

Numerical simulation of surfactant-laden emulsion formation in an un-baffled stirred vessel

Fuyue Liang, Lyes Kahouadji, Juan Pablo Valdes, Seungwon Shin, Jalel

Chergui, Damir Juric, Omar Matar

► To cite this version:

Fuyue Liang, Lyes Kahouadji, Juan Pablo Valdes, Seungwon Shin, Jalel Chergui, et al.. Numerical simulation of surfactant-laden emulsion formation in an un-baffled stirred vessel. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2023, pp.144807. 10.1016/j.cej.2023.144807. hal-04172641

HAL Id: hal-04172641 https://hal.science/hal-04172641

Submitted on 27 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Numerical simulation of surfactant-laden emulsion formation in an un-baffled stirred vessel

Fuyue Liang^{*a*}, Lyes Kahouadji^{*a*}, Juan Pablo Valdes^{*a*}, Seungwon Shin^{*b*}, Jalel Chergui^{*c*}, Damir Juric^{*c,d*} and Omar K. Matar^{*a*}

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

^bDepartment of Mechanical and System Design Engineering, Hongik University, Seoul 04066, Republic of Korea

^cUniversité Paris Saclay, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique (LISN), 91400 Orsay, France

^dDepartment of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Un-baffled stirred mixer Two-phase flow Surfactant Mixing LES

ABSTRACT

The present study aims to elucidate the interplay among the interfacial dynamics, surfactant transport, and underlying flow structure inside a cylindrical stirred vessel equipped with a pitched blade turbine. To address this, massively parallel three-dimensional, interface-tracking, large eddy simulation of oil-in-water dispersions are deployed to provide detailed, realistic visualisations of the intricate interfacial dynamics coupled to the turbulent flow field. In particular, we isolate the effect of surfactant arising from interfacial tension reduction and Marangoni stress (related to surfactant concentration gradient) by comparing two surfactant-laden systems, one being a realistic and experiment-achievable case, and another a simulation-exclusive system where the Marangoni stress is turned off. The comparison consists of qualitative interface visualisation as well as quantitative statistics in terms of dispersed phases counts and their size distribution. Finally, surfactant elasticity is modified with an aim of exploring its effect on the concerned mixing system.

1 1. Introduction

Given the heavy involvement of immiscible liquid mix-2 ing both in our daily life (e.g., food and cosmetics) and in 3 high-end industrial applications (e.g., drug delivery), understanding the interfacial flows under highly dynamic conditions is of practical significance. Surface-active agents (surfactants) are commonly present within such systems, either 7 by design or as contaminants, affecting both the mixing performance and the final quality of the mixed product. It is 0 challenging to generalise the effect of surfactant in a mix-10 ing system as surfactant alters the interfacial behaviors in a 11 complex manner that depends on surfactant distribution on 12 the interface and its interphase transport with the bulk. In ad-13 dition, the dynamic interfacial rheology (though beyond the 14 scope of this work) in such system elevates the complexity 15 of the roles played by surfactant. Consequently, surfactant 16 could either suppress or enhance the drop deformation un-17 der dynamic flow, diverging the mixing metrics of interest, 18 for instance, interfacial area and equilibrium drop size dis-19 tribution. These challenges hamper the understanding and 20 control of interfacial behaviours within a surfactant-laden 21 mixing vessel, which is a prevalent scenario in industry. 22 However, only a few studies have aimed at understand-

However, only a few studies have aimed at understanding liquid dispersion in the presence of surfactant inside a
stirred vessel; most of these studies have addressed the problem regarding the surfactant influence on the final drop sizes
and their distribution, leaving a gap in our understanding
of the underlying physical mechanisms governing the inter-

fuyue.liang18@imperial.ac.uk (F. Liang)
ORCID(s): 0000-0002-4159-6993 (F. Liang)

facial behaviours within such system. The earliest exper-29 iment to study the effect of surfactant on drop sizes is by 30 Lee and Soong [1985] where five liquid-liquid systems and 31 nine types of surfactants were examined. The authors found 32 that the size of drops produced is smaller (relative to the 33 one predicted using correlations based on clean liquid-liquid 34 system) and more uniform (narrower drop size distribution). 35 Later, Koshy et al. [1988] developed a drop breakup model 36 to predict the maximum drop size at various surfactant con-37 centrations arguing that the effect of surfactant on stirred-38 vessel emulsification is not only by the decrease in interfacial 39 tension, but also through the generation of an interfacial ten-40 sion gradient across the interface (and thus Marangoni stress, 41 which, albeit, was not referred to as much in that study). 42 Several subsequent studies have been carried out to expand 43 knowledge in this field by considering surfactant concen-44 tration [Chatzi et al., 1991, El-Hamouz, 2007], rheological 45 properties of surfactant-laden interface [Lucassen-Reynders 46 and Kuijpers, 1992], and the types of surfactant head group 47 [Goloub and Pugh, 2003]. Groeneweg et al. [1994] have 48 shown the appearance of a large number of mini-drops (a bi-49 modal drop size distribution) for the surfactant-laden system, 50 and rationalised that the phenomenon is due to surfactant-51 induced tip-streaming [Eggleton et al., 2001]. More related 52 work has been on the surfactant effect on the flow field mod-53 ification in a stirred vessel; interested readers can refer to 54 Mishra et al. [1998], Arora et al. [2002], Mavros et al. [2011], 55 Montante et al. [2011] and references therein. 56

Nevertheless, interfacial dynamics on a surfactant-laden interface has been well studied by investigating drop deformation subjected to a simple flow field, both in the case of

insoluble [Stone and Leal, 1990, Milliken et al., 1993, Li 60 and Pozrikidis, 1997, Eggleton et al., 1999, 2001, Bazhlekov 61 et al., 2006, Feigl et al., 2007, Soligo et al., 2020a] and solu-62 ble surfactant [Milliken and Leal, 1994, Eggleton and Stebe, 63 1998, Jin et al., 2006, Jin and Stebe, 2007], which leads 64 to several reviews in this field (see, for example, Briscoe 65 et al. [1999], Fischer and Erni [2007]). More recently, Soligo 66 et al. [2019] carried out a direct numerical simulation of 67 surfactant-laden drops dispersed in turbulent flow in which 68 a clear interplay among local flow field (considering shear 69 stress, Marangoni stress, and the effect of Weber number), 70 surfactant concentration and dispersed phase morphology is 71 displayed. In their subsequent work, Soligo et al. [2020b] 72 studied the flow modification introduced by the surfactant-73 laden drop under the same flow conditions, in terms of ve-74 locity profiles, vorticity profiles, and a specifically-defined 75 flow topology parameter [Perry and Chong, 1987]. 76

The short review of the current literature reveals the rel-77 ative scarcity of information available on flow structure and 78 interfacial dynamics in practical mixing units, such as stirred 79 vessels, and more specifically, in the presence of surfactant. 80 The present study attempts to elucidate the interplay among 81 the interfacial deformation, surfactant transport, and under-82 lying flow structure inside a cylindrical un-baffled stirred vessel equipped with a pitched blade turbine. The config-84 uration of the vessel adapted here is partially inspired by 85 the recent investigation performed by Busciglio et al. [2014, 86 2016], where the authors showed the potential of the un-87 baffled stirred vessel as a viable alternative to the baffled 88 one. This is in contrast to the view held more commonly 89 that un-baffled stirred vessels are generally less efficient than 90 their baffled counterparts, which led to the former being less 91 well-studied and their flow and interfacial dynamics less well 92 explored. 93

The underlying physics governing the interfacial dynam-94 ics in the presence of soluble surfactant is modelled accu-95 rately thanks to a hybrid front-tracking/level-set algorithm 06 [Shin et al., 2018], which has been extensively validated in 97 previous studies [Constante-Amores et al., 2021a,b, Liang ٥s et al., 2022]. Combined with this, the massively parallel, 99 three-dimensional, large eddy simulations [Shin et al., 2017] 100 of oil-in-water emulsification are deployed to provide de-101 tailed, realistic visualisations of the interfacial dynamics cou-102 pled to the turbulent flow field, from the onset of impeller 103 rotation through to a state where up to 30 revolutions have 104 completed. 105

The following section (Section 2) will briefly introduce 106 the simulation technique and the governing equations. Sec-107 tion 3 discusses the effect of surfactant on the mixing pro-108 cess, decoupling the influence originating from the interfa-109 cial tension reduction and the Marangoni stress induced by 110 the non-uniform surfactant distribution. Furthermore, we 111 provide a parametric study of the surfactant elasticity (the 112 sensitivity of interfacial tension to the surfactant concentra-113 tion). Finally, concluding remarks, and suggestions for pos-114 sible future work will be provided in Section 4. 115



Figure 1: (*a*) Schematic illustration of the computational domain for the oil-water mixing system: a stirred vessel, equipped with a 4-pitched-blade turbine, is filled with oil in the upper-half (coloured in gold) and water below (coloured in blue); the blades have length *l*, width *h*, and thickness *w*, and are inclined at an angle α to the horizontal. The computational domain is divided into $4 \times 4 \times 6$ subdomains. The number of the Cartesian structured grids per subdomain is 64^3 , which gives a total grid number of $256 \times 256 \times 384$; (*b*) – (*c*) display side- and top-views of the turbine, respectively.

2. Problem Formulation

2.1. Simulation configuration and technique

The configuration considered in this work is shown in 118 Figure 1. It is composed of a cylindrical vessel of diameter 119 T = 8.5 cm and height H = 12.75 cm, filled with water 120 in the lower half and an overlaying oil phase above (volume 121 fraction of oil, $\phi = 0.5$). The viscosity ratio of two liquids is 122 5.4. The impeller employed is a pitched blade turbine (PBT), 123 which is immersed in the water phase. Details of the impeller 124 geometry and the physical properties of two phases are listed 125 in Table 1. 126

2.2. Governing equations

1

With the purpose of studying the dynamics of surfactantladen multiphase mixing inside the stirred vessel, we solved the two-phase Navier-Stokes equations in a Cartesian domain $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in [0, 8.6]^2 \times [0, 12.5]$ cm³, as in our previous work [Liang et al., 2022] but, additionally, interfacial tension alternation is considered:

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}} = 0,\tag{1}$$

$$\begin{split} \rho \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}} \right) &= -\nabla \bar{\rho} \\ + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\mu + \rho C_s^2 \Delta^2 \left| \bar{S} \right| \right) \left(\nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}^T \right) \right] + \rho \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{fsi}} \\ &+ \int_{A_e} \left[\sigma \kappa \mathbf{n} + \nabla_s \sigma \right] \delta_f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_f) \, dA_e. \end{split}$$
(2)

In Eq. (2), $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ and \bar{p} are respectively the ensemble-averaged velocity and pressure; \mathbf{g} is the gravitational acceleration. The 136

116

117

127

134

Table 1

Detailed geometry of the impeller and physical properties of the two phases.

Impeller Geometry [cm]		
diameter, D	4.25	
height, h	1	
thickness, w	0.2	
length, <i>l</i>	2.5	
clearance, C	1	
inclined angle, α [°]	45	
rotation speed, N [rps]	5	
Physical properties		
surfactant-free	0.035	
interfacial tension,		
σ_s [Pa·m]		
oil viscosity, μ_o [Pa $\cdot s$]	5.4×10^{-3}	
water viscosity, μ_w	1.0×10^{-3}	
oil density, ρ_o [kg/m ³]	824	
water density, ρ_w	998	

density, ρ , and the viscosity, μ , are given by:

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho_o + (\rho_w - \rho_o) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mu_o + (\mu_w - \mu_o) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t),$$

where $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ represents a numerical Heaviside function, which 138 is zero in the oil phase and unity in the water phase. The sub-139 scripts are used to indicate the corresponding liquid phases 140 such that w is for water and o refers to oil. In Eq. (2), C_{e} 141 is the Smagorinsky-Lilly coefficient, which is fixed to the 142 value 0.2 as it varies between 0.1-0.3 in the literature [Lilly, 143 1966, 1967, Deardorff, 1970, McMillan and Ferziger, 1979, 144 Pope, 2004]. Next, Δ is equivalent to $V^{1/3}$ where V is the 145 volume of a grid cell, $V = \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$ and $|\bar{S}| = \sqrt{2\bar{S}_{ij}\bar{S}_{ij}}$ 146 with \bar{S}_{ii} being the strain rate tensor [Pope, 2004, Meyers 147 and Sagaut, 2006]. The term \mathbf{F}_{fsi} denotes the solid-fluid in-148 teraction force, and the last term on the right-hand-side in 149 Eq. (2) accounts for the local interfacial force, which is de-150 composed into its normal component, $\sigma \kappa \mathbf{n}$, associated to the 151 mean interface tension, and its tangential component, $\nabla_s \sigma$, 152 the Marangoni stress; here, κ is twice the mean interface cur-153 vature, ∇_s is the surface gradient operator (i.e., $\nabla - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)$), 154 **n** is the outward-pointing unit normal to the interface, and A_{ρ} 155 is the normalised area of a triangular Lagrangian mesh ele-156 ment, e. Finally, \mathbf{x}_f is a parameterization of the interface, 157 and $\delta_f (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_f)$ is a Dirac distribution that is non-zero only 158 when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_f$. 159

In the present work, surfactant is soluble in the bulk (the continuous phase), such that surfactant transport is resolved both in the bulk and on the interface. The interfacial concentration, Γ , is governed by:

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} + \nabla_s \cdot (\Gamma \mathbf{u}_t) = D_s \nabla_s^2 \Gamma + J.$$
(3)

More specifically, the left-hand-side terms represent the transient transport and the convection caused by the bulk flow, respectively, where \mathbf{u}_t is the tangential velocity vector on the 166 interface, which is computed from the interface velocity, \mathbf{u}_{s} , 167 via $\mathbf{u}_t = (\mathbf{u}_s \cdot \mathbf{t}) \mathbf{t}$, with \mathbf{t} being the unit vector tangential 168 to the interface. The first term on the right corresponds to 169 interface diffusion, and D_s is the surfactant diffusivity in the 170 plane of the interface; J accounts for the mass flux from 171 the bulk. In general, the flux from the bulk is determined 172 by surfactant adsorption which could be divided into two 173 time-dependent processes: the diffusion of surfactant from 174 the bulk phase (diffusion flux, J_{diff}) and the adsorption onto 175 the interface (adsorption/desorption flux, $J_{a/d}$). Firstly, $J_{a/d}$ 176 can be written as: 177

$$J_{a/d} = k_a C_{sub} (\Gamma_{\infty} - \Gamma) - k_d \Gamma, \qquad (4)$$

where k_a and k_d are the kinetic coefficients for adsorption and desorption, respectively, and Γ_{∞} is the saturation concentration on the interface; $C_{\rm sub}$ represents the surfactant bulk concentration evaluated at the layer immediately adjacent to the interface, which is known as the bulk "subphase". This sub-phase concentration is determined by diffusion from the bulk:

$$\boldsymbol{U}_{\text{diff}} = -\boldsymbol{D}_b \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{C}|_{\text{sub}},\tag{5}$$

where D_b refers to the diffusivity in the bulk phase, and C_{105} is the surfactant concentration in the bulk which is governed by the convection-diffusion equation as follows: 187

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla C = D_b \nabla^2 C. \tag{6}$$

To satisfy conservation of surfactant, the diffusive flux from the bulk, Eq. (5), balances the kinetic sorptive flux, Eq. (4), and both mechanisms govern the flux to the interface [Eggleton and Stebe, 1998, Manikantan and Squires, 2020]. Hence, 192

$$J = J_{a/d} = J_{diff}.$$
 (7)

When surfactant adsorbs onto the interface, the interfacial tension decreases from its clean surface value, σ_s . The Langmuir relation is used herein to describe such an effect:

$$\sigma = \sigma_s + \mathcal{R}T\Gamma_{\infty} \ln\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\infty}}\right) = \sigma_s \left[1 + \beta_s \ln\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\infty}}\right)\right],$$
(8)

Herein, \mathcal{R} denotes the ideal gas constant, T the temperature, 196 and the dimensionless parameter β_s is defined as surfactant 197 elasticity, which measures the sensitivity of the interfacial 198 tension to the surfactant concentration. This equation de-199 scribes the fact that the interfacial tension drops steeply as 200 the surfactant concentration approaches unity from below 201 [Chang and Franses, 1995]. However, this relation could 202 predict unrealistic behaviours namely that the interfacial ten-203 sion becomes negative for finite value of Γ . To avoid this, the 204 relation is modified as follows: 205

$$\sigma/\sigma_s = \max\left[0.05, 1 + \beta_s \ln\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\infty}}\right)\right].$$
 (9)

(11)

The governing equations are recast in dimensionless formaccording to the following scaling:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \frac{\mathbf{x}}{D}, \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{1/N}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}}{ND}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}}{g}, \\ \tilde{p} &= \frac{\bar{p}}{\rho_w (ND)^2}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{\mu_w}, \quad \tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\rho_w}, \\ \tilde{\sigma} &= \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_s}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\infty}}, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{C}{C_0}, \quad \tilde{C}_{\text{sub}} = \frac{C_{\text{sub}}}{C_0}, \end{split}$$
(10)

where the tildes indicate the dimensionless quantities. Here, 208 space \mathbf{x} and time t are made non-dimensional by the im-209 peller diameter, D, and the duration for one impeller rota-210 tion revolution, 1/N, respectively. Hence, the velocity and 211 pressure are scaled using the impeller velocity, ND, and 212 $\rho_w (ND)^2$, respectively. Moreover, C_0 denotes the initial 213 surfactant concentration in the bulk. On this basis, the gov-214 erning equations become: 215

216

 $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = 0.$

$$\tilde{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) + \nabla \tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mu} \left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^T \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\tilde{\rho} 2 C_s^2 \tilde{\Delta}^2 \left| \tilde{S} \right| \right) \left(\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^T \right) + \frac{1}{\text{Fr}} \tilde{\rho} \tilde{\mathbf{g}} + \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\text{fsi}} + \frac{1}{\text{We}} \left(\int_{\tilde{A}_e} \left(\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\kappa} \mathbf{n} + \nabla_s \tilde{\sigma} \right) \delta_f (\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_f) d\tilde{A}_e \right).$$
(12)

217

220

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \nabla_s \cdot \left(\tilde{\Gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_t \right) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Pe}_s} \nabla_s^2 \tilde{\Gamma} + \tilde{J}, \tag{13}$$

$$\tilde{J}_{a/d} = \operatorname{Bi}\left[k\tilde{C}_{\operatorname{sub}}(1-\tilde{\Gamma}) - \tilde{\Gamma}\right],\tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{J}_{\text{diff}} = -\frac{1}{\text{Pe}_b h} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \tilde{C}|_{\text{sub}}$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{C} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Pe}_b} \nabla^2 \tilde{C}, \tag{16}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \max\left[0.05, \ 1 + \beta_s \ln\left(1 - \tilde{\Gamma}\right)\right]. \tag{17}$$

In these equations, the dimensionless parameters are defined as follows:

 $Re = \frac{\rho_w N D^2}{\mu_w}, \quad Fr = \frac{N^2 D}{g}, \quad We = \frac{\rho_w N^2 D^3}{\sigma_s},$ $Pe_b = \frac{N D^2}{D_b}, \quad Pe_s = \frac{N D^2}{D_s}, \quad Bi = \frac{k_d}{N}, \quad (18)$ $h = \frac{\Gamma_{\infty}}{DC_0}, \quad k = \frac{k_a C_0}{k_d}, \quad \beta_s = \frac{R T \Gamma_{\infty}}{\sigma_s}.$

Re, Fr and We are the Reynolds number (ratio of inertial to viscous forces), Froude number (ratio of inertial to gravitational force) and Weber number (ratio of inertial forces to interfacial tension), respectively. Pe_b and Pe_s are the bulk and interfacial Peclét numbers, separately providing the relative significance of convection and diffusion in the bulk and on the interface. The Biot number, Bi, expresses the ratio of characteristic desorptive to convective speed on the inter-228 face; h stands for the adsorption depth that characterises the 229 diluted region beneath the interface caused by adsorption; 230 k is the adsorption parameter, which represents the ratio of 231 adsorption coefficient, k_a , to desorption coefficient, k_d . All 232 these parameters are well known in literature [Batchvarov 233 et al., 2020, 2021, Constante-Amores et al., 2020, 2021a,b, 234 2023]. For simplicity, the tildes that designate dimension-235 less quantities are dropped henceforth. Finally, the dimen-236 sionless timescale we use in the following discussion, in the 237 form of $t = n \times Rev$, refers to the instant when n impeller 238 revolutions are completed. 230

To achieve high-fidelity simulation regarding the dynamic 240 interface and its interfacial tension forces, we use a hybrid 241 front tracking/level-set technique, which is known as the Level 242 Contour Reconstruction Method (LCRM) [Shin et al., 2018], 243 where the surfactant transport and interfacial stresses shown 244 above are well-resolved. Adaptive time steps are applied 245 in our temporal discretisation process, which is based on 246 second-order GEAR method, and the time-step value Δt is 247 carefully chosen at each temporal iteration in order to satisfy 248 a robust numerical stability via a criterion based on: 249

$$\Delta t \le \min\left\{\Delta t_{\rm cap}, \, \Delta t_{\rm vis}, \, \Delta t_{\rm CFL}, \, \Delta t_{\rm int}, \, \Delta t_{\rm surf}\right\}$$
(19)

where Δt_{cap} , Δt_{vis} , Δt_{CFL} , Δt_{int} , and Δt_{surf} represent the capillary, viscous, Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL), interfacial CFL, and surfactant time-steps, respectively, defined by:

$$\begin{split} \Delta t_{\rm cap} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\left(\rho_o + \rho_w \right) \Delta x_{\rm min}^3}{\pi \sigma} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \Delta t_{\rm vis} &\equiv \min \left(\frac{\rho_w}{\mu_w}, \frac{\rho_o}{\mu_o} \right) \frac{\Delta x_{\rm min}^2}{6}, \\ \Delta t_{\rm CFL} &\equiv \min_j \left(\min_{\rm domain} \left(\frac{\Delta x_j}{\|u_j\|} \right) \right), \\ \Delta t_{\rm int} &\equiv \min_j \left(\min_{\tilde{A}_e(t)} \left(\frac{\Delta x_j}{\|U\|} \right) \right), \\ \Delta t_{\rm surf} &\equiv \frac{\Delta x_{\rm min}^2}{6D_b}, \end{split}$$

where $\Delta x_{\min} = \min_j (\Delta x_j)$ refers to the minimum size x at a given cell *j*; u_j , and *U* are the maximum fluid and interface velocities, respectively. 252

In addition, the Direct Forcing Method used by Mohd-Yusof [1997] and Fadlun et al. [2000] is applied to incorporate the complex geometry of the impeller and its rotation. More information on the numerical technique applied to computation and related validations can be found in [Russell et al., 2019, Kahouadji et al., 2022, Liang et al., 2022].

2.3. Parameter values adopted in simulations

In our previous work [Liang et al., 2022], we investigated the interface evolution under a range of impeller speeds (f = 1 - 10 Hz, Re = 1802 - 18026). Herein, we fixed the impeller speed to f = 5 Hz, since our concern is currently on the effect of surfactant. This gives values of Re= 9013, 264

259

We= 55, and Fr= 0.1. The large Weber number which char-265 acterises our flow indicates the heavy dominance of inertial 266 forces in the system; in addition, as we present in the next 267 section, complex interacting structures (or vortices) are gen-268 erated (see Figure 2). Hence, the studied system, especially 269 the region near the impeller, is treated as turbulent, where the 270 physics we extract is therefore generic to turbulent oil-water 271 emulsification in stirred vessels. 272

To investigate the surfactant effect, we set up a "base" 273 surfactant-laden case with surfactant-related parameters fixed 274 to $C_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ mol m⁻³, $k_a = 6.68 \times 10^4$ m³ mol⁻¹s⁻¹, $k_d = 3.14s^{-1}$, and $\Gamma_{\infty} = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol m⁻². In particular, C_0 is calculated via $C_0 = \Gamma_{\infty}/R$, where *R* is the radius of 275 276 277 the impeller, as a reference bulk concentration, which is also 278 chosen as its initial value. Typical values for the surfactant 279 adsorption and desorption coefficients, k_a and k_d , are quoted 280 from relevant reviews provided in [Pan et al., 1998, Dong 281 et al., 2000, He et al., 2015], where k_d is claimed to be within 282 the range of $O(10^{-4}) < k_d [s^{-1}] < O(10^2)$ depending on 283 the chemical structure of the surfactant molecules, while k_a 284 is in the range $O(10^{-1}) < k_a \left[\text{m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \right] < O(10^6)$. 285 In our base case, k_a and k_d are selected as the listed val-286 ues exploring the scenario where the surfactant is inclined 287 to absorb onto the interface, with a ratio of adsorption to 288 desorption $k = k_a C_0 / k_d \approx 10$. In this limit, combined 289 with the value of Bi determined via k_d/N (= $O(10^{-1})$ indi-290 cating a fast convection driven by ambient flow in compar-291 ison to surfactant desorption), the adsorbed surfactant can 292 approximately perform as an insoluble layer. In addition, 203 the maximum packing concentration at the interface is set 294 to $\Gamma_{\infty} \approx O(10^{-5})$ mol m⁻², as the same order of magnitude 295 reported in experimental results for the surfactant such as 296 Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS) [Chang and Franses, 1995, 297 Levchenko et al., 2002]. This gives the values of $\beta_s \approx 0.7$ 298 computed using the room temperature, T = 298.15K, and 299 $h \approx 0.5$. An upper and lower limit of β_s , in addition to the 300 base case, are investigated to recover the effect of surfactant 301 sensitivity (i.e., $\beta_s = 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$). 302

We also fix both Peclét numbers in the bulk and on the 303 interface as $Pe_b = Pe_s = 4.6 \times 10^2$. This comes from 304 the recent remarks from Constante-Amores et al. [2021a,b] 305 and Kamat et al. [2018] stating that negligible effect arises 306 from Pe_s when it is increased further above 10^2 ; moreover, 307 Pe_{h} is kept equal to Pe_{s} as suggested in Constante-Amores 308 et al. [2021a], which also follows the guideline given by Rat-309 ulowski and Chang [1990] to achieve a balance between ad-310 sorption and interfacial convection, assuring both convective 311 and diffusive transport are resolved in the simulated system. 312 Finally, as mentioned previously, a second effect from 313 surfactant arises from the Marangoni stress, which is induced 314

surfactant arises from the Marangoni stress, which is induced
due to the surfactant concentration gradient and hence can be
computed via Γ:

$$\tau \equiv \nabla_s \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{t} = -\frac{\beta_s}{1-\Gamma} \left(\mathbf{t} \cdot \nabla_s \Gamma \right). \tag{20}$$

To isolate this effect from that induced by the interfacial tension reduction, another surfactant-laden case is set up where the Marangoni stress is turned off by eliminating the term $\nabla_s \tilde{\sigma}$ from Eq. (2). This additional case allows us to unequivocally elucidate the mechanisms by which the interfacial tension reduction and surfactant concentration gradient conspire to modify the surfactant-free interface dynamics. 322

324

337

354

3. Results and Discussion

In this section, we present a discussion of our results, 325 starting with a comparison of the current predictions against 326 our previous work where we studied the flow profiles and in-327 terfacial dynamics inside a surfactant-free agitated vessel (of 328 identical configurations) [Liang et al., 2022]. Using qualita-329 tive visualisation and quantitative statistics (i.e., time evolu-330 tion of dispersed entity number and drop size distribution at 331 the instant where the simulation is terminated), the compar-332 ison provides an insight into how the presence of surfactant 333 modifies the liquid dispersion process in the studied system. 334 We then demonstrate the effect of surfactant elasticity (β_s) 335 via a parametric study. 336

3.1. Surfactant-free system from previous work

Prior to the discussion of the roles played by the sur-338 factant, the flow field generated in the current configura-339 tion, and surfactant-free interface evolution are briefly con-340 sidered. Generally, as presented in Figure 2, the impeller 341 pumps the flow radially outward (analogous to the von Kár-342 mán flow over a infinite rotating disc [Schlichting and Kestin, 343 1961]). The no-slip fixed vessel wall modifies this flow, 344 along which the flow develops upward to the interface. After 345 this, the flow is dragged to the vessel center by a centrifugal 346 force at the interface. Finally, a strong elongational flow is 347 presented in the vessel center, which indicates a large ten-348 dency for the fluid to travel down back to the impeller, giving 349 rise to flow circulation. Such a process generates a myriad 350 of vortical structures and therefore a flow field of high com-351 plexity where different mechanisms govern the drop defor-352 mation depending on the local flow type [Paul et al., 2004]. 353

Following the flow field described, the liquid dispersion 355 in the studied configuration is achieved by following several 356 steps: (1) interface deformation: the rotating impeller de-357 forms the flat interface downward in a swirling motion un-358 til both contact; (2) ligament formation: the impeller shear 350 cuts off the deforming interface giving birth to ligament(s); 360 (3) drop breakup: the ligament elongates until it breaks into 361 multiple individual drops via a capillary instability (see Fig-362 ure 6, and detailed mechanism has been described in [Janssen 363 and Meijer, 1993] as "transient dispersion" or "capillary breakup" Likewise, this breakup mechanism is analogous to the "end-365 pinching" phenomenon described in Janssen et al. [1994], 366 which refers to the breakup of an elongated drop after a sud-367 den halt of the flow rate, where the flow rate interruption 368 corresponds to the inherently periodic velocity field [Wu and 369 Patterson, 1989, Li et al., 2017, Fan et al., 2021] introduced 370 by the impeller rotation herein. Particularly for the current 371 impeller speed, there exits a retraction of the deforming in-372 terface (due to the interfacial tension competing against the 373



Figure 2: Flow field prior to the interface deformation ($t = 8 \times Rev$.) for a surfactant-free system, represented by streamlines drawn on a x - z plane at y = 4.3cm. The inset of the three-dimensional vessel is coloured by the velocity magnitude, and the blue arrows shown in the close-up view (representing velocity orientations) are scaled by the velocity magnitude.

elongational flow), which leads to (4) *the cessation of interface- impeller contact* and no further ligaments being produced.
Meanwhile, the dispersed entities are likely to travel up to
the overlying oil phase given the underlying flow field, and
in addition, due to buoyancy.

379 3.2. Surfactant effect on interface evolution

In this part, we compare three cases with regard to the interface evolution described above including (1) surfactantfree system from our previous work, (2) surfactant-laden I $(|\tau| > 0)$, and (3) surfactant-laden II with Marangoni stress turned off $(|\tau| = 0)$.

385 3.2.1. Step 1: Interface deformation

Figure 3 presents the interfacial shapes at early times 386 $(t = 8.5 - 12 \times Rev.)$ for the three cases, where the interface 38 begins to deviate from its flat position, and a few dispersed 388 entities are formed. The first observation that can be drawn 389 from the figure is that the deformation of the surfactant-laden 390 interface (I) is accelerated in comparison to its surfactant-391 free counterpart (see Figure 3-(a) - (b) and -(f) - (g)). This 392 is expected since the presence of surfactant reduces the av-393 erage interfacial tension, and therefore, promotes the inter-394 face deformation under the same flow condition. However, 395 Figure 3-(c) and -(h) show that the deforming interfaces for 396 the two cases subsequently reach similar positions (relative 397 height in the vessel). 398

To better illuminate the observation, Figure 4-(*a*) depicts the deformation by plotting the temporal evolution, in terms of the minimum position of the interface and the corresponding axial component of interfacial velocity at that location (as schematically shown in Figure 3-(*a*)). The position refers to the relative height in the cylindrical vessel which is scaled using the height of vessel, $\tilde{z} = (2z - H)/H$, and only the magnitude of velocity is plotted as $|u_{\tau}|$, since it always points 406 downward during this step. This plot shows that while the in-407 terface approaches the impeller (decreasing \tilde{z}), $|u_z|$ increases 408 to a peak value and then a reduction is seen. A similar con-409 clusion as above, that the accelerated deformation is asso-410 ciated with the surfactant-laden interface (I), can be made 411 prior to the velocity peak. It is also evident that the subse-412 quent decrease in $|u_z|$ is more significant for the surfactant-413 laden case I. This can be explained by the fact that surfactant 414 on the deforming interface is accumulated at its minimum 415 position (i.e., the descending leading edge of the interface), 416 which introduces an opposing Marangoni stress pointing up-417 ward. Figure 4-(b) exemplifies the surfactant distribution 418 on the interface at $t = 9 \times Rev$. As shown, the induced 419 Marangoni stress, which opposes the downward flow at the 420 vessel center, acts to decelerate the interface deformation. A 421 possible explanation to the overall acceleration prior to the 422 velocity peak can be the dominance of the inertia, namely 423 the strong downward flow where the retraction driven by 424 the Marangoni stress is negligible. After that, the interface 425 reaches the vicinity of the impeller, at which the flow field 426 starts to develop radially, and therefore, the vertical defor-427 mation of interface slows down. Thus, the retarding effect 428 of the Marangoni stress [Eggleton et al., 2001, Kamat et al., 429 2018] commences to function, causing the further decrease 430 in $|u_{z}|$ seen for the surfactant-laden case I. This process of 431 deformation is clearly described via \tilde{z} in Figure 4-(a) that, 432 at $t = 10 \times Rev.$, the surfactant-laden interface (I) reaches 433 the same height in the vessel as the surfactant-free interface 434 after the acceleration and the subsequent deceleration. 435

Moreover, the effect of Marangoni stress demonstrated 436 above can be better appreciated via the surfactant-laden sys-437 tem II. The visualisation of the Marangoni-free interface in-438 dicates that Marangoni stress plays a role in retaining the 439 plump and thick shape of the deforming interface, as well as 440 the relatively uniform surfactant concentration over the inter-441 face. The evidence can be seen in Figure 3-(k), which indi-442 cates that the Marangoni-free interface is in a slender shape 443 with varying interfacial surfactant concentration, as opposed 444 to what is shown for the surfactant-laden system in the pres-445 ence of Marangoni stress. Additionally, from Figure 4-(a), 446 decelerating deformation occurs for the Marangoni-free sys-447 tem while the interface is near the impeller. However, the 448 "braking" (i.e., $|u_z| \rightarrow 0$ and the negligible change in \tilde{z}) ob-449 served for the other two cases no longer takes place, which 450 provides additional support to the previous statement that 451 Marangoni stress leads to further deceleration of interface 452 deformation in addition to that which arises due to the radially-453 developing flow field. 454

Another interesting phenomenon is the formation of the 455 "branches" at the surfactant-laden interface (I) (see Figure 3-456 (*i*)). Such phenomenon can be related to the fact that given 457 the underlying flow described above, where the flow devel-458 ops toward the vessel wall, ligaments are prone to be formed 459 elongating radially behind the impeller hub. Furthermore, 460 this can be better demonstrated using the flow topology pa-461 rameter, Q, which was first used in Perry and Chong [1987] 462 Numerical simulation of surfactant-laden emulsion formation in an un-baffled stirred vessel



Figure 3: Comparative evolution of interfacial shapes for the surfactant-free (top), surfactant-laden I ($|\tau| > 0$, middle) and surfactant-laden II ($|\tau| = 0$, bottom) systems for $t = 8.5 - 12 \times Rev$. The interface is coloured by the magnitude of Γ . The values of surfactant-related parameters correspond to the "base" case (see Section 2.3).

and recently became popular in the field of multiphase flows [Soligo et al., 2020b]. This parameter helps distinguish among the three types of flow topology locally and is computed using the rate-of-deformation, \mathcal{D} , and rate-of-rotation tensors, Ω :

$$Q = \frac{D^2 - \Omega^2}{D^2 + \Omega^2} \begin{cases} = -1, & \text{for rotational flow} \\ = 0, & \text{for shear flow} \\ = +1, & \text{for elongational flow,} \end{cases}$$
(21)

where $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D}$: \mathcal{D} and $\Omega^2 = \Omega$: Ω ; \mathcal{D} and Ω can be calculated from the velocity gradient tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}$:

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T}{2},$$
$$\Omega = \frac{\nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla \mathbf{u}^T}{2}.$$

Figure 5 compares the probability density function (P.D.F.) 468 of parameter Q at the surfactant-free and surfactant-laden 469 interfaces (I) at $t = 11.5 \times Rev.$, corresponding to Fig-470 ure 3-(d) and -(i), respectively. In this case, the statistics 471 are only computed at the interface, which excludes the effect 472 of internal and external flow enabling us to isolate the flow 473 modification induced by the interfacial tension reduction. 474 Nevertheless, albeit not presented herein, negligible differ-475 ence is observed in terms of the internal and external flow 476

types for both systems (dominated by the pure shear flows), 477 which indicates that the flow modification is mainly at the 478 interface. As can be seen from Figure 5, for a surfactant-479 covered interface (I), there is a decreasing possibility of see-480 ing elongation-dominated flow, while a larger probability to 481 experience shear-like flow is observed. This could be a pos-482 sible explanation for "branch" formation as the deforming 483 interface is more likely to be torn apart, rather than being 484 stretched, under the modified flow topology. Consequently, 485 these "branches" give way to ligament development accom-486 panied by dispersed drop production via a surfactant-induced 487 tip-streaming mechanism (more discussion in the following 488 Section 3.2.2). In contrast, as shown in Figure 3-(e), the 489 surfactant-free interface keeps elongating and thinning, fol-490 lowing a helical trajectory due to the impeller rotation, until 491 the first ligament is formed ($\approx 12.375 \times Rev.$, which is not 492 presented in the figure). 493

For the Marangoni-free system, a sequence of thin lig-494 aments are produced at the minimum position of the inter-495 face (Figure 3-(l), (m)), quickly followed by the formation 496 of highly surfactant-concentrated drops (Figure 3-(n), (o)), 497 all of which is a consequence of the plummeting interfa-498 cial tension that takes place in regions with surfactant sur-499 plus. These observations, once again, reflect the fact that 500 Marangoni stress is activated to avoid surfactant from accu-501



Figure 4: (*a*) Temporal evolution of the interfacial deformation for the three studied cases for $t = 7 - 10 \times Rev$. with regard to the minimum position of the deforming interface (\tilde{z} shown by symbols) and the magnitude of the velocity of the vertical interface deformation ($|u_z|$ shown by lines); (*b*) the interplay between the flow field (streamlines in blue along with black arrows representing their directions) at the vessel center and the induced Marangoni stresses (red arrows) at the deforming interface for $t = 9 \times Rev$. The interface is coloured by the magnitude of Γ . The surfactant-related parameter values remain unchanged from Figure 3.



Figure 5: Probability density function of parameter Q for surfactant-free and surfactant-laden I interfaces at $t = 11.5 \times Rev$.. The interfaces are coloured by Q. The surfactant-related parameters are the same as in Figure 3.

mulating in excess at the minimum position of the interface. The absence of this phenomenon is directly responsible for the "free" escape and detachment of ligaments from the deforming interface, as seen in Figure 3-(m), (n).

506 3.2.2. Steps 2&3: Ligament and drop formation

As indicated earlier, during Steps 2 and 3, a large amount of dispersed entities is produced via distinct mechanisms. In our previous work, we suggested that following the ligament generation, the dispersed drops in surfactant-free system are

formed via a capillary instability (see Figure 6), where one 511 elongated ligament gives birth to multiple individual drops. 512 For the surfactant-laden I system, an additional drop breakup 513 mechanism is promoted, namely tip-streaming/dropping [Groe 514 neweg et al., 1994, Eggleton et al., 2001]. Figure 6 displays 515 the close-up visualisations of various drop breakup events 516 observed in the surfactant-free and surfactant-laden I sys-517 tems (during $t = 12 - 18 \times Rev.$), exemplifying those via 518 capillary instability and tip-streaming. For the former mech-519 anism, two examples from different systems are presented, 520 whereas two scenarios for surfactant-induced tip-streaming 521 are extracted from the surfactant-laden I system. The first tip 522 streaming is initiated from the deforming interface, which 523 leads to an individual drop formation prior to long ligament 524 formation, in contrast to what we observed from the surfactant- 525 free system (see Section 3.1). This can be an influencing fac-526 tor on the transient dispersed entities number profile (more 527 details are deferred to Section 3.3.1). Likewise, in the sec-528 ond case, where the breakup is from an elongated ligament, 529 tip-streaming generates drops intermittently, leaving a large 530 and relatively clean (i.e., covered by less surfactant) drop af-531 ter emission of several daughter drops. 532

An interesting feature for the Marangoni-free case is that 533 drop breakup via capillary instability is suppressed, and dis-534 persed drops are formed via either binary breakup from larger 535 drops or tip-streaming from stretching ligaments. Figure 7-536 (a) illustrates the effect of Marangoni stresses on the stretch-537 ing ligament prior to its breakup via capillary instability (us-538 ing the example shown in Figure 6). As presented, Marangoni 539 stresses play different roles on each end of the ligament de-540



Figure 6: Close-up visualisations of drop breakup mechanisms observed in surfactant-free system (left) at $t = 16.375 \times Rev.$, and surfactant-laden I system, at t = 11.625, 12.375, $15.4 \times Rev$. (from second left to right, respectively). The presented breakup events are via the capillary instability (left two columns), and surfactant-enhanced tip-streaming (right two columns). Two scenarios for tip-streaming are given, one initiated from the deforming interface and another from an elongated ligament. The surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

pending on the local surfactant distribution. First, for the 541 ligament pole labeled as A, surfactant is accumulated at the 542 bulbous end, which introduces a Marangoni stress towards 543 the ligament body, impeding the formation of an individ-544 ual drop. On the other hand, surfactant concentration at the 545 pole B is lower relative to the nodule region, giving rise 546 to a Marangoni stress pointing outward and thus enhancing 547 the ligament elongation. From this perspective, Marangoni 548 stress encourages the commencement of breakup events driven 549 by capillary instability by facilitating the ligament's stretch-550 ing motion and inhibiting drops from bursting at the poles. 551 This effect, combined with the promotion of tip-streaming, 552 leads to the observation that both mechanisms exist in the 553 surfactant-laden I system. 554

Nevertheless, in the case of drop deformation, it is common to see the same surfactant distribution profile as in the

pole A, since surfactant tends to be swept towards the poles. 557 As stated in the discussion related to Step 1, Marangoni stress 558 acts as a stabilising factor against drop deformation, either 559 delaying or even avoiding the new drop production in this 560 step. Figure 7-(b) provides evidence of this by comparing the 561 behaviour of one drop located in the vicinity of the impeller 562 at $t = 15.5 \times Rev$. for both surfactant-laden systems ($|\tau| = 0$ 563 and $|\tau| > 0$ shown at the top and bottom of the figure, re-564 spectively). The critical Weber number for drop breakup has 565 been reported for various types of flows, which consistently 566 lies in the range of $O(10^1)$, for instance, We_{crit} ≈ 1 for tur-567 bulent emulsification [Hinze, 1955, Håkansson et al., 2022], 568 $We_{crit} \approx 3 - 7$ in the cases of uniaxial shear and elongation 569 flow [Grace, 1982] and nonuniform shear [Chin and Han, 570 1980]. Based on this, both drops are likely to break given 571 their sizes $(D_{d,|\tau|>0} = 0.53 \text{ cm} \text{ and } D_{d,|\tau|=0} = 0.40 \text{ cm},$ 572



Figure 7: Visualisations of Marangoni stresses under different scenarios: (a) ligament elongation prior to its fragmentation towards multiple drops; (b) drop deformation where the evolving drops are coloured using σ ; and (c) evolution of the deforming interface shape. The insets consist of two identical interfacial states coloured in Γ and τ , respectively; the negative sign of τ represents its direction, and the grey arrows refers to the direction of Marangoni stresses. The surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

obtained as the corresponding sphere diameter of its interfa-573 cial area) and their local Weber numbers (We_{$|\tau|>0$} = 103.6 574 and $We_{|\tau|=0} = 93.2$, calculated with the impeller tip veloc-575 ity and average interfacial tension at the interface). How-576 ever, it is evident that the drop with Marangoni stress turned 577 on retracts to its spherical shape rather than the predicted 578 drop fragmentation according to We_{crit}. This is attributed 579 to, as shown in the figure inset, the existence of Marangoni 580 stress pointing from drop poles to drop equator. This com-581 parison strengthens what has been demonstrated previously 582 that Marangoni stress hinders elongation and induces the retraction of the drop back to its spherical shape. Furthermore, 584 the presence of Marangoni stress reduces the deformability 585 of the deforming interface making it more rigid as shown 586 in Figure 7-(c). From the figure, surfactant is accumulated 587 at the stretching tip of the deforming interface and is less 588

concentrated at the belly, which establishes a surfactant concentration gradient. Consequently, the induced Marangoni stress immobilises the interface preventing it from deforming into multiple branches (as shown for the Marangoni-free case), which is inclined to generate dispersed drops freely.

3.2.3. Step 4: Cessation of interface-impeller contact 594

As mentioned previously, the deforming interface retracts 595 upward at $t = 20 \times Rev$, leading to the cessation of interface-596 impeller contact thereafter. Figure 8-(a) exemplifies the in-597 terfacial behaviours for the three cases at $t = 25 \times Rev$. As 598 shown, the interface remains in a generally concave shape, 599 which shows some resemblance to the well-known "New-600 ton's Bucket" flow generated in a rotating cylinder [Laymon, 601 1978, Jansson et al., 2006, Kahouadji and Witkowski, 2014], 602 or the air-water surface deflection in the shape of an inverted 603



Figure 8: (a) Interfacial shapes for the three studied systems at $t = 25 \times Rev.$, along with a similar inset showing the direction and magnitude of Marangoni stress as in Figure 7; (b) exemplified interfacial evolution in the surfactant-laden I system presenting the different outcomes depending on dispersed drop behaviours. (i) Coalescence: ligament formation from consequent larger drop (circled in blue) elongation; (ii) merging back with the deforming interface: recurring ligament formation (circled in red) from the deforming interface. The surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

bell observed in rotating flows [Vatistas, 1990]. Herein, given 60 the current impeller speed, the surfactant-free interface ro-605 tates following the impeller motion and no further ligaments 606 are generated. In contrast, intermittent ligament formation 607 is seen for the two surfactant-laden systems despite the ces-608 sation of of interface-impeller contact. This is presumably 609 caused by the surfactant accumulation at the minimum posi-610 tion of the interface which decreases the interfacial tension 611 that would otherwise have counteracted ligament formation. 612 In addition, the visualisation of interface in the presence of 613 Marangoni stress highlights its increased rigidity (circled in 614 orange in Figure 8-(a)) relative to its Marangoni-free coun-615 terpart, which indicates again the immobilising effect of the 616 Marangoni stress against interfacial deformation. 617

It is also worth noting that the dispersed drop tends to 618 either coalesce with one another or merge back with the "in-619 verted bell". Each case leads to different outcomes, as exem-620 plified by the interfacial evolution in the case of surfactant-621 laden I system, as displayed in Figure 8-(b). In the first 622 scenario, an emergent interfacial structure (circled in blue) 623 formed from a coalescence event is elongated by the local 624 flow and broken up again into several smaller drops. For 625 the latter case, ligament production from the deforming in-626

terface (circled in red) eventually recurs given that adequate dispersed drops are merged back with the deforming interface. 628

3.3. Comparative statistics

3.3.1. Temporal evolution of dispersed entity number

In this part, the transient count of dispersed entities is 632 tracked with the aim of quantifying the qualitative interfa-633 cial behaviours described above. The four steps of liquid 634 dispersion (see Section 3.1) could be related to three stages 635 of the transient number profile of dispersed entities (which 636 can be either a stretching ligament or an individual drop). 637 Figure 9-(a) presents the number profile along with the in-638 terfacial shape at the corresponding instants. Initially, no 639 dispersed drop (Stage A, shown by dashed lines) formation 640 is observed during the interface deformation (Step 1) since 641 the interface is approaching the impeller. Then, the onset of 642 ligament formation and subsequent breakup events (Steps 2) 643 and 3) lead to a dramatic increase (Stage B, shown by solid 644 lines) in the dispersed entity count. Finally, the cessation of 645 impeller-interface contact (Step 4) gives rise to a gentle fall-646 off (Stage C, shown by dotted lines) as the dispersed drops 647 tend to coalesce, or merge back with the overlying oil-phase 648

630

631



Figure 9: (*a*) Temporal evolution of dispersed entities number for surfactant-free, surfactant-laden I, and surfactant-laden II systems for a time frame of $t = 7 - 30 \times Rev$, along with the representative interfacial shapes corresponding to the four steps of liquid dispersion (at $t = 9, 13, 15, 23.5 \times Rev$., exemplified by the surfactant-free case). This profile is divided into three stages: (A) no dispersed drop, (B) dramatic increase and (C) gentle fall-off, which are respectively shown by dashed, solid, and dotted lines; (*b*) fitted drop size distribution (Probability Density Function with respect to log-scale of normalised drop volume) at $t = 26 \times Rev$. for the three cases. The surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

(see close-up views in Figure 9-(a)), while less or no new dispersed drops are formed.

The first distinction among the three cases is the com-651 pression of Stage A corresponding to a shortened duration 652 of interface deformation. This can be explained with the ac-653 celerated interface deformation as demonstrated above. Fur-654 thermore, we noted here the distinguished increasing pat-655 terns at early Stage B for the three cases, as highlighted in the 656 orange rectangle in Figure 9-(a). The abrupt jump seen for 657 the surfactant-free system comes naturally from the breakup 658 events via the capillary instability. By comparison, a mild in-659 crease appears prior to the steep rise for the surfactant-laden 660 I system, which is the result of the early drop breakup events 661 via tip-streaming from the deforming interface. Again, the 662 early and more pronounced increase for the Marangoni-free 663 system reflects the inhibiting effect of Marangoni stress on 664 the drop deformation and therefore the breakup events. 665

During Stage B in Figure 9-(a), an abrupt jump in the 666 number of dispersed entities with a larger amplitude com-667 pared to the surfactant-free system is observed for the surfactant-668 laden I system due to the onset of drop breakup events via both mechanisms stated above (capillary instability, and con-670 currently, tip-streaming). However, there exists a plateau 671 following the dramatic increase ($t \approx 15 \times Rev.$), which indi-672 cates a deceleration of the dispersed phases formation. This 67 can be related to the fact that tip-streaming, which generates 674 drops intermittently, is promoted in surfactant-laden I sys-675 tem, and consequently, some ligaments generating drops via 676 tip-streaming in that system break up into drops via an capil-67 lary instability in surfactant-free system. Another interesting 678 trend is the overlap between the two surfactant-laden cases, 67 as highlighted in orange oval in Figure 9-(a). As demon-680

strated earlier, this reflects the fact that Marangoni stress aids 681 the commencement of breakup events via an capillary insta-682 bility in the system with Marangoni stress turned on, and 683 thus dispersed drops are generated rapidly enough to reach 684 the comparable amount to its counterpart for the Marangoni-685 free system, though a delayed appearance of the first dis-686 persed drop is observed for the former system. Following 687 the overlap, the drop count for the Marangoni-free system 688 rises sharply until its peak is reached. This is due to the fact 689 that a large amount of thin ligaments are formed simulta-690 neously from the deforming interface, along with the drop 691 production, which give rise to similarly increasing rate as in 692 the case of a single breakup event via the capillary instabil-693 ity. This provides additional evidence for the effect of the 694 Marangoni stress on the interfacial dynamics and associated 695 phenomena as reported in Section 3.2.2 and Figure 7. 696

Another key aspect to highlight from Figure 9-(a) is the 697 appearance of humps in Stage C for all three cases (circled 698 in orange), which can be indicative of the occurrence of dis-699 persed interfacial structure formation, either from recurring 700 ligament production or large coalesced drop fragmentation, 701 as explored in Section 3.2.3. Eventually, the rate of drop for-702 mation and coalescence seems to stabilise somewhat, with a 703 steady decline in the number of drops and smaller rates for 704 the clean and Marangoni-free cases after $t = 28 \times Rev$. com-705 pared to the onset of Stage C. From this point onward, the dy-706 namics developing in the tank stagnate, reducing to sporadic 707 coalescence and subsequent breakup chains as described in 708 Section 3.2.3. For this reason, the simulations were termi-709 nated after this point. 710

Finally, the dispersed entities number at the instant where 711 we terminated our simulations takes the following order: surfactant-



Figure 10: A general quantitative insight into the mixing process for the systems with Marangoni stress turned on (*a*) and off (*b*), with regard to the evolution of interfacial area (lines) and dispersed entities count (symbols). Profiles of both metrics are displayed for varying surfactant elasticity numbers $\beta_s = 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ during $t = 7 - 30 \times Rev$. Except for the variations in β_s , the rest of the surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

free (17), surfactant-laden I (43), and surfactant-laden II (82)
systems. This provides further indication of the surfactant
effect on the liquid dispersion in the studied configuration as
summarised below:

The reduced interfacial tension promotes tip-streaming
 (or tip-dropping), which contributes to the formation
 of more dispersed drops in the surfactant-laden I system;

 The Marangoni stress, in general, acts to counteract and retard interfacial deformation and drop formation, which explains the larger dispersed phases number in its absence;

 Marangoni stress is found to encourage breakup events via the capillary instability, which is commonly occurs under general emulsion scenarios [Janssen and Meijer, 1993], and, to some extent, suppresses tip-streaming.

729 3.3.2. Drop size distribution

The dispersed entities number alone is not a representa-730 tive metric of the effect of surfactant in the stirred mixer. Ad-731 ditionally, a drop size distribution analysis at $t = 26 \times Rev$. 732 is carried out for the three studied cases herein, as presented 733 in Figure 9-(b). The drop size in this context is defined as 734 the volume of a dispersed entity, V_d , and is normalised by 735 the volume of a spherical drop, V_{cap} , whose diameter corre-736 sponds to the capillary length scale, $\sqrt{\sigma_s(\rho_w - \rho_o)g}$. 737

The data structure has been evaluated using quantile-quantile
(Q-Q) test and box plots, and the outliers (i.e., non-physical
tiny drops and elongating large ligaments) are removed from
the analysis. In the field of statistics, one commonly applies the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff (K-S) test [Massey Jr, 1951]
and the Anderson-Darling (A-D) test [Anderson and Darling, 1954] to examine the goodness of one population of

data to fit one specific distribution; a non-parametric analysis 745 of variance, for example, Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) test [Kruskal 746 and Wallis, 1952] is then used to contrast the distributions 747 and the following Dunn's test [Dunn, 1961, 1964] specifies 748 the distinct sets of data. Following such procedure, the fil-749 tered data sets are fitted to a logistic distribution, satisfying 750 both the K-S test and the A-D test with a significance level 751 of 0.05. Furthermore, K-W test is conducted to contrast the 752 distributions, where statistical significance is implied among 753 the three data sets. More specifically, subsequent Dunn's test 754 determines that the Marangoni-free system is distinct from 755 (lower than) the other two systems, as far as their medians 756 are concerned. This finding is consistent with the prior work 757 [Padron, 2004] pointing out the manifold effect of surfac-758 tant on the average drop size, especially in mixing scenar-759 ios, as opposed to the assertion that the addition of surfac-760 tant simply reduces the interfacial tension, and therefore, the 761 dispersed drop size. The deviation for the Marangoni-free 762 data strengthens this statement indicating that the effect of 763 surfactant on the drop size is not limited to lowering interfa-764 cial tension but also arises from the Marangoni stress, which 765 plays a role in drop breakup mechanisms as demonstrated in 766 previous sections. 767

Moreover, skewness and kurtosis are computed to ex-768 amine the asymmetry (i.e., tail) of the distributions. First, 769 negative skewness for all three cases signifies the left-tailed 770 shape, which can be translated as all three cases exhibit an 771 inclination to produce small drops. In regard to the magni-772 tude (absolute value) of skewness, incremental skewness is 773 obtained for the three cases following the order: surfactant-774 free (-0.23) < surfactant-laden I (-0.76) < surfactant-laden 775 II (-1.01). In addition, the kurtosis indicates "heavier" tails 776 for the same ordering, in other words, the surfactant-laden 777 II system produces the largest amount of small drops, fol-778 lowed by the surfacant-laden I, and finally the surfactant-free 779



Figure 11: The averaged surfactant properties along the interface at $t = 9 \times Rev.$, (*a*) the averaged surfactant concentration, $\overline{\Gamma}$; (*b*) the averaged interfacial tension ($\overline{\sigma}$, lines) and the averaged magnitude of Marangoni stresses ($\overline{\tau}$, symbols). The \overline{z} refers to the dimensionless height on the interface, scaled using the minimum position of the deforming interface as in Figure 4; here, negative τ represents its direction pointing downward. (*c*) A comparison of the interfacial shapes for the three systems in the presence of Marangoni stress at $t = 17 \times Rev.$ Except for the β_s variations, the rest of the surfactant-related parameters remain unchanged from Figure 3.

system. This comparison leads to a similar conclusion as
above: interfacial tension reduction promotes the production
of smaller drops while the Marangoni stress acts to mitigate
this effect.

784 3.4. Effect of surfactant elasticity, β_s

Figure 10-(*a*) and -(*b*) provide a quantitative insight into 785 the mixing process in systems of varying elasticity numbers 786 with respect to the evolution of the interfacial area (made di-787 mensionless via the initial interfacial area, A_0) and dispersed 788 phases count; (a) refers to the three cases with Marangoni 789 stress turned on and (b) presents the Marangoni-free sys-790 tems. It can be seen that prior to dispersed entity formation 791 (i.e., Stage A defined in Section 3.3.1), a small change in in-792 terfacial area is seen for both Marangoni-free system and its 793 counterpart in the presence of Marangoni stress. This is con-794 sistent with what we demonstrated in Section 3.2.1 that the 795 elongational flow experienced by the deforming interface is 79 so strong that the effect of increasing β_s (and therefore the 797

modified interfacial tension and Maranogoni stress) is neg-798 ligible during this step. After that, increasing β_s , which aug-799 ments the sensitivity of interfacial tension to the surfactant 800 concentration, generally promotes interface deformation and 801 formation of dispersed entities (shown as incremental A/A_0 802 and entities count, respectively, in Figure 10-(b)). However, 803 these effects are only prominent prior to the fall-off (i.e., 804 Stage C defined in Section 3.3.1). Following the onset of 805 the fall-off, no distinguishable trend regarding either the in-806 terfacial area or dispersed entities count is seen for increas-807 ing β_s . Furthermore, in comparison with Figure 10-(*a*), the 808 presence of Marangoni stress mitigates the effects mentioned 809 above. In other words, under realistic scenarios, increasing 810 β_s from 0.5 to 0.7 leads to insignificant change in interfa-811 cial area and dispersed phases count. Instead, an obvious 812 increase is seen in both metrics at $t = 15 - 25 \times Rev$. for 813 $\beta_s = 0.9$, the largest β_s studied herein. 814

To elucidate the observations highlighted above, Figure 11 $_{815}$ provides a comparison ($t = 9 \times Rev$.) among the three cases $_{816}$

with Marangoni stress turned on in terms of surfactant-related 817 properties on the interface. First, to gain a comprehensive 818 insight into the surfactant property profile on the deform-819 ing interface, a quantity (for instance, the surfactant con-820 centration Γ), is averaged over a horizontal slice of the in-821 terface. The averaged Γ , $\overline{\Gamma}$, on a sequence of horizontal 822 slices, located at different heights of the interface, is then 823 tracked. This gives Figure 11-(a) where the markers refer to 824 the $\overline{\Gamma}$ over the horizontal slice at the corresponding height, 825 as demonstrated in the schematic inset. Similarly, Figure 11-826 (b) plots the averaged interfacial tension and the strength of 827 Marangoni stresses along the interface (vertically). From 828 these figures, larger β_s results in lower interfacial tension, as 829 expected, more uniform surfactant concentration (narrower 830 range of $\overline{\Gamma}$), and larger Marangoni stress induced along the 831 interface. As shown in the figure, the Marangoni stress on 832 the waist of the interface (labeled as $a, \tilde{z} \approx 0.6$) is larger 833 in magnitude augmenting deformation (negative, pointing 834 downward); likewise, that induced in the lower part of the 835 interface (labeled as b, $\tilde{z} \approx 0.1$) is stronger retarding defor-836 mation (positive, pointing upward). Meanwhile, the retard-837 ing force exceeds the augmenting one (take $\beta_s = 0.9$ as an 838 example, $|\tau|_b \approx 32 > |\tau|_a \approx 9$). Hence, the sum of these 839

(i.e., overlapping lines) as observed in Figure 10. 841 The ensuing Figure 11-(c) provides a comparison of the 842 interfacial shapes for the three cases in the presence of Marangoni stress mitigates this influence. 843 stress illustrating the cause of the significant increase in in-844 terfacial area and dispersed entities count for $\beta_s = 0.9$. As 845 shown in this figure, while the deforming interfaces in the 846 other two cases start to retract and detach the impeller hub 847 $(t = 17 \times Rev.)$, the $\beta_s = 0.9$ case exhibits an interface in the 848 shape of a tail extending to the vessel bottom. Several long 849 stretching ligaments are also generated, which subsequently 850 give way to multiple dispersed drops leading to a sharp in-851 crease in dispersed drop count. Such phenomenon lasts until 852 $= 18 \times Rev.$ On the other hand, this feature is missing t 853 for the Marangoni-free system. This provides further indi-854 cation that one of the effects exerted by Marangoni stress is 855 to encourage drop breakup via long ligament fragmentation 856 driven by the capillary instability (see Section 3.2.2). 857

effects is reflected as a negligible change in interfacial area

840

From above, the effect of β_s is best appreciated during 858 the intermediate period of the mixing process, which cor-859 responds to Steps 2 and 3 of the interfacial behaviours de-860 fined in Section 3.1. After the cessation of the interface-861 impeller contact, the effect of changing surfactant elastic-862 ity diminishes as displayed in the plots at the instant where 863 we terminated our simulations; the cases associated with 864 the three studied β_s produce similar interfacial area and dis-865 persed entity count, for both the scenarios with Marangoni 866 stress turned on and off. This implies that the effect of changing β_s is more pronounced on drop dispersion compared to 868 coalescence. It should be noted, however, that due to the 869 complex nature of the flow considered in the present work, 870 larger computational resolution is required to recover the po-871 tential (and subtle) effect of surfactant on drop coalescence. 872 which is beyond the discussion presented herein. 873

4. Conclusions

The current study has extended our previous work on nu-875 merical analysis regarding oil-water emulsification inside an 876 un-baffled stirred vessel by accounting for the presence of 877 soluble surfactant. We have contrasted the surfactant-free 878 and surfactant-laden systems in terms of interfacial behav-879 iors during mixing, transient number of dispersed phases, 880 and drop size distribution at the instant where the simula-881 tions are terminated. Furthermore, our simulations allow 882 us to turn off the Marangoni stress, which is evidently un-883 achievable in experimental work. In this way, we have iso-884 lated the effects that arise from interfacial tension reduction 885 and the Marangoni stress induced by surfactant concentra-886 tion gradient. In general, the decrease in interfacial tension 887 eases the interface deformation and drop formation in our 888 system, promoting a second drop breakup mechanism, the 889 tip-streaming/dropping, which is not observed in surfactant-890 free system. On the other hand, the role played by the Marangonia stresses is dependent on the local surfactant concentration 892 distribution. In particular, it could either encourage breakup 893 events via a capillary instability, or retard interfacial elonga-894 tion and thereby drop production, especially via tip-streaming. 895 From the perspective of drop size, the presence of surfactant 896 exerts manifold effects such that lowering interfacial tension 897 aids the production of smaller drops, while the Marangoni 898 899

874

In addition, a parametric study has been performed to ad-900 dress the effect of surfactant elasticity, β_s . We have demon-901 strated that increasing β_s facilitates interface deformation 902 and thereby drop formation by decreasing the average inter-903 facial tension. However, the presence of Marangoni stress 904 counteracts these effects and leads to a sharp increase in both 905 interfacial area and dispersed phases count for the largest β_{s} 906 $(\beta_s = 0.9)$ during $t = 15 - 20 \times Rev.$, by encouraging the for-907 mation of long ligaments (and thereby subsequent drop pro-908 duction). Ultimately, the deviation vanishes at the instant we 909 terminated the simulations where similar drop number and 910 interfacial area are observed. 911

Throughout this work, we have provided a detailed in-912 sight into surfactant-laden interfacial dynamics within a prac-913 tical operation unit, i.e., the stirred vessel. Though all the re-914 sults are from a specific configuration, the physics we have 915 discussed is generic to stirred mixers. Considering the flex-916 ibility provided by our simulations, our future work will ad-917 dress other surfactant properties including diffusion and sorp-918 tion kinetics. We will also use our high-fidelity simulations 919 to produce correlations of the drop size distributions with 920 the dimensionless parameters that characterise our surfac-921 tant system. 922

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, United Kingdom, through the EPSRC MEMPHIS (EP/K003976/1) and PREMIERE (EP/T000414/1) Programme Grants. O.K.M. acknowledges funding from PETRONAS and the Royal Academy of Engineering for a Research Chair in Multiphase Fluid Dynamics. We acknowledge HPC facilities provided by the Re-0220

- search Computing Service (RCS) of Imperial College Lon-don for the computing time. D.J. and J.C. acknowledge sup-
- ⁹³² port through HPC/AI computing time at the Institut du De-
- veloppement et des Ressources en Informatique Scientifique
- (IDRIS) of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
- (CNRS), coordinated by GENCI (Grand Equipement Na-
- tional de Calcul Intensif) Grant 2023 A0142B06721.

937 References

- Theodore W Anderson and Donald A Darling. A test of goodness of fit.
 Journal of the American statistical association, 49(268):765–769, 1954.
- Kartik Arora, Radhakrishna Sureshkumar, Matthew P Scheiner, and
 Justin L Piper. Surfactant-induced effects on turbulent swirling flows.
 Rheologica acta, 41(1):25–34, 2002.
- Assen Batchvarov, Lyes Kahouadji, Mirco Magnini, Cristian R Constante Amores, S Shin, J Chergui, D Juric, RV Craster, and Omar K Matar. Ef for the form of the start of the
- fect of surfactant on elongated bubbles in capillary tubes at high reynolds
 number. Physical Review Fluids, 5(9):093605, 2020.
- Assen Batchvarov, Lyes Kahouadji, Cristian R Constante-Amores,
 Gabriel F Norões Gonçalve, S Shin, J Chergui, D Juric, RV Craster,
 and Omar K Matar. Three-dimensional dynamics of falling films in the
- presence of insoluble surfactants. J. Fluid. Mech., 906:A16, 2021.
- Ivan B Bazhlekov, Patrick D Anderson, and Han EH Meijer. Numerical investigation of the effect of insoluble surfactants on drop deformation and breakup in simple shear flow. Journal of colloid and interface science, 298(1):369–394, 2006.
- BJ Briscoe, CJ Lawrence, and WGP Mietus. A review of immiscible fluid
 mixing. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 81(1):1–17, 1999.
- A Busciglio, F Grisafi, F Scargiali, and A Brucato. Mixing dynamics in uncovered unbaffled stirred tanks. <u>Chemical Engineering Journal</u>, 254: 210–219, 2014.
- A Busciglio, F Scargiali, F Grisafi, and A Brucato. Oscillation dynamics
 of free vortex surface in uncovered unbaffled stirred vessels. <u>Chemical</u>
 Engineering Journal, 285:477–486, 2016.
- Chien-Hsiang Chang and Elias I Franses. Adsorption dynamics of surfactants at the air/water interface: a critical review of mathematical models, data, and mechanisms. <u>Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects</u>, 100:1–45, 1995.
- Eleni G Chatzi, Costas J Boutris, and Costas Kiparissides. On-line monitor ing of drop size distributions in agitated vessels. 1. effects of temperature
- and impeller speed. Industrial & engineering chemistry research, 30(3): 536–543, 1991.
- Hong Bai Chin and Chang Dae Han. Studies on droplet deformation and
 breakup. ii. breakup of a droplet in nonuniform shear flow. Journal of
 rheology, 24(1):1–37, 1980.
- OR Constante-Amores, L Kahouadji, A Batchvarov, S Shin, J Chergui,
 Damir Juric, and OK Matar. Dynamics of retracting surfactant-laden
 ligaments at intermediate ohnesorge number. Phys. Rev. Fluids, 5, 2020.
- 977 CR Constante-Amores, A Batchvarov, L Kahouadji, S Shin, J Chergui,
 978 Damir Juric, and OK Matar. Role of surfactant-induced marangoni
 979 stresses in drop-interface coalescence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 925,
 980 2021a.
- CR Constante-Amores, T Abadie, L Kahouadji, S Shin, J Chergui, D Juric, AA Castrejon-Pita, and OK Matar. Direct numerical simulations of turbulent jets: vortex-interface-surfactant interactions. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 955:A42, 2023.
- Cristian R Constante-Amores, Lyes Kahouadji, Assen Batchvarov, Seung won Shin, Jalel Chergui, Damir Juric, and Omar K Matar. Dynamics of
- a surfactant-laden bubble bursting through an interface. <u>Journal of Fluid</u>
 Mechanics, 911, 2021b.
- James W Deardorff. A numerical study of three-dimensional turbulent channel flow at large reynolds numbers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 41(2):453–480, 1970.
- 992 Chengdi Dong, Ching-Tien Hsu, Chin-Yuan Chiu, and Shi-Yow Lin. A
- study on surfactant adsorption kinetics: Effect of bulk concentration

on the limiting adsorption rate constant. <u>Langmuir</u>, 16(10):4573–4580, 994 2000.

- Olive Jean Dunn. Multiple comparisons among means. Journal of the American statistical association, 56(293):52–64, 1961.
- Olive Jean Dunn. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. <u>Technometrics</u>, 6(3):241–252, 1964.
- Charles D Eggleton and Kathleen J Stebe. An adsorption–desorptioncontrolled surfactant on a deforming droplet. Journal of colloid and interface science, 208(1):68–80, 1998. 1002
- Charles D Eggleton, Yashodhara P Pawar, and Kathleen J Stebe. Insoluble 1003 surfactants on a drop in an extensional flow: a generalization of the stagnated surface limit to deforming interfaces. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 385:79–99, 1999. 1006
- Charles D Eggleton, Tse-Min Tsai, and Kathleen J Stebe. Tip streaming 1007 from a drop in the presence of surfactants. <u>Physical review letters</u>, 87 1008 (4):048302, 2001. 1009
- Amer El-Hamouz. Effect of surfactant concentration and operating temperature on the drop size distribution of silicon oil water dispersion. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology, 28(5):797–804, 2007.
- E. A. Fadlun, R. Verzicco, P. Orlandi, and J. Mohd-Yusof. Combined 1013 immersed-boundary finite-difference methods for three-dimensional complex flow simulations. J. Comp. Phys., 161:35–60, 2000. 1015
- Ying Fan, Jiao Sun, Jie Jin, Hui Zhang, and Wenyi Chen. The effect of baffle on flow structures and dynamics stirred by pitch blade turbine. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 168:227–238, 2021.
- Kathleen Feigl, David Megias-Alguacil, Peter Fischer, and Erich J Windhab. Simulation and experiments of droplet deformation and orientation in simple shear flow with surfactants. <u>Chemical engineering science</u>, 62 (12):3242–3258, 2007.
- Peter Fischer and Philipp Erni. Emulsion drops in external flow fields—the role of liquid interfaces. Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 12(4-5):196–205, 2007.
- Tanya Goloub and Robert J Pugh. The role of the surfactant head group in the emulsification process: Single surfactant systems. Journal of colloid and interface science, 257(2):337–343, 2003.
 1026
- Harold P Grace. Dispersion phenomena in high viscosity immiscible fluid 1029 systems and application of static mixers as dispersion devices in such systems. Chemical Engineering Communications, 14(3-6):225–277, 1031 1982. 1032
- F Groeneweg, F Van Dieren, and WGM Agterof. Droplet break-up in a 1033 stirred water-in-oil emulsion in the presence of emulsifiers. <u>Colloids</u> 1034 and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 91:207-214, 1994.
- Andreas Håkansson, Peyman Olad, and Fredrik Innings. Identification and mapping of three distinct breakup morphologies in the turbulent inertial regime of emulsification—effect of weber number and viscosity ratio. Processes, 10(11):2204, 2022. 1040
- Yunfei He, Pavel Yazhgur, Anniina Salonen, and Dominique Langevin. 1041 Adsorption–desorption kinetics of surfactants at liquid surfaces. 1042 Advances in colloid and interface science, 222:377–384, 2015. 1043
- Julius O Hinze. Fundamentals of the hydrodynamic mechanism of splitting in dispersion processes. <u>AIChE journal</u>, 1(3):289–295, 1955. 1045
- JJM Janssen, A Boon, and WGM Agterof. Influence of dynamic interfacial properties on droplet breakup in simple shear flow. <u>AIChE journal</u>, 40 1047 (12):1929–1939, 1994.
- JMH Janssen and HEH Meijer. Droplet breakup mechanisms: Stepwise equilibrium versus transient dispersion. Journal of Rheology, 37(4): 1050 597–608, 1993.
- Thomas RN Jansson, Martin P Haspang, Kåre H Jensen, Pascal Hersen, 1052 and Tomas Bohr. Polygons on a rotating fluid surface. Physical review letters, 96(17):174502, 2006. 1054
- Fang Jin and Kathleen J Stebe. The effects of a diffusion controlled surfaction a viscous drop injected into a viscous medium. <u>Physics of Fluids</u>, 1957 19(11):112103, 2007.
- Fang Jin, Nivedita R Gupta, and Kathleen J Stebe. The detachment of a 1058 viscous drop in a viscous solution in the presence of a soluble surfactant. 1059 Physics of Fluids, 18(2):022103, 2006. 1060
- Lyes Kahouadji and L Martin Witkowski. Free surface due to a flow driven 1061

062	by a rotating disk inside a vertical cylindrical tank: axisymmetric con-	Magelli.
063	figuration. <u>Physics of Fluids</u> , 26(7):072105, 2014.	mance of
064	Lyes Kahouadji, Fuyue Liang, Juan P Valdes, Seungwon Shin, Jalel Cher-	89(11):2
065	gui, Damir Juric, Richard V Craster, and Omar K Matar. The transition	Gustavo A I
066	to aeration in turbulent two-phase mixing in stirred vessels. <u>Flow</u> , 2:	rotor-stat
067	E30, 2022.	Rennan Pan
068	Pritish M Kamat, Brayden W Wagoner, Sumeet S Thete, and Osman A	on the m
069	Basaran. Role of marangoni stress during breakup of surfactant-covered	an air/wa
070	liquid threads: reduced rates of thinning and microthread cascades.	213-230
071	Physical Review Fluids, 3(4):043602, 2018.	Edward L Pa
072	Abraham Koshy, TR Das, and R Kumar. Effect of surfactants on drop break-	of indust
073	age in turbulent liquid dispersions. Chemical engineering science, 43(3):	Anthony E
074	649–654, 1988.	and flow
075	william H Kruskal and W Allen Wallis. Use of ranks in one-criterion vari-	Mechani
076	ance analysis. Journal of the American statistical Association, 47(260):	Stephen B
077	583-621, 1952.	turbulent
078	Ronald Laymon. Newton's bucket experiment. <u>Journal of the History of</u>	J Ratulowsk
079	Philosophy, 10(4):399–413, 1978.	210.202
080	James M Lee and Tee Soong. Enects of surfactants on the inquid-inquid dis-	210:505-
081	Design and Development 24(1):118–121–1085	A. W. Kusse
082	Andrei A Levelenko, Brian D Argo, Duvandra Vidu, Baisa V Talroza, and	stirred w
003	Dieter Stroeve Kinetics of sodium dodecyl sulfate adsorption on and	approach
004	desorption from self-assembled monolayers measured by surface plas-	Hermann S
005	mon resonance Langmuir 18(22):8464_8471 2002	121 Spr
080	Liangchao Li Jiaiun Wang Lianfang Feng and Xueping Gu Compu-	Seungwon S
088	tational fluid dynamics simulation of hydrodynamics in an uncovered	parallel
089	unbaffled tank agitated by pitched blade turbines. Korean Journal of	flows. J
090	Chemical Engineering, 34(11):2811–2822, 2017.	1751, 20
091	Xiaofan Li and C Pozrikidis. The effect of surfactants on drop deformation	Seungwon
092	and on the rheology of dilute emulsions in stokes flow. Journal of fluid	Matar, a
093	mechanics, 341:165-194, 1997.	techniqu
094	Fuyue Liang, Lyes Kahouadji, Juan Pablo Valdes, Seungwon Shin, Jalel	Computa
095	Chergui, Damir Juric, and Omar K Matar. Numerical study of oil-water	Giovanni So
096	emulsion formation in stirred vessels: effect of impeller speed. Flow, 2:	cence an
097	E34, 2022.	Journal of
098	Douglas K Lilly. The representation of small-scale turbulence in numerical	Giovanni S
099	simulation experiments. <u>IBM Form</u> , pages 195–210, 1967.	clean an
100	Douglas Keith Lilly. On the application of the eddy viscosity concept in the	371-386
101	inertial sub-range of turbulence. <u>NCAR manuscript</u> , 123, 1966.	Giovanni So
102	EH Lucassen-Reynders and KA Kuijpers. The role of interfacial properties	laden dro
103	In emulsification. Colloids and Surfaces, 65(2-3):175–184, 1992.	0/3000,
104	den verightes controlling fluid flows. Journal of fluid machanics, 202	noward A S
105	D1 2020	Georgies H
106	F 1, 2020. Frank I Massey Ir. The kalmagaray smirnay test for goodness of fit. Journal	ria Jour
107	of the American statistical Association 46(253):68-78, 1051	H Wu and (
100	Paul Mayros Alain Ricard Catherine Xuereb and Ioel Bertrand. A study of	naramete
110	the effect of drag-reducing surfactants on flow patterns in stirred vessels	2207_22
111	Chemical Engineering Research and Design 89(1):94–106 2011	2207 22
112	Oden I McMillan and Ioel H Ferziger. Direct testing of subgrid-scale mod-	
113	els. Aiaa Journal. 17(12):1340–1346. 1979.	
114	Johan Meyers and Pierre Sagaut. On the model coefficients for the standard	
115	and the variational multi-scale smagorinsky model. Journal of Fluid	
116	Mechanics, 569:287–319, 2006.	
117	William J Milliken and L Gary Leal. The influence of surfactant on the	
118	deformation and breakup of a viscous drop: The effect of surfactant sol-	
119	ubility. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 166(2):275–285. 1994.	
120	WJ Milliken, Howard A Stone, and LG Leal. The effect of surfactant on	

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1) 1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

- 1121the transient motion of newtonian drops.Physics of Fluids A: Fluid1122Dynamics, 5(1):69–79, 1993.1123VP Mishra, P Kumar, and JB Joshi. Flow generated by a disc turbine in
- aqueous solutions of polyacrylamide. <u>Chemical Engineering Journal</u>, 71(1):11–21, 1998.
- J. Mohd-Yusof. Combined immersed-boundary / b-spline methods for simulations of flow in complex geometries. Center for Turbulence Research
- 1128 <u>Annual Research Briefs</u>, pages 317–327, 1997.
- 1129 Giuseppina Montante, Fabio Laurenzi, Alessandro Paglianti, and Franco

 Magelli. A study on some effects of a drag-reducing agent on the perfornance of a stirred vessel. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 1131
 1130

 39(11):2262–2267, 2011.
 1131

- Gustavo A Padron. Effect of surfactants on drop size distributions in a batch, rotor-stator mixer. PhD thesis, 2004.
- Rennan Pan, John Green, and Charles Maldarelli. Theory and experiment
 1135

 on the measurement of kinetic rate constants for surfactant exchange at
 1136

 an air/water interface.
 Journal of colloid and interface science, 205(2):
 1137

 213–230, 1998.
 1138
- Edward L Paul, Victor A Atiemo-Obeng, and Suzanne M Kresta. <u>Handbook</u> <u>of industrial mixing</u>. Wiley Online Library, 2004. 1140
- Anthony E Perry and Min S Chong. A description of eddying motions and flow patterns using critical-point concepts. <u>Annual Review of Fluid</u> <u>Mechanics</u>, 19(1):125–155, 1987.
 1142
- Stephen B Pope. Ten questions concerning the large-eddy simulation of 1144 turbulent flows. New journal of Physics, 6(1):35, 2004. 1145
- J Ratulowski and H-C Chang. Marangoni effects of trace impurities on the motion of long gas bubbles in capillaries. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 210:303–328, 1990.
- A. W. Russell, L. Kahouadji, K. Mirpuri, A. Quarmby, P. M. Piccione, O. K. 1149
 Matar, P. F. Luckham, and C. N. Markides. Mixing viscoplastic fluids in 1150
 stirred vessels over multiple scales: A combined experimental and cfd 1151
 approach. <u>Chem. Eng. Sci.</u>, 208:115129, 2019. 1152
- Hermann Schlichting and Joseph Kestin. <u>Boundary layer theory</u>, volume 1153 121. Springer, 1961. 1154
- Seungwon Shin, Jalel Chergui, and Damir Juric. A solver for massively parallel direct numerical simulation of three-dimensional multiphase flows. Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, 31(4):1739–1751, 2017.
- Seungwon Shin, Jalel Chergui, Damir Juric, Lyes Kahouadji, Omar K Matar, and Richard V Craster. A hybrid interface tracking–level set technique for multiphase flow with soluble surfactant. Journal of Computational Physics, 359:409–435, 2018.
- Giovanni Soligo, Alessio Roccon, and Alfredo Soldati. Breakage, coalescence and size distribution of surfactant-laden droplets in turbulent flow. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 881:244–282, 2019.
- Giovanni Soligo, Alessio Roccon, and Alfredo Soldati. Deformation of 1166 clean and surfactant-laden droplets in shear flow. <u>Meccanica</u>, 55(2): 1167 371–386, 2020a. 1168
- Giovanni Soligo, Alessio Roccon, and Alfredo Soldati. Effect of surfactantladen droplets on turbulent flow topology. <u>Physical Review Fluids</u>, 5(7): 1170 073606, 2020b. 1171
- Howard A Stone and L Gary Leal. The effects of surfactants on drop deformation and breakup. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 220:161–186, 1990.
- Georgios H Vatistas. A note on liquid vortex sloshing and kelvin's equilibria. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 217:241–248, 1990.
- H Wu and GK Patterson. Laser-doppler measurements of turbulent-flow
 1176

 parameters in a stirred mixer.
 Chemical engineering science, 44(10):

 2207–2221, 1989.
 1178