

### Degeneration at E2 of certain spectral sequences

Dan Popovici

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Dan Popovici. Degeneration at E2 of certain spectral sequences. International Journal of Mathematics, 2017, 27 (14), pp.1650111. 10.1142/S0129167X16501111 . hal-04160804

HAL Id: hal-04160804

https://hal.science/hal-04160804

Submitted on 12 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Degeneration at $E_2$ of Certain Spectral Sequences

#### Dan Popovici

Abstract. We propose a Hodge theory for the spaces  $E_2^{p,\,q}$  featuring at the second step either in the Frölicher spectral sequence of an arbitrary compact complex manifold X or in the spectral sequence associated with a pair  $(N,\,F)$  of complementary regular holomorphic foliations on such a manifold. The main idea is to introduce a Laplace-type operator associated with a given Hermitian metric on X whose kernel in every bidegree  $(p,\,q)$  is isomorphic to  $E_2^{p,\,q}$  in either of the two situations discussed. The surprising aspect is that this operator is not a differential operator since it involves a harmonic projection, although it depends on certain differential operators. We then use this Hodge isomorphism for  $E_2^{p,\,q}$  to give sufficient conditions for the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the spectral sequence considered in each of the two cases in terms of the existence of certain metrics on X. For example, in the Frölicher case we prove degeneration at  $E_2$  if there exists an SKT metric  $\omega$  (i.e. such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega=0$ ) whose torsion is small compared to the spectral gap of the elliptic operator  $\Delta'+\Delta''$  defined by  $\omega$ . In the foliated case, we obtain degeneration at  $E_2$  under a hypothesis involving the Laplacians  $\Delta'_N$  and  $\Delta'_F$  associated with the splitting  $\partial=\partial_N+\partial_F$  induced by the foliated structure.

**Key words**: SKT metrics, Frölicher spectral sequence, pseudo-differential operators, Hodge theory, commutation relations for Hermitian metrics.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 53C55, 14C30, 14F40.

#### 1 Introduction

This paper comprises two parts as it gives various sufficient conditions of a metric nature for the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence of compact complex manifolds (Part I) and for the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the spectral sequence associated with a complementary pair of foliations (N, F) on a compact complex manifold (Part II). The first part is meant to serve as a blueprint for the second part. We briefly describe in this introduction the main results and ideas in each of the two parts.

**Part I.** Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. For every Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X and every bidegree (p, q), we denote by  $p'' = p''_{p,q} : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta''$  the orthogonal projection of the space of smooth (p, q)-forms onto the kernel of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian  $\Delta'' := \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}$  associated with  $\omega$  and acting on (p, q)-forms. Clearly, p'' depends on the metric  $\omega$ .

We observe (cf. part (i) of Theorem 5.6) that the commutation of  $\partial$  with p'' in all bidegrees (p, q) suffices to ensure the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence of X (a property that will be denoted throughout by  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$ ).

We introduce and use as our main tool in Part I the Laplace-type operator

$$\widetilde{\Delta} := \partial p'' \partial^* + \partial^* p'' \partial + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad p, q = 0, \dots, n,$$

which is not a differential operator since p'' isn't. However,  $\Delta$  is a pseudo-differential operator since the projector p'' is even a smoothing such operator of finite rank. (Indeed,  $\ker \Delta''$  is finite-dimensional thanks to  $\Delta''$  being elliptic.) We put  $\Delta'_{p''} := \partial p'' \partial^* + \partial^* p'' \partial \geq 0$ .

The introduction of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is justified by the following **Hodge Isomorphism Theorem** (cf. also Theorem 3.4) that constitutes the main result in Part I of this work.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . For every  $p, q \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ , the linear map

$$\ker\left(\widetilde{\Delta}: C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})\right) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \right]_{d_1}, \tag{1}$$

is an **isomorphism**, where  $E_2^{p,q}$  stands for the space of type (p,q) featuring at the second page of the Frölicher spectral sequence of X, while  $[\ ]_{\bar{\partial}}$  and  $[\ ]_{d_1}$  stand for the Dolbeault cohomology classes and, respectively, for the cohomology classes of the differentials  $d_1: H^{p,q}_{\bar{\partial}}(X,\mathbb{C}) \to H^{p,q}_{\bar{\partial}}(X,\mathbb{C})$  induced by  $\partial$  at the first page of the spectral sequence.

In particular, we introduce in this paper a Hodge theory for the second step of the Frölicher spectral sequence by means of an operator belonging to a class of operators involving partial derivatives that is larger than the class of differential operators.

On the other hand, with every Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X we associate the following zero-order operators of type (0, 0) depending only on the torsion of  $\omega$ :  $\bar{S}_{\omega} := [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*] \geq 0$ ,

$$Z_{\omega} := [\tau_{\omega}, \, \tau_{\omega}^{\star}] + [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, \, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{R}_{\omega} := [\bar{\tau}_{\omega}, \, \bar{\tau}_{\omega}^{\star}] - [\bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \cdot, \, (\bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}], \tag{2}$$

where the notation is the standard one:  $[A, B] := AB - (-1)^{ab}BA$  denotes the graded commutator of any pair of endomorphisms A, B of respective degrees a, b of the graded algebra  $C^{\infty}_{\bullet, \bullet}(X, \mathbb{C})$  of smooth differential forms on X, while  $\tau = \tau_{\omega} := [\Lambda, \partial \omega \wedge \cdot]$  is the torsion operator of order zero and bidegree (1, 0) associated with  $\omega$  (cf. [Dem97, VII, §.1.]) and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\omega}$  is the formal adjoint of the Lefschetz operator  $L := \omega \wedge \cdot \text{w.r.t.}$  the  $L^2$  inner product induced by  $\omega$  on differential forms.

One of the sufficient conditions of a metric nature for the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence of X that we give is the existence on X of an SKT metric  $\omega$  (i.e. a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega=0$ ) whose torsion is small in the sense that the upper bound of the torsion operator  $Z_{\omega}$  (which is bounded) is dominated by a certain fixed multiple of the smallest positive eigenvalue of the non-negative self-adjoint elliptic operator  $\Delta' + \Delta''$  in every bidegree (p, q).

**Theorem 1.2** Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. If X carries an SKT metric  $\omega$  whose torsion satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C}), ||u||=1} \langle \langle Z_{\omega}u, u \rangle \rangle \leq \frac{1}{3} \min \left( Spec \left( \Delta' + \Delta'' \right)^{p,q} \cap (0, +\infty) \right)$$
 (3)

for all  $p, q \in \{0, ..., n\}$ , then the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

By  $(\Delta' + \Delta'')^{p,q}$  we mean the operator  $\Delta' + \Delta''$  acting on (p,q)-forms, while Spec  $(\Delta' + \Delta'')^{p,q}$  stands for its spectrum and  $||\cdot||, \langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle$  denote the  $L^2$ -norm, resp. the  $L^2$ -inner product induced by  $\omega$  on differential forms. Thus, the r.h.s. in (3) is a third of the size of the *spectral gap* of  $\Delta' + \Delta''$ , an important quantity standardly associated with a given metric  $\omega$ .

We give yet another sufficient condition of a metric nature for the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence of X in part (ii) of Theorem 5.6: the existence of an SKT metric whose torsion satisfies the condition  $\langle \langle \bar{R}_{\omega} u, u \rangle \rangle = 0$  for all (p, q)-forms  $u \in \ker \Delta'_{n''} \cap \ker \Delta''$  and all p, q.

It is tempting to propose the following

Conjecture 1.3 If a compact complex manifold X carries an SKT metric, the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

This is true on all the examples of compact complex manifolds that we are aware of <sup>1</sup>.

Meanwhile, we shall see that if X carries an SKT metric  $\omega$  for which  $\bar{R}_{\omega} = 0$  as an operator in all bidegrees, then the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates even at  $E_1$  (cf. Remark 5.7).

**Part II.** This is an extension of the ideas and techniques of Part I to a different context. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. Suppose the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}X$  splits into the direct sum of two holomorphic subbundles N, F both of which are Frobenius integrable. This means that

(i) 
$$T^{1,0}X = N \oplus F$$
 and (ii)  $[N, N] \subset N, [F, F] \subset F,$  (4)

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  stands for the Lie bracket. We may call such a splitting an *integrable holomorphic almost* product structure on X by analogy with the real counterpart (see e.g. [Rei58]). By definition, it consists in a pair of complementary regular holomorphic foliations (N, F).

Let r, n-r denote the ranks of N, resp. F. The splitting of  $T^{1,0}X$  induces, for each  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ , a splitting of  $\Lambda^{k,0}T^*X := \Lambda^k(T^{1,0}X)^*$  as

$$\Lambda^{k,0}T^{\star}X = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Lambda^p N^{\star} \otimes \Lambda^q F^{\star}. \tag{5}$$

The sections of  $\Lambda^p N^* \otimes \Lambda^q F^*$  will be called (k, 0)-forms (or simply k-forms) of (N, F)-type (p, q). The space of smooth global such forms on X will be denoted by  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) = \mathcal{E}^{p,q}_{N,F}(X)$ . This is a subspace of the space  $\mathcal{E}^k(X)$  of all smooth global (k, 0)-forms (w.r.t. the complex structure of X).

Thanks to the integrability assumption on both N and F, the operator  $\partial: \mathcal{E}^k(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{k+1}(X)$  splits as  $\partial = \partial_N + \partial_F$ , where  $\partial_N: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p+1,q}(X)$  differentiates in the N-directions and  $\partial_F: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q+1}(X)$  differentiates in the F-directions while both  $\partial_N$  and  $\partial_F$  are integrable (i.e.  $\partial_N^2 = 0$  and  $\partial_F^2 = 0$ ). We are interested here in finding sufficient conditions under which the spectral sequence associated in the usual way with such an integrable holomorphic almost product structure (N, F) on X degenerates at  $E_2$ . The degeneration at  $E_2$  is the best we can hope for since the spaces  $E_1^{p,q}$  are not even finite-dimensional due to the lack of ellipticity of  $\Delta_F'$ , one of the two Laplace-type operators associated with  $\partial_N$ , resp.  $\partial_F$  and with a given Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X:

$$\Delta_N' = \partial_N \partial_N^{\star} + \partial_N^{\star} \partial_N : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_F' = \partial_F \partial_F^{\star} + \partial_F^{\star} \partial_F : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The author is grateful to L. Ugarte for informing him that in the special class of nilmanifolds X of complex dimension 3,  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  whenever an SKT metric exists on X. Thanks are also due to A. Tomassini for pointing out to the author that the Calabi-Eckmann manifold  $X = S^3 \times S^3$ , known to admit SKT metrics, also has the property  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$ .

However, the sum of these two Laplacians  $\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  is an elliptic operator and this feature will be exploited in Part II.

By analogy with the discussion in Part I, for every p, q we consider the orthogonal projection  $p'_F: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta'_F$  and introduce the Laplace-type operator

$$\widetilde{\Delta'} := \partial_N p_F' \partial_N^{\star} + \partial_N^{\star} p_F' \partial_N + \partial_F \partial_F^{\star} + \partial_F^{\star} \partial_F : \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X)$$

that will be our main tool in Part II. Unlike its analogue  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  of Part I,  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  is not a pseudo-differential operator since  $p_F'$  is a projector onto an infinite-dimensional space. Our hope, to be investigated in future work, is that  $p_F'$  will be, at least under certain conditions, a Fourier integral operator (FIO) with a complex phase in the sense of Melin-Sjöstrand [MS74] and Boutet de Monvel-Sjöstrand [BS76]. We put  $\Delta'_{N,p_F'} := \partial_N p_F' \partial_N^* + \partial_N^* p_F' \partial_N \geq 0$ .

Compared to the situation discussed in Part I, the main difference and new difficulty involved in Part II is that  $\Delta'_F$  is not elliptic (unlike  $\Delta''$ ), so the trivial inequality  $\widetilde{\Delta'} \geq \Delta'_F$  does not reduce us to an elliptic operator whose Gårding inequality can induce a similar inequality for  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$ . Unless a notion of *ellipticity* can be satisfactorily defined for  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  under certain conditions and subsequently used to infer that under those conditions  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta'}$  is finite-dimensional and  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'}$  is closed (our goal for future work), the only option available to us in this work is to ensure that under a certain hypothesis (see (88)) we have

$$\Delta'_{N, p'_{F}} \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \, \Delta'_{N}, \quad \text{hence} \quad \widetilde{\Delta'} \ge (1 - \varepsilon) \, (\Delta'_{N} + \Delta'_{F})$$

for some constant  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . We then use the ellipticity of  $\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F$  to deduce a Gårding-type inequality for  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  from the Gårding inequality satisfied by  $\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F$ .

The main results obtained in Part II can be summed up as follows.

**Theorem 1.4** Let X be a compact complex manifold endowed with a pair of complementary regular holomorphic foliations (N, F). Suppose X carries a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  such that  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^*] = 0$  and

$$\ker(\Delta'_N : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)) + \ker(\Delta'_F : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)) = \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$$
(6)

for all p, q. Then

(a) the following **Hodge** isomorphism holds:

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta'}}(N,F) := \ker\left(\widetilde{\Delta'}: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)\right) \simeq E_2^{p,q}(N,F), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\partial_F} \right]_{d_f}, \tag{7}$$

where the  $E_2^{p,\,q}(N,\,F)$  are the spaces of  $(N,\,F)$ -type  $(p,\,q)$  featuring at step 2 in the spectral sequence induced by  $(N,\,F)$ . Thus, every class  $[[\alpha]_{\partial_F}]_{d_1} \in E_2^{p,\,q}(N,\,F)$  contains a unique  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$ -harmonic representative  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_2^{p,\,q}(N,\,F) < +\infty$  for all p,q.

(b) the spectral sequence induced by (N, F) degenerates at  $E_2$ .

We end Part II with the study of a sufficient condition ensuring the anti-commutation identity  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0$  (i.e.  $\partial_N \partial_F^{\star} = -\partial_F^{\star} \partial_N$ ) that is one of the two hypotheses we make in Theorem 1.4. Theorem 8.1 asserts that this anti-commutation holds if we work with a product metric  $\omega = \omega_N + \omega_F$ 

that is bundle-like (cf. Definition 6.1 borrowed from Reinhart's work [Rei59] and meant for our complex setting) and if  $\partial_N \omega_N = 0$  (i.e.  $\omega$  is Kähler in the N-directions).

The sufficient degeneration conditions obtained in this paper can be looked at in the context of Deligne's classical work [Del68] giving degeneration criteria in terms of Lefschetz isomorphisms.

Acknowledgments. This work was carried out at the UMI CNRS-CRM in Montréal, specifically at the CIRGET and the Department of Mathematics of the UQÀM. The author is grateful to the CNRS for making his six-month stay here possible. He is also grateful to V. Apostolov and S. Lu for their hospitality and interest in many mathematical topics within and without the scope of this work, as well as to E. Giroux and C. Mourougane for stimulating discussions. Many thanks are also due to J. Sjöstrand for his interest in some of the matters discussed in this work.

This work has grown from a problem about the cohomology of nilmanifolds that was brought to the author's attention by S. Rollenske and the interest in it was later entertained by discussions with J. Ruppenthal. The author wishes to thank them both for stimulating discussions.

#### Part I: $E_2$ degeneration of the Frölicher spectral sequence

#### 2 Review of standard material

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. Recall that the Frölicher spectral sequence of X is associated with the double complex  $C^{\infty}_{\bullet,\bullet}(X,\mathbb{C})$  defined by the total differential  $d=\partial+\bar{\partial}$ . This means that at step 0 we put  $E^{p,\,q}_0:=C^{\infty}_{p,\,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  and consider the differentials  $d_0:=\bar{\partial}:E^{p,\,q}_0\to E^{p,\,q+1}_0$  for all  $p,q\in\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$ , so the groups  $E^{p,\,q}_1$  at step 1 in the spectral sequence are defined as the cohomology groups of the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_0} E_0^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{d_0} E_0^{p,q} \xrightarrow{d_0} E_0^{p,q+1} \xrightarrow{d_0} \cdots,$$
(8)

i.e. the  $E_1^{p,q}:=H^q(E_0^{p,\bullet},d_0)=H^{p,q}_{\bar\partial}(X,\mathbb C)$  are the Dolbeault cohomology groups of X. The differentials  $d_1$  are induced by  $\partial$ :

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p-1, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p+1, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} \cdots,$$

$$(9)$$

i.e. for any form  $\alpha \in E_0^{p,q}$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$ , the class  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \in E_1^{p,q}$  is mapped by  $d_1$  to the class  $[\partial \alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \in E_1^{p+1,q}$ . Thus  $d_1([\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}) = [\partial \alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}$ . This is meaningful since  $\partial \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial = 0$ , so  $\bar{\partial}(\partial \alpha) = -\partial(\bar{\partial}\alpha) = 0$  and thus  $\partial \alpha$  defines indeed a cohomology class in  $H^{p+1,q}_{\bar{\partial}}(X,\mathbb{C}) = E_1^{p+1,q}$ . Moreover, the differential  $d_1$  is well defined since  $d_1([\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}})$  is independent of the choice of representative  $\alpha$  of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -class  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}$  as can be checked at once. Furthermore,  $d_1^2 = 0$  (because  $\partial^2 = 0$ ), so (9) is indeed a complex. The groups  $E_2^{p,q}$  at step 2 in the spectral sequence are defined as the cohomology groups of the complex (9), i.e.

$$E_2^{p,q} := H^p(E_1^{\bullet,q}, d_1) = \left\{ \left[ [\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \right]_{d_1} \middle/ \alpha \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ and } \partial \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \right\}, \quad p, q \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$(10)$$

so the elements of  $E_2^{p,q}$  are  $d_1$ -classes of  $\bar{\partial}$ -classes. The process continues inductively by defining the groups  $E_{r+1}^{p,q}$  at step r+1 as the cohomology groups of the complex  $d_r: E_r^{p,q} \longrightarrow E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$  already obtained at step r. At each step r in the spectral sequence, the differentials  $d_r$  are of type (r, -r+1). We end up with  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces  $E_{\infty}^{p,q}$  and canonical isomorphisms

$$H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{\infty}^{p,q}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n,$$
 (11)

relating the differential structure of X encoded in the De Rham cohomology to its complex structure. The spectral sequence is said to degenerate at  $E_r$  if  $E_r^{p,q} = E_{r+1}^{p,q}$  for all p,q (hence then also  $E_r^{p,q} = E_{r+l}^{p,q} = E_{\infty}^{p,q}$  for all  $l \geq 0$ ). This is a purely numerical property equivalent to the identities  $\sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_r^{p,q} = b_k := \dim H_{DR}^k(X,\mathbb{C})$  for all  $k \in \{0,\ldots,2n\}$  and also to the inequalities

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_r^{p,q} \le b_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \{0,\dots,2n\}$$
(12)

since the reverse inequalities always hold thanks to (11) and to the obvious inequalities dim  $E_1^{p,q} \ge \cdots \ge \dim E_r^{p,q} \ge \dim E_{r+1}^{p,q} \ge \cdots$ . All these dimensions are, of course, always finite by compactness of X and, for example, ellipticity of  $\Delta''$ . The degeneracy at  $E_r$  of the spectral sequence will be denoted by  $E_r(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$ . For further details, see e.g. [Dem96].

# 3 Pseudo-differential Laplacian and Hodge isomorphism for $E_2^{p,q}$

Let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary Hermitian metric on X. Consider the formal adjoints  $\partial^*, \bar{\partial}^*$  of  $\partial$ , resp.  $\bar{\partial}$  w.r.t. the  $L^2$  inner product defined by  $\omega$  and the usual Laplace-Beltrami operators  $\Delta', \Delta'': C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  defined as  $\Delta' = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial$  and  $\Delta'' = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}$ . It is standard that they are elliptic, self-adjoint and non-negative differential operators of order 2 that induce 3-space orthogonal decompositions

$$C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \ker \Delta' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial^{\star} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}$$
 (13)

where the harmonic spaces  $\ker \Delta' := \mathcal{H}^{p,\,q}_{\Delta'}(X,\,\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\ker \Delta'' := \mathcal{H}^{p,\,q}_{\Delta''}(X,\,\mathbb{C})$  are finite dimensional while

$$\ker \partial = \ker \Delta' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \bar{\partial} = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}.$$
 (14)

We denote by

$$p' = p'_{p,q} : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta' \quad \text{and} \quad p'' = p''_{p,q} : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta''$$
 (15)

the orthogonal projections defined by the orthogonal splittings (13) onto the  $\Delta'$ -harmonic, resp. the  $\Delta''$ -harmonic spaces in bidegree (p, q). Similarly, let

$$p'_{\perp}: C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \Delta' = \operatorname{Im} \partial \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial^{\star} \quad \text{and} \quad p''_{\perp}: C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \Delta'' = \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star} \quad (16)$$

denote the orthogonal projections onto  $(\ker \Delta')^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im} \Delta'$ , resp. onto  $(\ker \Delta'')^{\perp} = \operatorname{Im} \Delta''$ . Note that the operators  $p', p'', p'_{\perp}, p''_{\perp}$  are not differential operators and depend on the metric  $\omega$ . They clearly satisfy the properties:

$$p' = (p')^* = (p')^2, \quad p'' = (p'')^* = (p'')^2, \quad p'_{\perp} = (p'_{\perp})^* = (p'_{\perp})^2, \quad p''_{\perp} = (p''_{\perp})^* = (p''_{\perp})^2. \tag{17}$$

We start by giving a metric interpretation of the spaces  $E_2^{p,q}$  in the Frölicher spectral sequence of X.

**Proposition 3.1** For every p, q = 0, 1, ..., n, define the  $\omega$ -dependent  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space

$$\widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) := \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} / \left( \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}} \right) \right)$$
 (18)

in which all the kernels and images involved are understood as subspaces of  $C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$ . For every  $C^{\infty}(p,q)$ -form  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ , let  $[\alpha] \in \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  denote the class of  $\alpha$  modulo  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im}(\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})$ . Then, for every p,q, the following linear map

$$T = T^{p,q} : \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}, \quad [\widetilde{\alpha}] \longmapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \right]_{d_1},$$
 (19)

is well defined and an isomorphism.

Proof. First note that the inclusion  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$  does hold, so the space  $\widetilde{H}^{p,\,q}(X,\,\mathbb{C})$  is meaningful. Indeed,  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \subset \ker \bar{\partial}$  trivially and  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial)$  because for every form  $u,\,p''(\partial \bar{\partial} u) = -p''\bar{\partial}\partial u = 0$  since  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  is orthogonal onto  $\ker \Delta''$  (see (13)), so  $p''\bar{\partial} = 0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial)$  because  $\partial^2 = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \subset \ker \bar{\partial}$  because for any form  $v \in \ker \bar{\partial}$ , we have  $\bar{\partial}(\partial v) = -\partial(\bar{\partial} v) = 0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ .

Then note that for any  $[\alpha] \in \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ , we do have  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \in \ker d_1$ , so the  $d_1$ -class  $[[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1}$  is a meaningful element of  $E_2^{p,q}$ . Indeed,  $d_1([\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}) = [\partial \alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}$ ,  $\partial \alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial} = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  (because  $\alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial}$  and (14) holds) and  $p''(\partial \alpha) = 0$  (because  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial)$ ). The last two relations amount to  $\partial \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$ . This is equivalent to  $[\partial \alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} = 0$ , i.e. to  $d_1([\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}) = 0$ .

To complete the proof of the well-definedness of T, it remains to show that  $[[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1}$  does not depend on the choice of representative  $\alpha$  of the class  $[\alpha]$ , i.e. that the zero element of  $\widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  is mapped by T to the zero element of  $E_2^{p,q}$ . To prove this, let  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$  be a (p,q)-form such that  $\alpha = \bar{\partial}u + \partial v$  with  $v \in \ker \bar{\partial}$ . We want to show that  $[[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1} = 0 \in E_2^{p,q}$ , i.e. that  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} = d_1([\beta]_{\bar{\partial}})$  or equivalently that  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} = [\partial \beta]_{\bar{\partial}}$  for some  $\beta \in C_{p-1,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\beta = 0$ . This is equivalent to showing that  $\alpha = \partial \beta + \bar{\partial} \gamma$  for some  $\beta \in C_{p-1,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\beta = 0$  and some  $\gamma \in C_{p,q-1}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$ . We can choose  $\beta := v$  and  $\gamma := u$ .

To prove that T is injective, let  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$  be a (p, q)-form s.t.  $T([\alpha]) = [[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1} = 0$ . Then  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} = [\partial \beta]_{\bar{\partial}}$  for some  $\beta \in C^{\infty}_{p-1, q}(X, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\beta = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha = \partial \beta + \bar{\partial}\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in C^{\infty}_{p, q-1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})$ , so  $[\alpha] = 0$ .

To prove that T is surjective, let  $[[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1} \in E_2^{p,q}$ . Then  $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$  (i.e.  $\alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial}$ ) and  $d_1([\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}) = [\partial \alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} = 0$  (i.e.  $\partial \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$ , which is equivalent, since we already have  $\partial \alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial} = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$ , to

 $p''(\partial \alpha) = 0$ , i.e. to  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})$ . Thus,  $\alpha \in \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ . It is clear that  $[[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}]_{d_1} = T(\widetilde{[\alpha]})$  by definition of T.

The isomorphism (19) naturally prompts the introduction of a Laplace-type operator which, surprisingly, is not a differential operator. It will be the main tool of investigation in this paper.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . For every  $p, q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ , we define the operator  $\widetilde{\Delta} : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$\widetilde{\Delta} := \partial p'' \partial^* + \partial^* p'' \partial + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}. \tag{20}$$

In other words, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta'_{p''} + \Delta'', \quad where \ \Delta'_{p''} := \partial p'' \partial^* + \partial^* p'' \partial : C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}). \tag{21}$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is the sum of a pseudo-differential regularising operator  $(\Delta'_{p''})$  and an elliptic differential operator of order two (the classical  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian  $\Delta''$ ).

Clearly,  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is a non-negative self-adjoint operator whose kernel is  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta} = \ker \Delta''_{n''} \cap \ker \Delta''$  and

$$\ker \Delta'_{p''} = \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker(p'' \circ \partial^*) \supset \ker \partial \cap \ker \partial^* = \ker \Delta'$$
(22)

because  $\langle\langle\Delta'_{p''}u,u\rangle\rangle=||p''\partial u||^2+||p''\partial^*u||^2$ . Actually, if we put  $\Delta'_{p''_{\perp}}:=\partial p''_{\perp}\partial^*+\partial^*p''_{\perp}\partial$ , then  $0\leq\Delta'_{p''}\leq\Delta'=\Delta'_{p''}+\Delta'_{p''_{\perp}}$  since

$$\langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle = ||\partial u||^2 + ||\partial^* u||^2 = ||p'' \partial u||^2 + ||p'' \partial^* u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \partial^* u||^2$$
$$= \langle \langle \Delta'_{p''} u, u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \Delta'_{p''} u, u \rangle \rangle$$
(23)

for any form u. Indeed, for example,  $\partial u = p'' \partial u + p''_{\perp} \partial u$  and  $p'' \partial u \perp p''_{\perp} \partial u$ , while  $\langle \langle \partial^* p'' \partial u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle p'' \partial u, p'' \partial u \rangle \rangle = ||p'' \partial u||^2$ .

We now pause briefly to notice some of the properties of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ .

**Lemma 3.3** (i) If the metric  $\omega$  is Kähler, then  $\Delta'_{n''} = 0$ , so  $\widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta''$ .

(ii) For every p, q = 0, 1, ..., n, let  $(\psi_j^{p,q})_{1 \leq j \leq h^{p,q}}$  be an arbitrary orthonormal basis of the  $\Delta''$ -harmonic space  $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\Delta''}(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\Delta'_{p''}$  is given by the formula

$$\Delta'_{p''}u = \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p-1,q}} \langle \langle u, \partial \psi_j^{p-1,q} \rangle \rangle \partial \psi_j^{p-1,q} + \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p+1,q}} \langle \langle u, \partial^* \psi_j^{p+1,q} \rangle \rangle \partial^* \psi_j^{p+1,q}, \quad u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$
 (24)

(iii) For all  $p, q, \widetilde{\Delta} : C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$  behaves like an elliptic self-adjoint differential operator in the sense that  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta}$  is finite-dimensional,  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta}$  is closed and finite codimensional in  $C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$ , there is an orthogonal (for the  $L^2$  inner product induced by  $\omega$ ) 2-space decomposition

$$C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \ker \widetilde{\Delta} \bigoplus \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta}$$
 (25)

giving rise to an orthogonal 3-space decomposition

$$C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) = \ker \widetilde{\Delta} \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}} \right) \right) \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial^{\star} \circ p'' \right) + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star} \right)$$
(26)

in which  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta} \oplus (\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})) = \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}, \ker \widetilde{\Delta} \oplus (\operatorname{Im} (\partial^{\star} \circ p'') + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}) = \ker \bar{\partial}^{\star} \cap \ker(p'' \circ \partial^{\star}) \text{ and } (\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})) \oplus (\operatorname{Im} (\partial^{\star} \circ p'') + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}) = \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta}.$ 

Moreover,  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  has a compact resolvent which is a pseudo-differential operator G of order -2, the Green's operator of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ , hence the spectrum of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is discrete and consists of non-negative eigenvalues that tend to  $+\infty$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $\omega$  is Kähler,  $\Delta' = \Delta''$ , hence p' = p''. Since  $\ker \Delta'$  is orthogonal to both  $\operatorname{Im} \partial$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \partial^*$ ,  $p' \circ \partial = 0$  and  $p' \circ \partial^* = 0$ . Thus  $p'' \circ \partial = 0$  and  $p'' \circ \partial^* = 0$ , so  $\Delta'_{p''} = 0$ .

(ii) Since  $\ker \Delta''$  is finite-dimensional,  $p'': C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta''$  is a regularising operator of finite rank defined by the  $C^{\infty}$  kernel  $\sum_{j=1}^{h^{p,q}} \psi_j^{p,q}(x) \otimes (\psi_j^{p,q})^*(y)$ . Consequently, for every  $u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$(p''u)(x) = \int_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p,q}} \psi_{j}^{p,q}(x) \langle u(y), \psi_{j}^{p,q}(y) \rangle dV_{\omega}(y), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad p''u = \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p,q}} \langle \langle u, \psi_{j}^{p,q} \rangle \rangle \psi_{j}^{p,q}.$$
 (27)

Taking successively  $u = \partial^* v$  with  $v \in C^{\infty}_{p+1,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  and  $u = \partial w$  with  $w \in C^{\infty}_{p-1,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ , we get

$$p''\partial^{\star}v = \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p,q}} \langle \langle v, \, \partial \psi_j^{p,q} \rangle \rangle \, \psi_j^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad p''\partial w = \sum_{j=1}^{h^{p,q}} \langle \langle w, \, \partial^{\star} \psi_j^{p,q} \rangle \rangle \, \psi_j^{p,q}.$$

Formula (24) follows at once from these identities.

(iii) Since  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta} \subset \ker \Delta''$  and the latter kernel is finite-dimensional thanks to  $\Delta''$  being elliptic,  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta}$  is finite-dimensional.

The operator  $\Delta$  is elliptic pseudo-differential as the sum of an elliptic differential operator and a regularising one, so the elliptic theory applies to it. But we can also argue starting from the obvious inequality  $\Delta \geq \Delta'' \geq 0$  (which follows from  $\langle \langle \Delta'_{p''} u, u \rangle \rangle \geq 0$  for all u) and combining it with the Gårding inequality for the elliptic differential operator  $\Delta''$ . We get constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\delta_2 ||u||_1^2 \le \langle \langle \Delta'' u, u \rangle \rangle + \delta_1 ||u||^2 \le \langle \langle \widetilde{\Delta} u, u \rangle \rangle + \delta_1 ||u||^2, \quad u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}), \tag{28}$$

where  $||\ ||_1$  denotes the Sobolev norm  $W^1$  and  $||\ ||$  denotes the  $L^2=W^0$  norm. Since  $\langle\langle \widetilde{\Delta} u,\,u\rangle\rangle\leq \frac{1}{2}\,||\widetilde{\Delta} u||^2+\frac{1}{2}\,||u||^2$ , we get

$$\delta_2 ||u||_1^2 \le \frac{1}{2} ||\widetilde{\Delta}u||^2 + (\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}) ||u||^2, \quad u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$
 (29)

This suffices to prove that  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta}$  is closed by the usual method using the Rellich Lemma (see e.g. [Dem96, 3.10, p. 18-19]). From closedness of  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta}$  and self-adjointness of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  we get (25).

Now (26) is easily deduced from (25) as follows. It is clear that

$$\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta} \subset \operatorname{Im} (\partial \circ p'') + \operatorname{Im} (\partial^{\star} \circ p'') + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}.$$

Since  $\operatorname{Im}(\partial \circ p'') = \operatorname{Im}(\partial_{|\ker \Delta''})$  and  $\ker \Delta'' \subset \ker \bar{\partial}$ , we get  $\operatorname{Im}(\partial \circ p'') \subset \operatorname{Im}(\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}})$ , hence

$$\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta} \subset \left( \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}} \right) \right) \oplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial^{\star} \circ p'' \right) + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star} \right). \tag{30}$$

Indeed, we can easily check that the middle sum on the r.h.s. of (30) is orthogonal. We have  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \perp \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  since  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \perp \operatorname{Im} (\partial^* \circ p'')$  since  $\langle \langle \bar{\partial} u, \partial^* p'' v \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \partial \bar{\partial} u, p'' v \rangle \rangle = 0$  for all u, v because  $\partial \bar{\partial} u \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \perp \ker \Delta'' \ni p'' v$ . Similarly,  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \perp \operatorname{Im} (\partial^* \circ p'')$  since  $\partial^2 = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}}) \perp \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  since  $\langle \langle \partial u, \bar{\partial}^* v \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \bar{\partial} \partial u, v \rangle \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \in \ker \bar{\partial}$  and all v.

Now, putting together (25) and (30), we get

$$C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\,\mathbb{C})\subset\ker\widetilde{\Delta}\bigoplus\left(\operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}+\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial_{|\ker\bar{\partial}}\right)\right)\bigoplus\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\partial^{\star}\circ p''\right)+\operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star}\right)$$

in which the inclusion must be an equality because all the three mutually orthogonal spaces on the r.h.s. are contained in  $C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X,\mathbb{C})$ . This proves (26) and also that the inclusion in (30) is an equality.

The first of the three 2-space decompositions stated after (26) will be proved as (34) in the proof of the next Theorem 3.4, while the second one can be proved analogously. The third one is (30) that was seen above to be an equality.

The last two statements about the Green's operator and the spectrum are proved in the usual way using the elliptic theory.  $\Box$ 

We now get the Hodge isomorphism for the second page of the Frölicher spectral sequence announced in the introduction (cf. Theorem 1.1).

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . For every  $p, q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ , let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}^{p, q}(X, \mathbb{C})$  stand for the kernel of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  acting on (p, q)-forms. Then the map

$$S = S^{p,q} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \alpha \longmapsto [\widetilde{\alpha}], \tag{31}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, its composition with the isomorphism  $T: \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}$  defined in (19) yields the Hodge isomorphism

$$T \circ S = T^{p,q} \circ S^{p,q} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}, \quad \alpha \longmapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}} \right]_{d_1}.$$
 (32)

Thus, every class  $[\alpha]_{\bar{\partial}}_{\bar{\partial}_1} \in E_2^{p,q}$  contains a unique  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ -harmonic representative  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to (22), we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,\,q}(X,\,\mathbb{C}) = \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker(p'' \circ \partial^{\star}) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}^{\star} \subset \ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}. \tag{33}$$

In particular, every form  $\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(X,\mathbb{C})$  defines a class  $[\alpha] \in \widetilde{H}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$ , so the map  $S^{p,q}$  is well defined. We now prove the following orthogonal decomposition

$$\ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} = \ker \widetilde{\Delta} \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{|\ker \bar{\partial}} \right) \right), \tag{34}$$

where  $\ker \widetilde{\Delta} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  is given by (33). It is clear that (34) implies that S is an isomorphism. Thanks to the 3-space orthogonal decomposition (26), proving (34) is equivalent to proving

$$\ker(p'' \circ \partial) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} = \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial^{\star} \circ p'' \right) + \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star} \right)^{\perp}. \tag{35}$$

Now, the r.h.s. term in (35) is the intersection of  $(\operatorname{Im}(\partial^{\star} \circ p''))^{\perp} = \ker(\partial^{\star} \circ p'')^{\star} = \ker(p'' \circ \partial)$  with  $(\operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star})^{\perp} = \ker\bar{\partial}$ . This proves (35), hence also (34).

#### 4 Harmonic metrics for the pseudo-differential Laplacian

It is well known that only Kähler metrics are harmonic for the most commonly used Laplace-type operators they induce. We shall now point out which Hermitian metrics lie in the kernel of  $\Delta'_{n''}$ .

**Proposition 4.1** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n. Consider the operator  $\Delta'_{n''}$  induced by  $\omega$ .

(i) The following equivalences hold:

$$\Delta'_{n''}\omega = 0 \iff \partial\omega \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star} \text{ and } \partial^{\star}\omega \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star}, \tag{36}$$

$$\Delta'_{n''}\omega^{n-1} = 0 \iff \partial\omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star} \text{ and } \partial^{\star}\omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star}. \tag{37}$$

- $(ii)\ \ \textit{In particular, if } \partial\omega\in\textit{Im}\,\bar{\partial}\ \ \textit{and}\ \partial\omega^{n-1}\in\textit{Im}\,\bar{\partial},\ \textit{then}\ \Delta'_{p''}\omega=0\ \ \textit{and}\ \Delta'_{p''}\omega^{n-1}=0.$
- (iii) If  $\omega$  is both SKT (i.e.  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ ) and Gauduchon (i.e.  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$ ), the next equivalences hold:

$$\Delta'_{n''}\omega = 0 \iff \Delta'_{n''}\omega^{n-1} = 0 \iff \partial\omega \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \text{ and } \partial\omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}. \tag{38}$$

We shall term the metrics  $\omega$  with the property  $\partial \omega \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  super SKT, while those satisfying  $\partial \omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  are the strongly Gauduchon (sG) metrics of [Pop13].

- Proof. (i) The condition  $\Delta'_{p''}\omega = 0$  is equivalent to  $p''(\partial\omega) = 0$  and  $p''(\partial^*\omega) = 0$  (cf. (22)) which amount to  $\partial\omega \perp \ker\Delta''$  and  $\partial^*\omega \perp \ker\Delta''$ . These conditions are, in turn, equivalent to the conditions stated on the r.h.s. of (36) thanks to the orthogonal 3-space decomposition  $C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) = \ker\Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^*$  (cf. (13)) for (p,q) = (2,1), resp. (p,q) = (0,1). This proves (36) and (37) is proved similarly.
- (ii) It suffices to show that the sG condition  $\partial \omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  is equivalent to  $\partial^{\star} \omega \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}$  and that the super SKT condition  $\partial \omega \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  is equivalent to  $\partial^{\star} \omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}$ . This follows immediately from the Hodge star operator  $\star = \star_{\omega} : \Lambda^{p,\,q} T^{\star} X \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-q,\,n-p} T^{\star} X$  being an isomorphism and from the well-known formulae

$$\partial^* = -\star \bar{\partial}\star, \quad \bar{\partial}^* = -\star \partial\star, \quad \star\star = \pm 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \star\omega = \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

For example, we have the equivalences:

 $\partial^* \omega \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^* \iff - \star \bar{\partial} \star \omega \in \operatorname{Im} (- \star \partial \star) \iff \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im} \partial \iff \partial \omega^{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial},$  where the last equivalence follows by conjugation.

(iii) The SKT condition  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$  is equivalent to  $\partial \omega \in \ker \bar{\partial}$  (and also to  $\partial^* \omega^{n-1} \in \ker \bar{\partial}^*$ ), while the Gauduchon condition  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega^{n-1} = 0$  is equivalent to  $\partial \omega^{n-1} \in \ker \bar{\partial}$  (and also to  $\partial^* \omega \in \ker \bar{\partial}^*$ ). Thus, the equivalences (38) follow from (i), (ii) and from the orthogonal splittings  $\ker \bar{\partial} = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  and  $\ker \bar{\partial}^* = \ker \Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  (cf. (14)).

We do not know at this point whether non-Kähler Hermitian metrics that are both super SKT and strongly Gauduchon exist. The author is grateful to L. Ugarte for informing him that

- (1) no such metrics exist on nilmanifolds of complex dimension 3;
- (2) on nilmanifolds of complex dimension 3, there exist non-Kähler Hermitian metrics that are both SKT and strongly Gauduchon.

## 5 Sufficient metric conditions for the $E_2$ degeneration of the Frölicher spectral sequence

Throughout this section,  $(X, \omega)$  will be a compact Hermitian manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . Recall that for every  $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2n\}$ , the d-Laplacian  $\Delta : C_k^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_k^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by  $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \subset C_k^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$  the kernel of  $\Delta$  acting on smooth forms of degree k, we have the Hodge isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  with the De Rham cohomology group of degree k. We start with the following very simple observation.

**Lemma 5.1** (a) If for every  $p, q \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$  the following map induced by the identity

$$J^{p,q}: \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}_{\Delta}(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \longmapsto \gamma,$$
 (39)

is well defined, then the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

(b) A sufficient condition for the map  $J^{p,q}$  to be well defined is that the following inequality hold

$$\Delta' - \Delta'_{p''} \le \Delta'' + (C\Delta'' + (1 - \varepsilon)\Delta')$$
 on  $(p, q)$ -forms, (40)

for some constants  $C \ge 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  depending only on X,  $\omega$  and (p, q). (Recall that  $\Delta' - \Delta'_{p''} = \Delta'_{p''} \ge 0$ .)

Thus, (40) implies the degeneracy at  $E_2$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence of X.

Proof. (a) Well-definedness for  $J^{p,q}$  means that for every smooth (p,q)-form  $\gamma$  we have  $\Delta \gamma = 0$  whenever  $\widetilde{\Delta} \gamma = 0$ . It is clear that  $J^{p,q}$  is automatically injective if it is well defined, hence in that case  $\dim \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(X,\mathbb{C}) \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^{p+q}_{\Delta}(X,\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore, if all the maps  $J^{p,q}$  are well defined, then

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \dim E_2^{p,q} \le b_k := \dim H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for all } k \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$$
 (41)

since dim  $E_2^{p,q} = \dim \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  by the Hodge isomorphism (32) and the images  $J^{p,q}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}))$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}^k(X,\mathbb{C})$  have pairwise intersections reduced to zero for p+q=k for bidegree reasons. Inequality (41) is precisely the degeneracy condition (12) at  $E_2$ .

(b) Clearly, a sufficient condition for  $J^{p,q}$  to be well defined is that the following inequality hold

$$\langle \langle \Delta \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle \leq C \langle \langle \widetilde{\Delta} \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle$$
 for all  $\gamma \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$  (42)

since  $\Delta, \widetilde{\Delta} \geq 0$ . Now, by definition of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  (cf. (21)),  $\langle \langle \widetilde{\Delta} \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Delta'_{p''} \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \Delta'' \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle$ . Meanwhile, for every (p, q)-form  $\gamma$ , we have  $\langle \langle \Delta \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle = ||\partial \gamma + \bar{\partial} \gamma||^2 + ||\partial^* \gamma + \bar{\partial}^* \gamma||^2 = ||\partial \gamma||^2 + ||\partial^* \gamma||^2 + ||\bar{\partial} \gamma||^$ 

$$\langle\langle \Delta \gamma, \gamma \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \Delta' \gamma, \gamma \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle \Delta'' \gamma, \gamma \rangle\rangle \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}). \tag{43}$$

It is now clear that (40) implies (42) with a possibly different constant C, so (40) implies the well-definedness of  $J^{p,q}$ .

Concerning inequality (40), note that the stronger inequality  $\langle \langle \Delta' \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle \leq C \langle \langle \Delta'' \gamma, \gamma \rangle \rangle$  for all (p, q)-forms  $\gamma$  and all bidegrees (p, q) implies the degeneracy at  $E_1$  of the Frölicher spectral sequence, but we shall not pursue this here.

#### 5.1 Use of (b) of Lemma 5.1

We shall now concentrate on proving inequality (40) under the SKT assumption (i.e.  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ ) coupled with a torsion assumption on the metric  $\omega$ .

**Lemma 5.2** A sufficient condition for (40) to hold (hence for  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$ ) is that there exist constants  $0 < \delta < 1 - \varepsilon < 1$  and  $C \ge 0$  such that the following inequality holds

$$(1 - \varepsilon - \delta) \left( ||p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u||^2 \right) + (1 - \varepsilon) \left( ||p'' \partial u||^2 + ||p'' \partial^{\star} u||^2 \right) + C \left\langle \left\langle \Delta'' u, u \right\rangle \right\rangle \ge$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) \left( ||p''_{\perp} \tau u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \tau^{\star} u||^2 \right) + \left\langle \left\langle \left[ \partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} \right] u, u \right\rangle \right\rangle - \left\langle \left\langle \left[ \Lambda, \left[ \Lambda, \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega \right] \right] u, u \right\rangle \right\rangle \tag{44}$$

for every form  $u \in C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  and every bidegree (p,q). (Note that all the terms on the r.h.s. of (44) are of order zero, hence bounded, while the last and only signless term vanishes if  $\omega$  is SKT.)

*Proof.* By Demailly's non-Kähler Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity  $\Delta'' = \Delta'_{\tau} + T_{\omega}$  (cf. (109)), inequality (40) is equivalent to each of the following inequalities:

$$\Delta' - \Delta'_{p''} \leq \Delta' + [\tau, \partial^{\star}] + [\partial, \tau^{\star}] + [\tau, \tau^{\star}] + C \Delta'' + (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta' + T_{\omega} \iff$$

$$0 \leq \left( \Delta'_{p''} + (\tau p'' \partial^{\star} + \partial^{\star} p'' \tau) + (\partial p'' \tau^{\star} + \tau^{\star} p'' \partial) + (\tau p'' \tau^{\star} + \tau^{\star} p'' \tau) \right)$$

$$+ (1 - \varepsilon) \Delta' + C \Delta'' + (\tau p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} + \partial^{\star} p''_{\perp} \tau) + (\partial p''_{\perp} \tau^{\star} + \tau^{\star} p''_{\perp} \partial) + (\tau p''_{\perp} \tau^{\star} + \tau^{\star} p''_{\perp} \tau) + T_{\omega}.$$

$$(45)$$

Since  $\Delta'_{p''} + (\tau p'' \partial^* + \partial^* p'' \tau) + (\partial p'' \tau^* + \tau^* p'' \partial) + (\tau p'' \tau^* + \tau^* p'' \tau) = (\partial + \tau) p'' (\partial^* + \tau^*) + (\partial^* + \tau^*) p'' (\partial + \tau) \ge 0$ , inequality (45) holds if the following inequality holds

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle + C \langle \langle \Delta'' u, u \rangle \rangle + ||p''_{\perp} \tau u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \tau^* u||^2$$

$$\geq -2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \langle p''_{\perp} \partial^* u, p''_{\perp} \tau^* u \rangle \rangle - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \langle p''_{\perp} \partial u, p''_{\perp} \tau u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle T_{\omega} u, u \rangle \rangle. \tag{46}$$

Now, suppose that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and choose any  $0 < \delta < 1 - \varepsilon$ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\left| 2\operatorname{Re} \left\langle \left\langle p''_{\perp} \partial u, \, p''_{\perp} \tau u \right\rangle \right\rangle \right| \leq \delta \, ||p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \, ||p''_{\perp} \tau u||^2, \, \left| 2\operatorname{Re} \left\langle \left\langle p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u, \, p''_{\perp} \tau^{\star} u \right\rangle \right\rangle \right| \leq \delta \, ||p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u||^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \, ||p''_{\perp} \tau^{\star} u||^2.$$

Thus, for (46) to hold, it suffices that the following inequality hold:

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle + C \langle \langle \Delta'' u, u \rangle \rangle \geq \delta (||p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \partial^* u||^2) + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1\right) (||p''_{\perp} \tau u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \tau^* u||^2) + \langle \langle [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^*] u, u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle [\Lambda, [\Lambda, \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega]] u, u \rangle \rangle.$$
(47)

This is equivalent to (44) since

$$\langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle = ||p'' \partial u + p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + ||p'' \partial^{\star} u + p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u||^2 = (||p'' \partial u||^2 + ||p'' \partial^{\star} u||^2) + (||p''_{\perp} \partial u||^2 + ||p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u||^2)$$
 thanks to the obvious orthogonality relations  $p'' \partial u \perp p''_{\perp} \partial u$  and  $p'' \partial^{\star} u \perp p''_{\perp} \partial^{\star} u$ .

To apply Lemma 5.2, we start with a very simple elementary observation.

**Lemma 5.3** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $A, B : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  be closed linear operators such that  $A, B > 0, A = A^*$  and  $B = B^*$ .

If ker  $A \subset \ker B$  and if  $B \leq A$  on  $(\ker A)^{\perp}$ , then  $B \leq A$ .

*Proof.* We have to prove that  $\langle Bu, u \rangle \leq \langle Au, u \rangle$  for all u. Since A is closed,  $\ker A$  is closed in  $\mathcal{H}$ , so every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  splits uniquely as  $u = u_A + u_A^{\perp}$  with  $u_A \in \ker A$  and  $u_A^{\perp} \in (\ker A)^{\perp}$ . Moreover,

$$A((\ker A)^{\perp}) \subset (\ker A)^{\perp}. \tag{48}$$

Indeed, for every  $u_A^{\perp} \in (\ker A)^{\perp}$  and every  $v \in \ker A$ , we have:  $\langle A(u_A^{\perp}), v \rangle = \langle u_A^{\perp}, Av \rangle = 0$  since  $A^*v = Av = 0$ . Therefore, for every u, we get:

$$\langle Au, u \rangle = \langle Au_A^{\perp}, u_A + u_A^{\perp} \rangle = \langle Au_A^{\perp}, u_A^{\perp} \rangle \ge \langle Bu_A^{\perp}, u_A^{\perp} \rangle = \langle Bu_A^{\perp}, u \rangle = \langle Bu, u \rangle.$$

The second identity above followed from (48), the inequality followed from the hypothesis and the last two identities followed from the next relations:

$$(i)$$
  $B((\ker A)^{\perp}) \subset (\ker A)^{\perp}$  and  $(ii)$   $B(\ker A) = 0$ .

To prove (i), let  $u_A^{\perp} \in (\ker A)^{\perp}$  and  $v \in \ker A \subset \ker B$ . We have:  $\langle B(u_A^{\perp}), v \rangle = \langle u_A^{\perp}, Bv \rangle = 0$  since  $B^*v = Bv = 0$ . Identity (ii) follows from the hypothesis  $\ker A \subset \ker B$ .

We shall now apply Lemma 5.3 to the non-negative self-adjoint operators

$$B := \Delta'_{p''} = \Delta' - \Delta'_{p''} \ge 0$$
 and  $A := (C+1)\Delta'' + (1-\varepsilon)\Delta' \ge 0$ 

for which we obviously have  $\ker B = \ker \Delta'_{p''_{\perp}} \supset \ker \Delta' \supset \ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'' = \ker A$ . The choice of constants C > 0 and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  will be specified later on.

We know from (b) of Lemma 5.1 that a sufficient condition for  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  in the Frölicher spectral sequence is the validity of inequality (40), i.e. of the inequality  $B \leq A$ . By Lemma 5.3, this is equivalent to having  $B \leq A$  on  $(\ker A)^{\perp} = (\ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'')^{\perp}$ . Now, the proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that for this to hold, it suffices for the inequality (47) to hold on  $(\ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'')^{\perp}$ . If we assume  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ , after bounding above  $||p''_{\perp}v||$  by ||v|| for  $v \in \{\partial u, \partial^* u, \tau u, \tau^* u\}$  in the r.h.s. of (47), we see that it suffices to have

$$(1 - \varepsilon - \delta) \langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle + C \langle \langle \Delta'' u, u \rangle \rangle \ge \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1\right) \langle \langle [\tau, \tau^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle. \tag{49}$$

for all  $u \in (\ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'')^{\perp}$  and some fixed constants C > 0,  $0 < \delta < 1 - \varepsilon < 1$ .

Now, we choose the constants such that  $\delta = 1 - 2\varepsilon > 0$  (so  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ ) and  $C = 1 - \varepsilon - \delta = \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $(1/\delta) - 1 = 2\varepsilon/(1 - 2\varepsilon)$ . If, moreover, we choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $2/(1 - 2\varepsilon) < 3$  (i.e. such that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$ ), (49) holds with these choices of constants whenever the following inequality holds:

$$\langle \langle (\Delta' + \Delta'') \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle \ge 3 \, \langle \langle ([\tau, \, \tau^{\star}] + [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, \, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}]) \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle \quad \text{for all } u \in (\ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'')^{\perp}. \quad (50)$$

For all  $p, q \in \{0, ..., n\}$ , the non-negative self-adjoint differential operator  $\Delta' + \Delta'' : C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is elliptic. Therefore, since X is compact, it has a discrete spectrum contained in  $[0, +\infty)$  with  $+\infty$  as its only accumulation point. In particular, it has a smallest positive eigenvalue that we denote by

$$\rho_{\omega}^{p,\,q} := \min\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(\Delta' + \Delta''\right)^{p,\,q} \cap (0,\,+\infty)\right) > 0. \tag{51}$$

Thus,  $\rho_{\omega}^{p,q}$  is the size of the spectral gap of  $\Delta' + \Delta''$  acting on (p,q)-forms. We get

$$\langle \langle (\Delta' + \Delta'') u, u \rangle \rangle \ge \rho_{\omega}^{p, q} ||u||^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in C_{p, q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}) \cap (\ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta'')^{\perp},$$
 (52)

since  $\ker(\Delta' + \Delta'') = \ker \Delta' \cap \ker \Delta''$ . On the other hand, the non-negative torsion operator  $[\tau, \tau^*] + [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^*]$  is of order zero, hence bounded, hence

$$\langle \langle ([\tau, \tau^{\star}] + [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}]) u, u \rangle \rangle \leq C_{\omega}^{p, q} ||u||^{2} \text{ for all } u \in C_{p, q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}),$$
 (53)

 $\text{where } C^{p,\,q}_\omega := \sup_{u \in C^\infty_{p,\,q}(X,\,\mathbb{C}),\;||u||=1} \langle \langle ([\tau,\,\tau^\star] + [\partial\omega\wedge\cdot,\,(\partial\omega\wedge\cdot)^\star])\,u,\,u\rangle \rangle.$ 

We conclude from (52) and (53) that (50) holds if  $\rho_{\omega}^{p,q} \geq 3 C_{\omega}^{p,q}$ . We have thus proved the following statement which is nothing but Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 5.4** Let X be a compact complex n-dimensional manifold. If X carries an SKT metric  $\omega$  whose torsion satisfies the condition

$$C_{\omega}^{p,\,q} \le \frac{1}{3} \,\rho_{\omega}^{p,\,q} \tag{54}$$

for all  $p, q \in \{0, ..., n\}$ , then the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

#### 5.2 Use of (a) of Lemma 5.1

We shall now give a different kind of metric condition ensuring that  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  in the Frölicher spectral sequence.

**Lemma 5.5** Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$ . If X admits a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  whose induced operators  $\Delta', \Delta'', \Delta'_{p''}: C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}_{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$  satisfy the condition

$$\ker \Delta'_{p''} \cap \ker \Delta'' \subset \ker \Delta' \quad in \ every \ bidegree \ (p, q),$$
 (55)

the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

*Proof.* As noticed in (22), we always have  $\ker \Delta'_{p''} \supset \ker \Delta'$ . Recall that  $\ker \Delta'_{p''} \cap \ker \Delta'' = \ker \widetilde{\Delta}$  and that this space is denoted by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(X,\mathbb{C})$  in bidegree (p,q). For every  $u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(X,\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $\Delta'u = 0$  thanks to (55), hence from (43) we get

$$\langle \langle \Delta u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \Delta'' u, u \rangle \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

This shows that the identity map induces a well-defined linear map  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}^{p,\,q}(X,\,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}^{p+q}(X,\,\mathbb{C})$  for all  $(p,\,q)$ , hence  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  by (a) of Lemma 5.1.

We now use Lemma 5.5 to give two sufficient metric conditions ensuring that  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  in the Frölicher spectral sequence. The following theorem subsumes two results mentioned in the introduction.

**Theorem 5.6** Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $dim_{\mathbb{C}}X = n$ .

- (i) For any Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X, the following three conditions are equivalent:
  - (a)  $p''\partial = \partial p''$  on all (p, q)-forms for all bidegrees (p, q);
  - (b)  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}^{\star}](\ker \Delta'') = 0$  and  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}^{\star}](\operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}) \subset \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star};$
  - $(c) \ [\partial, \bar{\tau}^{\star}](\ker \Delta'') = 0 \ and \ [\partial, \bar{\tau}^{\star}](Im \bar{\partial} \oplus Im \bar{\partial}^{\star}) \subset Im \bar{\partial} \oplus Im \bar{\partial}^{\star}.$

Moreover, if X carries a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  satisfying one of the equivalent conditions (a), (b), (c), the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

(ii) If X carries an SKT metric  $\omega$  (i.e. such that  $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega = 0$ ) which moreover satisfies the identity

$$\langle \langle [\bar{\tau}, \, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, \, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle \quad \text{for all } u \in \ker \Delta''_{p''} \cap \ker \Delta'', \tag{56}$$

the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_2$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $\omega$  be any Hermitian metric on X and let u be any smooth (p, q)-form. Then  $u = u_0 + \bar{\partial}v + \bar{\partial}^*w$  with  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta''$  and v, w smooth forms of bidegrees (p, q - 1), resp. (p, q + 1). (Note that we can choose  $v \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  and  $w \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}$  if these forms are chosen to have minimal  $L^2$  norms.) Thus  $p''u = u_0$ , so the following equivalences hold:

$$p''\partial u = \partial p''u \iff p''\partial u_0 + p''\partial\bar{\partial}v + p''\partial\bar{\partial}^*w = \partial u_0 \iff p''\partial\bar{\partial}^*w = p''_{\perp}\partial u_0$$

$$\iff p''\partial\bar{\partial}^*w = 0 \text{ and } p''_{\perp}\partial u_0 = 0 \iff \partial u_0 \in \ker \Delta'' \text{ and } \partial\bar{\partial}^*w \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^*$$

$$\iff \partial u_0 \in \ker\bar{\partial}^* \text{ and } \partial\bar{\partial}^*w \in \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^*.$$
(57)

We have successively used the following facts:  $p''\partial\bar{\partial}v = -p''\bar{\partial}\partial v = 0$  because  $\ker\Delta'' \perp \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}$ ,  $1 - p'' = p''_{\perp}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}p'' = \ker\Delta'' \perp \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}^{\star} = \operatorname{Im}p''_{\perp}$ ,  $\partial u_0 \in \ker\bar{\partial} = \ker\Delta'' \oplus \operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial}$  (because  $u_0 \in \ker\Delta'' \subset \ker\bar{\partial}$ ), hence the equivalence  $\partial u_0 \in \ker\Delta'' \iff \partial u_0 \in \ker\bar{\partial}^{\star}$ .

Now,  $\bar{\partial}^* \partial w \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial}^* u_0 = 0$  because  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta'' = \ker \bar{\partial} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}^* \subset \ker \bar{\partial}^*$ , so (57) is equivalent to

$$[\partial, \,\bar{\partial}^{\star}] u_0 = 0 \text{ and } [\partial, \,\bar{\partial}^{\star}] w \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^{\star}.$$

On the other hand,  $[\partial, \bar{\partial}^*] = -[\partial, \bar{\tau}^*]$  by (111). Since  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta''$  and  $w \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  are arbitrary, the equivalences stated under (i) are proved.

To prove the last statement of (i), let  $\omega$  be a metric satisfying condition (a). We are going to show that the inclusion (55) holds, hence by Lemma 5.5 we shall have  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  in the Frölicher spectral sequence of X. Let  $u \in \ker \Delta'_{p''} \cap \ker \Delta''$  of an arbitrary bidegree (p, q). Then

$$0 = \langle \langle \Delta'_{p''} u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Delta'(p''u), u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle,$$

where the second identity followed from  $p''\partial = \partial p''$  (which also implies  $p''\partial^* = \partial^*p''$ ) and the last identity followed from  $u \in \ker \Delta''$  (which amounts to p''u = u). Thus  $\Delta'u = 0$ , i.e.  $u \in \ker \Delta'$ . This proves (55), so Lemma 5.5 applies.

(ii) We prove that inclusion (55) holds under the assumptions made. Let  $u \in \ker \Delta'_{p''} \cap \ker \Delta''$ . Note that the conjugate of Demailly's non-Kähler Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano identity  $\Delta'' = \Delta'_{\tau} + T_{\omega}$  (cf. (109)) is

$$\Delta' = \Delta_{\tau}'' + \overline{T}_{\omega},\tag{58}$$

where  $\Delta_{\tau}^{"} := [\bar{\partial} + \bar{\tau}, \, \bar{\partial}^{\star} + \bar{\tau}^{\star}]$  and  $\overline{T}_{\omega} = [\Lambda, \, [\Lambda, \, \frac{i}{2} \, \partial \bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \cdot]] - [\bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \cdot, \, (\bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}]$ . Thanks to formula (58), we have

$$\langle \langle \Delta' u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle (\Delta'' + [\bar{\partial}, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] + [\bar{\tau}, \bar{\partial}^{\star}]) u, u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle [\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \langle \langle [\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] u, u \rangle \rangle, \tag{59}$$

where we have used the SKT assumption on  $\omega$  to have  $\bar{T}_{\omega}$  reduced to  $-[\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*]$  in formula (58) and the argument below to infer that  $\langle\langle[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\tau}^*]u, u\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle[\bar{\tau}, \bar{\partial}^*]u, u\rangle\rangle = 0$  from the assumption  $u \in \ker \bar{\partial}'' = \ker \bar{\partial} \cap \ker \bar{\partial}^*$ :

$$\langle \langle [\bar{\partial}, \, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \bar{\tau}^{\star} \, u, \, \bar{\partial}^{\star} u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \bar{\partial} u, \, \bar{\tau} u \rangle \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

$$\langle \langle [\bar{\tau}, \, \bar{\partial}^{\star}] \, u, \, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \bar{\partial}^{\star} \, u, \, \bar{\tau}^{\star} u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \bar{\tau} u, \, \bar{\partial} u \rangle \rangle = 0 + 0 = 0.$$
(60)

Now,  $\Delta' = \Delta'_{p''} + \Delta'_{p''}$ , so the assumption  $u \in \ker \Delta'_{p''}$  reduces (59) to

$$\langle\langle\Delta'_{p''_{1}} u, u\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle[\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] u, u\rangle\rangle - \langle\langle[\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}] u, u\rangle\rangle. \tag{61}$$

The r.h.s. of (61) vanishes thanks to the hypothesis (56), so  $\Delta'_{p''_1} u = 0$ , hence also  $\Delta' u = 0$ .

Remark 5.7 The proof of (ii) of the above Theorem 5.6 shows that if X carries an SKT metric  $\omega$  whose torsion satisfies the condition  $[\tau, \tau^*] = [\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^*]$ , then the Frölicher spectral sequence of X degenerates at  $E_1$ .

Proof. To get  $E_1$  degeneration, it suffices for the inclusion  $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\Delta''}(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{H}^{p+q}_{\Delta}(X,\mathbb{C})$  of  $\Delta''$ -, resp.  $\Delta$ -harmonic spaces to hold for all p,q. (The argument is analogous to the one for (a) of Lemma 5.1.) Now, (59) holds for all  $u \in \mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\Delta''}(X,\mathbb{C})$  if  $\omega$  is SKT, hence  $\Delta'u = 0$  whenever  $\Delta''u = 0$  under the present assumptions. Then, by (43), we get  $\Delta u = 0$  for all (p,q)-forms u satisfying  $\Delta''u = 0$  and for all p,q. This proves the above inclusion, hence the contention.

#### 5.2.1 Alternative expression for the torsion operator $\bar{R}_{\omega}$

We shall now compute the operator  $\bar{R}_{\omega} := [\bar{\tau}, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] - [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}]$  featuring in (ii) of Theorem 5.6 in terms of the non-negative operator  $\bar{S}_{\omega}$  (cf. (2)).

**Lemma 5.8** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be an arbitrary compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n. Put  $\bar{S}_{\omega} := [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*] \geq 0$ . The following formula holds:

$$[\bar{\tau}, \,\bar{\tau}^{\star}] - \bar{S}_{\omega} = 2\bar{S}_{\omega} + [[\Lambda, \,\bar{S}_{\omega}], \,L], \tag{62}$$

where, as usual,  $L = L_{\omega} := \omega \wedge \cdot$ . Moreover, for any bidegree (p, q),  $[[\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}], L]$  is given by

$$\langle \langle [[\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}], L] u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega}(\omega \wedge u), \omega \wedge u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega}(\Lambda u), \Lambda u \rangle \rangle + (p + q - n) \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega} u, u \rangle \rangle - 2Re \langle \langle \Lambda(\bar{S}_{\omega} u), \Lambda u \rangle \rangle, \quad u \in C_{p,q}^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$
(63)

*Proof.* Since  $\tau = [\Lambda, \partial \omega \wedge \cdot]$ , we get

$$[\bar{\tau}, \, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] = \left[ [\Lambda, \, \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot], \, [(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}, \, L] \right] = \left[ [[(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}, \, L], \, \Lambda], \, \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot \right] - \left[ [\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot, \, [(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}, \, L]], \, \Lambda \right], (64)$$

where the last identity followed from Jacobi's identity applied to the operators  $[(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^*, L]$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot$ .

To compute the first factor in the first term on the r.h.s. of (64), we apply again Jacobi's identity:

$$\left[ [(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*, L], \Lambda \right] = -\left[ [L, \Lambda], (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^* \right] - \left[ [\Lambda, (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*], L \right]. \tag{65}$$

Using the standard fact that  $[L, \Lambda] = (p + q - n) \operatorname{Id}$  on (p, q)-forms, for any (p, q)-form u we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ [L, \Lambda], (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} \right] u &= [L, \Lambda] \left( (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} u \right) - (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} \left( [L, \Lambda], u \right) \\ &= (p + q - 3 - n) (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} u - (\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} ((p + q - n) u) = -3(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star} u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\left[[L,\Lambda],\,(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^\star\right]=-3(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^\star.$  On the other hand,  $\left[\Lambda,\,(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^\star\right]=\left[\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot,\,L\right]^\star=0$  since, clearly,  $\left[\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot,\,L\right]u=\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\omega\wedge u-\omega\wedge\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge u=0$  for any u. Therefore, (65) reduces to

$$\left[ [(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*, L], \Lambda \right] = 3(\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot)^*. \tag{66}$$

Similarly, to compute the first factor in the second term on the r.h.s. of (64), we start by applying Jacobi's identity:

$$\left[\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot,\left[(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^{\star},L\right]\right] = \left[(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot)^{\star},\left[L,\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot\right]\right] - \left[L,\left[\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot,\left(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot\right)^{\star}\right]\right] \\
= \left[\left[\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot,\left(\bar{\partial}\omega\wedge\cdot\right)^{\star}\right],L\right] = \left[\bar{S}_{\omega},L\right], \tag{67}$$

where the last but one identity followed from  $[L, \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \cdot] = 0$  seen above.

Putting together (64), (66) and (67), we get:

$$[\bar{\tau}, \, \bar{\tau}^{\star}] = 3\bar{S}_{\omega} - [[\bar{S}_{\omega}, \, L], \, \Lambda]. \tag{68}$$

A new application of Jacobi's identity spells

$$[[\bar{S}_{\omega}, L], \Lambda] + [[L, \Lambda], \bar{S}_{\omega}] + [[\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}], L] = 0, \text{ which gives } -[[\bar{S}_{\omega}, L], \Lambda] = [[\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}], L].$$
 (69)

Indeed, since  $[L, \Lambda] = (p + q - n) \operatorname{Id}$  on (p, q)-forms and  $\bar{S}_{\omega}$  is an operator of type (0, 0), we get  $[L, \Lambda], \bar{S}_{\omega}] = 0$  which accounts for the last statement in (69).

It is now clear that the combined (68) and (69) prove (62).

To prove (63), we start by computing

$$\langle \langle [[\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}], L] u, u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle [\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}] (\omega \wedge u), u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \omega \wedge [\Lambda, \bar{S}_{\omega}] u, u \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega}(\omega \wedge u), \omega \wedge u \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \omega \wedge u, \omega \wedge \bar{S}_{\omega} u \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega}(\Lambda u), \Lambda u \rangle \rangle$$

$$- \langle \langle \Lambda(\bar{S}_{\omega}u), \Lambda u \rangle \rangle. \tag{70}$$

Then we notice the general fact that for every (p, q)-forms u, v we have:

$$\langle\langle\omega\wedge u,\,\omega\wedge v\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle\Lambda\,u,\,\Lambda\,v\rangle\rangle - (p+q-n)\,\langle\langle u,\,v\rangle\rangle. \tag{71}$$

Indeed,  $\langle \langle \omega \wedge u, \omega \wedge v \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \Lambda(\omega \wedge u), v \rangle \rangle$  and  $\Lambda(\omega \wedge u) = \omega \wedge \Lambda u - (p+q-n)u$ . Now, applying (71), we get

$$\langle\langle\omega\wedge u,\,\omega\wedge\bar{S}_{\omega}u\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle\Lambda u,\,\Lambda(\bar{S}_{\omega}u)\rangle\rangle - (p+q-n)\,\langle\langle u,\,\bar{S}_{\omega}u\rangle\rangle. \tag{72}$$

It is now clear that the combined (70) and (72) prove (63) because  $\langle \langle \Lambda u, \Lambda(\bar{S}_{\omega}u) \rangle \rangle$  is the conjugate of  $\langle \langle \Lambda(\bar{S}_{\omega}u), \Lambda u \rangle \rangle$  and  $\langle \langle u, \bar{S}_{\omega}u \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \bar{S}_{\omega}u, u \rangle \rangle$ .

#### 5.2.2 Putting the hypothesis $\partial p'' = p'' \partial$ in context

We now reinterpret the commutation of  $\partial$  with p'' (the simplest sufficient condition for  $E_2(X) = E_{\infty}(X)$  found so far, cf. Theorem 5.6).

**Lemma 5.9** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Hermitian manifold. The following implication and equivalence hold:

$$\partial \Delta'' = \Delta'' \partial \implies \partial p'' = p'' \partial \iff \partial (\ker \Delta'') \subset \ker \Delta'' \text{ and } \partial^{\star} (\ker \Delta'') \subset \ker \Delta''. \tag{73}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\partial \Delta'' = \Delta'' \partial$ . Then, taking adjoints, we also have  $\Delta'' \partial^* = \partial^* \Delta''$ . These identities immediately imply

$$\partial(\ker \Delta'') \subset \ker \Delta'' \text{ and } \partial^{\star}(\ker \Delta'') \subset \ker \Delta''.$$
 (74)

Now suppose that (74) holds. We shall prove that  $\partial p'' = p'' \partial$ . Let u be an arbitrary smooth form. Then u splits as  $u = u_0 + \bar{\partial}v + \bar{\partial}^*w$  with  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta''$ . Thus  $\partial p''u = \partial u_0$  and

$$p''\partial u = p''\partial u_0 + p''\partial \bar{\partial}v + p''\partial \bar{\partial}^*w = \partial u_0 + p''\partial \bar{\partial}^*w$$

because  $\partial u_0 \in \ker \Delta''$  by (74) and  $p''\partial\bar{\partial}v = -p''\bar{\partial}\partial v = 0$  since  $\operatorname{Im}\bar{\partial} \perp \ker \Delta''$ . We now prove that  $p''\partial\bar{\partial}^*w = 0$  and this will show that  $\partial p''u = p''\partial u$ , as desired. Proving that  $p''\partial\bar{\partial}^*w = 0$  is equivalent to proving that  $\partial\bar{\partial}^*w \in (\ker \Delta'')^{\perp}$ . Let  $\zeta \in \ker \Delta''$ , arbitrary. We have

$$\langle \langle \zeta, \, \partial \bar{\partial}^* w \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle \partial^* \zeta, \, \bar{\partial}^* w \rangle \rangle = 0$$

because  $\partial^* \zeta \in \ker \Delta''$  thanks to (74),  $\bar{\partial}^* w \in \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$  and  $\ker \Delta'' \perp \operatorname{Im} \bar{\partial}^*$ .

It remains to prove that if  $\partial p'' = p''\partial$ , then (74) holds. Note the general fact that for any form  $u, u \in \ker \Delta''$  iff p''u = u. Let us now suppose that  $\partial p'' = p''\partial$ . Then, taking adjoints, we also have  $\partial^* p'' = p''\partial^*$ , so (74) holds.

### Part II: $E_2$ degeneration of the spectral sequence associated with a pair of foliations

This part of the paper outlines a way of extending to the context of foliations the ideas and the objects introduced in Part I. They will hopefully be continued in future work in the more general context of a single foliation. We highlight here the rather substantial new technical difficulties involved.

#### 6 Review of standard material

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n endowed with a complementary pair of regular foliations (N, F) (cf. (4)). We keep the notation used in the Introduction.

There always exists (e.g. by the analogue of the argument for the real case in [Rei58, p.245-246]) a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X, called henceforth a *product metric*, which in every system of local product coordinates  $z_1, \ldots, z_r, z_{r+1}, \ldots, z_n$  has the shape

$$\omega = \sum_{j,k=1}^{r} \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z',z'') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k + \sum_{j,k=r+1}^{n} \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z',z'') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$
 (75)

In general, the coefficients  $\omega_{j\bar{k}}$  are functions on U depending on both groups of coordinates z' and z''. We put

$$\omega_N := \sum_{j,k=1}^r \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z',z'') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_F := \sum_{j,k=r+1}^n \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z',z'') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k. \tag{76}$$

For some of our results that follow, we shall need to assume the existence of a special kind of Hermitian metric on X adapted to the (N, F) structure. The name is borrowed from [Rei59] (where the real case was studied) whose analogue to the complex Hermitian context we consider.

**Definition 6.1** A bundle-like Hermitian metric on a compact complex manifold X endowed with an integrable holomorphic almost product structure (N, F) is a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  which in every system of local product coordinates  $z_1, \ldots z_r, z_{r+1}, \ldots, z_n$  has the shape

$$\omega = \sum_{j,k=1}^{r} \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k + \sum_{j,k=r+1}^{n} \omega_{j\bar{k}}(z'') i dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$
 (77)

The spectral sequence induced by (N, F). Put  $\mathcal{E}^k(X) := C^{\infty}(X, \Lambda^{k,0}T^*X)$ . For every  $p, q \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$ , let  $E^{p,q}$  denote the holomorphic vector subbundle  $\Lambda^p N^* \otimes \Lambda^q F^*$  of  $\Lambda^{k,0}T^*X$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) = \mathcal{E}^{p,q}_{N,F}(X) := C^{\infty}(X, E^{p,q})$  stand for the space of its global smooth sections. The integrable operators

$$\partial_N : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p+1,q}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_F : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p,q+1}(X)$$
 (78)

define a double complex  $\mathcal{E}^{\bullet,\bullet}(X)$  with the total differential  $\partial = \partial_N + \partial_F$ . We consider the spectral sequence associated in the standard way with this double complex (see e.g. [Dem 96, §.9] or [Voi02, §.8.3.2]).

As usual, the first two steps in the spectral sequence are defined by the second differential  $\partial_F$ , resp. the first differential  $\partial_N$ . Indeed, we put  $E_0^{p,q} := \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  and  $d_0 := \partial_F$ , so the groups  $E_1 = E_1(N, F)$  are defined as the cohomology of the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_F} \mathcal{E}^{p,\,q-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_F} \mathcal{E}^{p,\,q}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_F} \mathcal{E}^{p,\,q+1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_F} \cdots, \tag{79}$$

i.e.  $E_1^{p,q} = E_1^{p,q}(N, F) = H^q(\mathcal{E}^{p,\bullet}(X), \partial_F)$ , while the differentials  $d_1$  are induced by  $\partial_N$ :

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p-1, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} E_1^{p+1, q} \xrightarrow{d_1} \cdots$$
 (80)

This means that for any form  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  such that  $\partial_F \alpha = 0$ , the class  $[\alpha]_{\partial_F} \in E_1^{p,q}$  is mapped by  $d_1$  to the class  $[\partial_N \alpha]_{\partial_F} \in E_1^{p+1,q}$ . Moreover,  $d_1^2 = 0$  since  $\partial_N^2 = 0$ , so (80) is indeed a complex. The groups  $E_2 = E_2(N, F)$  are defined as the cohomology of this complex, i.e. for all p, q we have

$$E_2^{p,q} = E_2^{p,q}(N, F) := H^p(E_1^{\bullet, q}, d_1) = \left\{ \left[ [\alpha]_{\partial_F} \right]_{d_1} \middle/ \alpha \in \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X) \cap \ker \partial_F \text{ and } \partial_N \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_F \right\}, (81)$$

so the elements of  $E_2^{p,q}$  are  $d_1$ -classes of  $\partial_F$ -classes.

This spectral sequence converges to the  $\partial$ -cohomology in bidegrees (k, 0) of the manifold X, i.e. if we let  $E^{p, q}_{\infty} := \lim_{s \to +\infty} E^{p, q}_{s}$ , we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H_{\partial}^{k,0}(X,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_{\infty}^{p,q}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$
 (82)

Note that the vector spaces  $E_s^{p,\,q}$  need not be finite-dimensional since the system  $(\partial_N,\,\partial_F)$  is not elliptic in general. Indeed, if we have fixed a Hermitian metric  $\omega$  on X and denote by  $\partial_N^*,\,\partial_F^*$  the adjoints of  $\partial_N,\partial_F$  w.r.t. the  $L^2$  inner product induced by  $\omega$ , the associated Laplace-Beltrami operators

$$\Delta_N' = [\partial_N, \, \partial_N^{\star}] = \partial_N \partial_N^{\star} + \partial_N^{\star} \partial_N \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_F' = [\partial_F, \, \partial_F^{\star}] = \partial_F \partial_F^{\star} + \partial_F^{\star} \partial_F$$

are not elliptic in general (since each "misses" the complementary directions). However, a fact that will be important for us is that the sum of these Laplacians

$$\Delta_N' + \Delta_F'$$

is an elliptic operator. So is also the usual  $\partial$ -Laplacian

$$\Delta' = [\partial, \, \partial^*] = \Delta'_N + \Delta'_F + [\partial_N, \, \partial_F^*] + [\partial_F, \, \partial_N^*].$$

Since X is compact,  $\ker \Delta'_N = \ker \partial_N \cap \ker \partial_N^*$  and  $\ker \Delta'_F = \ker \partial_F \cap \ker \partial_F^*$ .

#### 7 The non-differential Laplacian and $E_2$ degeneration

Let X be an n-dimensional compact complex manifold equipped with an integrable holomorphic almost product structure (N, F) and with a product Hermitian metric  $\omega$ . By analogy with the Frölicher case described in Part I, we consider the following operators

$$p'_N : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta'_N \quad \text{and} \quad p'_F : \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \ker \Delta'_F$$
 (83)

the orthogonal projections onto the  $\Delta'_N$ -harmonic, resp. the  $\Delta'_F$ -harmonic spaces. Similarly, let

$$p_N^{'\perp}: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow (\ker \Delta_N')^{\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad p_F^{'\perp}: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow (\ker \Delta_F')^{\perp}$$
 (84)

denote the orthogonal projections onto the orthogonal complements of the respective harmonic spaces. The operators  $p'_N, p'_F, p_N^{'\perp}, p_F^{'\perp}$  depend on the metric  $\omega$  and are no longer pseudo-differential operators, let alone regularising or of finite rank, since the kernels of  $\Delta'_N$  and  $\Delta'_F$  are no longer finite-dimensional due to the lack of ellipticity of  $\Delta'_N$  and  $\Delta'_F$ . They clearly satisfy the properties:

$$p_N' = (p_N')^* = (p_N')^*, \quad p_F' = (p_F)^{\prime *} = (p_F')^2, \quad p_N^{\prime \perp} = (p_N')^* = (p_N')^2, \quad p_F^{\prime \perp} = (p_F')^* = (p_F')^2. \tag{85}$$

Again by analogy with the Frölicher case, we define our main object of study in this second part.

**Definition 7.1** Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$  equipped with an integrable holomorphic almost product structure (N, F) and with a product Hermitian metric  $\omega$ . For every p, q, we define the operator  $\widetilde{\Delta'}: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  by

$$\widetilde{\Delta'} := \partial_N p_F' \partial_N^* + \partial_N^* p_F' \partial_N + \partial_F \partial_F^* + \partial_F^* \partial_F. \tag{86}$$

In other words, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta'} = \Delta'_{N, p'_F} + \Delta'_F, \quad where \ \Delta'_{N, p'_F} := \partial_N p'_F \partial_N^* + \partial_N^* p'_F \partial_N : \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X). \tag{87}$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  is the sum of a Fourier integral operator  $(\Delta'_{N,p'_F})$  and a non-elliptic differential operator of order two (the  $\partial_F$ -Laplacian  $\Delta'_F$ ).

The idea we shall now be pursuing is to find a hypothesis ensuring that  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  satisfies Gårding's inequality by ensuring that  $\Delta'_{N,\,p'_F}$  dominates a constant multiple of  $\Delta'_N$  and then using the ellipticity of  $\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F$  and Gårding's inequality it satisfies.

**Theorem 7.2** Suppose that for every p, q the following identity holds:

$$\ker(\Delta'_N: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)) + \ker(\Delta'_F: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \to \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)) = \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X). \tag{88}$$

(i) There exists a constant  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  such that for all p, q we have

$$\langle\langle\Delta'_{N,p'_F}u,u\rangle\rangle \ge (1-\varepsilon)\,\langle\langle\Delta'_Nu,u\rangle\rangle, \quad u\in\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X).$$
 (89)

(ii) There exist constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that for all p, q, Gårding's inequality holds for  $\Delta'$ :

$$\langle \langle \widetilde{\Delta'} u, u \rangle \rangle + \delta_1 ||u||^2 \ge \delta_2 ||u||_1^2, \quad u \in \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X),$$
 (90)

where  $|| \ ||_1$  stands for the Sobolev norm  $W^1$  and  $|| \ ||$  stands for the  $L^2$  norm.

(iii) The above Gårding's inequality implies in turn that  $\ker \Delta'$  is finite-dimensional, that the image  $Im\widetilde{\Delta'}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  and that the following 3-space orthogonal decomposition holds:

$$\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) = \ker \widetilde{\Delta'} \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \partial_F + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{N|\ker \Delta'_F} \right) \right) \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_N^{\star} \circ p'_F \right) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^{\star} \right). \tag{91}$$

Moreover, the decomposition (91) also holds when  $Im(\partial_{N|\ker \Delta'_F})$  is replaced with  $Im(\partial_{N|\ker \partial_F})$ . (iv) If, moreover,  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0$ , then  $Im\partial_F$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  and the following **Hodge isomorphism** holds:

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Delta'}}(N,F) := \ker\left(\widetilde{\Delta'}: \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)\right) \simeq E_2^{p,q}(N,F), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\partial_F} \right]_{d_1}. \tag{92}$$

Thus, every class  $[[\alpha]_{\partial_F}]_{d_1} \in E_2^{p,q}(N,F)$  contains a unique  $\widetilde{\Delta}'$ -harmonic representative  $\alpha$ . In particular,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_2^{p,q}(N,F) < +\infty$  for all p,q.

(v) Much more holds under the above assumption  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^*] = 0$ : the spectral sequence induced by (N, F) degenerates at  $E_2$  (i.e.  $E_2(N, F) = E_{\infty}(N, F)$ .)

*Proof.* (i) The hypothesis  $\ker \Delta'_N + \ker \Delta'_F = \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  is equivalent (using the Open Mapping Theorem in Fréchet spaces) to each of the following equivalent conditions:

$$(\ker \Delta'_N)^{\perp} \cap (\ker \Delta'_F)^{\perp} = \{0\} \iff \text{the map } p'_F : (\ker \Delta'_N)^{\perp} \longrightarrow \ker \Delta'_F \text{ is injective}$$

$$\iff \exists 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \text{ such that } ||p_F'v||^2 \ge (1-\varepsilon)||v||^2 \text{ for all } v \in (\ker \Delta_N')^{\perp}.$$

The constant in the last inequality is necessarily in the interval (0, 1) since  $||p'_F v|| \leq ||v||$  for all forms v. The choices  $v := \partial_N u$  and  $v := \partial_N^* u$  are allowed for all the forms u since  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_N \perp \ker \Delta'_N$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_N^* \perp \ker \Delta'_N$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\langle\langle\Delta'_{N,p_F'}u,u\rangle\rangle = ||p_F'\partial_N u||^2 + ||p_F'\partial_N^*u||^2 \ge (1-\varepsilon)\left(||\partial_N u||^2 + ||\partial_N^*u||^2\right) \ge (1-\varepsilon)\left\langle\langle\Delta'_N u,u\rangle\rangle\right$$
 for all  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ . This proves (89).

(ii) Thanks to (89) and to Gårding's inequality satisfied by the elliptic differential operator  $\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F$ , there exist constants  $\delta'_1, \delta'_2 > 0$  such that

$$\langle\langle\widetilde{\Delta'}u,u\rangle\rangle + \delta'_1 ||u||^2 \ge (1-\varepsilon) \langle\langle(\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F)u,u\rangle\rangle + \delta'_1 ||u||^2 \ge (1-\varepsilon)\delta'_2 ||u||_1^2$$

for all  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ . This proves (90) after putting  $\delta_1 := \delta_1'$  and  $\delta_2 := (1 - \varepsilon)\delta_2'$ .

(iii) Gårding's inequality (90) implies the finite dimensionality of  $\ker \Delta'$  and the closedness of  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'}$  by standard arguments (see e.g. [Dem96, 3.10. p. 18-19]). Since  $\widetilde{\Delta'}$  is self-adjoint, (90) also implies the following 2-space orthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) = \ker \widetilde{\Delta'} \bigoplus \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'}. \tag{93}$$

Now, it is clear that  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'}$  is contained in

$$(\operatorname{Im} \partial_{F} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N|\ker \Delta'_{F}})) \oplus (\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N}^{\star} \circ p'_{F}) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_{F}^{\star}) \subset (\operatorname{Im} \partial_{F} + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N|\ker \partial_{F}})) \oplus (\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N}^{\star} \circ p'_{F}) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_{F}^{\star}),$$

$$(94)$$

where the direct sums are orthogonal because  $\partial_N$  (resp.  $\partial_N^*$ ) anti-commutes with  $\partial_F$  (resp.  $\partial_F^*$ ) and  $\ker \Delta_F' = \ker \partial_F \cap \ker \partial_F^*$ . Since the direct sums in (94) are, in turn, contained in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ , the conjunction of (93) and (94) implies (91) and also that the inclusion (94) is actually an equality.

(iv) If  $\partial_N$  and  $\partial_F^*$  anti-commute, then the following orthogonality relations hold:

$$\operatorname{Im} \partial_F \perp \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{N|\ker \Delta_F'} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_N^{\star} \circ p_F' \right) \perp \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^{\star}.$$
 (95)

Indeed, for u arbitrary and  $v \in \ker \Delta'_F = \ker \partial_F \cap \ker \partial_F^*$ , we have

$$\langle\langle\partial_F u,\,\partial_N v\rangle\rangle=\langle\langle u,\,\partial_F^\star\partial_N v\rangle\rangle=-\langle\langle u,\,\partial_N(\partial_F^\star v)\rangle\rangle=0 \text{ because } \partial_F^\star v=0.$$

Similarly, for u, v arbitrary, we have

$$\langle\langle\partial_N^{\star}(p_F'u),\,\partial_F^{\star}v\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle p_F'u,\,\partial_N\partial_F^{\star}v\rangle\rangle = -\langle\langle p_F'u,\,\partial_F^{\star}\partial_Nv\rangle\rangle = 0$$

because  $p_F'u \in \ker \Delta_F' \perp \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^*$ .

On the other hand, it is clear that in any pre-Hilbert space, whenever the sum of two mutually orthogonal subspaces is closed, each of the two subspaces is closed. From (iii) we know that  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  and that it splits orthogonally as  $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\Delta'} = (\operatorname{Im} \partial_F + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N|\ker \Delta'_F})) \oplus (\operatorname{Im} (\partial_N^* \circ p'_F) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^*)$ , hence  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F + \operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N|\ker \Delta'_F})$  and  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_N^* \circ p'_F) + \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^*$  are closed in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ . Thanks

to the orthogonality relations (95), we infer that  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_{N|\ker \Delta_F'})$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} (\partial_N^{\star} \circ p_F')$  and  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F^{\star}$  are closed in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  under the assumption  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0$ .

The proof of the Hodge isomorphism statement (92) uses crucially the closedness of Im  $\partial_F$  and runs along the lines of the proof of the analogous Theorem 3.4 of the Frölicher case. We shall therefore limit ourselves to pointing out the main steps.

The sum of the first two main terms on the r.h.s. of (91), after replacing  $\operatorname{Im}(\partial_{N|\ker \Delta_F'})$  with  $\operatorname{Im}(\partial_{N|\ker \partial_F})$ , is given by

$$\ker(p_F' \circ \partial_N) \cap \ker \partial_F = \ker \widetilde{\Delta'} \bigoplus \left( \operatorname{Im} \partial_F + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{N|\ker \partial_F} \right) \right)$$
(96)

since  $\ker(p_F' \circ \partial_N) \cap \ker \partial_F$  is easily seen to be the orthogonal complement of  $\operatorname{Im}(\partial_N^* \circ p_F') + \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^*$ . Therefore, we immediately obtain the isomorphism (cf. (31)):

$$S = S_{N,F}^{p,q} : \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{\Delta}'}^{p,q}(N,F) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{N,F}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) := \ker(p_F' \circ \partial_N) \cap \ker \partial_F / \left( \operatorname{Im} \partial_F + \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial_{N|\ker \partial_F} \right) \right),$$

$$\alpha \longmapsto \widetilde{[\alpha]}, \tag{97}$$

where  $[\alpha]$  denotes the class in  $\widetilde{H}_{N,F}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C})$  of  $\alpha \in \ker(p_F' \circ \partial_N) \cap \ker \partial_F$ .

On the other hand, the linear map

$$T = T_{N,F}^{p,q} : \widetilde{H}_{N,F}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow E_2^{p,q}(N,F), \quad \widetilde{[\alpha]} \longmapsto \left[ [\alpha]_{\partial_F} \right]_{d_1}, \tag{98}$$

is seen to be well defined and an isomorphism as in the proof of the analogous Proposition 3.1. The closedness of  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F$  is a key ingredient here. For example, for T to be well defined, we need every  $\alpha \in \ker(p_F' \circ \partial_N) \cap \ker \partial_F$  to induce a unique class  $[[\alpha]_{\partial_F}]_{d_1}$ . Now, the class  $[\alpha]_{\partial_F}$  is well-defined since  $\alpha \in \ker \partial_F$ , but we also need to have  $d_1([\alpha]_{\partial_F}) = 0$  in  $E_1^{p,q}(N, F)$ , i.e. we need  $\partial_N \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_F$ . However,  $\partial_N \alpha \in \ker \partial_F$  and  $p_F'(\partial_N \alpha) = 0$  (i.e.  $\partial_N \alpha \perp \ker \Delta_F'$ ), which amounts precisely to  $\partial_N \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_F$ . If  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F$  were not closed, this would only amount to the weaker property  $\partial_N \alpha \in \operatorname{Im} \partial_F$ .

It is now clear that the composition of the isomorphisms (97) and (98) provides the Hodge isomorphism (92).

(v) If  $\Delta' = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial : \mathcal{E}^k(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^k(X)$  is the standard  $\partial$ -Laplacian induced by the metric  $\omega$  (where  $\mathcal{E}^k(X)$  is the space of smooth (k, 0)-forms on X), the usual Hodge isomorphism theorem for  $\partial$  gives the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{p+q,0}_{\Delta'}(X,\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q,0}_{\partial}(X,\mathbb{C}), \quad \alpha \mapsto [\alpha]_{\partial}.$$

Coupled with the Hodge isomorphism (92), this shows (as in the proof of Lemma 5.1) that if the identity map induces a well-defined linear map

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}_{\widetilde{\Lambda'}}(N, F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q,0}_{\Delta'}(X, \mathbb{C}), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma,$$
 (99)

then  $E_2(N, F) = E_{\infty}(N, F)$ . Indeed, if well defined, the map (99) is necessarily injective, so we get an injection  $E_2^{p,q}(N, F) \hookrightarrow H_{\partial}^{p+q,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$  for all p, q. Now, since  $\omega$  is a product metric, one

easily checks that for any  $(p, q) \neq (r, s)$  such that p + q = r + s = k, the images in  $H^{k,0}_{\partial}(X, \mathbb{C})$  of  $E^{p,q}_2(N, F)$  and  $E^{r,s}_2(N, F)$  intersect only at zero because  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{E}^{r,s}(X)$ . Thus, for every k, there is a linear injection

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E_2^{p,\,q}(N,\,F) \hookrightarrow H^{k,\,0}_\partial(X,\,\mathbb{C}).$$

This implies the degeneration at  $E_2$  of the spectral sequence.

On the other hand,  $\ker \Delta' = \ker \Delta'_{N, p'_F} \cap \ker \Delta'_F$  (cf. (87)). Meanwhile, the following analogue of (43) holds:

$$\langle\langle\Delta'u,u\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle\Delta'_Nu,u\rangle\rangle + \langle\langle\Delta'_Fu,u\rangle\rangle$$
 for all  $u\in\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ ,

so for  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  we have the equivalence:  $u \in \ker \Delta' \Leftrightarrow u \in \ker \Delta'_N \cap \ker \Delta'_F$ . Putting these facts together, we see that the map (99) is well defined whenever the following inclusion holds

$$\ker \Delta'_{N, p'_{F}} \cap \ker \Delta'_{F} \subset \ker \Delta'_{N} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(X).$$
 (100)

This is the analogue of Lemma 5.5.

Summing up, we have just argued that if (100) holds for all p, q, then  $E_2(N, F) = E_{\infty}(N, F)$ . Now, we claim that the following implications hold:

$$[\partial_N, \, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0 \quad \stackrel{(a)}{\Longrightarrow} \quad [\partial_N, \, \Delta_F'] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\partial_N, \, p_F'] = 0 \quad \stackrel{(b)}{\Longrightarrow} \\ \ker \Delta_{N, \, p_P'}' \cap \ker \Delta_F' \subset \ker \Delta_N' \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E}^{p, \, q}(X) \quad \text{for all } p, q. \tag{101}$$

In view of the above arguments, the implications (101) prove (v).

To prove the first part of implication (a) of (101), recall that  $\partial_N$  and  $\partial_F$  anti-commute, so if  $\partial_N$  and  $\partial_F^*$  anti-commute as well, then  $\partial_N$  commutes with  $\Delta_F'$ .

To prove the second part of implication (a) of (101), recall that Im  $\partial_F$  and Im  $\partial_F^*$  have been proved to be *closed* in  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$  under the assumption  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^*] = 0$  (cf. proof of (iv)). This implies the orthogonal 3-space decomposition

$$\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X) = \ker \Delta_F' \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial_F \oplus \operatorname{Im} \partial_F^{\star}. \tag{102}$$

Now, let  $u \in \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ . By (102), u splits uniquely and orthogonally as  $u = u_0 + \partial_F v + \partial_F^* w$ , with  $u_0 \in \ker \Delta_F'$ . Thus,  $p_F' u = u_0$ . We get  $(\partial_N p_F') u = \partial_N u_0$  and, using  $[\partial_N, \partial_F] = 0$  and  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^*] = 0$ , we also get

$$(p_F'\partial_N)u = p_F'(\partial_N u_0) - p_F'(\partial_F \partial_N v) - p_F'(\partial_F \partial_N w) = p_F'(\partial_N u_0), \tag{103}$$

since  $p'_F \circ \partial_F = 0$  and  $p'_F \circ \partial_F^* = 0$  thanks to the orthogonal splitting (102). Now, since  $\Delta'_F u_0 = 0$  and  $\Delta'_F$  commutes with  $\partial_N$ , we get  $\Delta'_F(\partial_N u_0) = 0$ , i.e.  $p'_F(\partial_N u_0) = \partial_N u_0$ . From this and (103), we get  $(p'_F \partial_N) u = \partial_N u_0$ . Therefore,  $(\partial_N p'_F) u = (p'_F \partial_N) u$ .

Implication (b) of (101) is proved by running the analogue of the proof of the last statement in (i) of Theorem 5.6.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 7.3** The hypothesis (88) suffices to prove part (v) of Theorem 7.2, but the anti-commutation hypothesis  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0$  is needed to get closedness of  $\operatorname{Im} \partial_F$  and the Hodge isomorphism (92) in part (iv).

*Proof.* From (i), we get  $(1-\varepsilon)(\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F) \leq \widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta'_{N,p'_F} + \Delta'_F \leq \Delta'_N + \Delta'_F$ , hence  $\ker(\Delta'_{N,p'_F} + \Delta'_F) = \ker(\Delta'_N + \Delta'_F)$ . This means that

$$\ker \Delta'_{N, p'_{T}} \cap \ker \Delta'_{F} = \ker \Delta'_{N} \cap \ker \Delta'_{F} \subset \ker \Delta'_{N}$$

which proves (100) in every  $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(X)$ .

#### 8 The anti-commutation of $\partial_N$ and $\partial_F^{\star}$

We now give a sufficient condition for the crucial hypothesis  $[\partial_N, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0$  of Theorem 7.2 to hold.

**Theorem 8.1** Let X be a compact complex manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$  equipped with an integrable holomorphic almost product structure (N, F) and with a product Hermitian metric  $\omega$ .

If  $\omega$  is a bundle-like metric (cf. Definition 6.1) and if  $\partial_N \omega_N = 0$  (i.e.  $\omega_N$  is Kähler in the N-directions), then

$$[\partial_N, \, \partial_F^{\star}] = 0. \tag{104}$$

The proof proceeds in local coordinates along the lines of the proof of the standard Hermitian commutation relations (107) (cf. [Dem97, VII, §.1]) adapted to the foliated situation. We confine ourselves to pointing out the main steps in the form of the following succession of lemmas whose straightforward proofs are left to the reader.

**Lemma 8.2** Let  $\Lambda_N := (\omega_N \wedge \cdot)^*$  and  $\Lambda_F := (\omega_F \wedge \cdot)^*$ . If  $\omega$  is a bundle-like metric in the sense of Definition 6.1, then  $[\Lambda_N, \partial_F] = 0$ .

The next observation is that the standard Hermitian commutation relations (107) are still valid for  $\partial_N$  and  $\partial_F$ .

**Lemma 8.3** Let  $\tau_N := [\Lambda_N, \partial_N \omega_N \wedge \cdot]$  denote the N-directional torsion operator of  $\omega$ . If  $\omega$  is a bundle-like metric in the sense of Definition 6.1, the following identity holds:

$$[\Lambda_N, \, \partial_N] = i \, (\bar{\partial}_N^{\star} + \bar{\tau}_N^{\star}). \tag{105}$$

**Lemma 8.4** We always have  $[\partial_F, \bar{\partial}_N] = 0$ .

End of proof of Theorem 8.1. We shall actually prove the identity

$$[\partial_F, \, \partial_N^{\star}] = 0 \tag{106}$$

under the hypotheses of Theorem 8.1 (which imply, in particular, that  $\tau_N = 0$ ). Taking adjoints, (106) is seen to be equivalent to (104).

Since  $\tau_N = 0$ , the conjugate of (105) reads  $\partial_N^* = i [\Lambda_N, \bar{\partial}_N]$ , so we get

$$[\partial_F, \, \partial_N^{\star}] = i [\partial_F, \, [\Lambda_N, \, \bar{\partial}_N]] = i [\Lambda_N, \, [\bar{\partial}_N, \, \partial_F]] + i [\bar{\partial}_N, \, [\partial_F, \, \Lambda_N]].$$

Since  $[\bar{\partial}_N, \partial_F] = 0$  by Lemma 8.4 and  $[\partial_F, \Lambda_N] = 0$  by Lemma 8.2, we get  $[\partial_F, \partial_N^*] = 0$ .

#### 9 Appendix: Commutation relations

We briefly recall here some standard formulae that were used throughout the paper.

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact complex Hermitian manifold. Recall the following standard Hermitian commutation relations ([Dem84], see also [Dem97, VII, §.1]):

(i) 
$$(\partial + \tau)^* = i \left[ \Lambda, \, \bar{\partial} \right];$$
 (ii)  $(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\tau})^* = -i \left[ \Lambda, \, \bar{\partial} \right];$   
(iii)  $\partial + \tau = -i \left[ \bar{\partial}^*, \, L \right];$  (iv)  $\bar{\partial} + \bar{\tau} = i \left[ \partial^*, \, L \right],$  (107)

where the upper symbol  $\star$  stands for the formal adjoint w.r.t. the  $L^2$  inner product induced by  $\omega$ ,  $L = L_{\omega} := \omega \wedge \cdot$  is the Lefschetz operator of multiplication by  $\omega$ ,  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\omega} := L^{\star}$  and  $\tau := [\Lambda, \partial \omega \wedge \cdot]$  is the torsion operator (of order zero and type (1, 0)) associated with the metric  $\omega$ .

Again following [Dem97, VII, §.1], recall that the commutation relations (1) immediately induce via the Jacobi identity the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano-type identity

$$\Delta'' = \Delta' + [\partial, \tau^*] - [\bar{\partial}, \bar{\tau}^*] \tag{108}$$

relating the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacian  $\Delta'' = [\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}^{\star}] = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^{\star} + \bar{\partial}^{\star}\bar{\partial}$  and the  $\partial$ -Laplacian  $\Delta' = [\partial, \partial^{\star}] = \partial\partial^{\star} + \partial^{\star}\partial$ . This, in turn, induces the following Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano-type identity (cf. [Dem84]) in which the first-order terms have been absorbed in the twisted Laplace-type operator  $\Delta'_{\tau} := [\partial + \tau, (\partial + \tau)^{\star}]$ :

$$\Delta'' = \Delta_{\tau}' + T_{\omega},\tag{109}$$

where  $T_{\omega} := \left[\Lambda, \left[\Lambda, \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \omega\right]\right] - \left[\partial \omega \wedge \cdot, (\partial \omega \wedge \cdot)^{\star}\right]$  is a zeroth order operator of type (0, 0) associated with the torsion of  $\omega$ . Formula (109) is obtained from (108) via the following identities (cf. [Dem84] or [Dem97, VII, §.1]) which have an interest of their own:

(i) 
$$[L, \tau] = 3 \partial \omega \wedge \cdot$$
, (ii)  $[\Lambda, \tau] = -2i \bar{\tau}^*$ ,  
(iii)  $[\partial, \bar{\tau}^*] = -[\partial, \bar{\partial}^*] = [\tau, \bar{\partial}^*]$ , (iv)  $-[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\tau}^*] = [\tau, (\partial + \tau)^*] + T_\omega$ . (110)

Note that (iii) yields, in particular, that  $\partial$  and  $\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\tau}^*$  anti-commute, hence by conjugation,  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial^* + \tau^*$  anti-commute, i.e.

$$[\partial, \bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\tau}^*] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\bar{\partial}, \partial^* + \tau^*] = 0.$$
 (111)

#### References

[BS76] L. Boutet de Monvel, J. Sjöstrand — Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö — Soc. Math. de France, Astérisque **34-35** (1976), 123-164.

[Del68] P. Deligne — Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales — Publ. Math. IHES **35** (1968), 107-126.

[Dem 84] J.-P. Demailly — Sur l'identité de Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano en géométrie hermitienne — Séminaire d'analyse P. Lelong, P. Dolbeault, H. Skoda (editors) 1983/1984, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1198, Springer Verlag (1986), 88-97.

[Dem 96] J.-P. Demailly — Théorie de Hodge  $L^2$  et théorèmes d'annulation — in "Introduction à la théorie de Hodge", J. Bertin, J.-P. Demailly, L. Illusie, C. Peters, Panoramas et Synthèses 3, SMF (1996).

[Dem 97] J.-P. Demailly — Complex Analytic and Algebraic Geometry—http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/books.html

[MS74] A. Melin, J. Sjöstrand — Fourier Integral Operators with Complex-Valued Phase Functions — in "Fourier Integral Operators and Partial Differential Equations", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Vol. **459** (1974), 120-223.

[Pop13] D. Popovici — Deformation Limits of Projective Manifolds Hodge Numbers and Strongly Gauduchon Metrics — Invent. Math. 194 (2013), 515-534.

[Raw77] J.H. Rawnsley — On the Cohomology Groups of a Polarisation and Diagonal Quantisation — Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **230** (1977) 235- 255.

[Rei58] B. Reinhart — Harmonic Integrals on Almost Product Manifolds — Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958) 243-276.

[Rei59] B. Reinhart — Foliated Manifolds with Bundle-Like Metrics — Ann. of Math. (2) **69** (1959) 119-132.

[Voi02] C. Voisin — Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry, I — **76** (2002), Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. (Translated from the French original by L. Schneps.)

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France Email: popovici@math.univ-toulouse.fr