

# Topological optimization of microstructures in 2D Rémi Cornaggia

## ▶ To cite this version:

Rémi Cornaggia. Topological optimization of microstructures in 2D. Doctoral. C2305: Wave Motion in Heterogeneous Media: Analysis, Modeling and Design, CISM, Udine, Italy. 2023. hal-04186515

# HAL Id: hal-04186515 https://hal.science/hal-04186515

Submitted on 23 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Theme 2 :** Topological optimization of microstructures in 2D

Rémi Cornaggia<sup>1</sup>

Joint work with Bojan B. Guzina<sup>2</sup>, Marc Bonnet<sup>3</sup>, Cédric Bellis<sup>4</sup> and Marie Touboul<sup>5</sup>

CISM Course C2305: Wave Motion in Heterogeneous Media: Analysis, Modeling and Design June 19-23, 2023



 $^{1}$ Institut  $\partial ' \text{Alembert, Sorbonne Université}$ 

<sup>5</sup>Imperial College, London

Rémi Cornaggia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Civil, Environmental & Civil Engineering, University of Minnesota

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>POEMS, CNRS, INRIA, ENSTA Paris

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Laboratoire de mécanique et d'acoustique, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille

## Control of wave propagation using periodic structures



[Celli and Gonella, 2015]

... to design waveguides

- ${\ensuremath{\bullet}}$  with resonators for long wavelengths / low frequencies
- $\bullet\,$  with Bragg effects for medium wavelengths / frequencies
- ... to design seismic protections (with resonators)



... and more (negative effective properties, cloaking ...)

### How to obtain desired properties ?

- Known design (cylinders, spheres, cones, Lego bricks ...)  $\implies$  parameter optimization [Huang et al., 2016, Palermo et al., 2016] ...
- Given materials, unknown design ⇒ topological optimization [Vondřejc et al., 2017, Kook and Jensen, 2017, Allaire and Yamada, 2018] ...

This work: long wavelengths, no resonances, "toolbox" to address topological optimization of periodic materials.

## A motivation : dispersion and anisotropy in 2D elasticity [Rosi and Auffray, 2019]









#### Continuum model



### Effective (strain-gradient) model.



Rémi Cornaggia

Topological optimization

## Strategy : optimization using an intermediate effective model



### (1) Homogenization process:

- Double-scale expansions
- Cell problems to capture the microstructural effects
- FFT-based solvers to address these problems
- (2) Optimization tools:
  - Cost functionals based on effective properties
  - Topological sensitivity to drive updating steps
  - Level-set representation and iterative algorithm

## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Second-order homogenization: two-scale expansion

[Sanchez-Palencia, 1974, Bensoussan et al., 1978, Cioranescu and Donato, 1999] ...



Antiplane shear waves:

$$\rho\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}}{\ell}\right)\frac{\partial^2 u_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{X},t)}{\partial t^2} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left[\mu\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}}{\ell}\right)\boldsymbol{\nabla}u_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{X},t)\right] = 0$$

- $(\rho,\mu)$ : Y-periodic density and shear modulus
- Long-wavelength assumption:  $\varepsilon = \ell/\lambda \ll 1$
- "Slow" and "fast" variable:  ${m x}={m X}/\lambda$  and  ${m y}={m X}/\ell={m x}/arepsilon$
- Double scale dependency:  $u_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \rightarrow \hat{u}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y};t)$ (Y-periodic in  $\boldsymbol{y}$ )

Differential operator split:  $\nabla_X \rightarrow \lambda^{-1} (\nabla_x + \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla_y)$ 

Ansatz: 
$$\hat{u}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y};t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \varepsilon^j u_j(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y};t)$$

**Cascade of equations** for the  $u_j$ , separated variables solution:

$$\begin{split} &u_0(x, y; t) = U_0(x; t), \\ &u_1(x, y; t) = U_1(x; t) + P_1(y) \cdot \nabla U_0(x; t), \\ &u_2(x, y; t) = U_2(x; t) + P_1(y) \cdot \nabla U_1(x; t) + P_2(y) : \nabla^2 U_0(x; t), \end{split}$$

- Macroscopic fields  $U_i$
- Cell functions:  $P_1 = (P_1^{(1)}, P_1^{(2)})$  $P_2 = (P_2^{(11)}, P_2^{(12)}, P_2^{(22)})$

## Cell problems and FFT-based algorithms



Numerical strategy: Fourier-based approach

- Regular grid of **pixels** for the discretisation of  $(\rho, \mu)$ .
- (**P**<sub>1</sub>, **P**<sub>2</sub>) discretised on a Fourier basis.
- Iterative solvers relying on Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT) to go back and forth between space and wavenumbers domains.
- Original "basic scheme" by [Moulinec and Suquet, 1995], many other schemes since then [Schneider, 2021].

## Examples for a circular inclusion



Rémi Cornaggia

06/2023 8/58

## Second-order homogenization: effective wave equation [Andrianov et al., 2008, Wautier and Guzina, 2015, Bonnet et al., 2018] Mean field equations:

1

1 1

Effective wave equation for the macroscopic field  $U = U_0 + \varepsilon U_1 + \varepsilon^2 U_2$ :

$$\left[\underline{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}_{0}+\varepsilon^{2}\underline{\boldsymbol{\varrho}}_{2}:\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\right]\frac{\partial^{2}U}{\partial t^{2}}-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\left[\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}+\varepsilon^{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}:\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\right]\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}U\right]=0$$

Second-order displacement approximation: (coming back to  $y = x/\varepsilon$ )

$$u_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{x},t) pprox U(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \varepsilon \boldsymbol{P}_1\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} U(\boldsymbol{x},t) + \varepsilon^2 \boldsymbol{P}_2\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\varepsilon}\right) : \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 U(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$

For elastodynamics: C. Boutin, J.L. Auriault. *Rayleigh scattering in elastic composite materials*. International Journal of Engineering Science, Elsevier, 1993

Rémi Cornaggia

Topological optimization

## Effective dispersion (and anisotropy)

• Plane wave mean field  $U(x,t) = \exp\left[i(k\boldsymbol{d}\cdot\boldsymbol{x} - \omega t)\right] \Longrightarrow$  dispersion relation  $\omega = \omega(k,\boldsymbol{d})$ .

• Phase velocity for the second-order homogenized model:

$$\begin{aligned} c(k, \boldsymbol{d}) &= \frac{\omega(k, \boldsymbol{d})}{k} = \underbrace{c_0(\boldsymbol{d})}_{\text{limit velocity}} + \underbrace{\Delta c(k, \boldsymbol{d})}_{\text{dispersion}} \\ &= c_0(\boldsymbol{d}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma(\boldsymbol{d})}{c_0(\boldsymbol{d})} (k\varepsilon)^2 + o\left((k\varepsilon)^2\right) \text{ as } k\varepsilon \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$c_0(d) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varrho_0} : (d \otimes d)}$$
 and  $\gamma(d) = \left[\frac{\varrho_2 \otimes \mu_0 - \varrho_0 \mu_2}{(\varrho_0)^2}\right] :: (d \otimes d \otimes d \otimes d)$ 



(

## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver

### • Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity

- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Cost functionals and optimization problem

Cost functionals: evaluate the medium performance through its effective properties:

$$\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = J(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}) \qquad \text{here:} \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{m} = (\rho(\boldsymbol{y}), \mu(\boldsymbol{y})), \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in Y \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}} = (\underline{\varrho}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \underline{\varrho}_2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \quad (\text{or } c_0(\boldsymbol{d}), \gamma(\boldsymbol{d})) \end{array}$$

## Optimization problem

Find  $m_{\text{opt}}$  that minimizes  $\mathcal{J}(m)$ .

With the dependencies  $m \to \text{cell problems} \to m_{\text{eff}} \to J(m_{\text{eff}}) = \mathcal{J}(m)$ 

**Extremal effective properties:** 

Fitting "target" data: (e.g. phase velocity)

 $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\boldsymbol{k}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\boldsymbol{d}}} |c^{\text{eff}}(k_i, \boldsymbol{d}_j) - c^{\text{target}}_{ij}|^2$ 

$$\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = rac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma(\boldsymbol{d^+}) 
ight]^{-2}$$

(to maximize the dispersion in direction  $d^+$ )

#### General strategy:

- Constraints and parametrization of m, e.g. piecewise uniform materials
- Iterative "material update" algorithms

$$oldsymbol{m}^{(n+1)} = oldsymbol{m}^{(n)} + \Delta oldsymbol{m}^{(n)}$$
 such that  $\mathcal{J}(oldsymbol{m}^{(n+1)}) < \mathcal{J}(oldsymbol{m}^{(n)})$ 

• Main tool: sensitivity of  $\mathcal J$  to a material update  $\Delta m$  to choose a "good"  $\Delta m^{(n)}$ .

## Parameter and shape sensitivities – Examples

[Vondřejc et al., 2017]: High-contrast bulk periodic medium, band-gap optimization, B-spline parametrization of inclusion boundary Parameters: positions of control points.



[Allaire et al., 2019] (P. Geoffroy-Donders): Elastic media, static optimization, chosen unit cell  $\implies$  Parameters (*fields*): geometrical parameters ( $m_1, m_2$ ), cell orientation  $\alpha$ 



Rémi Cornaggia

## Topological sensitivity of a cost functional

[Sokolowski and Zochowski, 1999, Garreau et al., 2001, Amstutz, 2011, Bonnet et al., 2018] ...



• Localized phase change in the unit cell:  $m \rightarrow m_a = m + \chi_{B_a} \Delta m$ 

Expansion of 
$$\mathcal{J}$$
:  $\mathcal{J}(m{m}_a) ~=~ \mathcal{J}(m{m}) + m{a}^2 \, \mathcal{D} \mathcal{J} + o(m{a}^2)$  as  $m{a} o 0$ 

•  $\mathcal{DJ}(\boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{z}; \mathcal{B}, \Delta \mu, \Delta \rho)$ : topological sensitivity (or gradient, or derivative) of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

If  $\mathcal{DJ}(z) < 0$ , then  $\mathcal{J}(m_a) < \mathcal{J}(m)$  and therefore z is a good choice for a phase change !

• Chain rule for  $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = J(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}})$ :

$$\mathcal{DJ} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}} \mathcal{D} \boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}$$

For  $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}} = (\boldsymbol{\varrho}_0, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\varrho}_2, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}$  computed in [Bonnet et al., 2018].

## One tools, two functionalities

• Asymptotic approximations of perturbed quantities:



 $f(\boldsymbol{m}_a) \approx f(\boldsymbol{m}) + \boldsymbol{a}^2 \, \mathcal{D}f(\boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{z}, \mathcal{B}, \Delta \boldsymbol{m})$  for any function f of  $\boldsymbol{m}$ 

• Indicator function / "map" to find interesting points z:



Also used as an imaging function in qualitative inverse scattering e.g. [Tokmashev et al., 2013]

Rémi Cornaggia

## Topological derivatives of effective properties, polarization tensor

The topological derivatives are [Bonnet et al., 2018, Cornaggia and Bellis, 2020] :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \varrho_{0} = \langle \rho \rangle & \Rightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{\varrho_{0}} = |\mathcal{B}| \Delta \rho, \\ \mu_{0} = \langle S_{0} \rangle = \langle \mu(I + \nabla P_{1}) \rangle & \Rightarrow & \mathcal{D}\mu_{0} = (I + \nabla P_{1}(z)) \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdot (I + \nabla P_{1}(z)), \\ & = \frac{1}{\mu^{2}(z)} S_{0}(z) \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \cdot S_{0}(z) \\ \mathcal{D}\varrho_{2} = (\mathsf{ugly}) & \mathcal{D}\mu_{2} = (\mathsf{even uglier}) \end{array}$$

All depend on (i) the cell solutions at perturbation point  $P_j(z)$  (or  $S_j(z)$ ), (ii) the polarization tensor  $A(z) = A(\mathcal{B}, \mu(z), \Delta\mu)$ 

#### Polarization tensor A :

- used in [Cedio-Fengya et al., 1998, Ammari and Kang, 2007]... in similar context,
- also called *localization* or *concentration* tensor, related to Eshelby and Hill tensors in elasticity/micromechanics [Eshelby, 1957, Parnell, 2016],
- symmetric,
- known analytically for elliptic shapes of semiaxes lengths  $(1,\gamma)$ , and directions  $(n_1, n_2)$ :

$$\mathbf{A}^{\text{ellipse}}(\mu(\boldsymbol{z}), \Delta \mu) = \pi \gamma(\gamma + 1) \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu(\boldsymbol{z})} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{n}_1}{1 + \gamma + \gamma \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu(\boldsymbol{z})}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{n}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{n}_2}{1 + \gamma + \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu(\boldsymbol{z})}} \right)$$

## Fast computation of approximate homogenized coefficients

- Reference "chessboard" unit cell with  $\mu \in [1, 2]$ .
- Ellipsoidal inclusion with
  - center z = (0.25, 0.25)
  - axes (a, 0.2a), with a < 0.25
  - stiffness contrast  $\Delta \mu = 1$



• Error in  $O(a^4)$  instead of a priori expected  $O(a^3)$ : it should be the case for any centrally symmetric inclusion [Bonnet, 2008].

Rémi Cornaggia

## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver

• Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity

#### Level-set algorithm on a first example

• Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Pixel-by-pixel two-directions optimization

• Directions of interest  $d^- = e_1$  (horizontal),  $d^+ = e_2$  (vertical).

$$\mathcal{J}(\rho,\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left[ \gamma(\boldsymbol{d}^{-}) \right]^{2} + \frac{1}{\left[ \gamma(\boldsymbol{d}^{+}) \right]^{2}} \right]$$

- Two-phase unit cell:  $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , with:
  - material ratios  $\rho_2 = 2\rho_1$  and  $\mu_2 = 2\mu_1 \Rightarrow$  uniform wavespeed
  - equal phase ratio:  $|Y_1| = |Y_2|$

#### • Pixel-by-pixel update step:

While  $(\min_{Y_1}\mathcal{DJ} + \min_{Y_2}\mathcal{DJ}) < 0$ , exchange the two pixels where the minima are reached



## Pixel-by-pixel two-directions optimization - cost functional and dispersions



### Computational remarks:

- 241 iterations
- 1205 cell and adjoint cell problems i.e. 2892 scalar cell problems on a  $32 \times 32$  grid
- Moulinec-Suquet FFT method (tolerance on relative residual error:  $10^{-8}$ ) implemented using Python.
- $\implies$   $\approx$  15-20s on a (good) laptop.

## Level-set representation and projection algorithm

[Amstutz and Andrä, 2006, Amstutz, 2011]

• Material distribution at iteration n represented by a level-set function  $\psi^n\colon$ 

$$(\star) \begin{cases} \psi^n > 0 & \text{ in } Y_1 \\ \psi^n < 0 & \text{ in } Y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \|\psi^n\|_{L^2(Y)} = 1$$

• Signed and normalized TD  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J}$ :

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}/\|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}\|_{L^2(Y)} & \text{ in } Y_1 \\ -\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}/\|\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}\|_{L^2(Y)} & \text{ in } Y_2 \end{cases} \text{ so that } \|\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J}\|_{L^2(Y)} = 1$$



**Optimality condition:** If  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J}$  satisfies the sign condition  $(\star)$  then  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{z}) > 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{z} \in Y$ then  $\mathcal{J}$  reached a **local minimum** 

Update of  $\psi$  by **projection** onto  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J}$ :

$$\psi^{n+1} = \mathbf{a_n}\psi^n + \mathbf{b_n}\overline{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{J}(\psi^n)$$

 $(a_n, b_n)$  are chosen so that  $\|\psi^{n+1}\|_{L^2(Y)} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\psi^{n+1}) < \mathcal{J}(\psi^n)$ 

Two-directions optimization by projection algorithm (with phase ratio constraint  $|Y_1| = |Y_2|$ )



## One-by-one pixels vs level-set



## One-by-one pixels vs level-set



## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

Maximizing horizontal and vertical and minimizing diagonal dispersions

- Recall :  $c(k, d) = c_0(d) + \frac{1}{2}(k\ell)^2 \frac{\gamma(d)}{c_0(d)} + o\left((k\ell)^2\right)$
- Cost functional:

$$J_{4d} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( \frac{\gamma(d_j)}{c_0(d_j)} \right)^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=3}^{4} 10 \left( \frac{\gamma(d_j)}{c_0(d_j)} \right)^{2}$$
$$d_j = (\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j), \quad \theta_{1,2} = 0, 90^\circ, \quad \theta_{3,4} = \pm 45$$

$$=(\cos \theta_j, \sin \theta_j), \quad \theta_{1,2}=0,90^\circ, \quad \theta_{3,4}=\pm 45^\circ$$



• Material ratios:  $\mu_2/\mu_1 = 6$  and  $\rho_2/\rho_1 = 1.5$ 



## Other initializations with the same result



## Initializations leading to sub-optimal results



## Bloch-Floquet analysis of the optimal unit cell



- Fit of the optimal inclusion by a quartic curve.
- Finite element meshing using FREEFEM++ [Hecht, 2012, Laude, 2015]
- Computation of the first two Bloch frequencies in the reduced Brillouin zone.



## Fitting an objective anisotropic phase velocity $c^{\rm obj}$

• Goal: fitting phase velocity:

 $c^{\mathrm{obj}}(k_p, \boldsymbol{d}_j) = c_0^{\mathrm{obj}}(\boldsymbol{d}_j) + \Delta c^{\mathrm{obj}}(k_p, \boldsymbol{d}_j), \qquad p = 1..N_k, \quad j = 1..N_{\theta}$ 

• Quasistatic and dispersive least-square cost functionals:

$$\mathcal{J}^{\text{stat}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\theta}} \left[ c_0(\boldsymbol{d}_j) - c_0^{\text{obj}}(\boldsymbol{d}_j) \right]^2, \quad \mathcal{J}^{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\theta}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_k} \left[ \Delta c(k_p, \boldsymbol{d}_j) - \Delta c^{\text{obj}}(k_p, \boldsymbol{d}_j) \right]^2$$

• Weighted total cost functional:

$$\mathcal{J} = \alpha \mathcal{J}^{\text{stat}} + \mathcal{J}^{\text{dyn}}$$

### Example: chessboard reconstruction



Data and constraints:

- $c^{\text{obj}} = c^{\text{chess}}$  (Floquet-Bloch,  $N_{\theta} = 7$ ,  $N_k = 10$ )
- Exact material ratios
- Exact phase ratio  $|Y_1| = |Y_2| = 1/2$

## Chessboard reconstruction from phase velocity data



## Chessboard reconstruction from phase velocity data



## Chessboard reconstruction from phase velocity data



## Outline

### Introduction

### 2 Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Microstructred interfaces and effective transmission conditions



Is it possible to attenuate or enhance the transmitted wave in some specific direction ?

## An example from [Noguchi and Yamada, 2021b]



Noguchi, Y. & Yamada, T. Topology optimization of acoustic metasurfaces by using a two-scale homogenization method Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2021

Topological optimization

## Optimization based on an effective model



(1) Homogenization process toward effective transmission conditions:

- Double-scale expansions and matched asymptotics
- Band problems to capture the microstructural effects
- FFT-based solvers to address these problems
- (2) Optimization strategy:
  - Cost functionals based on effective transmission properties
  - Topological sensitivity to drive updating steps
  - Level-set representation, regularization and iterative algorithm
  - Initialisation with optimal elliptic inclusions.

## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

### 3 Microstructured interface optimization

### • Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver

- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Effective transmission conditions [Marigo et al., 2017, Lombard et al., 2017]



Antiplane shear waves:

$$\rho\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}}{\ell}\right)\frac{\partial^2 u_\ell(\boldsymbol{X},t)}{\partial t^2} - \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[\mu\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{X}}{\ell}\right)\boldsymbol{\nabla} u_\ell(\boldsymbol{X},t)\right] = 0$$

- $(\rho,\mu)$ : density and shear modulus, 1-periodic along the interface
- Long-wavelength assumption:  $\varepsilon = \ell/\lambda \ll 1$
- Double scale dependency and matched asymptotic expansions.

Effective model for macroscopic fields (V, S):



Effective parameters computed from  $\Phi$ , solution of a **band problem** on  $Y_{\infty}$ .

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}} = (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$ 

## Band problem



Original problem in **infinite band**: (normalized "fast" coordinate  $y = x/\ell$ )  $\nabla \cdot (\mu [I + \nabla \Phi]) = 0$  in  $Y_{\infty}$  $\Phi$  is periodic in the  $y_2$  variable  $\lim_{y_1 \to \pm \infty} \nabla \Phi = 0$ 

Computations in artificially bounded domain:

Effective coefficients [Marigo et al., 2017]

$$\mathcal{B} = \lim_{y_1 \to +\infty} \Phi - \lim_{y_1 \to -\infty} \Phi + f(b)$$
$$\mathcal{C} = \int_{Y_{\infty}} \mu(\mathbf{y}) \partial_2 \Phi(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} + g(b, \mu)$$
$$\mathcal{S} = h(b, \rho)$$

## Reformulation as a cell problem [Cornaggia et al., 2022]



Original problem in infinite band:

 $\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\mu \left[ \boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \right] ) &= \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{in } Y_{\infty} \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi} \text{ is periodic in the } y_2 \text{ variable} \\ \lim_{y_1 \to \pm \infty} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi} &= \boldsymbol{0} \end{aligned}$ 



#### Equivalent cell problem:

 $\begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu} \left[ \boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \right] ) = \boldsymbol{0} & \text{in } Y_b \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi} \text{ is periodic in the } y_2 \text{ variable} \\ \partial_n \boldsymbol{\Phi} \left( \pm b/2, \cdot \right) = \Lambda \left[ \boldsymbol{\Phi} \left( \pm b/2, \cdot \right) \right]$ 

A: Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) operator.  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$  have expressions implying only integrals on  $Y_b$ 

Numerical strategy: decomposition  $\Phi = \Phi_{\mathrm{per}} + \Phi_{\mathrm{bound}}$  (periodic + corrector)

- $\bullet~\Lambda$  and  $\Phi_{bound}$  have an explicit expression in Fourier basis.
- Φ<sub>per</sub> satisfies ∇ · (μ [I + ∇Φ<sub>bound</sub> + ∇Φ<sub>per</sub>]) = 0 ⇒ iterative FFT-based solvers [Moulinec and Suquet, 1995]

## Examples for an elliptic inclusion

 $\mu_{\mathrm{inc}}/\mu_{\mathrm{mat}}=$  6, 129imes129 pixels:



## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

## Cost functionals and optimization problem

Cost functionals: evaluate the medium performance through its effective properties:

$$\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = J(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}) \qquad \text{here:} \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{m} = (\rho(\boldsymbol{y}), \mu(\boldsymbol{y})), \quad \boldsymbol{y} \in Y_b \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}} = (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}) \end{array}$$

Examples : cost functionnals on effective reflexion and transmission coefficients for incident direction  $\theta_I$  :

$$J(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}) = F(\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{I}}), \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{I}}))$$

### Optimization problem

Find  $m_{\text{opt}}$  that minimizes  $\mathcal{J}(m)$ .

With the dependencies  $m \to \text{cell problems} \to m_{\text{eff}} \to J(m_{\text{eff}}) = \mathcal{J}(m)$ 

#### General strategy:

- Constraints and parametrization of m, e.g. piecewise uniform materials
- Iterative "material update" algorithms

$$oldsymbol{m}^{(n+1)} = oldsymbol{m}^{(n)} + \Delta oldsymbol{m}^{(n)}$$
 such that  $\mathcal{J}\left(oldsymbol{m}^{(n+1)}
ight) < \mathcal{J}\left(oldsymbol{m}^{(n)}
ight)$ 

• Main tool: sensitivity of  ${\cal J}$  to a material update  $\Delta m$  to choose a "good"  $\Delta m^{(n)}$ .

## Topological sensitivity of a cost functional

[Sokolowski and Zochowski, 1999, Garreau et al., 2001, Amstutz, 2011, Bonnet et al., 2018] ...



• Localized phase change in the cell:  $m \rightarrow m_a = m + \chi_{B_a} \Delta m$ 

Expansion of 
$$\mathcal{J}$$
:  $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m_a}) ~=~ \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) + a^2 \, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{J} + o(a^2)$  as  $a o 0$ 

•  $\mathcal{DJ}(\boldsymbol{m}; \boldsymbol{z}; \mathcal{B}, \Delta \mu, \Delta \rho)$ : topological sensitivity (or gradient, or derivative) of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

If  $\mathcal{DJ}(z) < 0$ , then  $\mathcal{J}(m_a) < \mathcal{J}(m)$  and therefore z is a good choice for a phase change !

• Chain rule for  $\mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{m}) = J(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}})$ :

$$\mathcal{DJ} = rac{\partial J}{\partial oldsymbol{m}_{ ext{eff}}} \mathcal{D}oldsymbol{m}_{ ext{eff}}$$

 $\implies$  Need to compute  $(\mathcal{DB}, \mathcal{DC}, \mathcal{DS})$ 

## Example : topological derivative of S



By definition :

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{S} = b + \frac{\rho_{i} - \rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}} |\Omega_{i}| \\ \mathcal{S}_{a} = b + \frac{\rho_{i} - \rho_{m}}{\rho_{m}} |\Omega_{i}| + \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho_{m}} |B_{a}| \end{vmatrix}$$

Exact expansion:

$$\mathcal{S}_a = \mathcal{S} + a^2 \underbrace{rac{\Delta 
ho}{
ho_{
m m}} |\mathcal{B}|}_{\mathcal{DS}}$$

Here  $\mathcal{DS}$  does not depend on z nor on the shape  $\mathcal{B}$  (*not* the general case).

## Topological derivatives

The topological derivatives are (see [Touboul, PhD thesis, Chap. 4, 2021]) :

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{DS}(m{m},m{z},\mathcal{B},\Deltam{m}) &= rac{\Delta
ho}{
ho_{\mathrm{m}}}|\mathcal{B}|,\\ \mathcal{DB}(m{m},m{z},\mathcal{B},\Deltam{m}) &= -(m{
abla}\Phi_1(m{z})+m{e}_1)\cdotm{A}(m{z})\cdot(m{
abla}\Phi_2(m{z})+m{I}),\\ \mathcal{DC}(m{m},m{z},\mathcal{B},\Deltam{m}) &= (m{
abla}\Phi_2(m{z})+m{e}_2)\cdotm{A}(m{z})\cdot(m{
abla}\Phi(m{z})+m{I}). \end{aligned}$$

depend on

- the cell solution gradient at perturbation point  $abla \Phi(oldsymbol{z})$ ,
- the polarization tensor  $A(\mathbf{z}) = A(\mathcal{B}, \mu(\mathbf{z}), \Delta \mu)$

## Numerical validation

• Leading-order approximation of homogenized coefficients e.g.

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} + \boldsymbol{a}^2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}(\boldsymbol{z}) + \boldsymbol{o}(\boldsymbol{a}^2)$$

• Computation of relative error e.g. :

$$\frac{\left|\mathcal{B}_{1,\boldsymbol{a}}-[\mathcal{B}_1+\boldsymbol{a}^2\mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}_1]\right|}{|\mathcal{B}_{1,\boldsymbol{a}}|}$$

(should be  $o(a^2)$ , expected at least  $O(a^3)$ )



 $\implies$  Error in  $O(a^4)$  and < 15% (even for "big" perturbations a = 0.25) (term in  $a^3$  vanishes, should be true for any centrally-symmetric shape  $\mathcal{B}$  [Bonnet, 2009])

Rémi Cornaggia

## Approximative effective coefficients for elliptic inclusions

**Particular case:** one inclusion  $B_a$  in an homogeneous cell ( $\Phi = 0$ )

$$\mathcal{S} = b + a^2 \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho_{\rm m}} |\mathcal{B}|, \quad \mathcal{B}_1 = b - a^2 A_{11} + o(a^2), \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = -a^2 A_{12} + o(a^2), \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = b + a^2 A_{22} + o(a^2).$$

- Analytical expressions when A is known
- Example : ellipse tilted at 40°, semi-axes (a, 0.2 a):



## Outline

### Introduction

### Optimization for scalar waves in bi-periodic media

- Second-order homogenization, cell problems, FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, cost functional, topological sensitivity
- Level-set algorithm on a first example
- Examples : anisotropic dispersion optimization and data fitting

#### Microstructured interface optimization

- Effective model, cell/band problems and FFT-based solver
- Optimization problem, topological sensitivity
- Examples

### 4 Key ideas and perspectives

A first test [Touboul, Ph.D. Thesis, 2021 (Chap. 4)]

- Goal : minimization of the effective reflexion coefficient  $\mathcal{R}$  for an incident angle  $\theta_{I} = \pi/4$ 
  - ▶ Define  $\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{I}})$  with  $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}} = (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}})$
  - Compute the sensibilities (DB, DC, DS)
- Two-phase material: (1) matrix and (2) inclusion.
- Volume constraint on inclusion phase  $Y_2$  in the unit cell:  $V_C = 0.6$
- Perimiter penalization following [Amstutz, 2013] to get smooth final configurations

$$\mathcal{J} = |\mathcal{R}|^2 + \lambda \left(rac{|Y_2|}{V_C} - 1
ight)^2 + lpha_{ ext{per}} ext{Per}(Y_2)$$
 ( $\lambda$ : iteratively chosen weight)



## Attenuated scattered field

• Numerical experiments : for a pulse emitted at a source point, measure the energy of the scattered field by the interface:



• "Attenuated direction" linked to the effective transmission coefficient T computed for a plane wave with wavenumber k and incident angle  $\theta_1$ :

$$\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{I}}) = 1 + i(k\ell)\mathcal{T}_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\text{eff}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{I}}) + O((k\ell)^{2})$$

"Attenuated direction" at  $\theta_{\min}$  when  $\mathcal{T}_1(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{I}})$  changes sign at  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{I}} = \theta_{\min}$ .

• Main cost functional:

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{main}}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}) = \left(rac{\mathcal{T}_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}, heta_{\mathrm{min}})}{\partial_{ heta} \mathcal{T}_{1}(\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathrm{eff}}, heta_{\mathrm{min}})}
ight)^{2} \quad ext{with} \quad \partial_{ heta} \mathcal{T}_{1} = rac{\partial \mathcal{T}_{1}}{\partial heta_{\mathrm{I}}}$$

## Results for $\theta_{\min} = 0$ , $V_C = 0.2$ , perimeter penalisation

### Initialisations:

ellipse at  $45^{\circ}$ 



"Optimal" ellipse (almost) analytical optimisation based on approximative  $m_{
m eff}$ 



Rémi Cornaggia

Performances for for  $\theta_{\min} = 0$ ,  $V_C = 0.2$ 

	$N_{ m iter}$	V end	${\mathcal J}$ init.	${\mathcal J}$ end	$\mathcal{J}^{ ext{main}}$ init.	$\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{main}}$ end
ellipse	103	0.15	1.21	$2.24 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$9.72 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$
random	82	0.16	$5.23 \cdot 10^{3}$	$1.93 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.23 \cdot 10^{3}$	$7.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$
optimal ellipse	60	0.14	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$6.76 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.61 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$

## Results for $\theta_{\min} = \pi/4$ , $V_C = 0.3$ , perimeter penalisation

### Initialisations:



Random distribution

"Optimal" ellipse (almost) analytical optimisation based on approximative  $m_{
m eff}$ 

Performances for  $heta_{\min}=\pi/4$ ,  $V_C=0.3$  :

	$N_{ m iter}$	V end	${\mathcal J}$ init.	${\mathcal J}$ end	$\mathcal{J}^{ ext{main}}$ init.	$\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{main}}$ end
ellipse	49	0.30	1.51	$2.84 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.40	$9.72 \cdot 10^{-5}$
random	52	0.24	$2.03 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.11 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$7.99 \cdot 10^{-4}$
optimal ellipse	28	0.28	$6.27 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.96 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$3.30 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.76 \cdot 10^{-5}$

## Key ideas

- A topological optimization procedure is proposed, combining
  - Effective models obtained via two-scale asymptotic homogenization
  - FFT-based algorithms to solve cell problems
  - Topological derivatives to propose initialisations and guide the updating steps
  - Level-set projection algorithm (with volume and perimeter constraints)
- The procedure is applied to various cost functionals to achieve
  - Maximization of dispersion in given directions
  - "De-homogenization" of a chessboard from phase velocity data.
  - Attenuation of scattered energy in chosen direction.

## Perspectives / ongoing

- Time-domain simulations of waves in the designed materials
- Extensions to other other physics and regimes:
  - 1. Elasticity: links with strain/stress gradient models [Auffray et al., 2015, Rosi and Auffray, 2019].
  - 2. High frequencies [Craster et al., 2010, Guzina et al., 2019] to optimize band-gaps ...
  - 3. Resonant interfaces with high-contrast inclusions [Pham et al., 2017, Touboul et al., 2020]
  - 4. Graded / quasi-periodic interfaces [Noguchi and Yamada, 2021a, Pham et al., 2023]
- Improve the optimization algorithm
  - Couple shape and topological derivative [Allaire et al., 2005, Amstutz et al., 2018]
  - Use optimized FFT solvers  $\Rightarrow$  **go to 3D**

### Thank you for your attention !

And thanks to Bruno, Bojan, all lecturers and CISM for this fantastic school !

- Microstructural topological sensitivities of the second-order macroscopic model for waves in periodic media.
   Marc Bonnet, Rémi Cornaggia, Bojan B. Guzina,
   SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 2018
- Tuning effective dynamical properties of periodic media by FFT-accelerated topological optimization Rémi Cornaggia, Cédric Bellis International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2020
- FFT-based computation of homogenized interface parameters Rémi Cornaggia, Marie Touboul & Cédric Bellis Comptes Rendus Mécanique, 2022
- Marie Touboul's Ph.D. :

Acoustic and elastic wave propagation in microstructured media with interfaces: homogenization, simulation and optimization

https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03411353

 Topological sensitivity-based analysis and optimization of microstructured interfaces Marie Touboul, Rémi Cornaggia & Cédric Bellis Preprint on HAL: https://hal.science/hal-04180360

## References



Allaire, G., de Gournay, F., Jouve, F., and Toader, A.-M. (2005).

Structural optimization using topological and shape sensitivity via a level set method.

Control and Cybernetics, 34(1):59-80.

Allaire, G., Geoffroy-Donders, P., and Pantz, O. (2019). Topology optimization of modulated and oriented periodic microstructures by the homogenization method.

Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 78(7):2197-2229.

#### Allaire, G. and Yamada, T. (2018).

Optimization of dispersive coefficients in the homogenization of the wave equation in periodic structures.

Numerische Mathematik, 140(2):265-326.

#### Ammari, H. and Kang, H. (2007).

Polarization and moment tensors: with applications to inverse problems and effective medium theory, volume 162. Springer.



#### Amstutz, S. (2011).

Analysis of a level set method for topology optimization. Optimization Methods and Software, 26(4-5):555–573.

#### Amstutz, S. (2013).

Regularized perimeter for topology optimization. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 51(3):2176–2199.

#### Amstutz, S. and Andrä, H. (2006).

A new algorithm for topology optimization using a level-set method.

Journal of Computational Physics, 216(2):573 - 588.



Amstutz, S., Dapogny, C., and Ferrer, À. (2018).

A consistent relaxation of optimal design problems for coupling shape and topological derivatives.

Numerische Mathematik, 140(1):35-94.

Andrianov, I.	V., Bolshakov,	V.	I.,	Danishevs'kyy,	V.	V.,	and
Weichert, D.	(2008).						

Higher order asymptotic homogenization and wave propagation in periodic composite materials.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 464(2093):1181–1201.

#### Auffray, N., Dirrenberger, J., and Rosi, G. (2015).

A complete description of bi-dimensional anisotropic strain-gradient elasticity.

International Journal of Solids and Structures, 69-70:195-206.



1

Bensoussan, A., Lions, J. L., and Papanicolau, G. (1978). Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures. North-Holland, Amsterdam.



#### Bonnet, M. (2008).

Inverse acoustic scattering by small-obstacle expansion of a misfit function.

Inverse Problems, 24(3):035022.

#### Bonnet, M. (2009).

Higher-order topological sensitivity for 2-d potential problems. application to fast identification of inclusions.

International Journal of Solids and Structures, 46(11?12):2275 – 2292.

#### 

#### Bonnet, M., Cornaggia, R., and Guzina, B. (2018).

Microstructural topological sensitivities of the second-order macroscopic model for waves in periodic media.

#### SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 78(4):2057-2082.

Cedio-Fengva, D. J., Moskow, S., and Vogelius, M. S. (1998). Identification of conductivity imperfections of small diameter by boundary measurements. continuous dependence and computational reconstruction. Inverse Problems, 14(3):553.

#### Celli, P. and Gonella, S. (2015).

Manipulating waves with lego bricks: A versatile experimental platform for metamaterial architectures. Applied Physics Letters, 107(8),

#### Cioranescu, D. and Donato, P. (1999).

An introduction to homogenization, volume 17 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press.

#### Cornaggia, R. and Bellis, C. (2020).

Tuning effective dynamical properties of periodic media by FFT-accelerated topological optimization.

International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 121(14):3178-3205.



Cornaggia, R., Touboul, M., and Bellis, C. (2022). FFT-based computation of homogenized interface parameters. Comptes Rendus, Mécanique, 350(G2):297-307.

#### Craster, R. V., Kaplunov, J., and Pichugin, A. V. (2010). High-frequency homogenization for periodic media.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 466(2120):2341-2362.



#### Eshelby, J. (1957).

The determination of the elastic field of an ellipsoidal inclusion, and related problems.

Proceedings of the Royal Society, 241:376-396.

_	
_	

Garreau, S., Guillaume, P., and Masmoudi, M. (2001). The topological asymptotic for pde systems: The elasticity case. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 39(6):1756-1778.

### 

#### Guzina, B. B., Meng, S., and Oudghiri-Idrissi, O. (2019).

A rational framework for dynamic homogenization at finite wavelengths and frequencies.

Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 475(2223):20180547.

#### 

#### Hecht, F. (2012).

New development in freefem++. Journal of Numerical Mathematics, 20(3-4):251-265.

#### Huang, Y., Liu, S., and Zhao, J. (2016).

A gradient-based optimization method for the design of layered phononic band-gap materials.

Acta Mechanica Solida Sinica, 29(4):429 - 443.



#### Kook, J. and Jensen, J. S. (2017).

Topology optimization of periodic microstructures for enhanced loss factor using acoustic-structure interaction.

International Journal of Solids and Structures, pages -.



#### Laude, V. (2015).

Phononic crystals.

De Gruvter.

#### Lombard, B., Maurel, A., and Marigo, J. (2017).

Numerical modeling of the acoustic wave propagation across a homogenized rigid microstructure in the time domain. Journal of Computational Physics, 335:558 - 577.

#### 

#### Marigo, J.-J., Maurel, A., Pham, K., and Sbitti, A. (2017).

Effective dynamic properties of a row of elastic inclusions: The case of scalar shear waves.

Journal of Elasticity, 128(2):265-289

#### Moulinec, H. and Suquet, P. (1995).

A FFT-based numerical method for computing the mechanical properties of composites from images of their microstructures. In IUTAM Symposium on Microstructure-Property Interactions in Composite Materials, pages 235–246. Springer Netherlands.

#### Noguchi, Y. and Yamada, T. (2021a).

Level set-based topology optimization for graded acoustic metasurfaces using two-scale homogenization. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, 196:103606.

#### Noguchi, Y. and Yamada, T. (2021b).

Topology optimization of acoustic metasurfaces by using a two-scale homogenization method.

Applied Mathematical Modelling, 98:465-497.

Palermo, A., Krödel, S., Marzani, A., and Daraio, C. (2016). Engineered metabarrier as shield from seismic surface waves. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1).

#### Parnell, W. J. (2016).

The Eshelby, Hill, moment and concentration tensors for ellipsoidal inhomogeneities in the Newtonian potential problem and linear elastostatics.

Journal of Elasticity, pages 1-64.

#### Pham, K., Lebbe, N., and Maurel, A. (2023).

Diffraction grating with varying slit width: Quasi-periodic homogenization and its numerical implementation.

Journal of Computational Physics, 473:111727.

#### Pham, K., Maurel, A., and Marigo, J.-J. (2017).

Two scale homogenization of a row of locally resonant inclusions - the case of anti-plane shear waves.

Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 106:80 - 94.

Rosi, G. and Auffray, N. (2019).

Continuum modelling of frequency dependent acoustic beam focusing and steering in hexagonal lattices.

European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids, page 103803.

### 

#### Sanchez-Palencia, E. (1974).

Comportements local et macroscopique d'un type de milieux physiques heterogenes.

International Journal of Engineering Science, 12(4):331 - 351.

#### Schneider, M. (2021).

A review of nonlinear FFT-based computational homogenization methods.

#### Acta Mechanica

#### .

Sokolowski, J. and Zochowski, A. (1999). On the topological derivative in shape optimization. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 37(4):1251–1272.

#### То

Tokmashev, R., Tixier, A., and Guzina, B. B. (2013). Experimental validation of the topological sensitivity approach to

elastic-wave imaging.

Inverse Problems, 29(12):125005.



Touboul, M., Pham, K., Maurel, A., Marigo, J.-J., Lombard, B., and Bellis, C. (2020).

Effective resonant model and simulations in the time-domain of wave scattering from a periodic row of highly-contrasted inclusions. *Journal of Elasticity*, 142(1):53–82.

#### Vondřejc, J., Rohan, E., and Heczko, J. (2017).



International Journal of Solids and Structures, 113-114:147 - 168.

#### Wautier, A. and Guzina, B. B. (2015).

On the second-order homogenization of wave motion in periodic media and the sound of a chessboard.

Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 78:382 - 414.