

# Training astronauts for scientific exploration on planetary surfaces: The ESA PANGAEA programme

Francesco Sauro, Samuel J Payler, Matteo Massironi, Riccardo Pozzobon, Harald Hiesinger, Nicolas Mangold, Charles S Cockell, Jesus Martínez Frias, Kåre Kullerud, Leonardo Turchi, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Francesco Sauro, Samuel J Payler, Matteo Massironi, Riccardo Pozzobon, Harald Hiesinger, et al.. Training astronauts for scientific exploration on planetary surfaces: The ESA PANGAEA programme. Acta Astronautica, 2023, 204, pp.222-238. 10.1016/j.actaastro.2022.12.034 . hal-04176495

# HAL Id: hal-04176495 https://hal.science/hal-04176495

Submitted on 3 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Training astronauts for scientific exploration on planetary surfaces: the ESA PANGAEA programme

3

2

- 4 Francesco Sauro<sup>1,2</sup>, Samuel J. Payler<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Massironi<sup>3</sup>, Riccardo Pozzobon<sup>3,4</sup>, Harald
- 5 Hiesinger<sup>5</sup>, Nicolas Mangold<sup>6</sup>, Charles S. Cockell<sup>7</sup>, Jesus Martínez Frias<sup>8</sup>, Kåre Kullerud<sup>9</sup>,
- 6 Leonardo Turchi<sup>1, 10</sup>, Igor Drozdovskiy<sup>1</sup>, Loredana Bessone<sup>1</sup>
- 7
- 8 <sup>1</sup>Directorate of Human and Robotics Exploration, European Space Agency
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Miles Beyond Srl
- <sup>3</sup>University of Padua, Dipartimento di Geoscienze,
- 11 <sup>4</sup>INAF Observatory of Padova, Italy
- 12 <sup>5</sup>Institut für Planetologie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster,
- 13 <sup>6</sup>Laboratoire Planétologie et Géosciences, CNRS, Nantes Université, Université d'Angers,
- 14 44000, Nantes, France,
- 15 <sup>7</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh,
- 16 <sup>8</sup>Instituto de Geociencias, IGEO (CSIC-UCM),
- 17 <sup>9</sup>Norwegian Mining Museum, Kongsberg, Norway
- 18 <sup>10</sup> Spaceclick Srl
- 19
- 20

# 21 Abstract

Future human missions to the Moon and Mars will require astronauts to perform science-22 23 focused surface exploration in complex geological environments. However, the scientific expertise required for these activities is uncommon in the astronaut corps. PANGAEA 24 (Planetary ANalogue Geological and Astrobiological Exercise for Astronauts) is a field 25 training course of the European Space Agency (ESA) that seeks to address the topics of 26 geological and astrobiological planetary exploration. The course intends to impart the 27 essential basic theoretical and practical knowledge of geology and astrobiology, in order 28 to prepare astronauts for advanced mission specific training. Significant focus given to 29 skills in areas relevant to future missions, such as scientific decision-making, working 30 with a remotely located science team, and efficient documentation. For this reason, 31 32 although portions of the course are taught in classrooms, developing independent field

skills in analogue geological environments is a key part of the training. Classroom and 33 34 field lessons are tightly interwoven in the course structure with a time separation often of only hours between being introduced to a concept in the classroom and seeing it in the 35 field. The course forms part of the basic and pre-assignment training for European 36 astronauts and is open to trainees from all other agencies. PANGAEA has been running 37 since 2016, with participants including ESA and NASA astronauts, and Roscosmos 38 39 cosmonauts, as well as mission designers, operations personnel and engineers. The primary field sites selected for the course are Permo-Triassic sedimentary sequences in 40 the Italian Dolomites, impact lithologies in the Ries Crater, Germany, a comprehensive 41 suite of volcanic deposits in Lanzarote, Spain, and anorthosite outcrops in Lofoten, 42 Norway. Each is used as a base to deliver the main learning sessions, respectively: 1) 43 44 Earth geology, rock recognition and sedimentology on Earth and Mars, 2) Lunar geology and impact cratering, 3) volcanism on Earth, Moon, and Mars, and astrobiology 4) 45 46 intrusive rocks and lunar primordial crustal evolution. The four sessions are designed to increase trainee autonomy in field geology by including guided or autonomously 47 executed geological traverses and practicing of sampling techniques. Whilst PANGAEA's 48 primary focus is astronaut training, where appropriate and complementary to this 49 50 training, technologies being developed for future missions are used and tested by the trainees during geological traverses. This provides an opportunity to evaluate the 51 performance of new equipment and software in analogue field environments, whilst also 52 providing trainees with experience using technology that might support future missions. 53 54

Keywords: training; planetary geology; human spaceflight; exploration; flexecution;
lunar missions;

- 57 58 59 60 61 62
- 63

### 64 1. Introduction

Geology and astrobiology research underpins many of the primary scientific goals of 65 current robotic missions to the Moon, Mars and asteroids [1-5]. Although human 66 spaceflight has been confined to low Earth orbit since the Apollo programme, recent 67 plans to return humans to the surface of the Moon through the Artemis programme 68 means that in the near future astronauts will be involved in the definition, planning and 69 70 execution of missions with geological or astrobiological objectives [6]. Lunar exploration will pave the way for human missions to Mars, meaning the long-term future of science 71 conducted during human spaceflight will continue to involve geology and astrobiology. 72

Compared to the last five decades of human exploration (the Apollo programme ended in 73 74 1972), which were limited to spacecraft or artificial orbital habitats (Skylab, MIR, ISS), 75 missions to the Moon and Mars will mark a shift in the activities astronauts will perform 76 in space. Astronauts will once again be required to function as field scientists exploring unknown planetary surface environments. This will involve examining the surrounding 77 78 environment to identify scientifically interesting locations using handheld 79 instrumentation and camera systems to gather information, working reflexively with ground-based operations and science teams to select samples and modify traverse plans 80 81 as new information is gathered (applying flexible execution or "flexecution" as in Hodges and Schmitt, 2011), and collecting samples with specifically designed tools. Utilising 82 human explorers instead of robotic platforms to complete these exploration tasks brings 83 many benefits, such as faster data gathering and rapid real time adaptability to new 84 information, thereby facilitating more efficient and effective operations and increasing 85 the chance of novel discoveries. However, for astronauts to be effective field scientists, 86 significant preparation is required. 87

The need for geological field training for astronauts was recognised at the beginning of 88 the Apollo missions [7, 8]. At that time, each crew participated to between 16 and 19 field 89 trips, with 250-300 hours of classroom time and instruction in order to prepare for the 90 geological tasks of each mission [9]. This training was seen as fundamental to the 91 92 scientific success of the Apollo missions, and the crews recognised that "No substitute exists for working in the field to learn the principles of field observation and sampling" 93 [10]. As the focus on space exploration changed during the space shuttle and ISS eras, this 94 field science training was significantly reduced, although astronauts were, and still are, 95 involved in science training related to specific payloads. 96

97 Today, some astronauts have scientific backgrounds, although only a very small number 98 have field science experience. Harrison Schmitt, the only formally trained geologist to take part in the Apollo missions, has strongly suggested to include professionals with 99 significant experience in field research and exploration in future astronaut classes [10]. 100 Despite this, today few astronauts have geology, biology or similar environmental science 101 102 degrees, and fewer still have significant field geology expertise. As astronauts are chosen 103 from a variety of backgrounds, both scientific and non-scientific, there is a good reason 104 to broadly enhance astronaut skills with those akin to an experienced terrestrial field 105 scientist and explorer.

106 During the Constellation programme development, when the Moon and Mars were officially declared as the main objective for future space exploration, several geologists 107 108 and field scientists began discussing how to approach training a new generation of astronauts to be efficient "instruments of scientific discovery" - as advocated by Gene 109 110 Shoemaker during the Apollo era [10-14]. These discussions not only better outlined some of the main lessons learned from the Apollo programme in the frame of field geology 111 and operations, but also highlighted a clear need to apply new learning principles to 112 future training. This includes the need to find a balance between the concepts of 113 114 "Execution" and "Discovery", which underpins space missions on unknown planetary surfaces [11]. Unfortunately, with the cancellation of Constellation, the preparation for 115 such training activities slowed. Interest in them has now restarted with the Artemis 116 117 programme, and their implementation is again on the priority list of space agencies [15-17]. 118

In the last two decades, several astronauts have been involved in field geology 119 expeditions together with scientists [18, 19], analogue testing programmes such as 120 Desert Research and Technology Studies (D-RATS) or Biologic Analog Science Associated 121 122 with Lava Terrains (BASALT) [20, 21], or other training programmes in analogue environments including geology/geobiology-related operations as Cooperative 123 Adventure for Valuing and Exercising human behaviour and performance Skills (CAVES) 124 125 (Sauro et al., 2021) and NASA Extreme Environment Mission Operations (NEEMO) [22, 23]). However, most of these experiences were not specifically focused on field geology 126 training and were not designed and structured around a progressive learning strategy. 127 Currently, training in geology and astrobiology is provided to NASA and CSA astronauts 128

through its Geoscience Training Program [24]. This is divided into three phases. Phase 1

consists of the initial training, which teaches the conceptual basics of geoscience, 130 planetary geology, and astrobiology through classroom lessons over a one-week period. 131 This is later followed by a second week of field training in geological mapping, and with 132 guided hikes and visits. Phase 2 involves sending astronauts to be field assistants to 133 scientific expeditions and participating in a variety of analogue activities [25, 26]. Phase 134 3 is not yet implemented, but will provide mission specific training once astronauts are 135 assigned. At other major space agencies, such as JAXA and Roscosmos, no formal 136 geoscience training programme is implemented currently, although JAXA astronauts 137 have participated in phase 1 of NASA's Geoscience Training Program. 138

139 Before 2016, astronaut field science training at ESA was limited. To address this, the European Astronaut Centre (EAC) designed the PANGAEA (Planetary ANalogue 140 Geological and Astrobiological Exercise for Astronauts) field training course. PANGAEA 141 takes place across 4 weeks, and is designed to provide the basic skills and knowledge for 142 143 astronauts prior to mission specific training. The course incorporates both geology and astrobiology into its core training modules and is open to astronauts from space agencies 144 world-wide. PANGAEA has a strong field-based approach using geological analogue sites 145 in Europe. Its classroom lessons and field activities are taught by a small team of 146 147 experienced world leading experts from Europe's community of planetary geologists and astrobiologists. At the time of writing, PANGAEA has trained astronauts from ESA, NASA, 148 and Roscosmos. 149

This paper describes how PANGAEA has been developed, its strategy, and structure. In addition, it provides an overview of the European geological sites used for the training and how they have been selected to address specific analogies with the Moon and Mars. Finally, it discusses the outcomes and lessons learned during the four editions of the training implemented between 2016 and 2022.

155

# 156 **2.** The legacy of the Apollo programme

Almost fifty years have passed since Apollo came to an end, and yet it remains the only example of a space programme where an extensive geological training programme was developed and delivered to different astronaut classes that included both basic and mission-oriented training. Training programmes such as PANGAEA must build on this legacy, and address lessons learned at the time. They must also take into account the significant advances in technology made in the fifty years since Apollo, which has yielded technologies that can support and enhance field geology. In addition, the substantial
improvements in our current understanding of the geology and lithologies on the Moon
and Mars must also be incorporated.

A highly detailed description of how the Apollo geological training evolved from 1964 to 166 1976 is provided by Phinney [9], and the major lessons learned have been underlined by 167 Lofgren, Horz and Eppler [13]. The approach used during the Apollo geology training 168 started with basic training subdivided in three series, including both classroom lessons 169 170 (mainly taught at Johnson Space Centre) and field trips (in USA, Mexico, and Iceland). In general, the training grew in complexity from "introductory field exercises" (Series I), 171 next to "supervised field exercises" (Series II) and finally to "individual field exercises" 172 (Series III). However, this early training displayed some crucial problems [9]. Most of the 173 astronauts complained about a detachment between the classroom lessons and the field 174 trips, commenting that they only found understanding and motivation for the theoretical 175 176 part of the course later once they had spent time observing in the field. There were also problems in the consistency of the training, since field scientists were often not aware of 177 specific subjects previously taught during theoretical lessons in the classroom, and vice 178 versa, classroom lesson contents were not always fully complementary with field 179 180 activities. This inhomogeneity and separation between classroom and field lessons brought additional problems such as inconsistent terminology and uneven levels of 181 scientific complexity in material delivered by instructors. 182

183 To address some of these problems, after the first series of Apollo geological training, it was clear that all the instructor teams should be briefed and made aware of a common 184 teaching strategy. Every activity then had to employ either a "knowledge-transfer" or 185 "problem-oriented" approach. In the first case, instructors delivered knowledge related 186 to a geological site or concept through demonstrations and explanations with minimal 187 188 discussion with the trainees. In the second case, instructors provided scientific questions, data and advice, and allowed the trainees to formulate their own hypotheses and 189 190 interpret the field observations. While both methods could be used, depending on the 191 objectives and complexity of the field trips, most of the astronauts found the second approach to be more effective and engaging, allowing them to fix knowledge through 192 193 active participation. Additionally, this process not only provided an effective method of retaining knowledge, but it also taught and refined essential field science exploration 194

skills, which should start from a scientific question and then use data and observations 195 to provide preliminary answers that are refined with new observations and discoveries. 196 The Apollo geological training was further improved with the arrival of the sixth 197 astronaut class in 1967, several of whom had strong science background and provided 198 key inputs to the training. These astronauts included Jack Schmitt, Karl Henize and Bob 199 200 Parker [9]. During this round of geological training, the focus was shifted to ensuring the 201 operational effectiveness of the astronauts as field scientists, as put by Jack Schmitt in Phinney (2015), they focused on: "getting the maximum amount of good information, 202 good samples and good documentation as they could in a very short amount of time and 203 204 to get them interested to learn the things that would be helpful in that whole process". It also became clear that after a certain number of supervised and autonomous field trips, 205 206 it was important to insert more mission operations into the training through having a simulated mission control and science backroom to support the discovery process during 207 208 traverses. This also enabled the involvement of important support personnel, allowing the entire process of exploring an unknown terrain with remote support teams to be 209 developed. Following the initial training for the sixth astronaut class, the training moved 210 to a "mission-oriented" phase which was specifically designed for each Apollo crew and 211 212 selected landing site. These lessons learned from the Apollo programme directly influenced the development of the ESA PANGAEA training programme. 213

214

# **3.** Developing a forward-looking geological and astrobiological training for astronauts

216 3.1. PANGAEA course analysis and design

The development of PANGAEA started in the last months of 2015 with requirements definition and training design involving the Space Training team of the European Astronaut Centre (EAC) and a group of European scientists, selected because of their specific expertise in both planetary geology and field research. In addition, a list of local experts and institutions (geoparks, natural reserves, geological museums etc.) from specific training sites were identified to evaluate relevant planetary analogue training sites.

The training was created through the application of the five steps of the ADDIE model (Branch, 2009) in order to obtain an effective learning experience (Fig. 1). The analysis of requirements (**Analysis** DDIE) was based on lessons learned from Apollo, new knowledge on planetary geology acquired in the last forty years and the planning of future human and robotic missions to the Moon, Mars and other planetary bodies by ESA and its partner space agencies. The team carefully took into consideration the recommendations provided by Schmitt, Snoke, Helper, Hurtado, Hodges and Rice [10], Hodges and Schmitt [12], and Lim, Warman, Gernhardt, McKay, Fong, Marinova, Davila, Andersen, Brady and Cardman [11], but also lessons learned from other ongoing field trainings like CAVES [22]. The analysis also considered the potential use of new innovative technologies (like analytical tools) to support field geology activities.

235 The overall requirement was to create a training programme that would provide astronauts with a basic practical knowledge of planetary and field geology, as was the 236 237 case in the initial Apollo training series before mission specific training. Additionally, it was decided to extend the course content not only to the Moon, but also to other future 238 potential human destinations, like Mars and asteroids. Astrobiology was also 239 incorporated into the course curriculum due to the synergies between geology and 240 241 astrobiology. Table 1 summarize the set of training objectives defined during the PANGAEA course analysis and design phase. 242

To deliver these training objectives in an effective way that was suitable for astronauts 243 being taught over a limited period, the course's educational approach was also structured 244 245 around a core cognitive loop, which mirrors that typically used in planetary geology research (Schmitt et al., 20211; Fig. 2). In particular, the training repetitively applies three 246 main phases. 1) Classroom based theoretical and practical lessons on Earth and planetary 247 248 geology and astrobiology. 2) Field activities in analogue geological sites. 3) Discussion about features and samples observed in the field, and connections back to the big picture 249 given in the classroom lessons. In order to make this efficient, one of the requirements 250 was that all PANGAEA classroom theoretical and practical lessons would take place at the 251 field locations using local facilities, making it possible to conduct field excursions to see 252 253 features just described in classroom lessons, allowing this cognitive loop to be continually exercised. 254

Since limited time is available for astronauts to gather experience in field geology and astrobiology, it was clear that the training should focus on imparting decision-making methods, efficient documentation, and descriptive techniques, rather than focusing too much on theoretical knowledge. The team wanted to achieve this through constructing a course that delivered thorough, but concise and efficient theoretical lessons underpinned by real scientific mission examples, closely intertwined with focused practical field activities in analogue terrestrial geological environments. The course design (A Design
DIE) was developed through increasing steps of the revised Bloom taxonomy, from
simple learning of basic concepts to full understanding of processes, practical application
of methodologies, up to finally achieve the ability to analyze and autonomously find
solutions to scientific questions [27].

Fieldwork would focus heavily on enhancing the astronaut's observational capacities, 266 exercising the interaction between the astronauts and ground teams to practise 267 operational skills such as scientifically relevant communication, documentation, and use 268 of field terminology. From the beginning, the overall aim of PANGAEA was to give 269 astronauts the ability to become efficient scientific explorers by being able to effectively 270 coordinate with ground-based science teams and specialist scientist-astronauts, and to 271 make high quality autonomous science decisions when required. Based on these 272 philosophies, the PANGAEA course was designed around the following general strategies: 273

274 275

- The course should provide an essential knowledge base theoretical and practical

   for astronauts with no or limited previous experience in field geology or
   astrobiology.
- Both disciplines, geology and astrobiology, should be combined into one course to
   create learning synergies between the two subjects.
- Knowledge should be directly and quickly transferred from theoretical learning to
   real experience using analogue field sites.
- As planetary geology and astrobiology include discussing processes that are
   complex and sometimes poorly understood, the training should move from simple
   well understood principles to complex open questions.
- Where possible, terrestrial surface geological processes and orbital observations
   should be used as a starting point from which information on other planetary
   bodies is derived, mimicking the process of exploration.
- There should be a progressive transition throughout the training between the
   following learning methods:
- 289 1. Supervised execution of geological tasks/exercises
- 290 2. Guided discovery of geological terrains
- 291 3. Self-directed discovery of unknown geological terrains
- 292 4. Real science field work with defined scientific questions

These general strategies were influenced by both the Learning Design Principles proposed by Lim, Warman, Gernhardt, McKay, Fong, Marinova, Davila, Andersen, Brady and Cardman [11] and the benefits of field activities underlined by Hodges and Schmitt [12]. For this reason, theoretical lessons were strongly limited in favour of field work.

The audience requirement for PANGAEA was defined as between 2-6 trainees, allowing 297 298 for a student/instructor ratio not lower than 1/2 during all practical field activities. If the 299 number of trainees per instructor would exceed 2, for several exercises such as traverses 300 and some practical lessons, trainees would be split into two groups to ensure discussions and demonstrations were effective. Although the training was specifically designed to 301 302 target astronauts, it also had to be provide benefit to non-astronauts participating as trainees, including space engineers, Capsule Communicators (CAPCOM), extravehicular 303 304 activity (EVA) and operation specialists, or other personnel that need to be trained in planetary field geology and astrobiology. 305

306 Once the training requirements were defined, the team began creating all the lessons, materials and training schedules (AD Development IE). Given the problems raised 307 during the early phases of the Apollo geology training, it was decided to involve all the 308 selected instructors early in the design and development stage of the course structure 309 310 and content. This included extensive interactions between the instructors during preliminary development and following dry runs of each lesson. Overall, lesson content 311 was linked to the global exploration strategies outlined by the world's space agencies to 312 313 provide proper context where possible (ISECG reports, Schmidt and Neal, 2015).

All the classroom and practical lesson materials created during this phase were archived and tracked through the Astronaut Training Database of the European Astronaut Centre, an online end-to-end training development system that provides tools to manage instructor lesson assignment, instructor certification, lesson checklists, lesson summaries, printouts and experimenting with different training schedules. The ATD allowed the team to work on-site and off-site concurrently on a centralised database system.

After the first implementation of PANGAEA in 2016, the training outcomes were evaluated. Success was rated through examining the course's success in achieving training objectives through crew/instructors feedback. Lessons were improved after every edition and new materials were created to fill any gaps identified during subsequent training implementation. The culmination of this process of implementation and evaluation (ADD Implementation Evaluation) led to the course configurationdescribed in this article.

328

# 329 3.2. Technologies and tools for enhancing learning and operations

Site documentation and sample screening are fundamental activities in field geology 330 research on Earth and become even more crucial during lunar exploration where 331 332 feedback from science backrooms on ground is essential to support decision making. 333 Training on these tasks and learning how to practically apply correct geological observation skills formed an important requirement for the development of the 334 335 PANGAEA training. Because of this, the team decided to include a series of lessons covering how to correctly perform these activities. However, modern technologies can 336 also greatly aid the process of site documentation and sample screening, especially 337 compared to what was available during Apollo. In the last twenty years, the experience 338 339 gathered from different analogue programmes like D-RATS, BASALT, CAVES, and NEEMO has provided useful data regarding which kind of technologies and methods could enable 340 or enhance planetary field geology [21, 29, 30]. In order to incorporate these aspects into 341 PANGAEA, several technological tools were provided to the astronauts to support 342 343 efficient documentation and sample characterisation. In the first two editions of PANGAEA, these tools included a handheld portable Visual-Near-Infrared (V-NIR) 344 reflectance spectrometer to analyse rock and mineral composition, and different types of 345 346 cameras to provide geotagged images for site documentation. However, this information could only be stored locally on each device, providing limited possibilities for transferring 347 geo-localised files to the instructors and a science backroom using available Long-Term 348 Evolution (LTE) networks, which resulted in significant inefficiencies during field work 349 and post mission analysis, but crucially created a training environment that likely did not 350 351 align with a future planetary exploration scenarios.

To address these shortcomings, in 2017 the PANGAEA team started to develop a new system to record, structure, and deliver to ground the information collected in the field (images, data from analytical tools, audio notes) within a simple and practical user interface. The outcome of this development was the ESA Electronic FieldBook (EFB), which has become a crucial support element in the PANGAEA training. The EFB system and its functionalities are described in detail in Turchi, Payler, Sauro, Pozzobon, Massironi and Bessone [31]. Since 2018, the EFB has acted as the focal point for field data

collection and information sharing between trainees, instructors and the science 359 360 backroom, and has significantly enhanced the overall efficiency of sampling activities. Specific incremental training on how to use the EFB and the devices linked to it is 361 provided to the astronauts during the different PANGAEA sessions. The EFB and its 362 associated tools (including machine learning algorithms and a planetary mineral 363 database; Jahoda et al., 2020; Drozdovskiy et al., 2020) allow the astronauts to experience 364 a set of representative mission scenarios during the most advanced phases of the 365 PANGAEA training, where trainees must constantly exchange data and information with 366 instructors and a science backroom. This tool provides the ability to maintain a high level 367 of situational awareness between all the actors involved in the field traverses, whilst 368 recording all the information needed to perform critical discussions about crew 369 370 performance and scientific observation in following traverse reviews.

371

## **372 4. PANGAEA course content**

PANGAEA's course content was created following the ADDIE model as outlined in chapter
It is important to mention that all activities (classroom lessons, practical exercises, field
traverses, etc.) are carefully interlinked in the course structure, allowing a continuous
flow from theoretical to practical learning.

377

# 378 *4.1. Classroom lessons*

PANGAEA's classroom lessons specifically focus on the geology of the Earth, the Moon, 379 Mars, and asteroids. They examine volcanic, intrusive, sedimentary, and impact settings, 380 381 and distinguish between *in situ* lithologies, morphologies, weathering, and impact products. Practical exercises are employed during the classroom lessons, and include 382 hand specimen description/recognition and describing/interpreting images collected by 383 rovers and satellites. In addition, astrobiology lessons focus on signatures of life, the 384 evolution of life and minerals, geobiology in volcanic environments, planetary protection, 385 386 and practical exercises on sample contamination.

387

388 Classroom lessons are organised into six main blocks:

- *Earth and Planetary Geology*: this block includes overviews and introductions to general geology and planetary geology, with a focus on the Earth, Mars, and the Moon. It also covers introductions to meteorites, asteroids and comets.
- Sedimentary Geology of Earth and Mars: includes lessons on erosional and
   sedimentary processes, sedimentary rocks, and Mars surface geology.
- Lunar Geology and Impact Cratering: focuses on lunar geological evolution and
   impact cratering processes and products, volatiles, and *in situ* resources.
- Volcanism of Earth and Planetary Bodies: covers igneous rocks including volcanic
   processes on the Earth, Moon, and Mars.
- Intrusive rocks, Crust Evolution and Lunar Highlands: covers the evolution of the
   Earth and Moon's crust, including the magma ocean, mantle evolution, intrusive
   rocks, and a focus on the lunar highlands.
- Astrobiology and Planetary Protection: Includes lessons on signatures of life,
   planetary protection and contamination, life in volcanic environments, and the
   development of life and minerals.
- 405

The purpose of these topical blocks is to offer the astronauts a general overview of the 406 407 main planetary geology and astrobiology topics, with those topics linked to field trips. 408 The topics chosen do not cover all aspects of Earth and planetary geology, but focus mainly on issues related to surface lithologies, processes, morphologies, weathering 409 410 products, and field observations. The Earth and Planetary Geology block provides many of the base underlying principles and context for the other learning blocks. A simplified 411 terminology and rock classification system was developed and used throughout all 412 blocks. 413

414

# 415 *4.2. Practical exercises: developing observational skills*

Several types of practical exercises take place in indoor classroom locations and are
reinforced in field locations to directly apply the new skills to real natural environments
(Fig. 3). Exercises are used across the theoretical blocks to allow trainees to apply their
knowledge and reinforce their practical skills.

#### 421 4.2.1. Rock specimen recognition

Trainees are taught how to distinguish and identify rock samples in hand specimen. This 422 is done by direct observation, using geological hand lenses, and also with portable 423 microscopes linked to the EFB system, the latter providing good analogue to 424 technological tools that could be available to the astronauts on the Moon during future 425 426 missions. The exercise starts with a practical lesson on how to distinguish layering and foliations, rock texture, rock components (clasts, crystals, fossils, etc.), and other physical 427 characteristics that are simple to describe without using a specific rock classification 428 terminology. These observations are then used to follow an interactive rock recognition 429 flowchart that allows the trainees to derive preliminary classification at a rock family 430 431 level. This approach enables the trainees to develop observational skills, without being influenced by their limited theoretical knowledge. Only a few major minerals are 432 introduced to the trainees in this phase to keep the focus on feature recognition. In 433 subsequent exercises, the astronauts have the opportunity to query the ESA 434 Mineralogical Database on the EFB [33] to obtain additional information on the physical 435 characteristics and significance of each mineral. Once the trainee's observational skills 436 437 are improved, a more detailed theoretical and practical lesson is conducted introducing them to the most important igneous, sedimentary and meteoritic rocks in classroom. 438 439 Metamorphic rocks are not covered in detail due to their lack of significance on the Moon and Mars, except those related to impacts and massif-type anorthositic intrusions on 440 Earth. Following these two lessons on observation skills and rock types, the trainee's new 441 442 skills and knowledge are then applied in the field. Trainees are taken to a riverbed with several different igneous and sedimentary lithologies present, where they must sort and 443 classify them. These exercises are conducted during the first session of PANGAEA (see 444 Section 5), and refreshers are given at each following session, with new samples specific 445 446 to each training site. A more detailed overview and set of exercises on the 8 most important mineral families (olivine, pyroxenes, amphiboles, biotite, plagioclase, k-447 448 feldspar, muscovite, quartz) is provided only in the last session which is dedicated to intrusive rocks, since mineral recognition is a fundamental tool in these specific 449 geological settings where rocks are macrocrystalline. 450

# 452 *4.2.2. Vocal description and drawing*

Trainees begin learning how to observe and describe geological landscapes and outcrops 453 through interactively analysing images of the Earth, Moon, Mars, and asteroids/comets. 454 An idealised methodology for completing a vocal description is provided in form of a 455 flowchart to the trainees and demonstrated by the instructors. The trainees then practice 456 457 describing outcrops/landscapes first on images and afterwards directly on real examples. The astronauts are firstly allowed to describe their observations in their own words, and 458 then discuss them with an instructor, who coaches them on using the proper terminology 459 to perform accurate and efficient descriptions. These exercises are often combined with 460 the drawing exercises. The purpose of drawing is purely a learning exercise which is 461 462 effective at teaching the trainees to focus their attention on details, and allow them to identify and describe large- and small-scale geological features and determine how they 463 fit into the broader landscape context. The acquired skills and knowledge are then 464 applied not only to terrestrial examples, but also to describe outcrops and landscapes in 465 466 images from Apollo mission sites and Martian rovers.

467

# 468 *4.2.3. From satellite observations to the field: orbital images and geological maps*

These lessons introduce trainees to the geological interpretation of different terrains and 469 features from satellite images. After a theoretical lesson covering the main aspects of 470 471 orbital images interpretation (illumination conditions, tone/colours, contrast/shadows, 472 spatial arrangements), the trainees exercise their interpretation skills on a set of images from Earth, Mars, and the Moon. The transition from a 2D representation of geological 473 features to their real 3D shape in the subsurface is further discussed in a specific lesson 474 475 followed by additional interpretative exercises. This set of lessons also incorporates an introduction to geological maps. Much like geological drawing, mapping is a basic 476 geological skill important for developing an understanding of the stratigraphic 477 relationships between geological units. However, the training objective is not to teach 478 astronauts how to fully develop geological maps in the field, but rather how to read and 479 understand their significance. Therefore, several exercises on orbital image 480 interpretation and geological mapping are completed in classrooms, where trainees 481 identify geological units/structures and apply the rules of superposition and intersection 482 to understand them in 3D. The use of spectral images is also discussed in later sessions, 483

with focus given to using them to develop hypotheses regarding specific areas and thenplanning field traverse routes to investigate them.

Some "mission design" exercises provide an opportunity for trainees to put their 486 knowledge of geological processes and orbital image interpretation into practice by 487 having them discussing landing sites and designing geological traverses on the Moon and 488 Mars together with scientsts and engineers. They must learn to balance addressing 489 490 science objectives with operational constraints. The discussion is performed together with instructors who are experts in specific regions of the Moon and Mars, and have 491 authored the geological maps used to plan the traverse strategies of real missions. The 492 493 same approach is later also used to plan the traverses performed in analogue sites. In general, satellite images and maps are used during the training to allow trainees to 494 495 experience the process of traverse analysis and field verification. This process works as 496 follows: 1) satellite images are used to get a general view of the area and formulate 497 hypotheses on geological composition (spectral) and landscape features (DTMs); 2) panoramic views from ground can be used to observe and pre-evaluate potential 498 outcrops of interest. 3) outcrops of interest are more closely assessed to identify areas of 499 high scientific importance, relevant to the hypothesis generated at the start ;4) close-up 500 501 views of rocks, including using a microscope, are used to confirm or refute hypotheses formulated through satellite observation. This sequence mimics the traverse analysis 502 approach taken during the Apollo missions, or nowadays for rover missions on Mars [34]. 503 504

# 505 4.3. Field exercises and traverses: documentation, sampling, analysis

506 Through learning from the lectures and practical classroom and field exercises, trainees are taught the fundamentals of geology and astrobiology. This allows them to begin the 507 process of becoming independent field scientists able to communicate and work with a 508 509 team of remotely located experts to solve scientific problems. This involves theoretical discussions and practical lessons that aim to draw attention to the importance of 510 documentation and sampling strategy. The importance of proper documentation (sample 511 description, geological field notes, geological sketches and maps) is underlined by 512 establishing causality between landforms and rock types, ensuring quality 513 interpretations are possible following a field activity. Trainees are taught a multiple 514 working hypothesis methodology, where new observations and samples modify 515

516 sampling strategies and operational factors during an activity. From the basic principles 517 of documentation and sampling, trainees are then introduced to the EFB system and 518 associated tools (handheld analytical instruments, portable microscope, cameras) that 519 they will use in the advanced field traverses.

These fieldwork practical skills are then fostered and exercised through a series of 520 geological traverses. Trainees are taught documentation and sampling strategy through 521 522 performing limited role-playing between ground and space, where remotely located 523 science teams have to provide recommendations to the trainees in the field based on the information they receive. Through cycles of exploration during traverses and review, the 524 525 trainees establish a strong link between the information and samples collected during the traverse and the ability of science teams to make quality interpretations and answer 526 scientific questions. To this end, geological traverses involve four key steps, an overview 527 of the regional geology in the classroom and field at each analogue location, guided 528 529 traverses, self-directed traverses and traverses in support of real scientific research (Fig. 3). 530

531

# 532 *4.3.1. Principles of geological sampling*

A series of exercises provide practice with sampling tools and techniques to be used during the traverses. Demonstration-performance techniques are used to teach proper sampling methodologies and best practices to ensure high-quality samples are collected. Some focus is given to astrobiology sampling techniques, which require the implementation of specific protocols to ensure sample contamination is minimised. Trainees also practice with the EFB in order to familiarise themselves with how it fits into the various sampling procedures.

540

# 541 *4.3.2. Understanding geological context: regional geology overview trips*

To prepare the trainees to execute scientific traverses, a specific overview of the local regional geology is provided. Satellite images are used to show the main geological characteristics of the site. Guided field tours of the areas around the traverse sites are provided by local experts together with the core instructors. This gives the astronauts a chance to observe hand specimens and geological structures and infer from them an area's geological history. This is later clarified through examining geological maps. It is important to note that the content is carefully coordinated to leave several questions deliberately unanswered in order to stimulate trainees to observe and collect information during their time in the field to later derive their own hypotheses and interpretations to address scientific questions. These guided field trips are held in separate areas from the guided and self-directed traverses (see next sections), and display distinct, but comparable, geological characteristics. In this way, each trainee is forced to adopt a problem-solving mentality which creates a more involved and effective learning process [12].

556

# 557 4.3.3. Learning how to perform field research through mentoring: guided traverses

Once introduced to the regional context, trainees begin performing geological traverses 558 559 guided by instructors. These involve defining a series of scientific questions about the traverse region by examining satellite images of the area. Instructors then guide the 560 561 trainees on a traverse through the region, helping them to select the correct outcrops, features, and samples to answer these scientific questions. During the guided traverses, 562 the instructor team demonstrates to the crew how to follow a pre-planned route, locate 563 sampling stations, collect samples, and achieve proper photographic documentation. The 564 main focus is on the application of "flexecution" principles, where hypothesis-driven 565 science objectives ensure a high chance of quality scientific return. Flexecution is a 566 standard concept of operation for terrestrial field geologists, and serves as a model for 567 how planetary field geology should be carried out [10, 12, 16]. It describes a method of 568 operations where objectives, plans and timelines can change, based on what is discovered 569 570 during a traverse.

Guided traverses also allow trainees to practice clear communication and geological 571 description techniques. The trainees have to deliver descriptions and observations to a 572 573 ground team over radio connection to prepare them for the self-directed traverses. This 574 reinforces earlier training on vocal geological descriptions, and links it to communicating with a ground team. The team of instructors that follows are always available to provide 575 576 corrections and suggestions to improve their execution of these activities. Trainees also practice using the EFB [31] or other documentation and analytical tools, and become 577 accustom to sharing their observations with ground teams through the system. Following 578 the traverse, trainees discuss all the aspects of the traverse with the instructors and work 579 together to link observations and samples to an evolving interpretation of the analogue 580 581 environment.

- 582 The roles assigned for a guided traverses are as follows:
- 583
- The crew: composed of two to three astronauts (two different traverses are performed if the trainees are between 4 and 6 to allow each group to have dedicated instructors and ground teams).
- 587 The instructor team: composed of a training coordinator and one or more topical
  588 instructors per team.
- The ground team: composed of a science communicator dedicated to talking to the
   crew, and one or more experts in geology/astrobiology and engineering support.
- 591

592 Once a series of these guided traverses are complete, trainees can begin self-guided593 traverses.

594

595 *4.3.4. Developing autonomy: self-directed traverses:* 

596 This activity represents the most advanced part of the field training, and aims to fully immerse the astronauts in the process of field geology exploration. The instructor teams 597 present the crew with a set of scientific problems to address during a traverse across a 598 599 scientifically interesting region they have not yet visited. They are introduced to the region only through examining remote sensing data (satellite images), which is 600 sometimes viewed using a virtual reality (VR) system. Unlike the guided traverses, the 601 602 crew is in charge of managing the traverse execution along a pre-planned route between stations, and must balance identifying, collecting, and documenting samples with the EFB, 603 in order to address a scientific problem. Trainees must perform the activity over a limited 604 time period, and they are only able to collect a certain number of samples. The instructor 605 606 team follows at a distance, observes without interfering with the traverse, and documents 607 the crew behavior and observations. The crew communicates by radio to the ground team using verbal descriptions of each sample and the general environment, and shares the 608 609 documented information through the EFB. Ground teams provide recommendations to 610 the field team based on the information they receive, and work with them to answer the scientific questions posed. 611

During the self-directed traverses, the trainees can apply the flexecution methods that have been taught during the guided traverses. The traverse is still bound by the limits of safety and operational constraints, but the crew has the freedom to make real-time changes to planned tasks based on their field observations. For example, they can reprioritise science objectives based on their discoveries. Since future crews, especially those visiting Mars, will require a high level of autonomy in their field activities, it is important that astronauts are able to make quality decisions without the support of geological experts or the ground teams.

To introduce an additional motivation to several self-guided traverses, during the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 620 621 4<sup>th</sup> sessions of PANGAEA, some traverses are performed with real science-driven 622 objectives developed together with local scientists to advance the knowledge of a specific site. In this case, the trainees are briefed on the state of the art regarding the research 623 624 already done in this site, underlining the fact that their activity will contribute new data and samples that will be later analysed in the laboratory. In these traverses, the scientific 625 questions provided as a base for the traverse execution include hypothesis that have not 626 yet been tested. In this way, the self-guided traverses present a challenging experience 627 628 for the crew with real expectations from the scientists. This allows the trainees to feel a sense of responsibility about their tasks, motivating their learning experience [11]. 629

630

## 631 4.3.5. Traverse analysis and refinement

Following both the guided and self-directed traverses, the crew and instructor teams 632 examine together the samples and information collected by the crew through the EFB and 633 associated tools. The significance of each specimen is analysed in relation to the scientific 634 635 questions posed and the ground teams discuss their perceptions of the crew's observations and descriptions of the geology. Following this, a virtual or real walk-636 through of the entire activity is led by the instructor team to offer constructive feedback 637 for both the crew and the ground team. They examine each stop and comment on the 638 effective and ineffective aspects of the conversations, sampling methods and operational 639 640 choices made by the team. This gives the trainees a broad overview of the traverse, and demonstrates how description techniques, communication, tools and sampling choices 641 can impact the scientific outcome of a planetary exploration EVA. 642

643

# 644 **5. PANGAEA training sites**

As mentioned, all classroom lessons, practical exercises and traverses take place at the
field locations using local facilities to allow for synergies between classroom lessons and
fieldwork. The implementation of the PANGAEA course is therefore structured around

both its field locations, and the prerequisite learning requirements demanded by eachlesson.

The selection of the appropriate field sites for PANGAEA in Europe was made after the 650 definition of specific geological and logistical requirements. A candidate site had to 651 include challenging geological problems, teaching facilities, permits, safety and suitable 652 infrastructure, climate and amenities, all of which should be in relatively close proximity. 653 654 The full list of requirements involved in this selection process can be found in the supporting materials. Following these criteria, a series of potential locations were 655 evaluated, and finally four were chosen to host the PANGAEA course: 1) the Permian 656 657 terrains of the Dolomites (Italy) for their pristine sedimentary sequences; 2) Ries crater in Germany for impact cratering processes and lithologies, 3) the island of Lanzarote in 658 the Canary archipelago for volcanism; 4) the anorthosite massifs of Lofoten, Norway, for 659 660 intrusive rocks similar to those found in the lunar highlands.

661

# 662 5.1. Bletterbach, Italy

Bletterbach Canyon (Fig. 4) is one of the most studied geological sites in Europe. The 663 outcrops along the canyon walls display a geological sequence spanning from the 664 Permian volcanic basement (270 Ma) to the lagoon dolostones of the Lower Triassic. This 665 666 includes the Permo-Trissic mass extinction boundary and a variety of Permian terrigenous lithologies and sedimentary structures [35], with some lithological analogies 667 to Martian sedimentary sequences in Gale Crater [36]. The lower and middle part of 668 Bletterbach gorge is characterised by a volcanic porphyric group (Atesinian volcanic 669 complex), generated by explosive volcanic events and pyroclastic flows. This is overlain 670 by a sedimentary sequence with detrital lithologies like sandstones and shales from an 671 alluvial plain environment with local evaporitic layers (gypsum and anhydrite), 672 673 interpreted as ancient soils in semi-arid conditions (Val Gardena Sandstone fm., Massari et al. 1988). At the valley head, overlying the alluvial sedimentary sequences are deposits 674 from coastal evaporitic to sub-tidal environments (Bellerophon fm., Massari et al. 1988), 675 followed by peri-tidal deposits (Werfen fm.). This is covered by an erosive event 676 (Richthofen conglomerate) and a final transgression represented by a carbonation 677 platform facies (Contrin fm.), closing the Bletterbach stratigraphic series. Overall, the 678 stratigraphic sequence is interpreted as evidence of a regional marine transgression, 679

680 where a continental environment evolved into a marine basin during the Permian-Early 681 Triassic time span [38]. The entire sequence is crosscut by mid-Triassic volcanic dike 682 intrusions. In the area it is also possible to observe quaternary periglacial and glacial 683 morphologies and deposits, as well as tectonic, sedimentary and gravitational 684 deformation structures.

The high diversity of lithologies, including volcanic effusive/intrusive, sedimentary, 685 686 diagenetic and metamorphic rocks (the latter in glacial deposits) make this location very 687 well suited to introduce and exercise rock recognition skills. The clear stratigraphic and depositional relationships between these layers provide an excellent place to understand 688 the fundamentals of geological superposition, modification by subsequent burial and 689 fluid circulation (diagenesis) and how paleo-environmental changes are reflected in the 690 691 rock record. For this reason, the area is already used for geological training by several 692 European universities. Moreover, several of the rock series like the sandstones 693 intermixed with silt stones and clays of alluvial plain environments, and the evaporite rich layers and veins of the Val Gardena sandstone formation, are analogues for some 694 Martian sedimentary environments, including those of Gale Crater explored by Curiosity 695 [36]. The site is managed by the Bletterbach Geopark which supports the training by 696 697 providing access to the outcrops and facilities.

698

## 699 5.2. Ries Crater, Germany

700 Nördlinger Ries (Fig. 5) is a large circular depression in western Bavaria, Germany, located north of the Danube in the district of Donau-Ries. This feature was originally 701 702 interpreted as a volcanic caldera with typical pyroclastic fallout materials in the surrounding environment [39, 40]. However, in 1961 Eugene Shoemaker [41] 703 demonstrated through morphological and mineralogical studies that this structure has 704 705 been formed though a meteorite impact crater between 14.3 million-14.5 million years ago in the Miocene. Since then, the Ries crater has been considered as one of the best-706 preserved impact structures of medium-large size on Earth [42-44]. 707

The original target rock consisted of a Mesozoic to Cenozoic sedimentary sequence
including limestones, shales and sandstones [45] and an underlying crystalline basement
made up of Varisic and pre-Varisic granites and gneisses of the Bohemian massif [46].
After the impact, the crater was covered by late Miocene-Pliocene sedimentary deposits

of fresh water-limestones until the Upper Pliocene-Pleistocene, when the impact 712 713 structure was exhumed thanks to the erosion and the southeast uplift and tilting of the entire sedimentary sequence due to the northward propagation of the Alpine belt. Today, 714 the crater consists of a flat-floored 600-700m deep inner central basin filled with 715 sediments, surrounded by an inner ring of uplifted basement and a structural outer rim 716 covered by impact breccias and para-autochthonous mega blocks composed of the 717 sedimentary sequences breached by the impact [44]. Within and partly outside the basin 718 719 are fallout suevites, an impact breccia containing crystals, glass, partially melted material and rock fragments. The suevites underlie tertiary lake sediments within the inner rim, 720 721 and consistently overlie impact breccias (Bunte Breccia) which, in turn, unconformably cover the pre-impact sedimentary sequence (Sturm et al. 2013). 722

This area is ideal for training, as it allows impact products and minerals to be observed 723 in combination with all the morphological and structural elements constituting a regional 724 725 impact basin, from the inner ring to the ejecta in the surrounding area outside of the crater. Trainees can observe how the pre-existing rocks have been modified by the 726 impact, how lithostatic rebound brought deeper intrusive rocks to the surface in the 727 central area, and how all these materials have been distributed in the surrounding 728 729 environment during the impact process. Ries also provides a location to discuss and observe how impact events change the fracturing and porosity of rock, and thereby 730 altering their suitability for life, providing training on the selection of astrobiology 731 732 samples. Additionally, the site also has some historical significance, having been used for mission-specific training for the Apollo 14 crew in August 1970 [9]. The area is widely 733 studied and easily accessible since several towns offer facilities and housing. The main 734 city of Nördlingen, with its medieval buildings made of suevite impact rocks, is located 735 about 6 km southwest of the centre of this depression. 736

The activities in this site are facilitated by the support of the Rieskrater Museum of Nördlingen, which provides classroom facilities and access to its sample collections, laboratories, and a library of geological cores obtained by different drilling campaigns inside the crater. The geological heritage of the whole area is also promoted by the Geopark Ries.

#### 743 5.3. Lanzarote, Spain

Lanzarote is the north easternmost of the Canary Islands (Spain), and is located only 77 miles (125 kilometres) from the African mainland in the Atlantic Ocean. It is the fourth largest island of the archipelago, with a surface area of 800,000 square kilometres and a population of 140,000 inhabitants (Fig. 6).

Lanzarote is a volcanic island with a long history of eruptions. It is often referred to as the
'Island of the 1,000 volcanoes', although is actually the least mountainous of the Canary
Islands. The highest point is the volcano Peñas Del Chache, near Haría, in the northern
part of the island, which reaches 2,198 ft. (670 metres) above sea level.

The Canaries have warm and sunny weather all year round, with temperatures rarely 752 under 16°C (61°F) in winter and 25°C (77°F) in summer. Rain is very rare, resulting in a 753 754 dry climate with an average 16 days of rainfall annually, usually between December and February. Unlike the other Canary Islands, Lanzarote does not have any high mountains, 755 which means there are very few clouds, lower evaporation, and moderate humidity 756 levels. This climate makes vegetation cover limited. The interior of Lanzarote is covered 757 with massifs and plains, dotted with small craters and cones and amorphous eruptive 758 rock formations. This geology combined with the lack of vegetation makes the interior 759 reminiscent of a lunar landscape and provides good exposure of the island's 760 morphologies and lithologies. 761

The island of Lanzarote was formed through a sequence of several volcanic events
starting approximately ~14.5 Ma (million years ago) that have continued up to recent
times. Along with Fuerteventura, Lanzarote is located on a submarine ridge called Banco
de la Concepción, which runs NE-SW parallel to the African coast.

The history of the island can be divided in four main events and sub-events according to 766 the timing and type of volcanism [47, 48]. Phase 1 involved the emergence of two large 767 shield volcanos from the ocean, Los Ajaces volcano formation (14.5-13.5 Ma) and Famàra 768 volcano (10.2-3.8 Ma), which formed over alternating periods of activity and quiescence. 769 770 Phase 2 is termed Peniferic (1.6-0.7 Ma), in which the Teguise volcanic group and Caldera Risicada formed. Rifting in Phase 3 then built the central part of the island between  $\sim 1.2$ 771 and 0.8 Ma, covering much of the Phase 1 volcanos, with only some of the larger cones 772 773 emerging from beneath. Finally, the most recent Phase 4 consisted of localised eruptions at several locations across the island such as the Corona volcano (~91-21 ka), and 774

Timanfaya (1730-36) and Tinguaton (1824).

The island is very well suited to observing and studying volcanic rocks and processes.
The geological heritage is managed and promoted by the Geopark of Lanzarote and
Archipelago Chinijo, which is also supporting the PANGAEA training trough a specific
agreement between ESA and the local regional authority (Cabildo).

The possibility to visit sites with different ages, from the pristine lava flows and 780 monogenic cones of Timanfaya (in the National Park of Timanfaya), to the older massive 781 782 shield volcanoes of Famara and Ajaches, allows the observation of volcanic morphologies, 783 weathering processes and the study of superposing events, as can be observed on the Moon and Mars [49]. The interactions between volcanic activity and groundwaters makes 784 Lanzarote an excellent analogue to Martian volcanism, with very well preserved 785 monogenic cones, tuff rings, and hydrated thermal mineralization [50, 51]. The site is an 786 787 ideal location to investigate how volcanic hydrothermal systems and their secondary 788 minerals might host life or biosignatures of life, providing general insights into the link 789 between volcanic processes and the search for life [52]. In addition, Lanzarote hosts some of the most impressive and well-studied lava tubes on Earth, like the Corona system [53, 790 54], allowing for the astronauts to experience a traverse through these subsurface 791 volcanic environments that have also been identified also on the Moon and Mars [55]. 792

793

# 794 5.4. Lofoten, Norway

Lofoten, is an archipelago in northern Norway, north of the Arctic Circle (Fig. 7). The 795 796 archipelago is composed of Meso- to Neoarchaean gneisses, which were intruded by an extensive magmatic suite of anorthosite-mangerite-charnockite-granite about 1.8-1.7 Ga 797 ago [56]. These old magmatic suites, including the Flakstadøy basic complex, are made 798 up of anorthosites, gabbros, norites, troctolites and orthopyroxene bearing monzonite 799 and granites (i.e. mangerites and charnockites), and originated in the deep crust of the 800 801 Baltica continent before its merging into the Rodinia supercontinent at 1300-1000 Ma [57, 58]. The processes in which these features formed, though fractional crystallization 802 of a deep magmatic chamber and diapiric uprising of anorthositic mushes followed by 803 intrusions of margerites and charnockites [59-61] are similar to the formation of the 804 lunar primordial crust, where the Fe-anorthositic rocks, segregated from the early 805 magmatic ocean, and were followed by younger intrusions of Mg- and Alkali- suites [62]. 806

The Rodinia break up at 750 Ma with the formation of the Iapetus ocean followed by its closure during the Caledonian orogenesis (Pangaea supercontinent, 420 Ma), as well as the more recent opening of the north Atlantic Ocean (200 Ma), allowed the exhumation of the ancient Precambrian rocks in Lofoten archipelago. In this way, this basement crustal segment defines an emerged horst bounded to the east by thrust nappes of the Scandinavian Caledonides, in a similar setting to the Western Gneiss Region of South Norway [63].

Quaternary glaciations and the limited vegetation means that these rare rocks are well
exposed and can be observed and studied across a broad area, providing some of the best
outcrops of lunar highland analogue rocks in the world [59] and excellent opportunities
for traverses exploring magma-genesis processes. The mountains around the village of
Nusjord in particular allow the design of different traverses crossing the entire intrusive
complex and observing contacts (either intrusive and tectonic) between several units.

In summary, this location enables more advanced training on the topic of magma differentiation and igneous mineralogy. In addition, the long period of geological history exposed in the area can be compared and linked to what was observed at the other training sites to provide a holistic view into Earth's geological evolution. Facilities are available in the surrounding villages, but the timeframe for fieldwork is limited to summertime due to the high latitude, snow cover, and lack of light in the winter.

826

# 827 6. PANGAEA course structure

Matching the PANGAEA course analysis and design (Section 3) with content (Section 4) 828 to the selected field sites (Section 5) enabled the course structure to be created. 829 Classroom lesson blocks, practical exercises, and traverses were assigned to field sites, 830 and ordered in a way that enabled lessons with prerequisite learning requirements to be 831 met. The course structure has evolved through time, reaching its current configuration 832 only in the last edition, following crew/instructor feedback sessions and internal review 833 834 after each edition since 2016. The overall and current PANGAEA course structure is 835 shown in Figure 8.

The course structure gradually builds trainee skills and knowledge through the cognitive domains described in Kratwhohl (2002). Each session of the course begins in the remember and understand levels of the knowledge domain, with knowledge being transferred from the instructors to the trainees during more theory heavy lessons. This

quickly builds into exercises where trainees must apply that knowledge to narrow to 840 841 specific problem identification and finding solutions through interactive discussions. This application of knowledge is advanced further through practice in more realistic field 842 environments, where the same skills are applied to solving problems in more complex 843 information-rich environments. Finally, the trainees reach a level of knowledge and 844 845 experience allowing them to analyse specific scientific questions and evaluate traverse plans or information coming from satellite images in order to apply their skills in the 846 geological exploration of new terrains. 847

A key feature of the PANGAEA course is the incremental introduction of autonomous field 848 activities through the course structure. All sessions include regional geology trips and 849 guided traverses. In addition, the last two sessions include self-guided traverses, some of 850 which include real scientific objectives. This course structure enables trainees to learn 851 the basics of geology in the highly didactic sedimentary sequences of Bletterbach Canyon. 852 853 They can then confidently move on to the more complex environments created by the Ries impact crater. Lanzarote is dedicated to volcanism as it presents an excellent 854 terrestrial analogue for the basaltic regions of the Moon and Mars, making it the best 855 place to conduct the field traverse exercises thanks to its aridity and well-preserved 856 857 outcrops. It is in Lanzarote that trainees start to exercise self-guided traverses and sampling protocols. Finally, Norway introduces the trainees to the very complex 858 geological environment of intrusive rocks, allowing them to fully exercise their acquired 859 skills. 860

It is important to note that this structure is distributed around the availability of field 861 sites and other training activities throughout the year. Lanzarote, for example, is too hot 862 in the summer for training, and Bletterbach Canyon is not accessible during winter when 863 the river levels are too high, whilst Lofoten can be visited only during summertime due 864 865 to the lack of light in the winter. Therefore, PANGAEA usually starts in September with the first two sessions (Bletterbach and Ries) one immediately following the other. 866 Lanzarote is held in November, while Lofoten is scheduled in June/July of the following 867 868 year. In this way, over 10 to 12 months the full PANGAEA training is completed, lasting a total of 23 days comprising approximately a total of 51 hours of classroom lessons, 25 869 870 hours of practical exercises, 60 hours of field trips and traverses (Fig. 9).

# 872 7. Results and discussion

# 873 7.1. Learning the principles of planetary and field geology

Four editions of the training have been implemented since the beginning of PANGAEA in 874 2016, with a fifth edition ongoing in 2022-2023, with a participation of 10 astronauts 875 from ESA, NASA and Roscosmos and additional 5 non-astronaut trainees including space 876 engineers, EVA and operation specialists (Supplementary Table 4). As happened during 877 878 the Apollo geological training programme, astronauts approached the first training session with a limited understanding of how complex and experience-based field geology 879 exploration is. They often assumed, due to their ISS experiences, that simply performing 880 correct procedures would be sufficient to achieve science objectives in the field. As the 881 training grew in complexity and the astronauts faced real geological environments, it 882 883 becomes clear to them that their ability to observe and identify interesting rocks or 884 outcrops, communicate, and apply flexecution are the most crucial aspects of this activity, where fixed procedures would drastically limit potential discoveries. In this way, the 885 trainees typically experience a shift in perspective, and eventually find motivation in this 886 887 less prescribed method of working, where they become the main protagonists of the possible discoveries and science advances. Therefore, this training not only appeared to 888 889 provide basic knowledge and preliminary experience of field exploration, but also helped to foster the astronaut's appreciation for the process of true exploration. 890

Feedback on all lessons and activities have been collected throughout every edition of 891 PANGAEA. The average evaluation by participants at PANGAEA, based on the standard 892 student feedback forms used throughout the ESA space training, on a 1 to 5 scale, is 4.6 893 894 for lesson content (clearly understood, beneficial), 4.7 for lesson material (enhanced understanding), 4.8 for instructors (promoted and maintained the desire to learn) and 895 4.8 for facilities (greatly enhanced and aided the training). When only looking at feedback 896 ratings gathered from field activities, the average evaluation reached in all editions 897 between 4.9 to 5 (extremely beneficial, excellent for understanding, inspirational). This 898 indicates that field activities are the highlight of the course where all the main topics and 899 900 practical exercises learned in classroom are finally consolidated and acquired. The final feedback from all course editions always identified PANGAEA as a very relevant training 901 in the framework of future lunar missions, particularly in later editions when the Artemis 902 programme existed. 903

Astronauts at the end of each training edition were very positive about the course, 904 905 especially regarding the close link between classroom and field, with supportive joint statements from the trainees such as, «the course is a really good blend of classroom 906 lessons, exercises and fieldwork (...) so you get to see what it is that we've discussed in 907 classroom and then you get a hands on experience, you get to see the real world 908 examples» (astronaut trainees from feedback session 2021). Similarly the participation 909 910 of a NASA astronaut from the Artemis group in 2021 and 2022 underlined the importance 911 of learning in the field, with one of them saying (from feedback session 2021): «I found it very interesting the concept of learning in the field (...) so that we can have a discussion 912 913 in the morning about how volcanoes form, and then actually walk around on different volcanic terrains in the afternoon; this is really useful for a training perspective because 914 you get to see the geology that we are learning in the proper context». We also received 915 the recommendation not to overwhelm the traverses with too many operational tasks, 916 917 keeping the right balance between the experience of geological exploration and the operational simulation in the more advanced session 3-4 of PANGAEA. 918

The positive feedback received for PANGAEA can be attributed to the course building on 919 the legacy of the Apollo experience, such as fostering the learning process through field 920 921 activities, whilst bringing the modern advances made in planetary geology to its course content and incorporating relevant state of the art technologies. The realism of the 922 analogue environments, covering all the most relevant lunar and Martian geological 923 924 settings, and the addition of self-guided traverses with real scientific objectives provide valuable outcomes for enhancing trainees' performance in geological exploration, 925 documentation, and sampling. The involvement of additional interlinked topics, such as 926 astrobiology and In Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU), allows the astronauts to think 927 towards the main challenges of future missions to the Moon and Mars. Overall, we believe 928 929 the course provides a robust basic theoretical and practical knowledge of mission relevant field science to its trainees, and adequately prepares them for starting mission-930 specific training once details on mission scenarios, related scientific objectives, and 931 932 landing sites become available.

933

### 935 7.2. Lessons learned

Over the various iterations of PANGAEA, many lessons were learned in how to effectively
teach astronauts geology and astrobiology. Like any training course, standard best
practices are used to build clear, consistent lessons that introduce new information in a
structured and logical way. However, when teaching these subjects to astronauts, specific
considerations and adaptions are required.

941 As mentioned in Section 3, tilting the course content towards practical, implementable knowledge over pure theory has been a key-asset, allowing to bring the trainees to the 942 higher levels of learning of the revised Bloom's taxonomy of learning (Analysis and 943 Evaluation). Astronauts tend to be practically minded, and long theoretical lessons do not 944 provide them with the best opportunity to learn. Where theoretical lessons are required, 945 946 providing examples of how knowledge might be applied in the future missions was found 947 to be an extremely effective way of demonstrating the value of learning theories and 948 concepts. Dissociating lessons from these goals for too long can lead trainees to question the point of the learning certain things and cause them to lose interest. 949

950 Field trip lessons should also be built around similar concepts. Typically, field trips used for academic teaching involve visiting locations to learn more about a particular 951 952 environment in context. For training astronauts, these types of field trips are still important. However, in order for learning to be most effective and clearly relevant to the 953 future work of trainees, bringing in some elements of planetary surface exploration and 954 scientific research realism was found to be important for trainee motivation and 955 knowledge retention. PANGAEA achieves this through having trainees perform 956 957 increasingly autonomous traverses through geological landscapes with predefined science goals and remotely located science teams. This contextualises the knowledge 958 trainees gained through the regular field trips in a planetary exploration setting and 959 demonstrates the importance of the training. This also was found to promote the trainee's 960 independence from the instructors, forcing them to apply their knowledge as a team and 961 to make decisions. Adding to the traverses real scientific objectives defined with the local 962 963 experts, aiming to address the still open scientific questions and with potential for further science advancements and publications, is also a very important motivational factor 964 fostering the engagement and emotional satisfaction of the trainees. 965

When available, traverses should include technological capabilities relevant to planetaryexploration. Although the exact set of capabilities for EVAs on the lunar or Martian

surface are yet to be designed and built, the core concepts of scientific data collection, 968 969 documentation, and communication can still be effectively demonstrated by using Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) hardware, prototypes and low TRL (technology 970 readiness level) instruments to emulate the capabilities that should be available to 971 astronauts conducting geological exploration on the Moon or Mars. This includes ways to 972 collect images, notes, spectra, and other scientific data. Incorporating such systems into 973 974 the training was found to be a highly effective way to allow astronauts to understand the 975 types of information required for performing effective science during planetary surface exploration with remotely located science teams. Overall, it allowed them to appreciate 976 977 the importance of quality data collection for enabling scientific interpretation.

Finally, our assumptions about closely interweaving classroom and field trips were found
to be important. Separating classroom theory from field observations by weeks or
months resulted in less favourable learning outcomes, and trainees greatly preferred
conducting classroom work in facilities close to the field sites so that classroom lessons
and field trips could occur on the same day.

983

# 984 8. Conclusion and future directions

PANGAEA has matured over the years, adding many new lessons and activities to enhance the course. While the main structure is now well-defined, some new lectures and exercises will be required when lunar missions are better outlined in terms of science objectives. As technology is developed for Artemis, new capabilities may have to be emulated and incorporated into the field traverses, and the exploration operations concepts updated or changed.

991 The course has proven itself to be effective at providing a basic theoretical and practical 992 knowledge on planetary and field geology to astronauts. After PANGAEA, astronauts 993 should have all the necessary practical and theoretical geological skills for future mission-994 specific training, which remain out of PANGAEA's scope and will be addressed when the 995 Artemis missions are better defined. It also provides an opportunity for astronauts to 996 work with some of the scientific community that will be driving scientific questions and 997 objectives for the future lunar mission.

Echoing the experiences of Apollo, PANGAEA again highlights the importance of field
geology training to get astronauts fully involved in the scientific research and activities
they will have to perform on the Moon and beyond. The outcome of the self-directed

traverses, which often involved real scientific objectives, demonstrated how properly
trained astronauts are crucial for selecting the most scientifically interesting samples.
These skills will be required to fully exploit the potential of field geology on the lunar
surface, ensuring the true scientific potential of these dangerous and expensive missions
is realised.

1006

# 1007 Acknowledgements

1008

PANGAEA would not be possible without the significant support offered by local 1009 authorities at each field location. We would like to thank Geoparc Bletterbach for its 1010 support in accessing Bletterbach Canvon, Ries Krater Museum for its the gracious hosting 1011 and use of facilities, and Geopark Ries for its support in accessing locations in Ries Crater. 1012 1013 In Lanzarote, we would like to acknowledge the help and support provided by Cabildo 1014 Lanzarote, Timanfaya National Park and Casa de los Volcanes, which enabled us to access essential field sites. 1015 Our gratitude goes also to all the interns and ESA young graduate trainees that have been 1016 supporting the PANGAEA training activities. A special thanks to Prof. Stefano Debei from 1017

1018 CISAS-University of Padova, in remembrance of his kind support for the first 1019 implementation of the training in 2016.

1020

1021



- 1025 Fig. 1. Application of the ADDIE model to the construction of the PANGAEA training.
- 1026 Actions and main actors are specified for each step of the model.





- 1030
- Figure 2: The "cognitive loop" used to design the PANGAEA training strategy, based onthat typically used in planetary geology research.

1034



1035

**Figure 3**: Different types of field exercises and activities performed during PANGAEA,

1037 divided between "knowledge transfer" and "problem oriented" methodologies.



Figure 4. Overview of the Bletterbach Canyon analogue site (South Tyrol, Italy): A) the canyon is characterised by a well-exposed sedimentary sequence of sandstones, marls, limestones, and dolostones with over 800 m of thickness; B) the sedimentary sequence overlays a magmatic basement consisting of rhyolites representing the source of the terrigenous sandstones; C) the lower part of the sequence is characterised by anhydrite and gypsum veins indicating a sabkha-type environment, similar to those detected in Gale Crater by the Curiosity rover.



Figure 5. Overview of the Ries Impact Crater analogue site (Nördlingen, Germany): A)
the trainees observing a crystalline mega-breccia block in the area of the crater inner
ring; B) Suevite fall-out deposits covering the chaotic terrigenous Bunte Breccia
formation, representing the ejecta of the impact crater; C) classroom lessons are held in
the Ries Crater Museum, which offers a collection of rock cores from different depths
and locations inside the crater.



Figure 6. Overview of the Lanzarote analogue site (Canary Islands, Spain): A) Lanzarote is a volcanic island with several different volcanic edifices, lava flows, and pyroclastic deposits, with ages spanning from 7 million-years-old to a few centuries: B) the phreatomagmatic edifice of Caldera Blanca, considered one of the best analogue to tuff rings observed in the low plains of Utopia Basin on Mars (Brož and Huber, 2013); a traverse inside the lava tube of La Corona, with 9.5 kms of length and a diameter reaching over 35 m in some sections.

1063



1066	Figure 7. Overview of the Nusfjord massif analogue site (Lofoten, Norway): A) the
1067	anorthositic massif behind the village of Nusfjord represents one of the best analogue
1068	sites in the world for studying the lunar highlands; B-C) glacial erosion has left the
1069	intrusive rocks highly exposed, allowing the observation of different magmatic

1070 processes, like intrusions, dikes, magma mingling, and magma differentiation.



- 1072 Figure 8. The analogue sites, topics and activities integrated in the final course
- 1073 structure, divided in the four sessions.



Figure 9. Hour distribution of classroom lessons, exercises (both in field and classroom)
and field trips/traverses across the 4 PANGAEA sessions. The course progresses
towards field activities become predominant in session 3 and 4, when most of the
theoretical knowledge is already acquired by the trainees in session 1 and 2. After
session 4, the trainees are ready to be involved in mission-specific training and/or other

- 1082 field trips to help maintain their skills.

Topic	Training objectives – "the trainee should learn how to"
Geology	<ul> <li>Recognise, describe and generally classify rocks and minerals common on Earth, the Moon, Mars and asteroids</li> <li>Identify the major constructional (tectonic and volcanism) and destructional (erosion and weathering), exogenous and endogenous forces on Earth</li> <li>Recognise and classify selected Earth features including constructional and erosional landforms</li> </ul>
Astrobiology	<ul> <li>Recognise and describe potentially habitable geological environments</li> <li>Note, document, and describe features that could be of interest for geo-microbiology</li> <li>Work using methods that reduce contamination of samples</li> </ul>
Planetary Science	<ul> <li>Recognise and describe the general geological characteristics and history of the Moon, Mars and asteroids</li> <li>Compare field observations of geological features on Earth with Mars, the Moon, and asteroids</li> <li>Derive how planetary parameters (gravity, internal structure, environmental conditions, etc.) contribute to producing different types of landforms</li> </ul>
Field and science operations skills	<ul> <li>Use satellite images and maps, plan geological traverses, understand scientific questions</li> <li>Identify interesting sampling sites from planned traverses on maps and satellite images</li> <li>Identify relevant geological features while following a preplanned route in the field</li> <li>Efficiently describe the geological context and detailed features at large and small-scales along a traverse</li> <li>Perform rapid, on the spot decision-making regarding prioritisation and sample collection</li> <li>Collect rock and soil samples according to their geological description, while preventing contamination of the samples</li> <li>Properly document sample collection sites</li> <li>Adapt traverse strategies based on new information (apply flexecution)</li> </ul>

Table 1. PANGAEA training objectives that should be achieved by the trainees afterreceiving all the lessons and performing all exercises and field activities.

# 1106 **References**

- 1107 [1] V.R. Baker, Terrestrial analogs, planetary geology, and the nature of geological reasoning, Planetary1108 and Space Science, 95 (2014) 5-10.
- [2] I.A. Crawford, Astrobiological benefits of human space exploration, Astrobiology, 10 (2010) 577-587.
- 1111 [3] D.J. Des Marais, J.A. Nuth III, L.J. Allamandola, A.P. Boss, J.D. Farmer, T.M. Hoehler, B.M. Jakosky,
- V.S. Meadows, A. Pohorille, B. Runnegar, The NASA astrobiology roadmap, Astrobiology, 8 (2008) 715-730.
- [4] C.R. Cousins, C.S. Cockell, An ESA roadmap for geobiology in space exploration, Acta Astronautica,118 (2016) 286-295.
- 1116 [5] H.H. Schmitt, From the moon to mars, Scientific American, 301 (2009) 36-43.
- 1117 [6] J. Stopar, C.K. Shearer, Science Objectives for Artemis III Crewed Activities, in: Science Definition1118 Team for Artemis, NASA, 2020.
- [7] T.H. Foss, A.H. Chidester, Astronaut Training Program in Geology and Geophysics, AAPG Bulletin,50 (1966) 613-613.
- [8] A. Young, Geological and Sample Collection Training for Missions, in: The Apollo Lunar Samples,Springer, 2017, pp. 27-43.
- 1123 [9] W.C. Phinney, Science Training History of the Apollo Astronauts, in: NASA (Ed.), NASA, 2015.
- 1124 [10] H.H. Schmitt, A. Snoke, M. Helper, J. Hurtado, K. Hodges, J. Rice, Motives, methods, and essential
- preparation for planetary field geology on the Moon and Mars, Geological Society of America Special
   Papers, 483 (2011) 1-15.
- [11] D.S. Lim, G. Warman, M. Gernhardt, C. McKay, T. Fong, M. Marinova, A. Davila, D. Andersen, A.
  Brady, Z. Cardman, Scientific field training for human planetary exploration, Planetary and Space
  Science, 58 (2010) 920-930.
- [12] K. Hodges, H. Schmitt, A new paradigm for advanced planetary field geology developed through
  analog experiments on Earth, Geological Society of America Special Papers, 483 (2011) 17-31.
- [13] G.E. Lofgren, F. Horz, D. Eppler, Geologic field training of the Apollo astronauts and implications
  for future manned exploration, Geological Society of America Special Papers, 483 (2011) 33-48.
- 1134 [14] D.A. Kring, Developing a Concept for Astronaut Training and Analogue Studies for Lunar and NEA
- Surface Operations, in, Memorandum for Dr. Wendell Mendell, Chief, Office for Lunar & Planetary
  Exploration, Constellation Systems Program Office, NASA Johnson Space Center, September 22, 2007.,
  2007.
- 1138 [15] C.A. Evans, K. Young, T.G. Graff, J.E. Bleacher, S.K. Noble, Training Artemis Astronauts to Explore 1139 the Moon, in: AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, 2020, pp. P063-001.
- 1140 [16] K.V. Hodges, H.H. Schmitt, Imagining a new era of planetary field geology, in, American 1141 Association for the Advancement of Science, 2019, pp. eaaz2484.
- 1142 [17] A. Witze, The first footprints on Mars could belong to this geologist, Nature, 581 (2020) 367-368.
- 1143 [18] G. Osinski, R. Léveillé, A. Berinstain, M. Lebeuf, M. Bamsey, Terrestrial Analogues to Mars and the 1144 Moon:: Canada's Role, Geoscience Canada, 33 (2006) 175-188.
- [19] G.R. Osinski, P. Lee, C.S. Cockell, K. Snook, D.S. Lim, S. Braham, Field geology on the Moon: Some
  lessons learned from the exploration of the Haughton impact structure, Devon Island, Canadian High
  Arctic, Planetary and Space Science, 58 (2010) 646-657.
- 1148 [20] S.G. Love, BASALT: The Future of Mars, on Earth Today, Astrobiology, 19 (2019) 243-244.
- 1149 [21] D. Eppler, B. Adams, D. Archer, G. Baiden, A. Brown, W. Carey, B. Cohen, C. Condit, C. Evans, C.
- 1150 Fortezzo, Desert Research and Technology Studies (DRATS) 2010 science operations: operational
- approaches and lessons learned for managing science during human planetary surface missions, Acta
- 1152 Astronautica, 90 (2013) 224-241.

- [22] F. Sauro, J. De Waele, S.J. Payler, M. Vattano, F.M. Sauro, L. Turchi, L. Bessone, Speleology as an
  analogue to space exploration: The ESA CAVES training programme, Acta Astronautica, 184 (2021)
  150-166.
- [23] T. Graff, K. Young, D. Coan, D. Merselis, A. Bellantuono, K. Dougan, M. Rodriguez-Lanetty, K.
  Nedimyer, S. Chappell, K. Beaton, NEEMO 21: Tools, technologies & training for science
- 1158 exploration, in: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference XLVIII, Abstract, 2017.
- [24] T. Graff, K. Young, C. Evans, J. Bleacher, NASA's Geoscience Training Program for Astronauts, in:
  51st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 2020, pp. 1787.
- [25] B. Todd, M. Reagan, The NEEMO Project: A Report on How NASA Utilizes the ``Aquarius''Undersea
  Habitat as an Analog for Long-Duration Space Flight, in: Engineering, Construction, and Operations in
  Challenging Environments: Earth and Space 2004, 2004, pp. 751-758.
- 1164 [26] L. Bessone, K. Beblo-Vranesevic, Q.A. Cossu, J. De Waele, S. Leuko, P. Marcia, P. Rettberg, L. Sanna,
- 1165 F. Sauro, S. Taiti, ESA CAVES: Training astronauts for space exploration, in: Proceedings of the 16th 1166 International Congress of Speleology. Brno, 2013, pp. 321-327.
- 1167 [27] D.R. Krathwohl, A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: An overview, Theory into practice, 41 (2002)1168 212-218.
- [28] G. Schmidt, C. Neal, The Global Exploration Roadmap: Opportunities for Lunar Science, in:European Lunar Symposium 2015, 2015.
- [29] J.M. Hurtado Jr, K. Young, J.E. Bleacher, W.B. Garry, J.W. Rice Jr, Field geologic observation and
  sample collection strategies for planetary surface exploration: Insights from the 2010 Desert RATS
  geologist crewmembers, Acta Astronautica, 90 (2013) 344-355.
- [30] K.H. Beaton, S.P. Chappell, A.F. Abercromby, M.J. Miller, S.E. Kobs Nawotniak, A.L. Brady, A.H.
  Stevens, S.J. Payler, S.S. Hughes, D.S. Lim, Using science-driven analog research to investigate
  extravehicular activity science operations concepts and capabilities for human planetary exploration,
- 1177 Astrobiology, 19 (2019) 300-320.
- [31] L. Turchi, S.J. Payler, F. Sauro, R. Pozzobon, M. Massironi, L. Bessone, The Electronic FieldBook: a
  system for supporting distributed field science operations during astronaut training and planetary
  exploration, Planetary and Space Science, (2021).
- 1181 [32] P. Jahoda, I. Drozdovskiy, S.J. Payler, L. Turchi, L. Bessone, F. Sauro, Machine learning for 1182 recognizing minerals from multispectral data, Analyst, (2020).
- [33] I. Drozdovskiy, G. Ligeza, P. Jahoda, M. Franke, P. Lennert, P. Vodnik, S.J. Payler, M. Kaliwoda, R.
  Pozzobon, M. Massironi, The PANGAEA mineralogical database, Data in brief, 31 (2020) 105985.
- 1185 [34] A. Vasavada, J. Grotzinger, R. Arvidson, F. Calef, J. Crisp, S. Gupta, J. Hurowitz, N. Mangold, S.
- 1186 Maurice, M. Schmidt, Overview of the Mars Science Laboratory mission: Bradbury landing to 1187 Yellowknife Bay and beyond, Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 119 (2014) 1134-1161.
- 1188 [35] A. Bosellini, P. Gianolla, M. Stefani, Geology of the Dolomites, Episodes, 26 (2003) 181-185.
- 1189 [36] B. De Toffoli, N. Mangold, M. Massironi, A. Zanella, R. Pozzobon, S. Le Mouélic, J. L'haridon, G. 1190 Cremonese, Structural analysis of sulfate vein networks in Gale crater (Mars), Journal of Structural
- 1191 Geology, 137 (2020) 104083.
- 1192 [37] F. Massari, M. Conti, D. Fontana, K. Helmold, N. Mariotti, C. Neri, The val Gardena sandstone and 1193 Bellerophon Formation in the Bletterbach gorge (Alto Adige, Italy): biostratigraphy and
- sedimentology, Memorie degli Istituti di Geologia e Mineralogia dell'Universita di Padova, 40 (1988)
  229-273.
- 1196 [38] E. Kustatscher, M. Bernardi, F.M. Petti, M. Franz, J.H. van Konijnenburg-van Cittert, H. Kerp, Sea-
- 1197 level changes in the Lopingian (late Permian) of the northwestern Tethys and their effects on the
- terrestrial palaeoenvironments, biota and fossil preservation, Global and Planetary Change, 148
- 1199 (2017) 166-180.
- 1200 [39] M. Kölbl-Ebert, From volcano to impact crater: a history of the impact hypothesis at Ries Crater
- and Steinheim Basin from 1900 to 1970, Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Monatshefte,
- 1202 (2003) 591-602.

- [40] W.v. Engelhardt, Hypotheses on the origin of the Ries Basin, Germany, from 1792 to 1960,Geologische Rundschau, 71 (1982) 475-485.
- [41] E.M. Shoemaker, E.C. Chao, New evidence for the impact origin of the Ries Basin, Bavaria,Germany, Journal of Geophysical Research, 66 (1961) 3371-3378.
- [42] G.R. Osinski, Impact melt rocks from the Ries structure, Germany: An origin as impact melt flows?,Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 226 (2004) 529-543.
- 1209 [43] J. Pohl, D. Stoeffler, H.v. Gall, K. Ernstson, The Ries impact crater, in: Impact and explosion 1210 cratering: Planetary and terrestrial implications, 1977, pp. 343-404.
- [44] S. Sturm, G. Wulf, D. Jung, T. Kenkmann, The Ries impact, a double-layer rampart crater on Earth,Geology, 41 (2013) 531-534.
- [45] R. Hüttner, H. Schmidt-Kaler, Meteoritenkrater Nördlinger Ries:... Fotos, Skizzen, Profile,
  Blockbilder, Landschaftsrekonstruktionen sowie 1 geologische u. 1 Routenkarte 1: 200 000, na, 2003.
  [46] W. van Enzelbandt, C. Grann, Survite of the Bias and and implications.
- [46] W. von Engelhardt, G. Graup, Suevite of the Ries crater, Germany: Source rocks and implicationsfor cratering mechanics, Geologische Rundschau, 73 (1984) 447-481.
- 1217 [47] J.C. Carracedo, The 1730–1736 eruption of Lanzarote, Canary Islands, in: Landscapes and 1218 landforms of Spain, Springer, 2014, pp. 273-288.
- [48] J.C. Carracedo, Growth, structure, instability and collapse of Canarian volcanoes and comparisons
  with Hawaiian volcanoes, Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 94 (1999) 1-19.
- [49] J. Martinez-Frias, E. Mateo, Lanzarote: Mars on Earth, in: Lanzarote and Chinijo Islands Geopark:
  From Earth to Space, Springer, 2019, pp. 143-148.
- 1223 [50] C. Romero, J. Dóniz, L. García-Cacho, C. Guillen, E. Coello, Nuevas evidencias acerca del origen
- hidromagmático del conjunto volcánico Caldera Blanca y Risco Quebrado (Lanzarote, Islas Canarias),
  Contribuciones al estudio del período cuaternario. Aequa, Ávila (Spain), (2007) 169-170.
- [51] P. Brož, E. Hauber, Hydrovolcanic tuff rings and cones as indicators for phreatomagmatic explosive
   eruptions on Mars, Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 118 (2013) 1656-1675.
- 1228 [52] C.S. Cockell, S. McMahon, D.S. Lim, J. Rummel, A. Stevens, S.S. Hughes, S.E. Kobs Nawotniak, A.L.
- Brady, V. Marteinsson, J. Martin-Torres, Sample collection and return from Mars: Optimising sample
  collection based on the microbial ecology of terrestrial volcanic environments, Space Science Reviews,
  215 (2019) 1-25.
- 1232 [53] H. Wilkens, T.M. Iliffe, P. Oromí, A. Martínez, T.N. Tysall, S. Koenemann, The Corona lava tube, 1233 Lanzarote: geology, habitat diversity and biogeography, Marine Biodiversity, 39 (2009) 155-167.
- 1234 [54] F. Sauro, R. Pozzobon, T. Santagata, I. Tomasi, M. Tonello, J. Martínez-Frías, L.M.J. Smets, G.D.S.
- 1235 Gómez, M. Massironi, Volcanic Caves of Lanzarote: A Natural Laboratory for Understanding Volcano-1236 Speleogenetic Processes and Planetary Caves, in: Lanzarote and Chinijo Islands Geopark: From Earth
- 1237 to Space, Springer, 2019, pp. 125-142.
- 1238 [55] F. Sauro, R. Pozzobon, M. Massironi, P. De Berardinis, T. Santagata, J. De Waele, Lava tubes on
- 1239 Earth, Moon and Mars: A review on their size and morphology revealed by comparative planetology,1240 Earth-Science Reviews, (2020) 103288.
- 1241 [56] W. Griffin, P. Taylor, J. Hakkinen, K. Heier, I. Iden, E. Krogh, O. Malm, K. Olsen, D. Ormaasen, E.
- 1242 Tveten, Archaean and proterozoic crustal evolution in Lofoten–Vesterålen, N Norway, Journal of the 1243 Geological Society, 135 (1978) 629-647.
- 1244 [57] W.D. Romey, Basic igneous complex, mangerite and high grade gneisses of Flakstadøy, Lofoten,
- 1245 northern Norway. I Field relations and speculations on origins, Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift, 51 (1971) 1246 33-61.
- 1247 [58] K. Kullerud, K. Flaat, B. Davidsen, High-pressure fluid–rock reactions involving Cl-bearing fluids in
- 1248 lower-crustal ductile shear zones of the Flakstadøy Basic Complex, Lofoten, Norway, Journal of 1249 Petrology, 42 (2001) 1349-1372.
- 1250 [59] G. Markl, B.R. Frost, K. Bucher, The origin of anorthosites and related rocks from the Lofoten
- 1251 Islands, Northern Norway: I. Field relations and estimation of intrinsic variables, Journal of Petrology,
- 1252 39 (1998) 1425-1452.

- 1253 [60] F. Corfu, U–Pb age, setting and tectonic significance of the anorthosite–mangerite–charnockite–
- 1254 granite suite, Lofoten–Vesterålen, Norway, Journal of Petrology, 45 (2004) 1799-1819.
- 1255 [61] L.D. Ashwal, G.M. Bybee, Crustal evolution and the temporality of anorthosites, Earth-Science 1256 Reviews, 173 (2017) 307-330.
- 1257 [62] C.K. Shearer, P.C. Hess, M.A. Wieczorek, M.E. Pritchard, E.M. Parmentier, L.E. Borg, J. Longhi, L.T.
- 1258 Elkins-Tanton, C.R. Neal, I. Antonenko, Thermal and magmatic evolution of the Moon, Reviews in
- 1259 Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 60 (2006) 365-518.
- 1260 [63] S.G. Bergh, K. Kullerud, P.I. Myhre, F. Corfu, P. Armitage, K. Zwaan, E. Ravna, Archaean elements
- of the basement outliers west of the Scandinavian Caledonides in Northern Norway: architecture,
- 1262 evolution and possible correlation with Fennoscandia, in: Evolution of archean crust and early life,
- 1263 Springer, 2014, pp. 103-126.