

Role of liver AMPK and GCN2 kinases in the control of postprandial protein metabolism in response to mid-term high or low protein intake in mice

Tristan Chalvon-Demersay, Claire C. Gaudichon, Joanna Moro, Patrick Even, Nadezda Khodorova, Julien Piedcoq, Benoit Viollet, Julien Averous, Anne-Catherine Maurin, Daniel Tomé, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Tristan Chalvon-Demersay, Claire C. Gaudichon, Joanna Moro, Patrick Even, Nadezda Khodorova, et al.. Role of liver AMPK and GCN2 kinases in the control of postprandial protein metabolism in response to mid-term high or low protein intake in mice. European Journal of Nutrition, 2023, 62 (1), pp.407-417. 10.1007/s00394-022-02983-z . hal-04157343

HAL Id: hal-04157343 https://hal.science/hal-04157343v1

Submitted on 10 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Role of liver AMPK and GCN2 kinases in the control of protein metabolism in response
2	to postprandial and/or long-term effects of dietary protein intake
3	
4	Tristan Chalvon-Demersay ¹ , Claire Gaudichon ¹ , Joanna Moro ¹ , Patrick C. Even ¹ , Nadezda Khodorova ¹ ,
5	Julien Piedcoq ¹ , Benoit Viollet ² , Julien Averous ³ , Anne-Catherine Maurin ³ , Daniel Tomé ¹ , Marc
6	Foretz ² , Pierre Fafournoux ³ and Dalila Azzout-Marniche ¹
7	
8	¹ UMR PNCA, AgroParisTech, INRAE, Université Paris-Saclay, Paris, 75005, France
9	
10 11	² Université de Paris, Institut Cochin, CNRS, INSERM, F-75014 Paris, France
12	³ UMR 1019 Nutrition Humaine, INRAE, Université Clermont 1, Centre de Clermont-Ferrand-Theix,
13	63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France
14	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Corresponding author: Dalila Azzout-Marniche, UMR PNCA, AgroParisTech 16 rue Claude Bernard F-75005 Paris, France Telephone 33-1-44087244 Fax: 33-1-44081858 E-mail: <u>dalila.azzout-marniche@agroparistech.fr</u>
24	

- 25 AMPK: AMP-activated kinase
- 26 ASR: absolute synthesis rate
- 27 Atf4: activating transcription factor 4
- 28 Ddit3: DNA damage-inducible transcript 3
- 29 eIF2 α : eukaryotic initiation factor 2α
- 30 FSR: fractional protein synthesis rate
- 31 GCN2: general control non-derepressible 2
- 32 HP: high-protein
- 33 KO: knock-out
- 34 LP: low-protein
- 35 mTOR: mammalian target of rapamycin
- 36 NP: normo-protein
- 37 P: protein content
- 38 P20: 20% protein diet
- 39 Trib3: tribbles homolog 3
- 40 TSC1: tuberous sclerosis complex 1
- 41 TSC2: tuberous sclerosis complex 2
- 42 ULK1: Unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase
- 43 WT: wild-type
- 44

45 Abstract

46 Purpose

47 Protein synthesis and proteolysis are known to be controlled through mTOR (mammalian target of
48 rapamycin), AMPK (AMP-activated kinase) and GCN2 (general control non-derepressible 2) pathways,
49 depending on the nutritional condition. This study aimed at investigating the contribution of liver AMPK
50 and GCN2 on the adaptation to high variations in protein intake.

51 *Methods*

To evaluate the answer of protein pathways to high or low protein diet, male wild-type mice and genetically modified mice from C57BL/6 background with liver-specific AMPK- or GCN2-knockout were fed from day 25 diets differing in their protein level as energy: LP (5%), NP (14%) and HP (54%). Two hours after a 1 g test meal, protein synthesis rate was measured after a ¹³C valine flooding dose. The gene expression of key enzymes involved in proteolysis and GNC2 signaling pathway were quantified.

58 Results

The HP diet but not the LP diet was associated with a decrease in fractional synthesis rate (FSR) by 29% in the liver compared to NP diet. The expression of mRNA encoding ubiquitin and Cathepsin D was not sensitive to the protein content. The deletion of AMPK or GCN2 in the liver did not affect nor protein synthesis rates and neither proteolysis markers in the liver or in the muscle, whatever the protein intake. In the postprandial state, protein level alters protein synthesis in the liver but not in the muscle.

Taken together, these results suggest that liver AMPK and GCN2 are not involved in this adaptation tohigh and low protein diet observed in the postprandial period.

67

Keywords : protein synthesis; proteolysis; knock-out mice; high-protein diet; low protein diet; liver
 69
 70

Introduction

71

The question of the adequate protein content in the diet to satisfy metabolic functions and sustain protein turnover has been extensively debated regarding the metabolic adaptation to low or high protein diets [1, 2]. Among them, protein fluxes are of interest as key determinants of organ protein pools.

75 Protein synthesis and proteolysis are two highly controlled processes influenced by amino acid availability. Studies have reported that feeding a low-protein diet for 15 days decreased protein synthesis 76 77 in the muscle of male rats in the fed state [3, 4]. Similarly, a protein deficient diet is associated with decreased protein synthesis in the fed state in the liver, the intestine and the skin of pigs of both sex [5]. 78 79 Yoshizawa et al. have shown that compared to a 20% protein diet, refeeding male Sprague-Dawley rats with a protein-free diet is associated with a 40% decrease in protein synthesis rate in the muscle and in 80 81 the liver [6]. Inversely, increasing protein content to a certain extent can stimulate protein synthesis, but this process rapidly reaches maximum levels and paradoxical effects were observed with high protein 82 83 diets. Indeed, increasing the amount of protein in the diet from 12.7 to 20.7% for 14 days increased protein synthesis rate in the liver, kidney, pancreas and muscle in male and female piglets during the 84 postprandial period [7]. However, the effects of very high-protein diets on protein synthesis are more 85 variable. In the muscle, some studies have shown that high protein diets had no effect on muscle protein 86 87 synthesis in the fasted or fed states in rats [8] or could decrease it after adaptation periods of 21 or 30 days [9, 10]. In visceral organs, especially in the liver, high protein diet was reported to lower protein 88 synthesis rate in fasted and fed states in rats adapted for 14 days to the diet [11, 12] but the mechanisms 89 are not elucidated. Concerning proteolysis, feeding rats for two weeks low [3, 4] or high protein diets 90 91 [11, 13] decreased the activity of ubiquitin-proteasome, cathepsin and caspase systems in rodents in the fed state. In these adaptation processes, the liver plays a central role in the channeling of amino acids in 92 93 energy and protein pathways.

The availability of AA in tissues is known to interfere with several kinases that upregulate or downregulate protein synthesis and proteolysis. The two kinases AMPK (AMP-activated kinase) and GCN2 (general control non-derepresible 2) are involved in the control of protein synthesis and proteolysis. GCN2 was first identified as a stress kinase involved in amino acid deficiency signaling. When the availability of one or several amino acid decreases, GCN2, in turn, phosphorylates the

- 99 eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (eIF 2α) which leads to the blockade of translation initiation and protein 100 synthesis [14].
- Whereas AA deficiency activates GCN2, it was shown to downregulate mTOR, probably through AMPK pathway [15]. In addition, AMPK integrates signals related to energy availability in the cell like glucose and glycogen concentrations leading to its inhibition [16, 17].
- 104 While the role of mTOR has been extensively studied, the role of AMPK and GCN2 in the control of
- 105 protein synthesis and proteolysis in response to the variation of dietary protein level is less documented.
- 106 Especially, the role of the hepatic sensing remains unknown. In order to evaluate the contribution of
- 107 liver AMPK and GCN2 on the adaptation of protein synthesis to protein intake modulation, wild-type
- 108 mice and genetically modified mice with liver-specific AMPK- or GCN2-knockout, were fed diets
- 109 differing in their protein content and different parameters related to protein synthesis and metabolism
- 110 were examined.
- 111

112

Methods

- The study was approved by the French National Animal Care Committee (number 14-15) and conformed
 to the European legislation on the use of laboratory animals.
- 115 Animals

116 In total, 102 males, 27 wild-type AMPK mice (WT-AMPK) and 23 AMPK-KO liver specific mice (KO-

AMPK) and 27 wild-type GCN2 mice (WT-GCN2) and 25 GCN2-KO liver specific mice (KO-GCN2), were generated in the light and temperature-controlled animal facility of AgroParisTech (12:12 h reversed light/dark cycle, lights on from 9:00 pm to 9:00 am, 24 °C, 55% humidity). To obtain AMPK-KO liver specific mice, C57BL/6 albumin-Cre mice were crossed with mice bearing floxed AMPK α 2. Then, offspring were crossed with mice bearing floxed AMPK α 1. To obtain GCN2-KO liver specific mice, C57BL/6 albumin-Cre mice were crossed with mice bearing floxed GCN2. Specific genomic deletions were confirmed using and genotyping and Western-blots protein analysis.

Breeder mice were conventionally housed, were fed *ad libitum* a diet containing 20% of protein throughout the test and had freely access to tap water. Pups were weaned from dams at the age of 25 days and placed in single cage at the start of the experiment. Cages were covered with woodchips and enriched with a cardboard tube and red plastic housing.

128

Study Design

Two independent studies related to the liver specific gene deletion of AMPK or GCN2 were conducted. 129 Mice were fed for three weeks on a normo-protein diet (NP, 14 % as energy) as a run-in prior to the test. 130 Mice were then randomly allocated to the same NP diet or switched on low-protein (LP, 5% as energy) 131 132 or high-protein (HP, 54 % as energy) diet during another three-week period constituting the testperiod.Diets were isoenergetic by increasing the protein content at the expense of carbohydrate (Table 133 1). The protein content of the HP diet was chosen accordingly to that used in studies from our group 134 [18, 19] and others [9, 20] allowing inter-studies comparisons. The LP content in protein of 5 % 135 corresponds to a sufficient but not too severe deficiency at which adaptations of protein metabolism 136 were observed [3, 21]. A period of three weeks is considered sufficient for protein metabolism to adapt 137 to the protein level in the diet [18, 22, 23]. During this period, food intake and body weight were 138

- followed on a daily basis. At the end of the test-period, after a twelve hour fast starting from 7:00 pm, mice were fed a calibrated meal of 1g of their test-diet at 7:00 am and returned to their home cage. One hour and forty minutes later after making sure meal was consumed totally, mice were injected i.p. with ¹³C-valine (150 µmol/100 g body weight), as already implemented in mice [24] and in pigs [25]. Twenty minutes later, they were killed with an overdose of pentobarbital (50mg/kg). Sampling were therefore performed two hours after meal onset around 9:00 am.
 Samples of liver and quadriceps muscle were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80°C
- 146 for further protein synthesis rate measurement. Other samples of liver and quadriceps muscle were
- 147 placed in TRIzol (Invitrogen) and frozen at -80°C for PCR analysis.
- 148

149

Measurements of in vivo protein synthesis

Protein content (P_{content}) was estimated from total nitrogen content on lyophilized samples of liver and 150 quadriceps muscle using an elemental analyzer (Vario Micro Cube, Elementar, Lyon, France) and 151 atropine as a standard. The ¹³C-valine enrichments in free and protein-bound amino acids in liver and 152 153 quadriceps muscle were determined as previously described [19]. Briefly, after homogenization in liquid nitrogen, the tissue was deproteinized with 10 % 5-sulfosalicylic acid solution. The supernatant was 154 collected for the determination of the ¹³C-valine enrichment in free amino acids and the protein pellet 155 was hydrolyzed (6M HCl at 110 °C for 48h) to obtain individual amino acids from protein. Free and 156 157 protein-bound amino acids were purified through cation-exchange resin (AG 50X8, 100-200 mesh, BioRad) using 4M NH₄OH as elution solution. Free amino acids were analyzed as tert-158 butyldimethylsilyl derivatives by GC/MS using a GC 6890N gas chromatograph coupled to a MS 5973N 159 (Agilent Technologies) operated in selective ion monitoring mode (ions at m/z 288 and 289) after 160 161 electron impact ionization. Protein-bound amino acids were analyzed after N-acetylpropyl derivatization [26] by gas chromatography-combustion-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-c-IRMS) using a GC 162 7890B (Agilent Technologies) coupled via GC5 interface with an IRMS (Isoprime, Manchester, UK) 163 The fractional protein synthesis rate (FSR, %/day) in tissues was calculated according to the following 164 165 formula:

$$FSR = \frac{E_{protein-bound value} - E_{basal}}{E_{free value} \times t_{inc}} \times 100$$

where $E_{\text{protein-bound value}}$ and $E_{\text{free value}}$ are the protein-bound and free ¹³C value enrichments in the tissues and t_{inc} is the incorporation time of ¹³C-value.

169 The absolute synthesis rate (ASR, g/d) was determined as $ASR = FSR \times P$, where P is tissue total 170 protein content.

171 **RNA preparation and gene expression and biochemical measurement**172 RNA preparation and gene expression measurement in the liver and in muscle followed the same
173 protocol described previously in [27]. We measured the expression of genes involved in proteolysis
174 (*Cathepsin D, Ulk1* and *Ubiquitin*) and in GCN2 signaling pathway (*Gcn2, Ddit3, Trib3* and *Atf4*). The

- primers used for qPCR are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. Data are expressed relatively to the values
- 176 of the WT mice fed the NP diet.

177 Statistical analysis

178	Data are presented as means \pm SEM. The effects of the diets and genotype were tested by two-way
179	ANOVA with interaction using R [®] software. Pairwise comparisons were performed with Post-hoc
180	Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, p-values were multiplied by the number of comparisons
181	performed. Differences were considered significant at P <0.05.

183 **Results**

184

Food intake, body weight, tissue mass and composition

In the AMPK study, final body weight was affected by the protein content in the diet (P<0.05) but it was not correlated to food intake. Indeed, mice fed the NP diet weighed more than HP and LP-diets fed mice (Table 2). No effect of neither the genotype nor the protein content was observed in the GCN2 study (P>0.05). Interestingly, final body weight and liver mass were lower in KO-AMPK mice compared to WT-AMPK mice (P<0.05).

190 Cumulative food intake increased with the decrease in protein content of the diet in the two experiments

so that food intake of LP-diet fed mice was 1.8-fold the one of HP-diet fed mice (P<0.0001, Table 2).

192 There was an effect of the AMPK deletion on feed intake as shown by the increased food intake in mice

193 fed the NP diet. GCN2 deletion had no effect on food intake (P<0.05, Table 2).

In the two experiments, weight and protein concentration in liver increased in parallel with the increase in protein content of the diet (P<0.05) whereas muscle weight and protein content were affected neither by the protein intake nor by the genotype (P>0.05, Table 2).

197

Post-prandial tissue protein synthesis rates

Before euthanasia, mice were all fed a calibrated meal of 1g, in order to overcome the differences on energy intake that could have impacted protein synthesis rates. We also made sure that the pellet was ingested within 30 minutes after meal onset.

201 First, our results showed that the fractional synthesis rate (FSR) was more than ten times higher in the liver than in the muscle (Figure 1). There was an effect of the protein content of the diet that decreased 202 203 the protein anabolism in the liver for low and high protein content, compared to NP diet (P < 0.05). Liver FSR decreased by 29% in HP fed mice compared to NP fed mice (P<0.05, Figure 1A). Additionally, in 204 the GCN2 study, liver FSR tended to be lower (P<0.06) in LP fed mice compared to NP fed mice. 205 Similarly, there was a trend (P=0.07, AMPK study) or an effect (P<0.05, GCN2 study) of the protein 206 diet on the ASR that was numerically the lowest in the HP and LP groups (Figure 1C). The effect was 207 especially marked in the GCN2 experiment where LP fed mice, because of their smaller liver weight 208 and protein concentration, exhibited a significant decrease in ASR compared to NP fed mice (P<0.05). 209

Protein synthesis rate in the muscle was insensitive to dietary protein level (P>0.05, Figure 1B-1D). No
effect of liver GCN2 or AMPK deletion was noticed on both FSR and ASR in the liver or in the muscle
(Figure 1).

213

Postprandial gene expression involved in proteolysis

214 We have investigated the effect of protein intake on two markers of autophagy in the postprandial state,

215 Cathepsin D and ULK1 and one marker of ubiquitin proteasome pathway, Ubiquitin.

On the basis on mRNA results, gene expression in the liver remained insensitive to genotype and protein 216 level (P>0.05) except in the GCN2 experiment where liver Cathepsin D and ULK1 expressions were 217 inversely related to the protein content of the diet (P<0.001 and P<0.05, respectively, Table 3). In 218 219 particular, Cathepsin D mRNA abundance was higher in the LP group compared to the two other groups (P<0.001, Table 3). Gene expression in the muscle was neither affected by the deletion of hepatic AMPK 220 or GCN2 nor by the protein content of the diet (P>0.05, Table 3). Interestingly, in the liver the expression 221 222 levels of Atf4 (encoding activating transcription factor 4 – ATF4), Ddit3 (encoding DNA damage-223 inducible transcript 3 - CHOP) and Trib3 (encoding tribbles homolog – TRB3), were lower in GCN2 KO mice under the LP diet compared with WT mice (Table 4). In the AMPK experiment, the level of 224 expression of these genes responded mainly to the difference in protein level and exhibited an increased 225 expression in response to LP diet. Interestingly, the deletion of AMPK tended to increase GCN2 226 227 expression (P=0.06) and increased significantly Atf4 expression in the liver (P<0.01, Table 4).

228

Postprandial plasma urea and albumin:

- In the two experiments, plasma urea was higher in HP fed mice in the postprandial state (P<0.01)
- whereas no genotype effect was observed (P>0.05), Table 5). No effect of the deletion or protein level
- of the diet was observed for plasma albumin (P>0.05, Table 5).

232 Discussion

The purpose of this study was to explore the mechanisms of adaptation to low and high protein diet 233 regarding liver protein metabolism. For this purpose, WT mice and mice in which AMPK or GCN2 was 234 deleted in the liver were fed during three weeks with low, normo- and high protein diets. We observed 235 236 that, in contrast to muscle, the protein content of the diet affected liver mass and composition as well as protein synthesis rate. Indeed, the consumption of a HP diet was associated with a marked decrease in 237 FSR by 29% compared to NP diet. Surprisingly, the deletion of AMPK or GCN2 in the liver did not 238 affect postprandial protein metabolism markers (lean mass, FSR, gene encoding for proteolysis) in the 239 liver or in the muscle, whatever the protein content in the diet. 240

Our results on the effect of a HP diet are in line with our previous works in rats that reported that the 241 consumption of HP diet lowered protein synthesis rates in the liver but did not affect muscle protein 242 turnover [11, 12]. Moreover, we have reported that the main metabolic response to HP diet concerned 243 244 the stimulation of AA oxidation, leading to a large rise in urea production [18]. Using ¹⁵N-¹³C dietary amino acids, the dietary AA cumulative deamination 4h after meal was reported to be about 8 times 245 higher in rats adapted to HP than in those adapted to NP diet and the dietary AA cumulative oxidation 246 was doubled in HP rats in comparison with NP rats [28]. Accordingly, in the present study we have also 247 248 observed that plasma urea was increased in HP conditions. Thus, because of the rapid saturation of protein anabolic process [18], the excess of dietary amino acids provided by HP diet were deaminated 249 and further oxidized. 250

In the present study as in previous ones, we observed both a decrease in protein anabolic rate in the liver 251 252 and an increase in protein content in the liver. We previously explained this paradox by a higher decrease of proteolysis fluxes in the postprandial state after a HP diet compared to NP in rats. This was associated 253 with a decrease in Cathespin D and Ubiquitin expression in the liver [29]. In other studies, a LP diet 254 was associated with a decrease in caspase and proteasome systems in the muscle [3, 4] and an increase 255 in autophagy in the liver [30]. In our work, the proteolysis indicators that we studied were mostly 256 257 insensitive to dietary protein content and genotype with the exception of Cathepsin D in LP-fed mice and ULK1 in HP-fed mice in the GCN2 experiment. This observation together with the downward trend 258

of protein synthesis in LP diet could explain why these mice exhibited an important decrease in their
 liver protein content.

LP diet intake is known to decrease tissue protein synthesis [3–5]. Consistently, we observed such an 261 262 effect in the GCN2 study, where LP diet intake tended to decrease FSR compare to NP and had a negative effect on ASR in the liver, without any effect on muscle protein synthesis rate. This effect was 263 less marked in the AMPK study. We have no logic explanation for the differences between the WT mice 264 of both studies, except the lab origin of the mice that differs. In the present experiment, no effect of the 265 protein level of the diet was observed for plasma albumin, suggesting that the protein deficiency was 266 not too severe. Perhaps a longer dietary intervention would have resulted in more severe effects as LP 267 diets are usually associated with decrease in plasma protein content [5]. 268

We did not observe any effect of the deletion of AMPK or GCN2 on protein synthesis rate. Although 269 270 surprising, these results can be explained by the experimental conditions as animals were studies in the 271 fed state. First, we can hypothesize that in the postprandial state, because of the load of amino acids and energy provided by the meal, both kinases are not highly phosphorylated and activated in the liver of 272 WT mice. In this context, it is not possible to observe any difference in protein synthesis rate between 273 274 WT and genetically modified mice. Moreover, it has been reported that GCN2 is activated when the diet is deprived in indispensable amino acids (-leucine or – tryptophan) [31]. Although we explored a low 275 protein level, the amino acid concentration after a 1g meal may be not low enough to induce the 276 activation state of these stress kinase. Second, we can also hypothesize that adaptation mechanisms 277 278 compensate for the KO of GCN2 and AMPK. Indeed, GCN2 is known to mediate the different effects 279 of a LP diet [32]. It was reported that KO-GCN2 mice do not exhibit any increase in food intake and energy expenditure in the first days after the introduction of a LP diet compared to WT mice [32]. The 280 same team reported that in KO-GCN2 mice, two weeks after being on a LP diet, an adaptation 281 282 mechanism compensated for the absence of GCN2 and restored the effects of a low protein diet on food intake and energy expenditure [33]. In our study, KO-GCN2 mice were fed during three weeks on a LP 283 284 diet and this adaptation mechanism could have taken place. This might explain for the absence of any difference on protein synthesis rates and proteolysis between WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice despite 285 286 the fact that KO-GCN2 failed to induce the expression of Atf4, Ddit3 and Trib3 in response to LP diet. However, in our study, the difference in food intake between the groups was visible from the start of the experiment suggesting that food intake regulation is not controlled by GCN2 at the liver level.

289

290 We acknowledge that our study has some limitations. First, we failed to report the level of phosphorylation and activation of the different pathways including the mTOR pathway due to the too 291 long time of sample storing before performing the analysis. Second, AMPK and GCN2 are sensitive to 292 deficiency in energy and amino acids, respectively. These situations are most likely to occur when 293 animals are in the fasted state. As our measurements were performed in the postprandial period, it is 294 possible that this could not allow to reveal the involvement of these pathways in long-term regulations 295 to the modulation of diet composition. Additional measurements performed during the fasted state could 296 have overcome this issue. 297

298

In conclusion, our study could not evidence any effect of GCN2 or AMPK deletion on protein metabolism in mice fed different protein levels. The modulation of protein content in diet showed consistent effects with those already reported on LP and HP diet, especially on the protein FSR in the liver, whereas the expression of genes involved in proteolysis were not altered. During the postprandial period, liver AMPK and GCN2, did not appear to play a major role on the control of the rate of protein synthesis in the liver and in the muscle, suggesting that in the fed state, these two kinase do not play an important role in the control protein metabolism in response to amino acid excess or deprivation.

306 Authors' contributions:

307 B.V., A-C.M., P.F., M.F supplied the mice models. T-C.D., D.A.M., D.T., P.C.E, C.G. designed the

study. T.C.D., P.C.E., D.A.M., J.P., J.M., N.K. conducted research. T.C.D. D.A.M., D.T., P.F, M.F.

- 309 interpreted the data. T.C.D., D.A.M. wrote the manuscript. T-C.D. and D.A.M. had primary
- 310 responsibility for final content. All authors have read and approved the manuscript submission.

311

314 This work was supported by UMR PNCA

315 **Conflict of interest**

316 All authors have no conflict of interest.

317 Ethics approval

- 318 This study was conducted in accordance to the European directive for the use and care of laboratory
- animals (2010/63/UE), and received the agreement of French National Animal Care Committee (number
- 320 14-15).

- 322 **Table 1** Macronutrient composition of the diets. Diets were prepared by the "atelier de préparation des
- 323 aliments", UPAE, INRA, Jouy en Josas, France. Energy density is computed assuming a

324 metabolizable energy of 16.7 kJ/g for carbohydrates and proteins and 37.7 kJ/g for fat.

325

	P20	NP	LP	HP
Weight content				
(g/kg)				
Milk proteins	200	140	50	530
Starch	570	622	700	287
Sucrose	93	100	113	46
Soy Oil	40	40	40	40
Minerals	35	35	35	35
Vitamins	10	10	10	10
cellulose	50	50	50	50
choline	2	2	2	2
Energy content (%)				
Protein	20.5	14.5	5.2	54.6
Carbohydrate	68.5	75.0	84.0	34.9
Fat	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
Energy density (kcal/g)	3.48	3.48	3.47	3.49

326

327 Diets were prepared by the "atelier de préparation des aliments," UPAE, INRA, Jouy en Josas, France.

Energy density is computed assuming a metabolizable energy of 4.00 kcal/g for carbohydrates and proteins and 9.00 kcal/g for fat. HP, high-protein diet; LP, low-protein diet; NP, normo-protein diet; P20

330 : 20% protein diet.

Table 2 Initial and final body weight, cumulative food intake, tissue mass and protein content of WT-AMPK, KO-AMPK, WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice fed NP, LP or HP diets for three weeks.

Diet			NP PK KO-AMPK		Ι	Р	Н	P		Construe	Tost dist	Interaction	
Genotype		WT-AMPK			WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК		WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК		Genotype	Test ulet	Interaction
	Initial body weight (g)	13.87 ± 0.62	14.43 ± 0.46		14.28 ± 0.41	13.61 ± 0.47		14.20 ± 0.40	14.15 ± 0.34		NS	NS	NS
	Final body weight (g)	24.74 ± 0.41	23.7 ± 0.66	a	22.93 ± 0.32	22.29 ± 0.34	b	23.79 ± 0.71	23.14 ± 0.73	ab	< 0.05	< 0.05	NS
	Cumulative food intake (g)	71.8 ± 1.3	$79.1 \pm 1.9 *$	a	93.4 ± 1.20	94.4 ± 2.1	b	54.1 ± 1.4	53.6 ± 1.6	с	< 0.05	< 0.0001	NS
Liver	Weight (g)	1.00 ± 0.02	0.88 ± 0.07	ab	0.92 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.02	a	1.07 ± 0.05	0.97 ± 0.04	b	< 0.05	< 0.05	NS
	mg of protein/100 mg of tissue	17.58 ± 0.76	17.39 ± 0.45	a	16.29 ± 0.58	15.99 ± 0.65	a	19.67 ± 0.68	18.48 ± 0.6	b	NS	< 0.001	NS
Muscle	Weight (g)	0.36 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.01		0.34 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01		0.34 ± 0.01	0.34 ± 0.01		NS	NS	NS
Widsele	mg of protein/100 mg of tissue	19.74 ± 0.59	20.71 ± 0.41		19.66 ± 0.4	20.75 ± 0.53		20.17 ± 0.52	19.83 ± 0.72		NS	NS	NS
Genotype		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2				
	Initial body weight (g)	13.99 ± 0.38	14.58 ± 0.38		14.79 ± 0.33	13.95 ± 0.29		14.68 ± 0.58	14.05 ± 0.46		NS	NS	NS
	Final body weight (g)	23.17 ± 0.48	23.54 ± 0.55		22.07 ± 0.44	21.60 ± 0.58		22.67 ± 0.78	22.56 ± 0.79		NS	NS	NS
	Cumulative food intake (g)	68.26 ± 3.16	70.93 ± 2.83	a	93.64 ± 2.74	88.45 ± 3.16	b	54.26 ± 2.58	52.37 ± 3.80	с	NS	< 0.0001	NS
Liver	Weight (g)	0.87 ± 0.04	0.87 ± 0.04	а	0.75 ± 0.05	0.72 ± 0.06	b	1.00 ± 0.06	1.00 ± 0.06	a	NS	< 0.0001	NS
	mg of protein/100 mg of tissue	20.3 ± 0.88	18.8 ± 0.64	a	16.58 ± 0.69	16.46 ± 0.53	b	19.85 ± 0.45	19.31 ± 0.94		NS	< 0.0001	NS
Muscle	Weight (g)	0.39 ± 0.01	0.4 ± 0.01		0.37 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.01		0.38 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01		NS	NS	NS
wiuscie	mg of protein/100 mg of tissue	22.35 ± 0.72	22.25 ± 0.45		20.52 ± 0.84	20.46 ± 0.65		22.17 ± 0.84	20.22 ± 0.03		NS	NS	NS

Data are mean \pm SEM (n =7-9).

a,b,c Different letters within a line mean statistically different values between test diets (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

* Significant difference between WT and KO within the same diet (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

Diet	NP			Ι	JP		Н	Р	Construng	Tost dist	Interaction	
Genotype	WT-AMPK		КО-АМРК		WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК		WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК	Genotype		i est ulet
	Cathepsin D	1 ± 0.08	1.14 ± 0.08		0.98 ± 0.06	0.96 ± 0.08		1.15 ± 0.11	1.04 ± 0.04	NS	NS	NS
Liver	ULK1	1 ± 0.09	1.11 ± 0.11		1.16 ± 0.11	1.17 ± 0.13		1.01 ± 0.09	1.03 ± 0.10	NS	NS	NS
	Ubiquitin	1 ± 0.09	1.22 ± 0.10		1.06 ± 0.09	0.94 ± 0.13		1.04 ± 0.09	0.99 ± 0.07	NS	NS	NS
Muscle	Cathepsin D	1 ± 0.05	0.8 ± 0.06		0.85 ± 0.04	0.91 ± 0.07		0.98 ± 0.06	0.95 ± 0.05	NS	NS	NS
Muscle	Ubiquitin	1 ± 0.17	1.05 ± 0.08		1.14 ± 0.04	1.27 ± 0.08		1.17 ± 0.10	1.28 ± 0.07	NS	NS	NS
Genotype		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2			
	Cathepsin D	1 ± 0.10	0.97 ± 0.07	а	1.54 ± 0.28	2.08 ± 0.31	b	0.86 ± 0.13	1.22 ± 0.21	^a NS	< 0.001	NS
Liver	ULK1	1 ± 0.13	1.02 ± 0.12		1.05 ± 0.11	1.32 ± 0.16		0.88 ± 0.15	0.79 ± 0.15	NS	< 0.05	NS
	Ubiquitin	1 ± 0.34	0.79 ± 0.06		0.93 ± 0.14	1.17 ± 0.14		0.97 ± 0.22	1.29 ± 0.19	NS	NS	NS
Muselo	Cathepsin D	1 ± 0.12	0.81 ± 0.02		0.73 ± 0.04	1.20 ± 0.24		1.10 ± 0.37	0.90 ± 0.06	NS	NS	NS
Muscle	Ubiquitin	1 ± 0.11	0.83 ± 0.05		0.81 ± 0.03	0.91 ± 0.06		0.96 ± 0.15	0.73 ± 0.05	NS	NS	NS

Table 3 mRNA abundance of Cathepsin D, ULK1 and Ubiquitin in liver and muscle of WT-AMPK, KO-AMPK, WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice fed NP, LP or HP diets two hours after meal onset in the postprandial state.

Data are mean \pm SEM (n =7-9).

a,b,c Different letters within a line mean statistically different values between test diets (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

Table 4 mRNA abundance in liver of WT-AMPK, KO-AMPK, WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice fed NP, LP or HP diets two hours after meal onset in the postprandial state.

Diet		N	P	Ι	LP			Р		Genotype	Test diet	Interaction
Genotype		WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК	WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК		WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК		Genotype	i est ulet	Interaction
	GCN2	1 ± 0.14	1.32 ± 0.09	1.28 ± 0.10	1.63 ± 0.14		1.41 ± 0.13	1.28 ± 0.13		0.06	0.06	NS
	CHOP	1 ± 0.25	$1.40\pm0.23~^a$	1.70 ± 0.25	1.83 ± 0.17	b	1.21 ± 0.29	0.93 ± 0.15	a	NS	< 0.05	NS
	TRB3	1 ± 0.10	$2.18\pm0.27~^a$	11.9 ± 3.93	11.1 ± 9.66	b	1.34 ± 0.19	0.98 ± 0.19	a	NS	< 0.0001	NS
	ATF4	1 ± 0.11	$1.76\pm0.15~^{ab}$	1.51 ± 0.12	1.62 ± 0.16	a	1.18 ± 0.14	1.19 ± 0.09	b	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05
Genotype		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2	WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2		WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2				
	GCN2	1 ± 0.23	0.16 ± 0.02	0.84 ± 0.09	0.25 ± 0.03		0.92 ± 0.14	0.20 ± 0.04		< 0.0001	NS	NS
	CHOP	1 ± 0.16	$0.90\pm0.08~^a$	7.28 ± 2.11	$1.33\pm0.29*$	b	1.27 ± 0.21	1.69 ± 0.28	a	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
	TRB3	1 ± 0.10	$0.96\pm0.17~^a$	35.1 ± 12.4	$2.23\pm0.88*$	b	1.20 ± 0.42	2.80 ± 1.19	a	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
	ATF4	1 ± 0.18	0.98 ± 0.08	2.15 ± 0.43	$1.09\pm0.15*$		1.25 ± 0.46	1.17 ± 0.14		0.08	0.07	NS

Data are mean \pm SEM (n =7-9).

A,B,C Different letters within a line mean statistically different values between test diets (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

* Significant difference between WT and KO within the same diet (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

Table 5 Plasma urea and albumin of WT-AMPK, KO-AMPK, WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice fed NP, LP or HP diets two hours after meal onset in the postprandial state.

Diet	Ν	Р	L	P	H	IP	Genotype	Test diet	Interaction
Genotype	WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК	WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК	WT-AMPK	КО-АМРК	Genotype	I est ulet	Inter action
Plasma Urea (mmol/l)	14.56 ± 0.8	12.92 ± 0.67 ^a	9.34 ± 0.63	9.51 ± 0.62	^b 22.19 ± 0.91	25.16 ± 2.43	° NS	< 0.0001	NS
Plasma Albumine (g/l)	27.54 ± 0.83	25.3 ± 1.37	25.42 ± 0.8	26.35 ± 0.79	26 ± 1.33	26.12 ± 1.35	NS	NS	NS
Genotype	WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2	WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2	WT-GCN2	KO-GCN2			
Plasma Urea (mmol/l)	15 ± 1.88	17.09 ± 1.94 ^a	15.66 ± 1.76	11.04 ± 1.77	^b 27.46 ± 3.07	29.4 ± 4.77	^b NS	< 0.001	NS
Plasma Albumine (g/l)	27.99 ± 4.9	31.66 ± 4.23	27.65 ± 2.54	24.72 ± 4	28.53 ± 3.65	29.1 ± 4.37	NS	NS	NS

Data are mean \pm SEM (n =7-9).

^{a,b,c} Different letters within a line mean statistically different values between test diets (post hoc Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05).

References

- Morrison CD, Laeger T (2015) Protein-dependent regulation of feeding and metabolism. Trends Endocrinol Metab TEM 26:256–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2015.02.008
- Hursel R, Martens EAP, Gonnissen HKJ, et al (2015) Prolonged Adaptation to a Low or High Protein Diet Does Not Modulate Basal Muscle Protein Synthesis Rates - A Substudy. PloS One 10:e0137183. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137183
- 3. Batistela E, Pereira MP, Siqueira JT, et al (2014) Decreased rate of protein synthesis, caspase-3 activity, and ubiquitin–proteasome proteolysis in soleus muscles from growing rats fed a low-protein, high-carbohydrate diet. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 92:445–454. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjpp-2013-0290
- 4. dos Santos MP, Batistela E, Pereira MP, et al (2016) Higher insulin sensitivity in EDL muscle of rats fed a low-protein, high-carbohydrate diet inhibits the caspase-3 and ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic systems but does not increase protein synthesis. J Nutr Biochem 34:89–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2016.04.008
- 5. Wykes LJ, Fiorotto M, Burrin DG, et al (1996) Chronic low protein intake reduces tissue protein synthesis in a pig model of protein malnutrition. J Nutr 126:1481
- Yoshizawa F, Kimball SR, Vary TC, Jefferson LS (1998) Effect of dietary protein on translation initiation in rat skeletal muscle and liver. Am J Physiol-Endocrinol Metab 275:E814–E820
- Deng D, Yao K, Chu W, et al (2009) Impaired translation initiation activation and reduced protein synthesis in weaned piglets fed a low-protein diet. J Nutr Biochem 20:544–552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2008.05.014
- Chevalier L, Bos C, Gryson C, et al (2009) High-protein diets differentially modulate protein content and protein synthesis in visceral and peripheral tissues in rats. Nutr Burbank Los Angel Cty Calif 25:932–939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2009.01.013
- 9. Masanes R, Fernandez-Lopez JA, Alemany M, et al (1999) Effect of dietary protein content on tissue protein synthesis rates in Zucker lean rats. Nutr Res 19:1017–1026

- Taillandier D, Guezennec C-Y, Patureau-Mirand P, et al (1996) A high protein diet does not improve protein synthesis in the nonweight-bearing rat tibialis anterior muscle. J Nutr 126:266–272
- Chevalier L, Bos C, Gryson C, et al (2009) High-protein diets differentially modulate protein content and protein synthesis in visceral and peripheral tissues in rats. Nutr Burbank Los Angel Cty Calif 25:932–939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2009.01.013
- Chevalier L, Bos C, Azzout-Marniche D, et al (2010) Dietary protein regulates hepatic constitutive protein anabolism in rats in a dose-dependent manner and independently of energy nutrient composition. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 299:R1720-1730. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00497.2010
- Chotechuang N, Azzout-Marniche D, Bos C, et al (2011) Down-regulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome proteolysis system by amino acids and insulin involves the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin pathways in rat hepatocytes. Amino Acids 41:457–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0765-2
- Dever TE, Hinnebusch AG (2005) GCN2 whets the appetite for amino acids. Mol Cell 18:141–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2005.03.023
- Thomson A, Smart K, Somerville MS, et al (2019) The Ussing chamber system for measuring intestinal permeability in health and disease. BMC Gastroenterol 19:98. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-019-1002-4
- Janzen NR, Whitfield J, Hoffman NJ (2018) Interactive Roles for AMPK and Glycogen from Cellular Energy Sensing to Exercise Metabolism. Int J Mol Sci 19:3344. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113344
- Rothschild JA, Islam H, Bishop DJ, et al (2021) Factors Influencing AMPK Activation During Cycling Exercise: A Pooled Analysis and Meta-Regression. Sports Med Auckl NZ. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01610-x
- Morens C, Gaudichon C, Metges CC, et al (2000) A High-Protein Meal Exceeds Anabolic and Catabolic Capacities in Rats Adapted to a Normal Protein Diet. J Nutr 130:2312– 2321. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.9.2312

- Vidal-Lletjós S, Khodorova NV, Piscuc M, et al (2021) Tissue-specific effect of colitis on protein synthesis in mice: impact of the dietary protein content. Eur J Nutr 60:1669–1677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02365-3
- 20. Petzke KJ, Elsner A, Proll J, et al (2000) Long-term high protein intake does not increase oxidative stress in rats. J Nutr 130:2889–2896. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.12.2889
- Schutt AK, Blesson CS, Hsu JW, et al (2019) Preovulatory exposure to a protein-restricted diet disrupts amino acid kinetics and alters mitochondrial structure and function in the rat oocyte and is partially rescued by folic acid. Reprod Biol Endocrinol RBE 17:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-019-0458-y
- Chevalier L, Bos C, Gryson C, et al (2009) High-protein diets differentially modulate protein content and protein synthesis in visceral and peripheral tissues in rats. Nutr Burbank Los Angel Cty Calif 25:932–939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2009.01.013
- Stepien M, Azzout-Marniche D, Even PC, et al (2016) Adaptation to a high-protein diet progressively increases the postprandial accumulation of carbon skeletons from dietary amino acids in rats. Am J Physiol-Regul Integr Comp Physiol 311:R771–R778. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00040.2016
- Welle S, Bhatt K, Pinkert CA (2006) Myofibrillar protein synthesis in myostatin-deficient mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 290:E409-415. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00433.2005
- Bregendahl K, Yang X, Liu L, et al (2008) Fractional protein synthesis rates are similar when measured by intraperitoneal or intravenous flooding doses of L-[ring-2H5]phenylalanine in combination with a rapid regimen of sampling in piglets. J Nutr 138:1976–1981. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/138.10.1976
- Corr LT, Berstan R, Evershed RP (2007) Optimisation of derivatisation procedures for the determination of delta13C values of amino acids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. 21:3759–3771
- 27. Chalvon-Demersay T, Even PC, Tomé D, et al (2016) Low-protein diet induces, whereas high-protein diet reduces hepatic FGF21 production in mice, but glucose and not amino acids up-regulate FGF21 in cultured hepatocytes. J Nutr Biochem 36:60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2016.07.002

- 28. Fromentin C, Azzout-Marniche D, Tomé D, et al (2011) The postprandial use of dietary amino acids as an energy substrate is delayed after the deamination process in rats adapted for 2 weeks to a high protein diet. Amino Acids 40:1461–1472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0756-3
- 29. Chotechuang N, Azzout-Marniche D, Bos C, et al (2011) Down-regulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome proteolysis system by amino acids and insulin involves the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin pathways in rat hepatocytes. Amino Acids 41:457–468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-010-0765-2
- Henagan TM, Laeger T, Navard AM, et al (2016) Hepatic autophagy contributes to the metabolic response to dietary protein restriction. Metabolism 65:805–815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2016.02.015
- Guo F, Cavener DR (2007) The GCN2 eIF2alpha kinase regulates fatty-acid homeostasis in the liver during deprivation of an essential amino acid. Cell Metab 5:103–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.01.001
- 32. Laeger T, Henagan TM, Albarado DC, et al (2014) FGF21 is an endocrine signal of protein restriction. J Clin Invest 124:3913–3922. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI74915
- Laeger T, Albarado DC, Burke SJ, et al (2016) Metabolic Responses to Dietary Protein Restriction Require an Increase in FGF21 that Is Delayed by the Absence of GCN2. Cell Rep 16:707–716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.06.044

Fig. 1 Fractional synthesis rate (FSR) and absolute synthesis rate (ASR) in liver (**A** and **C**) and muscle (**B** and **D**) in WT-AMPK, KO-AMPK, WT-GCN2 and KO-GCN2 mice fed NP, LP or HP diets. ^{a,b,c} Different letters within a line mean statistically different values between test diets (post hoc Bonferonni tests for multiple comparisons, P<0.05). Data are mean \pm SEM (n =7-9).