



HAL
open science

The Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem for principal bundles

Andrei Teleman

► **To cite this version:**

| Andrei Teleman. The Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem for principal bundles. 2023. hal-04186612v3

HAL Id: hal-04186612

<https://hal.science/hal-04186612v3>

Preprint submitted on 15 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

THE NEWLANDER-NIRENBERG THEOREM FOR PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

ANDREI TELEMAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be an arbitrary (not necessarily isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$) complex Lie group, U a complex manifold and $p : P \rightarrow U$ a C^∞ principal G -bundle on U . We introduce and study the space \mathcal{J}_P^κ of bundle almost complex structures of Hölder class C^κ on P . To any $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^\kappa$ we associate an $\text{Ad}(P)$ -valued form f_J of type $(0,2)$ on U which should be interpreted as the obstruction to the integrability of J . For $\kappa \geq 1$ we have $f_J \in C^{\kappa-1}(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,2} \otimes \text{Ad}(P))$ whereas, for $\kappa \in [0, 1)$, f_J is a form with distribution coefficients.

Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^\kappa$ with $\kappa \in (0, +\infty] \setminus \mathbb{N}$. We prove that J admits locally J -pseudo-holomorphic sections of class $C^{\kappa+1}$ if and only if $f_J = 0$. If this is the case, J defines a holomorphic reduction of the underlying $C^{\kappa+1}$ -bundle of P in the sense of the theory of principal bundles on complex manifolds. The proof is based on classical regularity results for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on compact, strictly pseudo-convex complex manifolds with boundary.

The result will be used in forthcoming articles dedicated to moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles (on a compact complex manifold X) framed along a real hypersurface $S \subset X$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Bundle almost complex structures on principal bundles	4
2.1. The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for bundles in the smooth case	4
2.2. The associated Dolbeault operator	8
2.3. The affine space \mathcal{J}_P and its gauge symmetry	8
3. The Hölder version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for bundles	11
3.1. The case $n = 1$	11
3.2. The case $n \geq 2$	13
4. Appendix	18
4.1. Lipschitz spaces, Hölder spaces	18
4.2. The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for vector bundles	19
4.3. Vector fields on principal bundles	20
References	21

Notations: We will use the notation $\bigwedge_U^d (\bigwedge_U^{p,q})$ for the vector bundle of forms of degree d (bidegree (p, q)) on a (complex) manifold U . The space of sections of class C^∞ in this bundle, i.e. the space of differential forms of degree d (bidegree (p, q)) on U will be denoted by $A^d(U)$ ($A^{p,q}(U)$). The notation $\Gamma(W, E)$ ($\Gamma(W, P)$) will stand for the set of C^∞ sections of a differentiable vector (principal) bundle above an open subset W of its base manifold.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32L05, 32G15, 32T15.

The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading of the article, for his positive comments and useful remarks.

For a vector space (bundle) E on a manifold (with boundary) U (\bar{U}) we will use the notation $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(U, E)$ ($\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, E)$) for the space of E -valued maps (sections in E) of class \mathcal{C}^κ above U (\bar{U}).

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Newlander-Nirenberg theorem [NN] states that an almost complex structure J on a differentiable manifold M is integrable (i.e. is induced by a holomorphic structure on M) if and only if its Nijenhuis tensor N_J vanishes. Several renowned proofs [NN], [Ko], [FK], [We], [Hö], [Ma], [HT] based on different techniques are available.

The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem has a well-known version for vector bundles, which is due to Griffiths [Gr, Proposition p. 419] (see also [AHS, Theorem 5.1], [Ko, Proposition I.3.7], [DK, Theorem 2.1.53 and section 2.2.2]) and plays a crucial role in Gauge Theory (see for instance [DK]). This vector bundle version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem states that a Dolbeault operator (semi-connection) $\delta : A^0(E) \rightarrow A^{0,1}(E)$ on a differentiable complex vector bundle E on a complex manifold U is integrable (i.e. is induced by a holomorphic structure on E) if and only if the form $F_\delta \in A^{0,2}(U, \text{End}(E))$ associated with $\delta^2 : A^0(E) \rightarrow A^{0,2}(E)$ vanishes (see section 4.2 in the Appendix).

The starting point of this article is a natural generalization of this result to principal G -bundles, where G is an *arbitrary* (not necessarily isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$) complex Lie group.

In the framework of principal bundles the role of Dolbeault operators is played by bundle almost complex structures, which are introduced and studied in section 2. A bundle almost complex structure (bundle ACS) on a principal G -bundle $p : P \rightarrow U$ is an almost complex structure J on P which makes the G -action on P and the map p pseudo-holomorphic. The space \mathcal{J}_P of bundle ACS on P is an affine space with model space $A^{0,1}(U, \text{Ad}(P))$ and comes with a natural action of the gauge group $\text{Aut}(P)$ of P .

The principal bundle version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem states that (see section 2 for details):

Proposition 1.1. *A bundle ACS $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$ on P is integrable if and only if the canonically associated form $\mathfrak{f}_J \in A^{0,2}(U, \text{Ad}(P))$ vanishes.*

The proof is an easy application of a well known remark related to the general Newlander-Nirenberg theorem: the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor N_J of an ACS on M is equivalent to the integrability of the distribution $T_J^{0,1} \subset T_M^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated with J .

Proposition 1.1 is not sufficient for our purposes. In [TT], [Te], we will construct and study moduli spaces of S -framed holomorphic bundles on a compact complex manifold X , where $S \subset X$ is a fixed real hypersurface. These moduli spaces are infinite dimensional. In order to endow such a moduli space with the structure of a Banach analytic space in the sense of Douady, we have to work with bundle ACS belonging to a fixed Hölder differentiability class $\mathcal{C}^\kappa := \mathcal{C}^{[\kappa], \kappa - [\kappa]}$ with $\kappa \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ (see section 4.1) and to study the integrability condition in the appropriate sense for such a bundle ACS.

Our main result is a Hölder version of Proposition 1.1:

Theorem 1.2 (Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ). *Let G be a complex Lie group and $p : P \rightarrow U$ a differentiable principal G -bundle on U . Let J be a bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ on P with $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$.

- (2) for any point $x \in U$ there exists an open neighborhood W of x and a J -pseudo-holomorphic section $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(W, P)$.

Note that for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ the condition $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$ is meant in distributional sense, see Definition 3.3 in section 3. Note also that the regularity class of J in the general Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for almost complex structures on manifolds of real dimension ≥ 4 is \mathcal{C}^κ with $\kappa > 1$ [We, Theorem 3.1], so the bundle version of this theorem requires a *weaker* regularity assumption.

In the theory of principal bundles on complex manifolds one does not use or consider (almost) complex structures on the total spaces of the considered bundles. The fundamental objects in this theory are holomorphic principal bundles in the following sense:

Definition 1.3. Let $P \xrightarrow{p} U$ be a topological principal G -bundle on U . A (bundle) holomorphic structure on p is a set \mathfrak{h} of continuous local sections $\tau : W_\tau \rightarrow P$ of p such that:

- (1) $\bigcup_{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}} W_\tau = U$.
- (2) Any two elements $\tau, \tau' \in \mathfrak{h}$ are holomorphically compatible, i.e. the comparison map $\psi_{\tau\tau'} : W_\tau \cap W_{\tau'} \rightarrow G$ defined by $\tau' = \tau\psi_{\tau\tau'}$, is holomorphic.
- (3) \mathfrak{h} is maximal (with respect to inclusion) satisfying (1), (2).

A holomorphic principal G -bundle on U is a pair $(P \xrightarrow{p} U, \mathfrak{h})$ consisting of a topological principal G -bundle on U and a (bundle) holomorphic structure on p .

The first consequence of Theorem 1.2 is

Corollary 1.4. Let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty] \setminus \mathbb{N}$. A bundle ACS J of class \mathcal{C}^κ with $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$ on P defines a (bundle) holomorphic structure \mathfrak{h}_J on its underlying topological bundle. For an open set $W \subset U$, a section $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^1(W, P)$ belongs to \mathfrak{h}_J if and only if it is J -pseudo-holomorphic. Moreover, \mathfrak{h}_J is contained in the set of local sections of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ of P , so it provides a holomorphic reduction of the underlying $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ bundle of P .

Remark 1.5. Using the local trivializations associated with sections $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}_J$, one obtains in particular a complex manifold structure on P which is compatible with J . Note that for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ one *cannot* obtain this structure using directly the general Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, because, as mentioned above, in this theorem the required regularity class of J is \mathcal{C}^κ with $\kappa > 1$.

Corollary 1.6. Let U be a complex manifold, G a complex Lie group, and P a principal bundle of class \mathcal{C}^∞ on U . Let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty] \setminus \mathbb{N}$, let J be a bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ on P with $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$, $G \times F \rightarrow F$ a holomorphic action of G on a complex manifold F . The sheaf of holomorphic (with respect to the holomorphic structure induced by \mathfrak{h}_J) local sections of the associated bundle $P \times_G F$ is contained in the sheaf of its local sections of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$.

Let $\theta \in A^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ be the canonical left invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued form on G [KN, p. 41]. For a map $U \xrightarrow{\text{open}} V \xrightarrow{\sigma} G$ of class \mathcal{C}^1 put $\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma) := \sigma^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1}$. From an analytical point of view the meaning of Theorem 1.2 is the following: for a \mathfrak{g} -valued form $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(W, \bigwedge_W^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ (with $\kappa \in (0, +\infty] \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $W \subset U$ open), the non-linear first order differential equation

$$\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma) = \alpha$$

is locally solvable on W if and only if $\bar{\partial}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \wedge \alpha] = 0$ in distributional sense.

In the case $\dim(U) \geq 2$ the proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the following effective version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for the trivial G -bundle on a strictly pseudo-convex manifold:

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a Hermitian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, and let $U \subset X$ be a relatively compact strictly pseudoconvex open subset with smooth boundary $\partial\bar{U} = \bar{U} \setminus U$. Suppose $H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ for $q \in \{1, 2\}$ and let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. There exists an open neighborhood N_U of 0 in the closed subset*

$$W := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \wedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \mid \bar{\partial}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda \wedge \lambda] = 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \wedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}),$$

and, for any $\lambda \in N_U$, a solution $u = \mathfrak{N}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(U, \mathfrak{g})$ of the equation

$$\bar{1}(\exp(u)) = \lambda$$

which satisfies estimates of the form

$$\|u|_V\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}} \leq C_V \|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} \quad (1)$$

for relatively compact subdomains $V \Subset U$.

The proof is based on classical regularity results for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator [FK], [LM], [BGS] and elliptic interior estimates [DN].

In the case $\dim(U) = 1$ we have the following effective version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for the trivial G -bundle on a relatively compact open subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ with smooth boundary:

Theorem 1.8. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. There exists an open neighborhood N_U of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \wedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ and, for any $\lambda \in N_U$, a solution $u = \mathfrak{N}_U(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})$ of the equation*

$$\bar{1}(\exp(u)) = \lambda$$

such that the obtained map $\mathfrak{N}_U : N_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})$ is holomorphic and satisfies $\mathfrak{N}_U(0) = 0$.

The proof uses the ellipticity of the operator $\bar{\partial}$ on the closed manifold \mathbb{P}^1 and a well known extension lemma for Hölder spaces.

2. BUNDLE ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

2.1. The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for bundles in the smooth case.

Let G be a complex Lie group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Let $J_G \in \Gamma(G, \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(T_G))$ be the almost complex structure on G defining its complex structure and $J_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ the endomorphism defining the complex structure of \mathfrak{g} . We obtain as usually direct sum decompositions

$$T_G^{\mathbb{C}} = T_G^{1,0} \oplus T_G^{0,1}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$$

of the complexified tangent bundle, respectively Lie algebra of G .

Let $\theta \in A^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ be the canonical left invariant form of G [KN, p. 41], and $\theta^{1,0}$ the composition

$$T_G \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}.$$

Since θ is holomorphic, $\theta \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves the type, so $\theta^{1,0}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ -valued form of type $(1, 0)$; it can obviously be identified with θ via the standard isomorphisms $(T_G, J_G) \rightarrow T_G^{1,0}$, $(\mathfrak{g}, J_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$.

Let $p : P \rightarrow U$ be a differentiable principal G -bundle on U . Denote by $V \subset T_P$ the vertical distribution of P , and recall that this vector bundle comes with a canonical trivialization $\vartheta : V \rightarrow P \times \mathfrak{g}$ given by $(y, a) \mapsto a_y^{\#}$, which extends to a trivialization $\vartheta^{\mathbb{C}} : V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow P \times \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complexified vertical bundle. The complex structure $J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} induces via ϑ a complex structure on the bundle V , so a direct sum

decomposition $V^{\mathbb{C}} = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$ which corresponds via $\vartheta^{\mathbb{C}}$ to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$. The subbundle $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})$ of $T_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ fits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{C}} = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1} \hookrightarrow p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}) \xrightarrow{p_*} p_*(T_U^{0,1}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.1. A bundle almost complex structure (ACS) on P is an almost complex structure J on P which makes the G -action $P \times G \rightarrow P$ and the map $p : P \rightarrow U$ pseudo-holomorphic.

Let J be a bundle ACS on P . The subbundle $T_{P,J}^{0,1} \subset T_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ of type $(0,1)$ tangent vectors with respect to J is a G -invariant subbundle of $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})$ which contains $V^{0,1}$ and is a complement of $V^{1,0}$ in $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})$. Therefore one can write

$$T_{P,J}^{0,1} = \ker(\alpha_J)$$

for a well defined section $\alpha_J \in \Gamma(P, p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$ with the following properties:

- (Pa) α_J is invariant with respect to the G action $g \mapsto {}^t R_{g*} \otimes \text{Ad}_g$ on $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.
- (Pb) α_J agrees with the $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ -valued form

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\vartheta^{\mathbb{C}}} P \times (\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$$

on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. In other words α_J vanishes on $V^{0,1}$ and induces the canonical isomorphism $V_y^{1,0} \xrightarrow{\vartheta_y^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ for any $y \in P$.

The subbundle $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}) \subset T_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ splits as a direct sum

$$p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}) = T_{P,J}^{0,1} \oplus V^{1,0},$$

and the projection on the first summand is

$$\beta_J : p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}) \rightarrow T_{P,J}^{0,1}, \quad \beta_J(v) = v - \alpha_J(v)_{\#} \quad \text{for } y \in P, v \in p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})_y. \quad (3)$$

Remark 2.2. Let $p : P \rightarrow U$ be a principal G -bundle on U .

- (1) The assignment $J \mapsto \alpha_J$ gives a bijection between the set \mathcal{J}_P of bundle ACS on P and the set \mathcal{A}_P of sections $\alpha \in \Gamma(P, p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1})^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$ satisfying properties (Pa), (Pb).
- (2) If $P = U \times G$ is the trivial bundle over U , the short exact sequence (2) comes with an obvious splitting, and \mathcal{A}_P can be identified with $A^{0,1}(U, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$. The product bundle ACS J_0 on $U \times G$ corresponds to $\alpha_{J_0} = 0$.
- (3) Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$. A local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ of P defines a trivialization $P_W \xrightarrow{\cong} W \times \mathbb{C}$, so, by (2), α_J gives a form $\alpha_J^{\tau} \in A^{0,1}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$. Explicitly, in terms of τ , we have for any $v \in T_W$:

$$\alpha_J^{\tau}(v) := (\alpha_J \circ \tau_*)(v^{0,1}). \quad (4)$$

- (4) Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$. A local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ of P is J -pseudo-holomorphic if and only if $\alpha_J^{\tau} = 0$.

The map $\tau \mapsto \alpha_J^{\tau}$ satisfies the following transformation formula:

Remark 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(W, G)$. Then

$$\alpha_J^{\tau f} = \text{Ad}_{f^{-1}}(\alpha_J^{\tau}) + f^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Put $\tau' := \tau f$. For $y \in P$ denote by $l^y : G \rightarrow P$ the map $g \mapsto yg$. For any $v \in T_{U,x}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'_*(v) &= R_{f(x)*}(\tau_*(v)) + l_*^{\tau(x)}(f_*(v)) = R_{f(x)*}(\tau_*(v)) + l_*^{\tau(x)f(x)}(l_{f(x)*}^{-1}(f_*(v))) \\ &= R_{f(x)*}(\tau_*(v)) + (\theta(f_*(v)))_{\tau(x)f(x)}^{\#}. \end{aligned}$$

Using properties (Pa), (Pb) we obtain for any $v \in T_{U,x}^{0,1}$

$$\alpha_J^\tau(v) = \text{Ad}_{f(x)^{-1}}(\alpha_J^\tau(v)) + \theta^{1,0}(f_*(v)) = \text{Ad}_{f(x)^{-1}}(\alpha_J^\tau(v)) + f^*(\theta^{1,0})(v),$$

which proves the claim. \blacksquare

Remark 2.4. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$ and $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$. The map $\sigma \mapsto \tau\sigma^{-1}$ induces a bijection between the set of solutions of the equation

$$\alpha_J^\tau = \sigma^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1} \quad (6)$$

for $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, G)$ and the set of J -pseudo-holomorphic sections of P on W .

Proof. Indeed, by Remark 2.2 (4) we know that $\tau_\sigma := \tau\sigma^{-1}$ is J pseudo-holomorphic if and only if $\alpha_J^{\tau_\sigma} = 0$. Writing $\tau = \tau_\sigma\sigma$, formula (5) shows that the equation $\alpha_J^{\tau_\sigma} = 0$ is equivalent to (6). \blacksquare

Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$, put $\alpha := \alpha_J$ and consider the anti-symmetric $\mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathbb{C})$ -bilinear map

$$\Gamma(P, T_{P,J}^{0,1})^2 \ni (A, B) \mapsto \alpha([A, B]).$$

Since the subbundle $T_{P,J}^{0,1}$ is G -invariant, it follows that $[a^\#, \cdot]$ leaves the space $\Gamma(P, T_{P,J}^{0,1})$ invariant for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}$, in particular $\alpha([A, B]) = 0$ if A or B is vertical. It follows that the formula

$$\Gamma(P, T_P^\mathbb{C})^2 \ni (A, B) \xrightarrow{f_J} -\alpha([A_j^{0,1}, B_j^{0,1}])$$

defines a $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ -valued tensorial (0,2)-form of type Ad on P (see [KN, section II.5]), i.e. an element of the space $A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,2}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$. Identifying $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ with \mathfrak{g} in the canonical way, we may regard f_J as a \mathfrak{g} -valued tensorial form of type (0,2) on P , i.e. as an element of $A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,2}(P, \mathfrak{g}) = A^{0,2}(U, \text{Ad}(P))$. We will denote by the same symbol the corresponding element of $A^{0,2}(U, \text{Ad}(P))$.

With these notations we can prove the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for principal bundles in the smooth case:

Proof. (of Proposition 1.1) The distribution $p_*^{-1}(T_X^{0,1}) \subset T_P^\mathbb{C}$ is obviously integrable (because it is the pull-back of $T_U^{0,1}$, and U is a complex manifold) and contains $T_{P,J}^{0,1}$. For vector fields $A, B \in \Gamma(P, T_{P,J}^{0,1})$ the Poisson bracket $[A, B]$ will still belong to $\Gamma(P, p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}))$, but not necessarily to $\Gamma(P, T_{P,J}^{0,1})$; it belongs to this subspace if and only if $\alpha([A, B]) = 0$. Therefore the obstruction to the integrability of J is the tensorial form f_J as claimed. \blacksquare

Let $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ be a smooth local section. Although the pull back τ^* on forms is not necessarily type preserving, we have

$$\tau^*(f_J) \in A^{0,2}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}). \quad (7)$$

Indeed, for a tangent vector $v \in T_{U,x}^{1,0}$, we have

$$\tau_*(v) \in p_{*\tau(x)}^{-1}(T_{U,x}^{1,0}) = T_{P,\tau(x)}^{1,0} \oplus V_{\tau(x)}^{0,1}.$$

Since $f_J(A, B) = 0$ if A or B is of type (1,0) or vertical, it follows that $\tau^*(f_J)(v, w) = 0$ if v or w is of type (1,0). Taking into account this remark, we define, for a local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$:

$$f_J^\tau := \tau^*(f_J) \in A^{0,2}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) \simeq A^{0,2}(W, \mathfrak{g}). \quad (8)$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ be a local section of P . Then*

$$f_J^\tau = \bar{\partial}\alpha_J^\tau + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_J^\tau \wedge \alpha_J^\tau]. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Note first that τ defines a smooth map

$$\gamma^\tau : p^{-1}(W) \rightarrow G$$

uniquely determined by the condition $\tau(p(y))\gamma^\tau(y) = y$. Using this map we obtain a monomorphism

$$\tilde{\tau} : p^*(T_W^{0,1}) \rightarrow p_*^{-1}(T_W^{0,1})$$

of vector bundles on $p^{-1}(W)$ given by

$$\tilde{\tau}_y(v) = R_{\gamma^\tau(y)*}(\tau_*(v)) \quad \forall y \in p^{-1}(W) \quad \forall v \in T_{p(y)}^{0,1}. \quad (10)$$

By definition, $\tilde{\tau}$ verifies the G -invariance property:

$$R_{g*} \circ \tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau} \quad \forall g \in G. \quad (11)$$

Note that $\tilde{\tau}$ is just the ‘‘horizontal lift’’ operator with respect to the unique flat connection on P_W which makes τ parallel.

For a vector field $\xi \in A^{0,1}(W)$ let $\tilde{\tau}(\xi)$ be the section of $p_*^{-1}(T_W^{0,1}) \subset T_{P_W}^{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to ξ via $\tilde{\tau}$. Formula (11) shows that $\tilde{\tau}(\xi)$ is a G -invariant vector field on P_W . The obtained map

$$\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)) : P_W := p^{-1}(W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$$

will be Ad-equivariant, so can be regarded as a section in the associated vector bundle $P_W \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \subset P_W \times_{\text{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(W, T_W^{0,1})$. Using the notations introduced in section 4.3 of the Appendix, formula (3) shows that the projections of $\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \tilde{\tau}(\eta)$ on $T_{P,J}^{0,1}$ are given by

$$\tilde{\tau}(\xi)^{0,1} = \tilde{\tau}(\xi) - \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))^\nu, \quad \tilde{\tau}(\eta)^{0,1} = \tilde{\tau}(\eta) - \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))^\nu,$$

so, taking into account that $\tilde{\tau}$ commutes with $[\cdot, \cdot]$, and Remarks 4.3, 4.4:

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\tau}(\xi)^{0,1}, \tilde{\tau}(\eta)^{0,1}] &= [\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \tilde{\tau}(\eta)] + [\tilde{\tau}(\eta), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))^\nu] - [\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))^\nu] \\ &\quad + [\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))^\nu, \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))^\nu] = \\ &= [\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \tilde{\tau}(\eta)] + (\tilde{\tau}(\eta)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))))^\nu - (\tilde{\tau}(\xi)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))))^\nu \\ &\quad - [\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))]^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since $\tilde{\tau}(\eta)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))), \tilde{\tau}(\xi)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta)))$ and $[\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))]$ are $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ -valued maps, property (Pb) gives

$$\alpha_J((\tilde{\tau}(\eta)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))))^\nu) = \tilde{\tau}(\eta)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))), \quad \alpha_J((\tilde{\tau}(\xi)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))))^\nu) = \tilde{\tau}(\xi)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))),$$

$$\alpha_J([\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))]^\nu) = [\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))],$$

so, taking into account the definition of \mathfrak{f}_J and that $\tilde{\tau}$ commutes with $[\cdot, \cdot]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \tilde{\tau}(\eta)) &= -\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}([\xi, \eta])) - \tilde{\tau}(\eta)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi))) + \tilde{\tau}(\xi)(\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))) \\ &\quad + [\alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\xi)), \alpha_J(\tilde{\tau}(\eta))]. \end{aligned}$$

Composing from the right with τ and taking into account that $\tilde{\tau}(\xi), \tilde{\tau}(\eta)$ are tangent to $\text{im}(\tau)$ and that their restrictions to $\text{im}(\tau)$ coincide with $\tau_*(\xi)$, respectively $\tau_*(\eta)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_J^\tau(\xi, \eta) &= \mathfrak{f}_J(\tau_*(\xi), \tau_*(\eta)) = -\alpha_J^\tau([\xi, \eta]) - \eta(\alpha_J^\tau(\xi)) + \xi(\alpha_J^\tau(\eta)) + [\alpha_J^\tau(\xi), \alpha_J^\tau(\eta)] \\ &= (d\alpha_J^\tau)(\xi, \eta) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_J^\tau \wedge \alpha_J^\tau](\xi, \eta) = (\bar{\partial}\alpha_J^\tau)(\xi, \eta) + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_J^\tau \wedge \alpha_J^\tau](\xi, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, we took into account that ξ, η are vector fields of type $(0, 1)$.

■

2.2. The associated Dolbeault operator. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(F)$ be a representation of G on a finite dimensional complex vector space F and $E^\rho := P \times_\rho F$ be the associated vector bundle. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$ be a bundle ACS on P and $s \in A^0(U, E^\rho)$. Regard s as an element of $\mathcal{C}_\rho^\infty(P, F)$, and note that the differential $\bar{\partial}_J(s) \in A_J^{0,1}(P, F)$ is a tensorial F -valued $(0,1)$ -form of type ρ on P , so it can be regarded as an element $\bar{\partial}_J^\rho s \in A^{0,1}(U, E^\rho)$. The obtained first order differential operator $\bar{\partial}_J^\rho : A^0(U, E^\rho) \rightarrow A^{0,1}(U, E^\rho)$ is a Dolbeault operator on E^ρ . We will use the simpler notation $\bar{\partial}_J = \bar{\partial}_J^\rho$ when ρ is obvious from the context.

Via the identification $\Gamma(W, E^\rho) \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, F)$ induced by a local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$, $\bar{\partial}_J^\rho$ is given by the formula

$$\bar{\partial}_J^{\rho, \tau} s = \bar{\partial} s + \mathfrak{r}(\alpha_J^\tau) s, \quad (13)$$

where $\mathfrak{r} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{gl}(F)$ is the Lie algebra morphism associated with ρ . The $\mathrm{End}(E^\rho)$ -valued $(0,2)$ -form $F_{\bar{\partial}_J^\rho}$ associated with $(\bar{\partial}_J^\rho)^2 : A^0(U, E^\rho) \rightarrow A^{0,2}(U, E^\rho)$ (which is the obstruction to the integrability of $\bar{\partial}_J^\rho$) is given by

$$F_{\bar{\partial}_J^\rho} = \mathfrak{r}(f_J). \quad (14)$$

Its pull back via τ is

$$F_{\bar{\partial}_J^\rho}^\tau = \bar{\partial} \mathfrak{r}(\alpha_J^\tau) + \mathfrak{r}(\alpha_J^\tau) \wedge \mathfrak{r}(\alpha_J^\tau) \in A^{0,2}(W, \mathrm{End}(E^\rho)),$$

where \wedge on the right is induced by the wedge product of forms and composition of endomorphisms.

Formula (14) shows that the obtained map

$$D_\rho : \mathcal{J}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{E^\rho}$$

maps the space $\mathcal{J}_P^{\mathrm{int}}$ of integrable bundle ACS on P into the space $\mathcal{D}_{E^\rho}^{\mathrm{int}}$ of integrable Dolbeault operators on E^ρ .

Remark 2.6. The map $D_{\rho_{\mathrm{can}}}$ associated with the canonical representation

$$\rho_{\mathrm{can}} : \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}^r)$$

is a bijection and restricts to a bijection $\mathcal{J}_P^{\mathrm{int}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{E_P}^{\mathrm{int}}$, where $E_P := P \times_{\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C}^r$.

Note that the canonical form θ on $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ can be written as $g^{-1}dg$, so, identifying $\mathrm{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})^{1,0}$ with $\mathrm{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ in the standard way, the transformation formula (5) becomes

$$\alpha_J^{\tau, f} = \mathrm{Ad}_{f^{-1}}(\alpha_J^\tau) + f^{-1} \bar{\partial} f,$$

which is the well-known transformation formula for the $\mathrm{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -valued $(0,1)$ form associated with a Dolbeault operator in a trivialization.

2.3. The affine space \mathcal{J}_P and its gauge symmetry. Taking into account the properties (Pa), (Pb) it follows that the space \mathcal{A}_P has a natural structure of an affine space with model space $A_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{0,1}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) \simeq A^{0,1}(U, \mathrm{Ad}(P))$ of tensorial $(0,1)$ -forms of type Ad with values in $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ on P . The space \mathcal{J}_P of bundle ACS on P will also be regarded as an $A_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{0,1}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$ -affine space via the bijection $J \mapsto \alpha_J$ given by Remark 2.2.

Let $\iota : G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be the group morphism which assigns to $g \in G$ the inner automorphism ι_g . The group $\mathcal{C}_\iota^\infty(P, G)$ of ι -equivariant maps $P \rightarrow G$ can be identified with the space of sections $\Gamma(U, \iota(P))$, where $\iota(P) := P \times_\iota G$ can be identified with the bundle of fiberwise automorphisms of P . Therefore the space $\mathcal{C}_\iota^\infty(P, G)$ can also be identified with the gauge group $\mathrm{Aut}(P)$. The gauge transformation $\tilde{\sigma}$ associated with $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\iota^\infty(P, G)$ is given explicitly by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(y) = y\sigma(y) \quad \forall y \in P. \quad (15)$$

When no confusion can occur, we will write σ instead of $\tilde{\sigma}$ to save on notation.

Definition 2.7. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\iota}_J : \mathcal{C}_v^\infty(P, G) &\rightarrow A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,1}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}), \bar{\iota}_J(\sigma) = \sigma^*(\theta^{1,0})_J^{0,1} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J : A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,1}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}) &\rightarrow A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,2}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}), \bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J(b) = \bar{\partial}_J b + \frac{1}{2}[b \wedge b],\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\partial}_J$ stands for $\bar{\partial}_J^{\text{Ad}}$ (see section 2.2) and $A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,q}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$ stands for the space of $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ -valued tensorial forms of type Ad on P of bidegree $(0, q)$.

Example 2.1. In the special case of the trivial bundle $U \times G$ endowed with the product bundle ACS J_0 we obtain (via the standard identification $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$) the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\iota} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \bar{\iota}(\sigma) = \sigma^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1} \\ \bar{\mathfrak{k}} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,2} \otimes \mathfrak{g}), \bar{\mathfrak{k}}(b) = \bar{\partial}b + \frac{1}{2}[b \wedge b].\end{aligned}$$

Note that formula (6) in Remark 2.4 can be written $\alpha_J^\tau = \bar{\iota}(\sigma)$, whereas formula (9) in Proposition 2.5 can be written $\mathfrak{f}_J^\tau = \bar{\mathfrak{k}}(\alpha_J^\tau)$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_v^\infty(P_W, G)$ and $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$. Put $\sigma_\tau := \sigma \circ \tau \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, G)$. We have

$$\tau^*(\bar{\iota}_J(\sigma)) = \sigma_\tau^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1} + (\text{Ad}_{\sigma_\tau^{-1}} - \text{id})(\alpha_J^\tau).$$

Proof. Let $v \in T_{U,x}^{0,1}$, $y = \tau(x) \in P$ and $w := \tau_*(v) \in (p_*)^{-1}(T_{U,y}^{0,1}) \subset T_{P,y}^{\mathbb{C}}$, $a := \alpha_J(w) \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$. Since $w_J^{0,1} = w - \alpha_J(w)_y^\# = w - a_y^\#$, we have:

$$\tau^*(\bar{\iota}_J(\sigma))(v) = \theta^{1,0}(\sigma_*(w_J^{0,1})) = \theta^{1,0}(\sigma_*(w - a_y^\#)). \quad (16)$$

In general, for any $c \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(\sigma_*(c_y^\#)) &= \theta\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \text{Ad}_{e^{-tc}}(\sigma(y))\right) = \theta(-r_{\sigma(y)*}(c) + l_{\sigma(y)*}(c)) = \\ &= c - l_{\sigma(y)*}^{-1} \circ r_{\sigma(y)*}(c) = c - \text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}}(c).\end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This implies

$$(\theta \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})(\sigma_*(c_y^\#)) = (\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}})(c) \quad \forall c \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}},$$

in particular

$$\theta^{1,0}(\sigma_*(c_y^\#)) = (\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}})(c) \quad \forall c \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,0},$$

so (17) gives $\theta^{1,0}(\sigma_*(a_y^\#)) = (\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}})(a)$ and (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^*(\bar{\iota}_J(\sigma))(v) &= \theta^{1,0}(\sigma_*(\tau_*(v))) - (\text{id} - \text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}})(\alpha_J(\tau_*(v))) \\ &= \theta^{1,0}(\sigma_{\tau^*}(v)) + (\text{Ad}_{\sigma(y)^{-1}} - \text{id})(\alpha_J^\tau(v)).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. ■

Proposition 2.9. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$ and $b \in A_{\text{Ad}}^{0,1}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$. We have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{J+b} = \mathfrak{f}_J + \bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J(b).$$

Proof. Put $J' := J + b$. Let $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ be a local section of P , and note that the argument which justified formula (7) gives $b^\tau := \tau^*(b) \in A^{0,1}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$. Taking into account formula (13), it follows that the form

$$(\bar{\partial}_J b)^\tau := \tau^*(\bar{\partial}_J b) \in A^{0,2}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}),$$

which corresponds to $\bar{\partial}_J b$ in the local trivialization associated with τ , is

$$(\bar{\partial}_J b)^\tau = \bar{\partial}b^\tau + [\alpha_J^\tau \wedge b^\tau].$$

By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{J'}^\tau &= \bar{\partial}\alpha_{J'}^\tau + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_{J'}^\tau \wedge \alpha_{J'}^\tau] = (\bar{\partial}\alpha_J^\tau + \bar{\partial}b^\tau) + \frac{1}{2}[(\alpha_J^\tau + b^\tau) \wedge (\alpha_J^\tau + b^\tau)] \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_J^\tau + \bar{\partial}b^\tau + [\alpha_J^\tau \wedge b^\tau] + \frac{1}{2}[b^\tau \wedge b^\tau] = \mathfrak{f}_J^\tau + (\bar{\partial}_J b)^\tau + \frac{1}{2}[b^\tau \wedge b^\tau], \end{aligned}$$

which obviously coincides with $\tau^*(\mathfrak{f}_J + \bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J(b))$. \blacksquare

We let the group $\mathcal{C}_t^\infty(P, G)$ act on \mathcal{J}_P from the right by

$$J \cdot \sigma = \tilde{\sigma}_*^{-1} \circ J \circ \tilde{\sigma}_*.$$

In other words $J \cdot \sigma$ is defined such that the gauge transformation $\tilde{\sigma}$ associated with σ becomes a pseudo-holomorphic map $(P, J \cdot \sigma) \rightarrow (P, J)$. Taking into account that σ_* leaves the subbundle $p_*^{-1}(T_U^{0,1}) \subset T_P^{\mathbb{C}}$ invariant, it is easy to see that the corresponding $\text{Aut}(P)$ -action on \mathcal{A}_P is:

$$\alpha \cdot \sigma = \alpha \circ \tilde{\sigma}_*.$$

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_t^\infty(P, G)$. For any $J \in \mathcal{J}_P$ we have:*

- (1) $J \cdot \sigma = J + \bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J(\sigma)$.
- (2) $\mathfrak{f}_{J \cdot \sigma} = \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathfrak{f}_J)$.

Proof. Put $J' := J \cdot \sigma$.

(1) Let $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ be a local section. As in Lemma 2.8 put $\sigma_\tau := \sigma \circ \tau \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, G)$. We have

$$\alpha_{J'}^\tau = \alpha_{J'} \circ \tau_* = \alpha_J \circ \tilde{\sigma}_* \circ \tau_* = \alpha_J^{\tilde{\sigma} \circ \tau}$$

on $T_W^{0,1}$. By (15) we know that $\tilde{\sigma} \circ \tau = \tau \sigma_\tau$, so, by the transformation formula (5), it follows:

$$\alpha_J^{\tilde{\sigma} \circ \tau} = \text{Ad}_{\sigma_\tau^{-1}}(\alpha_J^\tau) + \sigma_\tau^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8,

$$\tau^*(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J(\sigma)) = \sigma_\tau^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1} + (\text{Ad}_{\sigma_\tau^{-1}} - \text{id})(\alpha_J^\tau),$$

which proves the claim.

(2) For complex vector fields ξ, η on P we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{J'}(\xi, \eta) &= -\alpha_{J'}([\xi_{J'}^{0,1}, \eta_{J'}^{0,1}]) = -\alpha_J(\tilde{\sigma}_*([\xi_{J'}^{0,1}, \eta_{J'}^{0,1}])) = -\alpha_J([\tilde{\sigma}_*(\xi_{J'}^{0,1}), \tilde{\sigma}_*(\eta_{J'}^{0,1})]) = \\ &= -\alpha_J([\tilde{\sigma}_*(\xi)^{0,1}, \tilde{\sigma}_*(\eta)^{0,1}]) = \mathfrak{f}_J(\tilde{\sigma}_*(\xi), \tilde{\sigma}_*(\eta)). \end{aligned}$$

For a tangent vector $v \in T_{P,y}^{\mathbb{C}}$ we have $\tilde{\sigma}_*(v) = R_{\sigma(y)*}(v) + \theta(\sigma_*(v))_y^\#$, where the second term is vertical. Since \mathfrak{f}_J is a tensorial 2-form, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{f}_J(\tilde{\sigma}_*(\xi), \tilde{\sigma}_*(\eta)) = \text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathfrak{f}_J)(\xi, \eta),$$

which proves the claim. \blacksquare

Combining Proposition 2.9 with Proposition 2.10, we obtain:

$$\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathfrak{f}_J) = \mathfrak{f}_{J \cdot \sigma} = \mathfrak{f}_J + \bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J(\sigma)),$$

so we obtain the following formula for the composition $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J \circ \bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J$.

Corollary 2.11. *With the notations introduced in Definition 2.7, we have:*

$$\bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J \circ \bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J(\sigma) = (\text{Ad}_{\sigma^{-1}} - \text{id})(\mathfrak{f}_J).$$

In particular, if $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$, we have $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}_J \circ \bar{\mathfrak{l}}_J = 0$.

3. THE HÖLDER VERSION OF THE NEULANDER-NIRENBERG THEOREM FOR BUNDLES

Let P be \mathcal{C}^∞ principal G -bundle on U and $\kappa \in [0, +\infty]$. A bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ on P is an almost complex structure on P satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1 which, regarded as section of the vector bundle $\text{End}(T_P)$, is of class \mathcal{C}^κ . Equivalently, a bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ on P is a bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^0 on P , such that, for any local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$, the form $\alpha_\tau^\flat \in A^{0,1}(W, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0})$ is of class \mathcal{C}^κ (has coefficients in) \mathcal{C}^κ .

We will denote by \mathcal{J}_P^κ the space of bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ on P . It is an affine space with model space the space $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,1} \otimes \text{Ad}(P))$ of $\text{Ad}(P)$ -valued $(0, 1)$ -forms of class \mathcal{C}^κ . Throughout this section we will fix a Hermitian inner product on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

3.1. The case $n = 1$. In the case $n = 1$ Theorem 1.2 states that, under the given assumptions, for *any* $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^\kappa$ there exists a J -pseudo-holomorphic section of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ around any point $x \in U$.

Consider first the case of the closed Riemann surface \mathbb{P}^1 . Choosing a partition of unity subordinate to the standard atlas

$$\{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}\}$$

of \mathbb{P}^1 we obtain explicit norms on the Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$, see section 4.1.

The kernel of the operator

$$\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$$

is the space of constant maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (which will be denoted by \mathfrak{g} to save on notation). On the other hand, by Dolbeault theorem and Hölder elliptic regularity, the cokernel of this operator is identified with $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g})$, which vanishes, because

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} = 0.$$

Let K be a closed complement of \mathfrak{g} in the Banach space $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g})$. Such a complement exists by the Hahn-Banach theorem, because \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional. It follows that the restriction $\bar{\partial}_0 := \bar{\partial}|_K : K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ is an isomorphism of Banach spaces. We can now state

Proposition 3.1. *There exists an open neighborhood N of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ and, for any $\lambda \in N$, a solution $u = \mathfrak{N}(\lambda) \in K \subset \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g})$ of the equation*

$$\bar{\mathbb{I}}(\exp(u)) = \lambda$$

such that the obtained map $\mathfrak{N} : N_U \rightarrow K$ is holomorphic and satisfies: $\mathfrak{N}(0) = 0$, $d\mathfrak{N}(0) = \bar{\partial}_0^{-1}$.

Proof. We make use of Lemma 3.2 proved below, taking in this Lemma $U = \mathbb{P}^1$, $V = \mathfrak{g}$, $F = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega = \exp^*(\theta^{1,0})$. It follows that the composition $\bar{\mathbb{d}} = \bar{\mathbb{I}} \circ \exp$ defines a holomorphic map $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, for $s = 0$, the map $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}))$ is the constant map $\bar{U} \ni x \mapsto \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, so by Lemma 3.2 (3), the differential at 0 of $\bar{\mathbb{d}}$ is $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. It follows that the differential of the restriction

$$\bar{\mathbb{d}}_K := \bar{\mathbb{d}}|_K : K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$$

at 0 is the invertible operator $\bar{\partial}_0$, so $\bar{\mathbb{d}}_K$ is a local biholomorphism around 0. Let $M \subset K$, $N \subset \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ be open neighborhoods of 0 in the respective spaces such that $\bar{\mathbb{d}}_K$ induces a biholomorphism $\bar{\mathbb{d}}_0 : M \rightarrow N$. It suffices to put $\mathfrak{N} := \bar{\mathbb{d}}_0^{-1}$.

■

Before stating Lemma 3.2 used in the above proof, we need a brief preparation: Let V, F be finite dimensional Hermitian vector spaces, and $\omega \in \Omega^{1,0}(V, F)$ a holomorphic F -valued form of bidegree $(1, 0)$ on V , regarded as holomorphic map $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)$, where $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Let X be a complex manifold, $U \subset X$ be relatively compact and open such that \bar{U} is either a submanifold with smooth boundary $\bar{U} \setminus U$, or $U = \bar{U} = X$, in which case X being a closed complex manifold. We choose a pair $(\mathcal{A}, (\chi_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}})$ consisting of a finite atlas of \bar{U} and a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $(V_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}}$ to define effective explicit norms on the Hölder spaces on \bar{U} (see section 4.1).

Let $s \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, V)$. The form $\bar{\partial}s \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes V)$ can be identified with the $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U^{0,1}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}))$ -component of the complexified differential

$$ds \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}} : U \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U \otimes \mathbb{C}, V_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U^{1,0} \oplus T_U^{0,1}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0} \oplus V_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}).$$

Put $\omega_s := \omega \circ s$, and note that

$$\omega_s \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)).$$

This follows from Palais' composition principle [Pa, p. 39, second paragraph after Axiom (B§5)] applied to the map $\omega : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)$ regarded as a differentiable fiber preserving map of trivial vector bundles

$$\bar{U} \times V \rightarrow \bar{U} \times \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)$$

over \bar{U} .

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions above we have:*

- (1) *The form $s^*(\omega)^{0,1}$ is given by the section $\omega_s \cdot \bar{\partial}s \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U^{0,1}, F))$, where \cdot stands for the fiberwise bilinear vector bundle map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U^{0,1}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_U^{0,1}, F)$$

on U given fiberwise by the compositions

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{U,x}^{0,1}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{U,x}^{0,1}, F), \quad x \in U.$$

- (2) *The map $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, V) \ni s \xrightarrow{L} s^*(\omega)^{0,1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes F)$ is holomorphic.*

- (3) *The differential of L at a holomorphic element $s \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, V)$ is given by*

$$dL(s)(\dot{s}) = \omega_s \cdot \bar{\partial}\dot{s}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. The first claim follows by the definition of the pull back form $s^*(\omega)$ and its $(0, 1)$ component.

The second claim follows using:

- The already proved claim (1) which yields a continuous bilinear map

$$\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)) \times \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes V^{1,0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes F)$$

of Banach spaces.

- The holomorphy of the map $s \mapsto \omega_s$. This follows by Palais' differentiability theorem [Pa, Theorem 11.3] and a well known holomorphy criterion [Mu, Theorem 13.16 p. 107] in terms of \mathbb{C} -differentiability for maps between Banach spaces.
- the fact that $s \mapsto \bar{\partial}s$ induces a continuous \mathbb{C} -linear operator $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes V) = \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(T_{\bar{U}}^{0,1}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}))$.

The third claim follows using Leibniz rule applied to the continuous bilinear map

$$\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \text{Hom}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}, F)) \times \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes V^{1,0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes F)$$

of Banach spaces mentioned above and noting that the term containing $\bar{\partial}s$ vanishes if s is holomorphic. ■

We can prove now the effective Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem for principal bundles in the case $n = 1$ we have stated in the introduction:

Proof. (of Theorem 1.8) The main ingredient in the proof is the existence of a continuous extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_{\bar{U}}^{\kappa} : \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}).$$

This follows from the extension Lemma [GiTr, Lemma 6.37] noting that the form $d\bar{z}$ gives a trivialization of the line bundle $\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}$ on \mathbb{C} , so an obvious isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})$.

To complete the proof it suffices to put $N_U := (\mathcal{E}_{\bar{U}}^{\kappa})^{-1}(N)$, where N is the open neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\mathbb{P}^1, \bigwedge_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ given by Proposition 3.1, and to define

$$\mathfrak{N}_U : N_U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})$$

by $\mathfrak{N}_U(\lambda) = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{E}_{\bar{U}}^{\kappa}(\lambda))|_{\bar{U}}$. ■

3.2. The case $n \geq 2$. Suppose now $n := \dim(U) \geq 2$. Note first that if $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^{\kappa}$ with $\kappa \geq 1$, then $\mathfrak{f}_J \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa-1}(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,2} \otimes \text{Ad}(P))$ and the condition $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$ has an obvious sense. In fact this condition has sense even for $\kappa = 0$:

Definition 3.3. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$ be a continuous bundle ACS on P . We will say that \mathfrak{f}_J vanishes in distributional sense, and we will write $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$, if for any local section $\tau \in \Gamma(W, P)$ the $(0, 2)$ -form $\mathfrak{f}_J^{\tau} = \bar{\partial}\alpha_J^{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_J^{\tau} \wedge \alpha_J^{\tau}]$ vanishes in distributional sense, i.e. for any compactly supported form $\varphi \in A_c^{n, n-2}(W, \text{Ad}(P)^*)$ we have

$$\int_U \langle \alpha_J^{\tau} \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_U \langle [\alpha_J^{\tau} \wedge \alpha_J^{\tau}] \wedge \varphi \rangle.$$

If $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^{\kappa}$ with $\kappa \geq 1$, this condition is equivalent to the vanishing of \mathfrak{f}_J as element of $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa-1}(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,2} \otimes \text{Ad}(P)) \subset \mathcal{C}^0(U, \bigwedge_U^{0,2} \otimes \text{Ad}(P))$.

Remark 3.4. Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^0$ be a continuous bundle ACS on P and let $s : U \rightarrow P$ be a J -pseudo-holomorphic section of class \mathcal{C}^1 . Then \mathfrak{f}_J vanishes in distributional sense.

Proof. Let $\tau : W \rightarrow P$ be a local section of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^1(W, G)$ be such that $s|_W = \tau\sigma^{-1}$. Since J is of class \mathcal{C}^0 we know that $\alpha_J^{\tau} \in \mathcal{C}^0(W, \mathfrak{g})$. By Remark 2.4 we have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma) = \alpha_J^{\tau}.$$

Let (σ_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(W, G)$ converging in the \mathcal{C}^1 topology to σ . It follows that $(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma_n))$ converges in the \mathcal{C}^0 topology to $\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma) = \alpha_J^{\tau}$. By Corollary 2.11 we have $\bar{\mathfrak{k}}(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma_n)) = 0$, so

$$\bar{\partial}(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma_n)) + \frac{1}{2}[\bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma_n) \wedge \bar{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma_n)] = 0$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in distributional sense, we obtain

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha_J^{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha_J^{\tau} \wedge \alpha_J^{\tau}] = 0,$$

as claimed. ■

Let X be a Hermitian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, and let $U \subset X$ be a relatively compact strictly pseudoconvex open subset with smooth boundary $\partial\bar{U} = \bar{U} \setminus U$. The L^2 -structures used in the arguments below are associated with the Hermitian structure of X , whereas the Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,q} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ are endowed with the explicit norms associated with a pair $(\mathcal{A}, (\chi_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}})$ consisting of a finite atlas of \bar{U}

and a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $(V_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}}$ (see section 4.1 in the appendix).

Under these our strict pseudo-convexity assumption, the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ can be identified with the harmonic spaces $\mathbb{H}^{0,q}$ [LM, Theorem 4.1 p. 314] for $q > 0$. If we assume that X is Stein, these spaces vanish for all $q > 0$ [LM, Theorem 7.9 p. 180]).

Let

$$P : L^2(U, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow L^2(U, \mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{O}(U, \mathfrak{g})$$

be the Bergman projection on the space of L^2 holomorphic \mathfrak{g} -valued functions on U . Let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and let $k := [\kappa]$ be its integer part. Put

$$K := \{f \in \ker(P) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(U, \mathfrak{g}) \mid \|\bar{\partial}f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} < \infty\},$$

and we endow this vector space with the norm

$$\|f\|_K := \|\bar{\partial}f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions above, suppose $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$. Let $Z^{0,1}$ be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ defined by*

$$Z^{0,1} := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \mid \bar{\partial}\lambda = 0\},$$

where, for $k = 0$, the condition $\bar{\partial}\lambda = 0$ is meant in distributional sense on U .

- (1) *The operator $\bar{\partial}$ induces a (norm preserving) isomorphism of normed spaces $\bar{\partial}_0 : K \xrightarrow{\cong} Z^{0,1}$, in particular K is a Banach space.*
- (2) *K is contained in $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})$ and the inclusion operator is continuous.*
- (3) *We have $K \subset \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(U, \mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, for any relatively compact $V \Subset U$, there exists $C_V > 0$ such that for any $u \in K$ we have the estimate:*

$$\|u|_V\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(V)} \leq C_V \|u\|_K. \quad (19)$$

Proof. (1) It is clear that $\bar{\partial}_0 : K \rightarrow Z^{0,1}$ is injective and preserves the norm. For the surjectivity: Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ with $\bar{\partial}\lambda = 0$.

Since the harmonic space $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}$ vanishes, the equation $\bar{\partial}u = \lambda$ is solvable. More precisely, the corresponding canonical solution [LM, p. 209], [LM, Corollary 3.2 p. 305], [FK, Proposition 3.1.15] $f = \bar{\partial}^* N \lambda$ belongs to $\ker(P)$, so it belongs to K because $\bar{\partial}f = \lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$.

(2) The proof of (1) shows that the inverse of $\bar{\partial}_0$ is the restriction of $\bar{\partial}^* N$ to $Z^{0,1}$, so it suffices to show that $\bar{\partial}^* N$ restricts to a continuous operator

$$\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g}).$$

By [BGS, Theorem 1 (a)] it follows that N restricts to a continuous operator $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$. Since N takes values in $\text{dom}(\square) \subset \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ [LM, p. 209], on which $\bar{\partial}^*$ is given by the first order differential operator ϑ [LM, p. 206], it follows that $\bar{\partial}^* N$ restricts to a continuous operator $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g})^1$ as claimed.

(3) The first claim of (3) follows using standard regularity property of the first order elliptic operator $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^* : \bigoplus_{0 \leq 2q \leq n} A^{0,2q}(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq 2q+1 \leq n} A^{0,2q+1}(U)$. The second claim follows using interior estimates [DN, Theorem 4, p. 529] for the same operator

¹The quoted theorem uses the "standard Lipschitz spaces" Λ_κ , where $\kappa > 0$. For non-integer κ , this space can be identified with the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^{[\kappa], \kappa - [\kappa]}$ [St, Propositions 6, 9 in section V.4 and section VI.2.3] which we denote \mathcal{C}^κ . Note also that in fact, by [BGS, Theorem 2 (a)], $\bar{\partial}^* N$ maps continuously Λ_κ even to $\Lambda_{\kappa + \frac{1}{2}}$.

taking into account (2) which gives an estimate of $\|f\|_{C^0}$ in terms of $\|f\|_K = \|\bar{\partial}f\|_{C^\kappa}$.

■

Lemma 3.6. *The formula $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(u) := \bar{\iota}(\exp(u))$ defines a holomorphic map*

$$\bar{\mathfrak{d}} : \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{U}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$$

whose image is contained in the closed subset

$$W := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \mid \bar{\partial}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda \wedge \lambda] = 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}),$$

and whose differential at 0 is $d(\bar{\mathfrak{d}})(0)(\dot{s}) = \bar{\partial}\dot{s}$.

In the case $k = 0$ (i.e. $0 < \kappa < 1$) the condition $\bar{\partial}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda \wedge \lambda] = 0$ in the definition of W is meant in distributional sense on U .

Proof. We use Lemma 3.2 taking $V = \mathfrak{g}$, $F = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega = \exp^*(\theta^{1,0})$ regarded as holomorphic 1-form on \mathfrak{g} . Noting that $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(u) = (\exp \circ u)^*(\theta^{1,0})^{0,1} = u^*(\omega)^{0,1}$, we obtain $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(u) \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ by Lemma 3.2 (1). The other claims follow by Lemma 3.2 (2), (3). ■

Let $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ be the map defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\partial}^* N)[\lambda \wedge \lambda].$$

This map is well defined and holomorphic. Indeed, using the mentioned above regularity property of the operator N and a standard multiplicative property of Hölder spaces, it follows that the second term of \mathcal{K} is a continuous quadratic (2-homogeneous) map $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ [Mu, section I.2]. Therefore \mathcal{K} is even polynomial in the sense of [Mu, Definition I.2.8].

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose $H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ for $q \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $\mathcal{K}(W) \subset Z^{0,1}$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in W$. We have in distributional sense

$$\bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \bar{\partial}\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* N[\lambda \wedge \lambda] = -\frac{1}{2}[\lambda \wedge \lambda] + \frac{1}{2}\square N[\lambda \wedge \lambda] - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} N[\lambda \wedge \lambda].$$

Since the harmonic space $\mathbb{H}^{0,2}$ vanishes, we have $\square N = \text{id}$ on L^2 forms of type (0,2), so we get in distributional sense:

$$\bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} N[\lambda \wedge \lambda]. \quad (20)$$

The range of $\bar{\partial}N$ is contained in the domain of $\bar{\partial}^*$, because N takes values in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\square) &= \{f \in L^2(U, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,2} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \mid f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}) \cap \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*), \\ &\quad \bar{\partial}f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*), \bar{\partial}^*f \in \text{dom}(\bar{\partial})\} \end{aligned}$$

(see [LM, p. 201]). Therefore the right hand term of (20) belongs to L^2 , more precisely it belongs to the range $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ of $\bar{\partial}^*$ as closed and densely defined operator on L^2 (see [LM, p. 185]). But then (20) shows that the distribution $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}(\lambda)$ belongs to L^2 , more precisely it belongs to the range $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\partial})$ of $\bar{\partial}$ as closed and densely defined operator on L^2 (see [LM, Theorem 2.6 p. 187]). Since $\mathcal{R}(\bar{\partial}) \perp \mathcal{R}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ (see [LM, Theorem 5.14, or Theorem 6.2]), we get $\bar{\partial}\mathcal{K}(\lambda) = 0$. ■

For the differential $d\mathcal{K}(0)$ of \mathcal{K} at 0 we have $d\mathcal{K}(0) = \text{id}$, so $d(\mathcal{K} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{d}})(0) = d\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(0) = \bar{\partial}$ by Lemma 3.6. On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.6, 3.7, $\mathcal{K} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{d}}$ takes values in $Z^{0,1}$. Therefore

Remark 3.8. The induced map $\mathfrak{c} := \mathcal{K} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{d}}|_K : K \rightarrow Z^{0,1}$ is also holomorphic, and its differential at 0 is $d\mathfrak{c}(0) = \bar{\mathfrak{d}}_0$, which is a (norm preserving) isomorphism of normed spaces by Lemma 3.5.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose $H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = 0$ for $q \in \{1, 2\}$. There exists an open neighborhood N_U of 0 in W and a continuous map $\mathfrak{N} : N_U \rightarrow K$ such that $\mathfrak{N}(0) = 0$, $\bar{\mathfrak{d}} \circ \mathfrak{N} = \text{id}_{N_U}$ and $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathfrak{N}(\lambda)\|_K}{\|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}} = 1$.*

Proof. By the local inverse theorem applied to \mathcal{K} and \mathfrak{c} , there exists:

- an open neighborhood B of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ on which \mathcal{K} is injective,
- an open neighborhood $M \subset K$ of 0 in K on which \mathfrak{c} is injective, and such that $R := \mathfrak{c}(M)$ is open in $Z^{0,1}$ and \mathfrak{c} induces a biholomorphism $\mathfrak{c}_0 : M \rightarrow R$.

We choose M sufficiently small such that $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(M) \subset B$. This is possible, because $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}$ is holomorphic, hence continuous.

The intersection $\mathcal{K}^{-1}(R) \cap W$ is open in W because it coincides with the pre-image of R via the restriction $\mathcal{K}|_W : W \rightarrow Z^{0,1}$ (see Lemma 3.7). It follows that $N_U := B \cap \mathcal{K}^{-1}(R) \cap W$ is an open neighborhood of 0 in W .

We claim that in fact $B \cap \mathcal{K}^{-1}(R) \subset W$, i.e. that $N_U = B \cap \mathcal{K}^{-1}(R)$. Indeed, for any $\lambda \in B \cap \mathcal{K}^{-1}(R)$ we have $\mathcal{K}(\lambda) \in R$, so $\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)) \in M \subset K$, so

$$\mathcal{K}(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)))) = (\mathcal{K} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{d}})|_K(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))) = \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda). \quad (21)$$

But both λ and $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)))$ belong to B . The former because we have chosen $\lambda \in B \cap \mathcal{K}^{-1}(R)$, the latter because $\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)) \in M$ and we have chosen M such that $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}(M) \subset B$. Therefore, since \mathcal{K} is injective on B , formula (21) implies

$$\lambda = \bar{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))), \quad (22)$$

in particular $\lambda \in W$ by Lemma 3.6, and the claim is proved.

Put $\mathfrak{N} := \mathfrak{c}_0^{-1} \circ \mathcal{K}|_{N_U} : N_U \rightarrow K$. Formula (22) gives

$$\bar{\mathfrak{d}} \circ \mathfrak{N} = \text{id}_{N_U}. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathfrak{N}(\lambda)\|_K}{\|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))\|_K}{\|\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}} \frac{\|\mathcal{K}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}}{\|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}} = 1,$$

because the differentials $d(\mathfrak{c}_0^{-1})(0)$, $d(\mathcal{K})(0)$ are isomorphisms of normed spaces. ■

Theorem 1.7 stated in the introduction follows from Proposition 3.9 taking into account Lemma 3.5 (3).

Now we can prove our Hölder version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem:

Proof. (of Theorem 1.2) Suppose first $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) follows from Remark 3.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^\kappa$ such that, in the case $n \geq 2$, we have $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$. The problem is local, so we can assume that

- U is an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n and $x = 0$.
- P is the trivial G -bundle $U \times G$ on U .

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(U, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ be the form which corresponds to J via the identifications explained in Remark 2.2 (1), (2). Note first that, by Proposition 2.5, the assumption $\mathfrak{f}_J = 0$ (in the case $n \geq 2$) becomes

$$\bar{\mathfrak{d}}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha \wedge \alpha] = 0 \quad (24)$$

(in distributional sense for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$). Let $r > 0$ be sufficiently small such that $\bar{B}_r \subset U$, where \bar{B}_r stands for the radius r ball around 0. Taking into account

Remark 2.4 (generalized in the obvious way for bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^κ) it suffices to prove:

Claim. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ the equation

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\exp(u)) = \alpha|_{B_{\varepsilon r}}$$

has a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(B_{\varepsilon r}, \mathfrak{g})$.

To prove this claim note that, since U is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , α is given by a map

$$\tilde{\alpha} : U \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{g})$$

of class \mathcal{C}^κ taking values in the space of anti-linear maps $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we have $\|\alpha|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} = \|\tilde{\alpha}|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}$ (see section 4.1). Let $h_\varepsilon : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}$ be the contraction $h_\varepsilon(z) = \varepsilon z$. Put

$$\alpha_\varepsilon := h_\varepsilon^*(\alpha|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}) \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{B}_r, \bigwedge_{\bar{B}_r}^{0,1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}).$$

The corresponding map $\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon : \bar{B}_r \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ is $\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon \tilde{\alpha} \circ h_\varepsilon$. This shows that, denoting as usual $k := [\kappa]$, $\nu := \kappa - k$,

- for any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ with $|\beta| \leq k$ and for any $x \in \bar{B}_r$ we have

$$\partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{|\beta|+1} (\partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha})(\varepsilon x).$$

- for any multi-index $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ with $|\beta| = k$ and for any $x, y \in \bar{B}_r$ we have

$$\frac{\|\partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon(x) - \partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon(y)\|}{\|x - y\|^\nu} = \varepsilon^{\kappa+1} \frac{\|\partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha}(\varepsilon x) - \partial^\beta \tilde{\alpha}(\varepsilon y)\|}{\|\varepsilon x - \varepsilon y\|^\nu}.$$

Therefore for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\|\alpha_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} = \|\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{\alpha}|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} = \varepsilon \|\alpha|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} \leq \varepsilon \|\alpha|_{\bar{B}_r}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa}. \quad (25)$$

- Suppose $n = 1$. We apply Theorem 1.8 to the bounded domain $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}$. Formula (25) shows that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\alpha_\varepsilon \in N_{B_r}$, so the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\exp(u)) = \alpha_\varepsilon$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{B}_r, \mathfrak{g})$. Therefore $u_\varepsilon \circ h_\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a solution of the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\exp(u)) = \alpha|_{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon r}}$.
- Suppose $n \geq 2$. We apply Theorem 1.7 to the strictly pseudo-convex open subset B_r of $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. By formula (24) we have $\alpha_\varepsilon \in W$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, formula (25) shows that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the form α_ε belongs to the open neighborhood N_{B_r} of 0 in W given by Theorem 1.7, so the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\exp(u)) = \alpha_\varepsilon$ has a solution $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(B_r, \mathfrak{g})$. Therefore $u_\varepsilon \circ h_\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(B_{\varepsilon r}, \mathfrak{g})$ is a solution of the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\exp(u)) = \alpha|_{B_{\varepsilon r}}$.

For $\kappa = +\infty$ the claim follows from Proposition 1.1: in this case J is an integrable bundle ACS of class \mathcal{C}^∞ on P and the bundle map $p : P \rightarrow U$ becomes a holomorphic submersion. Local holomorphic sections of p will be of class \mathcal{C}^∞ . \blacksquare

Remark 3.10. Let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty] \setminus \mathbb{N}$. In the case when G is a complex Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$, the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\sigma) = \alpha$ can be written as $\sigma^{-1} \bar{\partial} \sigma = \alpha$. One can then use elliptic regularity and bootstrapping to prove that, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\kappa(W, \mathfrak{g})$ any solution in $\mathcal{C}^1(W, G)$ of the equation $\bar{\Gamma}(\sigma) = \alpha$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}(W, G)$. Therefore, for a bundle ACS $J \in \mathcal{J}_P^\kappa$, any local J -pseudo-holomorphic section of class \mathcal{C}^1 is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$.

We can prove now Corollaries 1.4, 1.6 stated in the introduction:

Proof. (of Corollary 1.4)

Let \mathfrak{h}_J be the set of J -pseudo-holomorphic local sections of P which are of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$. It suffices to prove that \mathfrak{h}_J is a holomorphic structure on (the underlying topological bundle of) P in the sense of Definition 1.3. By Theorem 1.2 \mathfrak{h}_J satisfies condition (1) in this definition. In order to prove the second condition (holomorphic

compatibility), let $\tau : W \rightarrow P$, $\tau' : W' \rightarrow P$ be J -pseudo-holomorphic local sections of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ of P . We have to prove that the comparison map $\psi_{\tau\tau'} : W \cap W' \rightarrow G$ is holomorphic. The maps $\Psi : W \times G \rightarrow P_W$, $\Psi' : W' \times G \rightarrow P_{W'}$ defined by

$$\Psi(x, g) = \tau(x)g, \quad \Psi'(x, g) = \tau'(x)g$$

are diffeomorphisms of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$. Moreover, they are J -pseudo-holomorphic because τ , τ' are J -pseudo-holomorphic and the action $P \times G \rightarrow P$ is J -pseudo-holomorphic. It follows that the $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ diffeomorphism

$$\Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi' : (W \cap W') \times G \rightarrow (W \cap W') \times G$$

is holomorphic. But

$$\Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi'(x, g) = (x, \psi_{\tau\tau'}(x)g),$$

in particular $\Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi'(x, e) = (x, \psi_{\tau\tau'}(x))$, which proves that $\psi_{\tau\tau'}$ is holomorphic.

Finally note that \mathfrak{h}_J is maximal (with respect to inclusion) satisfying (1), (2). Indeed, a local continuous section σ of P which is holomorphically compatible with any $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}_J$ is obviously J -pseudo-holomorphic and of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$, so it belongs to \mathfrak{h}_J . ■

Proof. (of Corollary 1.6)

Put $E := P \times_G F$, and let $\varphi : V \rightarrow E$ be a holomorphic (with respect to \mathfrak{h}_J) local section. This means that the corresponding G -equivariant map $\hat{\varphi} : P_V \rightarrow F$ is holomorphic with respect to \mathfrak{h}_J . We have to prove that φ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$, i.e. that the composition $\hat{\varphi} \circ \sigma : W_\sigma \rightarrow F$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ for any \mathcal{C}^∞ local section $\sigma : W_\sigma \rightarrow P$ with $W_\sigma \subset V$. Let $x \in W_\sigma$ and let $\tau : W_\tau \rightarrow P$ be a local section belonging to \mathfrak{h}_J with $x \in W_\tau \subset W_\sigma$. Since we assumed that φ is holomorphic, we know that $\hat{\varphi} \circ \tau : W_\tau \rightarrow F$ is holomorphic. For $y \in W_\tau$ we have

$$(\hat{\varphi} \circ \sigma)(y) = \hat{\varphi}(\sigma(y)) = \hat{\varphi}(\tau(y)\psi_{\tau\sigma}(y)) = \psi_{\tau\sigma}(y)^{-1}(\hat{\varphi} \circ \tau)(y),$$

so $\hat{\varphi} \circ \sigma$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$ on W_τ because $\hat{\varphi} \circ \tau$ is holomorphic and, since τ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$, the comparison map $\psi_{\tau\sigma} : W_\tau \rightarrow G$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa+1}$. ■

4. APPENDIX

4.1. Lipschitz spaces, Hölder spaces. Let $\kappa \in (0, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $k := [\kappa]$, $\nu := \kappa - k$. For a finite dimensional normed space T let $\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T)$ be the order κ Lipschitz space of T -valued maps on \mathbb{R}^n in supremum norm [JW, p. 2], [St, p. 176]:

$$\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^{[\kappa]}(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \mid \|f\|_{\text{Lip}^\kappa} < \infty\}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}^\kappa} &:= \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\partial^j f\| \leq m, \text{ for } |j| \leq [\kappa], \text{ and} \\ &\|\partial^j f(x) - \partial^j f(y)\| \leq m\|x - y\|^{\kappa - [j]} \text{ for } |j| = [\kappa], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We refer to [GiTr, section 4.1] for the standard definition of the Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{k,\nu}(\bar{\Omega})$ and we note that the definition extends in an obvious way to T -valued maps. We will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{k,\nu}(\bar{\Omega}, T)$ or $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T)$ the resulting Banach space. Using the extension Lemma [GiTr, Lemma 6.37] we obtain an equivalent definition of the space $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T)$:

$$\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\bar{\Omega}, T) \mid \exists \tilde{f} \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \text{ such that } \tilde{f}|_{\bar{\Omega}} = f\}. \quad (28)$$

This shows that the restriction epimorphism

$$|_{\bar{\Omega}} : \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T)$$

induces an isomorphism of Banach spaces

$$\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) / \{f \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \mid f|_{\bar{\Omega}} \equiv 0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T).$$

We also define the Lipschitz space $\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T)$ of T -valued maps on the half-space $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$ by

$$\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T) \mid \exists \tilde{f} \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \text{ such that } \tilde{f}|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} = f\}.$$

Endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}^\kappa}$ induced by the obvious isomorphism

$$\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) / \{\psi \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^n, T) \mid \psi|_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \equiv 0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T),$$

$\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T)$ becomes a Banach space.

Let now M (\bar{U}) be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold (with boundary), and \mathcal{A}_M ($\mathcal{A}_{\bar{U}}$) be the maximal atlas (the set of charts) of M (\bar{U}). We define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\kappa(M, T) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^0(M, T) \mid (\chi f|_{V_h}) \circ h^{-1} \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T) \text{ for any} \\ (M \supset_{\text{open}} V_h \xrightarrow{h} W_h \supset_{\text{open}} \mathbb{R}^n) \in \mathcal{A}_M \text{ and } \chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V_h, \mathbb{R})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, T) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\bar{U}, T) \mid (\chi f|_{V_h}) \circ h^{-1} \in \text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T) \text{ for any} \\ (\bar{U} \supset_{\text{open}} V_h \xrightarrow{h} W_h \supset_{\text{open}} \mathbb{R}_+^n) \in \mathcal{A}_{\bar{U}} \text{ and } \chi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V_h, \mathbb{R})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The space $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(M, T)$ ($\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, T)$) is naturally a Fréchet space. When M (\bar{U}) is compact, the topology of this space can be defined by a single norm, so it becomes a Banach space. More precisely, for a finite atlas $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$ ($\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\bar{U}}$) of a compact manifold M (with boundary \bar{U}) and a partition of unity $(\chi_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}}$ subordinate to the open cover $(V_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}}$ of M (\bar{U}), we obtain a norm on $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(M, T)$ ($\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{U}, T)$), defining its topology, given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\kappa} = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{A}} \|(\chi_h f|_{V_h}) \circ h^{-1}\|_{\text{Lip}^\kappa}, \quad (31)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}^\kappa}$ stands for the norm defined above on the space $\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T)$ (respectively $\text{Lip}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}_+^n, T)$). In particular we obtain a third equivalent definition of the Banach space $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T)$ associated with a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary.

Let Ω be such a domain. A T -valued differential form of degree d on Ω can be regarded as a map $\Omega \rightarrow L_{\text{alt}}^d(\mathbb{R}^n, T)$ with values in the space $L_{\text{alt}}^d(\mathbb{R}^n, T)$ of T -valued alternating d -linear maps on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^d$. Using the identification

$$\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, \bigwedge_{\Omega}^d T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, L_{\text{alt}}^d(\mathbb{R}^n, T)),$$

we obtain a natural definition of the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, \bigwedge_{\Omega}^d T)$: one just replaces T by $L_{\text{alt}}^d(\mathbb{R}^n, T)$ in the definition of $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, T)$. More generally, using formulae similar to (29), (30) we obtain – for a differentiable manifold (with boundary) M (\bar{U}) – the Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{C}^k(M, \bigwedge_M^d T)$ (respectively $\mathcal{C}^k(\bar{U}, \bigwedge_{\bar{U}}^d T)$); these spaces become Banach spaces when M (\bar{U}) is compact. In this case, choosing a pair $(\mathcal{A}, (\chi_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}})$ consisting of a finite atlas of M (\bar{U}) and a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $(V_h)_{h \in \mathcal{A}}$, we obtain – using a formula similar to (31) – defining norms on the spaces $\mathcal{C}^\kappa(\bar{\Omega}, \bigwedge_{\Omega}^d T)$, $d \geq 0$.

4.2. The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for vector bundles. Let U be a connected n -dimensional complex manifold and E a differentiable complex vector bundle of rank r on U . Let

$$\delta : A^0(U, E) \rightarrow A^{0,1}(U, E)$$

be a Dolbeault operator (semi-connection) on E , i.e. a first order differential operator satisfying the Leibniz rule $\delta(f\sigma) = \bar{\partial}f\sigma + f\delta\sigma$ (see for instance [DK, section 2.2.2] [LO, section 1], [LT, section 4.3]). We denote by the same symbol the natural

extension $A^{0,q}(U, E) \rightarrow A^{0,q+1}(U, E)$ and recall that $\delta^2 : A^0(U, E) \rightarrow A^{0,2}(U, E)$ is an order 0 operator, so it is given by a form $F_\delta \in A^{0,2}(U, \text{End}(E))$. With respect to a local trivialization, δ has the form $\bar{\partial} + \alpha$ for a $\text{gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -valued form α of type $(0,1)$, and then, in the same trivialization, F_δ is given by $\bar{\partial}\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha$.

By the vector bundle version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, the $\text{End}(E)$ -valued $(0,2)$ -form F_δ is the obstruction to the integrability of δ [Gr, Proposition p. 419], [AHS, Theorem 5.1], [Ko, Proposition I.3.7], [DK, Theorem 2.1.53]:

Theorem 4.1 (The Newlander-Nirenberg theorem for vector bundles). *Let δ be a Dolbeault operator on E . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $F_\delta = 0$.
- (2) δ is integrable in the following sense: for any point $x \in U$ there exists an open neighborhood W of x and a frame $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r) \in A^0(W, E)^r$ with $\delta\theta_i = 0$.

If this is the case, δ defines a holomorphic structure \mathfrak{h}_δ on E . For an open set $W \subset U$, a section $\sigma \in A^0(W, E)$ is holomorphic with respect to \mathfrak{h}_δ if and only if $\delta\sigma = 0$.

The map $\delta \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_\delta$ defines a bijection between the set of integrable Dolbeault operators and the set of holomorphic structures on E . This result has important consequences: the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic bundles which are differentiably isomorphic to E can be identified with the quotient $\mathcal{D}_E^{\text{int}}/\text{Aut}(E)$ of gauge classes of integrable Dolbeault operators on E . Therefore ideas and techniques from gauge theory can be used in the construction of moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles. This idea has been used in [LO] to give a gauge theoretical construction of the moduli space of simple holomorphic bundles with fixed differentiable type.

4.3. Vector fields on principal bundles. Let G be a Lie group, P a differentiable manifold, and $P \times G \rightarrow P$ a smooth right action of G on P . The infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G on P can be regarded a \mathfrak{g}^* -valued vector field $\nu \in \Gamma(P, T_P \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*)$. Explicitly ν is given by

$$\nu_y(a) = a_y^\# \quad \forall y \in P, \forall a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For any map $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g})$ we obtain a vector field $\nu \cdot \lambda$ given by

$$(\nu \cdot \lambda)_y = \nu_y(\lambda(y)) = \lambda(y)_y^\#.$$

In other words $\nu \cdot \lambda$ is the image of the $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ -valued vector field $\nu \otimes \lambda$ under the canonical vector bundle morphism $T_P \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow T_P$. We will use the simpler notation λ^ν for the vector field $\nu \cdot \lambda$. If λ is the constant map associated with $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\lambda^\nu = a^\#$.

The \mathfrak{g}^* -valued vector field ν is obviously invariant under any local diffeomorphisms $P \xrightarrow{\text{open}} U \xrightarrow{f} V \xrightarrow{\text{open}} P$ which commutes with the infinitesimal G -action on P , i.e. such that $f_*(a^\#|_U) = a^\#|_V$. Using this fact we obtain:

Remark 4.2. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(P)$ be vector field whose associated local 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $(\varphi_t)_t$ commutes with the infinitesimal G -action on P , i.e. it satisfies the property

P: For any $x \in P$ there exists $\varepsilon_x > 0$ and an open neighborhood U_x of x in P such that for any $t \in (-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x)$, the local diffeomorphism φ_t is defined on U_x and $\varphi_t : U_x \rightarrow \varphi_t(U_x)$ commutes with the infinitesimal G -action on P in the sense defined above.

Then $L_\xi(\nu) = 0$.

Proof. Indeed, property **P** implies $\varphi_{t*}(\nu)_x = \nu_x$ for any $t \in (-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x)$ for which $\varphi_t^{-1}(x) \in U_x$. Differentiating with respect to t at $t = 0$ we obtain $L_\xi(\nu)_x = 0$. ■

Using [KN, Corollary 1.8 p. 14] applied to the vector fields $a^\#$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and [KN, Corollary 1.11 p. 16] it follows that ξ has property **P** if and only if $[\xi, a^\#] = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. if and only if ξ is invariant under the infinitesimal G -action on P .

We obtain:

Remark 4.3. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{X}(P)$ be a vector field on P which is invariant under the infinitesimal G -action on P , i.e. such that $[\xi, a^\#] = 0$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $L_\xi(\nu) = 0$, in particular for any map $\lambda \in C^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g})$ we have $L_\xi(\lambda^\nu) = L_\xi(\lambda)^\nu$, i.e.

$$[\xi, \lambda^\nu] = \xi(\lambda)^\nu. \quad (32)$$

Suppose now that $p : P \rightarrow U$ is a principal G -bundle. For any $\lambda \in C^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g})$ the vector field λ^ν is vertical. If λ is Ad-equivariant, i.e. if λ belongs to $C_{\text{Ad}}^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g}) = A^0(U, \text{Ad}(P))$, then the vector field λ^ν is G -invariant, so Remark 4.3 applies and (32) gives

$$[\lambda^\nu, \lambda'^\nu] = ((\lambda^\nu)(\lambda'))^\nu. \quad (33)$$

for any $\lambda' \in C^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g})$. If λ' is also Ad-equivariant, we have

$$(\lambda^\nu)(\lambda') = -[\lambda, \lambda']. \quad (34)$$

This follows by noting that, via the diffeomorphism $f_y : G \rightarrow y_0G$ associated with a point $y_0 \in P$, the restriction of λ' to the fiber y_0G is given by $g \mapsto \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\lambda'(y_0))$, whereas the restriction of λ^ν to y_0G is the right invariant vector field associated with $\lambda(y_0)$. Therefore, we obtain

Remark 4.4. Let $p : P \rightarrow U$ be a principal G -bundle, and $\lambda, \lambda' \in C_{\text{Ad}}^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g}) = A^0(U, \text{Ad}(P))$. Then

$$[\lambda^\nu, \lambda'^\nu] = -[\lambda, \lambda']^\nu.$$

This formula can also be obtained by noting that, for $\lambda \in C_{\text{Ad}}^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g})$, λ^ν is the vector field (infinitesimal transformation) associated with λ regarded as element in the Lie algebra $\Gamma(U, \text{Ad}(P))$ of the gauge group $\text{Aut}(P) = \Gamma(U, \iota(P))$. Since $\text{Aut}(P)$ acts on P from the left, the linear map $C_{\text{Ad}}^\infty(P, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(P)) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P)$ is an anti-homomorphism of Lie algebras.

REFERENCES

- [AHS] M. Atiyah, N. Hitchin, I. Singer, Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. 362 (1978), 425-461.
- [BGS] R. Beals, P. C. Greiner, N. K. Stanton, L^p and Lipschitz Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Equation and the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem, Math. Ann. 277 (1987), 185-196.
- [BBHM] P. Baldi, M. Berti, E. Haus, R. Montalto, Time quasi-periodic gravity water waves in finite depth, Invent. math. 214 (2018), 739-911.
- problems in mathematics, Nonlinearity 34 (2021) R1-R73.
- [DW] G. Baskalopoulos, R. Wentworth, Holomorphic Frames for Weakly Converging Holomorphic Vector Bundles, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 49 (2006), 36-40.
- [DK] S. Donaldson, P. Kronheimer, The Geometry of Four-Manifolds, Oxford University Press (1990).
- [DN] A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations, Communications in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. VIII (1955), 503-538.
- [FK] G. B. Folland, J. J. Kohn, The Neumann Problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex, Annals of Mathematics Studies 75, Princeton University Press, Year (1972).
- [GiTr] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (2001).
- [Gr] Ph. Griffiths, The extension problem in complex analysis II. Embeddings with positive normal bundle, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 88 (1966), 366-446.

- [Hö] L. Hörmander, An introduction to complex analysis in several variables, North Holland Publ., New York (1973).
- [HT] C. D. Hill, M. Taylor, Integrability of Rough Almost Complex Structures, The Journal of Geometric Analysis, Volume 13, Number 1 (2003), 163-172.
- [JW] A. Jonsson, H. Wallin, Function Spaces on Subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , Mathematical Reports Vol. 2, Part 1, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, London, Paris, Utrecht, New York (1984).
- [Ko] S. Kobayashi, Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan 15, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1987).
- [KN] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry I, Interscience Publ., New York, Vol. I (1963).
- [LM] I. Lieb, J. Michel, The Cauchy-Riemann Complex. Integral formulae and Neumann problem, Aspects of Mathematics Vol. E34, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden (2002).
- [LT] M. Lübke, A. Teleman, The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence, World Scientific Publishing Co. (1995).
- [LO] M. Lübke, Ch. Okonek, Moduli Spaces of Simple Bundles and Hermitian-Einstein Connections, Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 663-674.
- [Ma] B. Malgrange, Sur l'intégrabilité des structures presque-complexes, Séminaire Jean Leray, no 1 (1968-1969), p. 1-8.
- [Mu] J. Mujica, Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 120, Notas di Matemática (107), North-Holland Amsterdam-New York-Oxford (1986).
- [NN] A. Newlander, L. Nirenberg, Complex analytic coordinates in almost complex manifolds, Ann. Math. 65 (1957), 391-404.
- [NW] A. Nijenhuis, W. Woolf, Some integration problems in almost-complex manifolds, Ann. Math. 77 (1963), 424-489.
- [Pa] R. Palais, Foundations of Global Non-Linear Analysis, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, Amsterdam (1968).
- [St] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970).
- [Te] A. Teleman, Holomorphic bundles framed along a real hypersurface and the Riemann-Hilbert problem, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04341>.
- [TT] A. Teleman, M. Toma, Holomorphic bundles framed along a real hypersurface, in preparation.
- [We] S.M. Webster, A New Proof of the Newlander-Nirenberg Theorem, Math. Z. 201 (1989), 303-316.
- [Wh] H. Whitney, Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, 36 (1) (1934), 63-89.

AIX MARSEILLE UNIV, CNRS, I2M, MARSEILLE, FRANCE.

Email address, Andrei Teleman: andrei.teleman@univ-amu.fr