



**HAL**  
open science

# Co-creating formative peer assessment criteria in language classes: impacts on regulation and self-efficacy

Rebecca Clayton Bernard

## ► To cite this version:

Rebecca Clayton Bernard. Co-creating formative peer assessment criteria in language classes: impacts on regulation and self-efficacy. International Assessment in Higher Education Conference, Jun 2023, Manchester, United Kingdom. hal-04164934

**HAL Id: hal-04164934**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04164934>**

Submitted on 18 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.


L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**IMT Atlantique**  
Bretagne-Pays de la Loire  
École Mines-Télécom

# Co-creating formative peer assessment criteria in language classes: regulation and self-efficacy

## Cyclical Phases Model of Self-Regulated Learning



### Approach

- ▶ Assessment for learning (Panadero et al., 2018)
- ▶ Socio-constructivist (Andrade & Brookhart, 2020)
- ▶ Self-regulation & co-regulation (Hadwin et al., 2018; Kaplan et al., 2017)

### Research question

In a collaborative oral task in the EFL classroom:  
**Does co-creating formative peer assessment rubrics affect self-efficacy and regulation of learning?**

### Author

Rebecca CLAYTON BERNARD  
rebecca.clayton@imt-atlantique.fr



### Method

- ▶ **Participants:** n=150 ( 93♂ 57♀ mean age 19.3yrs); 7 class groups
- ▶ **Task:** As a group: design and pitch a sports holiday geared towards a specific target audience. Watch other groups' pitches and give feedback.
- ▶ **Control group** (4 classes, n=89). Peer feedback given based on an assessment rubric and feedback sheet provided by the teacher.
- ▶ **Experimental group** (3 classes, n=61). Peer feedback given based on a co-created assessment rubric, and a feedback sheet provided by the teacher.
- ▶ Measurements pre-test and post-test
  - ERICA, Individual and Collective Regulation of Learning Scale (Kaplan et al., 2017). 6 subscales of macro-level individual and collective regulatory strategies
  - Self-efficacy questionnaire in French (Yakimova et al., 2022)

### Results


ERICA Subscale	Findings
IAR Individual anticipation of materials & references	RM ANOVA Friedman <b>pre-test/post-test *p.05</b>
IEC Individual environmental control	1- way ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis <b>condition *p &lt; .05</b>
ITM Individual tracking and monitoring	RM ANOVA Friedman <b>pre-test/post-test **p &lt; .01</b>
CEC Collective evaluation of content	RM ANOVA Friedman <b>pre-test/post-test p .07</b>
IEM Individual evaluation of method	1- way ANOVA Kruskal-Wallis <b>condition *p &lt; 0.05</b>
CDM Collective decisions for method change	RM ANOVA Friedman <b>pre-test/post-test ***p &lt; .001</b>
<b>SELF-EFFICACY</b>	RM ANOVA <b>pre-test/post-test ***p &lt; .001</b>

- ▶ Greater individual anticipation of grading post-test
- ▶ Individual control of the environment reduced when the **task** is determined collectively
- ▶ Criteria provided to support tracking and monitoring
- ▶ No increase in shared regulation in the reflection phase nor difference between conditions
- ▶ Weight of the shared task influences evaluation on an individual level
- ▶ Collective nature of the **task** linked to higher scores post-test.
- ▶ Increased belief in ability to achieve post-test

### Conclusions & Discussion

Finite resources allocated between strategies  
→ co-creation does not appear to affect regulation and SE, but the collaborative nature of the task does

- Further research required with focus on:
- Preparation for the teaching team
  - Formative peer assessment training for learners
  - Support for the reflection phase



### Bibliography

- ▶ Andrade, H. L. (2010). Students as the Definitive Source of Formative Assessment: Academic Self-Assessment and the Self-Regulation of Learning. *NERA Conference Proceedings 2010*, 25.
- ▶ Andrade, H. L., & Brookhart, S. M. (2020). Classroom assessment as the co-regulation of learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 27(4), 350–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2019.1571992>
- ▶ Fraile, J., Panadero, E., & Pardo, R. (2017). Co-creating rubrics: The effects on self-regulated learning, self-efficacy and performance of establishing assessment criteria with students. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 53, 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.03.003>
- ▶ Hadwin, A., Järvelä, S., Miller, M., Schunk, D. H., & Greene, J. A. (2018). Self-regulation, Co-regulation, and Shared regulation in collaborative learning environments. In *Handbook of Self-Regulated Learning and Performance* (pp. 83–106). Routledge.
- ▶ Kaplan, J., de Montalembert, M., Laurent, P., & Fenouillet, F. (2017). ERICA— an instrument to measure individual and collective regulation of learning. *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 67(2), 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2017.01.001>
- ▶ Panadero, E., Jonsson, A., & Alqassab, M. (2018). Providing formative peer feedback: What do we know? In A. Lipnevich A. & J. Smith K. (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of instructional feedback* (Cambridge University Press, pp. 409–431). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316832134.020>
- ▶ Panadero, E., Andrade, H., & Brookhart, S. (2018). Fusing self-regulated learning and formative assessment: A roadmap of where we are, how we got here, and where we are going. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 45(1), 13–31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-018-0258-y>
- ▶ Topping, K. J. (2009). Peer assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 48(1), 20–27. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1080/00405840802577569>
- ▶ Yakimova, S., Ricard, I., Fort, I., d'Hulst, S., & Gilles, P.-Y. (2022). Validation d'un questionnaire de sentiment d'efficacité personnelle en langue française à destination des étudiants en classes préparatoires aux grandes écoles scientifiques. *Psychologie Française*, S003298422000012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psfr.2022.01.001>
- ▶ Zimmerman, B. J., & Moylan, A. R. (2009). Self-regulation: Where metacognition and motivation intersect. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 299–315). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.



Université de Bretagne Occidentale