

Tools for estimating and comparing behavioral variation Raphaël Royauté, Petri Niemelä

▶ To cite this version:

Raphaël Royauté, Petri Niemelä. Tools for estimating and comparing behavioral variation. 15th annual meeting of the Ethological Society, Feb 2020, Tubingen Germany, Germany. 10.17605/OSF.IO/CG3Y5 . hal-04168210

HAL Id: hal-04168210 https://hal.science/hal-04168210

Submitted on 21 Jul2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Comparing behavioral variation requires

multiple approaches

Tools for estimating and comparing behavioral variation

- **Raphaël Royauté**¹, Petri Niemela¹
 - ¹ LMU München/Department Biology II

HOW SHOULD WE COMPARE BEHAVIORAL

VARIATION?

TOOL 1: DIFFERENCES IN REPETABILITY



Fig. 1. Posterior distribution of repeatability (R) and the difference in repeatability (ΔR) by scenario.



V i

- Understanding how behavioral variation is generated is important
- Repeatability is a common metric for **reporting** behavioral variation
- Is repeatability the best metric for **comparing** variation among populations, sexes or studies?

Repeatability: proportion of variation due to individual differences in behavior Measured as a ratio of among (V_i) and within-individual (V_w) variances Inference on genetic variation and irreversible

Data simulated according to each scenario with 100 individuals per populations and 2 measures per individual. The data was analyzed using a Bayesian hierarchical mixed model in brms.

Repeatability fails to detect meaningful differences between populations in some scenarios (S2, S3, S4)

Problem: Repeatability can lead to misleading inferences

Solution: Compare among-individual variances

TOOL 2: DIFFERENCES IN VARIANCE



Fig. 2. Posterior distribution of amongindividual variance (V_i) and the difference in variance (ΔV_i) by scenario.

 $W \mapsto$ Inference on reversible plasticity

plasticity

QUESTION: In each scenario, which population has the highest repeatability?



Strong differences detected in S1, S2, S4.

Problem: Are these differences biologically meaningful?

Solution: Compare the variation relative to the mean with the coefficient of variation (CV)

TOOL 3: DIFFERENCES IN COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION



Fig. 3. Posterior distribution of the coefficient of variation in amongindividual differences (CV_i) and its difference among population (ΔCV_i) by scenario.



Conclusions

- Populations can differ because of behavioral differences in mean and/or variance
- To fully understand biological variation, we recommend to report all three types of estimates.



MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

More information and R code here!

