

A marine protected area network does not confer community structure resilience to a marine heatwave across coastal ecosystems

Joshua Smith, Christopher Free, Cori Lopazanski, Julien Brun, Clarissa Anderson, Mark Carr, Joachim Claudet, Jenifer Dugan, Jacob Eurich, Tessa Francis, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Joshua Smith, Christopher Free, Cori Lopazanski, Julien Brun, Clarissa Anderson, et al.. A marine protected area network does not confer community structure resilience to a marine heatwave across coastal ecosystems. Global Change Biology, In press, 10.1111/gcb.16862. hal-04173111

HAL Id: hal-04173111 https://hal.science/hal-04173111

Submitted on 28 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A marine protected area network does not confer community structure resilience to a marine heatwave across coastal ecosystems

4

- 5 Joshua G. Smith^{1,2*}, Christopher M. Free^{3,4}, Cori Lopazanski^{1,3}, Julien Brun¹, Clarissa R.
- 6 Anderson⁵, Mark H. Carr⁶, Joachim Claudet⁷, Jenifer E. Dugan⁴, Jacob G. Eurich^{8,1}, Tessa B.
- 7 Francis⁹, Scott L. Hamilton¹⁰, David Mouillot^{11,12}, Peter T. Raimondi⁶, Richard M. Starr¹⁰, Shelby
- 8 L. Ziegler¹³, Kerry J. Nickols^{14,15}, Jennifer E. Caselle⁴
- 9¹ National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa
- 10 Barbara, CA, USA
- 11 ² Conservation and Science Division, Monterey Bay Aquarium, CA, USA
- ³ Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa
 Barbara, CA, USA
- ⁴ Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA
- 15 ⁵ Scripps Institution of Oceanography/Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System, University of
- 16 California, San Diego, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA
- ⁶ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA,
- 18 USA
- ⁷ National Center for Scientific Research, PSL Université Paris, CRIOBE, CNRS-EPHE-UPVD, Maison de l'Océan, Paris, France
- 21 ⁸ Environmental Defense Fund, Santa Barbara, CA, USA
- ⁹ Puget Sound Institute, University of Washington, Tacoma, WA, USA
- 23 ¹⁰ Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, San Jose State University, Moss Landing, CA, USA
- 24 ¹¹ MARBEC, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 25 ¹² Institut Universitaire de France, IUF, Paris, France
- 26 ¹³ Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA
- 27 ¹⁴ Department of Biology, California State University Northridge, Northridge, CA, USA
- 28 ¹⁵ Current affiliation: Ocean Visions, Leesburg, VA, USA
- 29
- 30 * Corresponding author: National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, University of California,
- 31 Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, USA; joshsmith@nceas.ucsb.edu
- 32 **Running Title:** MPAs do not confer climate resilience
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36

37 Abstract

Marine protected areas (MPAs) have gained attention as a conservation tool for enhancing 38 ecosystem resilience to climate change. However, empirical evidence explicitly linking MPAs to 39 40 enhanced ecological resilience is limited and mixed. To better understand whether MPAs can 41 buffer climate impacts, we tested the resistance and recovery of marine communities to the 42 2014-2016 Northeast Pacific heatwave in the largest scientifically designed MPA network in the 43 world off the coast of California, USA. The network consists of 124 MPAs (48 no-take, 76 44 partial-take) implemented at different times, with full implementation completed in 2014. We 45 compared fish, benthic invertebrate, and macroalgal community structure inside and outside of seven no-take MPAs across rocky intertidal, kelp forest, shallow reef, and deep reef nearshore 46 47 habitats in California's Central Coast region from 2007-2020. We also explored whether MPA 48 features, including age, size, depth, proportion rock, historic fishing pressure, habitat diversity 49 and richness, connectivity, and fish biomass response ratios (proxy for ecological performance), 50 conferred climate resilience for kelp forest and rocky intertidal habitats spanning 28 MPAs 51 across the full network. Ecological communities dramatically shifted due to the marine heatwave 52 across all four nearshore habitats, and MPAs did not facilitate habitat-wide resistance or 53 recovery. Only in protected rocky intertidal habitats did community structure significantly resist 54 marine heatwave impacts. Community shifts were associated with a pronounced decline in the 55 relative proportion of cold-water species and an increase in warm-water species. MPA features 56 did not explain resistance or recovery to the marine heatwave. Collectively, our findings suggest 57 that MPAs have limited ability to mitigate the impacts of marine heatwaves on community 58 structure. Given that mechanisms of resilience to climate perturbations are complex, there is a 59 clear need to expand assessments of ecosystem-wide consequences resulting from acute 60 climate-driven perturbations, and the potential role of regulatory protection in mitigating 61 community structure changes.

62 **Keywords:** California, marine protected area networks, marine heatwaves, community

63 composition, community structure, resilience, climate change

64 1. Introduction

65 Climate change can rapidly reshape the distribution of species and the composition of 66 ecological communities (Smale et al., 2019; Pörtner et al., 2023), imperiling nature's 67 contributions to people. In particular, episodic periods of anomalous ocean warming, hereafter 68 'marine heatwaves', are driving pronounced shifts in species distributions across marine 69 ecosystems (Azzurro & D'Amen, 2022; Olsen et al., 2022), with direct implications for ecological 70 processes and associated human benefits (Smale et al., 2019; Cheung et al., 2021; Payne et 71 al., 2021; Cinner et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2023). While the urgency to plan for adaptation to 72 climate change is clear, as marine heatwaves increase in frequency and severity (Holbrook et 73 al., 2019), pathways to enhance ecosystem resilience are mixed. Therefore, understanding how 74 ecological communities resist, recover from, or are transformed by climate perturbations, such 75 as marine heatwaves, represents one of the most pressing challenges for building ecosystem 76 resilience capacity (Mason et al., 2022).

77 Marine protected areas (MPAs) are an important conservation strategy for preserving 78 biodiversity and nature's contributions to people (Grorud-Colvert et al., 2021). MPAs may 79 provide network-scale population connectivity that can enhance spillover of individuals and the 80 replenishment of populations in both protected and fished areas (Harrison et al., 2012; 81 Williamson et al., 2016; Baetscher et al., 2019; Di Lorenzo et al., 2020; Goetze et al., 2021). 82 Although most MPAs were initially designed to reduce the effects of overfishing and habitat loss. 83 they are frequently hypothesized to provide long-term protection against climate impacts 84 (Roberts et al., 2017; Hofmann et al., 2021). For example, networks of MPAs may provide 85 refugia as species redistribute in response to climate change, owing to lower anthropogenic 86 stressors and higher population sizes resulting from reduced harvest (McLeod et al., 2009; Carr 87 et al., 2017). MPA features such as habitat diversity, historic fishing pressure, age, and size 88 may also influence the capacity for MPAs to provide ecological resilience (Jacquemont et al., 89 2022). As such, MPAs and MPA networks are being increasingly highlighted as a key tool for 90 enhancing climate resilience (IUCN-WCPA, 2008; Jacquemont et al., 2022).

Despite the growing number of studies examining MPAs as a tool for mitigating climate
impacts, the effectiveness of MPAs (both individually and as networks) for enhancing the
resilience (i.e., resistance to and recovery from disturbance, Bates et al., 2019) of marine
communities to climate change remains contested (Johnson et al., 2022, but see Jacquemont et
al. 2022). Climate change stressors (e.g., ocean acidification, sea level rise, hypoxia, warming)

Global Change Biology

may have effects on populations and communities that occur regardless of regulatory protection
(Bates et al., 2019; Bruno et al., 2019). Marine heatwaves can also reduce connectivity by
changing prevailing current patterns – a key design attribute for many MPA networks (Lima et
al., 2021). Mixed evidence surrounding the efficacy of MPAs in providing climate resilience may
be explained in part by the single-habitat (e.g., coral reef, seagrass, kelp forest, etc.) focus of
many studies, and also in part by the ways in which assemblages of species are partitioned.

102 Our understanding of whether and how MPAs confer ecological resilience to climate 103 change can be improved by synthesizing the effects of regulatory protection across multiple 104 taxa, habitats, ecosystems, and protection levels. Potential mechanisms producing ecological 105 shifts in response to climate change may include altered mortality due to physiological 106 environmental tolerances, changes in species interactions (e.g., competition, predation, 107 disease, facilitation), adult movement across habitats and along the coast, ontogenetic shifts, 108 and changes in recruitment success (Harley et al., 2006). Moreover, the ways in which species 109 responses are evaluated (e.g., trait-based, functional groups, feeding guilds, evolutionary 110 lineages, etc.) can influence detection of climate-driven outcomes. Therefore, long-term 111 monitoring across multiple habitats subjected to similar (or the same) perturbations is needed to 112 thoroughly examine the impacts of climate change on marine ecosystems, to test whether (and 113 which) MPAs confer climate resilience, and to assess the relative resilience among ecosystems 114 and taxa. Such cross-ecosystem studies are rare, especially those that include monitoring 115 across networks of MPAs before, during, and after extreme climate change-driven 116 perturbations.

117 In 1999, California passed the Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA), which expanded its 118 system of MPAs to function as a coherent ecological network and to address six goals aimed at 119 conservation, fisheries, and other human benefits (Marine Life Protection Act, 1999; Gleason et 120 al., 2013). Guided by these goals, California established a network of 124 MPAs (48 no-take, 76 121 partial-take) distributed along the state's entire 1,300-kilometer coastline that protects 16% of 122 state waters. The network protects hard- and soft-bottom habitats ranging in depth from the 123 intertidal to depths of 1,000 m. However, with few exceptions, ecological monitoring studies 124 focused on hard-bottom habitats. Leading up to and following MPA implementation, an 125 extensive ecological monitoring effort of these habitats began to support adaptive management 126 of the network (Botsford et al., 2014). During the course of California's MPA monitoring, a major 127 marine heatwave occurred that was the consequence of two environmental anomalies: a 2014-128 2015 warming event known as "the Blob," and a major El Niño event in 2015-2016 (Bond et al.,

2015; Di Lorenzo & Mantua, 2016; Gentemann et al., 2017). This pronounced climate
perturbation was the largest marine heatwave on record in California (Laufkötter et al., 2020).

131 This study leveraged over a decade of monitoring across the rocky intertidal, kelp forest, 132 shallow reef, and deep reef habitats to evaluate whether a network of MPAs confers community 133 structure resilience to climate disturbances. Specifically, we tested the following hypotheses: (1) 134 the 2014-16 marine heatwave event resulted in the reorganization of community structure 135 across multiple nearshore habitats and taxa, (2) MPAs enhanced the ability for ecological 136 communities to resist and recover from the impacts of climate perturbations, and (3) species 137 traits (thermal affinities) explained differential responses to the marine heatwave in relation to 138 regulatory protection (MPAs). After testing these hypotheses, we explored whether MPA 139 features (size, age, historic fishing pressure, habitat diversity, and connectivity) enhanced 140 ecological community resilience across habitats to inform the design of MPA networks that are 141 resilient to climate change.

142 2. Methods

143 2.1. MPA sampling and data collection

144 As part of the State of California's long-term MPA evaluation and monitoring program, 145 several habitat-specific research groups conduct annual surveys designed to monitor ecological 146 changes over time inside MPAs and at areas of comparable habitat outside MPAs (Figure 1A; 147 Figure S1, Supplementary Appendix Figures). Conceptually, while MPAs could be considered 148 the "treatment" or "control" in our analyses (i.e., fishing is considered either the experiment or 149 the control), we take a social-ecological system perspective and consider human activities, 150 including fishing, to be integral system components (Kandel et al., 2022). Thus, in our analytical 151 framework, MPAs are considered the experimental treatment where fishing is removed (e.g., 152 Pacoureau et al., 2023).

Our analyses focused on four habitats that have extensive long-term monitoring data: rocky intertidal, kelp forest, shallow reef, and deep reef (**Figure 1A**; **Figure S1**, Supplementary Appendix *Figures*). Across the four habitats included in our analyses, three organismal groups were sampled: macroalgae (rocky intertidal and kelp forest), conspicuous mobile and sessile invertebrates (rocky intertidal and kelp forest), and fishes (kelp forest, shallow reef, and deep reef). We focused our community structure analyses on MPAs located along the temperate 159 Central Coast of California (**Figure 1A**) because this area was the most comprehensively 160 sampled region of the MPA network and had sufficient pre-marine heatwave data to evaluate 161 baseline community structure (**Figure S1**, Supplementary Appendix *Figures*). To evaluate MPA 162 features as potential drivers of ecological resilience, we included MPAs across all of California 163 for two of the habitats (rocky intertidal and kelp forest), where more extensive spatial and

164 temporal coverage exists (see section 2.6).

165 Two general types of data were used in our analyses: species counts (kelp forest, 166 shallow reef, deep reef), and the proportional cover of invertebrates and macroalgae (rocky 167 intertidal and kelp forest invertebrates and algae). While biomass is often used as a common 168 measurable response across taxa (Duffy et al., 2017), it is not suitable for our analyses because 169 of the large number of taxa for which it is difficult to accurately measure biomass (e.g., 170 macroalgae and invertebrates). Therefore, we elected to use a taxonomic abundance-based 171 approach to compare changes in community structure. All analyses were conducted using 172 published data for each habitat (Brooks et al., 2022; Cieri et al., 2022; Malone et al., 2022; 173 MARINe et al., 2022).

174 2.2. Changes in community structure associated with the marine heatwave

175 We used non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) to visualize community structure 176 before (2007-13), during (2014-16), and after (2017-20) the 2014-16 marine heatwave. Prior to 177 ordination, a base similarity matrix was constructed for each habitat type using Bray-Curtis 178 dissimilarity on Hellinger-transformed counts or on percent cover of species. The Hellinger 179 transformation converts absolute counts to the square root of proportional counts, which 180 reduces the disproportionate contribution of highly abundant species (Legendre & Gallagher, 181 2001). We elected to use the transformation to relative species abundance to improve the 182 robustness, comparability, and ecological interpretation of our community structure analyses. 183 Each site sampled inside or outside of a MPA surveyed in a single year represents a single unit 184 of replication. To visualize the state of each ecological community, we plotted centroids and 185 95% confidence ellipses that represent the generalized position of the community in ordinated 186 2-dimensional nMDS space before, during, and after the marine heatwave event.

187 We used a pairwise permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) to test three
188 hypotheses surrounding community structure changes (Figure 2A). First, if MPAs do not
189 mitigate marine heatwave impacts on community structure, then communities inside MPAs

190 should respond similarly (i.e., comparable change in multivariate distance) to those outside. 191 Second, if MPAs confer resistance, then communities inside MPAs should remain unchanged 192 while those outside shift. Finally, if MPAs enhance recovery, then communities inside MPAs 193 should shift during the marine heatwave, but return to or move back in the direction of their 194 previous state following the disturbance. To test these hypotheses, a single PERMANOVA was 195 performed for each habitat and site type (inside or outside a MPA) using pairwise comparisons 196 between marine heatwave periods (before vs. during, before vs. after) using the pairwiseAdonis 197 wrapper function (Martinez Arbizu, 2019) in the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2022) in R (R 198 Core Team, 2021).

199 2.3. Effect of MPAs on community structure resistance and recovery

200 We used a multivariate distance-based approach to test whether MPAs conferred 201 ecological community resistance to or recovery from the marine heatwave. We refer to this 202 measurement as 'multivariate distance' to distinguish it from 'geographic distance,' which is 203 often a measure of climate change impacts on marine species distributions. We define 204 resistance as community structure (i.e., relative abundance of species) that remained 205 unchanged during the marine heatwave (low change in multivariate distance-based centroids), 206 and recovery as a community that returned to a similar structure post-heatwave. Resistance 207 was evaluated by calculating the multivariate vector distance (in high dimensional space using 208 the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix) of the centroid of the ecological community between the 209 periods before (2007-2013) and during (2014-2016) the marine heatwave (e.g., high resistance 210 is indicated by a smaller change in multivariate distance-based centroids).

Recovery was evaluated by calculating the multivariate distance between the before (2007-2013) and after period (2017-2020) centroids. We calculated the change in multivariate distance between centroids using the *betadisper* function in the *vegan* package (Oksanen et al., 2022). The *betadisper* function returns the principal coordinates of centroids, which we used to calculate multivariate distance after ensuring positive-definite eigenvalues. Finally, statistical significance was tested using a PERMANOVA on community structure resistance (before vs. during) and recovery (before vs. after).

To explore whether the timing of community shifts coincided with temporal changes in oceanographic variables, we used a Granger causality test on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. For this analysis, annual dissimilarity was calculated for each site (inside or outside a MPA) and habitat 221 relative to 2007. Dissimilarity was then offset (lagged) against oceanographic variables (see 222 section 2.5) in one-year increments for a maximum of three years (maximum lag based on 223 length of the time series). We used 2007 as the baseline year because it preceded the marine 224 heatwave and because we were interested in lag effects specifically related to the marine 225 heatwave, rather than gradual environmental changes over time. However, 2008 was used as 226 the baseline year for the deep reef habitat because surveys were not extensively conducted in 227 2007. It is important to note that the Granger test only examines lagged effects between the 228 time series of community change and the environmental variables, it does not examine 229 correlations, which are explored using other diagnostics described in section 2.5.

230 2.4. Species associated with community changes

231 To evaluate which species were associated with community change, we used two 232 approaches. First, we used a Similarity Percentage Analysis (SIMPER) to decompose 233 community structure using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and to examine the percent contribution of 234 each species to the before versus after marine heatwave communities. However, because 235 SIMPER is known to confound the mean between group variation and dispersion (Warton et al., 236 2012), we cross-validated the SIMPER output using the *mvabund* package (Wang et al., 2022) 237 in R. The mvabund package uses fitted generalized linear models to account for nonlinear 238 mean-to-variance relationships of each species. Both of these analyses were conducted on the 239 absolute abundance of species (rather than relative abundance) because they are not sensitive 240 to common or rare taxa. This combination of approaches allowed us to determine the 241 contribution of individual taxa to observed community structure differences. Additionally, 242 because the PERMANOVA analyses revealed that community change was similar inside and 243 outside of MPAs, we analyzed compositional changes overall rather than within each site by 244 protection status. Finally, we constructed an analysis of deviance table using the multivariate 245 generalized linear model fits to test whether species structure changed as a result of the marine 246 heatwave (before vs. after). Test statistics and p-values for each species were generated using 247 the PIT-trap (probability integral transform) resampling method.

248 2.5. Environmental correlates and species traits

We explored temperature and oceanographic conditions before, during, and after the marine heatwave to evaluate whether community structure shifts were explained by environmental changes (**Figure 1B-F**, **Figure S2** Supplementary Appendix *Figures*). For these 252 environmental analyses, we used air temperature (AT, °C) measured in situ at rocky intertidal 253 long-term monitoring sites (as an environmental correlate for rocky intertidal communities only, 254 Supplementary Appendix Methods); sea surface temperature (SST, °C) at 1 km daily resolution 255 from MURSST (Chin et al., 2017), sea bottom temperature (SBT, °C) at 8 km daily resolution 256 from GLORYS (Jean-Michel et al. 2021), the Biologically Effective Upwelling Transport Index 257 (BEUTI, mmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹; Jacox et al., 2018) calculated at 1 degree latitude bins, and the 258 Multivariate Oceanographic Climate Index (MOCI), which is a long-term (30 year) indicator of 259 several oceanographic and atmospheric conditions (García-Reves & Sydeman, 2017) 260 calculated at the regional level (Central California). We selected these environmental indicators 261 and associated products because they provide biologically meaningful climatology at the best 262 available spatial resolution for our study area.

263 To process the environmental data, we first calculated the monthly mean AT, SST, SBT, 264 BEUTI, and guarterly MOCI values at each site. We then calculated monthly anomalies for AT, 265 SST, SBT, and BEUTI as the difference between the observed monthly mean and the baseline 266 average (long-term average for each month, 2000-2012 for AT, 1988-2012 for BEUTI, 1993-267 2012 for SBT, and 2002-2012 for SST; start year of the historical climatology reflects the first 268 year with data). Baseline averages were calculated through the end of 2012 to accommodate a 269 buffer before the onset of the marine heatwave in 2014. For MOCI, we calculated the annual 270 mean (2000-2020) at each site as a standard index. To visualize and pair the environmental 271 data with the long-term biological monitoring data, we calculated the mean anomalies across all 272 calendar months for each year (Figure 1B-F). Finally, to determine whether observed shifts in 273 community structure were associated with changes in oceanographic conditions, we used the 274 envfit function in the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2022) to overlay the four environmental 275 variables as vectors on the ordinated community data. The envfit function computes the rank-276 based correlation coefficient and significance is evaluated through permutation-based 277 resampling.

We used a trait-based fourth-corner model to evaluate effects of environmental variables and species thermal affinities on the relative abundance of all recorded taxa. Species thermal affinities were obtained from literature reported classifications and expert judgment (Supplementary Appendix, *Methods*). The fourth-corner model relates the interaction between the environment and species traits (thermal affinities) on variation in the abundance of taxa (Brown et al., 2014). Briefly, we used the *mvabund* package to fit simultaneous generalized linear models for counts of all species at each site as a function of the environmental and oceanographic conditions (AT, SST, SBT, BEUTI, MOCI), the relative representation of thermalaffinities, and their interaction.

287 2.6. Drivers of resistance and recovery

288 The ecological and design context of California's network is well documented, allowing 289 us to explore whether certain MPA features conferred community structure resilience to the 290 marine heatwave. These analyses were spatially expanded to include a subset of MPAs 291 covering the entire network from northern to southern California, thereby providing a greater 292 scope of MPA feature diversity (Figure S3, Supplementary Appendix Figures). However, only 293 the kelp forest and rocky intertidal habitats contained sufficient pre-heatwave monitoring data 294 with consistent annual surveys in all bioregions of the state to appropriately examine drivers of 295 ecological resilience among MPAs at the network level (Figure 1A; Figure S1, Supplementary 296 Appendix Figures). MPA features included habitat richness and habitat diversity (calculated 297 using Shannon-Wiener on depth-stratified hard and soft bottom, proportion of rock, and the 298 extent of kelp forest canopy, rocky intertidal, sandy beach, coastal marsh, tidal flats, and 299 armored shore), historic fishing pressure, MPA age and size, connectivity, and kelp forest fish 300 biomass response ratios (as a proxy for MPA ecological performance, see Supplementary 301 Appendix *Methods*).

We developed a two-stage multivariate model to examine the effect of MPA features on community resistance and recovery. First, we used a PERMANOVA to identify MPAs that significantly resisted or recovered from the marine heatwave (building on the methods outlined in section 2.3). We then used logistic regression to evaluate the probability that specific MPA features enhanced resistance or resilience. For the logistic regression, any MPA community that significantly resisted or recovered from the marine heatwave was assigned a target level of '1', and any MPA community that did not resist or recover from the marine heatwave a '0.'

309 3. Results

310 3.1. Community structure resistance and recovery

Ecological community change was widespread across all habitats, and three habitats
(kelp forest, shallow reef, deep reef) showed no clear differences inside and outside of MPAs in
the magnitude of community change (Figure 2B). Prior to the marine heatwave, community

314 structure was similar inside and outside of MPAs in all habitats except the rocky intertidal

315 (indicated by the non-overlapping ellipses in Figure 2B). However, ecological community

316 structure dramatically shifted as a result of the marine heatwave event across all measured

nearshore habitats (n=4), regardless of whether the communities (n=5) were inside or outside of

318 MPAs (Figures 2B and S8, Table 1).

319 Community structure changes coincided with oceanographic conditions associated with 320 the marine heatwave (Figure 1, Tables 1 and 2). At the onset of the marine heatwave in 2014, 321 sea surface temperature was anomalously warm by as much as 2°C, and sea bottom 322 temperature was also 1°C above the baseline average. MOCI and BEUTI experienced 323 precipitous changes during the marine heatwave, reflecting reduced upwelling and productivity, 324 and these anomalies persisted until at least mid-2016 (Figure 1 E-F). Although each 325 oceanographic anomaly aligned well with community shifts in nMDS space (Figure 2B), 326 significant correlations were habitat-specific (Table 2). In the rocky intertidal, warmer air 327 temperature was the strongest environmental correlate with community structure changes, 328 although warmer SST and declines in upwelling (BEUTI) were also correlated with community 329 change. For shallow reef, kelp forest fishes, and kelp forest invertebrates and algae, SST, SBT, 330 and MOCI were significantly associated with community change, such that communities shifted 331 with increases in surface and bottom water temperature and positive values of the MOCI index. 332 In the deep reef habitat (fishes only), the only significant oceanographic correlate was BEUTI 333 (**Table 2**), where the community shifted in response to reduced upwelling. Finally, there were no 334 significant temporal lags determined except for one interaction between the shallow reef and 335 BEUTI (*lag* = 3 years for sites inside and outside of MPAs, **Table S4**).

336 For all habitats except the rocky intertidal, MPAs did not impart increased resistance to 337 or recovery from marine heatwave-driven community changes compared to sites outside of 338 MPAs (Figure 3). The rocky intertidal and deep reef were the only two habitats that resisted the 339 marine heatwave (based on non-significant PERMANOVA result, Figure 3A). The rocky 340 intertidal was the only habitat where community structure inside MPAs was not significantly 341 different either during or post-marine heatwave (Figure 3B). Kelp forest invertebrates, algae, 342 and fishes all substantially shifted during the marine heatwave, and these changes persisted for 343 several years later with no apparent recovery. The shallow reef habitat responded similarly with 344 pronounced shifts in community structure during the marine heatwave, although the trajectory of 345 the shallow reef habitat started to move towards the pre-heatwave state beginning in the year 346 2018, with the biggest shifts towards recovery occurring inside MPAs (Figures S5 and S6,

347 Supplementary Appendix *Figures*). In the deep reef habitat, year-to-year changes were more

348 variable, resulting in a larger multivariate distance relative to the other habitats, but this

349 community change was not significantly different from the baseline year (Figures 3A and S9

350 Supplementary Appendix *Figures*). However, recovery was more variable than resistance. The

351 shallow reef habitat exhibited relatively greater recovery (i.e., less shift in multivariate distance

352 compared to the pre-heatwave community state) than the kelp forest and deep reef habitats.

353 3.2. Species responses

354 The multivariate analyses revealed several species that explained differences between 355 the pre- and post-heatwave periods. Among the three habitat types with monitoring of fish 356 species (kelp forest, shallow reef, deep reef), the blue and deacon rockfish complex (Sebastes 357 mystinus and S. diaconus) was positively correlated with the post-heatwave period (Table S5, 358 Supplementary Appendix, Tables). Fish species that declined and were found within the top 359 80% contribution of pre- vs. post-heatwave community structure included: Sebastes serranoides 360 (kelp forest), S. chrysomelas and carnatus (kelp forest), S. miniatus (kelp forest, shallow reef), 361 Brachvistius frenatus (kelp forest), S. semicinctus (deep reef), and S. hopkinsi (deep reef). For 362 invertebrates and algae, the multivariate analyses revealed an increase in the abundance of 363 purple sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus) and a decline in macroalgae in both the 364 rocky intertidal and kelp forest habitats.

365 3.3. Community structure and thermal traits

366 The relative proportional representation of thermal affinities significantly changed during 367 the marine heatwave for kelp forest invertebrates and algae, kelp forest fishes, and shallow reef 368 fishes (p < 0.001, $F_{6.708}$ = 62.24; p < 0.05, $F_{6.700}$ = 2.18; p < 0.001, $F_{4.315}$ = 37.41, respectively). 369 Cold-temperate species significantly declined during the marine heatwave for these habitats 370 (Figure 4A). During the marine heatwave, there was a slight increase in cosmopolitan species 371 for the rocky intertidal and kelp forest (invertebrates and algae), and an even more pronounced 372 increase in warm-temperate and subtropical fish species in the kelp forest, shallow reef, and 373 deep reef habitats. Importantly, these changes in community composition that occurred during 374 the marine heatwave (2014-2016) persisted into the following years (2017 and beyond), which 375 partially explains the lack of observed recovery to the pre-heatwave community structure.

376 Variation in species abundance was explained by significant interactions between 377 thermal affinities and oceanographic variables for all habitats from the fourth corner model 378 (Figure 4B, Figure S7 Supplementary Appendix, Figures). In general, species thermal affinities 379 responded similarly across habitats. The abundance of cold-temperate species declined with 380 increased sea surface temperature anomalies and reduced upwelling (BEUTI), while the 381 abundance of warm-temperate, subtropical, and tropical species increased with the 382 oceanographic conditions that were associated with the onset of the marine heatwave, such as 383 MOCI and warming water temperature. Sea bottom temperature had the most variable 384 interactive effect across habitats and thermal affinities. Interestingly, thermal associations for the 385 deep reef habitat had an opposite sign for cold-temperate and warm-temperate interactions, 386 relative to the other habitats.

387 3.4. MPA features and ecological stability

Community structure responses were highly variable across the state-level network for 388 389 MPAs. In general, rocky intertidal communities showed higher resistance than kelp forest 390 communities, as indicated by smaller shifts in multivariate distance (Figure 5C; smaller points). 391 While shifts in community structure were generally larger in the kelp forest (Figure 5C; larger 392 points), in some cases, the magnitude of shifts inside MPAs was less relative to shifts outside of 393 MPAs (Figure 5A). In general, MPA protection fostered more resistance than recovery (Figure 394 5C; i.e., more blue points in the resistance column and more red points in the recovery column), 395 although these results were not statistically significant. For example, kelp forest communities in 396 the Point Buchon, South Point, and Harris Point MPAs resisted and moved towards recovery 397 from the heatwave more than communities outside these MPAs (Figure 5C; blue points). 398 However, kelp forest communities in the Scorpion, Anacapa Island, and Campus Point MPAs 399 were less successful at resisting shifts than communities outside these MPAs (Figure 5C-left; 400 red points). Similarly, kelp forest communities in the Point Lobos, Santa Barbara, Farnsworth, 401 and Blue Cavern MPAs were less successful at recovering from shifts than communities outside 402 these MPAs (Figure 5C-right; red points). None of the evaluated MPA features (age, size, 403 historic fishing pressure, habitat diversity, connectivity, biomass response ratios) were 404 statistically significant drivers of this variability (Figure S11, Supplementary Appendix Figures).

405 4. Discussion

406 Our findings suggest that the 2014-16 Northeast Pacific marine heatwave impacted 407 ecological community structure across four nearshore habitats, and that MPAs did not confer 408 widespread resistance or recovery. Marine protected areas have gained increased attention as 409 a conservation strategy for mitigating the effects of climate change (Wilson et al., 2018; Duncan 410 et al., 2019), but evidence of their efficacy in providing ecosystem-wide resilience to climate 411 disturbances remains mixed (Roberts et al., 2017; Bates et al., 2019; Jacquemont et al., 2022). 412 Critically, international efforts to conserve 30% of marine habitats via MPA implementation by 413 the year 2030 (IUCN, 2014) highlight the need for planning that considers the effect of large 414 climate-driven perturbations on local ecological processes. Therefore, while MPAs may be 415 implemented with specific conservation targets, our study suggests that extreme climate 416 perturbations, such as marine heatwaves, can overwhelm intended climate benefits of MPAs 417 over the short-term (< 5 years following a marine heatwave). These results highlight the 418 ecosystem-wide consequences of acute climate-driven perturbations despite regulatory 419 protection.

420 Several ecological processes may explain the pronounced shifts observed across 421 habitats, such as altered adult movement, changes to recruitment regimes, tropicalization, 422 deborealization, and shifts in species interactions such as herbivory, competition, predation, and 423 disease (Vergés et al., 2014; García Molinos et al., 2016; Wernberg et al., 2016; Free et al., 424 2019; Mignot et al., 2022). First, our study found that the relative proportion of warm-temperate 425 and subtropical species increased during and after the marine heatwave. The increased 426 proportional representation of species with warm-water thermal affinities may be explained by 427 adult movement into the study area, changes in recruitment patterns, or by a decline in the 428 relative abundance of cold-temperate species (Sanford et al., 2019; Walker et al., 2020; 429 Fredston et al., 2021). For example, the large increase in blue rockfish in kelp forest, shallow 430 reef, and deep reef habitats was associated with strong recruitment and pelagic young-of-the-431 year abundance of rockfishes in midwater trawl surveys at the start of the marine heatwave 432 period (Field et al. 2021). Large increases in the subtropical wrasse, senorita (Oxyjulis 433 californica), were also observed in multiple habitats, contributing to community change. Second, 434 during the marine heatwave, a large-scale outbreak of herbivorous sea urchins occurred 435 throughout the study region that coincided with precipitous declines in kelp (and other 436 macroalgae) and the loss of an important benthic mesopredator, the sunflower sea star

437 (*Pycnopodia helianthoides*), due to a large-scale marine disease outbreak (Harvell et al., 2019;
438 Smith et al., 2021).

439 Species life history traits in relation to the marine heatwave event may explain why the 440 rocky intertidal was the only habitat where community structure exhibited resilience. Variation in 441 stress tolerance to air temperature is a fundamental driver of rocky intertidal community 442 structure. Although 2014 was the warmest year on record in California, the sustained sea 443 surface temperature anomalies associated with ocean warming during the marine heatwave 444 may have impacted the rocky intertidal less than the subtidal habitats. Resilience in rocky 445 intertidal MPAs may be explained by increased propagule delivery to MPAs, particularly by long-446 lived foundation species that stabilize the community (Raimondi & Smith, 2022), or through 447 regulatory protection that prohibits the harvest of habitat-forming mussels (Mytilus spp.) in 448 MPAs. Additionally, the rocky intertidal is the only habitat that used a fixed-plot design 449 (Supplementary Appendix, Methods) and this methodological form of sampling could have 450 resulted in smaller propagated spatial variance, which could be more biased towards 451 conclusions of enhanced resilience relative to the sampling used in the other habitats. More 452 research is needed to distill the mechanistic processes associated with MPA resilience in the 453 rocky intertidal.

454 The primary regulation associated with MPAs in California involves a restriction of fishing 455 activities; thus, we were interested in understanding whether ecological communities inside no-456 take MPAs are more resilient to marine heatwaves than our control social-ecological system. 457 We consider human activities, such as fishing, as integral parts of the social-ecological system, 458 since fishing occurred decades before MPA establishment and the marine heatwave, with the 459 restriction of harvest through regulatory protection as the primary conservation mechanism. 460 However, there are multiple viewpoints pertaining to interactions between humans and the 461 oceans, and similarly there are many approaches to evaluating the effects of fishing and 462 regulatory protection. Alternative frameworks might consider areas inside MPAs as "reference" 463 locations for places where harvest occurs (Costello 2014). While we believe human and natural 464 systems are now so integrated in coastal areas that there are virtually no pristine ecosystems, 465 the framing of considering MPAs as controls and fished systems as experimental treatments 466 would not impact our results.

467 It is not surprising that community shifts occurred inside and outside MPAs
468 simultaneously for most habitats, since many of the species exhibiting the biggest changes are

Global Change Biology

469 not directly targeted by fishing activities (e.g., invertebrates and algae). In cases where MPAs 470 do not confer ecological resilience to marine heatwaves, it is important to note that the capacity 471 of MPAs to harbor higher biomass than unprotected sites can still be maintained (Frid et al., 472 2023). Other studies have documented increases in targeted species biomass inside of MPAs 473 within this system, which is a focal MPA conservation objective (Hamilton et al., 2010; Caselle 474 et al., 2015; Ziegler et al., 2022). Similar responses to the marine heatwave in southern 475 California fish communities were reported by Freedman et al. (2020), who found that non-476 targeted subtropical species were most responsible for community reorganization. Ziegler et al. 477 (2023) also reported that fish species diversity recovered more quickly after the heatwave inside

478 of MPAs.

479 Although the anomalously warm environmental conditions subsided after 2016, changes 480 in community structure persisted, especially in the kelp forest, shallow reef, and deep reef 481 habitats. These results are particularly interesting in the context of ecosystem stability and 482 transition dynamics. Given the highly variable recruitment of the dominant fishes in the study 483 region (Schroeder et al., 2019; Field et al., 2021), it is possible that sufficient time has not 484 elapsed for the communities to return to a pre-perturbed state. Lagged effects due to 485 ontogenetic shifts and methodological sampling that disproportionately select for adults may 486 also contribute to the observed lack of recovery. For example, declines in vermilion rockfish 487 (Sebastes miniatus) in the shallow reef habitat coincided with increases in that species in the 488 deep reef habitat, likely reflecting ontogenetic movements from shallow to deep habitats (Love 489 et al., 2002; Love, 2011). In addition, the shallow reef habitat monitoring program used hook 490 and line sampling, which disproportionately selects individuals that are older than 2-3 years, 491 which is why lagged effects of oceanography were more likely to be detected in this habitat 492 (Ziegler et al., 2023). Moreover, many species in the California Current are slow-growing, late to 493 mature, long-lived, and relatively sedentary with small home ranges (Love et al., 2002; Love, 494 2011). These life history traits may create inertia to resistance or recovery from change. 495 However, the persistence of novel community structure configurations observed in this study, 496 despite the return of pre-heatwave environmental conditions, highlights the need for further 497 assessments of community transition dynamics over ecologically meaningful timescales.

Our finding of no effect of MPA features on dampening marine heatwave-driven
 responses in the rocky intertidal and kelp forest habitats is particularly interesting given that
 habitat mosaics, historic fishing effort, and connectivity have been linked to MPA conservation
 capacity (Bastari et al., 2016). The widespread effects of marine heatwaves and other climate

502 perturbations may overwhelm local stressors (e.g., fishing, marine pollution) that MPAs were 503 initially successful at mitigating (Bruno et al., 2019). Indeed, even biodiversity rich spots or deep 504 water communities are susceptible to the impacts of climate change (Kocsis et al., 2021; 505 Emblemsvåg et al., 2022). Our results highlight the need for management frameworks that 506 include climate adaptation strategies, the protection of range-shift corridors, and consideration 507 of the compounding effects of both fishing and marine heatwaves on resilience (Burrows et al., 508 2014). More investigation is needed to better understand the drivers that can help MPAs to 509 maintain higher fish biomass than unprotected sites under climate change (Frid et al., 2023).

510 Increasing our understanding of the pathways through which marine heatwayes 511 restructure ecological communities is central to developing adaptive management solutions. In 512 our study, we used a taxonomic (i.e., species) abundance-based approach to evaluate changes 513 in community structure, but functional diversity may also be impacted by warming events and 514 should be explored (McLean et al., 2019; Murgier et al., 2021). Prolonged warming events may 515 differentially impact groups and guilds of species with similar traits, such as dispersal ability, 516 thermal tolerance, metabolic rate, and mobility (Duncan et al., 2019; Harvey et al., 2021). 517 Moreover, to understand the ability of MPA networks to resist and recover from future marine 518 heatwaves, it is critical to have sufficient monitoring across multiple habitats, taxa, and regions. 519 Because pre-perturbation data are essential for establishing baselines and comparatively 520 evaluating ecological responses, consistent monitoring is fundamental to capture the effect of 521 marine heatwave events. Although the timing of marine heatwaves is unpredictable, evidence 522 suggests that the frequency and magnitude of abrupt warming events are expected to increase 523 (Frölicher et al., 2018; Holbrook et al., 2020).

524 Evidence of MPAs as a tool for mitigating the effects of climate change remains 525 controversial, especially since most MPAs were initially designed for other conservation 526 priorities. Our analysis of ecological community change across a network of MPAs highlights 527 that widespread effects of climate-driven stressors such as marine heatwaves can dramatically 528 restructure ecological communities, regardless of regulatory protection. Ultimately, improved 529 resilience capacity of MPA networks will require integrating adaptive management with careful 530 consideration of how abrupt climate change-driven perturbations may inhibit intended 531 conservation outcomes.

532 Acknowledgements

533 We thank the hundreds of individuals who contributed to data collection for each of the long-534 term monitoring programs included in this study. We also thank R. Brooks, I. Brunjes, K. Cieri, 535 R. Gaddam, D. Malone, S. Worden, and M. Yeager for assistance with data curation. This 536 research was funded in part by the California Ocean Protection Council (OPC, #C0752005) as 537 part of the Decadal Review of California's Marine Protected Areas. The Ocean Protection 538 Council also provided support for long-term habitat monitoring (#C0302700, #C0752003). Other 539 sources of support for habitat monitoring include California Sea Grant (R/MPA-43, R/MPA-44, 540 R/MPA-45, R/MPA-46, R/MPA-48) and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation. CMF was 541 funded through the Arnhold UC Santa Barbara-Conservation International Climate Solutions 542 Collaborative. JC was funded through BiodivERsA (METRODIVER and MOVE) and Fondation 543 de France (MultiNet) projects. JED is grateful for support from the Santa Barbara Coastal Long 544 Term Ecological Research project (National Science Foundation OCE-1831937). JEC and MHC 545 were supported in part by the David and Lucile Packard Foundation through the Partnership for 546 Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans (PISCO).

547 Author Contributions Statement

- JGS, CMF, CL, SH, RS, DM, KN, and JC conceived the ideas and designed methodology; JGS,
- 549 CMF, CL, JB, MC, SH, PR, SZ, and JC collected the data; JGS, CMF, CL, JB, and CA analyzed
- the data; JGS led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed substantially to revisions.
- 551 The authors have agreed to be listed and approve the manuscript for submission.
- 552 Conflict of Interests Statement
- 553 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.
- 554 Data Availability Statement
- 555 All source code and data are available on GitHub: <u>https://github.com/NCEAS/ca-mpa</u>

556 References

- 557 Azzurro, E., & D'Amen, M. (2022). Climate change paves the way for a new inter-ocean fish
- interchange. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *20*(10), 558–563.
- 559 https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2459
- 560 Baetscher, D. S., Anderson, E. C., Gilbert-Horvath, E. A., Malone, D. P., Saarman, E. T., Carr,
- 561 M. H., & Garza, J. C. (2019). Dispersal of a nearshore marine fish connects marine
- 562 reserves and adjacent fished areas along an open coast. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(7),
- 563 1611–1623. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15044
- 564 Bastari, A., Micheli, F., Ferretti, F., Pusceddu, A., & Cerrano, C. (2016). Large marine protected
- areas (LMPAs) in the Mediterranean Sea: The opportunity of the Adriatic Sea. *Marine Policy*, 68, 165–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.03.010
- 567 Bates, A. E., Cooke, R. S. C., Duncan, M. I., Edgar, G. J., Bruno, J. F., Benedetti-Cecchi, L.,
- 568 Côté, I. M., Lefcheck, J. S., Costello, M. J., Barrett, N., Bird, T. J., Fenberg, P. B., &
- 569 Stuart-Smith, R. D. (2019). Climate resilience in marine protected areas and the
- 570 'Protection Paradox.' *Biological Conservation*, 236, 305–314.
- 571 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.005
- 572 Bond, N. A., Cronin, M. F., Freeland, H., & Mantua, N. (2015). Causes and impacts of the 2014
- 573 warm anomaly in the NE Pacific. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *42*(9), 3414–3420.
- 574 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063306
- 575 Botsford, L. W., White, J. W., Carr, M. H., & Caselle, J. E. (2014). Chapter Six—Marine
- 576 Protected Area Networks in California, USA. In M. L. Johnson & J. Sandell (Eds.),
- 577 *Advances in Marine Biology* (Vol. 69, pp. 205–251). Academic Press.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800214-8.00006-2
- 579 Brooks, R., Ziegler, S., Starr, R., Wendt, D., Ruttenberg, B., Bellquist, L., Caselle, J., Morgan,
- 580 S., Mulligan, T., Semmens, B., Tyburczy, J., & Hamilton, S. (2022). *Nearshore Fishes*

581	Abundance and Distribution Data, California Collaborative Fisheries Research Program
582	(CCFRP). https://search.dataone.org/view/urn%3Auuid%3Af843f110-e691-4d26-bf12-
583	3854a4b641cd
584	Brown, A. M., Warton, D. I., Andrew, N. R., Binns, M., Cassis, G., & Gibb, H. (2014). The fourth
585	corner solution – using predictive models to understand how species traits interact with

586 the environment. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 5(4), 344–352.

587 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12163

588 Bruno, J. F., Côté, I. M., & Toth, L. T. (2019). Climate Change, Coral Loss, and the Curious

589 Case of the Parrotfish Paradigm: Why Don't Marine Protected Areas Improve Reef

590 Resilience? Annual Review of Marine Science, 11(1), 307–334.

591 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095300

Burrows, M. T., Schoeman, D. S., Richardson, A. J., Molinos, J. G., Hoffmann, A., Buckley, L.

593 B., Moore, P. J., Brown, C. J., Bruno, J. F., Duarte, C. M., Halpern, B. S., Hoegh-

594 Guldberg, O., Kappel, C. V., Kiessling, W., O'Connor, M. I., Pandolfi, J. M., Parmesan,

595 C., Sydeman, W. J., Ferrier, S., ... Poloczanska, E. S. (2014). Geographical limits to

596 species-range shifts are suggested by climate velocity. *Nature*, *507*(7493), Article 7493.

597 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12976

598 Carr, M. H., Robinson, S. P., Wahle, C., Davis, G., Kroll, S., Murray, S., Schumacker, E. J., &

599 Williams, M. (2017). The central importance of ecological spatial connectivity to effective

600 coastal marine protected areas and to meeting the challenges of climate change in the

601 marine environment. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 27(S1),

602 6–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2800

Caselle, J. E., Rassweiler, A., Hamilton, S. L., & Warner, R. R. (2015). Recovery trajectories of
 kelp forest animals are rapid yet spatially variable across a network of temperate marine
 protected areas. *Scientific Reports*, *5*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14102

606 Cheung, W. W. L., Frölicher, T. L., Lam, V. W. Y., Oyinlola, M. A., Reygondeau, G., Sumaila, U.

607	R., Tai, T. C., Teh, L. C. L., & Wabnitz, C. C. C. (2021). Marine high temperature						
608	extremes amplify the impacts of climate change on fish and fisheries. Science						
609	Advances, 7(40), eabh0895. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abh0895						
610	Chin, T. M., Vazquez-Cuervo, J., & Armstrong, E. M. (2017). A multi-scale high-resolution						
611	analysis of global sea surface temperature. Remote Sensing of Environment, 200, 154–						
612	169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.029						
613	Cieri, K., Starr, R., Caselle, J., Kahn, A., Lauermann, A., Lindholm, J., Tissot, B., Ziegler, S.,						
614	Bretz, C., Carlson, P., Hoeke, J., Jainese, C., Martel, G., McDermott, S., Mohay, J., &						
615	Salinas-Ruiz, P. (2022). Monitoring and Evaluation of Mid-Depth Rock Ecosystems in						
616	the California MLPA Marine Protected Area Network: ROV Dataset.						
617	https://opc.dataone.org/view/urn%3Auuid%3A7353f779-b722-4064-8074-3e9c651ed38e						
618	Cinner, J. E., Caldwell, I. R., Thiault, L., Ben, J., Blanchard, J. L., Coll, M., Diedrich, A., Eddy, T.						
619	D., Everett, J. D., Folberth, C., Gascuel, D., Guiet, J., Gurney, G. G., Heneghan, R. F.,						
620	Jägermeyr, J., Jiddawi, N., Lahari, R., Kuange, J., Liu, W., … Pollnac, R. (2022).						
621	Potential impacts of climate change on agriculture and fisheries production in 72 tropical						
622	coastal communities. Nature Communications, 13(1), Article 1.						
623	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30991-4						
624	Marine Life Protection Act, Chapter 10.5, California State Legislature, Fish and Game Code						
625	(FGC) (1999).						
626	https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?lawCode=FGC&division						
627	=3.&title=∂=&chapter=10.5.&article=						
628	Di Lorenzo, E., & Mantua, N. (2016). Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 North Pacific marine						
629	heatwave. Nature Climate Change, 6(11), Article 11.						
630	https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3082						
631	Di Lorenzo, M., Guidetti, P., Di Franco, A., Calò, A., & Claudet, J. (2020). Assessing spillover						

Global Change Biology

633	<i>Fisheries</i> , <i>21</i> (5), 906–915. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12469						
634	Duffy, J. E., Godwin, C. M., & Cardinale, B. J. (2017). Biodiversity effects in the wild are						
635	common and as strong as key drivers of productivity. Nature, 549(7671), Article 7671.						
636	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23886						
637	Duncan, M. I., Bates, A. E., James, N. C., & Potts, W. M. (2019). Exploitation may influence the						
638	climate resilience of fish populations through removing high performance metabolic						
639	phenotypes. Scientific Reports, 9(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-						
640	47395-у						
641	Emblemsvåg, M., Werner, K. M., Núñez-Riboni, I., Frelat, R., Torp Christensen, H., Fock, H. O.,						
642	& Primicerio, R. (2022). Deep demersal fish communities respond rapidly to warming in						
643	a frontal region between Arctic and Atlantic waters. Global Change Biology, 28(9), 2979–						
644	2990. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16113						
645	Field, J. C., Miller, R. R., Santora, J. A., Tolimieri, N., Haltuch, M. A., Brodeur, R. D., Auth, T. D.,						
646	Dick, E. J., Monk, M. H., Sakuma, K. M., & Wells, B. K. (2021). Spatiotemporal patterns						
647	of variability in the abundance and distribution of winter-spawned pelagic juvenile						
648	rockfish in the California Current. PLOS ONE, 16(5), e0251638.						
649	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251638						
650	Fredston, A., Pinsky, M., Selden, R. L., Szuwalski, C., Thorson, J. T., Gaines, S. D., & Halpern,						
651	B. S. (2021). Range edges of North American marine species are tracking temperature						
652	over decades. Global Change Biology, 27(13), 3145–3156.						
653	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15614						
654	Free, C. M., Thorson, J. T., Pinsky, M. L., Oken, K. L., Wiedenmann, J., & Jensen, O. P. (2019).						
655	Impacts of historical warming on marine fisheries production. Science, 363(6430), 979–						
656	983. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1758						
657	Frid, O., Malamud, S., Di Franco, A., Guidetti, P., Azzurro, E., Claudet, J., Micheli, F., Yahel, R.,						
658	Sala, E., & Belmaker, J. (2023). Marine protected areas' positive effect on fish biomass						

659	persists across the steep climatic gradient of the Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Applied
660	<i>Ecology</i> , 60(4), 638–649. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14352
661	Frölicher, T. L., Fischer, E. M., & Gruber, N. (2018). Marine heatwaves under global warming.
662	Nature, 560(7718), Article 7718. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0383-9
663	García Molinos, J., Halpern, B. S., Schoeman, D. S., Brown, C. J., Kiessling, W., Moore, P. J.,
664	Pandolfi, J. M., Poloczanska, E. S., Richardson, A. J., & Burrows, M. T. (2016). Climate
665	velocity and the future global redistribution of marine biodiversity. Nature Climate
666	Change, 6(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2769
667	García-Reyes, M., & Sydeman, W. J. (2017). California Multivariate Ocean Climate Indicator
668	(MOCI) and marine ecosystem dynamics. Ecological Indicators, 72, 521–529.
669	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.08.045
670	Gentemann, C. L., Fewings, M. R., & García-Reyes, M. (2017). Satellite sea surface
671	temperatures along the West Coast of the United States during the 2014–2016 northeast
672	Pacific marine heat wave. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(1), 312–319.
673	https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071039
674	Gleason, M., Fox, E., Ashcraft, S., Vasques, J., Whiteman, E., Serpa, P., Saarman, E.,
675	Caldwell, M., Frimodig, A., Miller-Henson, M., Kirlin, J., Ota, B., Pope, E., Weber, M., &
676	Wiseman, K. (2013). Designing a network of marine protected areas in California:
677	Achievements, costs, lessons learned, and challenges ahead. Ocean & Coastal
678	Management, 74, 90–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.08.013
679	Goetze, J. S., Wilson, S., Radford, B., Fisher, R., Langlois, T. J., Monk, J., Knott, N. A.,
680	Malcolm, H., Currey-Randall, L. M., Ierodiaconou, D., Harasti, D., Barrett, N., Babcock,
681	R. C., Bosch, N. E., Brock, D., Claudet, J., Clough, J., Fairclough, D. V., Heupel, M. R.,
682	Harvey, E. S. (2021). Increased connectivity and depth improve the effectiveness of
683	marine reserves. Global Change Biology, 27(15), 3432–3447.
684	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15635

685	Grorud-Colvert, K., Sullivan-Stack, J., Roberts, C., Constant, V., Pike, E. P., Kingston, N.,
686	Laffoley, D., Sala, E., Claudet, J., Friedlander, A. M., Gill, D. A., Lester, S. E., Day, J. C.,
687	Gonçalves, E. J., Ahmadia, G. N., Rand, M., Villagomez, A., Ban, N. C., Gurney, G. G.,
688	Lubchenco, J. (2021). The MPA Guide: A framework to achieve global goals for the
689	ocean. Science, 373, eabf0861.
690	Hamilton, S. L., Caselle, J. E., Malone, D. P., & Carr, M. H. (2010). Incorporating biogeography
691	into evaluations of the Channel Islands marine reserve network. Proceedings of the
692	National Academy of Sciences, 107(43), 18272–18277.
693	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0908091107
694	Harley, C. D. G., Randall Hughes, A., Hultgren, K. M., Miner, B. G., Sorte, C. J. B., Thornber, C.
695	S., Rodriguez, L. F., Tomanek, L., & Williams, S. L. (2006). The impacts of climate
696	change in coastal marine systems. Ecology Letters, 9(2), 228–241.
697	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00871.x
698	Harrison, H. B., Williamson, D. H., Evans, R. D., Almany, G. R., Thorrold, S. R., Russ, G. R.,
699	Feldheim, K. A., van Herwerden, L., Planes, S., Srinivasan, M., Berumen, M. L., &
700	Jones, G. P. (2012). Larval Export from Marine Reserves and the Recruitment Benefit
701	for Fish and Fisheries. Current Biology, 22(11), 1023–1028.
702	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.04.008
703	Harvell, C. D., Montecino-Latorre, D., Caldwell, J. M., Burt, J. M., Bosley, K., Keller, A., Heron,
704	S. F., Salomon, A. K., Lee, L., Pontier, O., Pattengill-Semmens, C., & Gaydos, J. K.
705	(2019). Disease epidemic and a marine heat wave are associated with the continental-
706	scale collapse of a pivotal predator (Pycnopodia helianthoides). Science Advances, 5(1),

- 707 eaau7042. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau7042
- Harvey, B. P., Marshall, K. E., Harley, C. D. G., & Russell, B. D. (2021). Predicting responses to

709 marine heatwaves using functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*.

710 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.09.003

711	Hofmann, G., Hazen, E., Ambrose, R., Aseltine-Beilson, D., Caselle, J., Chan, F., Levine, A.,
712	Micheli, F., Sunday, J., & White, W. (2021). Climate Resilience and California's Marine
713	Protected Area Network: A Report by the Ocean Protection Council Science Advisory
714	Team Working Group and California Ocean Science Trust.
715	Holbrook, N. J., Scannell, H. A., Sen Gupta, A., Benthuysen, J. A., Feng, M., Oliver, E. C. J.,
716	Alexander, L. V., Burrows, M. T., Donat, M. G., Hobday, A. J., Moore, P. J., Perkins-
717	Kirkpatrick, S. E., Smale, D. A., Straub, S. C., & Wernberg, T. (2019). A global
718	assessment of marine heatwaves and their drivers. Nature Communications, 10(1),
719	Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10206-z
720	Holbrook, N. J., Sen Gupta, A., Oliver, E. C. J., Hobday, A. J., Benthuysen, J. A., Scannell, H.
721	A., Smale, D. A., & Wernberg, T. (2020). Keeping pace with marine heatwaves. Nature
722	Reviews Earth & Environment. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0068-4
723	IUCN-WCPA. (2008). Establishing resilient marine protected area networks - making it happen:
724	Full technical version, including ecological, social and governance considerations, as
725	well as case studies. IUCN World Commission on Protected Areas, National Oceanic
726	and Atmospheric Administration, and The Nature Conservancy.
727	https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/9284
728	Jacox, M. G., Edwards, C. A., Hazen, E. L., & Bograd, S. J. (2018). Coastal Upwelling
729	Revisited: Ekman, Bakun, and Improved Upwelling Indices for the U.S. West Coast.
730	Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123(10), 7332–7350.
731	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014187
732	Jacquemont, J., Blasiak, R., Le Cam, C., Le Gouellec, M., & Claudet, J. (2022). Ocean
733	conservation boosts climate change mitigation and adaptation. One Earth, 5(10), 1126–
734	1138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.09.002
735	Johnson, J. V., Dick, J. T. A., & Pincheira-Donoso, D. (2022). Marine protected areas do not
736	buffer corals from bleaching under global warming. BMC Ecology and Evolution, 22(1),

- 737 58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-022-02011-y
- Kandel, P., Pandit, R., White, B., & Polyakov, M. (2022). Do protected areas increase
- household income? Evidence from a Meta-Analysis. *World Development*, *159*, 106024.
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.106024
- Kocsis, Á. T., Zhao, Q., Costello, M. J., & Kiessling, W. (2021). Not all biodiversity rich spots are
 climate refugia. *Biogeosciences*, *18*(24), 6567–6578. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-
- 743 6567-2021
- Laufkötter, C., Zscheischler, J., & Frölicher, T. L. (2020). High-impact marine heatwaves
- attributable to human-induced global warming. *Science*, *369*(6511), 1621–1625.
- 746 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba0690
- Legendre, P., & Gallagher, E. D. (2001). Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination
 of species data. *Oecologia*, *129*(2), 271–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100716
- Lima, L. S., Gherardi, D. F. M., Pezzi, L. P., Passos, L. G. dos, Endo, C. A. K., & Quimbayo, J.
- 750 P. (2021). Potential changes in the connectivity of marine protected areas driven by
- 751 extreme ocean warming. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), Article 1.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89192-6
- Love, M. S. (2011). Certainly More Than You Want to Known About the Fishes of the Pacific
 Coast. Really Big Press.
- Love, M. S., Yoklavich, M., & Thorsteinson, L. K. (2002). *The Rockfishes of the Northeast Pacific*. University of California Press.
- 757 Malone, D. P., Davis, K., Lonhart, S. I., Parsons-Field, A., Caselle, J. E., & Carr, M. H. (2022).
- T58 Large-scale, multidecade monitoring data from kelp forest ecosystems in California and
- 759 Oregon (USA). *Ecology*, *103*(5), e3630. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3630
- 760 MARINe, PISCO, & Raimondi, P. (2022). MARINe/PISCO: Intertidal: MARINe Coastal
- 761 Biodiversity Surveys: Point Contact Surveys Summarized.
- 762 https://doi.org/10.6085/AA/marine_cbs.5.5

- 763 Martinez Arbizu, P. (2020). pairwiseAdonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. R
 764 package version 0.4
- 765 Mason, J. G., Eurich, J. G., Lau, J. D., Battista, W., Free, C. M., Mills, K. E., Tokunaga, K.,
- 766 Zhao, L. Z., Dickey-Collas, M., Valle, M., Pecl, G. T., Cinner, J. E., McClanahan, T. R.,
- 767 Allison, E. H., Friedman, W. R., Silva, C., Yáñez, E., Barbieri, M. Á., & Kleisner, K. M.
- 768 (2022). Attributes of climate resilience in fisheries: From theory to practice. *Fish and*
- 769 *Fisheries*, 23(3), 522–544. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12630
- 770 McLean, M., Mouillot, D., Lindegren, M., Villéger, S., Engelhard, G., Murgier, J., & Auber, A.
- 771 (2019). Fish communities diverge in species but converge in traits over three decades of
- 772 warming. *Global Change Biology*, *25*(11), 3972–3984. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14785
- 773 McLeod, E., Salm, R., Green, A., & Almany, J. (2009). Designing marine protected area
- networks to address the impacts of climate change. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(7), 362–370. https://doi.org/10.1890/070211
- 776 Mignot, A., von Schuckmann, K., Landschützer, P., Gasparin, F., van Gennip, S., Perruche, C.,
- Lamouroux, J., & Amm, T. (2022). Decrease in air-sea CO2 fluxes caused by persistent
 marine heatwaves. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), Article 1.
- 779 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-31983-0
- 780 Murgier, J., McLean, M., Maire, A., Mouillot, D., Loiseau, N., Munoz, F., Violle, C., & Auber, A.
- 781 (2021). Rebound in functional distinctiveness following warming and reduced fishing in
- the North Sea. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 288(1942),
- 783 20201600. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1600
- 784 Oksanen, J., Simpson, G. L., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., Friendly,
- 785 M., McGlinn, D., O'Hara, R. B., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., & Wagner,
- H. (2022). *vegan: Community Ecology Package* (R package version 2.6-2).
- 787 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
- 788 Olsen, A. Y., Larson, S., Padilla-Gamiño, J. L., & Klinger, T. (2022). Changes in fish

789	assemblages after marine heatwave events in West Hawai'i Island. Marine Ecology
790	Progress Series, 698, 95–109. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps14156
791	Pacoureau, N., Carlson, J. K., Kindsvater, H. K., Rigby, C. L., Winker, H., Simpfendorfer, C. A.,
792	Charvet, P., Pollom, R. A., Barreto, R., Sherman, C. S., Talwar, B. S., Skerritt, D. J.,
793	Sumaila, U. R., Matsushiba, J. H., VanderWright, W. J., Yan, H. F., & Dulvy, N. K.
794	(2023). Conservation successes and challenges for wide-ranging sharks and rays.
795	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120(5), e2216891120.
796	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2216891120
797	Payne, M. R., Kudahl, M., Engelhard, G. H., Peck, M. A., & Pinnegar, J. K. (2021). Climate risk
798	to European fisheries and coastal communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of
799	<i>Sciences</i> , <i>118</i> (40), e2018086118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018086118
800	Pörtner, HO., Scholes, R. J., Arneth, A., Barnes, D. K. A., Burrows, M. T., Diamond, S. E.,
801	Duarte, C. M., Kiessling, W., Leadley, P., Managi, S., McElwee, P., Midgley, G., Ngo, H.
802	T., Obura, D., Pascual, U., Sankaran, M., Shin, Y. J., & Val, A. L. (2023). Overcoming
803	the coupled climate and biodiversity crises and their societal impacts. Science,
804	380(6642), eabl4881. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl4881
805	R Core Team. (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
806	for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/
807	Raimondi, P., & Smith, J. (2022). Assessment of Rocky Intertidal habitats for the California
808	Marine Protected Area Decadal report [A report to the Ocean Protection Council and UC
809	Sea Grant]. https://www.opc.ca.gov/webmaster/_media_library/2022/05/MPA_RO1.pdf
810	Roberts, C. M., O'Leary, B. C., McCauley, D. J., Cury, P. M., Duarte, C. M., Lubchenco, J.,
811	Pauly, D., Sáenz-Arroyo, A., Sumaila, U. R., Wilson, R. W., Worm, B., & Castilla, J. C.
812	(2017). Marine reserves can mitigate and promote adaptation to climate change.
813	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(24), 6167–6175.
814	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701262114

815	Sanford, E., Sones, J. L., García-Reyes, M., Goddard, J. H. R., & Largier, J. L. (2019).
816	Widespread shifts in the coastal biota of northern California during the 2014–2016
817	marine heatwaves. Scientific Reports, 9(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-
818	019-40784-3
819	Schroeder, I. D., Santora, J. A., Bograd, S. J., Hazen, E. L., Sakuma, K. M., Moore, A. M.,
820	Edwards, C. A., Wells, B. K., & Field, J. C. (2019). Source water variability as a driver of
821	rockfish recruitment in the California Current Ecosystem: Implications for climate change
822	and fisheries management. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 76(6),
823	950–960. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0480
824	Smale, D. A., Wernberg, T., Oliver, E. C. J., Thomsen, M., Harvey, B. P., Straub, S. C.,
825	Burrows, M. T., Alexander, L. V., Benthuysen, J. A., Donat, M. G., Feng, M., Hobday, A.
826	J., Holbrook, N. J., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., Scannell, H. A., Sen Gupta, A., Payne, B.
827	L., & Moore, P. J. (2019). Marine heatwaves threaten global biodiversity and the
828	provision of ecosystem services. Nature Climate Change, 9(4), Article 4.
829	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0412-1
830	Smith, J. G., Tomoleoni, J., Staedler, M., Lyon, S., Fujii, J., & Tinker, M. T. (2021). Behavioral
831	responses across a mosaic of ecosystem states restructure a sea otter-urchin trophic
832	cascade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(11), e2012493118.
833	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2012493118
834	Smith, K. E., Burrows, M. T., Hobday, A. J., King, N. G., Moore, P. J., Sen Gupta, A., Thomsen,
835	M. S., Wernberg, T., & Smale, D. A. (2023). Biological Impacts of Marine Heatwaves.
836	Annual Review of Marine Science, 15(1), 119–145. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-
837	marine-032122-121437
838	Vergés, A., Steinberg, P. D., Hay, M. E., Poore, A. G. B., Campbell, A. H., Ballesteros, E., Heck,
839	K. L., Booth, D. J., Coleman, M. A., Feary, D. A., Figueira, W., Langlois, T., Marzinelli, E.
840	M., Mizerek, T., Mumby, P. J., Nakamura, Y., Roughan, M., van Sebille, E., Gupta, A. S.,

Global Change Biology

841	Wilson, S. K. (2014). The tropicalization of temperate marine ecosystems: Climate-
842	mediated changes in herbivory and community phase shifts. Proceedings of the Royal
843	Society B: Biological Sciences, 281(1789), 20140846.
844	https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.0846
845	Walker, H. J., Hastings, P. A., Hyde, J. R., Lea, R. N., Snodgrass, O. E., & Bellquist, L. F.
846	(2020). Unusual occurrences of fishes in the Southern California Current System during
847	the warm water period of 2014–2018. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 236,
848	106634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.106634
849	Wang, Y., Naumann, U., Eddelbuettel, D., Wilshire, J., & Warton, D. (2022). mvabund:
850	Statistical Methods for Analysing Multivariate Abundance Data (R package version
851	4.2.1.). https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mvabund
852	Warton, D. I., Wright, S. T., & Wang, Y. (2012). Distance-based multivariate analyses confound
853	location and dispersion effects. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3(1), 89–101.
854	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00127.x
855	Wernberg, T., Bennett, S., Babcock, R. C., de Bettignies, T., Cure, K., Depczynski, M., Dufois,
856	F., Fromont, J., Fulton, C. J., Hovey, R. K., Harvey, E. S., Holmes, T. H., Kendrick, G.
857	A., Radford, B., Santana-Garcon, J., Saunders, B. J., Smale, D. A., Thomsen, M. S.,
858	Tuckett, C. A., Wilson, S. (2016). Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine
859	ecosystem. Science, 353(6295), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8745
860	Williamson, D. H., Harrison, H. B., Almany, G. R., Berumen, M. L., Bode, M., Bonin, M. C.,
861	Choukroun, S., Doherty, P. J., Frisch, A. J., Saenz-Agudelo, P., & Jones, G. P. (2016).
862	Large-scale, multidirectional larval connectivity among coral reef fish populations in the
863	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Molecular Ecology, 25(24), 6039–6054.
864	https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13908
865	Wilson, J. R., Lomonico, S., Bradley, D., Sievanen, L., Dempsey, T., Bell, M., McAfee, S.,
866	Costello, C., Szuwalski, C., McGonigal, H., Fitzgerald, S., & Gleason, M. (2018).

- Adaptive comanagement to achieve climate-ready fisheries. *Conservation Letters*, *11*(6),
 e12452. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12452
- Ziegler, S. L., Brooks, R. O., Hamilton, S. L., Ruttenberg, B. I., Chiu, J. A., Fields, R. T., Waltz,
- 870 G. T., Shen, C., Wendt, D. E., & Starr, R. M. (2022). External fishing effort regulates
- 871 positive effects of no-take marine protected areas. *Biological Conservation*, 269,
- 872 109546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109546
- Ziegler, S. L., Johnson, J. M., Brooks, R. O., Johnston, E. M., Mohay, J. L., Ruttenberg, B. I.,
- Starr, R. M., Waltz, G. T., Wendt, D. E., & Hamilton, S. L. (2023). Marine protected
- areas, marine heatwaves, and the resilience of nearshore fish communities. *Scientific*
- 876 Reports, 13(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28507-1

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Results from a series of pairwise permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) tests on community resistance (before-during) and recovery (before-after). PERMANOVAs were performed separately for each habitat and calculated on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices. Pseudo p-values were generated using 999 permutations of residuals. Asterisks denote that community structure was significantly different for pairwise comparisons (Resistance = before vs. during, Recovery = before vs. after).

Habitat	MPA type	Transition	B-C distance	Sum of squares	R- squared	Psuedo F	P(perm)
	Outside	Resistance	0.059	0.155	0.02	1.883	0.071
Rocky		Recovery	0.053	0.219	0.025	2.635	0.006*
intertidal	Incido	Resistance	0.064	0.154	0.026	1.824	0.114
	inside	Recovery	0.063	0.174	0.026	1.946	0.091
Kala fanast	Outcido	Resistance	0.085	0.49	0.061	4.381	0.001*
Keip forest	Outside	Recovery	0.125	0.921	0.099	8.211	0.001*
	u Inside	Resistance	0.08	0.333	0.047	2.823	0.003*
uigue		Recovery	0.142	0.849	0.102	7.189	0.001*
	Outside	Resistance	0.081	0.249	0.047	3.22	0.007*
Kelp forest		Recovery	0.1	0.64	0.109	9.098	0.001*
fishes	Inside	Resistance	0.066	0.21	0.05	2.944	0.015*
		Recovery	0.124	0.505	0.109	7.569	0.001*
	Outcido	Resistance	0.073	0.146	0.061	2.349	0.064
Shallow	Outside	Recovery	0.071	0.586	0.188	9.515	0.001*
reef	lin a i d a	Resistance	0.066	0.159	0.093	3.676	0.002*
	Inside	Recovery	0.112	0.486	0.225	11.882	0.001*
	Outcido	Resistance	0.136	0.198	0.124	1.839	0.064
Doop roof	Outside	Recovery	0.167	0.681	0.308	6.687	0.001*
Deepieer	المعنام	Resistance	0.104	0.121	0.082	1.163	0.296
	inside	Recovery	0.107	0.337	0.163	2.53	0.015*

Table 2. EnvFit scores indicating the significance level of correlations between environmental conditions (air temperature °C, AT; sea surface temperature °C, SST; sea bottom temperature °C, SBT, biologically effective upwelling transport index mmol m⁻¹s⁻¹, BEUTI; multivariate oceanographic climate index, MOCI) and community change in non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) space.

Habitat	Environmental variable	Туре	R square	p-value
	AT	Anomaly	0.07	0.043*
	AI	Absolute	0.33	0.001*
Pocky	сст	Anomaly	0.04	0.149
intertidal	331	Absolute	0.09	0.012*
intertidai	BELITI	Anomaly	0.04	0.121
	BLOTI	Absolute	0.09	0.011*
	MOCI	Absolute	0.02	0.324
	CST	Anomaly	0.10	0.004*
	551	Absolute	0.04	0.077
Kelp forest	BEUTI	Anomaly	0.03	0.128
inverts and	BEOTI	Absolute	0.02	0.323
algae	MOCI	Absolute	0.07	0.009*
	SBT	Anomaly	0.17	0.001*
	301	Absolute	0.14	0.001*
	SST	Anomaly	0.06	0.016*
		Absolute	0.04	0.066
Kelp forest	BEUTI	Anomaly	0.05	0.029*
fishes		Absolute	0.03	0.17
	MOCI	Absolute	0.12	0.002*
	SBT	Anomaly	0.07	0.012*
		Absolute	0.01	0.635
	SST	Anomaly	0.17	0.002*
		Absolute	0.18	0.002*
Rocky reef	BEUTI	Anomaly	0.02	0.401
fishes		Absolute	0.03	0.257
	MOCI SBT	Absolute	0.29	0.001*
		Anomaly	0.16	0.002*
		Absolute	0.12	0.009*
	SST	Anomaly	0.05	0.524
		Absolute	0.08	0.292
Deep reef	BEUTI	Anomaly	0.25	0.014*
fishes	MOO	Absolute	0.27	0.016*
	MOCI	Absolute	0.02	0.726
	SBT	Anomaly	0.03	0.699
		Absolute	0.15	0.099



Figure 1. The (A) coverage of ecological monitoring in marine protected areas (MPAs) in California's Central Coast region and (B-F) exposure of these MPAs to five indicators of environmental conditions. In (A), points indicate which habitats were monitored both inside and outside of MPAs (i.e., points indicate data availability, not the location of sampling sites). Red polygons indicate no-take MPAs (n = 12, called State Marine Reserves), and blue polygons indicate partial-take MPAs (n = 10, called State Marine Conservation Areas; see table S1 for list of partial-take MPAs that are de facto no-take MPAs by habitat). The dark horizontal lines delineate the Central Coast region, and the thin gray line indicates state waters (3 nautical miles offshore and all of Monterey Bay). Environmental indicators include: (B) air temperature (AT), (C) sea surface temperature (SST); (D) sea bottom temperature (SBT); (E) the multivariate oceanographic climate index (MOCI); and (F) the biologically effective upwelling index (BEUTI). Lines indicate the median and shading indicates the 95% confidence interval. The 2014-2016 marine heatwave (MHW) is indicated by the vertical red rectangle.

387x484mm (236 x 236 DPI)

Global Change Biology



Figure 2. (A) Potential and (B) observed shifts in community structure from 2007 to 2020. Panel A illustrates a typology of potential community structure shifts: (i) "No impact", where community structure does not shift, and "impact", where community structure significantly shifts. Non-overlapping ellipses indicate significant differences in community structure. In this example, pre-heatwave community structure was significantly different inside (solid lines ellipses around points) vs. outside (dashed lines around triangles) MPAs; (ii) "No MPA benefit", where communities inside MPAs respond similarly to those outside; (iii) "MPA Resistance", where communities inside MPAs remain stable while those outside shift; and (iv) "Recovery", where communities inside MPAs shift during the heatwave, but return to their previous state following the disturbance while communities outside of MPAs do not return. Panel B shows observed shifts in community structure before (2007-2013), during (2014-2016), and after (2017-2020) the marine heatwave inside (circles) and outside (triangles) MPAs in the Central Coast region of California. Each sub-panel represents a habitat and each point depicts the centroid position with 95% confidence ellipses. Also included are vectors for each environmental anomaly: air temperature (AT, rocky intertidal only), sea surface temperature (SST), sea bottom temperature (SBT), the multivariate ocean climate index (MOCI), and the biologically effective upwelling transport index (BEUTI). The trajectory of each vector reflects its correlation with community structure (Table 2). Therefore, indicators that are highly correlated with changes in community structure are aligned with the centroids (points).

452x484mm (236 x 236 DPI)



Figure 3. Community resistance (before-to-during marine heatwave comparison) and recovery (before-toafter marine heatwave comparison) as measured by multivariate distance between centroids. Each point depicts the multivariate distance between the pre-heatwave centroid (before) and the during-heatwave or the post-heatwave centroid inside (red) and outside (blue) MPAs. Therefore, higher values indicate less resistance or recovery. Error bars depict the pooled standard error between centroids and the asterisks denote significant differences in community structure between marine heatwave periods, as derived from a pairwise permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). Points without asterisks indicate resistance or recovery (as measured by non-significant PERMANOVA, Table 1). Note that some communities have inherently greater interannual variability, potentially resulting from variation in sampling methodology, which can lead to larger mean multivariate distances that are not significantly different (Supplementary Appendix, Figure S9).

452x258mm (236 x 236 DPI)



Figure 4. The (A) proportional community composition by thermal affinity groups from 2007-2020 and the (B) interactive effect of environmental anomalies (air temperature, AT, rocky intertidal only; sea surface temperature, SST; sea bottom temperature, SBT; multivariate oceanographic climate index, MOCI; biologically effective upwelling transport index, BEUTI) and species traits on variance in the abundance of species over the same time period. In (A), vertical lines bound the 2014-2016 marine heatwave years. In (B), colors indicate the standardized coefficients for all trait-oceanographic indicator interaction terms, based on multiple generalized linear-LASSO models. Coefficients were scaled to unit variance to make them visually comparable. Darker squares indicate stronger associations; positive associations are red and negative associations are blue. Black x's mark interaction terms dropped in model selection. White squares without x's indicate traits that were never observed. Dark grey squares indicate non-applicable indicators.

419x516mm (236 x 236 DPI)



Figure 5. Conceptual schematics illustrating scenarios where (A) community structure shifts less inside a MPA than outside, thereby reducing community structure shifts, and (B) community structure shifts more inside a MPA than outside, thereby exacerbating community structure shifts relative to the outside sites. Panel C shows resistance (before-to-during marine heatwave comparison) and recovery (before-to-after marine heatwave comparison) of community structure to the 2014-2016 marine heatwave by marine protected area (MPA) and habitat type along a latitudinal gradient. Point size indicates the Bray-Curtis multivariate distance for resistance or recovery. Therefore, smaller points indicate MPAs that exhibited greater resistance or recovery (i.e., communities inside the MPA shifted smaller multivariate distances). Point color indicates the magnitude of the shift of community structure inside a given MPA relative to its paired outside site. Red shades indicate MPAs where the change in multivariate distance was greater than the paired outside site (i.e., shift exacerbated inside MPAs relative to outside). Blue shades indicate MPAs where the change in multivariate distance was greater in the paired outside site than inside the MPA (i.e., community structure changes were less in the MPA). Note that the rocky intertidal does not use a paired inside-outside sampling design (outside site selection is described in Supplementary Appendix, Methods) and therefore the grey points indicate MPAs without the necessary data from adjacent outside sites. Missing points indicate insufficient data to evaluate changes. SMR = State Marine Reserve (no-take MPA); SMCA = State Marine Conservation Area (partial-take MPA, with a few official and habitat-specific de facto exceptions).

419x419mm (236 x 236 DPI)