

Fractal dimension of cathode spots in a high-current vacuum arc thruster

Etienne Michaux, Alfio E Vinci, Stéphane Mazouffre

► To cite this version:

Etienne Michaux, Alfio E Vinci, Stéphane Mazouffre. Fractal dimension of cathode spots in a high-current vacuum arc thruster. Vacuum, 2023, 215, pp.112286. 10.1016/j.vacuum.2023.112286 . hal-04171033

HAL Id: hal-04171033 https://hal.science/hal-04171033

Submitted on 25 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fractal Dimension of Cathode Spots in a High-Current Vacuum Arc Thruster

Etienne Michaux^a, Alfio E. Vinci^a, Stéphane Mazouffre^a

^aCNRS, Institut de Combustion, Aérothermique, Réactivité et Environnement (ICARE), 1C avenue de la recherche scientifique, Orléans, 45071, France

Abstract

The fractal dimension of cathode spots occurring in the discharge of a highcurrent vacuum arc thruster (VAT) are determined according to the Minkowski-Bouligand method. Photographs taken during operation of a 30 W VAT allowed observation of spots distribution over the surface depending on the cathode material. A machine learning model as well as a heuristic analysis permit to outline that work function, cohesive energy and ionization energy of the cathode material are the main driver in the magnitude of fractal dimension and total surface area covered by the spots.

1. Introduction

The formation of ramified patterns has been observed for a long time in 1 the case of electrical breakdowns at atmospheric pressure [1]. Similar patterns 2 have also been seen on traces left by a vacuum arc on a stainless steel plate [2]. 3 However, the mechanism at the origin of these patterns is very different. Concerning atmospheric pressure electrical breakdowns, these patterns are 5 the pathways of charge-flow in the propagation medium of the arc. This is well exemplified by the phenomenon of lightning during a storm. When it comes to vacuum arcs though, those patterns are seen on the cathode surface and are formed through the ignition and extinction of plasma emission sites. 9 These sites, named cathode spots, are distributed on the cathode surface in 10 accordance with random walk patterns [3, 4] leading to the formation of tree-11 like structures. These structures can be described as objects with non-integer 12 dimension, namely fractals. 13

Although the fractal nature of cathode spots has already been the subject of several studies [2, 4, 5, 6], most experimental arrangements involved low-

current long duration vacuum arcs. Consequently little is known today on 16 the fractal nature of high-current vacuum arcs. Recent application of vacuum 17 arcs in spacecraft propulsion tends to maximize the current while reducing 18 the discharge duration [7, 8]. By doing so, the thruster maximizes the in-19 stantaneous thrust while avoiding thermal issues at the electrodes. These 20 systems, called Vacuum Arc Thrusters (VAT), rely on a vacuum arc between 21 two electrodes. The cathode material is ionized, accelerated and ejected at 22 velocities up to $50 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, [9]}$, leading to thrust generation in the opposite 23 direction. This technology presents inherent advantages regarding miniatur-24 ization, simplicity and reliability through the use of a solid metal propellant. 25 However, at this stage, the formation of the arc, its dynamics and the subse-26 quent plasma acceleration mechanism remain poorly understood. Probing in 27 depth the physics of VATs and gathering data is therefore needed to propose 28 more efficient solutions with longer lifetime able to meet the requirements of 20 a wide range of space missions. 30

Arcs and cathode spots certainly play a major role in thrust and spe-31 cific impulse generation by VATs. In the present study we determine the 32 fractal dimension of the cathode spot structures for different cathode mate-33 rials of a low-power high-current VAT. Calculations are performed using the 34 Minkowski-Bouligand method. The approach relies on photographs taken 35 during operation of the VAT, the characteristics of the latter being given 36 in Experimental arrangement section, along with a description of the setup. 37 The way the photographs are processed and how the fractal dimension is 38 retrieved are detailed in Method and results section. The fractal dimension 30 of the cathode spot structures is then studied by investigating the influence 40 of the material physical properties using statistical and machine learning ap-41 proaches in Influence of material properties section. Eventually, section 6 42 outlines the conclusions. 43

44 2. Experimental arrangement

45 2.1. The PJP thruster

The VAT under study is the Plasma Jet Pack (PJP) developed by the COMAT French company. This 30 W-class VAT generates a high current vacuum arc through the cyclic discharge of a capacitor bank. The cathode to anode voltage is continuously set to 250 V. A triggering system applies a few kV pulse on the cathode surface lasting a few hundreds of ns. This pulse allows ignition of the plasma through an explosive process occurring on a

surface region named cathode spot [10]. The high voltage applied during the 52 trigger phase initiates a breakdown by field emission [11] that is followed by a 53 spark and then an arc. During VAT operation, a thin metallic layer deposits 54 on the central insulator that separates the triggering system and the cathode. 55 Ignition of the discharge is then easier as the breakdown occurs through the 56 conductive layer. Note that when the deposited layer is thick it becomes more 57 difficult to vaporize and the thruster no longer operates properly [12]. After 58 the trigger is switched-off, the arc is forced to propagate to the anode through 59 the existing metal vapor. This second arc discharge allows roughly 3 to 60 4 kA to flow between the two electrodes with the present configuration. The 61 discharge current waveform obtained with a titanium cathode is exemplified 62 in Fig. 2. Overall, the energy released over 25 µs into one single PJP discharge 63 reaches a few J. Measurements presented in this contribution have been 64 performed with a copper anode and various cathode materials, namely simple 65 metals such as titanium, aluminum, iron and copper, as well as metallic alloys 66 like brass (63% Cu - 37% Zn) and nichrome (80% Ni - 20% Cr). All cathodes 67 were new before photographs were taken. 68



Figure 1: Plasma Jet Pack thruster: front view.



Figure 2: Typical PJP discharge current waveform during one pulse (Ti).

Figure 1 shows the front view of a laboratory version of the PJP. The 4-69 legs electrode is the annular copper anode. The cathode corresponds to the 70 grey center-mounted hollow cylinder. The latter is screwed onto a copper 71 plate directly wired to the capacitor bank. The gap in the axial direction 72 between the cathode and the anode is approximately 1 cm. The trigger elec-73 trode is a tiny metal tip placed exactly on the thruster axis and separated 74 from the cathode with the central insulator. The PJP target performance 75 and characteristics are given in Table 1 for the inclined reader. 76

Power	0-30	W
Thrust to power	10	$\mu { m N}{ m W}^{-1}$
Average thrust at $30\mathrm{W}$	300	μΝ
Specific impulse	2500	\mathbf{S}
Total impulse	400	Ns
Overall mass	1	kg
Overall volume	1	U

Table 1: PJP thruster target performance and characteristics.

77 2.2. Experimental arrangement

Experiments have been performed in the EPIC-2 vacuum chamber of the
real laboratory. This chamber is a stainless steel cylinder 54 cm in radius and

104 cm in length, for an approximate capacity of 240 L. Two 2200 L s⁻¹ (N₂)
magnetically levitated STP-iS2207 turbomolecular pumps from Edwards are
placed on top of the chamber. Those pumps, evacuated through a 110 m³ h⁻¹
dry primary pump (Edwards GV110), permit to keep a background pressure
of 10⁻⁶ mbar during thruster operation. The pressure is monitored with a
Pfeiffer PBR260 Pirani/Bayard-Alpert pressure gauge.

EPIC-2 is also equipped with several electrical feedthroughs, for both insitu diagnostics and thruster power supply. Those feedthroughs are mostly composed of BNC or SubD connectors. Two Kodial (Borosilicate 7056) glass windows allow visual inspection of the thruster.



Figure 3: Schematic of the experimental setup.

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 3. In EPIC-2, the PJP is 90 placed on a horizontal plate screwed on a rail. This mounting allows ad-91 justment of the distance to the front window, depending on the experiment 92 to be performed. In this case the distance is set to have the cathode sur-93 face filling the whole camera field of view. In doing so, the accuracy of the 94 Minkowski-Bouligand algorithm is increased, see section 3.2. Moreover one 95 gets a complete view of all the cathode spots that can appear during a dis-96 charge. As the cathode surface is small, the PJP has been placed as closed 97 as possible to the window. The distance was then fixed to $30 \,\mathrm{cm}$ for this 98 experimental campaign. 99

The camera was a Nikon D40 with a 6 Mpx CMOS sensor, which has been equipped with a Sigma 180 mm F2.8 macro lens. In order to increase

the magnification ratio, a Kenko TELEPLUS MC7 AF 2x lens as well as two 102 extension tubes have been added as shown in Fig. 3. The exposure time has 103 been set to 1s so that all the light emitted during the discharge is received 104 by the sensor. In this way, one can extract the properties of all the cathode 105 spot traces over the entire surface during a PJP pulse. As spots emit a 106 large amount of light, the camera ISO has been set to the lowest option 107 available, i.e. 200, thus limiting the sensor light sensitivity. To achieve an 108 appropriate exposure, a neutral density filter with an attenuation factor of 109 10 has been mounted ahead of the lenses, as illustrated in Fig 3. In order 110 to avoid variations in the camera alignment while manually operating the 111 shutter, the sensor has been triggered by means of an infrared remote control 112 synchronized with the PJP duty cycle. The same setup and procedure apply 113 for all cathode materials. After changing of the cathode, the thruster and 114 the optical system were realigned to warranty sharp high-resolution images. 115 In total, 410 photographs have been used in this work. For each cathode 116 material, the dataset includes about 50 pictures, a large enough number to 117 ensure correct outcomes of a statistical analysis. 118

¹¹⁹ 3. Fractal dimension

¹²⁰ 3.1. Image preprocessing

Figure 4 shows a photograph of PJP discharge triggered with a titanium 121 cathode along with physical boundaries of both the cathode and the anode. 122 The dendritic nature of the plasma emission sites and the arc overall shape are 123 obvious by the naked eye in Fig. 4. The luminous light blue structures extend 124 from the inner edge of the cathode to the outer edge. One can note dendrites 125 are more sparse and less luminous near the outer edge of the cathode. The 126 cathode resembles a hollow disk when seen from the front. The center of this 127 disk corresponds to the insulator, where a reddish glow is observed as can be 128 seen in the image of Fig. 4. In this photograph, parts of the internal edge of 129 the anode are illuminated. A large bright circle can be seen in Fig. 4. Since 130 these regions are facing the cathode arcs, light may originate from reflection. 131 It is for instance the case for the left region. The reddish glow at the bottom 132 right part certainly corresponds to a so-called anode mode [13]. 133



Figure 4: Raw photograph of a vacuum arc on a titanium cathode (left). Red denselydashed and green loosely-dashed lines indicate cathode and anode boundaries respectively (right).

An image processing algorithm must be able to identify the structure of 134 interest prior to analysis. Here, the structure is the cathode spot traces, 135 i.e. points with high light intensity. However, other bright zones appear 136 in the pictures as previously discussed. It is then crucial to remove those 137 parasitic elements before image analysis as they can perturb data treatment 138 and lead to erroneous results and conclusions. This situation is exemplified 139 in Fig. 5. First, for most VAT discharges anode modes are observed [13]. 140 In short, this leads to a glow in the anode region. The anodic arc area is 141 circled in yellow in Fig. 5. Second, a glow is visible on the center-mounted 142 insulator due to a plasma discharge on its surface. The metal deposition that 143 accumulates on the insulator during PJP operation is ionized at the early 144 stage of the discharge when the high voltage is applied. This area, which is 145 circled in red in Fig. 5, is not considered as part of the arc discharge as ionized 146 particles do not originate from the cathode material in that case [14]. Last, 147 the cathode spots illuminate the outer edge of the insulator, green rectangle 148 and enlarged image in Fig. 5. This zone could be mistaken with the cathode 149 spots by the algorithm and must be removed. The three described areas have 150 been manually covered with black pixels by means of an image manipulation 151 program in this work. Note the inner edge of the anode, see Fig 5, is also 152 removed. 153

The light halo generated by the arc, see Fig. 5, must also be treated before



Figure 5: Unwanted zones in a photograph of a vacuum arc. Image in Fig.4 is used as a reference.

image processing. This halo is the diffuse light seen around the cathode 155 spots. It results from the intense light emission of the arc. Misalignment of 156 the camera and optics also favors its appearance. During image processing 157 the picture is transformed into a binary matrix, indicating whether the pixel 158 belongs to the set of cathode spots or not. This halo being especially intense 159 in the space between the branches of the structure, the fractal nature of the 160 arcs is lost if the halo is kept. In other words, keeping the halo would distort 161 the calculation of the fractal dimension. 162

To decrease the impact of the luminous halo and extract the cathode 163 spot traces, the solution is to fine tune white balance and tonal curves of 164 each photograph. This operation permits to sharpen the color difference 165 between the trace and the halo. The color components of the halo are strongly 166 desaturated with regard to the ones of the spots, reducing its brightness. 167 Afterwards conversion to gray scale is performed. It results in a gray-scale 168 picture of the arc discharge in which the spot traces are sharper than in 169 the original picture, without any additional numerical filter. Outcome of 170 preprocessing is illustrated in Fig. 6 for the vacuum arc image presented in 171 Fig. **4**. 172



Figure 6: Vacuum arc image before (left) and after (right) preprocessing.

173 3.2. Minkowski-Bouligand method

Before determining the fractal dimension, the image must be turned into a 174 binary matrix. In our study each pixel of a preprocessed image is associated 175 with a value that varies between 0 and 1 according to a 8-bit grav scale. 176 This numerical value is given by the normalized brightness of the pixel. A 177 brightness threshold is then defined for each material. Above the threshold, 178 the pixel is considered as part of the spot pattern and the value of 1 is 179 assigned to the pixel. Below the threshold the value 0 is assigned to the 180 pixel as it does not belong to the pattern. The result is a binary matrix that 181 is used for the calculation of the fractal dimension D of the spot structure. 182 Threshold is given in Tab. 2 for the different cathode materials. In order to 183 assess the threshold, preprocessed images in gray scale are compared with 184 binary images. The threshold is defined as the value that gives a binary 185 image representative of the real image. When the threshold is low, the overall 186 aspect of the image is changed. When it is high the image is smoother and 187 the dendritic geometry tends to vanish. A precise value is hard to define for 188 the threshold, fortunately it has a moderate impact on the fractal dimension. 180 In the case of Ti for instance, the change in D is only 0.02 when considering 190

Material	Threshold
Ti	0.30
Al	0.25
Ni-Cr	0.40
Fe	0.35
Brass	0.48
Cu	0.40

the lowest and the highest value of the threshold, which is below the standard deviation for D, see Sec. 3.3.

Table 2: Threshold for different cathode materials.

To recover the fractal dimension of the cathode spot luminous traces, the Minkowski-Bouligand method, also known as box counting method, has been used. According to this method a fractal S is covered with an evenly spaced grid and the number of squares required to cover S is counted. The dimension is calculated by evaluating how this number changes as the grid is made finer. The Minkowski-Bouligand dimension, or box-counting dimension, is defined as:

$$\dim_{box} (S) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\log N(\epsilon)}{-\log \epsilon}$$
(1)

where $N(\epsilon)$ is the number of squares of side length ϵ required to cover the 200 structure [15, 16]. The box counting process is interrupted when ϵ equals 201 the size of the camera pixel. However, as the camera sensor contains 6×10^6 202 pixels, multiple iterations can still be made before reaching this limit. As 203 the mesh is refined, N is calculated for each step. Eventually the $\log(N)$ 204 versus $-\log(\epsilon)$ curve is plotted and a line is fitted to the dataset. The slope 205 of the linear fit gives the fractal dimension. Details about the computation 206 methodology can be found in reference [17] for the inclined reader. Figure 7 207 shows the curve obtained for the image in Fig. 4 along with the associated 208 linear fit. As can be seen points are well aligned. The R^2 value is 0.996. 209 On this example the fractal dimension is D = 1.448, a value close to the 210 dimension of the Viscek snowflake and the quadratic Von Koch curve type 211 1. 212



Figure 7: Plot for fractal dimension of vacuum arc image shown in Fig. 4 obtained with the box-counting method: D = 1.448.

213 3.3. Fractal dimension

The mean fractal dimension determined with the box-counting algorithm 214 for each VAT cathode material along with the associated standard deviation 215 are presented in Table 3, sorted in ascending order. As can be seen, the 216 standard deviation remains low for all materials with a relatively constant 217 magnitude. Moreover, except for Al and NiCr datasets, each element has a 218 D value outside the $D \pm \sigma_D$ interval of the other elements. It means that 219 there is a significant difference between the fractal structures of all studied 220 materials. Titanium has the highest D whereas copper exhibits the lowest. 221

Material	D	σ_D
Ti	1.450	0.028
Al	1.329	0.038
Ni-Cr	1.326	0.034
Fe	1.288	0.019
Brass	1.198	0.032
Cu	1.145	0.021

Table 3: Mean fractal dimension and standard deviation for different cathode materials.

It is known, referenced and usually admitted that the cathode spot patterns depends on the surface condition, i.e. the degree of contamination by oxides [18, 19, 20] and the roughness [4, 2, 5]. In order to verify if the fractal dimension is impacted by the cathode surface properties under our arc

condition, experiments have been performed with a brand new Ti cathode 226 and a worn-out cathode exposed to more than 10^4 discharges. A set of 100 227 photographs has been collected and analyzed for the two cathodes. The 228 fractal dimension is given in Table 4. It results that there is no significant 229 differences between the two values as they overlap when accounting for the 230 standard deviation. Two explanations can be proposed then. Either the 231 surface roughness and state of the two cathodes are comparable in spite of 232 a very different exposure to the high current plasma discharge, or there is 233 indeed no influence of the surface roughness on D. The second explanation 234 is more likely as the erosion of the "old" cathode was clearly noticeable with 235 a change in the geometry. The fractal dimension of the vacuum arc cath-236 ode spots pattern would therefore mostly depends on the material properties 237 and contamination by oxides. There is unfortunately no way to quantify 238 the degree of contamination by oxygen with the present experimental setup. 230 We ensured that the operating frequency and the background pressure were 240 the same for all cathodes, respectively 1 Hz and 10^{-6} mbar . Moreover, the 241 thruster is fired during about 2 minutes (~ 100 pulses) after installation in 242 the vacuum chamber to clean the cathode and anode surface. 243

Cathode	D	σ_D	$S [mm^2]$	σ_S
Ti new	1.45	0.03	4.2	0.4
Ti old	1.42	0.03	4.6	0.5

Table 4: Fractal dimension and covered area for a fresh and a worn titanium cathode.

244 3.4. Covered surface area

The cathode material has a strong impact on D as previously demon-245 strated. It is also obvious from the pictures that the area covered by the 246 spots depends upon the material. Figure 8 shows photographs of the vacuum 247 arc cathode spots for the six different cathode materials. While for titanium 248 the spots show large branches that separate into small dendrites, for copper 249 and brass the sub-structures are much less developed and they form small 250 and compact islands, especially for copper. A common point between all 251 images is that the spots seem to develop and propagate mainly in the radial 252 direction with the external edge of the central insulator as origin. It should 253 be noted that when more than one spot is active, which is the case here, the 254 direction of new ignitions is influenced by the magnetic field of the current 255 paths (active spots) [21, 22]. 256



Figure 8: Raw photograph of cathodic arcs using different materials.

From the binary matrix of an arc, one can easily determine the surface area covered by the spots. Scaling the picture allows to determine the exact size of one pixel. Then, the total area of cathode spots is given by the

number of pixels associated with value 1 in the binary matrix times the area that corresponds to one single pixel. Results are presented in Table 5, with area sorted in descending order.

Material	$S (\rm{mm}^2)$	σ_S	% of the cathode area
Ti	4.2	0.4	11.1
Ni-Cr	3.2	0.3	8.5
Al	2.6	0.4	6.9
Fe	2.4	0.3	6.3
Brass	1.4	0.1	3.7
Cu	0.9	0.09	2.3

Table 5: Mean surface area covered by spots for different cathode materials.

The largest spot area is obtained with Ti whereas the smallest area is obtained with Cu. In fact the fractal dimension D and the surface area S are ordered in the same way keeping in mind that D is very similar for Al and Ni-Cr. Said differently our study reveals a strong link between the fractal dimension of the vacuum arc cathode spots and the covered area: a large Dcorresponds to a large S. This was expected in fact as the fractal dimension characterizes the space-filling capacity of a pattern.

Results are similar when comparing a fresh and a worn cathode: the 270 covered area does not depend on the wear degree. Table 4 shows that the 271 value of S is about $4.4 \,\mathrm{mm^2}$ in the case of a titanium cathode whatever the 272 cathode surface state. Figure 8 shows in addition an interesting character-273 istic of the arc discharge. There is always a sector of the cathode with no 274 arcs and spots. The sector location and size change from one pulse to the 275 other. Empty sectors have been observed for all photographs for the six 276 tested materials. The existence of such sectors and their dynamics remain 277 unexplained. They, however, participate in the fact that the area covered by 278 the arcs is a small fraction of the total cathode area as illustrated in Tab. 5. 279

280 3.5. Discussion

The dendritic aspect of the traces left on cathode surfaces has been consistently observed either on metal plates [2] or on films [5]. Note that for studies described in references [2, 3] vacuum arcs were operating at 50 A, a current magnitude much below the one of the PJP thruster. Striking similarities where found between the cathode spots patterns and Lichtenberg

figures, or even structures obtained by diffusion-limited aggregation [23]. In 286 our work, despite the fact that the discharge current I_d is almost 100 times 287 higher, fractal patterns are still identified, especially when taking a close view 288 to the dendrites. Similarities come from the fact that a spot cell can sustain 289 a limited current density j [24]. When j becomes too high, another spot is 290 initiated elsewhere and j decreases as the current flows through two different 291 spot cells. Hence, the number of spots increases linearly with I_d [25]. On 292 the other hand, cathode spots have a limited lifetime which is proportional 293 to j^2 . At the end of its lifetime, the spot extinguishes and an other one ig-294 nites elsewhere. This sequence of ignitions and extinctions spreads over the 295 cathode surface in an random walk process [3, 26]. Note that the random 296 walk in the case of vacuum arcs is not necessary a self avoiding walk, which 297 means that a previously active spot may reignite later. Photographs taken 298 in this study certainly contain spots that have been ignited several times. As 290 spot and vacuum arc dynamics is governed by the current density, it is not 300 surprising that high-current short duration arcs show structural similarities 301 with low-current long duration arcs when the photograph exposure time is 302 longer than the discharge duration in such a way all spots have been cap-303 tured. The main difference between low- and high-current arcs is just the 304 number of simultaneously active spots [21]. 305

The size of a group of spots, generally speaking, is closely related to the pre-explosion specific action of the cathode material [10, 27]. This parameter h is written in wire explosion theory as :

$$h = \int_{t_0}^{t_{br}} j^2 \, dt \tag{2}$$

where t_0 is the time at which the energy is released in the circuit, t_{br} the 309 instant the explosion occurs and j is the current density. This quantity 310 represents the "thermal toughness" of the material heated with a current 311 pulse [28, 29]. This parameter h has been linked to the ecton formation 312 criterion in several studies [24, 30]. The ecton can be seen in this case as 313 a potential candidate to the physical spatial cutoff of the fractal structure. 314 It should be emphasized that in the present contribution, the spatial cutoff 315 is the pixel size. It has been shown in [10] that h strongly depends on the 316 cathode material, in such a way that it can be considered a function of the 317 cathode material only, regardless of the energy input in the discharge or 318 the cathode temperature. Unfortunately, values of h are not available in 319

the literature neither for pure metals nor for alloys. Therefore h could not 320 be accounted for the fractal dimension analysis. However, it confirms that 321 the structure of the plasma emission sites strongly depends on the cathode 322 material for a similar geometry. It is therefore of interest to examine the 323 physical properties of the different cathode materials. The objective is here 324 twofold, namely: (i) to find which material properties influence the most the 325 cathode spot structure during a plasma discharge and (ii) to reveal a possible 326 relation between the fractal dimension of the vacuum arc cathode spots and 327 cathode material characteristics. 328

329 4. Influence of material properties

To the best of the authors knowledge, there is currently no equation ruling 330 the evolution of the fractal dimension with the cathode material properties. 331 This work shows, however, that when applying the same voltage and releas-332 ing the same energy in the discharge for the same cathode geometry, the 333 fractal dimension and the covered area are material-dependent. This idea is 334 supported by the fact that the ordering in D and S follows the ordering of the 335 mean diameter of macrospots in [21], for titanium, aluminium and copper, 336 despite different experimental conditions. Two different yet complementary 337 approaches, namely Machine Learning and Heuristic method are proposed 338 here to investigate the impact of the cathode material on the arc pattern. 339

The physical properties considered in these two approaches are the fol-340 lowing: thermal conductivity λ [W/(m · K)], electrical conductivity σ [S/m], 341 density ρ [kg/m³], vaporization energy E_{vap} [kJ/mol], cohesive energy, E_{coh} 342 [kJ/mol], ionization energy E_{ion} [kJ/mol] and work function W_f [kJ/mol]. 343 Values have been taken from the handbook of chemistry and physics [31] as 344 well as from [32] and are presented in Tab. 6. Exact values of energies for 345 alloys could not be found from the literature. Therefore the weighted average 346 with respect to the composition of the alloy was used. According to several 347 works [33, 34, 35, 36, 37] such an approximation is reasonable. 348

349 4.1. Machine learning

In order to determine a relation between the material properties and the fractal dimension *D*, an approach based on machine learning (ML) has first been considered as it allows to quantify each term contribution to the prediction function. Such insight is useful to capture the most contributing physical properties to the change in fractal dimension. The numerical model consists

Material	λ	$\sigma \times 10^6$	$\rho \times 10^3$	Ecoh	E_{vap}	E_{ion}	W_f
	$[W/(m \cdot K)]$	[S/m]	$[kg/m^3]$	[kJ/mol]	[kJ/mol]	[kJ/mol]	[kJ/mol]
Ti	22	2.34	4.51	468	421	659	418
Al	237	37.7	2.69	327	293	577	402
Ni-Cr	11	0.93	8.55	421*	365^{*}	721*	488*
Fe	80	9.93	7.87	413	350	762	457
Brass	125	15.6	8.45	259^{*}	231^{*}	803*	419*
Cu	401	59.6	8.96	336	300	746	459

*Average between the properties of the materials composing the alloy

Table 6: Physical properties of cathode materials considered in this study.

in a multivariate polynomial regression (MPR). A correlation between the fractal dimension and relevant material properties is sought in the form

$$D = \sum_{k} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i,k} x_{i}^{k} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{i,k} \ge 0$$
(3)

where D is the predicted fractal dimension, $\alpha_{i,k}$ are linear coefficients and 357 x_i are physical constants relative to the cathode material, here extracted 358 from Tab. 6. Each x_i appears in the expression with exponent k. As for the 359 polynomial order, since most scaling laws in physics feature exponents ± 0.5 , 360 ± 1 and/or ± 2 , it is chosen to limit k to these values. No intercept is allowed 361 in the model shown in Eq. 3, which means that a null fractal dimension is 362 assumed when all physical properties are equal to zero. Physically, it means 363 that no discharge can occur without a propagation medium. 364

The analysis consists in determining the value of each $\alpha_{i,k}$ that outputs 365 the best fit to the experimentally observed fractal dimension. For this pur-366 pose, a ML program was developed in Python. Having selected 7 physical 367 properties and 6 exponents, 42 coefficients are to be determined. In practi-368 cal terms, the algorithm targets at minimizing the residual sum of squares 369 between the experimentally observed fractal dimension and the one retrieved 370 by the polynomial approximation. The dataset on which the analysis is per-371 formed comprises 6 materials and 411 pictures. This is randomly split into 372 a training set (85%) and a test set (15%). The training and test sets are 373 depicted in Fig. 9 along with the fractal dimension predicted by the ML pro-374 gram. The quality of the model is quantitatively assessed on the test set 375 through the MAE and R^2 metrics, which read 2.15 % and 0.94, respectively. 376 These parameters outline that the model does satisfactorily predict the ex-377 perimental findings. 378



Figure 9: Scatter plot of train set, test set and predicted value of fractal dimension.

Figure 10 shows the normalized values of every $\alpha_{i,k} x_i^k$ for the six tested 379 materials, that means their respective contribution to the fractal dimension 380 prediction function. In all cases, the reciprocal of the work function term 381 contribute more than 55% to the fractal dimension. The sum of the squared 382 ionization energy and squared cohesive energy accounts for more than 35%, 383 with varying proportions. The remaining quantities visible at the bottom 384 of Figure 10 appear with variable weights depending on how the dataset 385 is randomly divided into train and test sets. This effect possibly results 386 from the relatively limited amount of data available. Therefore the precise 387 influence of ρ , λ and σ is difficult to quantify with this approach, however it 388 certainly remains secondary. Note that the contribution of the vaporization 389 energy is null in each case. 390



Figure 10: Normalized cumulative bar plot of the absolute value of each $\alpha_{i,k} x_i^k$. Omitted units are consistent with Table 6.

391 4.2. Heuristic approach

As a means to complete the ML model and examine whether a product relation between the material properties can also explain the change of fractal dimension *D*, a heuristic analysis has been implemented. It relies here on an expression in the form

$$D \propto \prod_{i} x_{i}^{k} \tag{4}$$

where x_i is a physical parameter relative to the cathode material and k are 396 usual exponents in physical laws, in a similar fashion to the approach dis-397 cussed beforehand. Values of k are limited once again to $k = 0, \pm 0.5, \pm 1, \pm 2$. 398 As this approach relies on an expression in a product form, a risk of overfit-399 ting the data can arise. One should then limit the number of x_i 's. In that 400 respect, since the ML model brings out the main contributing properties, the 401 method proposed here only considers parameters with a contribution above 402 10 % in the ML model i.e. E_{coh} , E_{ion} and W_f . Note however that the heuris-403 tic model considers a product of the various physical properties while the 404 ML model is based on a sum. All the 343 possible combinations have been 405 tested in an iterative way for the six materials using a custom program in 406

⁴⁰⁷ Python. For each combination a linear regression analysis is performed that ⁴⁰⁸ includes the six materials. Unlike with the previous model, the intercept of ⁴⁰⁹ the linear regression is not forced to be null. The expression giving both the ⁴¹⁰ lowest mean absolute error (MAE) and the highest R^2 is considered the most ⁴¹¹ probable and the most appropriate relation of proportionality between the ⁴¹² physical parameters of the material and the fractal dimension. Following this ⁴¹³ procedure, the best suited relation between D and the material properties is:

$$D \propto \frac{E_{coh}^2}{W_f E_{ion}^2} \tag{5}$$

The evolution of the fractal dimension D with this combination of pa-414 rameters is displayed in Fig. 11. With the relation given by Eq. 5, the linear 415 regression yields a mean absolute error of 0.025 and a R^2 equal to 0.865. It 416 is quite clear from this figure that the copper is responsible for the decrease 417 in \mathbb{R}^2 . The linear regression without taking Cu into account has an \mathbb{R}^2 of 418 0.987. This may be due to the accuracy of the box counting method with the 419 copper dataset. The copper spots covering the smallest surface with small 420 filaments, the box counting algorithm is less accurate as the size of the spot 421 pattern is close to the pixel size. 422



Figure 11: Evolution of the fractal dimension according to Eq. 5.

The five relations having the most linear behavior, as well as the coefficients of the regression and their respective R^2 and MAE, are given and ranked in Tab. 7. This table indicates that the cohesive energy increases the fractal dimension while the work function and the ionization energy decreases D. Studies have shown that the noise amplitude in the vacuum arc burning voltage scales linearly with the cohesive energy of the cathode material [38, 39]. According to the theory of colored noise [40, 41], this noise

Relation	a	b	R^2	MAE
$\frac{E_{coh}^2}{W_f E_{ion}^2}$	305.7	1.08	0.865	0.025
$\frac{E_{coh}}{W_f \sqrt{E_{ion}}}$	13.4	0.86	0.841	0.030
$\frac{E_{coh}}{W_f^2 \sqrt{E_{ion}}}$	5096.8	0.91	0.813	0.030
$\frac{E_{coh}}{W_f^2 E_{ion}}$	111107.8	0.98	0.771	0.039
$\frac{\sqrt{E_{coh}}}{W_f^2}$	5616.3	0.72	0.623	0.049

Table 7: Most appropriate relations of proportionality between the physical parameters of the material and the fractal dimension.

in burning voltage could possibly indicate a Brownian motion of the active
cathode spots, often approximated with random walk models. A link could
therefore be expected between the spot distribution over the cathode surface
and the cohesive energy of the cathode material. On the other hand, the ionization energy and the work function counteract the cohesive energy in the
relation, playing a crucial role in reducing the fractal dimension magnitude.

436 5. Conclusion

Photographs of cathode spots taken during firing of a high current vacuum 437 arc thruster reveal a fractal structure on the cathode surface. The dimension 438 of these structures was determined by the Minkowski-Bouligand method. It 439 was found that the fractal dimension varies considerably as a function of the 440 cathode material. Differences cannot be attributed to the typical dispersion 441 resulting from several separated discharges, or to surface conditions. For the 442 same energy released in the discharge, D spreads from 1.14 ± 0.02 for copper 443 to 1.45 ± 0.03 for titanium. The total surface covered by the spots during a 444 discharge shows the same variation and the same ordering. 445

Two methods have been proposed to investigate the role of the cathode material physical properties in relation to both the fractal dimension and the total surface. A machine learning approach as well as a heuristic analysis show that the work function, the ionization energy and the cohesive energy of the material play an important role in the fractal pattern. Variation of Das a function of the cathode material could be further examined by extending the investigation to materials with large and small E_{coh} respectively.

Numerous studies have been carried out in the past about cathode spots 453 of vacuum arcs fractal nature. This work however proposes a quite unique 454 arc regime in terms of current intensity and pulse duration as well as an un-455 usual application in the field of satellite propulsion. In addition to determine 456 the arc fractal dimension for several cathode materials, we also investigate 457 the relation between D and the material physical properties and we suggest 458 an ordering. This work is not an end in itself, just a step towards a broader 459 and more meaningful conclusion as the ultimate goal is to identify a possible 460 relation between the fractal dimension and the VAT performances in terms of 461 specific impulse, impulse bit and thrust efficiency. A link between fractal di-462 mension, cathode material properties and performances would certainly help 463 researchers and engineers in the development and optimization of thrusters 464 and offer paths for better understanding the complex physics at play. Look-465 ing for a relationship between D and thruster performances requires thrust 466 measurements, that means the building of a dedicated thrust balance. We 467 are currently working on that specific point. Measurements performed by 468 the PJP thruster manufacturer nevertheless indicate the thrust is large with 469 a titanium cathode and much smaller when copper is used, what we would 470 expect if thrust is driven by the fractal dimension. 471

472 Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement n° 870444 (Plasma Jet Pack). Authors would like to thank C. Chauveau for the lending of high-quality optics.

References

L. Niemeyer, L. Pietronero, H. Wiesmann, Fractal dimension of dielectric breakdown, Physical Review Letters 52 (12) (1984) 1033. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.1033.

- [2] A. Anders, The fractal nature of vacuum arc cathode spots, IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 33 (5) (2005) 1456–1464. doi:10.1109/TPS. 2005.856488.
- J. Daalder, Random walk of cathode arc spots in vacuum, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 16 (1) (1983) 17. doi:10.1088/0022-3727/ 16/1/005.
- [4] B. Juttner, H. Pursch, V. Shilov, The influence of surface roughness and surface temperature on arc spot movement in vacuum, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 17 (2) (1984) L31. doi:10.1088/ 0022-3727/17/2/002.
- S. Anders, B. Juttner, Influence of residual gases on cathode spot behavior, IEEE transactions on plasma science 19 (5) (1991) 705-712. doi:10.1109/27.108402.
- S. Barengolts, G. Mesyats, D. Shmelev, Structure and time behavior of vacuum arc cathode spots, IEEE transactions on plasma science 31 (5) (2003) 809-816. doi:10.1109/TPS.2003.818449.
- [7] A. Blanchet, L. Herrero, L. Voisin, B. Pilloy, D. Courteville, Plasma jet pack technology for nano-microsatellites, in: 36th International Electric Propulsion Conference, 2019.
- [8] E. Michaux, S. Mazouffre, A. Blanchet, Time evolution of plasma parameters in the jet of a low-power vacuum arc thruster, Journal of Electric Propulsion 1 (1) (2022) 1–16. doi:10.1007/s44205-022-00007-w.
- [9] E. Michaux, S. Mazouffre, R. Fritzsche, Spatial and temporal evolution of ion and electron parameters in the plasma jet of a 30w vat, in: 37th International Electric Propulsion Conference, 2022.
- [10] G. Mesyats, Ecton or electron avalanche from metal, Physics-Uspekhi 38 (6) (1995) 567. doi:10.1070/PU1995v038n06ABEH000089.
- [11] D. Alpert, D. Lee, E. Lyman, H. Tomaschke, Initiation of electrical breakdown in ultrahigh vacuum, Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology 1 (2) (1964) 35–50. doi:10.1116/1.1491722.

- [12] M. Keidar, J. Schein, K. Wilson, A. Gerhan, M. Au, B. Tang, L. Idzkowski, M. Krishnan, I. Beilis, Magnetically enhanced vacuum arc thruster, Plasma Sources Science and Technology 14 (4) (2005) 661. doi:10.1088/0963-0252/14/4/004.
- [13] I. Beilis, Plasma and Spot Phenomena in Electrical Arcs, Vol. 113, Springer Nature, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-44747-2.
- [14] I. Beilis, Vacuum arc cathode spot theory: history and evolution of the mechanisms, IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 47 (8) (2019) 3412–3433. doi:10.1109/TPS.2019.2904324.
- [15] K. Falconer, Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [16] A. Husain, J. Reddy, D. Bisht, M. Sajid, Fractal dimension of coastline of australia, Scientific Reports 11 (1) (2021) 1–10. doi:10.1038/ s41598-021-85405-0.
- [17] N. Rougier, J. B. Hamrick, ibah, G. Heverly-Coulson, Dapid, C. Deil, B. Telenczuk, numpy-100: Version 1.1 (Aug. 2016). doi:10.5281/ zenodo.61020.
- [18] K. Oh, D. Kalanov, A. Anders, High-resolution observation of cathode spots in a magnetically steered vacuum arc plasma source, Plasma Sources Science and Technology 30 (9) (2021) 095005. doi:10.1088/ 1361-6595/ac1ee1.
- [19] K. Oh, D. Kalanov, P. Birtel, A. Anders, High-resolution observation of cathodic arc spots in a magnetically steered arc plasma source in low pressure argon, nitrogen, and oxygen atmospheres, Journal of Applied Physics 130 (18) (2021) 183304. doi:10.1063/5.0072021.
- [20] M. Golizadeh, A. Anders, F. Mendez Martin, S. Kolozsvári, R. Franz, Insights into surface modification and erosion of multi-element arc cathodes using a novel multilayer cathode design, Journal of Applied Physics 127 (11) (2020) 113301. doi:10.1063/1.5141406.
- [21] P. Siemroth, T. Schulke, T. Witke, Investigation of cathode spots and plasma formation of vacuum arcs by high speed microscopy and spectroscopy, IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 25 (4) (1997) 571–579. doi:10.1109/27.640667.

- [22] T. Schulke, P. Siemroth, Vacuum arc cathode spots as a self-similarity phenomenon, IEEE transactions on plasma science 24 (1) (1996) 63–64. doi:10.1109/27.491692.
- [23] T. Witten Jr, L. Sander, Diffusion-limited aggregation, a kinetic critical phenomenon, Physical review letters 47 (19) (1981) 1400. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.47.1400.
- [24] G. Mesyats, S. Barengolts, The cathode spot of a high-current vacuum arc as a multiecton phenomenon, IEEE transactions on plasma science 29 (5) (2001) 704–707. doi:10.1109/27.964458.
- [25] B. Djakov, R. Holmes, Cathode spot division in vacuum arcs with solid metal cathodes, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 4 (4) (1971) 504. doi:10.1088/0022-3727/4/4/305.
- [26] A. Anders, The evolution of ion charge states in cathodic vacuum arc plasmas: a review, Plasma Sources Science and Technology 21 (3) (2012) 035014. doi:10.1088/0963-0252/21/3/035014.
- [27] S. Barengolts, G. Mesyats, D. Shmelev, Mechanism of ion flow generation in vacuum arcs, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 93 (5) (2001) 1065–1073.
- [28] Y. Kotov, Electric explosion of wires as a method for preparation of nanopowders, Journal of nanoparticle research 5 (5) (2003) 539–550. doi:10.1023/B:NAND.0000006069.45073.0b.
- [29] L. Chemezova, G. Mesyats, V. Sedoi, B. Semin, V. Valevich, The integral of specific current action and the specific energy input under fast electrical explosion, in: Proceedings ISDEIV. 18th International Symposium on Discharges and Electrical Insulation in Vacuum (Cat. No. 98CH36073), Vol. 1, IEEE, 1998, pp. 48–51. doi:10.1109/DEIV.1998. 740570.
- [30] G. Mesyats, Pulsed power, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007. doi:10.1007/b116932.
- [31] W. Haynes, D. Lide, T. Bruno, CRC handbook of chemistry and physics, CRC press, 2016. doi:10.1201/9781315380476.

- [32] E. Kaxiras, Atomic and electronic structure of solids, Cambridge University Press, 2003. doi:10.1017/CB09780511755545.
- [33] M. Akbi, A. Bouchou, M. Ferhat-Taleb, Effects of surface treatments on photoelectric work function of silver–nickel alloys, Vacuum 101 (2014) 257–266. doi:10.1016/j.vacuum.2013.09.003.
- [34] A. Gangopadhyay, J. Bendert, N. Mauro, K. Kelton, Inverse correlation between cohesive energy and thermal expansion coefficient in liquid transition metal alloys, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 24 (37) (2012) 375102. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/24/37/375102.
- [35] M. Turchanin, P. Agraval, Cohesive energy, properties, and formation energy of transition metal alloys, Powder Metallurgy and Metal Ceramics 47 (1) (2008) 26–39. doi:10.1007/s11106-008-0006-3.
- [36] L. Zhan, Z. Xu, Separating and recycling metals from mixed metallic particles of crushed electronic wastes by vacuum metallurgy, Environmental science & technology 43 (18) (2009) 7074–7078. doi: 10.1021/es901667m.
- [37] A. Watson, F. Hayes, Enthalpies of formation of solid ni-cr ni-cr and niv alloys by direct reaction calorimetry, Journal of alloys and compounds 220 (1-2) (1995) 94–100. doi:10.1016/0925-8388(94)06008-8.
- [38] A. Anders, E. Oks, G. Yushkov, Cathodic arcs: Fractal voltage and cohesive energy rule, Applied Physics Letters 86 (21) (2005) 211503. doi:10.1063/1.1937994.
- [39] E. Oks. I. Emerging Brown, applications of vacuum-arcproduced plasma, ion and electron beams (2003).doi: 10.1007/978-94-010-0277-6.
- [40] M. Schroeder, Fractals, chaos, power laws: Minutes from an infinite paradise, Courier Corporation, 2009. doi:10.1063/1.2810323.
- [41] A. Osborne, A. Pastorello, Simultaneous occurence of low-dimensional chaos and colored random noise in nonlinear physical systems, Physics Letters A 181 (2) (1993) 159–171. doi:10.1016/0375-9601(93) 90914-L.