

Distribution and trophic functioning of planktonic communities in coastal marshes in Atlantic Coast of France

Lauriane Bergeon, Frédéric Azémar, Claire Carré, Bénédicte Dubillot, Claire Emery, Hélène Agogué, Philippe Pineau, Thomas Lacoue-Labarthe, Marc Bouvy, Michèle Tackx, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Lauriane Bergeon, Frédéric Azémar, Claire Carré, Bénédicte Dubillot, Claire Emery, et al.. Distribution and trophic functioning of planktonic communities in coastal marshes in Atlantic Coast of France. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 2023, pp.108430. 10.1016/j.ecss.2023.108430. hal-04161111

HAL Id: hal-04161111 https://hal.science/hal-04161111

Submitted on 13 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Distribution and trophic functioning of planktonic communities in coastal marshes in Atlantic Coast of
2	France
3	Lauriane Bergeon ¹ , Frédéric Azémar ² , Claire Carré ³ , Bénédicte Dubillot ¹ , Claire Emery ¹ , Hélène
4	Agogué ¹ , Philippe Pineau ¹ , Thomas Lacoue-Labarthe ¹ , Marc Bouvy ³ , Michèle Tackx ² , Christine Dupuy ¹
5	
6	¹ Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7276, CNRS, La Rochelle Université, 2 Rue Olympe
7	de Gouge, 17000 La Rochelle, France
8	² UMR 5245 Laboratoire écologie fonctionnelle et environnement (LEFE), CNRS – Université de
9	Toulouse 3, 118 route de Narbonne – Bâtiment 4R1 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
10	³ MARBEC, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, Place Eugène Bataillon, case 093, 34090
11	Montpellier cedex 5, France
12	
13	Corresponding author: bergeon-lauriane@hotmail.fr
14	
15	Abstract:
16	Coastal marshes are submitted to huge management due to anthropogenic pressure and thus, it is
17	essential to preserve their biodiversity, their ecological functions and the ecosystem services they can
18	provide. This study investigates the diversity and abundance of planktonic communities (heterotrophic
19	prokaryotes, heterotrophic protists, microphytoplankton and metazooplankton). The aim of this study is
20	to provide a first quantitative inventory on the plankton communities present in two marshes and to
21	construct the different typologies of planktonic food webs in these marches. Seasonal samplings (4) for
22	environmental variables, nutrient concentrations and planktonic communities were conducted at 2-3
23	stations on each marsh during a year. A total of five different types of planktonic food web were
24	determined, three of them were found in both marshes. The saltmarsh phytoplanktonic communities
25	were dominated by Cryptophyta, nanoflagellates and Cyanobacteria. The freshwater marsh was
26	dominated by Cryptophyta in autumn and winter and by a diverse phytoplankton community in spring.
27	Marine copepods (Calanoida and Harpacticoida) characterized the saltwater metazooplanktonic
28	communities, Cladocerans and Rotifera the freshwater ones. Overall, the planktonic diversity was higher
29	in the freshwater marsh (102 taxa) than in the saltwater marsh (54 taxa).

30	Phytoplankton blooms represented nutrient and CO2 uptake in both marshes and this reification function
31	seemed most efficient in autumn in the saltmarsh. Considerable zooplankton communities represented
32	a potential for nursery. Of the three management actions performed, only periodic water renewals might
33	affect the seasonal dynamics of planktonic communities.
34	
35	Keywords: plankton, purification function, nursery function, salt- and freshwater marshes, food web
36	
37	Graphical abstract
38	
39	Highlights
40	- Planktonic diversity differs highly between the salt- and freshwater marsh.
41	- Similar planktonic ecological functions can be found in both marshes.
42	- Seasonal variations might be affected by management operation.
43	- Monitoring planktonic communities is essential to adapt coastal marsh management.
44	
45	1. Introduction
46	Coastal marshes are habitats with high biological productivity, sustaining many ecological functions
47	(Vernberg, 1993; Więski et al., 2010). According to their uses and management, these environments
48	can provide several ecosystem services. Some of the most common services are directly linked to the
49	supply of human food resources, such as salt production, shellfish farming (Guillou, 1997; Leloup et al.,
50	2008), and fisheries (Maltby, 1991). Moreover, coastal marshes can provide regulation services by
51	forming a buffer zone against flooding of nearby inhabited areas (Sheng et al., 2022). They can also
52	provide cultural services as recreational spaces for the human population (Ghermandi and Nunes, 2013)
53	or provide refuge areas for migratory birds (Bradshaw et al., 2020). Overall, these different services are
54	often valued by their economic value (Woodward and Wui, 2001), which drives the type of management
55	applied to these environments. For example, in North America, dikes were constructed on some
56	marshes to directly control flooding to favor the development of specific marsh grass for salt hay farming
57	(Hinkle and Mitsch, 2005).
58	The various ecological functions and ecosystem services provided depend to a high extent on the
59	biodiversity present in coastal marshes. Halophyte vegetations and macrophytes provide shelter for

breeding and nesting for a wide diversity of animals from invertebrates to migratory birds (Pétillon et al.,
2014; Jerabek et al., 2017; Joyeux et al., 2017). In addition, coastal marshes constitute crucial nurseries
for juveniles of mobile species (*i.e.*, fish) that find requested trophic resources before migrating to a new
habitat (Cattrijsse and Hampel, 2006). One of the main resources of fish juveniles using these habitats
is metazooplankton (Stephenson, 1990; Kaneko et al., 2018; Talanda et al., 2022).

65 Planktonic communities are one of the actors of many ecological functions (Harris, 2012; D'Alelio et al., 66 2016; Hébert et al., 2016). For instance, in coastal marshes, they are known to take part in the water 67 purification function by retaining suspended matter and nutrients and pollutants in the water column, 68 thus preventing eutrophication (Azim et al., 2005; Verhoeven et al., 2006; Nyman, 2011). Bradley et al. 69 (2010), showed that phytoplanktonic communities, especially picophytoplankton, were able to consume 70 both organic and inorganic nitrogen sources. While many papers consider the importance of benthic 71 communities on marsh ecology (Craft, 2000; Sullivan and Currin, 2002; Nordström et al., 2014), few 72 studies focus on the planktonic communities and their ecological associated functions in coastal fresh 73 and saltwater marshes (Quintana et al., 1998; Kobayashi et al., 2009). Even less consider the effect of 74 management measures on plankton communities and associated functions and ecosystem services. 75 David et al. (2020) studied the effect of human activities on phyto- and zooplankton diversity in 76 specifically drained marshes; they demonstrated that water replenishment and urbanization have a 77 strong effect on planktonic diversity and eutrophication. Koch and Gobler (2009) showed that draining 78 in salt marshes directly affects water quality and can increase development of diatoms and 79 dinoflagellates (*i.e.*, bloom). The introduction of species such as fish stocking for recreational fisheries 80 can reshape planktonic diversity (Reissig et al., 2006) and might also bring non-native planktonic 81 species. These invasive species might take over on native species if they are suited to the environmental 82 conditions in the marshes (Dexter et al., 2020). The aim of this study is to provide a first quantitative 83 inventory on the plankton communities present in the Fiers d'Ars and Tadon marsh, located on the west 84 coast of France, and to construct the different typologies of planktonic food webs in these marches. Both 85 marches being submitted to management practices, some considerations are formulated concerning 86 the potential impact of these on the plankton community composition, abundance and associated 87 ecological functions, especially the water purification function and nursery function.

In this study, the term metazooplankton is used to cover meso- and microzooplankton. Management
actions applied on the marshes were: (1) an annual drought, (2) the management of water inlet and

90 renewal in the marshes and (3) the introduction of non-native species. The potential effect of these
91 actions was compared to seasonal changes as observed in the planktonic communities during the study
92 period.

Based on the collected data and the study of Masclaux et al., (2014), who quantified both abundances
and flux between different planktonic compartments in a similar marsh also situated in Charente Maritime (France) and supported by literature references, we deduce the most likely trophic pathways
present in the studied marshes.

97 In short, microbial loops are found when both picophytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryote 98 abundances are high, (Legendre and Rassoulzadegan, 1995). Herbivorous food webs can be found 99 when the temperature rises enough and nutrient concentration in the water column is sufficient to sustain 100 phytoplankton blooms (Huppert et al., 2002; Masclaux et al., 2014; Trombetta et al., 2019). Multivorous 101 food webs are likely when all planktonic communities are present and active in the water column 102 (Masclaux et al., 2014). Biological winters reflect situations where abundance and activity of planktonic 103 communities are low despite considerable concentrations of nutrients in the water column (Masclaux et 104 al., 2014). More details on these characterizations are given in the discussion of the specific situations 105 found in this study.

106

107 2. Methods

108 2.1. Study areas

The two marshes are located in Charente-Maritime, on the west coast of France (Fig 1). This area contains several coastal marshes (salt and freshwater type) with variable uses and management types and is considered as one of the biggest wetland zones in France (almost 100 000 ha) (David et al., 2020).

The saltwater marsh (salinity between 22.9 and 37.6 throughout the year) studied is the Fier d'Ars marsh, located at the North of the Ré-Island. This area is protected by a dike and is a highly touristic site where traditional shellfish and salt farming activities are carried out (Fig 1a). The vegetation around the marsh is mainly composed of *Halimione* sp., *Salsola soda* and *Tamarix* sp.. A part of this marsh is included in a natural reserve managed by the "Ligue pour la Protection des Oiseaux" (LPO). Sampling stations were selected in two areas. The first station FA (N 46° 14' 5.964"; W 1° 30' 20.707") is a 40 097 m² polyhaline basin with an average depth of 0.6 m located in the natural reserve. It is located about 0.1 120 km from the sea and connected to it by a channel. The management of this basin does not include 121 dredging or cleaning and no species have been introduced in addition to the resident species in the 122 marsh. The second station FB (N 46° 13' 26.4"; W 1° 27' 21.599") is an 8 483 m² polyhaline basin with 123 an average depth of 0.75 m. It is located about 2 km from the sea and connected to it by a channel; 124 when the tidal range reaches about 5.5 m, the water crossing the channels can enter the marshes. Thus, 125 juvenile fish can enter the marsh. The management of this basin includes dredging once a year in March. 126 As for FA, no species have been introduced in FB. The water inlets are regulated by a weir followed by 127 a floodgate to control water renewals depending on the season and the tidal range.

128 The freshwater marsh (salinity between 0.1 and 0.5 through the year) studied is the urban Tasdon marsh 129 located between La Rochelle and Aytré (Fig 1b). The vegetation around the marsh is mainly composed 130 of Arrhenatheretea elatioris. Sampling stations were selected in three distinct areas located between 131 1.5 and 2.5 km from the sea. The first station TA (N 46° 8' 56.4"; W 1° 7' 26.4") is a 72 000 m² freshwater lake with a maximum depth of 1.35 m. The second station TB (N 46° 9' 3.6"; W 1° 8' 9.599") is a 21 400 132 133 m² freshwater basin with a maximum depth of 0.8 m. The third station labelled as TC (N 46° 8' 49.2"; W 134 1° 8' 13.2") is a 23 700 m² elongated freshwater basin with a maximum depth of 1 m. The management 135 of these three stations does not include dredging nor cleaning. However, freshwater fish such as black-136 bass and sunfish have been introduced in these areas (early 2019) and tend to develop faster than the 137 native fishes present in the marsh, such as Carassius spp. and Gambusia spp.. Water inlets are coming 138 from an adjacent river connected to the stations: TB and TC located further downstream than at TA.

139

140 2.2. Sampling methods

141 Four seasonal samplings, corresponding to a season cycle (winter, spring, summer, autumn) were 142 conducted in 2019. All samplings were made in triplicate at each station at 0.5 m depth (sub-surface; 143 measured with a measuring rod). Temperature, salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen concentration were 144 measured using a multiparametric probe (VWR™). A total of 5 L of water was sampled in polythene 145 bottles (mid water column) for quantification of Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM: Suspended 146 Particulate Inorganic Matter (PIM) and Suspended Particulate Organic Matter (POM)), dissolved nutrient 147 analysis (NO₂, NO₃, NH₄, PO₄, Si), chlorophyll a concentration and abundances of different planktonic 148 compartments (see after). These samples were preserved from light in opaque black plastic bags. To 149 estimate the abundance of heterotrophic prokaryotes and pico-nanophytoplankton, 1.5 mL of water was

150 directly transferred in cryotubes containing a mixture of glutaraldehyde (final concentration 0.2%) and 151 poloxamer (final concentration 0.001%). These fixed samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then 152 stored at -80°C in the lab. To study the diversity and abundance of microphytoplankton, 50 mL of water 153 sample were directly fixed with alkaline lugol iodine (final concentration of 4%). For heterotrophic 154 nanoflagellates (HNF), a 60 mL water sample was fixed with paraformaldehyde filtered at 0.22 µm (final 155 concentration of 1%). Metazoan microzooplankton was sampled by filtering 6 L of water through a 63 156 µm mesh stainless-steel sieve and then fixed with buffered formaldehyde (final concentration 4%). 157 Horizontal hauls were done at each station with a standard WP2 (200 µm mesh net) with an attached 158 flow meter (Mechanical Flow Meter with back-run stop, Model 438 115) to sample mesozooplanktonic 159 communities. The content of the net collector was fixed with buffered formaldehyde (final concentration 160 4%). Since the maximum depth was 1.35 m (TA), the effect of planktonic vertical migration was 161 considered as low (Gliwicz, 1986; Semyalo et al., 2009; Das et al., 2020). Horizontal hauls were then 162 sufficient to sample planktonic communities in the water column; thus, no additional vertical hauls were 163 done. Except for samples contained in cryotubes, all the samples were kept at 4°C in a cold-storage 164 room until analysis.

165

166 2.3. Sample analyses

The concentration of nitrate (NO₃), nitrite (NO₂), ammonium (NH₄), phosphate (PO₄) and silicate (Si) was determined on filtered water (0.7 μm GF/F glass fiber membrane, Whatman) using a SEAL AA3 autoanalyzer with the detection limit of: 0.02 μmol.L⁻¹ (NO₃), 0.003 μmol.L⁻¹ (NO₂), 0.06 μmol.L⁻¹ (NH₄), 0.01 μmol.L⁻¹ (PO₄), 0.04 μmol.L⁻¹ (Si) according to the protocol of Aminot and Kérouel (2004). SPM were measured by weighing filters according to the protocol of Aminot and Kérouel (2004). PIM was also measured by weighing filters after acidification. POM was calculated as the difference between SPM and PIM weight.

The concentration of chlorophyll *a* and phaeopigments was measured for each replicate for three size classes: microphytoplankton (> 20 μ m), nanophytoplankton (between 3 and 20 μ m) and picophytoplankton (< 3 μ m). To do this, a triplicate filtration for each sampling was first performed on a 20 μ m nylon fiber membrane (Millipore). Then, a fraction of the resulting filtrate was filtered onto a 3 μ m nucleopore membrane (Whatman) and the rest of the filtrate onto a 0.7 μ m GF/F glass fiber membrane (Whatman). Chlorophyll *a* and phaeopigments (*i.e.,* concentration of degraded chlorophyll pigment) concentrations were quantified by fluorimetry according to the protocol of Lorenzen (1966). The proportion of active chlorophyll *a* was measured by calculating the ratio of concentration of phaeopigments to phaeopigment plus chlorophyll *a* initially measured.

FlowCam analyses were conducted rapidly after field work at the laboratory on living microplanktonic organisms for each replicate using water from the polythene bottles. The abundance of microplanktonic groups (phytoplankton and heterotrophic protists) were determined by FlowCam analyses following the protocol of Buskey and Hyatt (2006). Microphytoplanktonic organisms (samples fixed with lugol) were identified at the highest taxonomic level possible and counted under an inverted microscope (IX70 Olympus) coupled with a camera (DFC 450C Leica) (mainly at x400) according the Utermöhl protocol (1958). One sample for each station-season were processed.

190 The abundance of heterotrophic prokaryotes, pico- and nanophytoplankton were determined by flow 191 cytometry analyses performed with a FACS Cantoll flow cytometer according to the method described 192 in Marie et al. (1999). The abundance of HNF from 2 to 20 µm was assessed by counting cells by 193 epifluorescence microscopy using the method of Bloem et al. (1986). Micro- and mesozooplanktonic 194 organisms were identified and counted with binocular microscope observations using the protocol of 195 Postel et al. (2000). Identification of micro- and mesozooplankton was performed to the species level 196 whenever possible (genus or order if damaged). To achieve species level identification, crustaceans 197 were dissected and observed with an optical microscope (x400) when necessary. All five copepodite 198 stages were regrouped for each copepod order. Planktonic larvae were identified to order directly under 199 a stereomicroscope (x120) without prior dissection.

200

201 2.4. Statistical analyses

202 All statistical analyses were conducted with R software (version 4.0.3.). First, heatmaps including 203 dendrograms based on euclidean distances were done to evaluate phytoplanktonic and 204 metazooplanktonic diversities on both marshes for each sampling station on the four seasons. In 205 addition, the data set obtained in this study was analyzed using a Multiple Factor Analysis (MFA) (Pagès, 206 2002), with FactoMineR and factoextra packages, to determine key variables guiding the seasonal 207 dynamics of planktonic communities on both marshes. Quantitative variables were divided in three 208 groups: a group including all abiotic parameters, a group including metazooplanktonic taxa and a group 209 including phytoplanktonic taxa. The management actions, including annual drought, water inlet and

renewal and introduction of non-native species, were used as descriptive qualitative variables (A). Then, boxplots (package ggplot2) with associated Permanova and Fisher test (package vegan) were done to determine the different types of planktonic food web (FW) per group, as described by Masclaux et al. (2014). These analyses allow to compare, for each station-season couple, the concentration of nutrients (NO₂, NO₃, NH₄), PIM, the proportion of active chlorophyll *a* and the concentration of chlorophyll *a* for the three size classes (larger than 20 μ m, between 20 and 3 μ m, less than 3 μ m), and the abundance of micro- and mesozooplankton, ciliates, diatoms and heterotrophic prokaryotes.

217

218 3. Results

219 3.1. Diversity of microphytoplankton and metazooplankton

220 A total of 54 taxa for the Fier d'Ars marsh and 102 taxa for the Tasdon marsh were determined for the 221 microphytoplanktonic compartment. Taxa which were only present at one season for a single sampling 222 station were removed to retain the most representative taxa of the total abundance corresponding to 223 98% of the total abundance. Therefore, respectively 33 and 64 taxa for the Fier d'Ars marsh and the 224 Tasdon marsh were kept to study relative abundances of microphytoplanktonic communities per 225 season-station with a heatmap. For the Fier d'Ars marsh (Fig 2a), the dominant phytoplanktonic species 226 was Teleaulax amphioxeia (Cryptophyta), which was most abundant in autumn at both FA and FB and 227 in winter in FA. In summer, flagellates sp2 (not determined) were most abundant at FB whereas 228 Planktolyngbya limnetica (Cyanobacteria) was the most abundant at FA for the same season. 229 Considering the distribution of microphytoplankton communities between stations and by season 230 (results of clustering on heatmap), three groups could be distinguished: a first group including FB station 231 in spring and summer, a second group including both FA and FB in autumn and FA in winter, and finally 232 a third group including FA in summer and spring and also FB in winter.

For the Tasdon marsh (Fig 2b), the dominant phytoplanktonic species was *Plagioselmis nannoplanctica* (Cryptophyta) which was most abundant in autumn and winter at TA and in autumn at TB. In summer, microalgae of the genus *Scenedesmus* and *Willea rectangularis* (Chlorophyta) were most abundant at TA and TB while *Lepocinclis acus* (Euglenozoa), *Haslea* sp., *Aulacoseira granulata* (diatoms), and cyanobacteria of the genus *Aphanocapsa* were most abundant at TC. In spring, *Dinobryon* sp. (Chrysophyceae) and flagellates were the most present at TA while green algae of the genus *Monoraphidium* were dominant at TB. In the same season, *Monoraphidium* algae were also found with

a high abundance at TC with also *Haslea* sp., *Cryptomonas curvata* and flagellates sp6. Again
considering, only the distribution of phytoplankton communities (results of clustering on heatmap), four
groups could be distinguished: a first group including TA and TB in summer, a second group including
TA and TC in spring and TC in summer, a third group including all stations in autumn, and TA and TB
in winter, and a fourth group including TB in spring and TC in winter.

245 For the metazooplanktonic compartment, 30 and 42 taxa were determined for the Fier d'Ars marsh and 246 the Tasdon marsh, respectively. As for the phytoplanktonic compartment, relative abundances for each 247 taxon were studied per season-station. For the Fier d'Ars marsh (Fig 2c), copepods were most abundant 248 with the dominant genus Acartia at both FA and FB, especially in autumn. Copepod nauplii were 249 dominant in spring at both FA and FB, as well as in summer at FB. A high abundance of Zoe larvae (*i.e.*, 250 larvae of crab) was also noticed in spring at FA. Considering the distribution of metazooplankton 251 communities between stations and by season, two groups seemed to stand out: a group including both 252 FA and FB in autumn and winter and FA in summer, and a group including both FA and FB in spring 253 and FB in summer.

254 For the Tasdon marsh (Fig 2d), Cladocerans were the most abundant, especially Bosmina longirostris 255 with highest relative abundances observed in spring at TA and TB as well as in autumn at TA and TC. 256 Cyclopoid copepodite abundances were also high in summer at TA and TB. Rotifers of the genus 257 Asplanchna were the most abundant in winter at the three stations, and TC in spring. Nauplii of copepods 258 were the most abundant in summer in TC and in autumn in TB. In addition, two exotic and tropical 259 zooplankton species were found in Tasdon marsh: Keratella tropica and Brachionus falcatus. Looking 260 at the distribution of mesozooplankton communities between stations and by season, three groups could 261 be distinguished: a first group including the three stations in winter and TC in spring, a second group 262 including TA in spring and autumn, TB in spring and TC in autumn, and finally a third group including 263 the three stations in summer and TB in autumn gathering the juveniles' forms of copepods (nauplii and 264 copepodites).

Comparing both planktonic communities, the heatmaps showed different station-season's clustering according to the type of planktonic community studied. First, for both study areas, the number of groups resulting from clustering with the relative abundances of microphytoplankton or metazooplankton was different. For the Fier d'Ars data, the majority of station-seasons were grouped in the same way for phyto- and metazooplankton with the exception of FA in spring and winter. For the Tasdon data, more

differences were observed: whereas all three stations were grouped together for respectively summer and winter when looking at metazooplanktonic relative abundances, they were only all grouped together for autumn when looking at phytoplanktonic relative abundances. TA and TC in spring were grouped together with TC in summer when looking at phytoplanktonic relative abundances. In contrast, when looking at zooplankton relative abundances, TA and TB in spring were grouped together with TA and TC in autumn, and TC in spring was grouped with all three stations in winter.

- 276
- 277

3.2. Spatio-temporal variations of planktonic communities

278 For the Fier d'Ars marsh, the three axes of the MFA explained around 72% of the variance of data (Fig. 279 3). The abundance of heterotrophic prokaryotes (HPT), euglena (EUG), rotifera (RTF), 280 metazooplanktonic larvae (LRV), copepoda (COP), other metazooplanktonic organisms (OTH) and 281 pennate diatoms (DTMp) defined the first axis of the MFA with abiotic variables such as the water 282 temperature (T.w) and salinity (Sal). It was noted that all these variables were in opposition with the 283 dissolved oxygen concentration (O_2). The abundance of cryptophyceae, cladocerans (CLA) and the four 284 other metazooplanktonic groups which already defined the first axis (COP, OTH, LRV, RTF), euglena, 285 as well as the concentration of particulate organic matter (POM) and dissolved silicate (SI), hydrogen 286 potential (pH) and the proportion of active chlorophyll a for organisms between 3 and 20 µm defined the 287 second axis. The third axis was explained by the abundance of naked ciliates (CILn) and the 288 concentration of nitrate (NO_3), nitrite (NO_2), phosphate (PO_4) and particulate inorganic matter (PIM). The 289 importance of environmental parameters (especially temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen 290 concentration) in the distribution of the data indicated a strong seasonal effect. This observation was 291 confirmed by the representation of station-season individuals with ellipses of confidence via the MFA 292 (Fig 4), where especially summer and winter seemed to stand out from the other seasons. Contrary to 293 the seasonal effect, the three management operations included in the MFA as descriptive qualitative 294 variables, were not well represented in the three axes of the MFA.

For the Tasdon marsh, around 70% of the variance in the data was explained by the three axes of the MFA (Fig 5). The first axis was explained by the abundance of copepoda (COP), cladocera (CLA), metazooplanktonic larvae, heterotrophic prokaryotes, euglena, picoeukaryotes, nanoeukaryotes (NEC1 and NEC2), pennate diatoms and cyanobacteria, as well as the concentration of particular organic matter, silicate. This group was in opposition with nitrates (NO₃) and nitrites (NO₂). Water temperature 300 also positively explained the first axis. The second axis was explained by the abundance of other 301 metazooplanktonic organisms, centric and chain diatoms, as well as the proportion of total active 302 chlorophyll a (total and for the three studied size classes), the concentration of particulate inorganic 303 matter, dissolved O₂, and negatively by salinity and pH. The third axis was mainly determined by the 304 proportion of total active chlorophyll a (total and for the three studied size classes), the concentration of 305 phosphate and negatively by the abundance of Rotifera. The abundance of photosynthetic communities 306 on the three size classes was an important factor characterizing the station-month pairs. Furthermore, 307 and similarly to the Fier d'Ars, the importance of environmental parameters (especially temperature and 308 nutrients) in the data distribution indicated a strong seasonal effect. This seasonal effect was revealed 309 by the representation of station-season individuals with ellipses of confidence via the MFA (Fig 6), where 310 especially summer seemed to stand out from the other seasons. Water inlet and renewal was 311 represented on the second axis.

- 312
- 313 3.3. Planktonic food web typologies

314 For the Fier d'Ars marsh, four different food web types were determined during the year of the survey 315 (Fig 7). An herbivorous food web (noted FW1, see Fig 9), characterized by high abundances of 316 phytoplanktonic communities and high proportion of active chlorophyll a, was observed in spring on both 317 FA and FB. A multivorous food web (noted FW2, see Fig 9), characterized by high abundances of 318 heterotrophic prokaryotes, micro- and mesozooplanktonic communities, and a medium abundance of 319 phytoplanktonic communities, was observed in summer on both FA and FB. In the case of FA, this food 320 web (FW2, see Fig 9) was developing in summer, with an increase in abundance of heterotrophic 321 prokaryotes and phytoplanktonic communities compared to spring, although the abundance of 322 metazooplanktonic communities did not yet increase. The third type of food web, defined as microbial 323 loop (FW3, see Fig 9) was observed in autumn on FA, characterized by high concentrations of NH₄, with 324 average abundance of heterotrophic prokaryotes. The food web "biological winter" (noted FW4, see Fig 325 9) was observed in autumn on FB and in winter on both FA and FB, and was characterized by low 326 abundances of phyto- and zooplanktonic communities, lower abundances of heterotrophic prokaryotes 327 than in the previous season (autumn for FA, summer for FB), as well as an increase of the concentration 328 of NO₂, NO₃ and PIM.

329 For the Tasdon marsh, four different food web types were determined, three of which are identical to 330 those identified at the Fier d'Ars marsh (Fig 8). As for FA and FB, the herbivorous food web FW1 was 331 observed in spring on TA and TB, and also in winter on TC. The multivorous food web FW2 was 332 observed in summer on all three stations (TA, TB, TC) and in spring on TC. However, this last station 333 was more characterized by FW2 in summer than in spring because the abundances of micro- and 334 mesozooplanktonic communities, phytoplanktonic organisms larger than 20 µm and smaller than 3 µm, 335 diatoms and heterotrophic prokaryotes were higher in summer than in spring. The food web FW4 was 336 observed at all three stations in autumn. Another food web identified as "biological winter" (noted FW5, 337 see Fig 9) was observed in winter on TA and TB. The main difference between this "biological winter" 338 and FW4 was the higher concentration of NO₂ and NO₃ measured in winter compared to the 339 concentration measured in autumn for these two stations.

340

341 4. Discussion

342 4.1. Diversity and trophic functions of microphytoplankton and metazooplankton

343 Overall, the planktonic diversity was higher in the freshwater marsh of Tasdon (102 taxa) than in the 344 saltwater marsh of the Fier d'Ars (54 taxa). The saltmarsh phytoplanktonic communities were dominated 345 by Cryptophyta in autumn and winter, and by nanoflagellates and Cyanobacteria in summer. Marine 346 copepods (Calanoida and Harpacticoida) characterized the metazooplanktonic communities. The 347 freshwater marsh (Tasdon) was also dominated by Cryptophyta in autumn and winter. However, in 348 summer, Chlorophyta dominated at TA and TB while Euglenozoa, some diatoms and cyanobacteria 349 dominated at TC. In spring, phytoplanktonic communities were dominated by Chrysophyceae, 350 Chlorophyta and undetermined flagellates dominated the and metazooplanktonic communities by 351 Cladocerans and Rotifera.

These differences of planktonic taxa are consistent with planktonic diversity described in different habitats (salt or freshwater) in the literature (*e.g.*, Walkusz et al., 2010; Badsi et al., 2012; Thakur et al., 2013; Grujcic et al., 2018). Focusing on phytoplanktonic species, the data showed similarities in the taxa found in both salt- and freshwater marsh, such as, for example, the presence of Cryptophyta. However, high abundances of cyanobacteria and Euglenozoa were found in addition in the freshwater marsh in summer. This observation is often correlated with high turbidity (up to an average of 223.84 ± 13.41 µg L⁻¹ of PIM at TC in summer) and can cause the seasonal succession of food webs in the marsh (Abrantes et al., 2006). However, Ersanli and Gönülol (2006) concluded that such environmental conditions arean indicator of low water quality.

361 It has been demonstrated in many aquatic ecosystems that a higher diversity might generate a better 362 stability and resilience (e.g., Ives and Carpenter, 2007; Pennekamp et al., 2018). Although diversity can 363 induce functional redundancy and hence not necessarily additional ecological functions, it can also 364 induce more diversified ecological functions. Indeed, the more different species there are, the higher the 365 probability of having species with different functional traits, and thus of having actors of different 366 ecological functions. It is therefore important to keep a high planktonic diversity in these environments 367 in order to maintain a maximum of ecological functions provided by these communities (Norlin et al., 368 2006; Chaparro et al., 2019). In this study, the higher diversity of phytoplanktonic communities in the 369 freshwater marsh might produce a higher primary production than in the saltwater marsh, which has 370 lower phytoplanktonic diversity. Some protists (Euglenozoa, Cryptophyta, some of the ciliates) are 371 mixotrophic and can change their trophic regime according to the environmental conditions (Esteban et 372 al., 2010; Cordoba et al., 2021), thus their trophic functions are more difficult to determine. Nevertheless, 373 these communities contribute, with the other autotrophic phytoplanktonic communities, to the fixation of 374 atmospheric carbon through photosynthesis (Yan et al., 2021; Jia et al., 2022; Cohen, 2022). The 375 resulting phytoplankton biomass, which might then be transferred along food web to higher trophic levels 376 via the protists and mesozooplankton in these marshes and as such fuel the supply function (Atkinson 377 et al., 1996).

Focusing on the trophic diets of metazooplanktonic species identified in this study, there was a high proportion of omnivorous species in the Fier d'Ars marsh, such as Harpacticoid copepods, *Acartia* sp., *Temora longicornis, Eurytemora pacifica, Evadne* spp., *Brachionus angularis* (Rieper, 1982; Kleppel, 1993; Berggreen et al., 1988). Different meroplanktonic larvae were also observed (Polychaeta, Zoe, Echinoderma, veligers), confirming the importance of omnivory in the Fier d'Ars marsh (McEdward, 2020). Furthermore, detritivore species, such as *Mytilina sp.*, Ostracoda and Amphipoda (Navarro-Barranco et al., 2013; Galir Balki et al., 2017) were also present.

For the Tasdon marsh, *Asplanchna* sp., *Ceriodaphnia pulchella, Acanthocyclops trajani, Brachionus calyciflorus, Brachionus falcatus, Polyarthra major, Keratella tropica, Lecane bulla*, described as omnivorous species (Amoros, 1984; Abrantes et al., 2009; Santos-medrano et al., 2016; Rahmati et al., 2020), were present. Non-selective filter feeder species as *Bosmina longirostris* and *Sida cristallina*

389 (Amoros, 1984; Bleiwas and Stokes, 1985) were also present. Detritivore species (Amoros, 1984;
390 Robertson, 1988) in the Tasdon marsh, were *Disparalona rostrata* and *Alonella excisa*.

391 Since omnivorous and non-selective filter feeder species can feed indifferently on plant or animal 392 organisms, they are more likely to find resources, which explains their high abundance in the marshes. 393 High abundances of these metazooplanktonic communities can provide a significant food source for 394 higher trophic level, and thus support the nursery function (Milstein et al., 2006; Rahmati et al., 2018; 395 Didenko et al., 2020). Detritivore species feed on suspended detrital matter by filtration and are therefore 396 actors of the purification function (Stanachkova et al., 2017). Overall, in both marshes, similar trophic 397 functions were found, which means that similar types of planktonic food web probably exist in both of 398 these marshes.

399

400

4.2. Seasonal changes of planktonic communities

401 On both marshes, a strong seasonal effect was observed in the planktonic communities. The 402 environmental parameter most strongly associated with the variability of these communities was 403 temperature, which explains the difference between the confidence ellipses of the stations in summer 404 compared to other seasons (Fig 4 and 6). Phytoplankton blooms take up nutrients and as such purify 405 the water. At FA station, the NO₂ and NO₃ concentrations were low in all the seasons except in winter, 406 and in parallel, phytoplankton abundance or biomass of chlorophyll were high in spring and summer. 407 Phytoplankton biomass provides resources for herbivorous (e.g., Paracartia grani for the Fier d'Ars 408 marsh and Daphnia ambigua for the Tasdon marsh), omnivorous and non-selective filter-feeder 409 zooplankton (Timms and Moss, 1984; Landry et Calbet, 2004), thus supporting the entire food web 410 (Costalgo et al., 2020). In addition, for the Fier d'Ars marsh, there was a strong effect of nutrients (NO₂, 411 NO₃, PO₄) observed with the MFA analysis, possibly linked to the run-off. For the Tasdon marsh, the 412 MFA analysis showed that phytoplanktonic communities were key actors with the strong correlation of 413 the proportion of active chlorophyll a for all three size classes. Apart from TA which can receive 414 freshwater from a connected river according to its seasonal flow, TB and TC are stagnant marshes, 415 without water renewal. It is known that planktonic communities tend to develop better with higher 416 abundances in lentic and stagnant environments than in lotic environments because their residence 417 time in the environment is longer (Baker and Baker, 1979; Koslow et al., 2008). So here also, the 418 considerable phytoplankton presence represents a purification function

419

420

421 4.3. Food web and ecological functions

While the present study does not include flux measurements, the deduction of food web typologies made from biomass data is justified by the fact that solid theoretical basis exists for this approach (Volterra, 1928; Tortajada, 2011; Barbier and Loreau, 2019). Also, several of the food web typologies reported in the marches studied, such as an herbivorous, microbial and mixed loop types have also been found experimentally in other coastal, SPM rich environments, such as brackish and freshwater estuarine reaches (Tackx et al., 2003; David et al., 2006; Van de Meersche et al., 2009; Masclaux et al. 2014; Kimmel et al., 2015; David et al., 2016).

429 A total of five different types of food web were determined between the two marshes studied (Fig 9). 430 The herbivorous food web (FW1) was defined by high abundances of phytoplanktonic communities and 431 sufficiently high abundance of herbivorous zooplanktonic communities to regulate them by grazing 432 (Dupuy et al., 2011; Chenillat et al., 2021). Landry et al. (1995) demonstrated that pigment analyses of 433 zooplankton stomach contents showed a higher concentration of chlorophyll pigments in autumn and 434 spring, and a higher grazing rate especially for microzooplankton. These results are consistent with 435 those published by Masclaux et al. (2014) on the regulation of phytoplankton communities by 436 zooplankton in the herbivorous food-web (FW1). In the Tasdon marsh, the high proportion of active 437 chlorophyll a indicated a strong photosynthetic activity from autotrophic organisms during this period, 438 thus a strong contribution to atmospheric carbon fixation in the water column (Copping and Lorenzen, 439 1980). The data indicated two orders of magnitude higher nutrient concentrations in the Tasdon marsh 440 (see Fig 7 and 8) than in the Fier d'Ars marsh. This could be explained by the fact that the Tasdon 441 marsh, unlike the Fier d'Ars marsh, is located on an urbanized watershed, and therefore receives more 442 nutrients by leaching during rainfall (Rudy et al., 1994). Thus, the intake of nutrients might have a higher 443 effect on the saltwater marsh studied since their concentrations of NO3 and NO2 were initially lower. The 444 efficiency of the purification function in both marshes might be equivalent, since the concentrations of 445 NO₃ and NO₂ tended to decrease significantly between seasons in FA, TA, TB and TC. The difference 446 in the initial concentrations of nutrients in this case is important to consider. Since the decrease of NO3 447 and NO₂ concentrations between winter and spring, was significant (p-value = 0.009) at FA but not at 448 FB the water purification function might be more efficient at FA than at FB. It is however clear that more information is needed on nutrient and organic matter inputs to be able to fully assess the importance ofpurification activities by plankton and prokaryote communities.

451 The multivorous food web (FW2) was defined by high abundances of heterotrophic prokaryotes and 452 protists, phyto- and metazooplanktonic communities as well as high turbidity linked to a high 453 concentration of particulate organic matter resulting from bacterial degradation and mineralization 454 processes (Kirchman, 1994; Jia et al., 2017), and a low concentration of nutrients (Masclaux et al., 455 2014). This food web appeared directly after the herbivorous food web: the increase of the abundance 456 of phytoplankton during the previous period induced the increase of available prey for zooplankton which 457 can thus grow in the habitat (Chenillat et al., 2021). A multivorous food web can be qualified as weak or 458 strong depending on the abundances of all planktonic compartments (Masclaux et al., 2014). Therefore, 459 there was a weak multivorous food web in spring at TC compared to all three stations of Tasdon marsh 460 in summer because HPT and mesozooplanktonic abundances were not as high. Moreover, at FA in 461 summer, the multivorous food web identified was beginning because the abundance of micro- and 462 mesozooplankton were just starting to increase compared to their higher abundance in spring. Overall, 463 these high planktonic abundances represented a large pool of resources and food for planktivorous 464 species and thus might indicate a substantial nursery function if they are simultaneously present in these 465 habitats, the case in both marshes during summer, and at TC in spring.

466 The microbial loop (FW3) was identified only in autumn at FA and defined by high concentration of NH₄, 467 which is a product of particulate matter recycling in the water column, low concentrations of NO₂ and 468 NO₃, and high abundances of heterotrophic prokaryotes (Legendre and Rassoulzadegan, 1995; 469 Almuktar et al., 2018). Since the concentrations of nitrite and nitrate were low despite the nutrient input 470 coming from water inlet, the purification function was well shown at FA. The first type of "biological 471 winter" (FW4) was defined by low phytoplanktonic, metazooplanktonic and heterotrophic prokaryote 472 abundances. The second type of "biological winter" (FW5) was similar as FW4 except for the higher 473 concentration of nitrite and nitrate, which may be linked to inlets of water bringing nutrients in the 474 freshwater marsh (Nalubega, 1999). FW5 might correspond to a late state of biological winter close to 475 transition with an herbivorous food web when the temperature increases with phenology (Trombetta et 476 al., 2019).

The herbivorous and multivorous food webs were found in both marshes, as well as the first type of biological winter" FW4. The microbial loop was only noted in the Fier d'Ars marsh, whereas the second

479 type of "biological winter" FW5 was only revealed in the Tasdon marsh. According to the statistical 480 analyses, the effect of nutrient concentrations in the water column seemed to have a stronger impact in 481 the saltmarsh than in the freshwater marsh. Again, knowing that the intake of nutrients by water inlets 482 might be more important at Fier d'Ars than Tasdon; sampling the water directly in the channel leading 483 to the marshes and measuring nutrients concentrations during each the season would be necessary to 484 solidify this statement.

It is highly likely that a season is composed of a succession of different food webs; unfortunately, with only one sampling per season, it is possible that we missed some situations. The second type of "biological winter" might have been identified in the saltmarsh if the sampling had been done later in winter, closer to the beginning of spring. It should be noted that the presence and dynamics of some phyto- and zooplanktonic species seem to be directly linked to the season, which correlates with other published studies (Ogbuagu and Ayoade, 2012; Ratnam et al., 2022), therefore the efficiency of their associated ecological functions might be affected as well.

492 Overall, the seasonal succession of food web types seemed to follow the patterns generally described
493 in the literature (Legendre and Rassoulzadegan, 1995; Masclaux et al., 2014).

494 The results of the MFA indicated that, during the year studied, the three management operations studied 495 did not impact planktonic communities more than the natural seasonal effect. Angeler and Moreno, 2007 496 also state that planktonic communities are resilient this type of one-time and infrequently repeated 497 methods applied on coastal marshes. It would be interesting to study whether similar results are found 498 if these same methods are used at higher frequencies, to assess the limit of resilience of planktonic 499 communities. Also, the potential combined effects of different management operations, such as the three 500 actions studied here and sediment resuspension caused by landscapes modelling, should be studied 501 over consecutive years to determine how resilient the communities involved in these ecological 502 functions.

503

504 5. Conclusion

505 On the whole, this study showed that, although planktonic communities composition, abundance and 506 diversity are substantially different, similar planktonic food web types can be found on salt and 507 freshwater coastal marshes. This one-year survey, with a sampling each season, provides a basis of 508 information on the composition and abundance of the planktonic communities in the Fier d'Ars and

Tasdon marshes and allows to deduce their most likely trophic pathways and associated ecological functions. Integration into the context of the interdisciplinary project PAMPAS will allow more detailed analysis of the role of planktonic communities within the total marsh ecosystems.

512

513 CRediT authorship contribution statement

514 Lauriane Bergeon: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing -515 Review & Editing, Visualization. Frédéric Azémar: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing - Review & 516 Editing, Supervision. Claire Carré: Resources, Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing. Bénédicte 517 Dubillot: Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources. Claire Emery: Investigation, Resources, 518 Data Curation. Hélène Agoqué: Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing – Review & Editing. 519 Philippe Pineau: Investigation, Resources, Data Curation. Thomas Lacoue-Labarthe: Project 520 administration, Funding acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing. Marc Bouvy: Resources, Data Curation, 521 Writing – Review & Editing. Michèle Tackx: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – Review & Editing, 522 Supervision. Christine Dupuy: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources, 523 Data Curation, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration.

524

525 Declaration of Competing Interest

526 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that 527 could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

528

529 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the ANR Project PAMPAS (ANR-18-CE32-0006) and by The Ministry of 530 531 Higher Education and Research (France). Thanks to the microscopy platform at MARBEC for the 532 identification and counting of phytoplankton, to the flow cytometry and FlowCam platforms at La 533 Rochelle Université for the analysis of a part of the samples (heterotrophic protists and prokaryotes), 534 and to the filtration platform at La Rochelle Université for the analysis pigments and nutrients analysis. 535 Special thanks to Jean-Christophe Lemesle and Julien Gernigon of the Nature Reserve of Lilleau des Niges and La Ligue de Protection des Oiseaux, and Benoit Poitevin of l'Ecomusée de Ré for allowing 536 537 access to the Fier d'Ars marsh and Eric Pesme and Hélène Rouquette of "La Ville de La Rochelle" for 538 the Tasdon marsh. Thanks to Sophie Dubois for her advice and help with statistical analysis, and to

- 539 Pauline Calvayrac who participated, during her Master's internship, to the counting of metazooplankton
- samples. Also, the authors are thankful for the occasional help of other coworkers for the field sampling.
- 541 We appreciate the constructive comments of an anonymous reviewer on a previous version of the 542 manuscript.
- 543
- 544 Data availability statement
- 545 Data will be made available upon reasonable request.
- 546
- 547 References
- Abrantes, N., Antunes, S.C., Pereira, M.J., Gonçalves, F., 2006. Seasonal succession of cladocerans and phytoplankton and their interactions in a shallow eutrophic lake (Lake Vela, Portugal). Acta
- 550 Oecologica 29, 54–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2005.07.006</u>
- Abrantes, N., Nogueira, A., Gonçalves, F., 2009. Short-term dynamics of Cladocerans in a eutrophic
- shallow lake during a shift in the phytoplankton dominance. Ann. Limnol– Int. J. Lim. 45, 237–245.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/limn/2009027</u>
- Almuktar, S.A.A.A.N., Abed, S.N., Scholz, M., 2018. Wetlands for wastewater treatment and subsequent
- recycling of treated effluent: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25, 23595–23623.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2629-3
- Aminot, A., Kérouel, R., 2004. Hydrologie des écosystèmes marins : paramètres et analyses. Editions
 Quae.
- 559 Amoros, C., 1984. Introduction pratique à la systématique des organismes des eaux continentales
- 560 françaises-5. Crustacés Cladocères. Publications de la Société Linnéenne de Lyon 53, 72–107.
- Angeler, D.G., Moreno, J.M., 2007. Zooplankton community resilience after press-type anthropogenic
 stress in temporary ponds. Ecological Applications 17, 1105–1115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1040</u>
- Arbach Leloup, F., Desroy, N., Le Mao, P., Pauly, D., Le Pape, O., 2008. Interactions between a natural
- 564 food web, shellfish farming and exotic species: The case of the Bay of Mont Saint Michel (France).
- 565 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 76, 111–120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.06.011</u>
- 566 Atkinson, A., Shreeve, R., Pakhomov, E., Priddle, J., Blight, S., Ward, P., 1996. Zooplankton response
- to a phytoplankton bloom near South Georgia, Antarctica. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 144, 195–210.
- 568 <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps144195</u>

- Azim, M.E., Verdegem, M.C.J., Dam, A.A. van, Beveridge, M.C.M., 2005. Periphyton: Ecology,
 Exploitation and Management. CABI. ISBN: 978-0-85199-097-2
- 571 Badsi, H., Ali, H.O., Loudiki, M., Aamiri, A., 2012. Phytoplankton Diversity and Community Composition
- along the Salinity Gradient of the Massa Estuary. American Journal of Human Ecology 1, 58–64.
- 573 Baker, A.L., Baker, K.K., 1979. Effects of temperature and current discharge on the concentration and
- 574 photosynthetic activity of the phytoplankton in the upper Mississippi River. Freshwater Biol 9, 191–198.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1979.tb01502.x
- 576 Barbier M., Loreau, M. (2019) Pyramids and cascades: a synthesis of food chain functioning and 577 stability. Ecology Letters, 22 : 405-419. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13196
- 578 Berggreen, U., Hansen, B., Kiørboe, T., 1988. Food size spectra, ingestion and growth of the copepod
- 579 Acartia tonsa during development: Implications for determination of copepod production. Mar. Biol. 99,
- 580 341–352. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02112126</u>
- 581 Bleiwas, A.H., Stokes, P.M., 1985. Collection of large and small food particles by *Bosmina*. Limnol.
- 582 Oceanogr. 30, 1090–1092. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1985.30.5.1090
- 583 Bradley, P.B., Sanderson, M.P., Frischer, M.E., Brofft, J., Booth, M.G., Kerkhof, L.J., Bronk, D.A., 2010.
- 584 Inorganic and organic nitrogen uptake by phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria in the stratified Mid-
- 585 Atlantic Bight. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 88, 429–441. 586 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.02.001
- 587 Bradshaw, T.M., Blake-Bradshaw, A.G., Fournier, A.M.V., Lancaster, J.D., O'Connell, J., Jacques, C.N.,
- 588 Eichholz, M.W., Hagy, H.M., 2020. Marsh bird occupancy of wetlands managed for waterfowl in the
- 589 Midwestern USA. PLoS ONE 15, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228980
- 590 Buskey, E.J., Hyatt, C.J., 2006. Use of the FlowCAM for semi-automated recognition and enumeration
- 591 of red tide cells (Karenia brevis) in natural plankton samples. Harmful Algae 5, 685-692.
- 592 htt ps://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2006.02.003
- 593 Cattrijsse, A., Hampel, H., 2006. Marine Ecology Progress Series 324:293. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 324, 293–
 594 307.
- 595 Chaparro, G., O'Farrell, I., Hein, T., 2019. Multi-scale analysis of functional plankton diversity in
- 596 floodplain wetlands: Effects of river regulation. Science of The Total Environment 667, 338–347.
- 597 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.147</u>

- 598 Chenillat, F., Rivière, P., Ohman, M.D., 2021. On the sensitivity of plankton ecosystem models to the
- 599 formulation of zooplankton grazing. PLoS ONE 16, e0252033.
- 600 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252033</u>
- 601 Cohen, N.R., 2022. Mixotrophic plankton foraging behaviour linked to carbon export. Nat Commun 13,
- 602 1–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28868-7
- 603 Copping, A.E., Lorenzen, C.J., 1980. Carbon budget of a marine phytoplankton-herbivore system with
- 604 carbon-14 as a tracer. Limnol. Oceanogr. 25, 873–882. <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1980.25.5.0873</u>
- 605 Cordoba, J., Perez, E., Van Vlierberghe, M., Bertrand, A.R., Lupo, V., Cardol, P., Baurain, D., 2021. De
- 606 Novo Transcriptome Meta-Assembly of the Mixotrophic Freshwater Microalga Euglena gracilis. Genes
- 607 12, 842. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12060842</u>
- 608 Costalago, D., Forster, I., Nemcek, N., Neville, C., Perry, R.I., Young, K., Hunt, B.P.V., 2020. Seasonal
- and spatial dynamics of the planktonic trophic biomarkers in the Strait of Georgia (northeast Pacific) and
- 610 implications for fish. Sci Rep 10, 8517. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65557-1
- 611 Craft, C., 2000. Co-development of wetland soils and benthic invertebrate communities following salt
- 612 marsh creation. Wetlands Ecology and Management 8, 197–207.
 613 <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008448620605</u>
- D'Alelio, D., Libralato, S., Wyatt, T., Ribera d'Alcalà, M., 2016. Ecological-network models link diversity,
- 615 structure and function in the plankton food-web. Sci Rep 6, 21806. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21806</u>
- Das, P., Kar, S., Das, U., Bimola, M., Kar, D., Aditya, G., 2020. Day time variations of zooplankton
- 617 species composition: observations from the wetlands of Assam, India. Acta Limnol. Bras. 32, e10.
- 618 <u>htt ps://doi.org/10.1590/s2179-975x1418</u>
- David, V., Tortajada, S., Savoye, N., Breret, M., Lachaussée, N., Philippine, O., Robin, F.-X., Dupuy,
 C., 2020. Impact of human activities on the spatio-seasonal dynamics of plankton diversity in drained
- 621 marshes and consequences on eutrophication. Water Research 170, 115287.
- 622 <u>htt ps://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115287</u>
- David, V., Selleslagh, J., Nowaczyk, A., Dubois, S., Bachelet, G., Blanchet, H., Gouillieux, B., Lavesque,
 N., Leconte, M., Savoye, N., Sautour, B., Lobry, J., 2016. Estuarine habitats structure zooplankton
- 625 communiti es: Implications for the pelagic trophic pathways. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 179,
- 626 99–111. <u>htt ps://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.01.022</u>

- 627 David, V., Sautour, B., Galois, R., Chardy, P., 2006. The paradox high zooplankton biomass–low vegetal
- 628 particulate organic matter in high turbidity zones: What way for energy transfer? Journal of Experimental
- 629 Marine Biology and Ecology 333, 202–218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2005.12.045</u>
- 630 Dexter, E., Katz, S.L., Bollens, S.M., Rollwagen-Bollens, G., Hampton, S.E., 2020. Modeling the trophic
- 631 impacts of invasive zooplankton in a highly invaded river. PLoS ONE 15, e0243002.
- 632 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243002
- Didenko, A., Buzevych, I., Volikov, Y., Kruzhylina, S., Gurbyk, A., 2020. Population dynamics and
- 634 feeding ecology of the invasive Caucasian dwarf goby, *Knipowitschia caucasica*, in a freshwater habitat
- 635 in Ukraine. Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst. 421, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1051/kmae/2020018
- Dupuy, C., Talarmin, A., Hartmann, H.J., Delmas, D., Courties, C., Marquis, E., 2011. Community
- 637 structure and grazing of the nano-microzooplankton on the continental shelf of the Bay of Biscay.
- 638 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 95, 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.05.002</u>
- Ersanli, E., Gönülol, A., 2006. A study on the phytoplankton of Lake Simenit, Turkey. Cryptogamie, Algol.
 23, 289–305.
- Esteban, G.F., Fenchel, T., Finlay, B.J., 2010. Mixotrophy in Ciliates. Protist 161, 621–641.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2010.08.002
- 643 Galir Balkić, A., Ternjej, I., Špoljar, M., 2018. Hydrology driven changes in the rotifer trophic structure
- and implications for food web interactions. Ecohydrology 11, e1917. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1917</u>
- 645Ghermandi, A., Nunes, P.A.L.D., 2013. A global map of coastal recreation values: Results from a646spatiallyexplicitmeta-analysis.EcologicalEconomics86,1–15.
- 647 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.11.006</u>
- Gliwicz, M.Z., 1986. Predation and the evolution of vertical migration in zooplankton. Nature 320, 746–
 748. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/320746a0</u>
- 650 Grujcic, V., Nuy, J.K., Salcher, M.M., Shabarova, T., Kasalicky, V., Boenigk, J., Jensen, M., Simek, K.,
- 651 2018. Cryptophyta as major bacterivores in freshwater summer plankton. ISME J 12, 1668–1681.
- 652 htt ps://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0057-5
- 653 Guillou, P., 1997. La communauté salicole du bas-pays guérandais ou les interrelations entre l'homme
- et son environnement naturel. Université Marc Bloch (Strasbourg) (1971-2008).
- Harris, G., 2012. Phytoplankton ecology: structure, function and fluctuation. Springer Science &
 Business Media.

- 657 Hébert, M.-P., Beisner, B.E., Maranger, R., 2017. Linking zooplankton communities to ecosystem
- 658 functioning: toward an effect-trait framework. J. Plankton Res. 39, 3-12.
- 659 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbw068</u>
- Henry Ogbuagu, D., Abeke Ayoade, A., 2012. Seasonal Dynamics in Plankton Abundance and Diversity
- of a Freshwater Body in Etche, Nigeria. ENRR 2, p48. <u>https://doi.org/10.5539/enrr.v2n2p48</u>
- Hinkle, R.L., Mitsch, W.J., 2005. Salt marsh vegetation recovery at salt hay farm wetland restoration
- sites on Delaware Bay. Ecological Engineering 25, 240–251.
- Huppert, A., Blasius, B., Stone, L., 2002. A Model of Phytoplankton Blooms. The American Naturalist
- 665 159, 156–171. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/324789</u>
- 666 Ives, A.R., Carpenter, S.R., 2007. Stability and Diversity of Ecosystems. Science 317, 58-62.
- 667 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1133258</u>
- Jerabek, A., Darnell, K.M., Pellerin, C., Carruthers, T.J.B., 2017. Use of Marsh Edge and Submerged
- 669 Aquatic Vegetation as Habitat by Fish and Crustaceans in Degrading Southern Louisiana Coastal
- 670 Marshes. Southeastern Geographer 57, 212–230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1353/sgo.2017.0022</u>
- Jia, J., Bai, J., Gao, H., Wen, X., Zhang, G., Cui, B., Liu, X., 2017. In situ soil net nitrogen mineralization
- 672 in coastal salt marshes (Suaeda salsa) with different flooding periods in a Chinese estuary. Ecological
- 673 Indicators 73, 559–565. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.10.012</u>
- Jia, J., Gao, Y., Sun, K., Lu, Y., Wang, J., Shi, K., 2022. Phytoplankton community composition, carbon
- sequestration, and associated regulatory mechanisms in a floodplain lake system. Environmental
 Pollution 306, 119411. <u>htt ps://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119411</u>
- Joyeux, E., Carpentier, A., Corre, F., Haie, S., Pétillon, J., 2017. Impact of salt-marsh management on
- fish nursery function in the bay of Aiguillon (French Atlantic coast), with a focus on European sea bass
- 679 diet. J Coast Conserv 21, 435–444. <u>https://doi.org/DOI 10.1007/s11852-017-0501-0</u>
- 680 Kaneko, S., Kanou, K., Sano, M., 2019. Comparison of fish assemblage structures among microhabitats
- 681 in a salt marsh in Lake Hinuma, eastern Japan. Fish Sci 85, 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562-
- 682 <u>018-1269-3</u>
- Kimmel, D.G., McGlaughon, B.D., Leonard, J., Paerl, H.W., Taylor, J.C., Cira, E.K., Wetz, M.S., 2015.
- 684 Mesozooplankton abundance in relation to the chlorophyll maximum in the Neuse River Estuary, North
- 685 Carolina, USA: Implications for trophic dynamics. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 157, 59–68.
- 686 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.02.014</u>

- 687 Kirchman, D.L., 1994. The uptake of inorganic nutrients by heterotrophic bacteria. Microb Ecol 28, 255–
- 688 271. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00166816</u>
- Kleppel, G., 1993. On the diets of calanoid copepods. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 99, 183–195.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps099183</u>
- Kobayashi, T., Ryder, D.S., Gordon, G., Shannon, I., Ingleton, T., Carpenter, M., Jacobs, S.J., 2009.
- 692 Short-term response of nutrients, carbon and planktonic microbial communities to floodplain wetland
- 693 inundation. Aquat Ecol 43, 843–858. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10452-008-9219-2</u>
- 694 Koch, F., Gobler, C.J., 2009. The Effects of Tidal Export from Salt Marsh Ditches on Estuarine Water
- 695 Quality and Plankton Communities. Estuaries and Coasts 32, 261–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-
- 696 <u>008-9123-y</u>
- 697 Koslow, J.A., Pesant, S., Feng, M., Pearce, A., Fearns, P., Moore, T., Matear, R., Waite, A., 2008. The
- 698 effect of the Leeuwin Current on phytoplankton biomass and production off Southwestern Australia. J.
- 699 Geophys. Res. 113, C07050. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004102</u>
- Landry, M.R., Peterson, W.K., Lorenzen, C.J., 1995. Zooplankton grazing, phytoplankton growth, and
- export flux: inferences from chlorophyll tracer methods. ICES Journal of Marine Science 52, 337–345.
- 702 https://doi.org/10.1016/1054-3139(95)80049-2
- Landry, M.R., Calbet, A., 2004. Microzooplankton production in the oceans. ICES Journal of Marine
- 704 Science 61, 501–507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.03.011
- Legendre, L., Rassoulzadegan, F., 1995. Plankton and nutrient dynamics in marine waters. Ophelia 41,
- 706 153–172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00785236.1995.10422042</u>
- Lorenzen, C.J., 1966. A method for the continuous measurement of in vivo chlorophyll concentration.
- 708 Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts 13, 223–227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-</u>
 709 7471(66)91102-8
- 710 Maltby, E., 1991. Wetland management goals: wise use and conservation. Landscape and Urban
- 711 Planning 20, 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2046(91)90085-Z
- Marie, D., Brussaard, C., Partensky, F., Vaulot, D., Wiley, J., 1999. Flow cytometric analysis of
 phytoplankton, bacteria and viruses. Current protocols in cytometry 11, 1–15.
- Masclaux, H., Tortajada, S., Philippine, O., Robin, F.-X., Dupuy, C., 2015. Planktonic food web structure
- and dynamic in freshwater marshes after a lock closing in early spring. Aquat Sci 77, 115–128.
- 716 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-014-0376-1</u>

- 717 McEdward, L., 2020. Ecology of Marine Invertebrate Larvae. CRC Press.
- 718 Milstein, A., Valdenberg, A., Harpaz, S., 2006. Fish larvae zooplankton relationships in microcosm
- simulations of earthen nursery ponds. I. Freshwater system. Aquacult Int 14, 231–246.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-005-9006-1
- 721 Morrissey, E.M., Gillespie, J.L., Morina, J.C., Franklin, R.B., 2014. Salinity affects microbial activity and
- soil organic matter content in tidal wetlands. Glob Change Biol 20, 1351–1362.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12431
- Nalubega, M., 1999. Water Balance and Hydrodynamics of the Nakivubo Swamp, in: Wastewater
 Treatment by a Natural Wetland: The Nakivubo Swamp, Uganda. CRC Press.
- 726 Navarro-Barranco, C., Tierno-de-Figueroa, J.M., Guerra-García, J.M., Sánchez-Tocino, L., García-
- 727 Gómez, J.C., 2013. Feeding habits of amphipods (Crustacea: Malacostraca) from shallow soft bottom
- communities: Comparison between marine caves and open habitats. Journal of Sea Research 78, 1–7.
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2012.12.011
- Nordström, M., Currin, C., Talley, T., Whitcraft, C., Levin, L., 2014. Benthic food-web succession in a
 developing salt marsh. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 500, 43–55. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10686
- 732 Norlin, J.I., Bayley, S.E., Ross, L.C.M., 2006. Zooplankton Composition and Ecology in Western Boreal
- 733 Shallow-water Wetlands. Hydrobiologia 560, 197–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-1185-2
- 734 Nyman, J.A., 2011. Ecological Functions of Wetlands, in: LePage, B.A. (Ed.), Wetlands: Integrating
- 735 Multidisciplinary Concepts. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-
- 736 <u>94-007-0551-7_6</u>
- 737 Pagès, J., 2002. Analyse factorielle multiple appliquée aux variables qualitatives et aux données mixtes.
- 738 Revue de statistique appliquée 50, 5–37.
- 739 Pennekamp, F., Pontarp, M., Tabi, A., Altermatt, F., Alther, R., Choffat, Y., Fronhofer, E.A.,
- Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Garnier, A., Griffiths, J.I., Greene, S., Horgan, K., Massie, T.M., Mächler, E.,
- 741 Palamara, G.M., Seymour, M., Petchey, O.L., 2018. Biodiversity increases and decreases ecosystem
- 742 stability. Nature 563, 109–112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0627-8</u>
- 743 Peter Sheng, Y., Paramygin, V.A., Rivera-Nieves, A.A., Zou, R., Fernald, S., Hall, T., Jacob, K., 2022.
- 744 Coastal marshes provide valuable protection for coastal communities from storm-induced wave, flood,
- and structural loss in a changing climate. Sci Rep 12, 3051. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06850-
- 746 <u>z</u>

- 747 Pétillon, J., Potier, S., Carpentier, A., Garbutt, A., 2014. Evaluating the success of managed realignment
- for the restoration of salt marshes: Lessons from invertebrate communities. Ecological Engineering 69,
- 749 70–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.03.085</u>
- Postel, L., Fock, H., Hagen, W., 2000. Biomass and abundance, in: ICES Zooplankton Methodology
- 751 Manual. Elsevier, pp. 83–192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012327645-2/50005-0</u>
- Quintana, X.D., Comiín, F.A., Moreno-Amich, R., 1998. Nutrient and plankton dynamics in a
 Mediterranean salt marsh dominated by incidents of flooding. Part 2: Response of the zooplankton
- community to disturbances. J Plankton Res 20, 2109–2127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/20.11.2109</u>
- Rahmati, R., Fereidouni, A.E., Rouhi, A., Agh, N., 2020. Effects of different diets on population growth
- and fatty acids composition in cyclopoid copepod, *Acanthocyclops trajani* (Mirabdullayev and Defaye,
- 757 2002): A potential supplementary live food for freshwater fish larvae. Iranian Journal of Fisheries
- 758 Sciences 19, 1447–1462. DOI: 10.22092/ijfs.2019.120729
- 759 Ratnam, K., Limna Mol, V.P., Venkatnarayanan, S., Jha, D.K., Dharani, G., Devi, P., 2022. Seasonal
- variations influencing the abundance and diversity of plankton in the Swarnamukhi River Estuary,
- 761 Nellore, India. J. Threat. Taxa 14, 20615–20624. https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.7663.14.2.20615-20624
- 762 Reissig, M., Trochine, C., Queimaliños, C., Balseiro, E., Modenutti, B., 2006. Impact of fish introduction
- on planktonic food webs in lakes of the Patagonian Plateau. Biological Conservation 132, 437–447.
- 764 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.036</u>
- 765 Rieper, M., 1982. Feeding Preferences of Marine Harpacticoid Copepods for Various Species of
- 766 Bacteria. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 7, 303–307. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps007303</u>
- 767 Robertson, A.L., 1988. Life histories of some species of Chydoridae (Cladocera: Crustacea). Freshwater
- 768 Biol 20, 75–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1988.tb01719.x</u>
- Rudy, M., McDonnell, K., Valiela, I., Foreman, K., 1994. Dissolved Organic Nitrogen in Groundwater
- 770 Bordering Estuaries of Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts: Relations with Watershed Landscape Mosaics.
- 771 The Biological Bulletin 187, 278–279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv187n2p278</u>
- 772 Santos-Medrano, G.E., Robles-Vargas, D., Hernández-Flores, S., Rico-Martínez, R., 2017. Life table
- 773 demography of Asplanchna brightwellii Gosse, 1850 fed with five different prey items. Hydrobiologia
- 774 796, 169–179. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-016-3069-z</u>
- Semyalo, R., Nattabi, J.K., Larsson, P., 2009. Diel Vertical Migration of zooplankton in a eutrophic bay
- 776 of Lake Victoria. Hydrobiologia 635, 383–394. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-009-9931-5</u>

- Stanachkova, M., Stefanova, M., Kozuharov, D., Raikova-Petrova, G., Fikovska, E., 2017. Community
 structure of zooplankton as key factor for self-purification capacity of iskar reservoir. Ecological
 Engineering and Environment Protection 9, 39–46.
- 780 Stephenson, T.D., 1990. Fish Reproductive Utilization of Coastal Marshes of Lake Ontario Near Toronto.
- 781 J. Great Lakes Res. 16, 71–81.
- Sullivan, M.J., Currin, C.A. (2002). Community Structure and Functional Dynamics of Benthic
 Microalgae in Salt Marshes. In: Weinstein, M.P., Kreeger, D.A. (eds) Concepts and Controversies in
 Tidal Marsh Ecology. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47534-0 6
- Tackx, M.L.M., Herman, P.J.M., Gasparini, S., Irigoien, X., Billiones, R., Daro, M.H., 2003. Selective
 feeding of Eurytemora affinis (Copepoda, Calanoida) in temperate estuaries: model and field
 observations. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 56, 305–311. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-</u>
- 788 7714(02)00182-8
- Tałanda, J., Maszczyk, P., Babkiewicz, E., Rutkowska, K., Ślusarczyk, M., 2022. The short-term effects
 of planktivorous fish foraging in the presence of artificial light at night on lake zooplankton. Journal of
 Plankton Research 00, 1–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbac046</u>
- Thakur, R.K., Jindal, R., Singh, U.B., Ahluwalia, A.S., 2013. Plankton diversity and water quality
 assessment of three freshwater lakes of Mandi (Himachal Pradesh, India) with special reference to
 planktonic indicators. Environ Monit Assess 185, 8355–8373. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-013-3178-</u>
- 795 <u>3</u>
- Timms, R.M., Moss, B., 1984. Prevention of growth of potentially dense phytoplankton populations by
 zooplankton grazing, in the presence of zooplanktivorous fish, in a shallow wetland ecosystem: Plankton
- 798 grazing and predation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 29, 472–486. <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1984.29.3.0472</u>
- 799Trombetta, T., Vidussi, F., Mas, S., Parin, D., Simier, M., Mostajir, B., 2019. Water temperature drives800phytoplanktonbloomsincoastalwaters.PLoSONE14,e0214933.
- 801 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214933</u>
- 802 Utermölh H., 1958. Zur Vervollkommung der quantitativen Phytoplankton Methodik. Mitteilung
 803 Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische unde Amgewandte Limnologie 9, 1-38
- Van den Meersche, K.V., Rijswijk, P.V., Soetaert, K., Middelburg, J.J., 2009. Autochthonous and allochthonous contributions to mesozooplankton diet in a tidal river and estuary: Integrating carbon

- 806 isotope and fatty acid constraints. Limnol. Oceanogr. 54, 62–74.
 807 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.1.0062
- 808 Verhoeven, J., Arheimer, B., Yin, C., Hefting, M., 2006. Regional and global concerns over wetlands
- and water quality. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21, 96–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.015
- 810 Vernberg, F.J., 1993. Salt-marsh processes: A Review. Environ Toxicol Chem 12, 2167–2195.
- 811 https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620121203
- 812 Volterra V. (1928) Variations and fluctuations of the number of individuals in animal species living
- 813 together. ICES J. Marine Sci., 3: 3-51
- 814 Walkusz, W., Paulić, J.E., Kwaśniewski, S., Williams, W.J., Wong, S., Papst, M.H., 2010. Distribution,
- diversity and biomass of summer zooplankton from the coastal Canadian Beaufort Sea. Polar Biol 33,
- 816 321–335. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-009-0708-0</u>
- 817 Więski, K., Guo, H., Craft, C.B., Pennings, S.C., 2010. Ecosystem Functions of Tidal Fresh, Brackish,
- and Salt Marshes on the Georgia Coast. Estuaries and Coasts 33, 161–169.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9230-4
- 820 Woodward, R.T., Wui, Y.-S., 2001. The economic value of wetland services: a meta-analysis. Ecological
- 821 Economics 37, 257–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(00)00276-7
- 822 Yan, Z., Shen, T., Li, W., Cheng, W., Wang, X., Zhu, M., Yu, Q., Xiao, Y., Yu, L., 2021. Contribution of
- 823 microalgae to carbon sequestration in a natural karst wetland aquatic ecosystem: An in-situ mesocosm
- study. Science of The Total Environment 768, 144387. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144387</u>