

# Simulative complements in Archaic Chinese in typological perspective: a special function of t aeX in the dialect of the Zuozhuan

Guillaume Jacques

# ► To cite this version:

Guillaume Jacques. Simulative complements in Archaic Chinese in typological perspective: a special function of t aeX in the dialect of the Zuozhuan . Bulletin of Chinese linguistics, 2023, 15, pp.107 - 121. 10.30184/BCL.202212\_15.0006 . hal-04182565

# HAL Id: hal-04182565 https://hal.science/hal-04182565v1

Submitted on 17 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Simulative complements in Archaic Chinese in typological perspective: a special function of 者 tçœX in the dialect of the Zuozhuan ※類型學的角度物計上士漢語的偽裝補訊社構

從類型學的角度探討上古漢語的偽裝補語結構: 《左傳》中「者」的一種特殊用法

> Guillaume Jacques CNRS-INALCO-EPHE 向柏霖 法國國家科學研究中心

> > August 17, 2023

Jacques, Guillaume 2022. Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics 15: 107-121 https://doi.org/10.30184/BCL.202212\_15.0006

Abstract: This work shows that the complement-taking verb 偽 ŋjweH 'pretend' in the Archaic Chinese dialect of the Zuozhuan specifically selects nominalized complements in 者 tcxX. It then discuss the additional implications of this fact for the typology of complementation and the etymology of this verb.

**Keywords**: Archaic Chinese, nominalization, relativization, complementation, simulative

## 1 Introduction

The Zuozhuan, as the largest of all pre-Qin Archaic Chinese texts, provides linguists with a sizeable corpus reflecting a synchronically homogeneous language, allowing not only to make observations about existing patterns, but also about gaps and negative evidence.

The present paper focuses on a puzzling construction combining (a) *njweH* 'pretend' and the marker  $\nexists$  *tçæX* to build a simulative predicate 'pretend to do *X*', a specificity of the language of the Zuozhuan, significant in being the only overtly marked complement clause type in Archaic Chinese.

This paper comprises three sections. First, it briefly discusses the main functions of  $\texttt{\texttt{d}}$  *tçœX* in the language of the Zuozhuan. Second, it presents in

detail all the uses of the verb (a n j) weH 'pretend' and the attested simulative complements in this document. Third, it discusses the significance of this construction in both typological and diachronic perspectives.<sup>1</sup>

# **2** The main functions of 者 *tçœX*

The grammatical word 者 *tçœX* has many distinct functions in Archaic Chinese, which have been the topic of a considerable amount of previous scholarship, (von der Gabelentz 1881: §455-§477, Freundlich 1988, Harbsmeier 1981: 210-227 Aldridge 2016), including some publications specifically dedicated to the dialect of the Zuozhuan (Luo and Wu 1983, He 2010: 373;389;409).

### 2.1 Nominalization and relativization

The most conspicuous function of 者 *tçœX* is to nominalize verbs, or turn entire clauses into relative clauses (von der Gabelentz 1881: §462-§467, Freundlich 1988, Aldridge 2016).

Some [verb+ $\exists t \varphi e X$ ] combinations are lexicalized, and frequently occur in the Zuozhuan, for instance  $\notin \exists giH t \varphi e X$  'envoy' from the passive form  $\notin giH$  'be sent' of the verb  $\notin giX$  'send',<sup>2</sup> but even these can be seen as minimal headless relative clauses.

Nominalized clauses in  $\ddagger t c \alpha X$  serve as the main strategy to relativize subjects of either transitive (1) or intransitive (2) verbs, and adjectival stative verbs (3) in Archaic Chinese.<sup>3</sup> The majority of such relatives are headless, like (1) and (3), but there are also postnominal ones (2, and 13 below) in  $\ddagger t c \alpha X$ .

(1) [能補過者],君子也。

[noŋ puX kwaH tçæX], kjun.tsi jæX can mend fault NMLZ noble.man COP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In this paper, the pronunciation is cited in Middle Chinese, the oldest attested stage whose phonological system is entirely recorded, using Baxter's (1992) transcription but converting the initial consonants to IPA. Although anachronistic, this convention is preferred over using Old Chinese reconstruction (whose degree of abstractness is closer to that of proto-Indo-European than to a lower-level branch like proto-Germanic) or Mandarin, which is too poorly informative, and unable to reflect the morphological alternations preserved in the Jingdian shiwen (Downer 1959). The English translation of the examples follows Durrant et al. (2016), except for (26), where a more literal translation was adopted.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ On the function of the tonal alternation observed in this example, see Downer (1959), Jacques (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A considerable amount of work has been on the relationship between nominalization and relativization in the Sino-Tibetan/Trans-Himalayan family (see for instance Bickel 1999).

'One who can make good his errors is a noble man.' (Zhao, 7)

(2) 民[死亡者],非其父兄,即其子弟

mjin [sijX mjaŋ t¢æX] pjɨj qi bjuX xjwæŋ people die disappear NMLZ NEG:COP 3.POSS father elder.brother tsik gi tsiX dejX then 3.POSS son younger.sibling

'Those among the people who perished were either fathers and older brothers or sons and younger brothers.' (Xiang, 8)

(3) 男贄, [大者]玉帛, [小者]禽鳥,

nom tçijH [dajH tçæX] ŋjowk bæk [sjewX tçæX] gim tewX male gift be.big NMLZ jade silk be.small NMLZ animal bird

'Among gifts presented by men, the great ones are jade and silk, and the small ones are animals and birds.' (Zhuang, 24)

The marker  $\not\equiv t c a x$  is not a verbal suffix, as shown by examples such as (1): it always occur at the end of the clause, even if it is stranded from the main verb by an object or a prepositional phrase.

Subject is not the only syntactic function that can be relativized by  $\texttt{\texttt{A}}$  *tçæX* clauses. A few of them can be interpreted as relativizing the possessor or the subject, rather than the subject itself. For instance, in (4).

(4) [弱足者]居

nyak tsjowk t¢æX kjo weak foot NMLZ remain

'Those who are afflicted in their feet stay at home.' (Zhao, 7)

There are also two cases of object relativization in the Zuozhuan, as in (5) (see Luo and Wu 1983: 137): this may be evidence for a passivization, even though no phonological alternation is recorded in the Jingdian shiwen, as i 'capture' is given here the regular reading  $kju < k(r)o.^4$ 

(5) 及吳師至, [拘者]道之以伐武城, 克之。

gip ŋu şij tçijH, [kju tçæX] dawH tçi jiX bjot reach Wu army arrive retain NMLZ lead.the.way DEM use attack mjuX.dzeŋ, k<sup>h</sup>ok tçi Wucheng subdue DEM

'When the Wu army arrived, the very ones who had been seized led it in an attack on Wucheng, and the Wu army took the settlement.' (Ai, 8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The marker 者 *tç* $\alpha$ X does occur in object relatives, but normally in combination with preverbal 所 *sjoX* (Aldridge 2013).

#### 2.2 Other functions

In addition to its function as nominalizer, 者 tcaX occurs in a certain number of other contexts. Some specialists of Archaic Chinese syntax (Harbsmeier 1981: 210-227 or Aldridge 2016) attempt at a unified account of all functions. Since this question is not directly relevant to the topic of this paper however, I do not commit to a particular analysis, and simply provide a brief overview of the contexts where 者 tcaX can be found in the Zuozhuan.

First, it can be used after a noun (von der Gabelentz 1881: §468) to derive a related agentive noun, and can even be added to personal names, with the meaning 'a certain person called ...' (6).

(6) 市南有[熊宜僚者],若得之

dziX nom hjuwX [hjuwŋ.ŋje.lew tçæX] nak tok tçi city south exist Xiong.Yiliao ?? if obtain DEM

'To the south of the city there is a certain Xiong Yiliao. If we get him, ...' (Ai, 16)

Second,  $\exists t c c X$  also occurs as a topicalizer, applying to left-dislocated constituents, including noun phrases, or entire clauses (7).

(7) 吾聞[致師者],御靡旌、摩壘而還

ŋu mjun [tijH şij tçæX] ŋjoH mjeX tsjeŋ ma 1SG hear challenge army TOP? drive leaning banner touch lwijX ni γwæn rampart LNK return

'I have heard that in challenging the enemy, the chariot driver sets the banners streaming and touches the enemy's ramparts, and then they return..' (Xuan, 12)

Third, 者 *tç* $\alpha X$  appears to be analyzable as a marker of (non-relative) subordinate clauses (Harbsmeier 1981: 210-216), in particular the protasis of a conditional construction, as in (8) and (9).

(8) [齊師出竟而不以甲車三百乘從我者],有如此盟

dzej șij tç<sup>h</sup>wit kjæŋH ni pjuw jiX kæp tç<sup>h</sup>æ sam Qi army go.out boundary LNK NEG use armor vehicle three pæk ʑiŋH dzjowŋ ŋa tçæX hjuwX no ts<sup>h</sup>jeX mjæŋ hundred chariot follow 1PL ??? exist like DEM covenant

'If the Qi army should go across its frontiers and Lu does not accompany us with three hundred chariots, then let this covenant be our pledge!' (Ding, 10) (9) [克敵者], 上大夫受縣

k<sup>h</sup>ok dek t¢æX dʑaŋX dajH.pju dʑuX ywen subdue enemy ??? upper officer receive district

'Should we overcome our opponent, then superior high officers will receive districts.' (Ai, 2)

While the exact analysis of the function of P *tçæX* in this type of example remains controversial, it is not of direct concern to the main topic of the present paper, and in the remainer of the paper, only the function of P *tçæX* as relativizer will be discussed.

### 2.3 Elision

As noted by von der Gabelentz (1881: §477), the nominalizer 者 tcaX can be elided, and a simple bare verb used in nominal function.

Thus, alongside the frequent nominalized form 從者 dzjowŋ tçæX 'follower' in the Zuozhuan, we find an example of a bare nominalization of the verb 從 dzjowŋ 'follow' (10).

(10) 晉、楚之[從], 不聞達者

tsinH tş<sup>h</sup>joX tçi dzjowŋ pjuw mjun dat tçæX Jin Chu GEN follow NEG hear attain NMLZ

'Among his followers in Jin and in Chu we have heard of no able men.' (Zhao, 13)

Bare relatives are always short, the longest ones being verb + noun or negation + verb phrases (11).

(11) 會于溫,討[不服]

ywajH hju ?won t<sup>h</sup>awX pjuw bjuwk meet LOC Wen: chastise NEG submit

'They met at Wen: this was to chastise those who would not submit.' (Xi, 28)

A particular case of obligatory elision of 者 *tç* $\alpha X$  occurs where a nominalized verb or verb phrase is embedded within another nominalized clause: there is never more than one 者 *tç* $\alpha X$ , due to a rule of haplology. Thus, in (12), [敬逆[來者]] *[kj\alphaŋH ŋj\alphak [loj tc\alpha X]]* 'One who receives visitors respectfully', the nominalized verb 來者 *loj tc\alpha X* 'visitor, person who comes' (a term which occurs 11 times in the Zuozhuan) is the object of the verb 逆  $\eta j \alpha k$  'meet, receive',<sup>5</sup> in another relative clause similar in structure to (13).

(12) [敬逆來者], 天所福也

[kjæŋH ŋjæk loj t¢æX] t<sup>h</sup>en sjoX pjuwk jæX respect meet come NMLZ heaven NMLZ:OBL bless COP

'One who receives visitors respectfully is one blessed by Heaven.' (Zhao, 3)

(13) 國人[逆喪者]皆髽

kwok nin [ŋjæk saŋ t¢æX] kɛj tşwæ country man meet mourning NMLZ all tie.hair

'The inhabitants of the capital who met the dead being brought back all had their hair tied in hemp strings.' (Xiang, 4)

Thus, one could have expected a form such as †[敬逆[來者]者] †*[kjæŋH ŋjæk [loj tçæX]tçæX]*,<sup>6</sup> but due to the obligatory haplology rule, the second 者 *tçæX* was removed.

# 3 Simulative complements in the Zuozhuan

In the dialect of the Zuozhuan, 者 tcaX presents a very specific function in combination with 偽 njweH 'pretend', as illustrated by (14), which appears to be distinct from the four main functions of this marker presented above (§2).

(14) 陽虎偽[不見冉猛者]

jaŋ.xuX ŋjweH [pjuw kenH nemX.mæŋX t¢æX] Yang.Hu pretend NEG see Ran.Meng ???

'Yang Hu pretend[ed] not to see Ran Meng.' (Ding, 8)

The existence of this construction, which occurs ten times in the Zuozhuan, has been previously pointed out by Luo and Wu (1983: 140). In this section, I discuss the part of speech of the words written by the character 偽 *ŋjweH*, and then propose a more detailed analysis of the construction in (14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This verb generally means 'go against' in Classical Chinese, but in the Zuozhuan it can express the meanings 'meet' or 'receive'. For instance, Chen (2013: 1117) glosses it as 迎接 and 領受, without any derogative overtone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In this paper I reserve the use of the asterisk for reconstructed forms, and adopt the dagger symbol † for incorrect sentences.

### 3.1 Which part of speech does 偽 *ŋjweH* belong to?

Before discussing the syntax of simulative complements in Archaic Chinese, it is necessary to address the nature of the words written by the character 偽 *ŋjweH*. According to Chen (2013: 116), it represents either an adjective meaning 'false; hypocritical' (虛假: 虛偽), or a 'state word' (狀態詞)<sup>7</sup> meaning 'pretending' (表示動作行為的不真實, 假裝).

However, there is clear evidence that 偽 *ŋjweH* rather represents a noun 'deceit' and a verb 'pretend to, feign'.

First, as shown by (15), 偽 *ŋjweH* occurs in the meaning 'deceit' in conjunction with 情 *dzjeŋ* 'inner feelings, true state', and can only be analyzed as a noun in this context. There are three such examples in the Zuozhuan.

(15) 民之情偽,盡知之矣

mjin tçi dzjeŋ ŋjweH dzinX tje tçi hiX people GEN feeling deceit completely know DEM SFP

'the people's true state and deceits he knows to the last detail.' (Xi, 28)

Second, example (16) illustrates 偽 *ŋjweH* occuring before the verb 顛 *ten* 'fall'. One could in principle either argue that it is analyzable as an adverb 'pretendingly' in this context.

(16) 猛逐之, 顧而無繼, 偽顛

mæŋX djuwk t¢i, kuH ni mju kejH ŋjweH Ran.Meng pursue DEM look.back LNK not.exist follower pretend ten

fall

Ran Meng went after the Linqiu forces, but looking back and seeing that there was no one to second him, he pretended to fall. (Ding, 8)

However, such an analysis would not account for examples like (17), where 偽 *ŋjweH* precedes the noun 足疾 *tsjowk dzit* 'foot illness', and has to be analyzed as a transitive verb.

(17) 光偽足疾

kwaŋ ŋjweH tsjowk dzit Gongzi/Guang pretend foot ill(ness)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This category includes 'words that are close to adjectives in meaning but do not combine with negative or measure adverbs' (Zádrapa 2021: 39), in particular ideophones.

'(Gongzi) Guang feigned an illness of his feet.' (Zhao, 27)

The character 疾 *dzit* can be used to write a noun 'disease', an intransitive verb 'become ill', or a transitive verb 'resent', and 足疾 *tsjowk dzit* could be alternatively viewed as a complement clause '(feigned that) his feet were affected by a disease', but even under this analysis, 偽 *ŋjweH* must be analysed as a complement-taking verb, not as an adverb.

### 3.2 Simulative constructions in the Zuozhuan

We have established in the previous section that 偽 ŋjweH is to be necessarily analyzed as a transitive (or complement-taking) verb 'pretend' in (17), rather than as an adverb.

There is one additional example in the Zuozhuan of 偽 *ŋjweH* followed by what could be analyzed as a noun phrase (18), but even in this example it is unclear whether 癈疾 *pjojH dzit* is a Verb-noun complement clause 'fall ill' or whether it is a noun 'chronic illness', otherwise attested in the Zuozhuan (19).<sup>8</sup>

(18) 司徒老祁、慮癸偽[癈疾]

si-du lawX.gi ljoH.kjwijX ŋjweH supervise-conscripts Lao.Qi Lü.Gui pretend pjojH.dzit (chronic?).illness

'The supervisor of conscripts Laoqi and Lü Gui pretended to have fallen ill.' (Zhao, 14)

(19) 哀公有癈疾

?oj kuwŋ hjuwX pjojH.dzit Ai lord have chronic.illness

'Lord Ai had a chronic illness.' (Zhao, 8)

Excluding the potentially ambiguous (17) and (18), and the three instances of (an j) *integrable* as a noun 'deceit', and one possible attestation as an intransitive verb 'be fake' (20), all the remaining 19 examples of this character in the Zuozhuan, such as (21), are followed by verbal clauses (in square brackets).

(20) 使乘車者左實右偽

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>A third potential example of ( *ŋjweH* with a nominal object is (30b) discussed below, which is also ambiguous.

şiX ziŋ tç<sup>h</sup>æ tçæX tsaX zit hjuwH ŋjweH send ride vehicle NMLZ left be.real be.fake

'They had sent chariots, with real soldiers on the left and fake ones on the right.' (Xiang, 18)

```
(21) 子偽[不知],我將不墮
```

tsiX ŋjweH [pjuw tje] ŋaX tsjaŋ pjuw xjwie you pretend NEG know I FUT NEG let.fall

'You pretend not to know, and I will not raze the walls.' (Ding, 12)

It is more economical to treat all of these (A) *ŋjweH* as complementtaking verbs, rather than supposing an additional adverb 'pretending' to account for examples such as (16) and (21). The verbal clauses following (A) *ŋjweH* are henceforth referred to as 'simulative complement clauses'.

Of these 19 attestations, 8 involve short complement clauses containing either only one verb (16, 21) or a verb followed by its object (22, 23), never longer than two characters.<sup>9</sup>

(22) 華亥偽[有疾]

ywæH.yojX ŋjweH [hjuwX dzit] Hua.Hai feign have illness

'Hua Hai feigned an illness.' (Zhao, 20)

(23) 冉猛偽[傷足]而先

nemX.mæŋX ŋjweH [çaŋ tsjowk] ni sen Ran.Mang pretend wound foot LNK be.first

'Ran Meng preceded it, pretending to have been wounded in the foot.' (Ding, 8)

Whenever the simulative complement clause is longer than two characters, a  $\texttt{\texttt{#}}$  *tçæX* clause is used, whether the length of the clause is due to a preverbal prepositional phrase as in (24) or to an object as in (25) below and (14) above.

(24) 宵坎血加書,偽[與子儀、子邊盟者]

sjew k<sup>h</sup>omX xwet kæ ço, ŋjweH [joX tsiX.ŋje night pit blood add written.document pretend with Zi.Yi tsiX.pen mjæŋ tçæX] Zi.Bian convenant NMLZ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>I prefer to count by character, rather than by 'syllable' or by 'word', since we cannot take for granted that each character corresponds to one syllable in Old Chinese (Baxter and Sagart 2014).

'Then in the night dug a pit, smeared blood there, and added a document, feigning that they had sworn a covenant with Dou Ke and Qu Yukou.' (Xi, 25)

(25) 我出師以圍許,偽[將改立君者]

ŋaX tç<sup>h</sup>wit şij jiX hjw<del>i</del>j xjoX ŋjweH [tsjaŋ kojX we send.out army use surround Xu, pretend FUT change lip kjun tçæX] establish ruler NMLZ

'We will send out troops to lay siege to Xǔ, pretend that we are planning to establish another ruler, ...' (Cheng, 9)

Shorter simulative complements can also be # tcæX clauses (26, 30b).<sup>10</sup>

(26) 諸臣偽[劫君者]而負罪以出

tço dzin ŋjweH [kjæp kjun tçæX] ni bjuwX dzwojX jiX all subject pretend abduct ruler NMLZ LNK bear fault LNK tç<sup>h</sup>wit

go.out

'All of us, your subjects, will pretend to have abducted you, accept responsibility for it and leave (Lu).' (Zhao, 25)

The frequency of this clause type indicates that it was highly grammaticalized in the language of the Zuozhuan. Of the four main functions of A *tç\alphaX* (§2), the one closest to the use in simulative clauses is its function as relativizer (§2.1).

In view of the optional elision of 者 tcaX in shorter relative clauses (§2.3), it is likely that simulative clauses without 者 tcaX (examples 21, 22, 23 above) are analyzable as cases of elision.

Its historical origin lies probably in the use of 偽 *ŋjweH* as a transitive verb taking a nominal object referring to the act of deception (as in 17 above) In (30b), the clause 糴者 *dek tçœX* can thus either be analyzed as a nominalized verb '(grain) purchaser' as suggested by Durrant et al.'s (2016) translation ('pretend (to be) purchasers'), or as a 者 *tçœX* simulative complement ('pretend to purchase (grain)').

(27) 使師偽[糴者]負甲以息於昔陽之門外

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Due to the haplology rule (§2.3), it is possible that in fact some instance of the 偽 *ŋjweH* + 者 *tçœX*-clause construction are in fact nominalized. Thus, Durrant et al. (2016) translate 諸臣偽[劫君者] *tço dẓin ŋjweH* [*kjœp kjun tçœX*] in (26) as 'all of us, the subjects who have forced you into this action against your better judgment', analyzing this clause as a postnominal relative. In this view, the single 者 *tçœX* marks here both the relativization of the subject of 偽 *ŋjweH* 'pretend' (§2.1) and the simulative complement itself.

şiX şij ŋjweH [dek tçæX] bjuwX kæp jiX sik ?jo send army pretend buy.grain NMLZ carry army use rest LOC sjek-jaŋ tçi mwon ŋwajH Xiyang GEN gate outside

'(Zhonghang Wu) had his troops disguise themselves as grain purchasers, hauling their armor on their backs and then resting outside the gate at Xiyang.' (Zhao, 22)

This type of ambiguous construction is the pivot form which allowed reanalysis from  $\nexists t c \alpha X$  headless subject relative clauses (§2.1) to grammaticalized simulative complements.

The 者 *tçœX* simulative complements are a specificity of the language of the Zuozhuan. The only potential example of the 偽+CLAUSE-者 construction in another text known to me is (28) from the Mengzi.

(28) 然則舜偽[喜者]與

nen tsok çwinH xiX tçæX joX thus LNK Shun preteng rejoice NMLZ SFP

'In that case, then, did not Shun rejoice hypocritically?' (Mengzi, Wanzhang A)

This innovative construction thus appeared in the dialect of Zuozhuan, but never gained foot in the written language and disappeared in late Archaic Chinese.

## 4 Typological perspective

#### 4.1 Participial clauses as simulative complements

Despite the absence of a detailed crosslinguistic survey of simulative complements in the languages of the world, it is clear that the use of  $\nexists$  *tc@X*clauses as simulative complements in the dialect of the Zuozhuan is not typologically isolated.

Verbs and constructions meaning 'pretend to' can select infinitive clauses ('pretend to do'), finite clauses ('he pretends that he does'), but also participial clauses ('pretend doing'). English happens to allow all three types of constructions, but some languages only select one of the three: for instance, in Japhug, all verbs meaning 'pretend, feign, disguise as' select subject participial clauses, rather than infinitive or finite complements (Jacques 2021: 896, 1339).

Although P træX is not a participle marker in Archaic Chinese, since its locus is not on the verb of the clause (§2.1), nominalizing P træX clauses do

functionnally resemble subject participial clauses, as shown by the comparison of the constructions in (29) and (30) in Japhug and Archaic Chinese.

- (29) a. ku-ra-χtuPCP:SBJ-ANTIP-buy'A buyer.'
  - b. 糴者
    dek tçæX
    buy.grain NMLZ
    'A grain purchaser.'
- (30) a. [kuı-ra-χtuı] to-zγγ-pa
   PCP:SBJ-ANTIP-buy IFR-pretend
   'He pretended to buy things.' (elicited)
  - b. 偽[糴者] ŋjweH [dek t¢æX] pretend buy.grain NMLZ
    - 'X pretended to buy grain.' (from (30b) above)

What really stands out in this construction is not specifically the fact that the verb 偽 *ŋjweH* selects a 者 *tçæX*-clause as complement, but rather that it appears the only verb with such a selection restriction in Archaic Chinese, whereas for instance in Japhug, other verbs are compatible with participial clauses (Jacques 2021: 1334). Of the 620 occurrences of 者 *tçæX* in the Zuozhuan, there is no other complement-taking verb requiring this type of clause.

### 4.2 Etymological analysis

There is consensus that 偽 *ŋjweH* 'pretend' is etymologically related to 為 *hjwe(H)* 'do' (Wang 1982: 434, Baxter and Sagart 2014: 121). Baxter and Sagart account for the alternation between MC 疑  $\eta$ - and 喻三 *hjw*- by a \**N*-prefix: they reconstruct 為 *hjwe* < \**G*<sup>*w*</sup>(*r*)*aj* and 偽 *ŋjweH* < \**N*-*G*<sup>*w*</sup>(*r*)*aj*-*s* respectively.

At the same time, an etymological relationship with 訛 ŋwa 'false' (also written 譌) is likely (Schuessler 2007: 223), though Baxter and Sagart reconstruct \*[m]-q<sup>whs</sup>aj and do not imply any etymological relationship. This character is not attested in the Zuozhuan, but occurs in the earlier texts, including the Shijing, as an adjective (31).

(31) 民之訛言、寧莫之懲。

mjin tçi ŋwa ŋjon neŋ mak tçi diŋ people GEN false word why NEG DEM punish 'The false calumnies of the people, - How is it that you do not repress them?.' (Shijing, 沔水 - Mian Shui)

A complete account of the morphological relationship between these forms, in particular that between A-type (訛  $\eta wa$ ) and B-type (為 *hjwe* and (為  $\eta jweH$ ) syllables is not possible, but one can nevertheless propose an explanation for the  $\eta$ - initial.

The verb A hjwe, in addition to the meaning 'do, make', can be used as a quasi-copula 'become, assume the function of' already in Archaic Chinese (32).

(32) 趙衰為原大夫,狐溱為溫大夫。

djewX-tş<sup>h</sup>jwe hjwe njwon dajH-pju ɣu.tşin hjwe ?won Zhao.Chui become Yuan officer Hu.Zhen become Wen dajH-pju officer

'Zhao Cui became the high officer for Yuan, and Hu Zhen became the high officer for Wen.' (Xi, 25)

It is easy to find typological parallels for a semantic from 'do' to the meaning of 'pretend to'. In Omaha (Siouan), one of the many functions of the verb *gáxe* 'make' is to serve as a complement-taking verb meaning 'pretend to' (Marsault 2021: 320).

Some languages in addition develop the meaning 'pretend to' in the reflexive/middle form of 'do'. In Japhug, the verb *zyr-pa* 'pretend' derives by the reflexive prefix *zyr*- (Jacques 2021: 896) from the verb *pa* 'do', which also occurs in the meaning 'become' (Jacques 2021: 1233). In Ancient Greek, the verb  $\pi\rho o\sigma \pi o\iota \acute{e} \omega \mu \alpha$  'pretend' is a middle form of  $\pi o\iota \acute{e} \omega$  'make' (with the preverb  $\pi\rho o\sigma$ -), whose semantic evolution appears to have been 'procure for oneself' > 'take to oneself what does not belong to one' > 'pretend to'.

A potential candidate for a middle voice prefix which could yield the  $\eta$ initial in Middle Chinese is the prefix reflected as  $\eta$ - in several Kuki-Chin languages, including K'cho and Dai Chin (So-Hartmann 2009: 203-209, Mang 2006: 57, Konnerth 2021), as *a*- (from \* $\eta$ *a*-) in Japhug (Jacques and Chen 2007: 904-905) and as *u*- in Khroskyabs (Lai 2017: 354). In K'cho, Mang (2006: 57) describes passive, reflexive, reciprocal and antipassive uses of the  $\eta$ - prefix.

Jacques and Chen (2007: 904-905) and Zhang et al. (2019) previously argued that some of the voicing transitivity alternations found in Old Chinese reflect a cognate of this intransitivizing  $*\eta$ - prefix, rather than the anticausative derivation (on which see Sagart and Baxter 2012). In this view, the  $*\eta$ - prefix turns following obstruents into voiced obstruent in Middle Chinese, but remains  $\eta$ - when occurring before non-nasal sonorants, entailing a reconstruction  $(h, \eta)$  well  $< *\eta$ -waj-s.<sup>11</sup>

The fact that 偽 *ŋjweH* 'pretend' is syntactically transitive in the Zuozhuan (§3.2) could appear to be a counterargument to this analysis. However, one should note that in Japhug, although the reflexive *zyr*- (Jacques 2021: 896) is indeed a transitivity-decreasing derivation, *zyr-pa* 'pretend' is actually a semi-transitive verb (Jacques 2021: 532), lacking transitive morphology, but taking absolutive semi-object. This provides an exact typological parallel for the etymology proposed for 偽 *ŋjweH* in this section.

### Conclusion

The question treated in this paper may appear to be anecdotal, as it is limited to a single verb, but it has implication for several issues of Chinese linguistics and linguistic typology.

First, it documents a syntactic specificity of the dialect of the Zuozhuan, which appears to be unique among received texts. If a similar feature is found in the future in an excavated text, this could provide useful evidence for the datation of that text and for the dialectology of Archaic Chinese.

Second, this work contributes to the typology of simulative complements, in showing the existence of a language where this type of complement presents a unique construction, not shared with any other complementtaking verb.

Third, it also provides data relevant to the historical origins of simulative predicates and simulative constructions in panchronic perspective.

# Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Edith Aldridge, Lukáš Zádrapa and an anonymous reviewer for useful comments on previous versions of this draft. I am responsible for any remaining mistakes.

### References

- Aldridge, Edith. 2013. Object relative clauses in Archaic Chinese. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics* 58(2). 239–265.
- Aldridge, Edith. 2016. Relativization and DP structure in Late Archaic Chinese. In Pang-hsin Ting, Samuel Hung-nin Cheung, Sze-Wing Tang and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>This reconstruction implies rehabilitating initial \**w*-, as opposed to Baxter and Sagart's \* $G^{w}$ -, in Old Chinese.

Andy Chin (eds.), *New horizons in the study of chinese languages: Dialectology, grammar, and philology*, 429–446. Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

- Baxter, William H. III. 1992. *A handbook of Old Chinese phonology*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Baxter, William H. III and Laurent Sagart. 2014. Old Chinese: A new reconstruction. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bickel, Balthasar. 1999. Nominalization and focus constructions in some Kiranti languages. In Yogendra P. Yadava and Warren G. Glover (eds.), *Topics in Nepalese Linguistics*, 271 – 296. Kathmandu: Royal Nepal Academy.
- Chen, Kejiong. 2013. Zuŏzhuàn xiángjiě cídiǎn 左传详解词典 [a dictionary of the Zuozhuan with detailed explanations]. Zhengzhou: Zhongzhou guji chubanshe.
- Downer, Gordon B. 1959. Derivation by tone-change in Classical Chinese. Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies 22(1/3). 258–290.
- Durrant, Stephen, Wai-yee Li and David Schaberg. 2016. Zuo tradition / Zuozhuan, commentary on the "Spring and Autumn Annals". Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Freundlich, Jerzy. 1988. *Nominal functions and nominalisation in classical chinese*: PhD dissertation, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.
- Harbsmeier, Christoph. 1981. *Aspects of Classical Chinese syntax*. London: Curzon Press.
- He, Leshi. 2010. Zuŏzhuàn yǔfǎ yánjiū 《左传》语法研究 [research on the grammar of the Zuozhuan]. Zhengzhou: Henan daxue chubanshe.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2016. How many \*-s suffixes in Old Chinese? Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics 9(2). 205–217.
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2021. A grammar of Japhug. Berlin: Language Science Press. https://langsci-press.org/catalog/book/295.
- Jacques, Guillaume and Zhen Chen. 2007. Chápùhuà de bùjíwù qiánzhuì jí xiāngguān wèntí 茶堡话的不及物前缀及相关问题 [The intransitive prefix in Japhug and related problems]. *Language and Linguistics* 8(4). 883–912.
- Konnerth, Linda. 2021. On the nature of inverse systems: The rise of inverse marking via antipassive constructions. *Diachronica* 38(1). 25–63. doi: doi.org/10.1075/dia.18055.kon.

- Lai, Yunfan. 2017. *Grammaire du khroskyabs de Wobzi*. Paris: PhD dissertation, Université Paris III.
- Luo, Ruzhong and Cuiping Wu. 1983. Lüèlùn Zuǒzhàn zhōng de "zhě" zì jí "zhě" zì jiégòu de yīxiē wèntí 略论《左传》中的"者"字及"者" 字结构的一些问题 [Discussing some problems regarding the character "zhe" and the constructions with "zhe" in the Zuozhuan. *Guǎngxī mínzú xuéyuàn xuébào* 广西民族学院学报 2. 136–145.
- Mang, Kee Shein. 2006. A syntactic and pragmatic description of verb stem alterntion in K'cho, a Chin language. Payap University MA thesis.
- Marsault, Julie. 2021. Valency-changing operations in Umónhon: Affixation, incorporation and syntactic constructions: PhD dissertation, Université Paris III.
- Sagart, Laurent and William H. Baxter. 2012. Reconstructing the s- prefix in Old Chinese. *Language and Linguistics* 13(1). 29–59.
- Schuessler, Axel. 2007. ABC Etymological Dictionary of Old Chinese. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- So-Hartmann, Helga. 2009. *A descriptive grammar of Daai Chin.* Berkeley: STEDT Monograph.
- von der Gabelentz, Georg. 1881. Chinesische Grammatik. Leipzig: T.O. Weigel.
- Wang, Li. 1982. Tóngyuán zìdiǎn 同源字典 [a dictionary of cognate characters]. Beijing: Shangwu yinshuguan.
- Zhang, Shuya, Guillaume Jacques and Yunfan Lai. 2019. A study of cognates between Gyalrong languages and Old Chinese. *Journal of Language Relationship* 7(1). 73–92.
- Zádrapa, Lukáš. 2021. Ancient chinese sentence final particle ěr 爾. *Cahiers de Linguistique Asie Orientale* 50(1). 1−64.