.)', $l\acute{a}f\sim l\acute{a}f=a'$ - 'make (s.o.) feel nauseous', which take the stimulus as the subject and the experiencer as the direct object. Regarding their argument structure, ideophones thus seem to fall into (at least) four types:

- Type 1: Paired ideophone (most common): intransitive ideophone with 'say', transitive counterpart with 'do', e.g. $b\acute{o}oc = y$ 'be clarified' $b\acute{o}oc = a'$ 'clarify'; 'do'-support passivizable
- Type 2: Unpaired ideophones:
 - Type 2a: intransitive ideophone with 'say', no transitive counterpart with 'do', e.g. onomatopoeic ideophones for animal cries such as buu=y- 'buzz (of bee)' and bodily sounds such as du''u-du''u=y- 'beat (of heart)'
 - Type 2b: transitive ideophone with 'say', no counterpart with 'do',
 e.g. bíira = y- 'forgive'; 'say'-support passivizable
 - o Type 2c: transitive ideophone with 'do', no counterpart with 'say', e.g. experiencer verbs such as $cul\acute{u} = a'$ 'please (s.o.)'

The support verbs 'say' and 'do' can undergo middle derivation with -'/-aqq and the reciprocal derivation with -am-/-aqq-am- (Treis 2023b); see, e.g., the reciprocal forms $forg\acute{o} \sim forg\acute{o} = y$ -aqq-am- 'keep a distance from each other', $gurg\acute{u} = y$ -aqq-am- 'quarrel with each other' and $h\acute{a}af = y$ -aqq-am- 'forgive each other' in Alamu (2022: 89, 152, 293; translation mine). The support verb a'- 'do' forms an irregular middle form eecc- 'do for oneself' (*a'-aqq-), as in 23-23 from two different speakers. ²⁵

²⁵ The middle *eecc*- 'do for oneself' is based on a free variant of the 'do' verb, namely *ees*-, cf. paragraph before (17).

- (23) Éman xumm-fin gulub-í-kk
 congratulations.INTJ peace-M.ICP knee-F.ACC-2sG.POSS
 qu'mmí = eecc-ít
 bring_together.IDEO = do.MID-2sG.PFV.CVB
 (Addressed to new mother:) 'Congratulations, you have brought your knees together again in peace!' (AN2016-02-19_001)
- (24) Ma'nn-íta xább = eecc-inim-bá'a y-éen (...)

 place-F.ACC prepare.IDEO = do.MID-1PL.NIPFV-NEG1 say-3HON.PFV.CVB

 'One says, "we have not (yet) prepared the place (for our benefit)", (...).' (EK2016-02-23_003)

Finally, there remains the question of the syntactic relation between the ideophone and the support verb. In his analysis of Gawwada, Tosco considers the ideophones "direct objects" of the verb 'say' and 'make say' (2006: 890). For Kambaata, there is no evidence that ideophones are direct objects of their accompanying support verbs: they cannot be pronominalized or be passivized upon, and they are not accusative-marked – which are all obligatory features of a Kambaata direct object.

3.4. The morphology of ideophones

This section analyzes word formation processes that take ideophones as inputs and/or produce them as outputs. The first subsections deal with two semi-productive derivational processes for deideophonic resultative adjectives (§3.4.1) and action nouns (§3.4.2). I then move on to two processes that have both ideophonic inputs and outputs, namely reduplication (§3.4.3) and compounding (§3.4.4). The last sub-section (§3.4.5) discusses the rather marginal examples of ideophones that are derived through conversion from adjectives and pronouns.

3.4.1. Deideophonic adjectivization

Resultative adjectives are derived from ideophones by -eem, e.g. $x \circ qq = y$ - 'rise' > $x \circ qq$ -eem-a(ta) 'raised, elevated, at higher altitude' and hirikk = y- 'be lowered, bend over, stoop' > hirikk-eem-a(ta) 'lower(ed), at lower altitude', as seen in 24.

(25) Lág-u xoqq-éem-ata ma'nn-éechch hirikk-eem-á=bb-a river-M.NOM rise.IDEO-RES-F.OBL place-F.ABL be_lowered.IDEO-RES-M.ACC=PLC-M.ACC zaaz-anó-o ikk-ó=biiha (...) flow-3M.IPFV.REL-NMZ1.M.ACC become-3M.PFV.REL=REAS2 'Because rivers flow from a higher/elevated place towards a lower place (...).' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 8.71)

The resultative derivation is exclusive to ideophones, and no non-ideophonic inputs are attested. The resultative adjectives belong to A1, the largest adjectival declension; consequently, their citation (= accusative) form ends in $-\acute{a}$ in the masculine and $-\acute{a}ta$ in the feminine gender. Table 8 complements a list given in Treis (2008: 283–284).

Ideophone	English	Adjective	English
qubúbb=y-	become round, circular, ball-shaped	qububb-eem-á(ta)	round, circular, ball-shaped
cagágg=y-	become unwell, indisposed, a bit ill	cagagg-eem-á(ta)	unwell, indisposed, a bit ill
síww=y-	become thin (e.g. of body, voice)	siww-eem-á(ta)	thin (e.g. of body, voice)
cerérr=y-	trickle, flow in a trickle	cererr-eem-á(ta)	trickling (e.g. of a jet of water); thin, elongated stretch (of land)
$g\acute{e}xx = y$ -	go straight, go directly, stand straight	gexx-eem-á(ta)	straight, linear, direct
lácc = y-	go slowly, slow down (vi)	lacc-eem-á(ta)	slow (e.g. in walking, working)
salákk=y-	become quiet, calm (of a place), attentive	salakk-eem-á(ta)	calm, quiet, attentive
wikkíkk=y-	cling (to s.th.), not be dissuaded (from s.th.)	wikkikk-eem-á(ta)	stubborn, obdurate
qú'mm=y-	be brought together/closer	qu'mm-eem-á(ta)	narrow, constricted (e.g.
	to each other; gather (vi)		opening of a pot)
(lúf~)lúf=y-	become soft, malleable,	luf~luf-eem-á(ta)	soft, malleable, fluffy (e.g. a

	fluffy	mattress)		
(qáf~)qáf=y-	become restless,	qaf~qaf-eem-á(ta)	restless, hyperactive,	
	hyperactive, irrepressible		irrepressible	
qól∼qól=y-	do too hastily, distractedly;	qol~qol-eem-á(ta)	distracted, too hasty;	
_	meddle, interfere		meddling, interfering	

Table 8: Deideophonic adjectives

The resultative derivation takes simplex and reduplicated ideophones as input, as the last three lines of Table 7 and the second derived adjective in 25 illustrate.

(26) laal-ú-s qububb-**eem**-áan [fushsh-anó] fruit-F.ACC-3M.POSS become.round.ideo-res-f.icp come_out.Caus1-3m.ipfv.rel luf~luf-**eem**-á fatil-á wez-eennó make-3HON.IPFV.REL RED~become_soft.IDEO-RES-M.ACC thread-M.ACC hallaam-í algod-ahá-a soft_interior_of_plant-M.GEN plant-M.ACC-ADD hallaam-u-sí-i soft_interior_of_plant-M.ACC-3M.POSS-ADD (Monolingual definition of fuuttá '(i.) cotton plant, (ii.) cotton':) '[denotes] [i.] a plant that produces ball-shaped fruits/flowers [and] whose soft material is used for making threads and [ii.] the soft material itself' (Alemu 2016: 331 [corrected by DWD 2023])

3.4.2. Deideophonic nominalization

Action nouns are derived from ideophones by -it(t), e.g. $x \circ qq = y$ - 'rise' $> x \circ qq$ -itt-a 'height, elevation', $s \circ aww = y$ - 'think' $> s \circ aww$ -itt-a 'thought, idea, (neolog.) sentence'; see also $x \circ phph = y$ - 'gather (vi)' $> x \circ phph$ -itt-a 'gathering, assembly', as in the neologism $x \circ aphph$ -itt-a 'synagogue (lit. house of assembly)' of the Bible (Kambaata and Hadiyya Translation Project Hosaina 2005). If the ideophone ends in a short vowel, this vowel is dropped, e.g. $g \circ amb \circ a = y$ - 'come across, encounter by chance/suddenly' $> g \circ amb$ - $a \circ itt$ -a 'chance encounter'; after a long vowel, an epenthetic $a \circ a \circ a \circ a$ in inserted, e.g. $a \circ a \circ a \circ a \circ a$ 'unexpected event' 26 is derived noun is not based on an ideophone: $a \circ a \circ a \circ a \circ a$ 'unexpected event' 26 is derived from one of the very few adverbs that the

language has, namely $d\acute{a}ngo$ 'unexpectedly'. All -it(t)-derived nouns belong to the masculine declension M1, ending in $-\acute{a}$ in their citation (= accusative) form. ²⁶ The use of deideophonic action nouns is illustrated in 26-26.

- (27) Caam-á-'i shobb-itt-á usúrr dagujj-óomm shoes-M.ACC-1sG.POSS tie_loosely-ACT-M.ACC tie.1sG.PFV.CVB run-1sG.PFV 'I tied my shoes loosely/quickly and ran off.' (Field notes 2022, DWD)
- (28) Tah-íchch-u dang-**ítt**-a waall-ó j-eechch-úta flies-SGV-M.NOM unexpectedly-ACT-M.OBL come-3M.PFV.REL time-SGV-F.ACC qeraa'rr-utá-a xámm = át-taf-fáa arrab-i-sé-e long-f.acc-add stick.ideo = do-3f.pfv.cvb seize-3f.ipfv.rel tongue-f.acc-3f.poss-add mexx-é tokkós-s fush-sh-ít = ke'éechch single-MULT shoot-3F.PFV.CVB go_out-CAUS1-3F.PFV.CVB = SEQ $x \acute{a} m m = \acute{a} t - t$ qúrc = at-táa', tah-ichch-ú stick.ideo = do-3f.pfv.cvb swallow.ideo = do-3f.ipfv flies-sgv-m.acc 'When a fly comes unexpectedly, it (= the chameleon (F)) shoots out, at once, its long (tongue) and sticky, seizing tongue, makes (it) stick (to the tongue) and swallows (it), the fly.' (TD2016-02-11_001)

The examples in Table 9 complement a list presented in Treis (2008: 157–158).²⁷

Ideophone	English	Noun	English
báqq=y-	wake up	baqq-itt-á	dawn, daybreak ²⁸
bóoc = a'-	give a hint, give some indication,	booc-itt-á	hint, indication,
	clarification		clarification
cagágg=y-	become unwell, indisposed, a bit	cagagg-itt-á	being unwell,

The two allomorphs *-itt* and *-it* are probably dialectal variants. In Alemu (2016), most deideophonic nouns have *-it*, while my consultants from the Duuraame area consistently use *-itt*. In Kambaata's close relative K'abeena, the deideophonic nominalizer is *-it*, too; it is, however, marked by *-i* in the citation form and thus grouped into a different declension than in Kambaata (Crass 2005: 84, 233).

²⁷ The -it(t)-derivation also takes reduplicated ideophonic inputs, as seen in $riph \sim riph$ -itt-it (possibly:) 'up and down/wave movement' in Kambaata Education Bureau (1989: 4.51). Unfortunately, the translation of the noun could not be verified and is, therefore, not included in the table.

²⁸ In Treis (2008: 157), the derived noun was translated as 'waking up'; this translation was not confirmed and is here corrected.

	ill		indisposition, being a bit ill
háff=y-	pass, be perceived (of a faint smell)	haff-itt-á	whiff, faint passing smell
hazámm = a'-	make (s.o.) doze off, make (s.o.) snooze briefly (esp. old people)	hazamm-itt-á	doze, snooze
hánda=y-	become thankful, grateful, content; become gratifying	hand-itt-á	thanks, gratitude, contentment
híqq = a'-	cause to hiccup	hiqq-itt-á	hiccups
hó"a=a'-	cause to vomit	ho"-itt-á	vomiting
múgg = a'-	lower; cause to have diarrhea	mugg-itt-á	diarrhea
qú'mm=y-	be brought together/closer to each other; gather (vi)	qu'mm-itt-á	collection; meeting, assembly, session
shóbb = y-	be loosely tied	shobb-itt-á	loose tie
silímm = a'-	cause to take a nap, cause to have a short/interrupted sleep	silimm-itt-á	nap, short/interrupted sleep
xéff~xéff=y-	have small spots, dots	xeff-itt-á	spot, dot
yák∼yák=a'-	do haphazardly, do too quickly and carelessly, struggle to do	yak~yak-itt-á	haphazard, too quick and careless manner
zammamm=a'	cause to doze off, cause to be half asleep	zammamm-itt-á	doze, half-sleep

Table 9: Deideophonic nouns

Apart from the two semi-productive deideophonic processes discussed above, there are a small number of nouns and verbs which are otherwise derived from ideophones. Among them are nouns derived by -eenn, e.g. bizz-eenn- \acute{a} 'up(wards), North' < bízz=y- 'rise'; mugg-eenn- \acute{a} 'down(wards), South' (used in 14) < múgg=y- 'descend (vi)'; cererr-eenn- \acute{a} 'trickle, rivulet, small rest of a drink' < cerérr=y- 'trickle'; ilill-eenn- \acute{a} 'ululation' < ilíli=y- 'ululate' and tilill-eenn- \acute{a} 'telephone' < tililí=y- 'ring'. However, unlike the -it(t)-derivation, the non-productive -eenn-derivation is not restricted to ideophonic inputs (Treis 2008: 165).

Finally, Treis & Deginet (forthcoming: ex. 11) report a handful of verbs derived from onomatopoeic ideophones, e.g. kaakk-ees- 'cackle (of hen which is about to lay an egg)', based on the ideophone $k\acute{a}akk = y$ - with the same meaning (see two similar examples from K'abeena in Crass 2005: 148). Most inputs of the semi-productive - ees-derivation in Kambaata are nouns (Treis 2022: 24).

3.4.3. Reduplicated ideophones

Two types of reduplicated ideophones can be differentiated: (a) lexically and (b) morphologically reduplicated ideophones. Kambaata has a morphological process of *partial* pre-reduplication, e.g. for generating reciprocal pronouns on the basis of simple personal pronouns (Treis 2008: 357–360) and for generating distributive numerals on the basis of cardinal numerals (Treis 2017: 352–355). However, partial reduplication is not attested in the domain of ideophones if we exclude the rare examples where the first and second CV are seemingly identical by chance, e.g. $qoqomb\delta=a'$ - 'munch (e.g. roasted grain)' (Alemu 2016: 843). Of the two types postulated above, type (a), which includes examples like the ones given in Table 10, does not concern us further in this section. This section only treats ideophones of type (b), i.e. ideophones that are also attested in a non-reduplicated form or whose stress pattern (§3.2) indicates that they are based on a simplex form.

Ideophone	English
chachachá=y-	become sufficiently fermented
didí=y-	tumble down a slope (of stones)
hĩhĩhấ=y-	laugh, make a laughing sound
tililí=y-	ring, make a ringing sound
xixí=y-	crackle when being roasted on the fire (of small seeds)
xaxá=y-	crackle when being roasted on the fire (of big seeds)

Table 10: Lexically reduplicated ideophones

The full reduplication of ideophones is often observed in natural data, as seen in 28-29; also recall $bolong \sim bolong = a'$ - 'move one's eyes (up/down, left/right)' in 14.

(29) Xéem-u da'll-í hurbú~hurbú = a'-í
type_of_laxative-m.nom do_soon-3m.pfv.cvb RED~grumble.ideo = do-3m.pfv.cvb
fúsh-sh-o-he
go_out-CAUS1-3m.bdv-2sg.obj
(Blessing for a boy who has been administered a laxative before his circumcision)

'May the laxative (extracted from the flowers of Hagenia abyssinica) make you(r belly) grumble soon and bring out (the tapeworm) for you.' (AN2016-02-19_001)

(30) Min-í abb-ís-s aaz-éen haww-is-sáa house-M.GEN interior-M.LOC exceed-CAUS1-3F.PFV.CVB trouble-CAUS1-3F.IPFV.REL kóo $q\acute{a}f \sim q\acute{a}f = y$ -itáyyoont, children-F.ACC 2SG.VOC RED~become_hyperactive.IDEO = say-2SG.PROG $q \acute{a} f f = y - i$ qánx-i át, át! be chopped_in_pieces.IDEO = say-2SG.IMP 2sg.nom break off-2sg.IMP 2sg.nom hoolam-íta hittig-úta y-eenán j-eechch-úta xeleel-éenno like_this-F.ACC say-3HON.IPFV.CVB much-F.ACC time-SGV-F.ACC curse-3HON.IPFV 'To children who cause trouble in the house, one says, "Hey, are you being hyperactive? May you be chopped in pieces! May you break off!", (and) curses (them) like this.' (AN2016-02-19_003)

The reduplication of verbal constituents is much less common, but used to highlight that an event occurs continuously or repeatedly for a period of time 29. For this purpose, the inflected form is repeated; see the perfective converbs in 29 and 17.

(31) (...) tees-ú-u káan háshsh háshsh háshsh háshsh háshsh now-m.obl-add P dem1.m.acc look for.3m.pfv.cvb hóogg=ké' bar-í. qaxarr-ó bar-í become_tired.3M.PFV.CVB = SEQ day-M.ACC appointment[.AMH]-F.GEN day-M.ACC kul-íi márr-ee' tell-M.DAT go-3M.PRF '(...) and again he looked looked looked looked looked for this (tree), got tired and went (to the father-in-law) to tell (him) on the day, on the appointed day (about the tree).' (EK2016-02-12 003)

The function of ideophone reduplication is often hard to grasp. For the ideophone $(l\acute{u}f\sim)l\acute{u}f=y$ - 'become soft, malleable, fluffy', of which the reduplicated realization seems to be the default (and occurs as entry in Alemu 2016: 663), a non-reduplicated realization was considered possible by a consulted native speaker but synonymous to the reduplicated form. A similar judgment was, for instance, made with respect to $(har\acute{a}xx\sim)har\acute{a}xx=y$ - 'stride, walk with quick, long steps' in 30,

where the reduplicated form was considered to be replaceable by a simplex form (here the entry in Alemu 2016: 450 is the simplex form).

(32) Haráxx~haráxx=y-itán már-i, hawwarr-ókkoo-he
RED~stride.IDEO=say-3F.IPFV.CVB go-2SG.IMP become_dark-3M.APPR-2SG.OBJ
'Walk fast(er) or it might become dark on us!' (Field notes 2022, DWD)

	Ideophone	English
1	bárk~bárk=y-*	flap (of wings)
2	béxx~béxx=y-	show up, start to come out (of plural subject)
3	birxí~birxí=y-	writhe, twist, wriggle about (e.g. to free oneself)
4	bolóng~bolóng=a'-◆	move one's eyes (up/down, left/right)
5	chílk~chílk=y-	clink, jingle (of keys, coins, small bells on a horse's neck)
6	cóphph~cóphph=y-	drip (e.g. of leaky water-tap)
7	dúbb∼dúbb=a'-	pound (coffee leaves)
8	farcá~farcá=a'-◆	crumble, break up (sticky product, e.g. fermented tobacco, enset food)
9	forgó~forgó=y-	move a bit away from each other, keep a distance from each other,
		leave some space (e.g. so as not to bump into one another) (of plural
		subject)
10	gíphph~gíphph=y	stop briefly, pause (when walking or talking) (of plural subject)
11	haráxx~haráxx=y-	stride, walk with quick, long steps
12	hurbú~hurbú=a'-*	make (the belly) grumble
13	láf∼láf=a'-	make (s.o.) feel nauseous
14	óorin∼óorin=y-*	encourage a child to take a laxative; abet, goad, prompt (s.o.)
		repeatedly
15	qúl∼qúl=a'-	make (s.o.) feel nostalgic, cause a longing feeling (in s.o.) (e.g. of
		person or home that is missed)
16	qulcú~qulcú=y-◆	slosh back and forth (of water in a container when carried)
17	qumbú~qumbú=a'-*	drum, make (a drum) sound
18	shínn~shínn=y-	laugh heartily (of plural subject / continuously)
19	xéff~xéff=y-*	have/be covered by small spots, dots
20	yák~yák=a'-	do haphazardly, do too quickly and carelessly, struggle to do

Table 11: Reduplicated ideophones

(*only attested as reduplicated in my data and in Alemu 2016; *only attested as reduplicated in my data, not attested in Alemu 2016; rest: attested as simplex and as reduplicated)

In most cases, however, the reduplication of ideophones seems to be triggered by the iterative nature of the event that they express. Iterativity can be inherent in the event, as in #1 'flap', #14 'abet, goad, prompt repeatedly' and #17 'drum' in Table 11, which are (probably) always reduplicated. For other ideophones, an iterative realization of the event is very common; see $c\acute{o}phph = y$ - expressing a single dripping event (one drop) vs. the reduplicated $c\acute{o}phph \sim c\acute{o}phph = y$ - (#6 in Table 11) expressing a repeated dripping event (multiple drops), e.g. of a leaky water-tap.

A slightly different meaning, a subtype of event plurality, is reflected in 31, where the reduplicated ideophone expresses repeated (possibly cautious) attempts to do something. According to a consultant, the reduplicated ideophone in 31 is (near) synonymous with the iterative/attenuative-derived ideophone in 31.

- (33) (...) iséta da'll-ít xóqq~xóqq = at-táa
 3F.ACC do_soon-3F.PFV.CVB RED~rise = do-3F.IPFV.REL
 mateemínn-it yoo-ba'í = dda (...)
 shortage_of_manpower-F.NOM exist.3-NEG1.REL = COND
 '(...) if there is no shortage of manpower (in the household) that makes her (= the new mother) soon try to rise up (again from childbed, in order to work) (...).'
 (EK2016-02-23_002)
- (34) Mann-i $b\acute{a}c-u$ $huj-\acute{e}e$ $x\acute{o}qq=ass-ans-\acute{a}no-se$ people-M.GEN shortage-M.NOM work-3F.DAT rise.IDEO = do-ITER-3M.IPFV-3F.OBJ 'The shortage of man(power) makes her gradually rise up for work (again).' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

Apart from event plurality (iterativity), reduplication also serves to express participant plurality;²⁹ see #2 'show up', #9 'keep a distance', #10 'pause' and #18 'laugh heartily' in Table 11, whose reduplicated forms are attested with plural subjects. The use of the simplex and reduplicated forms of ideophone #9 is illustrated in 32-32.

-

²⁹ In the literature on related languages, ideophone reduplication is said to express repeated events, events expressed by plural subjects and prolonged (continuous) events (see Amina 2013: 36–37 on Oromo; Crass 2005: 292–293 on K'abeena; Girum 2013: 70 on Sidaama; Eyob 2015: §9.3 on Gedeo).

- (35) $Qah-\acute{u} < n > ka$ $zakk-\acute{u}$ $forg\acute{o} = y-\acute{t}$ $\acute{u}urr-i$ small-M.ACC < EMP > behind-M.ACC move_away.IDEO = say-2SG.PFV.CVB stand-2SG.IMP (Possible context: Command addressed to a person who has crossed the yellow line) 'Move back a little bit and stand (there)!' (Field notes 2022, DWD)
- (36) Gomb-aqq-an-téenoochch-iyye, forgó~forgó=y-itéen
 bump-MID-PASS-2PL.NEG2-2PL.IMP RED~move_away.IDEO=say-2PL.PFV.CVB
 uurr-iyyé
 stand-2PL.IMP
 (Possible context: command addressed to a crowd) 'Please, do not bump into each
 other, keep your distance/stand a bit away from each other!' (Field notes 2022, DWD)

3.4.4. Compound ideophones

In my fieldwork data and in written sources, there is a small number of bipartite ideophones which result from compounding. Overall, compounding is not a very productive word formation process in Kambaata, the most frequent compound type are numeral-plus-noun compounds (Treis 2017: 349–351), whereas, for instance, noun-noun compounds like *min-ann-á* (house-father-M.ACC) 'head of the household' and noun-verb compounds like *oz-gal-á* (dinner-spend_the_night-M.ACC) 'something to eat for dinner' are rare. Even more exceptional are verb-verb compounds; the only attested verbally inflecting example, *birs-awwa'nn-(aqq-)am-* (precede.CAUS1-follow.MID-(MID-)PASS) 'follow one another', is possibly a recent calque from Amharic.

The use of a compound ideophone is shown in 32; see 35 for another example.

(37) Tá wotar-ch-úta aass-íiha tí hárr-it foal-SGV-F.ACC give-M.DAT A_DEM1.F.NOM donkeys-F.NOM A_DEM1.F.ACC *shambá.qambá* = y-itáni-yan wotár-ch-u-s bustle_around_in_joyful_expectation-3F.IPFV.CVB-DS foal-sgv-f.nom-def káar-t (...) firíix-x ir-íichch ba'-óo'u become_suspicious-3F.PFV.CVB gallop-3F.PFV.CVB land-M.ABL disappear-3F.PFV 'When the donkeys were bustling around in joyful expectation to hand the foal over

(to the hyenas), the foal became suspicious, (...) galloped away and disappeared from the face of the earth.' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 4.34)

Table 12 lists some compound ideophones from Alemu (2016) that are confirmed by at least one other source.³⁰

#	Ideophone	English	Origin
1	bízz-múgg = a'-	make have the stomach flu; make	<i>bízz=a'-</i> 'raise; make vomit' +
		vomit and make have diarrhea	$m\acute{u}gg = a'$ - 'lower; cause to have
			diarrhea'
2	fógg-xóqq=y-	be busy (especially of a host who	fógg=y- 'bend over/down' +
		needs to prepare and serve food,	$x \acute{o} q q = y$ - 'rise'
		entertain the guests etc.)	
3	kimbíll-biciríqq=y-	tumble, turn over [?]	kimbíll=y- / biciríqq=y- 'return
			back, swerve suddenly'
4	míkk-míll = y-	budge, move a bit (lit. and fig.) -	mikk = y- (meaning synonymous to
		especially used in negative contexts:	compound); independent use and
		not move even a bit; see 14	meaning of 2 nd part not confirmed
5	qashá-qombó=y-	snap, respond impatiently, irritably,	qashá=y- (meaning synonymous
		brusquely (and thus socially	to compound); independent use
		inappropriately)	and meaning of 2 nd part not
			confirmed
6	shambá-qambá=y-	bustle around, be busy preparing (in	Independent use and meaning of
		joyful expectation)	parts not confirmed
7	shóott-gáff = y-	be emotionally moved, agitated,	shóott=y- 'get up abruptly' +
		fidget (because of anger, worries)	gáff=y- 'sit down for a little while'

Table 12: Compound ideophones

Based on the meanings of their parts, Desalegn (2020: 68) proposes to categorize Kambaata compound ideophones into combinations of synonyms (e.g. #3 in Table

33

 $^{^{30}}$ Ideophone compounding is also reported for K'abeena (Crass 2005: 233) and Sidaama (Girum 2013: 46).

12), combinations of antonyms (e.g. #1 in Table 12) and combinations of an ideophone with a semantically empty counterpart (e.g. #5 in Table 12).³¹

Alternatively, the compounds could be classified according to whether their meaning is predictable. Compound #1 in Table 12 has a transparent origin and expresses the meanings of its parts. In contrast, the examples in #2 and #7 show that the meaning is not necessarily compositional: the concept of busyness (#2) is rendered as a combination of (repeated) bending over and rising up again; the concept of being agitated (#7) is expressed as a combination of (repeated) getting up abruptly and sitting down briefly. As far as semantic idiosyncrasies are concerned, ideophonic compounds are not different from other lexicalized compounds in the language.

3.4.5. Ideophone formation by conversion

Most Kambaata property concepts (apart from colors) can be expressed by adjectives and inchoative-stative verbs of the same root, e.g. danq- $\acute{a}(ta)$ 'deaf' – danq- 'become deaf', itis- $\acute{a}(ta)$ 'expensive' – itis- 'become expensive', qug- $\acute{a}(ta)$ 'raw' – qug- 'become raw'. There is no conclusive evidence making it possible to decide whether adjectives are derived (through conversion) from inchoative-stative verbs or vice versa, or whether property lexemes should be assumed to be undetermined for word class. A similar conversion relation can be observed between color adjectives and ideophones (and not verbs). Color ideophones come in intransitive/transitive pairs, e.g. gamball- $\acute{a}(ta)$ 'black' and $gamb\acute{a}ll$ =y- 'become black' / $gamb\acute{a}ll$ =a'- 'blacken', wojj- $\acute{a}(ta)$ 'white' and $w\acute{o}jj$ =y- 'become white' / $w\acute{o}jj$ =a'- 'whiten'; for the use of color ideophones in context see 17-17. Here again, we can ask whether color adjectives are derived from ideophones or vice versa. This time, there seems to be

³¹ In K'abeena, Crass (2005: 293–294) considers examples such as #4 and #6 in Table 12 to be "echo word" formations, in which the second part of the compound does not have a meaning on its own but simply "echoes" the first part phonologically, i.e. produces a (near) copy.

³² Recall that adjectives are cited in their accusative case form, i.e. the endings $-\acute{a}(ta)$, $-\acute{u}(ta)$ etc. of cited adjectives are inflectional, not derivational morphemes.

an argument in favor of the direction being adjective > ideophone: Unlike other ideophones, color ideophones cannot be the input of the adjectivizing derivation by -eem (§3.4.1) or of the nominalizing derivation by -it(t) (§3.4.2). Instead, color nouns are derived from the adjective by the productive deadjectival nominalizer -im, see gamball-á(ta) 'black' – gamball-im-áta 'blackness', just like qug-á(ta) 'raw' – qug-im-áta 'rawness', which leads me to assume that the color adjective is the base and the ideophone derived.

We also find two ideophones that share a root with a pronoun. The manner demonstratives hitt-ita (F) 'like this' and hatt-ita (F) 'how' (Treis 2019) have two corresponding ideophonic demonstrative pairs, hitt = y- 'do like this' / hitt = a'- 'do (s.th.) like this' and hatt = y- 'become how, turn out where' / hatt = a'- 'do how, do what (to s.th.)'. The transitive members of the pairs are seen in 35-35; see 47 for hitt = ih-.

- (38) (...) xit-á ang-áan hítt = a'-éen soot-M.ACC hand-F.ICP do_like_this.IDEO = do-3HON.IPFV.CVB usur-éen = ké' (...) tie-3HON.IPFV.CVB = SEQ '(...), like this [GESTURE], one ties the (bunch of grass with the) soot with the hand and then (...)' (EK2016-02-23_002)
- (39) Xon-é buud-á-s hátt = at-tóo-la?

 aforementioned-F.GEN horn-M.ACC-DEF do_how.ideo = do-3F.PFV-MIT

 'What did she do with (i.e. where has she put) that horn?' (TD2016-02-11_001)

Finally, one ideophone, $lam \hat{u}bb = y$ - 'fall into two parts' 35, is based on the stem of the denumeral noun $lam \cdot \hat{u} = bb - a$ (two-M.ACC=PLC-M.ACC) 'into two parts', a combination of the numeral $lam \cdot \hat{u}$ two (M.ACC) and the place nominalizer = b(b) (Treis 2008: 243–247). Here it is undisputable that the ideophone is derived (through conversion) from the denumeral and not vice versa.

(40) (...) hiliq-óon háam-u-s shock-m.loc chest-f.nom-3m.poss

fíiqq-táaqq = y-ít

be_ripped_apart.IDEO-be_ripped_apart.IDEO = say-3F.PFV.CVB

boq-u-sí-i áaz-u qixx-ú

head-F.NOM-3M.POSS-ADD interior-M.NOM equal-M.ACC

 $lamúbb = y-it^{33}$ farshá = y-itóo-s

fall_into_two_parts = say-3F.PFV.CVB break_apart.IDEO = say-3F.PFV-3M.OBJ

(Description of a person's severe state of shock) '(...) his chest was ripped apart in shock and his head, the inside, broke neatly into two parts.' (Frog Story 2007, TH)

If compared to Amharic (Meyer this volume), where ideophones can be very productively derived from verbs, the derivation of ideophones is a marginal phenomenon in Kambaata and limited to some cases of conversion.

3.5. The semantics of ideophones

With regard to their semantics, ideophones range from the semantically broad (Table 13) to the semantically very specific and the evocation of complex scenes, $hokk\acute{o}bb = y$ - '(e.g. of a goat) stand on the hind legs and lean the front legs against (e.g. a tree)'.

Intransitiv	English	Transitive	English
e			
biddíqq=y-	become flat, even, level;	biddíqq = a'-	make flat, even, level; spread (vt)
	spread (vi) out		out
búrr=y-	fly	búrr=a'	make fly
cál~cál=y-	hang (vi) freely	cál~cál=a'-	hang (vt) freely
dákk=y-	be hidden, concealed	dákk = a'-	hide, conceal
fógg=y-	bend over/down	fógg = a'-	make bend over/down
hínc = y-	come close(r)	hínc = a'-	bring close(r)
lácc = y-	go slow, slow down (vi)	lácc = a'-	do (s.th.) slowly, slow (s.th.) down
qúrc = y-	be swallowed	qúrc = a'-	swallow
sá'mm=y-	become quiet, fall silent	sá'mm = a'-	silence (s.o.)
shigíg=y-	become shocking, disgusting,	shigíg = a'-	shock, disgust, revolt (s.o.)
	revolting		

³³ The ideophonic form is here realized as $lam \acute{u}bb = \acute{t}t$, with the y of the 'say'-verb omitted, but the independent stress on the support verb is retained.

36

xább=y-	do (vi) well, in a straight	xább = a'-	do (s.th.) well, in a straight manner,
	manner		prepare (s.th.)
xíshsh=y-	be tightened, strengthened,	xíshsh=a'-	do (s.th.) tightly, strongly, deeply
	deepened		

Table 13: Illustrative list of semantically fairly broad ideophones

Verbal concepts could be said to be expressed arbitrarily by verbal or by ideophonic lexemes in Kambaata; compare, e.g., $b\acute{u}rr=y$ - 'fly' (IDEO) vs. dagud- 'run' (VERB); $c\acute{a}l\sim c\acute{a}l=y$ - 'hang (vi) freely' (IDEO) vs. olaal- 'hang (vi) freely; lean (e.g. of a tree about to fall)' (VERB); $d\acute{a}kk=a$ - 'hide, conceal (s.th.)' (IDEO) vs. maax- 'hide, conceal (s.th.)' (VERB); $x\acute{o}qq=y$ - 'rise, get up' (IDEO) vs. uurr- 'stand (up); stop' or ke-' 'rise, get up; start' (VERB). Also recall from §3.4.5 that color changes are expressed, seemingly arbitrarily, by ideophones, e.g. $b\acute{u}shsh=y$ - 'become red' (IDEO) – $b\ddot{u}shsh$ - $a\acute{u}$ 'red' (ADJ), whereas other property changes are expressed by verbs, $w\ddot{u}m$ - 'become full' – $w\ddot{u}m$ - $a\acute{u}$ (ADJ).

Like verbal lexemes, ideophones can be polysemous, e.g. diff = a'- '1. knock back (a drink), 2. cover up (s.th. that should not be revealed), 3. beat (s.o.) up violently, 4. douse, extinguish (a fire)'. Metaphorical extensions of ideophones are possible, e.g. mikk.mill = y- + NEG '(not) budge, (not) move a bit', which is concretely said of heavy, unmovable objects and exhausted persons, and figuratively of unfaltering persons and unshakable truths. The ideophone taff = a'- 'take/hold simply, take/hold quickly, just touch' has developed the function of negation reinforcement: '(not) even touch' > '(not) at all, (n)ever' 37.

(41)
$$Taff = at$$
-tí-i $binn = at$ -toot-i touch.IDEO = do-2SG.PFV.CVB-ADD disperse.IDEO = do-2SG.NEG2-2SG.IMP muccur-ú-kk gizz-áha clean-M.ACC-2SG.POSS money-M.ACC (Verse of a poem) 'Do not spend any good (lit. clean) money at all (on them)!' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 7.25)

Whereas attenuation and intensification are productively expressed by verb-derived ideophones in Amharic (Meyer this volume), these meanings are lexicalized in Kambaata ideophones. A significant number of them express the fact that an event is of short duration, sudden, or of low intensity, e.g. gáff=y- 'sit down for a little while' (attenuative of afuu'll- (VERB) 'sit down'), giphph=y- 'stop briefly, pause' (attenuative of *uurr*- (VERB) 'stand, stop'), $t\acute{a}kk = a'$ - 'drop simply, suddenly' (attenuative of uujj- (VERB) 'drop'), sháagq = a'- 'sweep a small spot' (attenuative of abbaas- (VERB) 'sweep') or, the opposite, that an event is carried out thoroughly, completely, or excessively, e.g. hamúu = y- 'loose one's mind, go insane' (intensive of machchaar- (VERB) 'be(come) crazy'), $t\acute{a}aqq = a'$ - 'tear beyond repair' (intensive of zar- (VERB) 'tear') and shúmm = a'- 'break into tiny pieces, crush' (intensive of biix-(VERB) 'break'). Attenuation and intensification are, however, only some of the meaning components that make ideophones semantically specific (narrow), as many of the examples in this paper attest; others are, for instance, the manner/technique and the speed of an action, the accompanying sound and the nature of the participants.

Support for the impression that ideophones are semantically narrower than verbal lexemes comes from the observation that ideophones are often used as converbs in adverbial modification of a superordinate verb with which they are in a hyponym-hyperonym relationship. See 38 in which the semantically broader verb usur- 'tie' is preceded by the semantically narrower ideophonic converb $g\acute{a}nq = a'$ - 'tie, close tightly'. Also recall 18, in which osal-siis- 'make laugh' is preceded by the ideophonic converb $sh\acute{n}n \sim sh\acute{n}n = ass$ - 'make laugh heartily', 26, in which af- 'seize, hold, catch; begin' is preceded by the ideophonic converb $x\acute{a}mm = a'$ - 'cause to stick', and 30, in which mar- 'go' is preceded by $har\acute{a}xx \sim har\acute{a}xx = y$ - 'stride'.

```
(42) (...) odoorr-i omol-iin ci'mm=a'-eemma' ill-ita acacia-M.GEN bark-M.ICP shut\_briefly.IDEO=do-3HON.PFV.REL eye-F.ACC fan-eenumb-o qax-a' acacia-M.COC fan-eenumb-o qax-a' acacia-M.COC acacia-M.COC
```

'(...) before one had blinked one's eyes, he (= the fox) had tightly tied up the lion's legs with a (strip of) acacia bark.' (Kambaata Education Bureau 1989: 6.125)

The impression that ideophones are semantically narrower overall than verbs is, however, difficult (if not impossible) to corroborate quantitatively and is possibly skewed, as the following case study shows. If we return to the ideophone $g\acute{a}nq=a'$ -'tie, close tightly' in 38 and investigate the semantic field of tying, ³⁴ other semantically narrow tying ideophones are easy to find: $w\acute{q}q=a'$ - 'tie tightly' in 6, $sit\acute{t}t[t]=a'$ - 'tie tightly' (Alemu 2016: 960 2016), ³⁵ $sh\acute{o}bb=a'$ - 'tie loosely' in 26, $sh\acute{q}g=a'$ - 'tie loosely (e.g. a cow to a pole)'; the ideophone $x\acute{t}shsh=a'$ - 'do tightly, strongly, deeply' is also a frequent adverbial modifier to tying and closing verbs (but not exclusively). These findings may, however, not be overestimated, because semantically narrow tying verbs are at least as frequent. Of the 22 lexical entries in Alemu (2016) that are paraphrased as being a type of usur- 'tie', selected examples that I was able to verify are given in Table 14.

Verb	English
gaajj-	tie up the back legs (of a cow for milking, so that it does not kick out)
kuttubb-	tie up two legs (esp. of equines and small livestock so that they do not wander far)
laangoob-	tie up to the right and left (of a cow in its pen so that it cannot turn around)
	(synonym: laambees-)
saankaal-	(Alemu:) tie the neck to the front leg / (my field notes:) tie a front to a back leg (of an
	equine so that it does not wander far)
humbuub-	tie up, wrap (esp. butter in enset leaf sheaths)
qurunnees-	tie up in a bundle (so that it can be carried on the back, esp. enset food, cabbage,
	wood)
qirqaab-	tie up a load (esp. a load on a donkey)
wiriir-	tie a cloth around the opening of a pot
farshimm-	tie up in a sheaf
wixiix-	tie tightly together (e.g. two poles)

Table 14: Verbs from the semantic field of tying

³⁴ The same exercise could be repeated for any other semantic field of verbal concepts.

³⁵ This lexeme could not yet be verified.

At present (and until further study), there is little reason to assume that Kambaata ideophones are semantically any different from verbs.

3.6. Ideophone frequency and size of the ideophone word class

Ideophones are not restricted to a particular text type but found in narrative texts, procedural texts, conversations, proverbs 40 and written texts (e.g. schoolbooks).

(43) Gassim-á [kórj-o] luucc-ee-sé guumm-íchch-ut
morning-M.ACC jump-M.OBL fail.MID-3M.PFV-3F.OBJ.REL duikers-SGV-F.NOM

tú'mm = y-itán hos-sáa'u

try_in_vain.IDEO = say-3F.IPFV.CVB spend_the_day-3F.IPFV

(Proverb) 'A duiker who failed when jumping in the morning tries the whole day in vain.' (Alamu & Alamaayyo 2017: 56 [correction by DWD])

One even finds ideophones in biblical texts (Table 15). The Gospel of John (Kambaata and Hadiyya Translation Project Hosaina 2005) contains 13 ideophone types and 73 tokens (in 11,851 words), i.e. 1 ideophone in ~162 words. If compared to the number of ideophones in a conversation (1,135 words, 1 ideophone in ~31 words) in Table 16, one can formulate the working hypothesis that natural conversational data has a higher ideophone ratio than translated data.

Ideophone type	English	Tokens
biddiq[q] = y-	be spread out, be stretched out, be levelled	1
biddiq[q] = a'-	spread out, stretch out, level	1
bínn=y-	be dispersed, be scattered	3
bínn = a'-	disperse, scatter	1
búll = ih-	become greyish/ashen	1
hátt = ih-	become how, turn out where	9
hátt = a'-	do how, do what (to s.th.)	3
hínc = y-	come close(r)	13
hínc = a'-	bring close(r)	2
hiríkk = y-	be lowered, bend over, stoop	9
hítt = a'	do (s.th.) like this	1
qúrc = a'-	swallow (s.th.)	2

tú=y-	spit	1
úff=a'-	blow, breathe (into s.th.)	1
wíll=y-	sneak away, disappear (from s.o.'s view)	1
xáphph=y-	gather (vi)	5
xáphph = a'-	gather (vt)	5
$x \circ qq = y - / x \circ qq \sim x \circ qq = y -$	rise	5/2
$x \acute{o} q q = a'$ -	raise	7
13		73

Table 15: Ideophone types attested in the Gospel of John (11,851 words)

Ideophone type	English	Tokens
bárr=y- / búrr y-	fly	2
bolóng~bolóng=a'-	move one's eyes (up/down, left/right)	1
címm=y-	slump down, shrink (vi)	3
hátt = ih-	become how, turn out where	2
hátt = a'-	do how, do what (to s.th.)	1
hítt = ih-	do (vi) like this	5
kuchúchch = y-	contract, shrink, coil up	2
láaxx = a'- / láaxx-láaxx = a'-	do (sth). quickly	1/1
míkk.míll = y-	budge, move a bit	2
qúrc = a'-	swallow (s.th.)	4
sáww=y-	think	5
xább=y-	do (vi) well, in a straight manner	1
xámm = a'-	make (s.th.) stick	4
xíshsh=y-	be tightened, strengthened, deepened	1
xóphph=y-	jump	1
xóqq=y-	rise	1
15		37

Table 16: Ideophone types attested in a conversation about a picture book (TD2016-02-11_001; 12:10 min; 1,135 words; prompt: Carle 1984)

A varied repertoire of ideophones is also a manifestation of a person's narrative skills. One of my consultants (TH) with a strong literary interest wrote up a Frog Story in Kambaata (based on Mayer 1969), a text with which he wanted to demonstrate his story-telling talent. The resulting text of 1,187 words (*Fe'llóncho, hakkánne yóont?* 'Frog, where are you?', unpublished) contains no less than 46

ideophone types and 64 tokens (i.e. 1 ideophone in \sim 18 words). The ideophone frequency in the (crafted) Frog Story is thus about twice as high as in the conversational data displayed in Table 16. A quantitative study of ideophones across text types/genres and across individual speakers would make an interesting subject for future research.

In order to approach the question of how large the ideophone word class is, Alemu's comprehensive (2016) dictionary was taken as an empirical base. The author marks all ideophonic roots that obligatorily combine with either 'say' or 'do' by an asterisk (*) (2016: xxii).³⁶ A search of all *-marked roots yielded 853 entries, of which most are paired ideophones.³⁷ Quantifying the number of verb roots in the dictionary would require considerable effort, because not only roots but also all derived stems, any combination with a support verb and even verbs in cross-references are marked as "(sh)" for *shoosawwá* 'verb'. To be able to provide a rough estimate of the number of verbal roots in the dictionary, I counted them on 20 randomly chosen pages (pp. 368-389). The result was an average of 2.05 verb roots per page, which led to an estimate of 2388 verb roots for the whole dictionary (1,165 pages). This means that, among all lexemes expressing verbal concepts, ideophones amount to an estimated 26% (853/3241) and verbs to about 74% (2388/3241).

Borrowing into the ideophone word class is possible and observed in my field work data.³⁸ As Amharic ideophones (see Meyer this volume) are also invariant and morphosyntactically integrated with 'say' and 'do', Kambaata speakers can simply

³⁶ Interestingly, he does not invent a word class term for *-marked roots. In contrast, neologisms are created for all other Kambaata word classes (e.g. *shoosaaww-á* 'verb').

³⁸ See also the discussion on ideophone borrowing in Crass (2005: 234) on K'abeena.

borrow the ideophonic root and inflect them with the corresponding Kambaata support verb, as 43 attests. In 43, speaker ED first uses the Amharic loan 'sit down' $<\Phi^{*}\Phi$ $\lambda\Lambda$ q^{w} $\partial_{c}\dot{c}$ $al\ddot{a}$ (Kane 1990: vol. 1: 843) with the Kambaata support verb, then translates the ideophone into Kambaata.

(44) (...) tées-u qúcc = y-éen afuu'll-éen
now-M.OBL sit_down.IDEO[AMH] = say-3HON.PFV.CVB sit_down 3HON.PFV.CVB
kánk-u has-is-áno
this_much-M.NOM want-CAUS1-3M.IPFV
'(...) one sits down, sits down (and says:) "This much is needed." (EK2016-02-23 003)

4. Other 'say'-constructions

This section gives an introduction into the expression of reported speech in general and of reported interjections in particular. It points out the fuzzy boundary between reported interjections and ideophone-support constructions. In the second part, constructions with 'say' for the expression of transitory or vestigial tastes and smells are portrayed. Here the coverbs of 'say' are not ideophonic but nominal.³⁹

4.1. Reported speech

The verb *y*- 'say' is used in the matrix clause of reported speech constructions. It either follows the reported speech as the only utterance verb, see 43, or functions as a converb to a semantically narrower, superordinate utterance verb, e.g. *kul*- 'tell', *fanqashsh*- 'reply', *xa'mm*- 'ask' 44.

(45) (...) mát-o yaa'-áan mánn-u afuu'll-ée j-áata one-M.OBL meeting-M.LOC people-M.NOM sit_down-3M.PFV.REL time-F.ACC

-

³⁹ Due to reasons of space, other constructions in which (a more or less bleached) 'say' is used are left out of the discussion, e.g. the purpose, benefactive focus, internal impulse and 'at least'-constructions.

jammarr-é]_{REPORTED SPEECH} [hannó á'nnu baad-ú maassa'-éen please.INTJ 2HON.NOM land-M.ACC bless-2PL.PFV.CVB start-2PL.IMP y-éeni-yan nubáach-ch-u baad-ú maassa'-íi jammar-áno say-3HON.PFV.CVB-DS elders-SGV-M.NOM land-M.ACC bless-M.DAT start-3_{M.IPFV} '(...) when people are sitting (together) in a meeting, one says, "Please, start to bless the land!", and an elder starts to bless the land.' (AN2016-02-19 001)

(46) (...) híkka [mát-it man-ch-ú gambáll-at hóol-ch-ut A_DEM2.M.ACC person-SGV-M.ACC one-F.NOM black-F.NOM sheep-SGV-F.NOM kanníin hig-góo'? xuud-déentaa' ikke?]_{REPORTED SPEECH} P_DEM1.M.ICP pass-3F.PFV see-2PL.PRF **PAST** xa'mm-áno y-í say-3M.PFV.CVB ask-3M.IPFV '(...) he (who looks for his animal) asks that man (who passes him): "Has a black ewe passed by here? Have you seen (it)?" (AN2016-02-19_002)

The underived verb y- 'say' has three arguments, (i) the speaker, expressed minimally by a subject index, (ii) the addressee, optionally encoded by a pronominal object suffix on 'say' and/or by an independent direct object noun phrase (see the accusative-marked hlka manchú 'that man' in 44), and (iii) the speech report. If the reported speech is pronominalized (e.g. 'She didn't say that'), the pronoun is also accusative-marked, which makes y- 'say' a ditransitive verb.

The boundary between reported speech and ideophone-support constructions is fuzzy in two areas. Firstly, the small subclass of onomatopoeic ideophones (Treis & Deginet forthcoming), which contains, among others, animal cries like $humb\acute{a}a = y$ -'moo (of cattle)' in 44 and bodily sounds like $buhh\acute{u}=y$ -'cough, produce a coughing sound', might still be interpretable as a reported animal cry and a reported bodily sound.

(47) *Kú* sá'-u **humbáa** = y-ú batíshsh-ee'u

A_DEM1.M.NOM cow-M.NOM moo.IDEO = say-M.ACC do_much-3M.PRF

'This cow has mood a lot/too much.' (Field notes 2022, DWD)

Secondly, the fine line between quoted interjections and ideophones is difficult to draw. Members of both word classes are morphologically invariant, but interjections, unlike ideophones, constitute utterances of their own. Thus one could simply consider all invariant coverbs in 'say'-constructions, e.g. *shiinchaallé* 'never again!' in 45, to be interjections if they are also attested as independent utterances, which is the case for *shiinchaallé* 'never again!' in 45.

- (48) Shiinchaallé y-í gíbb-ee'u
 never_again.INTJ say-3M.PFV.CVB refuse-3M.PRF
 'He said "Never again!" and refused (to do it).' (Field notes 2023, DWD)
- (49) *Shiinchaallé*, lan-kíi hikka=b-á mar-áam-ba'a never_again.INTJ two-ORD.DAT A_DEM2.M.ACC=PLC-M.ACC go-1sg.IPFV-NEG1 'Never again, I won't go there a second time!' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

However, this approach is not entirely satisfactory in cases where the meaning of a construction consisting of an interjection plus 'say' is not the sum of its parts, or, said differently, where the combination has become conventionalized, has extended its meaning and is in most contexts no longer interpretable as a direct speech report. An example which illustrates this point is the interjection/ideophone *hánda* '(I/we/someone/it are/is well,) thanks to God'. The only possible addressee of the speaker's thanks is God; people are not thanked with *hánda*. In exchanges about one's well-being (in the broadest sense, i.e. physical, psychological, economical), *hánda* constitutes an utterance of its own and is thus best interpreted as an interjection 45.

- (50) [A:] Wées-it iill-ítee-ndo? enset-F.NOM reach-3F.PRF-Q
 - [B:] Hánda (isíi) 40 iill-ítee'u thanks to God.INTJ 3M,DAT reach- 3F,PRF
 - [A:] 'Are the enset (plants) ready (to be harvested)?' [B:] 'Thanks to God, they are ready.' (Field notes 2023, DWD)

-

⁴⁰ Hánda can govern a dative constituent; the optional isíi 'for him' refers to God.

The combination of *hánda* and *y*- 'say' is in principle interpretable as 'say "Thanks to God", but in the vast majority of cases it is best translated as 'be thankful, be grateful; be content; be gratifying'. Speakers do not interpret the examples in 46-46 as direct quotes of the subject, which is especially evident when the subject is an animal, as in 46. One might thus prefer not analyze *hánda* as an interjection in these contexts but as an ideophone that takes 'say' as a support verb.

- (51) **Hánda**=y-í gáll-ee-haa
 - be_grateful.IDEO = say-3M.PFV.CVB pass_the_night-3M.PRF.REL-M.COP2 (Speaking about someone's attitude/character) 'He is (someone) who is content (with his life, with what he has)/he is a positive person (lit. he is (someone) who passes the night gratefully).' (Field notes 2023, DWD)
- (52) Habank-á ichchí-i hánda = y-áano-ba'a
 how_much-M.ACC eat.3M.PFV.CVB-ADD be_grateful.IDEO = say-3M.IPFV-NEG1
 (Speaking about a cow with a bad feed conversion ratio) 'No matter how much it eats, it is not gratifying.' (Field notes 2022, DWD)

A similar point can be made for the pain and grief interjection $\acute{a}ayye$ 'ouch!, I am so sad/sorry (about the loss)!'. In combination with 'say', $\acute{a}ayye=y$ -, it translates as 'call out in pain; call out in sadness (at a funeral, in the home of a deceased)'. Likewise, the interjection $ekk\acute{u}$ 'okay' commonly combines with 'say' to express $ekk\acute{u}=y$ - 'agree, obey', irrespective of whether the agreement has actually been expressed by $ekk\acute{u}$ 'okay' or otherwise.⁴¹ So an interpretation of $ekk\acute{u}=y$ - 'agree, obey' as reported interjection does not seem warranted.⁴²

4.2. Taste and smells

⁴¹ Interestingly, most Kambaata speakers use the borrowed interjection *ishshi* (< Amharic) to say 'okay', while $ekk\acute{u} = y$ - is the most natural translation of 'agree, obey'.

⁴² A similar case from the Ethiosemitic language Tigre is discussed in Cohen et al. (2002: 246).

A construction consisting of a reduplicated oblique noun and 'say' is used for the expression of transitory and vestigial tastes and smells 47. The oblique is a multifunctional nominal case which marks locations, instruments and vocatives. Regarding its form, the construction is indicative of the reduplicated ideophones (§3.4.3); semantically, it is evocative of the attenuative value often expressed by ideophones (§3.5). For a similar construction in Amharic, see Meyer (this volume).

iséechch burtukaan-ú-s

like_that.IDEO = become.3M.PFV.CVB 3F.ABL orange-M.ACC-DEF

hi'rr-í = ké'i arrab-éen qáshsh

buy.MID-1sG.PFV.CVB = SEQ tongue-F.ICP pierce.1sG.PFV.CVB

xuud-aammí = da áda wó'-a~wó'-a=y-áyyoo-haa

see-1sG.IPFV.REL = COND PART water-M.OBL~water-M.OBL = say-3M.PROG.REL-M.COP2

'But (lit. it being like that) when I tasted (lit. pierced with the tongue (to) see) the oranges after I had bought them form her, ah!, they tasted watery (lit. they were saying water-water).' (Field notes 2014, DWD)

The reduplicated noun can be modified to characterize the smell or taste further 47.

beans-M.GEN water-M.OBL = say-3M.PROG.REL-M.COP2

'(Speaking of drinking water:) 'It smells/tastes like bean water (lit. (it) says bean's water~water).' (Possible context: Beans were cooked in the pot in which the drinking water has been heated.) (Field notes 2021, AYZ)

Table 17 gives an overview of all taste and smell constructions attested in my fieldwork data with different speakers; some of the examples were overheard, others elicited. All of them combine with y- 'say'; combinations with a'- 'do' are unattested and were ruled out by a consulted native speaker.

Ideophone	English	Origin
babbáro~babbáro=y-	have a taste of pepper	babbar-úta (F) 'hot Ethiopian

⁴³ Of all examples presented in Table 17, only m'a'lle = y- translated as 'taste naff' and max'ine = y- translated as 'become brackish' are found as entries in Alemu (2016: 691).

-

		spice mixture'
gotí ále~ále=y-	smell of hyena's body (e.g. a person who	got-á 'hyena', al-í (M) 'body'
	does not wash)	
háqqa~háqqa=y-	have a bland taste, be boring (of a talk)	haqq-á 'wood'
haráqe~haráqe=y-	smell of brandy (e.g. a person who is	haraq-íta 'brandy'
	drunk)	
hixíchcho~hixíchcho=y-	have a taste of lemongrass (e.g. cheese)	hixichch-ú (M) 'lemongrass'
hóolla~hóolla=y-	smell of sheep (e.g. a person who has	hooll-áta (F) 'sheep'
	been in the sheep pen)	
íchcha~íchcha=y-	smell of food (e.g. a room)	ichch-áta (F) 'food'
má'lle~má'lle=y-/	have a bland taste, lack spices	ma'll-á (M) / ma'll-íta (F) 'bland
má'lla~má'lla=y-		food'
maxíne~maxíne=y-	have a taste of salt	maxin-íta (F) 'salt'
shá'lla~shá'lla=y-	smell of cow dung (e.g. a person who	sha'll-á 'cow dung'
	has mucked out the cowshed)	
wilíile~wilíile=y-	smell of smoke (e.g. clothes of a person	wiliil-íta (F) 'smoke'
	who has sat beside the fire)	
wó'a∼wó'a=y-	taste watery (e.g. bad potatoes, bland	wo'-á (M) 'water'
	oranges)	
wóxe~wóxe=y-	smell of sauce (e.g. the kitchen)	wox-íta (F) 'sauce'
xágo~xágo=y-	smell of spices (e.g. a person who has	xag-úta (F) 'spices'
	seasoned butter)	

Table 17: Transitory and vestigial tastes and smells

The construction might represent a metaphorical extension of the reported speech construction, in which a participant exuding a taste/smell is expressed as a speaker calling out the source of a taste/smell (note that vocatives are oblique case-marked).

5. Ideophones – word class of its own or subclass of verbs?

The present paper has taken a language-internal morphosyntactic definition of ideophones as a point of departure and then studied the form, the meaning and the use of ideophones across recorded, written and elicited data. Even though there are no productive mechanisms to generate ideophones (ideophone formation through compounding and conversion is very limited), it has become clear that ideophones are an open word class with a potentially infinite number of members, as attested

by the ~850 ideophonic lexemes provided in Alemu (2016) and the adhoc integration of ideophones from Amharic into Kambaata utterances (§3.6). It now remains to be discussed whether it is more appropriate to consider ideophones as a separate word class or to subsume them under verbs as a subtype. As we will see, there are arguments for both positions.

Ideophones are distinct from verbs in that they obligatorily need a support verb to be morphosyntactically integrated into an utterance; verbs do not combine with 'say' and 'do' to form complex predicates. Ideophones have lexically determined stress, while verbal stems are undetermined for stress – stress marking is introduced through inflectional morphology. Idiolectal phonological variation is much more common for ideophones than for verbs. Kambaata has two derivational processes, the adjectivizing, resultative derivation and the action noun derivation that take ideophones as input, while verbal inputs are unattested. Ideophone reduplication is much more prominent than verb reduplication (or more appropriate: repetition).

In contrast, ideophones and verbs also share a number of features. Most importantly, ideophone-support constructions and verbs are used in the same syntactic functions as predicates in main and subordinate clauses. Ideophones usually come in intransitive/transitive pairs ('say'-'do'-pairs) and their argument structure maps onto that of intransitive and transitive verbs – notwithstanding that 'say' in its independent use as a speech verb is not intransitive but transitive. If we leave aside the small number of onomatopoeic ideophones, there is little to no evidence that ideophones are phonologically or phonotactically unusual. Regarding their semantics, §3.5 has cast doubt on the initial assumption that ideophones are semantically narrower than (or otherwise different from) verbs.

Based on the commonalities of ideophones and verbs, one could justifiably postulate a word class of verbs, which divides into two formal subtypes, true (non-periphrastic) verbs and periphrastic verbs. True verbs can be inflected directly, periphrastic verbs require a semantically empty support verb. A division into two subtypes of verbs might even be supported by evidence from language-internal variation and change as well as comparative evidence – recall that the Kambaata support verbs are enclitic in nature and that the segmental substance of y- 'say' is

about to be lost. At present, the stress patterns (and the unique morphophonology of the 'do'-verb) are often the most reliable indicator for identifying ideophone-support constructions (i.e. periphrastic verbs). Also in closely related Highland East Cushitic languages, the consonant root of the 'say' verb is on its way to being lost. One could speculate that the lack of attention paid to ideophones in the literature on Hadiyya is attributable to the fact that the 'say' verb is already completely absorbed and that the 'do'-verb is interpreted as a transitivizing derivational morpheme. Overall, the support verbs are losing their independent status.

Having justified the existence of ideophones as a word class or sub-word class on language-internal ground, it remains to be determined whether Kambaata ideophones fit the comparative concept proposed by Dingemanse (2019: 16), namely "an open lexical class of marked words that depict sensory imagery". As the openness of the word class and their structural markedness have been proven, I restrict my comments to the question of whether Kambaata ideophones "depict" and have "sensory meanings". Only a subset of Kambaata ideophones seems iconic (see Treis & Deginet forthcoming on sound-imitative ideophones and §3.4.3 on the iconic functions of reduplication); usually the mappings between forms and meaning are arbitrary. Nevertheless, as Dingemanse (2019: 19) points out for other languages, also Kambaata native speakers are adamant that the form of an ideophone is suggestive of its meaning. Dingemanse attributes this to the depictive nature of ideophones, which "invites and affords the construal of iconic mappings between form and meaning" (Dingemanse 2019: 18). Kambaata ideophones can express sounds (e.g. xixí 'crackle when being roasted on the fire (of small seeds)'), movement (e.g. $t\hat{u}k = y$ - 'walk behind each other in large groups'), visual patterns (e.g. $x \in ff = y$ - 'have/be covered by small spots'), other sensory perceptions like texture (e.g. $l\acute{u}f \sim l\acute{u}f = y$ - 'become soft, malleable, fluffy') and color (e.g. $b\acute{u}ll = y$ -'become greyish, ashen'), inner feelings and cognitive states (e.g. cagágg = y- 'become unwell, indisposed, a bit ill'; $kul\acute{u}l = a'$ - 'make feel dizzy', $q\acute{u}l = a'$ 'make (s.o.) feel nostalgic') – i.e. Kambaata covers the whole implicational hierarchy of semantic fields proposed by Dingemanse (2012: 663) – but I find it difficult to judge whether

Kambaata ideophones have closer ties to sensory perception than verbal lexemes. A more fine-grained semantic analysis of ideophones and verbs is definitely required.

Of course, this is not the only gap that remains. This paper hopefully paves the way for in-depth investigations of some of the aspects presented above. I was, for instance, neither able to do justice to the (possibly) substantial Kambaata-internal regional variation in the form and meaning of ideophones nor to the (presumably) significant differences in the frequency of use of ideophones across text types and across speakers. The degree of cognacy of ideophones across closely related languages is also a question that would merit to be investigated in the future.

Acknowledgements

Work on this article was supported by a public grant overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the program "Investissement d'Avenir" (ANR-10-LABX-0083). It contributes to the IdEx Université de Paris (ANR-18-IDEX-0001). I am indebted to my language assistant Deginet Wotango Doyiso (abbreviated DWD in the examples above) for the intensive and fruitful discussions of the analyses proposed here, and to Alemu Bantu Atara (also spelt: Alamu Banta Ataara) for granting me access to his voluminous dictionary. I am grateful to all the Kambaata speakers I have been working with in the past years and who have contributed data that was analyzed for this paper; special thanks go, in alphabetical order, to Abel Yonas Zekarias (AYZ), Aman Nuriye (AN), Belachew Nuramo (BN), Ermias Kenore (EK), Teshome Dagne (TD), Tessema Handiso (TH) and Yoseph Yonas Zecharias (YYZ). Thanks to Martine Vanhove, the anonymous reviewers and the co-editors of this special issue for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

Abbreviations

1	first person	MID	middle
2	second person	MIT	mitigator
3	third person	MULT	multiplicative
A_	adjectival	NEG1	standard negator
ABL	ablative	NEG2	imperative negator
ACC	accusative	NEG4	converb negator
ACT	action nominalization	NEG5	relative negator
ADD	additive	NIPFV	non-imperfective
ADJ	adjective	NMZ1	nominalization with -V
AMH	Amharic	NMZ4	nominalizer = r -
APPR	apprehensive	NOM	nominative
BDV	benedictive	OBJ	object pronoun
caus1	short causative	OBL	oblique
caus2	long causative	P_	pronominal
CIRC	circumstantial	PART	discourse particle
COND	conditional	PASS	passive
COP2	ascriptive, identificational copula	PAST	past, counterfactuality
	-(h)a(a) (M) / -ta(a) (F)	PFV	perfective
CVB	converb	PFV	perfective
DAT	dative	PL	plural
DEF	definite	PLC	place nominalization
DEM1	proximal demonstrative	POSS	possessive
DEM2	medial demonstrative	PRED	predicative
DS	different subject	PRF	perfect
EMP	emphasis	PROG	progressive
F	feminine	Q	question marker
GEN	genitive	REAS2	reason clause marker = biiha
HON	honorific; impersonal	RED	reduplication
ICP	instrumental-comitative-perlative	REL	relative
IDEO	ideophone	RES	resultative derivation
IMP	imperative	SEQ	sequential
INTJ	interjection	SG	singular
IPFV	imperfective	SGV	singulative
ITER	iterative-attenuative	SIM	similative
LOC	locative	vi/vt	intransitive/transitive verb
M	masculine	VOC	vocative

References

- Alamu Banta & Alamaayyo G/Tsiyoon. 2017. *Hambarrichcho yaanata: Kambaatissa-Amaarsa hayyo'ooma yannaakkata* [Spices of Mount Hambarrichcho: Kambaata-Amharic proverbs]. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University.
- Alamu Banta Ataara. 2022. *Daambiliiqa: Kambaatissa finka* [Intellectual advancement: Kambaata metaphors]. Duuraame: [n. p.].
- Alemu Banta Atara [Alamu Banta Ataara]. 2016. *Kookaata: Kambaatissa-Amaarsa-Ingiliizissa laaga doonnuta* [Kambaata-Amharic-English dictionary]. Addis Ababa: Berhanena Selam Printing.
- Amina Zaro. 2013. Ideophones in Oromo. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University M.A.
- Appleyard, David L. 2001. The verb "to say" as a verb 'recycling device' in Ethiopian languages. In Andrzej Zaborski (ed.), *New data and new methods in Afroasiatic linguistics. Robert Hetzron in memoriam*, 1–11. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Banti, Giorgio & Moreno Vergari. 2005. A sketch of Saho grammar. *Journal of Eritrean Studies* 4(1–2). 101–131.
- Cabdulqaadir Salaad Dhoorre & Mauro Tosco. 1998. 111 Somali ideophones. *Journal of African Cultural Studies* 11(2). 125–156.
- Carle, Eric. 1984. The mixed-up chameleon. New York: Harper Collins.
- Central Statistical Agency. 2007. Ethiopia: Population and housing census of 2007. http://catalog.ihsn.org/index.php/catalog/3583 (Last access: 4 January 2020).
- Cohen, David, Marie-Claude Simeone-Senelle & Martine Vanhove. 2002. The grammaticalization of "say" and "do": An areal phenomenon in East Africa. In Tom Güldemann & Manfred von Roncador (eds.), *Reported discourse. A meeting ground for different linguistic domains*, 227–251. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Crass, Joachim. 2005. *Das K'abeena. Deskriptive Grammatik einer hochlandostkuschitischen Sprache.* Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Crass, Joachim. n.d. [Collection of transcribed, glossed and translated Libido texts].
- Darmon, Chloé. 2015. A morphosyntactic description of Xamtanga, an Agaw (Central Cushitic) language of the northern Ethiopian highlands. Lyon: Université Lumière Lyon 2 (PhD dissertation).

- Desalegn Haile Arficho. 2020. *Ideophones in Kambaata*. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University (PhD dissertation).
- Dingemanse, Mark. 2012. Advances in the cross-linguistic study of ideophones. Language and Linguistics Compass 6(10). 654–672.
- Dingemanse, Mark. 2019. "Ideophone" as a comparative concept. In Kimi Akita & Prashant Pardeshi (eds.), *Ideophones, mimentics and expressives*, 13–56. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Eyob Kelemework Wolde. 2015. *A grammar of Gedeo: A Cushitic language of Ethiopia*. Hyderabad: Osmania University (PhD dissertation).
- Ferguson, Charles A. 1976. The Ethiopian language area. In M. Lionel Bender, J. D. Bowen, R. L. Cooper & C. A. Ferguson (eds.), *Language in Ethiopia*, 63–76. London: Oxford University Press.
- Geetaahun Heelleebo Baachchoore. 2002. *Kambaatissa afgale maxaafa* [Collection of Kambaata oral literature]. Tungu: Kambaatina Xambaaro Zoonaan, Dooyyoganni Woradaan, Maare-Ammaachcho Waato.
- Girum Tesfaye. 2013. *Ideophones in Sidaama: Documentation and description*. Addis Ababa: University of Addis Ababa (M.A. thesis).
- Güldemann, Tom. 2005. Complex predicates based on generic auxiliaries as an areal feature in Northeast Africa. In F. K. Erhard Voeltz (ed.), *Studies in African linguistic typology*, 131–154. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hassan Kamil, Mohamed. 2015. *L'afar: Description grammaticale d'une languae couchitique (Djibouti, Erythrée et Éthiopie)*. Paris: Inalco (PhD dissertation).
- Hudson, Grover. 1976. Highland East Cushitic. In M. Lionel Bender (ed.), *The non-Semitic languages of Ethiopia*, 232–77. East Lansing, MI: African Studies Center, Michigan State University.
- Hudson, Grover. 1989. Highland East Cushitic dictionary. Hamburg: Buske.
- Kambaata and Hadiyya Translation Project Hosaina. 2005. *Qarichcho Yesuus Kiristoositannee Yohannis xaaffo Mishiraachchi Maxaafa / Latin version of the Gospel of John in Kambaata language*. Addis Ababa: The Bible Society of Ethiopia.
- Kambaata Education Bureau. 1989. *Kambaatissata: Rosaanchi maxaafa* [Kambaata language: School book]. 8 vols. Hawassa: Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Regional State.
- Kane, Thomas Leiper. 1990. *Amharic-English dictionary*. 2 vols. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

- Kawachi, Kazuhiro. 2007. *A grammar of Sidaama (Sidamo), a Cushitic language of Ethiopia*. Buffalo: State University of New York at Buffalo (PhD dissertation).
- Leslau, Wolf. 1945. The influence of Cushitic on the Semitic languages of Ethiopia: A problem of substratum. *Word* 1(1). 59–82.
- Mayer, Mercer. 1969. Frog, where are you? New York: Dial Press.
- Mous, Maarten. 1993. A grammar of Iragw. Hamburg: Buske.
- Mous, Maarten. 2012. Cushitic. In Zygmunt Frajzyngier & Erin Shay (eds.), *Afroasiatic languages*, 342–422. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mous, Maarten. 2016. *Alagwa a South Cushitic language of Tanzania: Grammar, texts and lexicon* (Cushitic and Omotic Studies 7). Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Ongaye Oda Orkaydo. 2013. A grammar of Konso. Utrecht: LOT.
- Schneider-Blum, Gertrud. 2007. *A grammar of Alaaba. A Highland East Cushitic language of Ethiopia*. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Tadesse Sibamo Garkebo. 2015. *Documentation and description of Hadiyya (a Highland East Cushitic language of Ethiopia)*. Addis Ababa: University of Addis Ababa (PhD dissertation).
- Tesfaye Baye Assefa. 2015. *A descriptive grammar of Burji*. Addis Ababa: Addis Ababa University (PhD dissertation).
- Tosco, Mauro. 2006. The ideophones in Gawwada. In Siegbert Uhlig (ed.), Proceedings of the XVth International Conference of Ethiopian Studies, Hamburg, July 20-25, 2003, 885–892. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2008. A grammar of Kambaata. Part 1: Phonology, morphology, and non-verbal predication. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2012. Kambaata children's songs and word games: Or what can we learn about the grammar of Kambaata by analysing marginal literature genres? *Afrikanistik Online* 2012.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2017. "They are only two, like the teats of a donkey": Kambataa denumerals revisited. In Raija Kramer & Roland Kießling (eds.), *Mechthildian approaches to Afrikanistik: Advances in language based research on Africa: Festschrift für Mechthild Reh*, 339–366. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2019. Similative and equative demonstratives in Kambaata. *Faits de Langues* 51(1). 75–201.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2022. Verbal derivation in Kambaata (Cushitic), with a focus on the encoding of noncausal/causal alternations. *Linguistique et langues africaines* 8(2) (Special issue "The noncausal-causal alternation in African languages",

- ed. by Sebastian Dom, Leora Bar-el, Ponsiano Sawaka Kanijo & Malin Petzell). 1–36. https://doi.org/10.4000/lla.4535.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2023a. [13.] Kambaata. In Ronny Meyer, Bedilu Wakjira & Zelealem Leyew (eds.), *The Oxford handbook of Ethiopian languages*, 198–226. Oxford:

 Oxford

 University

 Press.

 https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198728542.013.13.
- Treis, Yvonne. 2023b. Reflexive constructions in Kambaata. In Katarzyna Janic, Nicoletta Puddu & Martin Haspelmath (eds.), *Reflexive constructions in the world's languages*, 155–184. Berlin: Language Science Press. https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7861660.
- Treis, Yvonne & Deginet Wotango Doyiso. Forthcoming. Onomatopoeias in Kambaata. In Lívia Körtvélyessy (ed.), *Onomatopoeias* (Comparative Handbooks of Linguistics), xxx–xxx. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Vanhove, Martine. 2017. Le bedja. Leuven: Peeters.
- Zaborski, Andrzej. 1991. Ethiopian language subareas. In Stanisław Piłaszewicz & Eugeniusz Rzewuski (eds.), *Unwritten testimonies of the African past. Proceedings of the International Symposium held in Ojrzanów n. Warsaw on 07-08 November 1989*, 123–134. Warsaw: Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego.

CONTACT

yvonne.treis@cnrs.fr