

ERR α coordinates actin and focal adhesion dynamics

Violaine Tribollet, Catherine Cerutti, Alain Géloën, Emmanuelle Berger, Richard de Mets, Martial Balland, Julien Courchet, Jean-Marc Vanacker, Christelle Forcet

▶ To cite this version:

Violaine Tribollet, Catherine Cerutti, Alain Géloën, Emmanuelle Berger, Richard de Mets, et al.. ERR α coordinates actin and focal adhesion dynamics. Cancer Gene Therapy, 2022, 29 (10), pp.1429-1438. 10.1038/s41417-022-00461-6 . hal-04176612

HAL Id: hal-04176612

https://hal.science/hal-04176612

Submitted on 3 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ERRα coordinates actin and focal adhesion dynamics

2

1

- 3 Violaine Tribollet¹, Catherine Cerutti¹, Alain Géloën², Emmanuelle Berger²,
- 4 Richard De Mets³, Martial Balland⁴, Julien Courchet⁵, Jean-Marc Vanacker¹ and
- 5 Christelle Forcet¹.
- ¹Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle de Lyon, Université de Lyon, Université Claude
- 7 Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR5242, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 69007 Lyon,
- 8 France.
- ²UMR Ecologie Microbienne, Université de Lyon, CNRS 5557, INRA 1418, VetAgro Sup,
- Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 69622 Villeurbanne cedex, France.
- ³Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117411.
- ⁴Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Physique, Grenoble Alpes University, 38402 Saint
- 13 Martin d'Hères, France.
- ⁵Institut NeuroMyoGène, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS
- 15 UMR-5310, INSERM U-1217, 69008 Lyon, France.
- 16 Correspondence should be addressed to C. F. (christelle.forcet@ens-lyon.fr, Tel: +33
- 17 (0)4 26 73 13 46, Fax: +33 (0)4 26 73 13 75).

18

19

Abstract

- 20 Cell migration depends on the dynamic organization of the actin cytoskeleton and
- assembly and disassembly of focal adhesions (FA). However the precise mechanisms
- coordinating these processes remain poorly understood. We previously identified the
- estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α) as a major regulator of cell migration. Here, we
- show that loss of ERR α leads to abnormal accumulation of actin filaments that is
- associated with an increased level of inactive form of the actin-depolymerising factor

cofilin. We further show that ERR α depletion decreases cell adhesion and results in defective FA formation and turnover. Interestingly, specific inhibition of the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK-cofilin pathway rescues the actin polymerisation defects resulting from ERR α silencing, but not cell adhesion. Instead we found that MAP4K4 is a direct target of ERR α and down-regulation of its activity rescues cell adhesion and FA formation in the ERR α -depleted cells. Altogether, our results highlight a crucial role of ERR α in coordinating the dynamic of actin network and focal adhesion through the independent regulation of the RhoA and MAP4K4 pathways.

Key words: actin, focal adhesion, RhoA, MAP4K4, ERRα

Introduction

Cell migration is essential for embryonic development, wound healing and immune response (1). Its dysregulation contributes to many pathologies including cancer cell dissemination (2).

The multistep process of cell movement requires highly coordinated changes in cell morphology and interactions with the extracellular matrix (ECM). Cell migration can be divided into four discrete steps: formation of protrusion, adhesion to the ECM, generation of traction forces at the adhesion sites and release of adhesion at the rear, which allow the cell to move forward (1,3). The growing actin network pushes the membrane and promotes lamellipodial protrusion at the leading edge. Protrusions are then stabilised by integrin-based protein complexes known as focal adhesions (FA) connecting the actin cytoskeleton to the ECM. In addition, actomyosin fibers contribute to the contraction and the retraction of the cell body. Therefore this sequence of events

involves a dynamic organization of the actin cytoskeleton and a controlled assembly and disassembly of FA that must be coordinated both in space and time (1,4,5).

The Rho GTPases plays a major role in regulating the actin cytoskeleton and cell migration (6–8). Notably, RhoA initiates the process by inducing actin assembly at the cell front and mediates the interaction of contractile actin-myosin filaments that promotes FA maturation, cell body translocation and rear retraction (8–11). RhoA promotes actin assembly through its effectors mammalian homolog of Drosophila diaphanous (mDIA) and Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) (6,12). mDIA initiates actin filament assembly by nucleation, whereas ROCK promotes actin polymerisation through the activation of the LIM kinase (LIMK) resulting in the inhibition of the actin-severing activity of cofilin (13,14).

The mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase (MAP4K4) has also been shown to be involved in cell migration, in particular by specifically controlling FA dynamics (15,16). Interestingly, microtubules serve as tracks to deliver proteins that act locally at FA to promote their turnover (11,17). In this way, MAP4K4 is delivered to FA sites to induce the activation of the GTPase Arf6 which promotes integrin internalization from the cell surface and FA turnover (18). MAP4K4 also regulates FA disassembly in migrating cells by phosphorylating moesin, which displaces talin from integrins and induces their inactivation (19). Furthermore, the pro-migratory function of MAP4K4 relies on its ability to induce endocytosis and activation of the integrin $\beta 1$ adhesion receptor (20). Overall, cell migration is a complex and dynamic phenomenon, which involves crosstalks between actin and FA. However, how these processes are coordinated to support cell migration is not clearly understood.

Our team and others have demonstrated that the estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α) is an important factor promoting cell migration (21–23). ERR α is an orphan member of

the nuclear receptor superfamily and acts as a transcription factor (24,25). ERR α is strongly expressed in several types of cancers and its high expression correlates with poor prognosis (23,25,26). In addition, accumulating evidence indicates that ERR α plays a major role in tumoral growth and progression via stimulation of cell proliferation (27,28), angiogenesis (29–32), aerobic glycolysis (33,34) and ECM invasion (35,36). In breast cancer cells, we previously showed that ERR α promotes directional cell migration by regulating RhoA stability and activity (22). Consequently, the invalidation of ERR α leads to impaired cell migration which is associated with cell disorientation, disorganized actin filaments and defective lamellipodium formation (22). Yet the specific roles of ERR α in the regulation of the discrete processes involved in cancer cell migration, such as actin- and FA dynamics, remain unclear.

In the present study, we report that ERR α controls actin polymerisation and organisation by modulating cofilin activity through the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK pathway. We also found that ERR α promotes cell adhesion independently of its role on the actin cytoskeleton. Indeed, ERR α directly regulates the expression of MAP4K4, and thereby contributes to the modulation of FA formation and turnover. Together, our study identifies ERR α as a major actor involved in the coordination of actin and FA dynamics that may contribute to efficient cancer cell migration and metastasis.

Results

ERRα regulates actin dynamics

To investigate the potential role of ERR α in the regulation of actin polymerisation, we first performed differential sedimentation of actin filaments (F-actin) and actin monomers (G-actin) using ultracentrifugation. We observed that inactivation of ERR α

with a specific siRNA induced a significant increase in F-actin level with stable G-actin level in both MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 1A) and HeLa cells (Figure S1A). Immunofluorescence experiments showed that actin filaments are highly concentrated at the cell periphery in both the control and $ERR\alpha$ -depleted conditions. Interestingly, these actin filaments seemed to be particularly disorganized in the $ERR\alpha$ -depleted cells (Figure 1B). In addition, quantitative image analysis revealed that the F-actin content significantly increased upon $ERR\alpha$ depletion (Figure 1C).

In order to further analyse defects in actin filaments associated with ERR α depletion, we used triangle-shaped micropatterns. When control cells adhered on these micropatterns, they spread and acquired a triangular shape. Actin filaments accumulated in these cells at the lateral edges of the triangle. By contrast, ERR α -depleted cells displayed a random localization of the actin filaments and a strongly altered triangular morphology (Figure 1D). Once again, depletion of ERR α induced an increase in the F-actin content as compared to the control condition (Figure 1E). Taken together, these results demonstrate that ERR α regulates polymerisation of actin filaments.

ERRα acts on the RhoA-ROCK pathway to modulate actin polymerisation

The small GTPase protein RhoA plays a major role in regulating the organization of the actin cytoskeleton through its effectors mDIA and ROCK (12,14). Downstream of ROCK, the activation of LIMK results in cofilin inactivation and consecutive increase in actin polymerisation (13,14). We previously showed that ERR α regulates cell migration by modulating RhoA protein expression and activation of the RhoA-ROCK pathway (22). We therefore investigated if the effects of ERR α on the actin cytoskeleton could result from LIMK-dependent cofilin inhibition. Western blot experiments showed that

depletion of ERR α strongly increased the level of the inactive phosphorylated form of cofilin, whereas total levels of cofilin remained unchanged (Figure 2A). The level of RhoA also strongly increased in ERR α -depleted cells, as expected (Figure 2B and Figure S1B). In addition, treatment with the selective ROCK inhibitor Y27632 decreased the phosphorylation level of cofilin in both control and ERR α -depleted cells (Figure 2C). Interestingly, this treatment was able to put the phosphorylation level of cofilin in ERR α -depleted cells close to the one observed in control cells. Furthermore, the specific LIMK inhibitor Pyr1 similarly rescued the phosphorylation level of cofilin in these cells (Figure 2D). These findings indicate that the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK pathway is involved in ERR α -mediated controls of cofilin activity. We next investigated whether the deregulation of this pathway may account for the defective actin regulation observed in ERR α -depleted cells. Treating micropatterned cells with 5 μ M Y27632 rescued, at least partially, the increase in F-actin intensity induced by ERR depletion (Figure 2E), indicating that the effect of the receptor on the RhoA-ROCK cascade is instrumental in regulating the F-actin content.

ERRa regulates cell adhesion

Actin filament- and FA dynamics are tightly linked. Notably, Rho GTPases and actin dynamics play a crucial role in regulating FA maturation and turnover (37–39). Therefore, we determined whether ERR α is able to regulate cell adhesion through its action on the RhoA pathway. Using the xCELLigence system, which allows real-time monitoring of cell adhesion (40), we first showed that depletion of endogenous ERR α resulted in a significant decrease in cell adhesion to collagen I compared to control condition (Figure 3A). Similar effects were observed after depletion of ERR α in HeLa cells (Figure S1C). We also observed that cell adhesion to collagen IV or fibronectin

decreased upon ERRα silencing (Figure 3B). By contrast, control and ERRα-depleted cells were not able to adhere on the positively charged poly-L-lysine substrate, showing that the adhesion of MDA-MB231 cells does not rely on electrostatic interactions (Figure S1D). To investigate whether the upregulation of the RhoA pathway due to ERRα depletion could lead to defective cell adhesion, we then tested the effect of the ROCK inhibitor Y27632 on the adhesion of ERRα-depleted cells. Y27632 treatment exacerbated, rather than rescued, the adhesion defect of ERR α -depleted cells. It also induced a decrease, albeit moderate, of cell adhesion under control conditions (Figure 3C). Treatment with the LIMK inhibitor Pyr1 also markedly reduced adhesion of the control and ERRα-depleted cells (Figure S1E). Notably, RhoA stimulates actomyosin contractility through ROCK that is required for cell adhesion (8). We thus tested the possibility that abnormal actomyosin contractility resulting from ERRα depletion may impact this process. We found that the specific myosin II inhibitor blebbistatin did not change the adhesion ability of ERRα-depleted cells but slightly reduced adhesion of the control cells (Figure S1F). Taken together, these observations suggest that a correct level of activation of RhoA pathway and a precise control of the actomyosin contractility contribute to optimal cell adhesion in control conditions. Our result further indicate that the RhoA-ROCK pathway is not involved in ERR α -mediated regulation of cell adhesion.

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

FA represent the major sites of cell attachment to the ECM (1,5). Therefore, to determine how ERR α impacts on cell adhesion, we analysed FA using vinculin as a marker. Immunofluorescence microscopy showed that FA appeared smaller in ERR α -depleted cells as compared to control cells (Figure 4A). Quantitative analysis of vinculin staining revealed indeed a significant decrease of FA area and length upon ERR α depletion (Figure 4B and 4C). The distance of FA to the cell periphery was also impaired

in these cells, reflecting FA mislocalization (Figure 4D). To determine the potential role of ERR α in FA dynamics, we next used MDA-MB231 cells stably expressing GFP-paxillin, a fluorescent FA marker protein. As for their wild type counterparts, adhesion of these cells to collagen I decreased upon ERR α depletion, demonstrating that the GFP tag did not compromise ERR α involvement in cell adhesion (Figure S3A). We performed live-cell imaging and we observed that FA displayed more rapid phases of assembly and disassembly in ERR α -depleted cells as compared to control cells. Representative examples of these perturbations in FA dynamics are shown in montages in Figure 4E (red arrows). Quantification of the kinetics of individual FA demonstrated that depletion of ERR α resulted in a significant increase in both the assembly and disassembly rates of FA (Figure 4F). Altogether, these data indicate that ERR α promotes cell adhesion by modulation of FA formation and turnover.

ERRα regulates FA dynamics via its transcriptional target MAP4K4

To investigate the molecular mechanisms through which ERR α controls cell adhesion, we examined its transcriptional targets. Transcriptomic and Gene Ontology (GO) analyses have been previously performed to identify ERR α target genes and associated biological functions (22). Of particular interest, these analyses revealed MAP4K4, which encodes a Ser/Thr kinase involved in the regulation of FA dynamics and cell migration (15,18,19). RT-qPCR experiments verified our finding, showing that silencing of ERR α led to an up-regulation of MAP4K4 expression at the mRNA level (Figure 5A). Examination of publicly available chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-Seq) data performed on BT-474 cells (41) indicated the recruitment of ERR α on two distinct regions of intron 2 of the MAP4K4 gene, each displaying two putative ERR α response elements (ERREs) (Figure S2A). ChIP-qPCR experiments revealed that ERR α

binds these regions in MDA-MB231 cells (Figure 5B). Next, an up-regulation of the MAP4K4 protein expression resulting from ERRα-depletion was confirmed by Western blot (Figure 5C). Similar results were observed in HeLa and MDA-MB231 GFP-paxillin cells (Figure S2B). Consistently, an enhanced activity of MAP4K4 was observed in ERRa depleted cells, as indicated by an increased phosphorylation of moesin, a substrate of MAP4K4 involved in FA turnover (Figure 5D) (19). Together, these data demonstrate that ERR α directly reduces the expression of MAP4K4 and consequently influences its activity. Interestingly, we showed that loss of ERRα reduced the adhesion of BT474 cells on the collagen I substrate (Figure S3B), and increased the expression of the MAP4K4 protein in these cells (Figure S2B), suggesting that similar molecular mechanisms are involved in the adhesion of MDA-MB231, HeLa and BT474 cells. MAP4K4 promotes FA disassembly by inducing integrin recycling (18) and inactivation (19). These data raise the possibility that the over-activation of MAP4K4 observed in $ERR\alpha$ -depleted cells may account for the defects of FA identified in these cells. To investigate this hypothesis, we suppressed endogenous expression of MAP4K4 in MDA-MB231 cells using siRNA targeting and we tested the ability of these cells to adhere to collagen I substrate. Unexpectedly, our results showed that the MAP4K4 knockdown induced a decrease in cell adhesion as compared to control conditions (Figure S3C). These data are contradictory with those of other teams which demonstrated an increased cell adhesion resulting from siRNA-mediated depletion of MAP4K4 in human umbilical vein endothelial cells or knockout of MAP4K4 in mice keratinocytes (18,42). However, it has been suggested that MAP4K4 could play a positive role in cell adhesion through protein interactions mediated by its C-terminal domain (15,43). This explains why deletion of MAP4K4 may impinge on the correct adhesion of cells as observed in our conditions. Therefore, since the depletion of

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

MAP4K4 may lead to cell-type-specific effects, we choose to use specific MAP4K4 inhibitors to analyse the potential role of MAP4K4 in ERR α -mediated regulation of cell adhesion. First, cells were treated with the MAP4K4 inhibitor PF-06260933 (44). We found that a low concentration of PF-06260933 rescued cell adhesion on the collagen I substrate (Figure 6A) and restored FA area and length in ERR α -depleted cells (Figure 6B and 6C). PF-06260933 also nearly completely rescued the relative distance of FA to the cell periphery which was impaired in these cells probably due to mislocalized MAP4K4 activity (19), but altered FA localization in control cells (Figure 6D). Second, we observed that treatment with another MAP4K4 inhibitor, GNE-495 (42,45), also rescued adhesion of the ERR α -depleted cells (Figure S3D). Together, these data demonstrate that ERR α regulates cell adhesion through MAP4K4.

As shown above, impacting on the RhoA-ROCK axis in ERR α -depleted cells rescued the defects in actin polymerisation but not the reduced adhesion capacities. We thus examined the converse possibility, questioning whether impacting on the MAP4K4 axis could reduce the increased actin polymerisation observed upon ERR α inactivation. As shown on Figure 6E, treatment with the MAP4K4 inhibitor PF-06260933 did not rescue the actin status in ERR α -depleted cells but rather increased actin polymerisation in control cells. Altogether our data show that ERR α regulates actin polymerisation and FA dynamics via two independent pathways.

Discussion

ERR α regulates many cellular processes contributing to tumor development and progression. Most of all, ERR α has been largely implicated in inducing migratory and invasive properties of cancer cells (21-23,35-36). ERR α also contributes to cell

migration under physiological conditions such as morphogenetic movements during gastrulation of the zebrafish embryo and chemotactic migration of activated macrophages (22,46). Although some molecular mechanisms through which ERR α promotes cell movements have been described, how these signalling actors are connected to the precise morphological changes required for cell migration per se is still unclear. In this report, we show that ERR α coordinates actin and FA dynamics, through the independent modulation of the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK-cofilin pathway and MAP4K4 activity respectively.

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

A proper interaction between cells and ECM is an essential prerequisite for cell migration, and it needs to be precisely regulated. Nascent adhesion complexes recruit actin-binding proteins to establish a link between ECM and the actin cytoskeleton (1). RhoA contributes to FA maturation by controlling the growth of FA-associated actin filaments through the activation of the formin mDIA and inhibition of severing activity of cofilin (7,13,14). RhoA also regulates actin binding to myosin II filaments via ROCK, which subsequently induces contractility required for FA maturation (8,11). We previously reported that ERRa depletion significantly increases RhoA expression and activation (22). We show here that the upregulation of RhoA activity induces an increase in actin polymerisation resulting from an enhanced phosphorylation status of the ROCK downstream target cofilin. This suggests that an excess of actin filaments may impair their interaction with FA and impact on FA maturation. Unexpectedly, our results reveal that the RhoA-ROCK pathway does not contribute to the regulation of cell adhesion downstream of ERRa. Therefore, global deregulation of RhoA activity due to ERRa depletion does not seem to have a strong influence on the precise control of actin polymerisation at the FA sites.

It has been reported that cofilin and myosin compete for binding to actin filaments (14). We demonstrate here that upregulation of the RhoA-ROCK pathway leads to an increase in cofilin phosphorylation in the ERR α -depleted cells, which has been shown to inhibit its interaction with actin. As a consequence, depletion or inhibition of cofilin may promote actomyosin assembly (14). In addition, we previously showed that the overactivation of ROCK resulting from ERR α depletion leads to an increased phosphorylation of MYPT1, one of the subunits of the myosin light chain phosphatase (22). In addition, an overactivation of ROCK can increase the myosin II ATPase activity through phosphorylation of myosin light chain (MLC) (47). Altogether these data suggest that ERR α functions as a regulator of actomyosin contractility by controlling the RhoA-ROCK pathway. Therefore, ERR α may modulate the formation of actin stress fibers not only through LIMK and cofilin, but also through myosin II. However, in accordance with the results obtained for the RhoA pathway, we demonstrate that ERR α does not promote cell adhesion through its potential effect on actomyosin filaments.

Upon ERR α silencing, cell adhesion decreases as a result from impaired FA formation and dynamics. These defects can be rescued by down-modulating the activity of MAP4K4. Interestingly, we show that ERR α depletion increases both FA assembly and FA disassembly. This is consistent with a report showing that loss of MAP4K4 exerts the inverse effect on FA dynamics (18). MAP4K4 has been previously identified as a FA disassembly factor (18,19). Nevertheless it has been recently reported that MAP4K4 promotes the activation of β 1-integrin and its downstream effector Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) (48), suggesting that it may also regulate FA assembly. Therefore, further investigations will be needed to determine the potential contribution of these MAP4K4-dependent mechanisms in the regulation of FA assembly and maturation by ERR α .

MAP4K4 regulates FA dynamics by promoting internalization and inactivation of β1-integrin (18,19). In migrating cells, MAP4K4 is delivered to FA sites through its association with the microtubule end-binding protein EB2 (ending binding 2). MAP4K4 subsequently activates IQSEC1 (IQ motif and SEC7 domain-containing protein 1) and Arf6 to induce FA disassembly and cell migration (18). Furthermore, MAP4K4 is involved in phosphorylation of moesin, which competes with talin for binding to β1integrin. This leads to \(\beta 1 \)-integrin inactivation and FA disassembly (19). Since we observed the activation of moesin in the ERR α -depleted cells, our results strongly suggest that ERRα reduces FA disassembly through the MAP4K4-moesin pathway. We cannot completely exclude the possibility that $ERR\alpha$ also regulates FA disassembly via the regulation of IQSEC1 and Arf6 activation. However, ERR α is more probably involved in the regulation of integrin inactivation rather than their recycling because surface expression of β 1-integrin (and other tested integrins) is not modified upon ERR α depletion (Tribollet and Forcet, unpublished). Furthermore, ERRa promotes cell adhesion to different ECM proteins, suggesting the involvement of the MAP4K4-moesin pathway in the regulation of distinct types of integrins. In line with that observation, the role of talin in activation of multiple integrins been reported (49,50). Therefore, it is plausible that ERRa, by inducing moesin competition with talin via MAP4K4, has a more general role in the regulation of integrin activation and FA turnover.

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

We previously performed a transcriptomic analysis of breast cancer cells that led us to identify MAP4K4 as an ERR α -target gene (22). This analysis showed that the expression of MAP4K4 increased by about 80% upon ERR α depletion, and also revealed that its expression was decreased but not fully suppressed in the control condition. Thus, we postulate that a correct protein level of MAP4K4 is critical for cell migration. It

has been shown that the knockout or the knockdown of MAP4K4 leads to FA stabilisation and impaired or reduced cell migration probably due to a strong attachment of the cells to the substrate (18,42). On the contrary, a marked increase in expression of MAP4K4 resulting from ERR α depletion leads to FA disassembly and may result in a reduced capacity of cells to respond to extracellular matrix cues. Consequently, the directionality of cell migration may be impaired rather than the migration process per se (22,51). We therefore propose that ERR α is important in ensuring a correct level of MAP4K4 necessary for proper oriented cell migration.

A role of MAP4K4 in regulation of cortical actin dynamics has been previously shown (52–54). Notably, these data show that MAP4K4 silencing decreases the accumulation of actin filaments in cell protrusions. Thus, it suggests that the upregulation of MAP4K4 resulting from ERRα depletion may promote aberrant actin polymerisation. However, we demonstrate here that MAP4K4 is not involved in the regulation of the actin network downstream of ERRα. Therefore, we speculate that ERRα-mediated regulation of MAP4K4 expression plays a major role in cell adhesion, but that another upstream signal may be needed to activate MAP4K4-dependent actin polymerisation. In this way, it has been shown that MAP4K4, or its mouse homolog NIK, phosphorylates ARP2 and the ERM proteins to induce actin polymerisation in response to growth factor stimulation (43,52,53). Altogether, our data firmly demonstrate that ERRα coordinates actin polymerisation and adhesion via two independent pathways.

Both ERR α and MAP4K4 have been shown to be highly expressed in cancers (15,23). Our data demonstrate here that ERR α is a key transcriptional regulator of the MAP4K4 gene. However, epigenetic modifications and other signalling pathways also appear to be involved in the modulation of MAP4K4 expression (15). In these conditions, compensation mechanisms may bypass the MAP4K4-induced cell adhesion

defects to facilitate oriented migration of cancer cells. Thus, this study revealed that the modulation of cell adhesion exerted by ERR α through MAP4K4 is one specific way to control breast cancer cell migration.

In conclusion, we report that ERR α modulates actin polymerization through the RhoA-ROCK axis and FA formation and turnover through the MAP4K4 pathway. As a consequence, deregulation of ERR α expression deeply impacts cell adhesion and cell morphology, pointing to a critical role played by ERR α in cancer cell migration.

Acknowledgements and funding sources

The authors warmly thank members of the Vanacker lab for support and discussion, as well as Séverine Périan for technical assistance. We are grateful to Sandrine Etienne-Manneville (Institut Curie) and Laurence Lafanechère (Institute for Advanced Biosciences) for reagents. We also thank the staff of PLATIM (UMS3444/CNRS, US8/INSERM, ENS de Lyon, UCBL) and IGFL microscopy facilities for their precious help with microscopy studies. Work in our laboratory is funded by Ligue contre le Cancer (comité Rhône), Région Auvergne Rhône Alpes (grant SCUSI OPE2017_004), ANSES (grant EST15-076), and ENS Lyon (programme JoRISS).

Author contributions

V.T., J.-M.V. and C.F. designed research; V.T., C.C., E.D.-B, J.C. and C.F. performed research;

R.D.M., M. B., and A.G. contributed to analytic tools; V.T., C.C., J.-M.V. and C.F. analyzed

data; and C.F. wrote the paper.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and methods

Data and Code Availability

FA quantification was done using a Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA) algorithm

developed by M. Balland and R. Demets, and the corresponding source code can be

found in GitHub: https://github.com/rdemets/FA Quantif Matlab.

The source code of the Focal Adhesion Analysis Server developed by M Berginski is also

available in GitHub: https://github.com/mbergins/.

Cell lines

Mycoplasma-free MDA-MB231, HeLa and BT474 cells were cultured in 4.5 g/l glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS (Gibco), 10 U/ml penicillin (Gibco) and 10 μ g/ml streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were maintained in a 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C. MDA-MB231 cells were transfected with pEGFP-paxillin plasmid (a generous gift from

Sandrine Etienne-Manneville, Institut Curie, Paris, France), selected with 1 mg/ml G418

(Sigma-Aldrich) and maintained as cell populations.

Cell transfection

siRNA were transfected using INTERFERin (Polyplus Transfection) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 3x10⁵ cells per ml were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected with 25 pmol/ml of control or ERRα siRNAs. Cells were harvested 48 or 72 hours after transfection. Control siRNAs were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (medium GC Stealth RNA interference negative control duplexes). siRNAs were from Eurogentec: ERR#1(GGCAGAAACCUAUCUCAGGUU), ERR#2(GAAUGCACUGGUGUCACAUCUGCUG),

394 MAP4K4#A (GUAGCACACUCCAGAAACA), MAP4K4#B (GCGAAGGAGAACAAGAA).

Cells were harvested 48 or 72 hours after transfection.

Biochemical reagents

Y27632 dihydrochloride monohydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Y0503) was used at 2.5; 5 or 10 μ M; Pyr1 (Lim K inhibitor) (a gift from Laurence Lafanechère, Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Grenoble, France) was used at 1; 5 or 10 μ M; Blebbistatin (Sigma-Aldrich, B0560) was used at 5 μ M; PF-06260933 dihydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, PZ0272) was used at 0.25 or 0.5 μ M; and GNE495 (Clinisciences, HY-100343) was used at 1 μ M. Cells were pre-treated (Western blot, xCELLigence) for 1h30 at 37°C before cell lysis or cell adhesion assay, or incubated (micropatterns) with these inhibitors for 4 h at 37°C before fixation.

Additional M&M can be found in Supplemental File.

409 References

- 1. Gardel ML, Schneider IC, Aratyn-Schaus Y, Waterman CM. Mechanical Integration of Actin
- and Adhesion Dynamics in Cell Migration. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010;26:315-33.
- 412 2. Bravo-Cordero JJ, Hodgson L, Condeelis J. Directed cell invasion and migration during
- 413 metastasis. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2012;24(2):277-83.
- 414 3. Ridley AJ. Cell Migration: Integrating Signals from Front to Back. Science.
- 415 2003;302(5651):1704-9.
- 416 4. Blanchoin L, Boujemaa-Paterski R, Sykes C, Plastino J. Actin dynamics, architecture, and
- mechanics in cell motility. Physiol Rev. 2014;94(1):235-63.
- 5. De Pascalis C, Etienne-Manneville S. Single and collective cell migration: the mechanics of
- adhesions. Mol Biol Cell. 2017;28(14):1833-46.
- 420 6. Ridley AJ. Rho GTPase signalling in cell migration. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2015;36:103-12.
- 421 7. Lawson CD, Ridley AJ. Rho GTPase signaling complexes in cell migration and invasion. J Cell
- 422 Biol. 05 2018;217(2):447-57.
- 8. Guan X, Guan X, Dong C, Jiao Z. Rho GTPases and related signaling complexes in cell
- 424 migration and invasion. Exp Cell Res. 2020;111824.
- 9. Machacek M, Hodgson L, Welch C, Elliott H, Pertz O, Nalbant P, et al. Coordination of Rho
- 426 GTPase activities during cell protrusion. Nature. 2009;461(7260):99-103.
- 427 10. Martin K, Reimann A, Fritz RD, Ryu H, Jeon NL, Pertz O. Spatio-temporal co-ordination of
- 428 RhoA, Rac1 and Cdc42 activation during prototypical edge protrusion and retraction dynamics. Sci
- 429 Rep. 2016;6(1):1-14.
- 430 11. Seetharaman S, Etienne-Manneville S. Microtubules at focal adhesions a double-edged
- 431 sword. J Cell Sci. 2019;132(19).
- 432 12. Spiering D, Hodgson L. Dynamics of the Rho-family small GTPases in actin regulation and
- 433 motility. Cell Adhes Migr. 2011;5(2):170-80.
- 434 13. Mizuno K. Signaling mechanisms and functional roles of cofilin phosphorylation and

- dephosphorylation. Cell Signal. 2013;25(2):457-69.
- 436 14. Kanellos G, Frame MC. Cellular functions of the ADF/cofilin family at a glance. J Cell Sci.
- 437 2016;129(17):3211-8.
- 438 15. Gao X, Gao C, Liu G, Hu J. MAP4K4: an emerging therapeutic target in cancer. Cell Biosci.
- 439 2016;6.
- 440 16. Tripolitsioti D, Grotzer MA, Baumgartner M. The Ser/Thr Kinase MAP4K4 Controls Pro-
- 441 Metastatic Cell Functions. J Carcinog Mutagen. 2017;08(01).
- 442 17. Stehbens S, Wittmann T. Targeting and transport: how microtubules control focal adhesion
- 443 dynamics. J Cell Biol. 2012;198(4):481-9.
- 444 18. Yue J, Xie M, Gou X, Lee P, Schneider MD, Wu X. Microtubules regulate focal adhesion
- dynamics through MAP4K4. Dev Cell. 2014;31(5):572-85.
- 446 19. Vitorino P, Yeung S, Crow A, Bakke J, Smyczek T, West K, et al. MAP4K4 regulates integrin-
- 447 FERM binding to control endothelial cell motility. Nature. 2015;519(7544):425-30.
- 448 20. Tripolitsioti D, Kumar KS, Neve A, Migliavacca J, Capdeville C, Rushing EJ, et al. MAP4K4
- controlled integrin \(\beta \) activation and c-Met endocytosis are associated with invasive behavior of
- medulloblastoma cells. Oncotarget. 2018;9(33):23220-36.
- 21. Dwyer MA, Joseph JD, Wade HE, Eaton ML, Kunder RS, Kazmin D, et al. WNT11 expression is
- induced by estrogen-related receptor alpha and beta-catenin and acts in an autocrine manner to
- increase cancer cell migration. Cancer Res. 2010;70(22):9298-308.
- 454 22. Sailland J, Tribollet V, Forcet C, Billon C, Barenton B, Carnesecchi J, et al. Estrogen-related
- receptor α decreases RHOA stability to induce orientated cell migration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
- 456 2014;111(42):15108-13.
- 457 23. Tam IS, Giguère V. There and back again: The journey of the estrogen-related receptors in
- the cancer realm. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;157:13-9.
- 459 24. Horard B, Vanacker J-M. Estrogen receptor-related receptors: orphan receptors desperately
- seeking a ligand. J Mol Endocrinol. 2003;31(3):349-57.

- 461 25. Ranhotra HS. The estrogen-related receptors in metabolism and cancer: newer insights. J
- 462 Recept Signal Transduct. 2018;38(2):95-100.
- 463 26. Ranhotra HS. Estrogen-related receptor alpha and cancer: axis of evil. J Recept Signal
- 464 Transduct. 2015;35(6):505-8.
- 465 27. Chang C, Kazmin D, Jasper JS, Kunder R, Zuercher WJ, McDonnell DP. The metabolic regulator
- 466 ERRα, a downstream target of HER2/IGF-1, as a therapeutic target in breast cancer. Cancer Cell.
- 467 2011;20(4):500-10.
- 468 28. Bianco S, Sailland J, Vanacker J-M. ERRs and cancers: Effects on metabolism and on
- 469 proliferation and migration capacities. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2012;130(3):180-5.
- 470 29. Ao A, Wang H, Kamarajugadda S, Lu J. Involvement of estrogen-related receptors in
- transcriptional response to hypoxia and growth of solid tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
- 472 2008;105(22):7821-6.
- 473 30. Zou C, Yu S, Xu Z, Wu D, Ng C-F, Yao X, et al. ERRα augments HIF-1 signalling by directly
- 474 interacting with HIF-1α in normoxic and hypoxic prostate cancer cells. J Pathol. 2014;233(1):61-73.
- 475 31. Stein RA, Gaillard S, McDonnell DP. Estrogen-related receptor alpha induces the expression
- 476 of vascular endothelial growth factor in breast cancer cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.
- 477 2009;114(1-2):106-12.
- 478 32. Zhang K, Lu J, Mori T, Smith-Powell L, Synold TW, Chen S, et al. Baicalin increases VEGF
- expression and angiogenesis by activating the ERR{alpha}/PGC-1{alpha} pathway. Cardiovasc Res.
- 480 2011;89(2):426-35.
- 481 33. Tennessen JM, Baker KD, Lam G, Evans J, Thummel CS. The Drosophila estrogen-related
- 482 receptor directs a metabolic switch that supports developmental growth. Cell Metab.
- 483 2011;13(2):139-48.
- 484 34. Cai Q, Lin T, Kamarajugadda S, Lu J. Regulation of glycolysis and the Warburg effect by
- estrogen-related receptors. Oncogene. 2013;32(16):2079-86.
- 486 35. Carnesecchi J, Forcet C, Zhang L, Tribollet V, Barenton B, Boudra R, et al. ERRα induces H3K9

- demethylation by LSD1 to promote cell invasion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(15):3909-14.
- 488 36. Zhang L, Carnesecchi J, Cerutti C, Tribollet V, Périan S, Forcet C, et al. LSD1-ERRα complex
- requires NRF1 to positively regulate transcription and cell invasion. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):10041.
- 490 37. Vicente-Manzanares M, Choi CK, Horwitz AR. Integrins in cell migration the actin
- 491 connection. J Cell Sci. 2009;122(2):199-206.
- 492 38. Juanes MA, Bouguenina H, Eskin JA, Jaiswal R, Badache A, Goode BL. Adenomatous polyposis
- coli nucleates actin assembly to drive cell migration and microtubule-induced focal adhesion
- 494 turnover. J Cell Biol. 2017;216(9):2859-75.
- 495 39. Romero S, Le Clainche C, Gautreau AM. Actin polymerization downstream of integrins:
- signaling pathways and mechanotransduction. Biochem J. 2020;477(1):1-21.
- 497 40. Agaësse G, Barbollat-Boutrand L, Sulpice E, Bhajun R, Kharbili ME I, Berthier-Vergnes O, et al.
- 498 A large-scale RNAi screen identifies LCMR1 as a critical regulator of Tspan8-mediated melanoma
- invasion. Oncogene. 2017;36(4):446-57.
- 500 41. Deblois G, Smith HW, Tam IS, Gravel S-P, Caron M, Savage P, et al. ERRα mediates metabolic
- adaptations driving lapatinib resistance in breast cancer. Nat Commun. 2016;7: 12156.
- 502 42. Vitorino P, Yeung S, Crow A, Bakke J, Smyczek T, West K, et al. MAP4K4 regulates integrin-
- FERM binding to control endothelial cell motility. Nature. 2015;519(7544):425-30.
- 504 43. Baumgartner M, Sillman AL, Blackwood EM, Srivastava J, Madson N, Schilling JW, et al. The
- 505 Nck-interacting kinase phosphorylates ERM proteins for formation of lamellipodium by growth
- factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(36):13391-6.
- 507 44. Ammirati M, Bagley SW, Bhattacharya SK, Buckbinder L, Carlo AA, Conrad R, et al. Discovery
- of an in Vivo Tool to Establish Proof-of-Concept for MAP4K4-Based Antidiabetic Treatment. ACS Med
- 509 Chem Lett. 2015;6(11):1128-33.
- 510 45. Ndubaku CO, Crawford TD, Chen H, Boggs JW, Drobnick J, Harris SF, et al. Structure-Based
- 511 Design of GNE-495, a Potent and Selective MAP4K4 Inhibitor with Efficacy in Retinal Angiogenesis.
- 512 ACS Med Chem Lett. 2015;6(8):913-8.

- 513 46. Bardet P-L, Horard B, Laudet V, Vanacker J-M. The ERRα orphan nuclear receptor controls
- morphogenetic movements during zebrafish gastrulation. Dev Biol. 2005;281(1):102-11.
- 47. Amano M, Nakayama M, Kaibuchi K. Rho-Kinase/ROCK: A Key Regulator of the Cytoskeleton
- and Cell Polarity. Cytoskelet Hoboken Nj. 2010;67(9):545-54.
- 517 48. Tripolitsioti D, Kumar KS, Neve A, Migliavacca J, Capdeville C, Rushing EJ, et al. MAP4K4
- controlled integrin β1 activation and c-Met endocytosis are associated with invasive behavior
- of medulloblastoma cells. Oncotarget. 2018;9(33).
- 520 49. Klapholz B, Brown NH. Talin the master of integrin adhesions. J Cell Sci.
- 521 2017;130(15):2435-46.
- 522 50. Sun Z, Costell M, Fässler R. Integrin activation by talin, kindlin and mechanical forces. Nat Cell
- 523 Biol. 2019;21(1):25-31.
- 524 51. Petrie RJ, Doyle AD, Yamada KM. Random versus directionally persistent cell migration.
- 525 **2010;28**.

533

- 526 52. Ma M, Baumgartner M. Intracellular Theileria annulata Promote Invasive Cell Motility
- 527 through Kinase Regulation of the Host Actin Cytoskeleton. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(3).
- 528 53. LeClaire LL, Rana M, Baumgartner M, Barber DL. The Nck-interacting kinase NIK increases
- Arp2/3 complex activity by phosphorylating the Arp2 subunit. J Cell Biol. 2015;208(2):161-70.
- 530 54. Santhana Kumar K, Tripolitsioti D, Ma M, Grählert J, Egli KB, Fiaschetti G, et al. The Ser/Thr
- 531 kinase MAP4K4 drives c-Met-induced motility and invasiveness in a cell-based model of SHH
- medulloblastoma. SpringerPlus. 2015;4(1):19.

Figure 1




Figure 1: ERRα regulates actin polymerisation

(A) F-actin and G-actin from MDA-MB231 control and ERR α -depleted cells were segmented by ultra-speed centrifugation and analysed by western blot. Only one aliquot of each fraction was analysed by SDS-PAGE, which corresponded to 1% and 20% of the total volume of G-actin and F-actin fractions respectively. Quantifications of F-actin and G-actin are relative to total actin level and control conditions and representative of three independent experiments. (B) F-actin was stained using phalloïdin (red) in control and ERR α -depleted cells. Nuclei are shown in blue. The lower panels show a high magnification of the boxed regions in the image above. Scale bars: 20 μ m. (C) F-actin intensity was measured using ImageJ. (D) Triangle-shaped micropatterns were coated with 1,5 μ g/cm2 of collagen I. Control or siERR α -transfected cells were then seeded onto micropatterns, F-actin was stained with SiR-actin (red) and nuclei were stained with Hoechst (blue). Scale bars: 10 μ m. (E) F-actin intensity was measured using ImageJ. Box-and-whisker plots are representative of 4 (C) or 9 (E) independent experiments. Mann-Whitney test, ****p<0.0001, n \geq 25-30 cells per condition.




Figure 2: Modulation of the RhoA-ROCK-LIMK pathway rescues abnormal cofilin phosphorylation and F-actin accumulation due to ERRα depletion

(A) Expression of phosphorylated cofilin (P-cofilin), total cofilin, and (B) RhoA was analysed by western blot after MDA-MB231 cell transfection with control or ERR α siRNAs. (C) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were treated either with Y27632 or (D) Pyr1 as indicated, and subjected to western blot for analysis of P-cofilin and cofilin expression. (A, C, D) Quantifications indicate the ratio of P-cofilin/cofilin. (A, B, C, D) Hsp90 is used as a loading control and as the reference for quantification relative to control conditions. Quantifications are representative of three independent experiments. (E) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were seeded onto triangle-shaped micropatterns pre-coated with 1,5 µg/cm2 of collagen I and treated with 5µM Y27632. F-actin was stained with SiR-actin (red), and intensity was quantified using ImageJ. The box-and-whisker plot is representative of 5 independent experiments. Kruskal-wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, *p<0.05 and ****p<0.0001, n ≥25-30 cells per condition.




Figure 3: ERR α promotes cell adhesion, independently of its function in modulation of actin polymerisation Cell–matrix adhesion was analysed after siRNA inhibition of ERR α expression using the xCELLigence system, which measure electrical impedance induced by cells across microelectrodes integrated on the bottom of 16-well culture plates (E-plate). The impedance signal is proportional to the intensity of the interactions exerted by the cells on the substrate. (A) E-plates were coated with 1.5 μ g/cm2 of collagen I. Control or ERR α -depleted cells were then seeded in E-plate for measurement of impedance, represented by the cell index (left panel) and the slope (right panel). Adhesion phase slopes, indicated as "Relative cell adhesion", were calculated from the linear phase in a specific interval of time (blue and red arrowheads, left panel). (B) E-plates were coated with 1.5 μ g/cm2 of fibronectin or collagen IV. Control or ERR α -depleted cells were then seeded in E-plate for measurement of impedance. (C) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were treated with 5 μ M Y27632 and seeded in E-plates pre-coated with 1.5 μ g/cm2 of collagen I for measurement of impedance. (A, B and C) Results are shown as mean \pm SEM of three or four independent experiments performed in quadruplicate. 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, *p<0.05, *p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.001.




Figure 4: Loss of ERR α alters FA formation and dynamic (A) MDA-MB231 control or ERR α -depleted cells were fixed and stained with vinculin for focal adhesions (red) and Hoechst for nuclei (blue). Scale bars: 20 µm. (B) Area, (C) length of FA and (D) relative distance to the cell periphery were visualized with vinculin staining, analysed using a Matlab code developed by R. Demets and M. Balland, and represented by box-and-whisker plots. Data correspond to four (D) or six (B, C) independent experiments. (E) Representative timelapse images (montages) of FA dynamic in control or ERR α -depleted cells. Red arrows point to the FAs of interest. Scale bars: 10 µm. (F) Box-and-whisker plots show the assembly and disassembly rates of FA in ERR α -depleted cells relative to the control cells quantified with the Focal Adhesion Analysis (FAAS) method. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B, C, D and F) Mann-Whitney test, *****p<0.0001, n ≥ 25-30 cells and ≥ 470 FA per condition.






Figure 5: MAP4K4 is a novel target gene of ERRα

(A) The expression of MAP4K4 and ERRα genes was analysed by RT-qPCR after transfection with control or ERRα siRNA of MDA-MB231 cells. Data are mean ± SEM of three experiments performed in triplicate. 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons, **p<0.01 and ****p<0.0001. (B) The position of the putative ERRα binding regions (red letters) was indicated relative to the transcriptional start site (TSS) (upper panel). Arrowheads: oligonucleotide primers used in qPCR. Note that the scheme is not to scale. ChIP experiments were performed using anti-ERRα antibody or IgG (lower panel). Percent enrichments relative to input were measured by qPCR, amplifying a region encompassing the putative ERREs for ERRα. Data represent mean ± SEM of two experiments, each in duplicate. Mann-Whitney test, *p<0.05. nd, not detected. Non specific (ns) downstream region was used as a negative binding control. (C) Expression of MAP4K4 was analysed by western blot in control or ERRα-depleted cells. Quantifications are relative to Hsp90 levels and control conditions and representative of three independent experiments. (D) Expression of phosphorylated moesin (P-moesin) and total moesin was analysed by western blot. Quantifications indicate the ratio of P-moesin/moesin relative to control conditions and represent four independent experiments.




Figure 6: ERR α modulates cell adhesion and FA formation through MAP4K4 (A) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were treated with 0.5 μ M PF-06260933 and seeded in E-plate pre-coated with 1.5 μ g/cm2 of collagen I. Then cell adhesion was measured using the xCELLigence system. Data are mean \pm SEM of four experiments performed in quadruplicate. 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001. (B) Area (C) length of FA and (D) distance to the cell periphery were visualized with vinculin in control or ERR α -depleted cells treated with PF-06260933. FA analyses were performed using the Matlab algorithm developed by R. Demets and M. Balland. The box-and-whisker plots represent data of three independent experiments. (E) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were seeded onto triangle-shaped micropatterns pre-coated with 1,5 μ g/cm2 of collagen I and treated with 0.5 μ M PF-06260933. F-actin was stained with SiR-actin (red), and intensity was quantified using ImageJ. Results are presented as a box-and-whisker plot and correspond to three independent experiments. Kruskal-wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 and *****p<0.0001, n \geq 30 cells and \geq 670 FA per condition.




Figure S1: ERRa reduces actin polymerisation through the regulation of RhoA, and regulates cell adhesion independently of the RhoA pathway

(A) F-actin and G-actin from control and siERR α -transfected cells were segmented by ultra-speed centrifugation and analysed by western blot. Quantifications of F-actin and G-actin are relative to total actin level and control conditions and representative of three independent experiments. (B) Cells were transfected with control or siERR and subjected to western blot for analysis of RhoA expression. Quantifications are relative to Hsp90 levels and control conditions and representative of three independent experiments. (C) HeLa and (D) MDA-MB231 cells were transfected with control or siERR α and seeded in E-plate pre-coated with 1.5 µg/cm2 of collagen I or 3 µg/cm2 poly-L-lysine. Cell-adhesion was then analysed using the xCELLigence system. Data are mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments performed in quadruplicate. (E, F) Control or ERR α -depleted cells were treated with 5 µM Pyr1 (E) or 5 µM blebbistatin (F) and seeded in E-plates pre-coated with 1.5 µg/cm2 of collagen I for measurement of impedance. Results are shown as mean \pm SEM of three or four independent experiments performed in quadruplicate. 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and *****p<0.0001.

Figure S2




Figure S2: ERR α binds to putative ERRE elements in the intron 2 of the MAP4K4 gene and consequently regulates its expression

(Å) ERRα ChIP-seq peaks associated to MAP4K4 gene in breast cancer cells. The image was obtained from the UCSC genome browser using the hg19 human genome version. It shows several splice variants of the MAP4K4 gene. The tracks BT474_ERRAChipseq_GSE81651 and SKBr3_ERRAChIPseq_GSE81651 were obtained from the bed files publicly available in the GEO database for the GSE81651 data series:

GSE81651_BT474_nt_G25_peaks.bed.gz GSE81651_SKBr3_nt_G4_G22_G1_ERRChIP_peaks.bed.gz.

This study was performed in BT474 and SKBr3 breast cancer cells. The ERRα ChIP-seq peaks shown here were identified in normal conditions. Decreasing peak intensity is shown by decreasing grey level. (B) Expression of MAP4K4 was analysed by western blot in control or ERRα-depleted cells. Quantifications are relative to Hsp90 levels and control conditions and representative of three independent experiments.







Figure S3: ERR α stimulates cell adhesion through the regulation of MAP4K4 (A) MDA-MB231 GFP-paxillin and (B) BT474 cells were transfected with control or siERR α and seeded in E-plate precoated with 1.5 µg/cm2 of collagen I. Cell-adhesion was analysed using the xCELLigence system. Data are mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments performed in quadruplicate. (C, D) Control, ERR α - and MAP4K4-depleted cells (C) or control and ERR α -depleted cells treated with 1 µM GNE495 (D) were seeded in E-plates pre-coated with 1.5 µg/cm2 of collagen I for measurement of impedance. Data are mean \pm SEM of three experiments performed in quadruplicate. 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons, ns (not significant) for p>0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001.

Figure S4





Full blots for Figure 2C



Full blots for Figure 2D

