

# DEM-LBM coupling for partially saturated granular assemblies

N. Younes, Antoine Wautier, R. Wan, O. Millet, F. Nicot, R. Bouchard

### ► To cite this version:

N. Younes, Antoine Wautier, R. Wan, O. Millet, F. Nicot, et al.. DEM-LBM coupling for partially saturated granular assemblies. Computers and Geotechnics, 2023, 162, pp.105677. 10.1016/j.compgeo.2023.105677. hal-04176191

### HAL Id: hal-04176191 https://hal.science/hal-04176191v1

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## DEM-LBM coupling for partially saturated granular assemblies

<sup>3</sup> N. Younes<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, A. Wautier<sup>b</sup>, R. Wan<sup>c</sup>, O. Millet<sup>a</sup>, F. Nicot<sup>d</sup>, R. Bouchard<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>LaSIE, UMR CNRS 7356, University of La Rochelle, La Rochelle, France
 <sup>b</sup>INRAE, Unité de Recherche RECOVER, Aix-en-Provence, France
 <sup>c</sup>Schulich School of Engineering, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada
 <sup>d</sup>University Savoie Mont Blanc, ISTerre, Chambéry, France

#### 4 Abstract

In this paper, we propose a phase-field-based Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM) model coupled with the Discrete Element Method (DEM) for simulating unsaturated granular media over a large range of degrees of saturation. The formation of capillary bridges between grains is computed via an LBM 8 scheme that solves Navier-Stokes and Allen-Cahn equations for multi-phase 9 flow in complex geometries. As for the motions of the grains, these are com-10 puted within DEM where the contact behavior between spherical particles 11 is based on a simple elastic-plastic contact law. Using an efficient DEM-12 LBM coupling framework, capillarity effects are explored at the pore scale 13 with the collapse of a sandcastle as a classic illustration. This is done by 14 considering an assembly of several thousands of spherical particles connected 15 by capillary bridges for different degrees of saturation. It is shown that the 16 mean capillary stress increases with the degree of saturation up to a certain 17 threshold beyond which capillary stress drops until complete saturation. In 18 addition, the Soil Water Characteristic Curve is qualitatively recovered and 19 compared to a theoretical model. As such, the proposed DEM-LBM cou-20

August 2, 2023

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Preprint aubmitted. the Correspondence of the Construction of the Co

- <sup>21</sup> pling scheme becomes a viable numerical tool that can explicitly model and
- <sup>22</sup> explore pore-scale phenomena in unsaturated soils.
- <sup>23</sup> Keywords: DEM-LBM coupling, partially saturated medias, capillary
- 24 cohesion, GPU
- <sup>25</sup> *PACS:* 0000, 1111
- 26 2000 MSC: 0000, 1111

#### 27 1. Introduction

The full understanding and modeling of partially saturated granular as-28 semblies have been a great challenge for both scientists and engineers (Sheng, 20 2011; Laloui and Nuth, 2009) over almost a century now. In fact, pore fluid 30 can somehow be seen as a double-edged sword because a small amount of 31 water can only strengthen unsaturated materials until a certain point. As 32 the water content exceeds a certain threshold, capillary bridges merge within 33 the material to lead to a strength reduction and an eventual collapse at high 34 water saturation. This phenomenon is reminiscent of the sandcastle problem 35 that provides a universal example of partially saturated granular material 36 with varying water content. 37

The stress/deformation/failure characteristics of a given soil are usually governed by the effective stress tensor,  $\sigma'$ , which relates to the transport of forces at the particle contacts within the solid skeleton. The effective stress derives from the celebrated Terzaghi's principle which was first proposed in 1925 for fully saturated conditions, and reads in tensorial form as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - u_w \boldsymbol{I} \tag{1}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the total stress tensor,  $u_w$  is the water pore pressure, and I is the identity matrix. It took almost three decades for addressing unsaturated soils when Bishop (1959) extended Terzaghi's effective stress principle as follows

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} - u_a \boldsymbol{I}) + \chi (u_a - u_w) \boldsymbol{I}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $u_a$  is the air pressure,  $u_w$  the water pressure in capillary bridges, and  $\chi_7 \chi$  is some weighting parameter that controls the degree of saturation:  $\chi=0$ for dry soils, and  $\chi = 1$  for saturated soils, to recover Eq. (1).

Previous studies have suggested empirical relations of  $\chi$  in terms of  $u_a$  and 49  $u_w$  (Khalili and Khabbaz, 1998; Russell and Khalili, 2006). In addition, arti-50 ficial neural networks have been successfully trained to predict the value of  $\chi$ 51 (Lee et al., 2003; Ajdari et al., 2012). Furthermore, other numerical methods 52 were carried out to estimate  $\chi$ , for instance, (Yuan et al., 2018; Liu et al., 53 2020) using 2PFV-DEM and DEM-Fabonnacci-Lattice points discretization, 54 respectively. Moreover, numerous experimental, analytical, numerical, and 55 empirical approaches were used to recover the entire Soil-Water Character-56 istic Curve (SWCC) (Fredlund et al., 1997; Delenne et al., 2015; Fredlund 57 and Xing, 1994; Meskini-Vishkaee et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2021; Pedroso and 58 Williams, 2011; Li, 2005; Hosseini et al., 2022; Yuan and Chareyre, 2022). In 59 fact, this is the physics of pore water and air entry and drainage, including 60 electrochemical effects that control the SWCC. Recently, Wan et al. (2019); 61 Pouragha et al. (2021) have addressed this issue by using a probabilistic ap-62 proach to recover pore size distribution from grain size distribution and the 63 physics of pore filling or drainage. 64

<sup>65</sup> Several multiscale attempts were performed to determine total and effec-<sup>66</sup> tive stress tensors within partially saturated granular assemblies using ho<sup>67</sup> mogenization technique (Wan et al., 2015; Nuth and Laloui, 2008; Vlahinić
<sup>68</sup> et al., 2011; Scholtes et al., 2009; Farahnak et al., 2021). It must be noted
<sup>69</sup> that most of the works carried out were only applied to low degrees of satura<sup>70</sup> tion in the pendular regime where only a distinct water bridge exists between
<sup>71</sup> a pair of grains.

Small granular assemblies (doublets, triplets, and quadruplets) have been 72 frequently investigated in the literature for exploring fundamental proper-73 ties of capillary bridges (Mielniczuk et al., 2018; Gagneux and Millet, 2014; 74 Benseghier et al., 2020; Miot et al., 2021; Younes et al., 2022; Wang et al., 75 2017; Di Renzo et al., 2020). Moreover, numerous studies have been con-76 ducted to understand the behavior of partially saturated media at the scale 77 of a Representative Elementary Volume (REV). For instance, Molecular Dy-78 namics has been used to model polydispersed particles in 3D conditions to 79 investigate the shear strength of a partially saturated medium (Richefeu 80 et al., 2006). The capillary forces were computed based on the interparti-81 cle distances separating the grains. In spite of the simplicity of the method, 82 good agreement was found with theoretical experimental data regarding shear 83 strength properties. The same method was also applied by Soulie et al. (2006) 84 to address an axial compression test. Later, Richefeu et al. (2008) carried 85 out 3D-DEM simulations to study shear tests in unsaturated granular media. 86 The capillary forces were taken into account within contacts between spher-87 ical grains through a contact law supplemented with a capillary force law. 88 This latter is calculated based on an explicit function relating the volume of 89 liquid bridge between a pair of particles to the gap separating them. A good 90 agreement between experimental data and the model was reported in their 91

92 work.

Recently, Duriez and Wan (2016) have modeled unsaturated granular as-93 semblies using DEM. Capillary bridges were incorporated in DEM calcula-94 tions by numerically solving the Young-Laplace Equation (YLE) via Finite 95 Differences to compute resulting capillary forces between pairs of grains. The 96 authors highlighted the impact of small degrees of saturation on the mechan-97 ical response of soil subjected to triaxial tests. It must be noted that in the 98 aforementioned studies, only low degrees of saturation with isolated capillary 99 bridges were investigated because their coalescence could not be handled by 100 none of the numerical methods. 101

To overcome the above-mentioned limitation, several methods were pro-102 posed by other researchers. For instance, Delenne et al. (2013, 2015) have 103 used 2D Single Component Shan-Chen LBM model along with Molecular Dy-104 namics to investigate the ensuing apparent cohesive strength for a wide range 105 of degrees of saturation. However, in the latter work, grain particles were 106 fixed, supposing that capillary forces arising from the interstitial fluids are 107 balanced by contact forces between the solid particles. Moreover, it has re-108 cently been proven in Benseghier et al. (2022) that the numerical constraints 109 proposed by the Shan-Chen LBM model as well as the Carnahan-Starling 110 Equation Of State (EOS) for the temperature are inappropriate. In fact, 111 the latter EOS is thermodynamically consistent only for high temperatures 112  $T > 0.7 T_{\rm C}$  where  $T_{\rm C}$  is defined as the critical temperature<sup>1</sup> which is equal to 113  $T_{\rm C} = 282.9^{\circ}$ C, which is non-physical (Huang et al., 2011, 2015). 114

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The critical temperature is a temperature below which a liquid and its vapor coexist.

Under fully saturated conditions, Yang et al. (2019) have performed a test 115 case of granular collapse in water using the LBM method coupled with DEM. 116 Furthermore, Liu et al. (2020) have used a model that consists of using DEM 117 coupled with Fabonnacci-Point Element discretization of the capillary bridge 118  $cap^{2}$  to compute capillary forces. They were able to model capillary bridges 119 for a wide range of degrees of saturation. Nevertheless, it is important to 120 note that in the latter work, even though high values of degrees of saturation 121 were reported, the coalescence of capillary bridges was not possible. 122

Melnikov et al. (2016) have simulated triaxial tests for mono-dispersed 123 unsaturated media for high values of degrees of saturation using Contact 124 Dynamics (CD) method to model the contacts between spherical grains, cou-125 pled with a Numerical Energy Minimization (NEM) software for accounting 126 air-water interfaces and the associated capillary forces. They highlighted the 127 impact of air-water capillary interfaces on the mechanical behavior of unsat-128 urated media subjected to different water contents. However, using the NEM 129 technique, one should select the shape of clusters (trimer, tetrahedral, hep-130 tamer, pentamer and large) based on defined criteria (Melnikov et al., 2015). 131 Therefore, re-meshing of clusters must be done once when they change shape. 132 In spite of the numerous studies conducted by many authors, there is 133 still the need for a numerical tool that can model the dynamics of capillary 134 bridges merging or splitting when grains move within a granular assembly at 135 high degrees of saturation. 136

137

To fill this literature gap, this paper investigates the effect of capillary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Liquid-solid interface.

bridges and the related grain displacements in a partially saturated medium composed of multiple polydispersed solid rigid spherical grains within a dynamic network topology of capillary bridges where the latter are allowed to merge or split, among others. In particular, the *pendular* and *funicular* regimes will be investigated to demonstrate that capillary forces can give rise to an *apparent cohesion* in a wet granular assembly and to also prove that granular materials become *cohesionless* in the so-called *capillary* regime.

With the above-mentioned in mind, we propose a 3D GPU phase-field 145 LBM model to compute the dynamics of capillary bridges and associated 146 forces (Younes et al., 2022). These are then coupled with DEM simula-147 tions using the software YADE (Smilauer et al., 2021) which computes the 148 movement of grains under forces that operate between themselves following 149 Newton's second law of motion. It must be pointed out that a thorough val-150 idation of the LBM model was conducted by Younes et al. (2022), whereas 151 the code YADE is a reference open-source DEM software. 152

The paper is organized as follows. First, a brief presentation of the phase-153 field LBM as well as the DEM model used is given. Then, a section is 154 dedicated to the DEM-LBM coupling algorithm, including the synchroniza-155 tion between the two numerical methods. Finally, the DEM-LBM coupled 156 computation model is used to simulate unsaturated granular assemblies for 157 a large range of saturation in order to determine the evolution of the mean 158 capillary stress and matric suction during crossing the various regimes: from 159 pendular, funicular to capillary regimes. 160

#### <sup>161</sup> 2. Proposed Numerical Models

In this paper, the phase-field-based model is adopted where Navier-Stokes 162 Equation (NSE) coupled with Allen-Cahn Equation (ACE) are solved using 163 the Lattice Boltzmann Method. The modeling of partially saturated media as 164 a multiphasic system requires simulating capillary interfaces separating gas 165 from the liquid which are sharp. However, to avoid numerical instabilities, it 166 is preferable to use a regularized interface with a finite width W, as shown 167 in Figure 1(a). A phase field variable  $\phi(\xi)$  is conveniently introduced as in 168 Figure 1(b) to determine which is the distinctive phase, whereas within the 169 transition region within W, the phase is rather smooth. 170

In essence, the ACE allows us to compute the evolution of the phase field 171  $\phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ , where  $\mathbf{r}$  and t are position and time variables, respectively. Herein, 172 the phase parameter  $\phi(\mathbf{r},t)$  is equal to 1 in the liquid phase, 0 in the gas, 173 and represents the interface for  $\phi \in [0; 1]$  as a diffuse interface zone where the 174 two phases coexist. As such, the ACE provides an implicit tracking of the 175 interface which makes it suitable for problems where the interface motion 176 depends on external conditions such as wetting (Liang et al., 2018, 2019; 177 Younes et al., 2022). 178

In this section, the air-water capillary interfaces modeling will be presented. The capillary force computation technique will then be briefly introduced; see Younes et al. (2022) for more details, especially the wetting condition. Finally, the Discrete Element Method will also be briefly reviewed for completeness.

#### 184 2.1. Allen-Cahn and modified Navier-Stokes equations

The time evolution of the phase-field variable,  $\phi$ , which describes the evolution of the interface is given by the Allen-Cahn Equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\phi \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [M(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi - \lambda \boldsymbol{n})]$$
(3)

where  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is the fluid velocity, M the interface mobility,  $\boldsymbol{n}$  the interface unit normal vector, and  $\lambda$  a parameter related to the interface thickness.

Equation (3) is derived from the classical advection equation in which the velocity is decomposed into two components: (i) advected external fluid velocity  $\boldsymbol{u}$ , and (ii) the normal interface speed  $\boldsymbol{u}_n$ . The first component is usually determined from the Navier-Stokes equation, whereas the second, driven by the thermodynamics constraints, is taken to be proportional to the interface curvature through mobility such that  $\boldsymbol{u}_n = M\kappa\boldsymbol{n}$  where  $\kappa$  is the curvature (Sun and Beckermann, 2007; Chiu and Lin, 2011).

In the ACE (3), the unit normal vector to the interface  $\boldsymbol{n}$  as seen in Fig. 197 1(a) is defined as:

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi|}.\tag{4}$$



Figure 1: Definition of the regularized interface whose thickness is W and  $\phi \in [0; 1]$ . The  $\xi$  axis is defined as the normal to the interface.

Thus, the interface profile at equilibrium, shown in Figure 1(b), is expressed as

$$\phi^{\rm eq}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \tanh\left(\frac{2\xi}{W}\right) \right] \tag{5}$$

where  $\xi$  is the local abscissa perpendicular to the interface as shown in Figure 1(a).

The parameter  $\lambda$  is then defined as the derivative of  $\phi^{\text{eq}}$  with respect to  $\xi$ , i.e.:

$$\lambda = |\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi|^{\text{eq}} = \phi_{\xi}^{\prime\text{eq}} = \frac{4\phi(1-\phi)}{W}.$$
(6)

To consider viscous, immiscible, incompressible two-phase fluid flow, Navier-Stokes equation is herein augmented with gravitational forces and, most importantly, a surface tension force term  $F_s$  as

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}\right) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} p + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[\rho \nu \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}^{T}\right)\right] + \boldsymbol{F}_{s} + \boldsymbol{B}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$
(7)

where  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is the fluid velocity,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity, p is the hydrodynamic pressure, and  $\boldsymbol{B}$  is the body force.

The fluid density field  $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$  depends on the phase, and hence can be simply described as a linear interpolation between liquid and gas densities  $\rho_l$ and  $\rho_g$ , i.e.

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = \phi(\rho_l - \rho_g) + \rho_g \tag{8}$$

<sup>212</sup> which links Navier-Stokes equation to Allen-Cahn equation.

The other parameter coupling ACE to NSE is the surface tension term  $F_s$ where a potential form is postulated as in Liang et al. (2018, 2019); Younes et al. (2022); Fakhari and Bolster (2017), i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{F}_s = \mu_\phi \boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi \tag{9}$$

where  $\mu_{\phi}$  is the chemical potential defined as the minimum of the mixing energy (Younes et al., 2022; Penrose and Fife, 1990):

$$\mu_{\phi} = 4\beta\phi(\phi - 1)\left(\phi - \frac{1}{2}\right) - k\phi_{\xi}^{\prime\prime} \tag{10}$$

where k and  $\beta$  are constants related to the surface tension  $\gamma$  and the interface thickness W, i.e.:

$$k = \frac{3}{2}\gamma W; \quad \beta = \frac{12\gamma}{W}.$$
 (11)

It is worth noting that equilibrium is established whenever the chemical potential  $\mu_{\phi}$  vanishes (Younes et al., 2022; Penrose and Fife, 1990). As such,  $\phi_{eq}$  can be deduced as the solution of the differential equation (10) with  $\mu_{\phi}$ equal to zero.

#### 224 2.2. Associated Lattice Boltzmann models

To solve both Allen-Cahn Equation (3) and Navier-Stokes Equations (7), the Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM) is adopted using two Probability Density Functions (PDFs),  $g_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $h_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  which correspond to ACE and NSE, respectively. In this work, the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision operator is used (Liang et al., 2018, 2019; Younes et al., 2022) such that

$$g_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{c}_{\alpha}\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = g_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_g} \left[ g_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) - g_{\alpha}^{eq}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \right] + \Delta t G_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \quad (12)$$

230

$$h_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r} + \boldsymbol{c}_{\alpha}\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = h_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) - \frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{h}} \left[ h_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) - h_{\alpha}^{eq}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \right] + \Delta t H_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \quad (13)$$

where  $\tau_g$  and  $\tau_h$  are the relaxation times (expressed in lattice units) for  $g(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and  $h(\mathbf{r}, t)$  probability density functions respectively;  $G_{\alpha}$  and  $H_{\alpha}$  are the source terms of ACE and NSE, respectively. Finally,  $\mathbf{c}_{\alpha}$  are the discrete velocities, which depend on the discretization scheme.

The main purpose of the LBM is to retrieve the macroscopic variables based on the PDFs, e.g., the phase-field parameter  $\phi$ , density  $\rho$ , and fluid velocity  $\boldsymbol{u}$ , among others. It turns out that the phase-field parameter  $\phi$  is given by:

$$\phi = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}.$$
 (14)

<sup>239</sup> Once  $\phi$  is determined, Eq. (8) is used to calculate the fluid density  $\rho$ . <sup>240</sup> Then, the fluid velocity is calculated as follows:

$$\rho \boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{c}_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( \mu_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi + \boldsymbol{B} \right).$$
(15)

As for the boundary conditions, a wetting condition is applied to each particle in order to impose the contact angle  $\theta$ . The second boundary condition is the classical Bounce-Back condition. Readers can refer to Younes et al. (2022) for more details about the phase-field-based model as well as the boundary conditions (wetting and bounce-back conditions) used in this paper.

#### 247 2.3. Numerical calculation of capillary forces

As already established in Younes et al. (2022), integrating the appropriate forces exerted by the fluid onto the solid particle leads to the so-called capillary force. For any capillary bridge shape, the capillary force acting on a domain  $\Omega$  is given as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{F}}_{f} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f} \boldsymbol{n}_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}S + \gamma \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{m} \delta_{\mathrm{s}}(\xi) \mathrm{d}S$$
(16)

where  $\sigma_f = -pI + \tau_{\mu}$  is the fluid stress with I as the identity tensor,  $p_{1}$ is the pressure field, and  $\tau_{\mu}$  is the viscous stress tensor,  $n_{\Omega}$  is the outward unit normal vector of the domain  $\Omega$ ,  $\gamma$  is the surface tension,  $\delta_s(\xi)$  is the 'smooth' Dirac function of the so-called smooth fluid-fluid interface, and mis the tangent vector of the fluid-fluid interface as shown in Figure 2. More details about the discretization of the Eq. (16) can be found in Younes et al. (2022).

#### 259 2.4. Discrete Element Method (DEM)

Solid grains are modeled as *rigid* spherical polydispersed particles from the open-source software YADE based on the Discrete Element Method (DEM) (Šmilauer et al., 2021). The method was originally established by Cundall and Strack (1979), with particles allowed to overlap at contacts and interact through contact laws. The elastic-frictional contact law is applied between overlapping grains. As such, a normal *repulsive* force  $F_n$  is created such that:

$$||\boldsymbol{F}_n|| = k_n \delta_n \tag{17}$$



Figure 2: Schematic showing the integration domain  $\Omega$ , its normal vector pointing outward  $n_{\Omega}$  and the tangent vector to the liquid-gas interface m.

where  $\delta_n$  is the normal overlapping distance, and  $k_n$  is the normal stiff-266 ness depending on the radii of particles  $R^{(i)}$  and their stiffness E:  $k_n =$ 267  $2ER^{(1)}R^{(2)}/(R^{(1)}+R^{(2)})$ . Additionally, during overlapping, a tangential force 268  $\boldsymbol{F}_t$  is generated which is also proportional to the product of the relative tan-269 gential displacement  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_t$  and the tangential stiffness  $k_t$ . The stiffness fraction 270  $\alpha$  is defined as the ratio of the tangential stiffness to its normal counterpart 271  $(\alpha = k_t/k_n)$ . The polydispersed grain parameters of the REV are summa-272 rized in Table 1. 273

Parameters	Values	Units
Density $\rho_s$	2,600	$kg.m^{-3}$
Stiffness $E$	110	MPa
Stiffness ratio $(\alpha)$	0.3	_
Inter-particle friction angle	30	0
$\{D_{\min}; D_{\max}\}$	$\{80; 108\}$	$\mu \mathrm{m}$
Number of particles	3,750	_

Table 1: YADE mechanical parameters for the grains used in Section 4

#### 274 3. Numerical Procedures

The DEM-LBM computations rely on an explicit coupling algorithm whereby the fluid and solid mechanics simulation modules run sequentially following a frequency that is modulated by the time scales of the different physics. Details of the algorithm will be discussed in a later sub-section.

We use an in-house LBM master code developed in CUDA C/C++ language for GPU computations, whereas the DEM code, YADE, is launched using a C++ command. The GPU-based code is found to largely alleviate the computational efforts. A 16GB GPU memory has been used and has been found to be just adequate for the size of the model herein presented.

#### 284 3.1. Initialization of the grain packing - YADE

The initialization of the spherical grains is done in YADE, using the *pack* package.

First, the creation of a cloud of grains as well as the walls on the six sides of a cubical sample is completed as shown in Figure 3(a). Then, an isotropic confining pressure step is carried out with  $\sigma^{\text{conf}} = 100$  kPa by moving the bounding walls to compress isotropically the granular assembly. Then, the walls move backward until  $\sigma^{\text{conf}}$  reaches 0 kPa as illustrated in Figure 3(b).



Figure 3: The sequence of steps for generating a polydispersed sample

#### <sup>292</sup> 3.2. Initialization of capillary bridges in LBM

Capillary bridges are initialized in the form of equally-sized water droplets 293 between each pair of grains (i, j) for which their separation distance  $D_{ij}$  is 294 less than a user-defined specific value  $\varepsilon$  as depicted in Figure 4(a). Next, 295 after running several LBM iterations with fixed grains, the spherical drops 296 evolve to form capillary bridges of realistic geometries involving more than 297 a pair of grains; see as seen in Figure 4(b). It is worth mentioning that the 298 number of LBM iterations to converge to a final liquid geometry depends on 299 several parameters, e.g. the droplet volume created at mid-distance between 300 two spherical grains and the value of the surface tension  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in lattice units. 301



Figure 4: (a) Initialization spherical drops at mid-distance between pair of grains. (b) Converged capillary bridges after several LBM iterations. In (a) the separation distance between particles A and B was larger than the allowed distance  $\varepsilon$ , therefore, no capillary bridge was initialized between these two grains.

#### 302 3.3. DEM-LBM coupling scheme

There are two points to be considered in this multiphysics coupling: first, the time scales involved in the processes are different, and second, the discretization scheme to solve each piece of physics leads to different time steps. The latter needs to be synchronized, while also preserving numerical stability and optimizing computational cost.

For numerical stability, the time step  $\Delta t_{\text{DEM}}$  in DEM simulations should be smaller than the critical time step:

$$\Delta t_{\rm crit} = \min_{i} \left( R^{(i)} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{\rm s}}{E}} \right). \tag{18}$$

Regarding the LBM time step, it is usually taken as 1 in lattice units. Using conversion coefficients as elaborated in Appendix A.1, it can be expressed 312 as:

$$\Delta t_{\rm LBM} = \sqrt{C_{\rho} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\gamma} C_{\rm L}^3}.$$
(19)

From Eq. (19), it can be seen that once  $C_{\rm L}$  and  $C_{\rho}$  are selected, the only parameter that controls the  $\Delta t_{\rm LBM}$  is the LBM surface tension  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in lattice units. Ideally, it is more convenient to maximize  $\Delta t_{\rm LBM}$  for numerical efficiency while also guaranteeing numerical stability. The pros and cons of large values  $\tilde{\gamma}$  are discussed in the following.

318 On one hand, the advantages are:

319

• <u>Faster simulations:</u>

Based on Eq. (15), when  $\tilde{\gamma}$  increases, the interface velocity rises.

#### • <u>Reasonable relaxation times:</u>

Based on Eq. (A.7), when  $\tilde{\gamma}$  increases,  $C_t$  increases, which makes the relaxation times  $\tilde{\tau}_h^{\ell,g}$  larger than  $\widetilde{\Delta t}/2 = 0.5$  and crucial for numerical stability (Krüger et al., 2017).

On the other hand, the disadvantages of large values of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  are:

• <u>Reasonable LBM velocities:</u>

According to Krüger et al. (2017), the lattice Mach number should be low (Ma  $\ll$  1). Moreover, LBM fluid velocities are related to  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . Consequently, the larger the LBM surface tension  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , the larger the velocities, making the Ma larger which is repugnant when using LBM.

One has to consider the above-mentioned points when setting up the value of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  to achieve the best computational performance of the coupling, while respecting numerical stability of both LBM and DEM time steps restrictions. Next, to ease the synchronization between these two time steps, the ratio between them must be such that

$$\frac{m}{n} = \frac{\Delta t_{\rm LBM}}{\Delta t_{\rm DEM}} \Rightarrow n\Delta t_{\rm LBM} = m\Delta t_{\rm DEM}$$
(20)

where n and m are integers.

Figure 5 highlights at which iteration the exchange of data between LBM and DEM takes place. Note that the DEM and LBM algorithms run sequentially, hence, during the LBM cycle, the grains are fixed.

However, another issue can arise regarding the coupling for two main reasons:

• Convergence of spherical droplets to capillary bridges:

The capillary bridge is initialized as a spherical drop, and since we focus on the steady state, only the converged capillary bridges are considered<sup>3</sup>. Thus, 1 single LBM iteration will not be sufficient for the convergence of capillary bridges.

• Computational time performance:

Data exchange between LBM and YADE is a time-consuming procedure. Therefore, making information exchange for every single LBM iteration will drastically reduce the efficiency of the coupling.

To overcome this issue, it is convenient to multiply both iteration numbers (n and m) by a coefficient  $n_{ratio}$ , in order: (1) to make the capillary bridge converge to its equilibrium state between two synchronization points,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The viscous effect is not taken into account in this study. Readers are invited to consult Younes et al. (2022) for more details.

and (2) to avoid huge computation time in exchanging data between LBM
and YADE. Therefore, DEM and LBM numbers of iterations needed for the
simulations are:

$$N_{\rm LBM} = n_{\rm ratio} \times n$$
 $N_{\rm DEM} = n_{\rm ratio} \times m.$ 
(21)



Figure 5: Timelines of both LBM and DEM simulations and information exchange procedure between LBM code and YADE



Figure 6: Flowchart showing the coupling between LB and DE methods

Figure 6 presents the flowchart of the coupling between LBM and DEM. The first steps correspond to the initialization of a cloud of particles in YADE to be then passed to LBM in order to initialize spherical drops at the middistance of the grains. Next, multiple LBM iterations will be run until the convergence of all capillary bridges. Capillary forces are then computed and used in YADE to couple the DEM-LBM calculations.

The main steps of the DEM are herein summarized: (a) contact forces are determined, (b) Newton's Second Law is applied for each grain to update the velocities of the grains, and (c) particle positions are updated. Then, if the DEM iteration is less than  $N_{\text{DEM}}$ , the DEM algorithm will return back to step (a). Otherwise, the new positions of particles are saved.

Finally, the updated grain positions are used to start the LBM algorithm. 368 The main steps in the LBM algorithm include: (1) wetting condition, (2)369 collision, (3) streaming, (4) Bounce-Back boundary condition, and (5) deter-370 mination of macroscopic variables. Then, the synchronization condition is 371 verified. If the number of iterations is less than  $N_{\text{LBM}}$ , the cycle will return 372 to step (1). Otherwise, capillary forces  $F^{cap}$  are computed for each grain. 373 Next, capillary forces are read in YADE and applied to grains and back and 374 forth iteratively. 375

As mentioned far back in the introduction, the LBM and DEM models have been separately validated. Then, the only validation concerns the coupling of the two models which is rather challenging, given the scale of the problem. Experimental results that explore the small scale in relation to the dynamics of particles and the associated topology of liquid bridges are scarce, and very difficult to realize.

In what follows in the paper, we will be conducting an *indirect* validation of the coupling aspect by investigating the capillary stress versus water saturation relationship in an assembly of wetted particles. The numerical results show a departure from Bishop's relationship, which is validated by available data in the literature.

#### <sup>387</sup> 4. Application to capillary water in a granular assembly

In this section, we apply the DEM-LBM coupling model to simulate the mechanical behavior of a granular assembly composed of 3,750 spherical grains following a uniform grain size distribution such that  $D_{\text{max}}/D_{\text{min}} = 1.35$ with  $D_{\text{max}} = 108 \,\mu\text{m}$ , and  $D_{\text{min}} = 80 \,\mu\text{m}$ . The DEM parameters were sum<sup>392</sup> marized in Table 1.

One may ask whether the number of grains (3,750) is sufficient to justify the requirements of a Representative Elementary Volume (REV). It turns out that for such a narrow grain size distribution where the grain diameters are limited, the chosen number of grains is deemed large enough for an REV at least in the dry and low saturation conditions. When the water cluster dimensions approach the sample dimension the concept of REV becomes more questionable, but this question is far beyond the scope of the paper.

The LBM domain size is  $N_x \times N_y \times N_z = 350 \times 350 \times 350$ , with a mesh size 400 of  $\Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-6}$  m, and an imposed contact angle of  $\theta = 25^{\circ}$  as a boundary 401 condition that remains constant throughout the entire simulation (Younes 402 et al., 2022). The air-water capillary interfaces are modeled with a surface 403 tension  $\gamma = 0.072 \text{ N.m}^{-1}$ . The critical time step based on Eq. (18) is  $\Delta t_{\text{crit}} =$ 404  $1.944 \times 10^{-7}$  s. The selected value of LBM surface tension is  $\tilde{\gamma} = 10$ . Using 405 Eq. (19), the LBM time step is  $\Delta t_{\text{LBM}} = 1.3176 \times 10^{-7}$  s. Therefore, the DEM 406 time step  $\Delta t_{\text{DEM}}$  is chosen equal to LBM time step (n = m = 1 from Section)407 3.3) along with  $n_{\rm ratio} = 50$ , and the ratio between DEM time step and the 408 critical one is  $\Delta t_{\rm DEM}/\Delta t_{\rm crit} = 0.6774$  which is reasonably high for not slowing 409 down DEM simulations significantly. Accordingly, the relaxation times of 410 water and air are  $\tilde{\tau}_h^{\ell} = 0.5158$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_h^g = 0.7846$ , respectively<sup>4</sup> (in lattice 411 units). Both relaxation times are larger than 0.5, which ensures numerical 412 stability (Krüger et al., 2017). 413

414

From a mechanical point of view, and according to Scholtès et al. (2009);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Water and air viscosities are  $\nu^{\text{water}} = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$  and  $\nu^{\text{air}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ , respectively, at a temperature of  $T = 20^{\circ} \text{C}$ .

Scholtes et al. (2009); Duriez and Wan (2016, 2017); Duriez et al. (2017), the total stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{tot}}$  can be decomposed into the sum of the so-called contact stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{cont}}$  that accounts for grain-to-grain interactions and the capillary stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{cap}}$  to take into account the effect of capillary bridges:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{tot}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cap}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cont}}$$
(22)

In the framework of micro-mechanics, the contact stress tensor is calculated via the Love-Weber stress tensor formula  $\sigma^{LW}$  expressed as follows (Love, 2013; Weber, 1966; Nicot et al., 2013; Duriez and Wan, 2016, 2017; Duriez et al., 2017)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cont}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{LW}} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{c} \boldsymbol{f}_{ij}^{c} \otimes \boldsymbol{\ell}_{ij}^{c}$$
(23)

where  $f_{ij}^c$  is the contact force between pair of grains (*i* and *j*) and  $\ell_{ij}^c$  is the branch vector from the center of *i* to that of *j*, and  $\otimes$  refers to dyadic product.

Previously, in Scholtès et al. (2009); Scholtes et al. (2009); Duriez and Wan (2016, 2017); Duriez et al. (2017) the capillary stress  $\sigma^{cap}$  has been computed directly based on capillary forces using a Love-Weber-like expression. However, this relation between capillary forces and capillary stresses applies exclusively to the *pendular* regime where isolated capillary bridges only exist between pairs of particles.

In this work, the involved degree of saturation range extends the *pendular* regime into the *funicular* and the *capillary* ones as the isolated liquid bridges coalesce, making it complicated to calculate the capillary stress using the <sup>436</sup> virial of force theorem<sup>5</sup>. Instead, we herein compute capillary stresses  $\sigma^{cap}$ <sup>437</sup> indirectly using Eq. (22), i.e.:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{cap}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{tot}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{cont}}.$$
 (24)

As a matter of fact, it is possible to compute the capillary stress tensor as reconstructed from the topology of the liquid phase in the pores, including the distribution of wetted grain surfaces and air-water, air, solid-water interfaces as derived in Duriez et al. (2017); but this is outside the scope of this work.

#### 442 4.1. Dry sample

Firstly, the sample is initialized as shown in Figure 7(a) and the lateral 443 walls are then removed. As there is no capillary water within the sample, 444 the latter readily collapses to form a pile of grains after a few seconds as 445 illustrated in Figure 7(b). The angle of repose of the pile approaches the 446 macroscopic friction angle of the sample (Al-Hashemi and Al-Amoudi, 2018). 447 As the sample is dry, the capillary stress is necessarily zero. Moreover, 448 the computed mean contact  $stress^6$  also gives an extremely low value of ap-449 proximately -0.46 Pa (here negative means compression), corresponding to 450 self-weight of the pile. Therefore, based on Eq. (22), the total stress tensor 451 is also approximately zero. 452

#### 453 4.2. Wet sample

<sup>454</sup> Next, the same sample as in Figure 7(a) is considered, but under unsat-<sup>455</sup> urated conditions. Contrary to Figure 7(b), Figure 8(b) shows that despite

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This theorem consists in replacing contact forces in Eq. (23) by capillary forces. <sup>6</sup>Mean contact stress is defined as  $\frac{1}{3}$ Tr( $\sigma^{\text{cont}}$ )



Figure 7: (a) Initial configuration of the dry sample confined within a box of 6 walls. (b) Collapse of the dry sample when lateral walls are removed.

removing the lateral walls, the partially saturated specimen  $(S_r \approx 54\%)$  does not collapse, thanks to capillary forces just like in the case of a self-standing wet sandcastle at the beach. Figure 8(b) readily demonstrates the capillary effects at hand.

#### 460 4.2.1. Initial degree of saturation setup

It is certainly of interest to numerically investigate the effects of capillarity at different degrees of saturation. This is, in our numerical experiment, we initialize the granular assembly starting with a known volume of water as per the procedure outlined in Section 3.2, and let the system reach equilibrium both hydraulically and mechanically under zero external stress through many cycles of coupled DEM-LBM computations.

<sup>467</sup> A total number of 10,877 spherical droplets are initialized between par-<sup>468</sup> ticles at a cutoff distance of  $\varepsilon = 5 \,\mu\text{m}$  which corresponds to approximately



Figure 8: (a) Initial configuration of a wet cubical sample confined within six walls. (b) Stable wet sample even after removing the lateral walls. The degree of saturation in this example is  $S_r \approx 54\%$ .

<sup>469</sup> 10% of the maximum particle radius in the assembly. It is worth noting that <sup>470</sup> several simulations were carried out for different values of  $\varepsilon$ , and it has been <sup>471</sup> found that the results are not influenced by  $\varepsilon$ . Also, by growing or reduc-<sup>472</sup> ing the size of the initialized spherical droplet radius we thereby control the <sup>473</sup> increase or decrease of the degree of saturation in our numerical exercise.

Finally, a variety of interesting results will next be explored to establish how both matric suction *s* and the capillary stress tensor evolve as a function of the degree of saturation.

#### 4.2.2. Matric suction and Soil Water Characteristic Curve

For a given initial volume of water in the granular sample, we can readily calculate the degree of saturation as well as the volumetric water content, knowing the porosity. On the other hand, determining the suction operating at the sample level is more complicated, but can be estimated as the average of all local suctions  $s^i$  at capillary menisci weighted by their respective volumes as in Delenne et al. (2015), i.e.

$$s = \frac{1}{V_w} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{cap}} \overbrace{(u_a - u_w^i)}^{s^i} V_w^i$$
(25)

where  $V_w$  is the total water volume, N<sup>cap</sup> is the number of capillary bridges,  $u_w^i$  is the pore water pressure within the  $i^{\text{th}}$  capillary bridge, and  $V_w^i$  is the volume of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  capillary bridge. Here, the air pressure  $u_a$  is negligible when compared to the negative water pressure  $u_w$  within the capillary bridges so that suction takes on a positive value.

Figure 9 shows the evolution of suction as computed from Eq. (25) when the sample is wetted at various degrees of saturations ( $S_r$  on the left y-axis) or volumetric water contents<sup>7</sup> ( $\theta_{\omega}$  on the right y-axis).

For low degrees of saturation which correspond to the *pendular* regime, the suction s is high, roughly equal to 13 kPa. As the degree of saturation increases, the suction drops drastically to reach a limiting value of  $s \approx 4$ kPa for  $S_r \in [15; 70]$  %. Thereafter,  $S_r$  reaches nearly  $S_r \approx 70$  % when a change in slope is observed at which point suction will tend to 0 as the level of saturation is being further increased.

The above description of the curve is essentially the so-called Soil Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC) which defines the water retention property of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The volumetric water content is defined as follows:  $\theta_{\omega} = \phi^p S_r$  where  $\phi^p$  is the sample porosity.



Figure 9: Degree of saturation and volumetric water content evolution in terms of the matric suction s of the present model. Van Genuchten (1980) model has been plotted for comparison.

<sup>500</sup> unsaturated soils. For comparison purposes, the numerical simulation data <sup>501</sup> has been fitted with the well-known Van Genuchten model given by

$$S_r = \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\alpha s)^n}\right]^m \tag{26}$$

where n [-] is the parameter responsible for the soil size distribution, m [-] is related to the symmetry of the retention curve, and  $\alpha$  [kPa<sup>-1</sup>] is the inverse of the suction at the Air Entry Value (AEV) (Matlan et al., 2014b; Oh and Lu, 2014; Matlan et al., 2014a; Fredlund and Xing, 1994).

The van Genuchten fitting parameters are found to be n = 7, m = 1.2302, and  $\alpha = 0.2739$  with the corresponding best fitted curve shown in Figure 9. Based on the statistical study reported in Matlan et al. (2014a) the values of  $\alpha$  range between -0.445 and 1.5020 kPa<sup>-1</sup> and those of *n* between 1.472 and 9.731 [-] for sandy soils. Interestingly, the van Genuchten parameters that fit our numerical SWCC fall within the range of sandy soils as a check for its consistency.

Recently, Hosseini et al. (2021) have studied the effect of porosity on the 513 SWCC. According to their findings, as the porosity increases, m increases 514 as well. For porosities within the range of 0.4 and 0.5, the corresponding 515 m values fall between 0.75 and 1.66. Therefore, the value of m = 1.2302516 obtained in our numerical simulations is also totally reasonable with the 517 numerical sample porosity being equal to 0.44. It must be noted that for 518 a saturation degree larger than 70%, our numerical findings deviate from 519 the van Genuchten model. This non-smoothness behavior is probably the 520 consequence of the dynamics of the air invading the water phase in the pores, 521 thus creating large changes in the water menisci topology. It is essential 522 to highlight that this non-smooth behavior likely arises from the dynamic 523 interaction between air invading into the water phase within the pores. As 524 a consequence, significant changes occur in the topology of water menisci. 525 This observation aligns with the experimental results of Matlan et al. (2014b) 526 regarding sandy soils which is indeed our case here— $D_{\min} = 80 \,\mu m > 50 \,\mu m$ 527 (Das, 2019). 528

The findings of this numerical experiment indicate that the proposed DEM-LBM model is capable of capturing one of the main features in unsaturated soils, i.e., the development of matric suction s and its variation with water saturation. In order to further evaluate the DEM-LBM model, the distinctive properties of the capillary stress tensor  $\sigma^{cap}$  will be next in<sup>534</sup> vestigated.

#### 535 4.2.3. Capillary stress tensor $\sigma^{cap}$

In the absence of the lateral walls with a total stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{tot}}$  being sensibly zero, the capillary stress still subsists following Eq. (22), i.e.:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{tot}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cap}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cont}} = \mathbf{0} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{tot}} \approx \mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cap}} = -\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cont}} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$
(27)

Since capillary forces are attractive in nature, they will tend to bring the particles closer to interpenetrate further, which will induce new repulsive forces. The particles then move such that an equilibrium state is finally reached when repulsive forces and attractive capillary forces are equal. Thus, the liquid bridge being in tension by virtue of surface tension forces, the effect of capillarity is tensile.

The following analyses will be based on the mean capillary stress<sup>8</sup>  $\sigma^{
m cap}$ defined as:

$$p^{\rm cap} = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\rm cap}).$$
 (28)

The computations in the DEM code YADE follow the continuum mechanics convention where compression is negative and tension is positive. Accordingly, a positive  $p^{cap}$  corresponds to the traction that confers the sample with an *apparent cohesion* under unconfined conditions.

Figure 10(a) shows the evolution of the mean capillary stress with time until equilibrium is reached for different degrees of saturation. From a compu-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Although not necessarily true in the most general case, the computed capillary stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{cap}}$  turned out to be nearly spherical (with  $\sigma_{xx} \approx \sigma_{yy} \approx \sigma_{zz}$ ) due to the initial arrangement of the solid particles and liquid bridges, cf. Appendix B.

tational viewpoint and for a given saturation, capillary forces  $f_{cap}$  calculated 552 from the topology of the capillary bridges from the LBM side enter the DEM 553 calculations in YADE as attractive forces. These, when applied to the par-554 ticles, will make them become closer and overlap so that repulsive contact 555 forces set up. The search for an equilibrium state, both mechanically and 556 hydraulically, eventually leads to the plateau shown in Figure 10(a). The 557 coupling between DEM-LBM calculations were described in detail in Section 558 3.3. 559

Generally speaking, the higher the degree of saturation with more liquid bridges pulling the grains together, the higher  $p^{\text{cap}}$  as well as the plateau. However, it can be observed from Figure 10(a) that the mean capillary stress value at the equilibrium state initially increases with the degree of saturation up to a certain value of  $S_r = 67.47\%$ , after which there is a drop for  $S_r =$ 72.77 %. This indicates that there must be a maximum value of  $p^{\text{cap}}$  for the range of  $S_r$  between 11.41% and 72.77%.

As a comparative note, the phenomenon of increased capillary stress can also be observed within a small granular assembly composed of three grains (Gagneux and Millet, 2016). Under such condition, it was found that the capillary force will increase significantly (about 30%) when capillary bridges merge to become one cluster, whereas they were three isolated capillary bridges before.



Figure 10: (a) The evolution of mean capillary stress  $p^{\text{cap}}$  [kPa] over time in DEM-LBM coupled simulations for selected values of degrees of saturation are shown, (b) Snapshots of the partially saturated samples at equilibrium for different values of  $S_r \in \{11.41; 67.47; 72.77; 83.35\}$  %.

<sup>573</sup> We next explore the evolution of  $p^{cap}$  as well as that of s in terms of <sup>574</sup> degree of saturation and volumetric water content  $\theta_{\omega}$  as plotted on the same <sup>575</sup> Figure 11(a). To explain the evolution of  $p^{cap}$  and s, a topology analysis <sup>576</sup> of capillary bridges and air bubbles is necessary. To avoid ambiguities, the <sup>577</sup> displayed numbering of labeled<sup>9</sup> air bubbles and capillary bridges, as shown <sup>578</sup> in Figures 11(b) and (c), respectively, is explained next. For example, for a <sup>579</sup> degree of saturation  $S_r = 11.41\%$ , the total number of capillary bridges is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The Flood-Fill algorithm is used to detect capillary bridges as well as air bubbles. Every air bubble and capillary bridge is labeled and given an ID as indicated in Figure 11(b) and (c) for air bubbles and capillary bridges, respectively.

<sup>580</sup> 2,088 and it would be impossible to display them all labeled with distinct <sup>581</sup> colors. We, therefore, show only the first 6 labeled ID's as cut-off value so <sup>582</sup> that capillary bridges ID's higher up to 2,088 are represented by the same <sup>583</sup> color (orange). The same labeling and coloring scheme is applied to air <sup>584</sup> bubbles in Figure 11(b).

Turning to point (1) which corresponds to  $S_r = 11.41$  %, it can be seen that the wet granular assembly contains an extensive network of air clusters together with a large number of capillary bridges as illustrated in Figures 11(b) and (c), respectively.

As the degree of saturation increases and reaches point (2) which corre-589 sponds to the peak of  $p^{\text{cap}}$  ( $S_r \approx 68 \%$ ), the isolated capillary bridges develop 590 into one big cluster, with plenty of trapped air bubbles within the capillary 591 bridge cluster. This particular point corresponds to the maximum curvature 592 in the SWCC where the suction s starts to decrease, as seen in Figure 11(a). 593 It is important to note that the granular system has only one large cluster 594 of capillary bridges from step (2) onward. For higher degrees of saturation 595 at (3) and (4), the originally continuous air phase dwindles with ubiquitous 596 trapped air bubbles, thus resulting in concurrent drops in suction and mean 597 capillary stress. 598



Figure 11: Mean capillary stress  $p^{\text{cap}}$  [kPa] based on Eq. (27) and suction s [kPa] in terms of degrees of saturation  $S_r$  and volumetric water content  $\theta_{\omega}$  at the bottom and top x-axis, respectively. (b) Snapshots of the distribution of air bubbles within the domain as the system is wetted for 4 different degrees of saturation  $S_r \in \{11.41; 67.47; 72.77; 83.35\}$  %. (c) Snapshots of capillary bridges within the granular media fog<sub>5</sub>2 different degrees of saturation  $S_r \in \{11.41; 67.47\}$  %.

These two aforementioned steps (3 & 4), in fact, correspond to the stage of AEV in SWCC for a path starting from a high saturation. The AEV stage occurs when the air starts filling the voids of the granular system. In order to provide a clearer picture, Figure 12 shows snapshots of a section taken in the middle of the specimen at various levels of saturation similar to those shown in Figure 11(b).



Figure 12: Snapshots of a section made in the middle of the sample at various degrees of saturation. Blue, gray, and dark gray colors correspond to water, air, and solid grains, respectively.

As can be seen, for high degrees of saturation, the sample contains one 605 extensively large interconnected water cluster engulfing isolated trapped air 606 bubbles which correspond to the *capillary*<sup>10</sup> regime, as shown in (4) at  $S_r =$ 607 83.35%. As the degree of saturation decreases, the air starts to infiltrate 608 through the specimen to become partially connected to the external air, as 609 seen in (3) and (2). These two latter configurations represent the *funicular* 610 regime. Lastly, for low degrees of saturation below (1): isolated and coalesced 611 capillary bridges can be found, and the air becomes one big interconnected 612 cluster which corresponds to the end of the *pendular* regime or the beginning 613 of the *funicular* regime. 614

#### 615 4.2.4. Capillary stress tensor and Bishop's equation

In light of the DEM-LBM simulations, it is tempting to revisit current 616 issues in unsaturated soil mechanics such as how the stresses are partitioned 617 to reflect the contribution of each of the phases. The existence of a single 618 effective stress which controls both skeleton deformation and failure of un-619 saturated soils is herein not addressed as it has been thoroughly discussed 620 in Duriez et al. (2018); Nuth and Laloui (2008); Laloui and Nuth (2009); 621 Scholtes et al. (2009); Vlahinić et al. (2011). However, the capillary stress 622 tensor will be analyzed to elucidate Bishop's stress equation. 623

As seen before, the capillary stress tensor is spherical, hence, the relation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The degree of saturation marking the onset of the capillary regime depends on the water spatial distribution in the sample. In laboratory experiments, air invades the pores from the sample boundaries. In this case, the capillary regime is likely to be observed for a wider range of saturation.

between the capillary stress tensor  $\sigma^{\text{cap}}$  and the suction s as a scalar can be simplified (Duriez et al., 2017) as such:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{cap}} = s S_r \boldsymbol{I}. \tag{29}$$

In fact, Eq. (29) represents a simplified version of Bishop's equation previously seen in Eq. (2) with the assumption that  $\chi = S_r$ , which is debatable and subject of controversy in the literature. On the other hand, in our DEM-LBM computations, the capillary stress tensor can certainly be deduced by simple subtraction of the contact stress tensor from the total stress one following Eq. (27).

Figure 13 gives the plot of  $p^{cap}$  as calculated from simplified Bishop's equation (Eq. (29)) and our DEM-LBM simulations (Eq. (27)) in terms of degrees of saturation  $S_r$  and volumetric water content  $\theta_{\omega}$  on the bottom and top x-axis of the figure, respectively.

As the granular assembly is being wetted, both mean capillary stresses will increase until a certain threshold ( $S_r \approx 68 \%$ ,  $\theta_{\omega} \approx 30 \%$ ) is reached beyond which the specimen starts to lose the effects of capillarity. We can observe that  $p^{\text{cap}}$  based on Bishop's simplified equation is always less than our computed values.



Figure 13: Mean capillary stresses  $p^{\text{cap}}$  [kPa] based on Eqs. (27) and (29) evolution in terms of degrees of saturation  $S_r$  [%] and volumetric water contents  $\theta_{\omega}$  [%].

Despite the same tendency displayed in both curves, they do not perfectly match, especially before the threshold is reached. The reason for this lies in the assumption:  $\chi = S_r$  noted earlier in Bishop's equation.

To investigate whether  $S_r$  and  $\chi$  coincide or not,  $\chi$  is back-calculated from our DEM-LBM computations for various degrees of saturation and suction values. Here, since the specimen is unconfined ( $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{tot}} = \mathbf{0}$ ), the capillary stress coincides with the negative of the contact stress tensor, and thus:

$$\chi = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{cont}})}{s}.$$
(30)

649 Several points should be noted:

- The effective stress parameter  $\chi$  can be generally defined as a tensor, but since in our work, all the stress tensors are diagonal and isotropic, mean values are considered, *i.e.*,  $\frac{1}{3}$ Tr( $\sigma$ );
- Eq. (30) is deduced from Eq. (2) which is formulated in the Soil Mechanics convention (compression > 0 and tension < 0). The mean contact stress is therefore positive,  $\frac{1}{3}$ Tr( $\sigma^{\text{cont}}$ ) > 0;

656 657 • The air pressure  $u_a$  is negligible with respect to the mean capillary stress.



Figure 14: The evolution of  $\chi$  in terms of  $S_r$ . Linear relation ( $\chi = S_r$ ) and Liu et al. (2020) results are plotted for comparison.

The back-calcualted  $\chi$  is then plotted against  $S_r$  as shown in Figure 14 where  $\chi$  and  $S_r$  almost never coincide proving why both  $\sigma^{cap}$  are not exactly similar in Figure 13. To insure that our findings are right on course, another numerical data set for  $\chi$  in terms of  $S_r$  (Liu et al., 2020) has been added to Figure 14.

Our findings and the ones determined by Liu et al. (2020) are generally in 663 good agreement. Clearly, the two numerical results do not line up perfectly, 664 especially for low levels of degrees of saturation  $S_r < 10 \%$ . For this particular 665 range, and contrary to our findings, Liu et al. (2020) have found that  $\chi < S_r$ . 666 The above discrepancy can be attributed to the difference between particle 667 size distributions used in this work and theirs. In fact, the grain size used 668 in Liu et al. (2020) is roughly four times smaller than the one we have used 669 in this study, which results in changes not only in porosity but also the 670 attendant capillary effects—the smaller the particles, the greater the capillary 671 effect. Moreover, and according to Bishop and Blight (1963), for clayey 672 soils the effective stress parameter  $\chi$  is smaller than the  $S_r$  not only for low 673 saturation but even up to approximately 50 % (Talybont Clay). In contrast, 674 silty-sandy soils lead to  $\chi < S_r$  only for low degrees of saturation  $S_r < 20$ 675 %—see Vaich moraine soil in Bishop and Blight (1963). Hence,  $\chi$  tends to 676 remain greater than  $S_r$  as particle size increases, which renders our results 677 reasonable since our particle size is greater than the one used in Liu et al. 678 (2020).679

#### 680 5. Conclusion

In this work, a DEM-LBM algorithm is developed in order to investi-681 gate the characteristics—dynamics of liquid bridges and capillarity effects— 682 of partially saturated granular assemblies over a large range of degrees of 683 saturation  $S_r$ . Regarding the Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM), a GPU 684 phase-field-based model is adopted to solve both Allen-Cahn and Navier-685 Stokes equations to capture the presence of capillary interfaces between liquid 686 and gas phases. As such, the proposed LBM approach successfully handles 687 the dynamics of isolated as well as coalesced capillary bridges, and most 688 importantly calculates capillary forces throughout *pendular*, *funicular* and 689 *capillary* regimes. 690

<sup>691</sup> Concerning the Discrete Element Method (DEM), the open-source YADE <sup>692</sup> is used, accounting for contacts between rigid spherical grains. The key point <sup>693</sup> of the coupling between the two methods lies in the judicious integration of <sup>694</sup> capillary forces computed via LBM into the DEM calculation cycles.

The predictive capabilities of the DEM-LBM algorithm are investigated in the modeling of unsaturated granular assemblies. First, the variation of suction in terms of the degree of saturation or volumetric water content has been qualitatively recovered and compared to van Genuchten model.

Furthermore, when the sample is wetted at various degrees of saturation, we recover the classic result of a self-standing sandcastle under no confinement, whereas an unconfined cubical dry sample readily collapses. Another finding is the elucidation of the mean capillary stress for several degrees of saturation. The obtained results demonstrate that the mean capillary stress only increases with the degree of saturation up to a certain level  $\approx 68\%$  after which there is a drop, explainable by the dynamics of water clusters filling the void space. Next, we also have convincingly demonstrated that the so-called Bishop's parameter  $\chi$  does not coincide with the degree of saturation  $S_r$ , but takes a form that can be readily calculated numerically and that coincides with experimental results.

To conclude, the DEM-LBM coupling seems to be a viable tool to study 710 partially saturated media over different regimes—pendular, funicular, and 711 capillary, traversing the different transitions in a continuous manner and 712 elucidating the dynamics of the different phases at the pore scale. Further 713 studies should address the micro-mechanical behavior of partially saturated 714 granular assemblies when subjected to drying/wetting cycles to investigate 715 in extenso the merging of capillary bridges with hysteretic phenomena and 716 their influence on the capillary mean stress. 717

#### 718 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Nouvelle-Aquitaine Region for funding the StabDigue project, to the IRN Geomech network for facilitating valuable discussions, and to the French National Centre for Space Studies (CNES) for having supported this work.

#### 723 CRediT authorship contribution statement

N. Younes: Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original
draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Methodology, Investigation. A. Wautier: Conceptualization, Investigation, Review & editing,
Supervision, Funding acquisition. R. Wan: Conceptualization, Writing –

review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Project administration.
O.Millet: Conceptualization, Review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition. F. Nicot: Conceptualization, Review &
editing, Supervision. R. Bouchard: Writing – original draft, Visualization.

#### 732 Appendix A. LBM parameters

#### 733 Appendix A.1. Conversion units coefficients

In LBM simulations, the mesh size and the time step are supposed to be equal to 1 in lattice units (lu) to ease numerical implementation. Therefore, a rescaling between physical and lattice units must be established to make the simulations consistent with the physics, because all the LBM parameters are given in lu.

For the sake of simplicity, the following convention will be used: all the parameters represented with a tilde  $\Box$  are expressed in lattice units<sup>11</sup> 'lu', and without the tilde represents the physical units<sup>12</sup>  $\Box$ . For instance,  $\widetilde{\Delta x} = 1$ lu and  $\Delta x = 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

Solving ACE and NSE equations with LBM involves the use of only three physical units; length, time and mass. Therefore, the conversion between lattice and physical units can be derived from the re-scaling of lengths, time, and densities defined as follows

$$\Delta x = C_{\rm L} \underbrace{\widetilde{\Delta x}}_{=1} \qquad \Delta t = C_t \underbrace{\widetilde{\Delta t}}_{=1} \qquad \rho = C_\rho \widetilde{\rho} \qquad (A.1)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The lattice unit 'lu' will be omitted in the rest of the paper.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ All the of the physical parameter values will be written with their associated units.

where the units involved are respectively meters (m), seconds (s), and kilogram per cubic meter kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Eq. (A.1) gives the so-called canonical conversion factors. The first step to determine the conversion coefficient of any given physical parameter is to link its unit to the three canonical factors. For instance, the dimensional analysis of the surface tension  $\gamma$  gives:

$$[\gamma] = N.m^{-1} = \overbrace{kg.m.s^{-2}}^{N}.m^{-1} = \frac{kg}{m^3}.m^3.s^{-2}.$$
 (A.2)

Thus, the conversion between surface tension  $\gamma$  in lattice and physical units yields to:

$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\gamma}} = C_{\rho} \frac{C_{\rm L}^3}{C_t^2}.$$
 (A.3)

<sup>750</sup> If one is interested in computing the physical time step within an LBM <sup>751</sup> simulation  $\Delta t$ , he should calculate it using Eq. (A.3) as follows:

$$C_t = \frac{\Delta t}{\widetilde{\Delta t}} = \sqrt{C_\rho C_\gamma C_{\rm L}^3}.$$
 (A.4)

Therefore, once the mesh size  $\Delta x$  and  $C_{\rho}$  are fixed<sup>13</sup>, the only parameter that controls the time step is  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . Similarly, force conversion unit  $C_{\rm F} = \frac{F}{\tilde{F}}$  is computed based on dimensional analysis:

$$[F] = N = kg.m.s^{-2} = C_{\rho} \frac{C_{\rm L}^4}{C_t^2}.$$
 (A.5)

From Eq. (A.5), the force conversion coefficient expresses:

$$C_F = \frac{F}{\widetilde{F}} = C_\rho \frac{C_{\rm L}^4}{C_t^2}.$$
 (A.6)

<sup>13</sup>Usually, for the sake of simplicity, the conversion factor of density is unity  $C_{\rho} = 1$ 

#### 756 Appendix A.2. Choice of LBM parameters

In order to accurately model real multiphase fluids, one should choose
wisely his simulation parameters, especially in LBM models.

First, the interface width is selected, generally  $\widetilde{W} = 5 - 10$  lu to make the interface smooth enough—as shown in Fig. A.15—to preclude numerical instabilities.



Figure A.15: Smooth Dirac functions for  $\widetilde{W} \in \{5; 10\}$  lu as well as the unit impulse Dirac function.

Next, densities  $\tilde{\rho}_l$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_g$  are calculated using Eq. (A.1). As previously mentioned,  $C_{\rho}$  is usually taken as 1 which is the case in all the simulations in this paper. Afterwards,  $C_{\rm L}$  is calculated based on the physical and LBM characteristic lengths  $L_c$  and  $\tilde{L}_c$  as follows:  $C_{\rm L} = \frac{L_c}{\tilde{L}_c}$ . Following that,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is chosen whereby  $C_t$  is calculated using Eq. (A.4). Once the  $C_t$  is determined, the relaxation times  $\tilde{\tau}_h^{\ell}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_h^{g}$  are computed based on the scaling of the liquid and gas viscosities:

$$\nu^{\ell,g} = \underbrace{\tilde{c}_s^2 \left( \widetilde{\tau}_h^{\ell,g} - \frac{\widetilde{\Delta t}}{2} \right)}_{\widetilde{\nu}^{\ell,g}} \underbrace{\underbrace{C_{\nu}}_{C_t}}_{\widetilde{C}_t} \iff \widetilde{\tau}_h^{\ell,g} = \frac{C_t \nu^{\ell,g}}{\tilde{c}_s^2 C_{\mathrm{L}}^2} + \frac{\widetilde{\Delta t}}{2}. \tag{A.7}$$

According to Krüger et al. (2017), numerical instabilities are observed if the values of  $\tilde{\tau}_h^{\ell,g}$  are too close of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  determines  $C_t$  whereby relaxation times are calculated.

#### 772 Appendix B. Capillary stress tensor characteristics

It can be seen from Figure B.16 that only the diagonal terms are different than zero and roughly equal  $\approx 1.12$  kPa, and the non-diagonal terms are nearly zero  $\approx 7.21 \times 10^{-4}$  kPa. The largest difference between the diagonal terms is 0.15% which is negligible.



Figure B.16: Capillary stress tensor components  $(\sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{zz}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{yz}, \sigma_{xz})$ evolution in time for  $S_r = 11.41\%$ .

#### 777 References

- Ajdari, M., Habibagahi, G., Ghahramani, A., 2012. Predicting effective stress
  parameter of unsaturated soils using neural networks. Computers and
  Geotechnics 40, 89–96.
- Al-Hashemi, H.M.B., Al-Amoudi, O.S.B., 2018. A review on the angle of
  repose of granular materials. Powder technology 330, 397–417.
- Benseghier, Z., Cuéllar, P., Luu, L.H., Delenne, J.Y., Bonelli, S., Philippe,
  P., 2020. Relevance of free jet model for soil erosion by impinging jets.
  Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 146, 04019047.
- Benseghier, Z., Millet, O., Philippe, P., Wautier, A., Younes, N., Liberge,
  E., 2022. Relevance of capillary interfaces simulation with the shan-chen
  multiphase lb model. Granular Matter 24, 1–18.
- Bishop, A.W., 1959. The principle of effective stress. Teknisk ukeblad 39,
  859–863.
- Bishop, A.W., Blight, G., 1963. Some aspects of effective stress in saturated
  and partly saturated soils. Geotechnique 13, 177–197.
- Chiu, P.H., Lin, Y.T., 2011. A conservative phase field method for solving
  incompressible two-phase flows. Journal of Computational Physics 230,
  185–204.
- Cundall, P.A., Strack, O.D., 1979. A discrete numerical model for granular
  assemblies. geotechnique 29, 47–65.
- <sup>798</sup> Das, B.M., 2019. Advanced soil mechanics. CRC press.

- Delenne, J.Y., Richefeu, V., Radjai, F., 2013. Capillary states of granular
  materials in the funicular state, in: AIP Conference Proceedings, American
  Institute of Physics. pp. 1023–1026.
- <sup>802</sup> Delenne, J.Y., Richefeu, V., Radjai, F., 2015. Liquid clustering and capillary
   <sup>803</sup> pressure in granular media. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 762.
- Di Renzo, A., Picarelli, G., Di Maio, F.P., 2020. Numerical investigation of
  funicular liquid bridge interactions between spherical particles. Chemical
  Engineering & Technology 43, 830–837.
- Duriez, J., Eghbalian, M., Wan, R., Darve, F., 2017. The micromechanical
  nature of stresses in triphasic granular media with interfaces. Journal of
  the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 99, 495–511.
- <sup>810</sup> Duriez, J., Wan, R., 2016. Stress in wet granular media with interfaces via
  <sup>811</sup> homogenization and discrete element approaches. Journal of Engineering
  <sup>812</sup> Mechanics-ASCE 142.
- <sup>813</sup> Duriez, J., Wan, R., 2017. Contact angle mechanical influence in wet granular
  <sup>814</sup> soils. Acta Geotechnica 12, 67–83.
- <sup>815</sup> Duriez, J., Wan, R., Pouragha, M., Darve, F., 2018. Revisiting the existence
  of an effective stress for wet granular soils with micromechanics. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 42,
  959–978.
- Fakhari, A., Bolster, D., 2017. Diffuse interface modeling of three-phase
  contact line dynamics on curved boundaries: A lattice boltzmann model

- for large density and viscosity ratios. Journal of Computational Physics 334, 620–638.
- Farahnak, M., Wan, R., Pouragha, M., Eghbalian, M., Nicot, F., Darve, F.,
  2021. Micromechanical description of adsorptive-capillary stress in wet
  fine-grained media. Computers and Geotechnics 137, 104047.
- Fredlund, D.G., Xing, A., 1994. Equations for the soil-water characteristic
  curve. Canadian geotechnical journal 31, 521–532.
- Fredlund, M.D., Fredlund, D.G., Wilson, G., 1997. Prediction of the soilwater characteristic curve from grain-size distribution and volume-mass
  properties, in: Proc., 3rd Brazilian Symp. on Unsaturated Soils, Rio de
  Janeiro. pp. 13–23.
- Gagneux, G., Millet, O., 2014. Analytic calculation of capillary bridge properties deduced as an inverse problem from experimental data. Transport
  in Porous Media 105, 117–139.
- Gagneux, G., Millet, O., 2016. An analytical framework for evaluating the
  cohesion effects of coalescence between capillary bridges. Granular matter
  18, 1–13.
- Guo, L., Chen, G., Li, C., Xia, M., Gong, S., Zheng, L., 2021. A bound water
  model for numerical simulation of swcc in the wide suction range based on
  dda. Computers and Geotechnics 139, 104378.
- Hosseini, R., Kumar, K., Delenne, J.Y., 2021. Investigating the effect of
  porosity on the soil water retention curve using the multiphase lattice
  boltzmann method, in: EPJ Web of Conferences, EDP Sciences. p. 09007.

- Hosseini, R., Kumar, K., Delenne, J.Y., 2022. Investigating the source of
  hysteresis in the soil-water characteristic curve using the multiphase lattice
  boltzmann method. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.07174 .
- Huang, H., Krafczyk, M., Lu, X., 2011. Forcing term in single-phase
  and shan-chen-type multiphase lattice boltzmann models. Phys. Rev. E
  84, 046710. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.
  046710, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.046710.
- Huang, H., Sukop, M., Lu, X., 2015. Multiphase lattice boltzmann methods:
  Theory and application .
- <sup>853</sup> Khalili, N., Khabbaz, M., 1998. A unique relationship for  $\chi$  for the de-<sup>854</sup> termination of the shear strength of unsaturated soils. Geotechnique 48, <sup>855</sup> 681–687.
- Krüger, T., Kusumaatmaja, H., Kuzmin, A., Shardt, O., Silva, G., Viggen,
  E.M., 2017. The lattice boltzmann method. Springer International Publishing 10, 4–15.
- Laloui, L., Nuth, M., 2009. On the use of the generalised effective stress in the
  constitutive modelling of unsaturated soils. Computers and Geotechnics
  36, 20–23.
- Lee, S., Lee, S.R., Kim, Y., 2003. An approach to estimate unsaturated
  shear strength using artificial neural network and hyperbolic formulation.
  Computers and Geotechnics 30, 489–503.
- Li, X., 2005. Modelling of hysteresis response for arbitrary wetting/drying
  paths. Computers and Geotechnics 32, 133–137.

- Liang, H., Liu, H., Chai, Z., Shi, B., 2019. Lattice boltzmann method for
  contact-line motion of binary fluids with high density ratio. Phys. Rev. E
  99, 063306.
- Liang, H., Xu, J., Chen, J., Wang, H., Chai, Z., Shi, B., 2018. Phase-fieldbased lattice boltzmann modeling of large-density-ratio two-phase flows.
  Phys. Rev. E 97, 033309.
- Liu, X., Zhou, A., Shen, S.I., Li, J., Sheng, D., 2020. A micro-mechanical
  model for unsaturated soils based on dem. Computer Methods in Applied
  Mechanics and Engineering 368, 113183.
- Love, A.E.H., 2013. A treatise on the mathematical theory of elasticity.
  Cambridge university press.
- Matlan, S., Mukhlisin, M., Taha, M., 2014a. Statistical assessment of models
  for determination of soil–water characteristic curves of sand soils. International Journal of Geological and Environmental Engineering 8, 797–802.
- Matlan, S.J., Mukhlisin, M., Taha, M.R., 2014b. Performance evaluation of
  four-parameter models of the soil-water characteristic curve. The Scientific
  World Journal 2014.
- Melnikov, K., Mani, R., Wittel, F.K., Thielmann, M., Herrmann, H.J., 2015.
  Grain-scale modeling of arbitrary fluid saturation in random packings.
  Physical Review E 92, 022206.
- Melnikov, K., Wittel, F.K., Herrmann, H.J., 2016. Micro-mechanical failure
  analysis of wet granular matter. Acta Geotechnica 11, 539–548.

- Meskini-Vishkaee, F., Mohammadi, M., Vanclooster, M., 2013. A scaling
  approach, predicting the continuous form of soil moisture characteristics
  curve, from soil particle size distribution and bulk density data. Hydrology
  & Earth System Sciences Discussions 10.
- Mielniczuk, B., Millet, O., Gagneux, G., El Youssoufi, M.S., 2018. Characterisation of pendular capillary bridges derived from experimental data
  using inverse problem method. Granular Matter 20, 1–11.
- Miot, M., Veylon, G., Wautier, A., Philippe, P., Nicot, F., Jamin, F., 2021.
  Numerical analysis of capillary bridges and coalescence in a triplet of
  spheres. Granular Matter 23, 1–18.
- Nicot, F., Hadda, N., Guessasma, M., Fortin, J., Millet, O., 2013. On the
  definition of the stress tensor in granular media. International Journal of
  Solids and Structures 50, 2508–2517.
- Nuth, M., Laloui, L., 2008. Effective stress concept in unsaturated soils:
  Clarification and validation of a unified framework. International journal
  for numerical and analytical methods in Geomechanics 32, 771–801.
- Oh, S., Lu, N., 2014. Uniqueness of the suction stress characteristic curve
  under different confining stress conditions. Vadose Zone Journal 13.
- Pedroso, D.M., Williams, D.J., 2011. Automatic calibration of soil–water
  characteristic curves using genetic algorithms. Computers and Geotechnics
  38, 330–340.

- Penrose, O., Fife, P.C., 1990. Thermodynamically consistent models of phasefield type for the kinetic of phase transitions. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 43, 44–62.
- Pouragha, M., Eghbalian, M., Wan, R., Wong, T., 2021. Derivation of soil
  water retention curve incorporating electrochemical effects. Acta Geotechnica 16, 1147–1160.
- Richefeu, V., El Youssoufi, M.S., Peyroux, R., Radjai, F., 2008. A model
  of capillary cohesion for numerical simulations of 3d polydisperse granular
  media. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in
  Geomechanics 32, 1365–1383.
- Richefeu, V., El Youssoufi, M.S., Radjai, F., 2006. Shear strength properties
  of wet granular materials. Physical Review E 73, 051304.
- Russell, A., Khalili, N., 2006. A unified bounding surface plasticity model
  for unsaturated soils. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical
  Methods in Geomechanics 30, 181–212.
- Scholtes, L., Chareyre, B., Nicot, F., Darve, F., 2009. Micromechanics of
  granular materials with capillary effects. International journal of engineering science 47, 64–75.
- Scholtès, L., Hicher, P.Y., Nicot, F., Chareyre, B., Darve, F., 2009. On the
  capillary stress tensor in wet granular materials. International journal for
  numerical and analytical methods in geomechanics 33, 1289–1313.
- Sheng, D., 2011. Review of fundamental principles in modelling unsaturated
  soil behaviour. Computers and Geotechnics 38, 757–776.

- Šmilauer, V., Catalano, E., Chareyre, B., Dorofeenko, S., Duriez, J., Gladky,
  A., Kozicki, J., Modenese, C., Scholtès, L., Sibille, L., et al., 2021. Yade
  reference documentation. Yade Documentation 3rd ed. URL: http://
  yadedem.org/doc/.
- Soulie, F., El Youssoufi, M.S., Cherblanc, F., Saix, C., 2006. Capillary cohesion and mechanical strength of polydisperse granular materials. The
  European Physical Journal E 21, 349–357.
- Sun, Y., Beckermann, C., 2007. Sharp interface tracking using the phase-field
  equation. Journal of Computational Physics 220, 626–653.
- Van Genuchten, M.T., 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. Soil science society of America
  journal 44, 892–898.
- Vlahinić, I., Jennings, H.M., Andrade, J.E., Thomas, J.J., 2011. A novel and
  general form of effective stress in a partially saturated porous material: The
  influence of microstructure. Mechanics of Materials 43, 25–35.
- Wan, R., Duriez, J., Darve, F., 2015. A tensorial description of stresses in
  triphasic granular materials with interfaces. Geomechanics for Energy and
  the Environment 4, 73–87.
- Wan, R., Pouragha, M., Eghbalian, M., Duriez, J., Wong, T., 2019. A
  probabilistic approach for computing water retention of particulate systems
  from statistics of grain size and tessellated pore network. International
  Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 43, 956–
  973.

- Wang, J.P., Gallo, E., François, B., Gabrieli, F., Lambert, P., 2017. Capillary
  force and rupture of funicular liquid bridges between three spherical bodies.
  Powder Technology 305, 89–98.
- Weber, J., 1966. Recherches concernant les contraintes intergranulaires dans
  les milieux pulvérulents. Bulletin de liaison des Ponts et Chaussées 20,
  1–20.
- Yang, G., Jing, L., Kwok, C., Sobral, Y., 2019. A comprehensive parametric
  study of lbm-dem for immersed granular flows. Computers and Geotechnics
  114, 103100.
- Younes, N., Benseghier, Z., Millet, O., Wautier, A., Nicot, F., Wan, R., 2022.
  Phase-field lattice boltzmann model for liquid bridges and coalescence in
  wet granular media. Powder Technology , 117942.
- Yuan, C., Chareyre, B., 2022. Transitioning from the funicular to the pendular regime in granular soils. Géotechnique 72, 825–831.
- Yuan, C., Chareyre, B., Darve, F., 2018. Deformation and stresses upon
  drainage of an idealized granular material. Acta Geotechnica 13, 961–972.