

## LGG-1/GABARAP lipidation is not required for autophagy and development in Caenorhabditis elegans

Romane Leboutet, Céline Largeau, Leonie Müller, Magali Prigent, Grégoire Quinet, Manuel S Rodriguez, Marie-Hélène Cuif, Thorsten Hoppe, Emmanuel Culetto, Christophe Lefebvre, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Romane Leboutet, Céline Largeau, Leonie Müller, Magali Prigent, Grégoire Quinet, et al.. LGG-1/GABARAP lipidation is not required for autophagy and development in Caenorhabditis elegans. eLife, In press, 10.7554/eLife.85748. hal-04157249

### HAL Id: hal-04157249 https://hal.science/hal-04157249

Submitted on 10 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

and development in Caenorhabditis elegans         and development in Caenorhabditis elegans         Romane Leboutet <sup>1,2</sup> , Céline Largeau <sup>1,2</sup> , Leonie Müller <sup>3</sup> , Magali Prigent <sup>1,2</sup> , Grégoire Quinet <sup>4</sup> ,         Manuel S. Rodriguez <sup>4</sup> , Marie-Hélène Cuif <sup>1,2</sup> , Thorsten Hoppe <sup>3,5</sup> , Emmanuel Culetto <sup>1,2</sup> ,         Christophe Lefebvre <sup>1,2</sup> and Renaud Legouis <sup>1,2*</sup> 1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         1         2         1         1         2         1         2         1         2         2         1         2         1         2
<ul> <li>Romane Leboutet<sup>1,2</sup>, Céline Largeau<sup>1,2</sup>, Leonie Müller<sup>3</sup>, Magali Prigent<sup>1,2</sup>, Grégoire Quinet<sup>4</sup>,</li> <li>Manuel S. Rodriguez<sup>4</sup>, Marie-Hélène Cuif<sup>1,2</sup>, Thorsten Hoppe<sup>3,5</sup>, Emmanuel Culetto<sup>1,2</sup>,</li> <li>Christophe Lefebvre<sup>1,2</sup> and Renaud Legouis<sup>1,2*</sup></li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Romane Leboutet<sup>1,2</sup>, Céline Largeau<sup>1,2</sup>, Leonie Müller<sup>3</sup>, Magali Prigent<sup>1,2</sup>, Grégoire Quinet<sup>4</sup>,</li> <li>Manuel S. Rodriguez<sup>4</sup>, Marie-Hélène Cuif<sup>1,2</sup>, Thorsten Hoppe<sup>3,5</sup>, Emmanuel Culetto<sup>1,2</sup>,</li> <li>Christophe Lefebvre<sup>1,2</sup> and Renaud Legouis<sup>1,2,*</sup></li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Romane Leboutet<sup>1,2</sup>, Céline Largeau<sup>1,2</sup>, Leonie Müller<sup>3</sup>, Magali Prigent<sup>1,2</sup>, Grégoire Quinet<sup>4</sup>,</li> <li>Manuel S. Rodriguez<sup>4</sup>, Marie-Hélène Cuif<sup>1,2</sup>, Thorsten Hoppe<sup>3,5</sup>, Emmanuel Culetto<sup>1,2</sup>,</li> <li>Christophe Lefebvre<sup>1,2</sup> and Renaud Legouis<sup>1,2*</sup></li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Christophe Lefebvre<sup>1,2</sup> and Renaud Legouis<sup>1,2*</sup></li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>1) Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC),</li> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2) INSERM U1280, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.</li> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3) Institute for Genetics and Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in</li> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC)-CNRS, UPS, 31400, Toulouse, France.</li> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>5) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University</li> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.</li> <li>*Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18</li> <li>19 *Correspondence: renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22 Abstract</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>*Correspondence: <u>renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr</u></li> <li>Abstract</li> </ul>
20 21 22 Abstract
21 22 Abstract
22 Abstract
23 The ubiquitin-like proteins Atg8/LC3/GABARAP are required for multiple steps of autophagy,
such as initiation, cargo recognition and engulfment, vesicle closure and degradation. Most
25 of LC3/GABARAP functions are considered dependent on their post-translational
26 modifications and their association with the autophagosome membrane through a
27 conjugation to a lipid, the phosphatidyl-ethanolamine. Contrarily to mammals. <i>C. elegans</i>
28 possesses single homologs of LC3 and GABARAP families, named LGG-2 and LGG-1. Using
29 site-directed mutagenesis, we inhibited the conjugation of LGG-1 to the autophagosome
30 membrane and generated mutants that express only cytosolic forms, either the precursor or
31 the cleaved protein. LGG-1 is an essential gene for autophagy and development in <i>C</i> .
<i>elegans</i> , but we discovered that its functions could be fully achieved independently of its
33 localization to the membrane. This study reveals an essential role for the cleaved form of
34 IGG-1 in autophagy but also in an autophagy-independent embryonic function. Our data
35 question the use of linidated GABARAP/LC3 as the main marker of autonhagic flux and
36 highlight the high plasticity of autophagy
37
38
39 Keywords: Atg8 IC3 GABARAP linidation ubiquitin-like di-glycine <i>Celegans</i>

40 development, CRISPR-Cas9, mitophagy, aggrephagy

41

#### 42 Introduction

43

Macroautophagy is a highly dynamic vesicular degradation system that sequesters 44 intracellular components in double membrane autophagosomes and delivers them to the 45 46 lysosome (Klionsky et al., 2021). Upon induction, the successive recruitment of protein complexes triggers the phosphorylation of lipids, the transfer of lipids from various 47 reservoirs, the recognition of cargoes, the tethering and the fusion (Galluzzi et al, 2017; 48 Nakatogawa, 2020). One of the key players is the ubiquitin-like protein Atg8, which in yeast 49 is required for several steps during autophagy, such as initiation, cargo recognition and 50 51 engulfment, and vesicle closure (Kirisako et al., 2000; Knorr et al., 2014; Kraft et al., 2012; Nakatogawa et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2008). There are seven isoforms of Atg8 homologs in 52 humans defining two families, the MAP-LC3 (abbreviated as LC3A-a, LC3A-b, LC3B, LC3C) and 53 the GABARAP (GABARAP, GABARAPL1, GABARAPL2) (Shpilka et al., 2011). LC3/GABARAP 54 55 proteins could have both similar and very specific functions during the autophagic flux (Alemu et al., 2012; Grunwald et al., 2020; Joachim et al., 2015; Lystad et al., 2014; Pankiv et 56 57 al., 2007; Weidberg et al., 2010). LC3/GABARAP proteins can bind numerous proteins 58 through specific motifs (LIR, LC3 interacting Region) and their interactomes are only partially 59 overlapping (Behrends et al., 2010).

60 The pleiotropy of Atg8/LC3/GABARAP proteins in multiple cellular processes (Galluzzi and Green, 2019; Schaaf et al., 2016) entangles the study of their specific functions in human 61 62 (Nguyen et al., 2016). Moreover, a series of post-translational modifications, similar to the ubiquitin conjugation, is involved in the membrane targeting of Atg8/LC3/GABARAP 63 64 proteins. These proteins are initially synthesized as a precursor (P), then cleaved at their Cterminus after the invariant Glycine 116 (form I), and eventually conjugated to 65 phosphatidylethanolamine (form II) at the membrane of autophagosomes (Figure 1A) 66 (Kabeya et al., 2004, 2000; Scherz-Shouval et al., 2003). Structural analyses have shown that 67 LC3/ GABARAP can adopt an open or close configuration (Coyle et al., 2002). In addition, 68 69 several other post-translational modifications have been reported, like phosphorylation 70 (Cherra et al., 2010; Herhaus et al., 2020; Wilkinson et al., 2015), deacetylation (Huang et al., 71 2015) ubiquitination (Joachim et al., 2017) and oligomerization (Chen et al., 2007; Coyle et 72 al., 2002), whose effects on LC3/GABARAP function and localization are largely unknown. 73 The subcellular localization of Atg8/LC3/GABARAP proteins is either diffuse in the cytosol 74 and nucleus, or associated to the membrane of various compartments or the cytoskeleton (Schaaf et al., 2016). 75

Due to such a versatile and pleiotropic repertoire, it is of particular interest to address the level of redundancy and specificity, including tissue specificity, of the various LC3/GABARAP members, and the possible functions of the forms P and I. In the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the presence of single homologs of LC3 and GABARAP, called respectively LGG-2 and LGG-1, represents an ideal situation to characterize their multiple functions (Chen et al., 2017; Leboutet et al., 2020).

82 The structure of LGG-1/GABARAP and LGG-2/LC3 is highly conserved (Wu et al., 2015) and 83 both proteins are involved in autophagy processes during development, longevity and stress (Alberti et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2021; Meléndez et al., 2003; 84 Samokhvalov et al., 2008). In particular, the elimination of paternal mitochondria upon 85 fertilization, also called allophagy (Al Rawi et al., 2011; Sato and Sato, 2011), has become a 86 87 paradigm for dissecting the molecular mechanisms of selective autophagy (Djeddi et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2016). Genetic analyses indicated that LGG-1 and LGG-2 do not have 88 similar functions in autophagy (Alberti et al., 2010; Jenzer et al., 2019; Manil-Ségalen et al., 89 2014; Wu et al., 2015). During allophagy, LGG-1 is important for the recognition of 90 ubiquitinated cargoes through interaction with the specific receptor ALLO-1 (Sato et al., 91 92 2018) and the formation of autophagosomes, whereas LGG-2 is involved in their maturation into autolysosomes and trafficking (Djeddi et al., 2015; Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014). LGG-1 93 and LGG-2 are also differentially involved during physiological aggrephagy in embryo, with 94 temporal-specific and cargo-specific functions (Wu et al., 2015). Based on the presence of 95 96 LGG-1 and LGG-2, three populations of autophagosomes have been described in *C. elegans* embryo: the major part are LGG-1 only, but LGG-2 only and double positives 97 autophagosomes are also present (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015). Moreover, 98 99 LGG-1 is essential for embryonic and larval development, while LGG-2 is dispensable.

Using CRISPR-Cas9 editing, we investigated the functions of the non-lipidated cytosolic forms of LGG-1/GABARAP for bulk autophagy, mitophagy and aggrephagy, but also during starvation and longevity as well as apoptotic cell engulfment and morphogenesis. Here, we demonstrate that the non-lipidated form (LGG-1 I), but not the precursor form (LGG-1 P), is sufficient to maintain LGG-1 functions during development and aging. The cleavage of LGG-1 into form I is essential for autophagosome initiation and biogenesis while form II is involved in cargo recognition and autophagosome degradation.

107

108

109 Results

110

#### 111 The G116G117 di-Glycine motif is a substrate for cleavage of LGG-1 precursor

112 The LGG-1 protein is highly conserved from residue 1 to residue 116, sharing 92% and 74% 113 similarity with the human GABARAP and the yeast Atg8, respectively (Manil-Ségalen et al., 114 2014). However, the GEVEKKE C-terminus of LGG-1 is unusual by its length and the presence of a non-conserved glycine residue in position 117 (Figure 1B, Figure 1- figure supplement 115 116 1). As consistent with other *Caenorhabditis* species as well as several nematodes and 117 arthropods, the presence of a C-terminal di-glycine is reminiscent of other ubiquitin-like 118 proteins such as SUMO and Nedd8 (Cappadocia and Lima, 2018; Jentsch and Pyrowolakis, 119 2000). These specificities raise the possibility that the C-terminus could confer particular 120 functions to the precursor and the cleaved form.

To analyze the functions of LGG-1 P and LGG-1 I, a CRISPR-Cas9 approach was used to substitute the conserved glycine 116 by an alanine, and to generate three specific *lgg-1* 

mutants with various C-terminus (Figure 1B). In theory, both lgg-1(G116A) and lgg-123 124 1(G116AG117A) mutants were expected to accumulate a P form due to the blockage of its cleavage by ATG-4 (Wu et al., 2012). Alternatively, the *lqg-1(G116AG117\*)* mutant should 125 126 produce a form I. Five supplementary lqq-1 frameshift mutants were isolated during the 127 CRISPR experiments, resulting in deletion/insertion at the C-terminus (Figure 1B and Figure 128 1- figure supplement 1). Among them, *lgg-1(* $\Delta$ *C100-123)* and *lgg-1(* $\Delta$ *C112-123)* have been 129 used in the present study. The allele *lgg-1(tm3489)*, which deletes 48% of the open reading 130 frame, was used as a negative control (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014) as it is considered as a null 131 mutant, and thereafter noted  $lgg-1(\Delta)$ .

132 To assess whether lgg-1(G116A), lgg-1(G116AG117A) and lgg-1(G116AG117\*) alleles code 133 for a precursor and form I, respectively, we performed a western blot analysis with two 134 different LGG-1 antibodies (Al Rawi et al., 2011; Springhorn and Hoppe, 2019)(Figure 1C). In basal conditions the wild-type LGG-1 was mainly present as form I (13.9 kDa) with a low 135 136 amount of the faster migrating form II and no detectable precursor (14,8 kDa)(Figure 1C), while no band was observed in the allele *lgg-1(tm3489)* confirming that it is a *bona fide* null 137 mutant. While the *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* mutant presented a major form I, the *lgg-1(G116A)* 138 139 mutant accumulated both the expected precursor form and form I. This indicated that the 140 cleavage of the LGG-1(G116A) precursor was still present although less efficient. In both 141 mutants, an unexpected minor form was observed migrating differently from the lipidated 142 form II, which was no longer detected. The lqq-1(G116AG117A) mutant accumulated the precursor form (96% of the protein) indicating that the cleavage observed in the LGG-143 1(G116A) was dependent on the presence of a second glycine in position 117. 144

The respective protein substitutions were further confirmed by mass spectrometry analyses after affinity purification of LGG-1(G116A) and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) (Figure 1- figure supplement 2). The identification of C-terminal peptides validated the expected precursor form in LGG-1(G116A) and its cleavage after A116, and confirmed A116 as the last residue in LGG-1(G116AG117\*). These latter forms are called hereafter "cleaved form" and "truncated form", respectively.

151

#### 152 Glycine 116 is essential for lipidation of LGG-1 after cleavage

To confirm western blot analyses, we next performed immunofluorescence in the embryo to 153 154 analyze the subcellular localization of LGG-1 protein from the various alleles. At the 1 cell-155 stage and around 100 cells-stage, two selective autophagy processes have been well 156 characterized, removing paternal mitochondria and maternal aggregates, respectively (Al 157 Rawi et al., 2011; Sato and Sato, 2011; Zhang et al., 2009). The punctate staining, observed in the wild-type animals (Figure 1D) with two independent anti-LGG-1 antibodies, was 158 159 characteristic for the autophagosomes formed during each process, and was absent in the 160  $lgq-1(\Delta)$  mutant (Figure 1E). The five mutants lgq-1(G116A),  $lgq-1(G116AG117^*)$ ,  $lgqq-1(G116AG117^*)$ , 161 1(G116AG117A),  $Igg-1(\Delta C100-123)$  and  $Igg-1(\Delta C112-123)$  presented no puncta but a diffuse 162 cytosolic staining. (Figure 1F-K), indicating that neither the precursor nor the form I are able 163 to conjugate to the autophagosome membrane. The increase of the diffuse signal in lgg164 *1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos (Figure 1L) suggests that the protein is less 165 degraded in these mutants. Moreover, no LGG-1(G116A) puncta were observed after 166 depleting the tethering factor EPG-5 compared to the strong accumulation of puncta in LGG-167 1(wt) (Figure 1- figure supplement 2) (Tian et al., 2010).

168 We performed cellular fractionation of membrane vesicles to test whether LGG-1(G116A) 169 and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) are associated with autophagosomes. Compared with ER resident SEL-1 or ER-associated CDC-48, the LGG-1(wt) protein was detected in both the cytosolic 170 171 fraction and the membrane pellet and could only be extracted with high salt or the 172 detergent Triton X-100. In contrast to LGG-1(wt), both LGG-1(G116A) and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) were absent in the membrane pellet fraction (Figure 1M), suggesting 173 174 defective lipidation of both LGG-1 mutant proteins. In an alternative approach, we observed the localization of overexpressed GFP::LGG-1 and GFP::LGG-1(G116A) (Manil-Ségalen et al., 175 176 2014) after induction of autophagic flux by acute heat stress (aHS) (Chen et al., 2021; Kumsta 177 et al., 2017). After aHS, GFP::LGG-1 formed numerous puncta that further accumulated 178 when autolysosome formation was impaired by depletion of RAB-7 or EPG-5 (Figure 1- figure supplement 3). In contrast, in GFP::LGG-1(G116A), puncta were not reduced under any 179 180 condition. Electron microscopy and immunogold labeling confirmed that GFP::LGG-1 was 181 frequently detected to autophagosome membranes (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014), whereas GFP::LGG-1(G116A) was rarely detected in association with autophagosomes and in these 182 183 rare cases was predominantly localized in the lumen (Figure 1- figure supplement 3). Taken 184 together, these results suggest that the G116A mutation does not allow conjugation of LGG-185 1 to the autophagosome membrane despite its cleavage. LGG-1(G116AG117A) represents 186 only a precursor form and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) only a truncated form, whereas LGG-187 1(G116A) produces both a precursor and a cleaved form. This allele series provides an ideal 188 situation to study the respective roles of the precursor and form I in absence of lipidated form II. 189

190

#### 191 The essential function of LGG-1 during development is dependent of its cleavage but not 192 its conjugation

The developmental phenotypes of the mutants lgg-1(G116A), lgg-1(G116AG117A) and  $lgg-1(G116AG117^*)$  were explored in embryo, larvae and adults and compared with  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  and wild-type animals (Figure 2). We confirmed that  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  homozygous animals present a massive lethality during late embryogenesis or first larval stage (Figure 2B, H) (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014). However, few escapers, circa 8% of the progeny, were able to reach adulthood and reproduce, allowing to maintain a  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  homozygous population.

199 Neither lgg-1(G116A) nor  $lgg-1(G116AG117^*)$  homozygous animals presented any 200 observable defect in development (Figure 2C, D, H) or adulthood and they reproduced at a 201 similar rate compared to wild-type animals (Figure 2I). In contrast, lgg-1(G116AG117A) and 202 the five independent mutants harboring various deletions and frameshifts of the C-terminus 203 presented a very strong lethality with the characteristic embryonic phenotype of  $lgg-1(\Delta)$ 204 animals (Figure 2E-H). Among them  $lgg-1(\Delta 112-123)$  presented a premature stop codon at

- 205 position 112 and two others a frameshift in position 114 leading to an extension of the C-206 terminus (Figure 2 and Figure 1- figure supplement 1).
- These data indicate that the cleaved LGG-1(G116A) and the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*) 207
- forms, but not the precursor, are sufficient to recapitulate the normal development and 208
- 209 viability, independently of membrane conjugation. These data suggest that cleavage of the C-terminus is necessary for LGG-1 developmental functions.
- 210
- 211

#### 212 Autophagy is functional in LGG-1(G116A)

- 213 To address the functionality of LGG-1 precursor and form I, we analyzed autophagy-related processes that have been well characterized during C. elegans life cycle (Leboutet et al., 214 215 2020). Selective autophagy was studied in the early embryo, where a stereotyped mitophagy 216 process occurs. The degradation of selective cargos was observed in live embryos using 217 specific labeling of the paternal mitochondria (HSP-6::GFP and mitoTracker, Figure 3A-F and 218 Figure 3- figure supplement 1). In  $lgq-1(\Delta)$  animals, the cargos accumulated while they were 219 degraded in the wild-type situation. In *lgg-1(G116A)*, but neither in *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* nor 220 in *lgg-1(G116AG117A)* mutants, paternal mitochondria were degraded, suggesting that the 221 LGG-1(G116A) protein maintained autophagic activity.
- 222 Bulk autophagy was then studied by starvation of the first stage larvae (Figure 3G). While 223 lgq-1(G116AG117\*) and lgq-1(G116AG117A) mutants displayed a marked decrease of survival, *lqg-1(G116A)* mutants showed no difference compared the wild-type animals. 224 Moreover, the longevity of adults, which depends on bulk autophagy, was similar for lgq-225 1(G116A) and wild-type animals (Figure 3H), but strongly reduced for lgg-1(G116AG117\*) 226 227 mutants.
- 228 The autophagic capacity of LGG-1(G116A) protein, but not LGG-1(G116AG117\*) or LGG-229 1(G116AG117A), was further documented by the elimination of apoptotic corpses in the 230 embryo (Figure 3I, and Figure 3- figure supplement 1) (Jenzer et al., 2019).
- Overall, these data demonstrate that, despite its defect to localize to autophagosomes, LGG-231 232 1(G116A) achieves both selective and bulk autophagy during physiological and stress conditions. This is the first in vivo evidence that the autophagy functions of LGG-1/GABARAP 233 can be uncoupled from its membrane conjugation. The non-functionality of LGG-234 235 1(G116AG117A) suggests that the precursor form is not responsible of LGG-1(G116A) 236 autophagy activity. Despite an identical protein sequence, the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*) is not functional in autophagy, indicating that the cleavage of the C-237 terminus from the precursor is essential for the functionality of LGG-1(G116A). Moreover, 238 the normal development of lqg-1(G116AG117\*) animals demonstrates that the 239 developmental functions of LGG-1 are independent of its autophagic functions. Interestingly, 240 241 the expression in S. cerevisiae of LGG-1(wt) and LGG-1(G116A), but not LGG-1(G116AG117\*), slightly improved the nitrogen starvation survival of  $atg8\Delta$  mutant (Supplementary data and 242 Figure 3- figure supplement 2), suggesting that the LGG-1(G116A) retains a partial autophagy 243 244 functionality in the yeast.

245

#### Autophagy but not developmental functions of LGG-1(G116A) partially depends on LGG-2

Our previous study has shown a partial redundancy of LGG-1 and LGG-2 during starvation survival, and longevity (Alberti et al., 2010), which raises the possibility of functional compensation of *lgg-1(G116A)* by LGG-2. To test this possibility, we used the large deletion mutant *lgg-2(tm5755)*, which is considered as a null (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014), and constructed the double mutant strains *lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*); lgg-2(tm5755)*.

Similar to the single mutants *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-2(tm5755)*, the double mutant *lgg-1(G116A)*; *lgg-2(tm5755)* animals were viable and presented no morphological defect (Figure 4A-F). These data indicate that the correct development of *lgg-1(G116A)* is not due to a compensative mechanism involving *lgg-2*.

- 257 Next, we analyzed the autophagy functions in *lqq-1(G116A); lqq-2(tm5755)* animals. If LGG-2 258 compensates for LGG-1(G116A) in autophagy, *lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755)* animals should 259 behave similarly to *lgg-1(G116AG117\*); lgg-2(tm5755)* (of note *lgg-1(Δ); lgg-2(tm5755)* 260 animals are not viable). The lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755) animals presented a decrease for 261 both survival to starvation and longevity compared to *lgg-1(G116A)* single mutant. However, 262 they survived better than *lgg-1(G116AG117\*); lgg-2(tm5755)* animals (Figure 4G, H). These 263 results indicate that the functionality of LGG-1(G116A) in bulk autophagy partially relies on 264 LGG-2. Selective autophagy during early embryogenesis was then quantitatively analyzed in the double mutant strains (Figure 4I-K). Surprisingly, paternal mitochondria were eliminated 265 in the *lqg-1(G116A); lqg-2(tm5755)* animals indicating that LGG-1(G116A) was sufficient for 266 267 the allophagy process. This suggests that paternal mitochondria could be degraded by autophagosomes devoid of both LGG-1 and LGG-2. However, a delay in the degradation was 268 269 observed compared to *lgg-1(G116A)* animals suggesting that the autophagy flux is reduced. 270 These results revealed a partial redundancy between LGG-1 and LGG-2 in autophagy, but 271 demonstrated at the same time that LGG-1(G116A) fulfills developmental functions and maintains some autophagy activity independent of LGG-2. 272
- Interestingly, this detailed analysis also revealed a slight delay in the elimination of paternal
  mitochondria in *lgg-1(G116A)* animals compared to wild-type (Figure 4K). Although the
  cleaved LGG-1 is sufficient for autophagy, this observation suggests that loss of membrane
  targeting could affect the dynamics of autophagy flux.
- 277

#### 278 The degradation of autophagosomes is delayed in LGG-1(G116A)

279 The autophagic flux and the dynamics of autophagosome formation were compared between lqg-1(G116A),  $lqg-1(G116AG117^*)$  and  $lqg-1(\Delta)$  animals. We first focused on the 280 281 early embryo where the autophagy process is stereotyped and the nature of the cargos and 282 the timing of degradation are well characterized. Moreover, the autophagosomes 283 sequestering the paternal mitochondria were clustered and positive for LGG-2 (Figure 5A)(Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014). In *lag-1(\Delta*) mutant, LGG-2 autophagosomes were not 284 285 detected as a cluster but were spread out in the whole embryo as single puncta that 286 persisted after the 15-cells stage (Figure 5B, E). This indicated that individual LGG-2

structures could be formed in absence of LGG-1, but were not correctly localized and not 287 288 degraded properly, presumably because of the role of LGG-1 in cargo recognition (Sato et al., 289 2018) and of its latter involvement in the maturation of autophagosomes, respectively. The pattern of LGG-2 was somehow different in lqg-1(G116A) and lqg-1(G116AG117\*) mutants, 290 291 forming sparse structures of heterogeneous size, which persisted longer (Figure 5C-F). These 292 data suggested that the cleaved and the truncated LGG-1 could both promote the recruitment of LGG-2 to autophagic structures, but display an altered autophagic flux. The 293 294 analysis of the colocalization between paternal mitochondria and LGG-2 did not reveal an 295 increase in lgg-1(G116A) or lgg-1(G116AG117\*) mutants (Figure 5G-J and Figure 5- figure 296 supplement 1). These data suggested that the elimination of paternal mitochondria in lag-297 1(G116A) animals was not due to the enhanced recruitment of LGG-2. A western blot 298 analysis of worm lysates indicated that there was no increase of LGG-2 expression in lgg-299  $1(\Delta)$ , lgq-1(G116A) and  $lgq-1(G116AG117^*)$  mutants (Figure 5K).

- 300 The autophagic structures in *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos were further 301 characterized by electron microscopy and compared with wild-type and  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  mutant 302 embryos (Figure 6). In wild-type animals, autophagosomes containing cytoplasmic materials 303 (referred as type 1) and the characteristic paternal mitochondria (Zhou et al., 2016) were 304 observed in early embryos (Figure 6A). At that stage, rare autophagosomes containing 305 partially degraded material were present (referred as type 2). As expected, almost no autophagosome was observed in  $lqq-1(\Delta)$  embryos and paternal mitochondria were non-306 307 sequestered (Figure 6B). In *lqq-1(G116A*) embryos, the numbers of type 1 and type 2 308 autophagosomal structures increased. The autophagosomes appeared to be closed and 309 contained various cellular materials and membrane compartments (Figure 6C-E). This 310 confirmed that LGG-1(G116A) was sufficient to form functional autophagosomes but with delayed degradation. On the other hand, lqg-1(G116AG117\*) embryos presented non-311 312 sequestered paternal mitochondria (Figure 6F) and multi-lamellar structures containing cytoplasm but no membrane organelles (type 3 Figure 6G, K). The analysis of the double 313 mutant strains lqq-1(G116A); lqq-2(tm5755) and lqq-1(G116AG117\*); lqq-2(tm5755) 314 315 revealed the presence of types 1 and 2 autophagosomes, but less frequent than in the single 316 *lag-1* mutants (Figure 6H-K). This data confirmed that LGG-1(G116A) alone was able to 317 initiate the formation of autophagosomes but less efficiently in absence of LGG-2. Type 3 structures were only observed in lgg-1(G116AG117\*) and lgg-1(G116AG117\*); lgg-318 2(tm5755), suggesting a neomorphic function of the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*) protein 319 320 that induced a non-functional compartment.
- Altogether, these data indicate that the cleaved, but not the truncated, LGG-1 form I is able to form functional autophagosomes with a delayed degradation.
- 323

### The lipidated LGG-1 is involved in the coordination between cargo recognition and

#### 325 autophagosome biogenesis.

To better understand the function of LGG-1 form I during autophagy flux, we next analyzed a developmental aggrephagy process (Figure 7). The Zhang lab has demonstrated that

aggregate-prone proteins are degraded through autophagy in *C. elegans* embryo through 328 329 liquid-liquid phase separation promoted by the receptor SEPA-1 and regulated by the scaffolding protein EPG-2 (Lu et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 330 331 2018, 2009). Initiation and elongation of autophagosomes were analyzed by quantifying the 332 colocalization between ATG-18/WIPI2 and LGG-2 (Figure 7A-E) during autophagosome 333 formation. ATG-18, the worm homolog of the omegasome marker WIPI2 (Polson et al., 2010), acts at an early step of biogenesis (Lu et al., 2011). Puncta labelled with ATG-18 only, 334 both ATG-18 and LGG-2, or LGG-2 only were considered as omegasomes, phagophores, and 335 autophagosomes, respectively. In *lag-1(RNAi)* animals the number of omegasomes increased 336 while the proportion of phagophore decreased compared to the wild-type embryos (Figure 337 338 7A, B, E). This indicates that the initiation of autophagy was triggered in absence of LGG-1. but the biogenesis of autophagosome was defective. *Igg-1(G116A)* animals showed no 339 difference with the wild-type (Figure 7C, E) supporting that both initiation and phagophore 340 341 extension are normal with the cleaved LGG-1. Similar to the *lqg-1(RNAi)*, *lqg-1(G116AG117\*)* 342 animals were defective in the phagophore extension (Figure 7D, E). These data confirmed that the cleaved LGG-1(G116A), but not the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*), is functional for 343 344 the early step of autophagosome biogenesis. Moreover, RNAi depletion demonstrated that 345 the function of LGG-1(G116A) in aggrephagy pathway was dependent on UNC-51/Ulk1 and the scaffolding protein EPG-2 (Supplementary data and Figure 7- figure supplement 1). 346

Quantification of SEPA-1::GFP in late embryo showed that *lgg-1(G116A)* mutant was able to
perform aggrephagy but not *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* or *lgg-1(G116AG117A)* mutants (Figure 7FK). However, the elimination was decreased compared to wild-type confirming that LGG1(G116A) was less efficient for selective cargo degradation.

351 Finally, the interactions between cargoes and autophagosomes were studied in lgq-352 1(G116A) mutant and wild-type embryos by analyzing the colocalization between SEPA-1 and LGG-1 or LGG-2. In wild-type embryos, immunofluorescence analyses showed the 353 354 presence of LGG-1 and LGG-2 autophagosomes in contact with SEPA-1 aggregates (Figure 7L, N). In Igg-1(G116A) embryos, LGG-2 positive autophagosomes were observed but no LGG-1 355 356 dots, in line with the absence of lipidation (Figure 7M, O). A part of LGG-2 puncta was 357 present close to SEPA-1 aggregates, however, they were less numerous and the overlap 358 between LGG-2 and SEPA-1 signals was weaker (Figure 7P). These data suggested that LGG-359 1(G116A) was able to maintain the function of LGG-1 for initiation and extension of 360 autophagosomes but was partially deficient for cargo sequestering.

Altogether, the analyses of LGG-1(G116A) indicate that many of the functions of LGG-1 in autophagy can be achieved by the cleaved, non-lipidated form I. However, the lipidation of LGG-1 appears to be important for the coordination between cargo recognition and autophagosome biogenesis and for the correct degradation of the autophagosome.

- 365 366
- 367 **Discussion**
- 368

The most surprising result of this study is the discovery that LGG-1(G116A) is functional for 369 370 many autophagy processes, covering physiological or stress conditions and selective or bulk autophagy. To our knowledge, it is the first report demonstrating that different autophagy 371 372 processes are fully achieved in vivo in a non-lipidated LC3/GABARAP mutant. In cultured cells, an elegant CRISPR strategy allowed to knock out together the six LC3/GABARAP 373 374 homologs, but point mutations of the conserved glycine have not been reported (Nguyen et al., 2016). Most of the studies on the terminal glycine used transgenic overexpression 375 376 constructs (Chen et al., 2007; Kabeya et al., 2004). Interestingly, one study reported that part 377 of the autophagy functions of GABARAPL1 is independent of its lipidation (Poillet-Perez et al., 2017). Several studies have used mutations in the conjugation machinery (Atg3, Atg5, 378 379 Atg7) or the Atg4 protease to analyze the role of the form I (Hill et al., 2019; Hirata et al., 2017; Nishida et al., 2009; Ohnstad et al., 2020; Vujić et al., 2021). A non-canonical 380 autophagy has been reported in Atg5, Atg7 mutants (Nishida et al., 2009), but blocking the 381 382 conjugation system presumably affects all LC3/GABARAP homologs. Moreover, the presence 383 of four homologs of Atg4 in mammals, which specificity versus LC3/GABARAP is unknown, 384 and the dual role in the cleavage of the precursor and the delipidation entangle the analysis 385 of the phenotypes.

386 Our data show no evidence for an intrinsic function of the LGG-1 precursor but the 387 importance of its active cleavage. This finding is not surprising because in many species the 388 Atg8 precursor is not detected, suggesting that the cleavage occurs very soon after or even during translation. Moreover, phylogenetic analyses of LC3/GABARAP show no conservation 389 390 in sequence and length of the C-terminus but the presence of at least one residue after the 391 conserved G116. The hypothesis of a selective constraint on the cleavage but not on the C-392 terminus sequence could explain the persistence of a precursor form. Further studies are 393 necessary to clarify the precise implication of the di-glycine G116G117 in the process.

394 Albeit a similar sequence, the difference of functionality between the cleaved LGG-1(G116A) 395 and the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*) suggests that the cleavage allows a first level of specificity for LGG-1 functions. The normal development of lgg-1(G116AG117\*) animals is 396 397 the first evidence that LGG-1 function in development relies on the cleavage but is independent of autophagy and conjugation. Our results could explain the embryonic 398 399 lethality reported upon depletion of the two Atg4 homologs precursors in C. elegans (Wu et 400 al., 2012). While the cleavage is sufficient for developmental functions, autophagy functions 401 of LGG-1 form I seem to require a further modification to be efficient. Our data suggest that 402 this modification is dependent on and possibly associated to the cleavage. The presence of a 403 new minority band for LGG-1(G116A) could reflect an intermediary transient processing 404 state but should not correspond to a functional form because it was also detected for LGG-405 1(G116AG117\*) and LGG-1(G116AG117A).

Our observations in yeast also support an autophagy independent function of Atg8 form I in
vacuolar shaping. Non-autophagic functions for LC3/GABARAP have been identified in yeast
and higher eukaryotes (Ishii et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2018; Schaaf et al., 2016; Wesch et al.,
2020), but the roles of the cytosolic forms are poorly documented especially in the context

of the development. The two Atg8 homologs of drosophila are involved in several developmental processes independently of canonical lipidation (Chang et al., 2013) or autophagy (Jipa et al., 2020). They are highly similar and both correspond to GABARAP homologs (Manil-Ségalen et al., 2014). It is possible that duplication of Atg8 during evolution allowed the acquisition of specific developmental functions by GABARAP proteins but reports in apicomplex parasites (Lévêque et al., 2015; Mizushima and Sahani, 2014) rather support a non-autophagy ancestral function of Atg8.

417 The major goal of this study was to bring new insights concerning the implication of LGG-1 418 form I in various steps of autophagy. Numerous studies identified interacting partners of 419 Atg8/LC3/GABARAP family during autophagy but its mechanistic function for 420 autophagosome biogenesis is still debated. In yeast, the amount of Atg8 regulates the level 421 of autophagy and controls phagophore expansion, but is mainly released from the 422 phagophore assembly site during autophagosome formation (Xie et al., 2008). In vitro 423 studies using liposomes or nanodiscs suggested that Atg8 is a membrane-tethering factor 424 and promotes hemifusion (Nakatogawa et al., 2007), membrane tubulation (Knorr et al., 425 2014), or membrane-area expansion and fragmentation (Maruyama et al., 2021). Another 426 study showed that Atg8–PE assembles with Atg12–Atg5-Atg16 into a membrane scaffold that is recycled by Atg4 (Kaufmann et al., 2014). A similar approach with LGG-1 supports a 427 role in tethering and fusion activity (Wu et al., 2015). In vivo, the functions of these proteins 428 429 could depend on their amount, their posttranslational modifications, and the local composition of the membrane. For instance, an excess of lipidation of the overexpressed 430 431 LGG-1 form I mediates the formation of enlarged protein aggregates and impedes the 432 degradation process (Wu et al., 2015). A recent report showed that the phosphorylation of 433 LC3C and GABARAP-L2 impedes their binding to ATG4 and influences their conjugation and 434 de-conjugation (Herhaus et al., 2020).

435 Our genetic data suggest that form I of LGG-1 is sufficient for initiation, elongation and 436 closure of autophagosomes but that lipidated LGG-1 is important for the cargo sequestering and the dynamics of degradation. However, the partial redundancy with LGG-2 is 437 438 presumably an important factor during these processes. If the main functions of LGG-1 reside in its capacity to bind multiple proteins, the localization to autophagosome 439 440 membrane through lipidation is an efficient but not unique way to gather cargoes and autophagy complexes. Furthermore, the possibility that non-positive LGG-1/LGG-2 441 442 autophagosomes could mediate cargo degradation questions the use of Atg8/GABARAP/LC3 443 family as a universal marker for autophagosomes. Overall, our results confirm the high level 444 of plasticity and robustness of autophagosome biogenesis.

445

#### 446

#### 447 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Fulvio Reggiori for yeast plasmids, and the Caenorhabditis
Genetic Center, which is funded by the NIH National Center for Research Resources (NCRR),
for strains. We are grateful to Laïla Sago and Virginie Redeker for help with mass

451 spectrometry. The present work has benefited from the facilities and expertise of the I2BC

452 proteomic platform (Proteomic-Gif, SICaPS) supported by IBiSA, Ile de France Region, Plan

453 Cancer, CNRS and Paris-Sud University as well as the core facilities of Imagerie-Gif, member

454 of IBiSA), supported by "France-BioImaging" and the Labex "Saclay Plant Science".

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (project EAT, ANR-12-BSV2-018), the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (SFI20111203826) and the Ligue contre le Cancer (MM). RoL received a fellowship from Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.

459

460

#### 461 **Declaration of interests**

- 462 The authors declare no competing interests
- 463
- 464

#### 465 Materials and Methods

466

Key Resources Table						
Reagent type	Designation	Source or	Identifiers	Additional information		
(species) or		reference				
resource						
gene ( <i>C.</i>	lgg-1	Wormbase	WBGene0			
elegans)			0002980			
strain, strain	N2	CGC		Wild-type strain		
background (C.						
elegans)						
genetic reagent	DA2123	CGC		adIs2122[gfp::lgg-1;rol-6(su1006)]		
(C. elegans)						
genetic reagent	GK1057	Sato and		Pspe-11-hsp-6::GFP		
(C. elegans)		Sato, 2011				
genetic reagent	HZ455	CGC		him-5(e1490) V; bpls131[sepa-1::gfp]		
(C. elegans)						
genetic reagent	HZ1685	CGC		atg-4.1(bp501)		
(C. elegans)						
genetic reagent	MAH247	CGC		sqls25[atg-18p::atg-18::gfp+rol-6(su1006) ]		
(C. elegans)						
genetic reagent	RD202	Legouis lab		Is202[unc-119(ed3)III;plgg-1::GFP::LGG-1 G-		
(C. elegans)				>A]		
genetic reagent	$lgg-1(\Delta)$	Mitani lab	NBRP:	lgg-1(tm3489)		
(C. elegans)			tm3489			
genetic reagent	lgg-2(tm5755)	Mitani lab	NBRP:	lgg-2(tm5755)		
(C. elegans)			tm5755			
genetic reagent	RD363 ; lgg-	This paper		lgg-1(pp22)dpy-10(pp157) Legouis lab		
(C. elegans)	1(∆112-123)					
genetic reagent	RD367 ;	This paper		lgg-1(pp65[G116A]) Legouis lab		

(C. elegans)	lgg-1(G116A)		
genetic reagent	RD368 ;	This paper	<i>lgg-1(pp66)</i> Legouis lab
(C. elegans)	lgg-1(∆100-123	)	
genetic reagent	RD420 ; lgg-	This paper	Igg-1(pp141[G116AG117stop]) Legouis lab
(C. elegans)	1(G116AG117*	)	_
genetic reagent	RD421 ; lgg-	This paper	dpy-10(pp163)lgg-1(pp142[G116AG117A])
(C. elegans)	1(G116AG117A	)	Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD425	This paper	dpy-10(pp163)lgg1(pp142)/+; SEPA-1::gfp
(C. elegans)			Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD435	This paper	lgg-1(pp141[G116AG117stop]) ; atg-18p::atg-
(C. elegans)			18::gfp+rol-6(su1006)] Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD436	This paper	lgg-1(pp65[G116A]); atg-18p::atg-18::gfp+rol-
(C. elegans)			6(su1006)] Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD440	This paper	lgg-1(pp141[G116AG117stop]) ; lgg-
(C. elegans)			2(tm5755) Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD446	This paper	lgg-1(pp65[G116A]); lgg-2(tm5755) Legouis
(C. elegans)			lab
genetic reagent	RD447	This paper	lgg-1(tm3489) ; atg-18p::atg-18::gfp+rol-
(C. elegans)			6(su1006)] Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD448	This paper	lgg-1(pp65[G116A]); SEPA-1::gfp Legouis
(C. elegans)			lab
genetic reagent	RD449	This paper	lgg-1(pp141[G116AG117stop]); SEPA-1::gfp
(C. elegans)			Legouis lab
genetic reagent	RD450	This paper	Igg-1(tm3489)II; SEPA-1::gfp Legouis lab
(C. elegans)			-
strain, strain	BY4742	Euroscarf	Mat alpha ura3 $\Delta$ 0, his3 $\Delta$ 1, leu2 $\Delta$ 0, lys2 $\Delta$ 0
background (S.			
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC513	ҮКО	BY4742, atg1::KanMX4
reagent (S.		collection	
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC612	үко	BY4742, atg8::KanMX4
reagent (S.		collection	
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC608-OC609	This paper	BY4742, atg8G116A Legouis lab
reagent (S.			
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC610-OC611	This paper	BY4742, atg8G116A-R117* Legouis lab
reagent (S.			
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC613	This paper	BY4742, pho8::pho8∆60-URA3KL Legouis
reagent (S.			lab
cerevisiae)			
genetic	OC614	This paper	BY4742, atg1::KanMX4, pho8::pho8∆60-
reagent (S.			URA3KL Legouis lab
cerevisiae)			

reagent (S. cerevisiae)       URA3KL Legouis lab         genetic reagent (S. cerevisiae)       OC616-OC617       This paper       BY4742, atg8G116A, pho8::pho8d60-URA3KL Legouis lab         genetic reagent (S. cerevisiae)       OC618-OC619       This paper       BY4742, atg8G116A.R117*, pho8::pho8d60- URA3KL Legouis lab         Strain strain background (E. coli)       OP50       CGC       See Material and Methods         genetic reagent (E. coli)       JA-C32D5.9       Open Biosystem       Igg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-C56C10.12       Open Biosystem       cpg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         genetic coli)       JA-Y55F3AM.4       Open Biosystem       atg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         genetic coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         reagent (E. coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       rab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         reagent (E. coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       cpg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         coli       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       cpg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         coli       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       cpg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         coli       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       cpg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         coli<	genetic	OC615	This paper	BY4742, atg8::KanMX4, pho8::pho8∆60-
cerevisiae) genetic reagent (S. cerevisiae)OC616-OC617 This paperThis paper Legouis labBY4742, atg8G116A, ph08::ph08∆60-URA3KL Legouis labgenetic reagent (S. cerevisiae)OC618-OC619 This paperThis paperBY4742, atg8G116A-R117*, ph08::ph08∆60- URA3KL Legouis labStrain strain background (E. cofi)OP50CGCsee Material and Methodsgenetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-C32D5.9 BiosystemOpen Biosystemlgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-C56C10.12 BiosystemOpen Biosystemetg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone; reagent (E. cofi)genetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-W55F3AM.4 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone; reagent (E. cofi)genetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone reagent (E. cofi)genetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone reagent (E. cofi)genetic reagent (E. cofi)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone reagent (E. cofi)genetic reagent (E. cofi)CrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015SrCUACCAUAGCACCACAGAGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab SrCUACAUAGCACCACAGAGUGUAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair templatePaix et al, 2015;SrCUACCGAAAGCGAACCGACGAGAGGAGAAGGGAAGGGAGAAGGGACGAA	reagent (S.			URA3KL Legouis lab
genetic reagent (S. cerevisice)OC616-OC617This paperBY4742, atg8G116A, pho8::pho8A60-URA3KL Legouis labgenetic reagent (S. cerevisice)OC618-OC619This paperBY4742, atg8G116A-R117*, pho8::pho8A60- URA3KL Legouis labstrain strain background (E. coli)OP50CGCSee Material and Methodsgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9Open BiosystemIgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)Sequenced- Biosystem2015ScuaccauAgGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 20152015scquenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair templatePaix et al.,	cerevisiae)			
reagent (S. cerevisiae)       DC618-OC619       This paper       BY4742, atg8G116A-R117*, pho8::pho8A60- reagent (S. cerevisiae)         Strain strain background (E. coli)       OP50       CGC       see Material and Methods         genetic coli)       JA-C32D5.9       Open Biosystem       lgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-C32D5.9       Open Biosystem       lgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-C56C10.12       Open Biosystem       epg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         reagent (E. coli)       JA-Y55F3AM.4       Open Biosystem       atg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         reagent (E. coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       epg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         sequenced- based reagent       JA-       Open 2015       epg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         sequenced-	genetic	OC616-OC617	This paper	BY4742, atg8G116A, pho8::pho8Δ60-URA3KL
cerevisioe) genetic reagent (S. cerevisioe)OC618-OC619This paperBY4742, atg8G116A-R117*, pho8::pho8A60- URA3KL Legouis lab see Material and MethodsStrain strain background (E. coli)OP50CGCsee Material and Methodsgenetic coli)JA-C32D5.9Open BiosystemIgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA-C556C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic coli)JA-W7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA-Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonecoli)Sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 20152/0.12sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUAGA GCUAUCCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair templatePaix et al., 2015;2/0.15S'CATTGAACCTAACGGAAGATGAGAAT GATGGAAACCGTAACGAAAGCGTACCGAAAGTGAGAAAT GATGGAAACCGTAACGAAACGTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACTGACTAACGAAACT	reagent (S.			Legouis lab
genetic reagent (S. cerevisioe)OCE018-OCE019This paperBV4742, atg8G116A-R117*, pho8::pho8d60- URA3KL Legouis labStrain strain background (E. coli)OPS0CGCsee Material and Methodsgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9Open Biosystemlgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C55F3AM.4Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W35F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W3C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s) Paix et al 2015SiccuAccOuAg6GCACCACGAGAGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUG3'sequenced- GCTGAACCTCAAAGGCAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al. 2015;SiCCUTTACATCGGCAAAGUGUGUAGAUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;	cerevisiae)			
reagent (S. cerevisiae)       URA3KL Legouis lab         Strain strain background (E. coli)       OP50       CGC       see Material and Methods         genetic coli)       JA-C32D5.9       Open Biosystem       lgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-C32D5.9       Open Biosystem       epg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-C56C10.12       Open Biosystem       epg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         genetic coli)       JA-Y55F3AM.4       Open Biosystem       etg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         reagent (E. coli)       JA-W355F3AM.4       Open Biosystem       etg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         genetic coli)       JA-W355F3AM.4       Open Biosystem       etg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;         genetic coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       etg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         genetic coli)       JA-W03C9.3       Open Biosystem       epg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clone         sequenced- based reagent       CrRNA(s)       Paix et al 2015       cuaugecuguuuga'         sequenced- based reagent       CrRNA(s)       This paper       lgg-1 Legouis lab 5'UACAGUGAAAGGGGAACCAAGAGGGAAAT GCCTTAACTGCGGTAACCATAGGCAAGAATGGGAAACGGGACCTACAGGGACCTACAGGGACCTACAG GCCTA3'       sequenced- GCCTAGGGACCTTACATGGCAAGAATGGCTATAGGCAAACGGACCTACACAG GCCTTACATGGGGACCTTACATGGCTAAGAGGAATGGTTCT GATGGGAACCTTACATGGGGACCTTACATGGCTAAGAGGAAT	genetic	OC618-OC619	This paper	BY4742, atg8G116A-R117*, pho8::pho8∆60-
cerevisiae)OP50CGCStrain strain background (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9Open Biosystemlgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C55C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W3.5Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W3.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACAGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUCCUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUAGCGAAAGUGUAGUUUUAGA GACTTGAAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGGCAAAGUGGAAGAT GACTTGGAACCGTACCGCATGGGTACCATAGGCAAGAGAGGAAAGUGGAAGATGGCAACGTACCGCAAGGAGAAGAGGAAGUGCAAAGUGGCAAAG	reagent (S.			URA3KL Legouis lab
Strain strain background (E. coli)OP50CGCsee Material and Methodsgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9Open BiosystemIgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystemerg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W3C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperl/gg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;S'CACTGGAACCGTACCGCATGCGATGCCTATG GTACCGGAGTTCCATACGGCATGCCTATG GTACCGGAACCTTCCATACGGCAAGGTGCCTATG GTACCGGAACCTTCCATACGGCAAGGTGCCTATG GTACCGGACTTCCATACGGCAAGGTGCCTATG GTACCGGAACCTTCCATGGCATACGGCACCACACA GCCATT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperl/gp-10 : S'CACTTGA	cerevisiae)			
background (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9Open BiosystemIgg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystemerg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGCACACAGAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTGAATACGGCAAGATGGCATCACAGGCAGAGAGTGCCTATG GTACCGAACCGTACCGCATCAGGCAGACGAAGTGCCTTATG GCACTGAACCGTACCGCATCAGGCAGACGAAGTGCCTTATG GCUAUGCUUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperl/g-10 (S)163): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGCAGCCTCAATGGCAGAAGTGTCT GTAGCGCAGCTTCAATGGCCAAGAGTGCCTTAGGCAGACGAAGTGTCT GTAGCGCGAGCTTCAATGGCCAAGAGTGCCTATG G	Strain strain	OP50	CGC	see Material and Methods
coli) geneticJA-C32D5.9Open Biosystem <i>Igg-1</i> RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;geneticJA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;reagent (E. coli)JA-W3C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA- BiosystemSigguard atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA- V39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)CrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10: SiGCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab Si'CLACGUGAGCAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al. 2015;Si'CCTTGCATCGGAAGAGGGCCACACAGGGGCCTATG GTACGGAAGCGTACGGAAGGGTCCCACAGGGGCCTATG GCTTATACTGGCTAAGGGAAGGGTCCCACAGGGGCCTATG GCTAT3'Sequenced- Si'CTTACATGGCTAAGGGAAGGGGCCTAAGGGAAGGGGCCTATG GCTATGGGAAGCGTCACATGGCTTCAGCGAAAGGGTCCCACAGGGGCCTATG GCTATGGGA	background (E.			
genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C32D5.9 BiosystemOpen Biosystem <i>Igg-1</i> RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12 BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone; biosystemgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone; atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10: S'GLACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al. 2015;S'GCACCTGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCCATTGAACTTCAATTCAATACGGCAAGAGAGGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACGGATGAGAAAT GACTGGAACCGTACGGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCGTACCGCATGGGTCCAATG GCCATTGACTGAACCGACACAGGGGCCTAAG GCCATTGACTGACGGAAAGGAGTCACACAG GCCATTGACTGACGGAAGGCTACACTGGGTCCAACAG GCCATTGACTGACGGAAGGCTACACTGGGTCCAACAG GCCATTGACTGACGGAAGGCTACACTG	coli)			
reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12 pen BiosystemDpen sissystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4 pen BiosystemOpen atg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 Paix et al 2015Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Paix et al 2015Open S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGGUUUUAGAG S'GCUACGUAUUUGG3'epg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'UACAGUAGCAAAGUGUAAGUUUUAGAG S'UACAGUAGCAAAAGUGUAAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- S'UACAGUAGCAAAAGUGUAAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAATTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGATGCGATGCACAACA GACTGGAAACCGTACCGATGCGCATGACGAAGAGUGUAAGUUUUAGA GCCTATA'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCTACAGGACAGAAGAGGACTTCAAACGGACAGAAGAGGACTTACAACGGCACAGAAGAGAGAG	genetic	JA-C32D5.9	Open	Igg-1 RNAi feeding bacterial clone
coli)JA-C56C10.12Open Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;geneticJA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;geneticJA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonecoli)Sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015gract al S'GCUACAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCGTACCAGGGTTCCAACACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCTACATGGCTACAAGGAAAGTGTCT GCTACGTGAACGGAAGGTGCCTACATGGCTACAACAG GCCTAT3'	reagent ( <i>E.</i>		Biosystem	
genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-C56C10.12 BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 20152015CGUAUCCAUAGGCACCACGAGAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTGAACTCAATACGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGTACAGCAACACA GCCTAGGGAACCCTACCGCATGCGTACAGACAACACA GCCTAGGGAACCCTACGGCACAGACGAGACGACACACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- Biosystemsequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperligg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CACTTGAACTGCGTACAGTGCAGAACGTGCCTAATGCTCAATGCTCCAATGCTCAATGCTCCAGTGCCTAAGTGCACAACATGCTsequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperligg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCCGCGTACAGTGCACAGACGTCCT	coli)			
reagent (E. coli)Biosystemgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Paix et al 2015Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAAGUGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;lgg-1 Legouis lab S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCGTACCGATGCGTACGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCTCACATACGGCTACCATGGCTACGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCTTACATGCGTACCATGGCTACAGACAGACCAACAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;S'CACTTGAACTCCAATGCGCTACGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCTTACATGCGTACCATGGCTACAGACCAACAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;S'CACTTGAACTCCAATGCGTACCATGGCTACAGACACAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Corronaction and corronaction and corronact	genetic	JA-C56C10.12	Open	epg-5 RNAi feeding bacterial clone
coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4Open Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;coli)geneticJA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;geneticJA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)Y39G10AR.10Biosystemdpy-10: S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10: S'CACTTGAACTCAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGAG GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template 2015;S'CACTGGAAACUGTACAGGCAAGATGAGAAT GAACTGGAAACCGTACCAGTGACGATGAGAAT GAACTGGAAACCGTACCAGTGACGATGAGAAT GAACTGGAAACCGTACCAGTGACGATGAGAAT GAACTGGAAACCGTACCAGTGACGATGAGAAT GAACTGGAAACCGTACCAGTGACGAAGCGTACCAGTAGGAAAGTGTCT AGACGGAGCTTACAGTGACGATAGGAAAGTGTCTAGGCTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT GAGCGGAGCTTACCAGTGACGAAGTGACAGT GAACTGGCAACGTACCGGTACCGTACGGAAGTGTCT GTAGCGGAGCTTACCGTACGGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT Hordfordfordfordfordfordfordfordfordfordf	reagent (E.		Biosystem	
genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-Y55F3AM.4 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3 BiosystemOpen Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. v39G10AR.10JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAAGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperl/gg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGATGCCGATGCGAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGATGCCGATGCGAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGATGCCGATGCGAAGATGACAAC GCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperl/gg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCACGCGTACATGAGAAGTGACAACGAAGTGACAACGAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACACAACGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACAACGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGACGAAAGTGACAAACGCAAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACTAACACGAAGACGAAAGTGACAAAGCGAAAGTGACAAACGCAACACAACACAACACAACACAACACGAAGACGAAAGTGACTAAGACACACAC	coli)		-	
reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAACCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGGGTTCCAATAGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGGGTTCCAATAGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGGGTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperIgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCTsequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperIgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	genetic	JA-Y55F3AM.4	Open	atg-3 RNAi feeding bacterial clone;
coli)JA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. v39G10AR.10JA- BiosystemOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGGGAGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGAAGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGAAGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCACAGTGACGAAAGTGTTCT AGACTAGGAAAGTGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGACAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGATGAGAAGTGTCT	reagent (E.		Biosystem	
genetic reagent (E. coli)JA-M7.5Open Biosystematg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGAACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template 2015;Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAT GACTGGAAACCGTACGGATGCGATGCGTATGG GTAGCGAAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACGGATGCGATGAGATGAGATACGGCAAGATGAGATATGGCTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGATACGGCAAGATGAGATTCACATGGCTTCAATAGGTCAACAG GCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATGGCTGACATGAGTGACAAGAGTGTCT GTAGCGGAAGCTTCACATGGCTTCACATGGCTTCAATGGCTTCAATGGCTACATGGCTTCACATGGCTTCACATGGCTTCACATGGCAAGAGTGTCTsequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATGGCTGACAGTGACAGAGTGTCT	coli)		2	
reagent (E. coli)Biosystemgenetic reagent (E. coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Dpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : 5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 1 Legouis lab 5'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACCAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT based reagent	genetic	JA-M7.5	Open	atg-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clone
coli)JA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegenetic reagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : 5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab 5'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;2015;fractor Gaaccacacacacacacacacacacacacacacacacac	reagent (E.		Biosystem	
geneticJA-W03C9.3Open Biosystemrab-7 RNAI feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)JA- geneticOpen Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonegeneticJA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT Hord CACTGCATACGGCTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	COII)		0	
Teagent (E. coli)JA- Y39G10AR.10Open Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)Y39G10AR.10Biosystemepg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : 5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperlgg-1 Legouis lab 5'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template 2015;Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : 5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperlgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab 5'CTTTACATGCGCGACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	genetic	JA-W03C9.3	Open Discustore	rab-7 RNAI feeding bacterial clone
con)JA- reagent (E. coli)Open Y39G10AR.10epg-2 RNAi feeding bacterial clonesequenced- 			Biosystem	
geneticJA-Open <i>epg-2</i> RNA reeding bacterial clonereagent (E. coli)Y39G10AR.10Biosystem <i>dpy-10</i> : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015 <i>dpy-10</i> : S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paper <i>lgg-1</i> Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template 2015;Paix <i>et al.,</i> 2015; <i>dpy-10</i> : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paper <i>lgg-1</i> (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT Hogor Control Action Control	con)	10	Onon	and 2 DNAi fooding bostorial clone
reagent (L.       T39G10AK.10       Biosystem         coli)       sequenced-       CrRNA(s)       Paix et al         based reagent       2015       5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG         cuaugeunced-       CrRNA(s)       This paper       Igg-1 Legouis lab         based reagent       S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA       GUAUGCUGUUUUG3'         sequenced-       Repair template Paix et al.,       2015;       S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT         based reagent       2015;       2015;       S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT         sequenced-       Repair template Paix et al.,       S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT         based reagent       2015;       S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT         sequenced-       Repair template This paper       Igg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab         based reagent       Repair template This paper       Igg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab	roagont (F	JA- V20C10AP 10	Dipen	epg-2 RNAI leeding bacterial cione
conyCrRNA(s)Paix et al 2015dpy-10 : 5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- 	reagent (L.	139010AK.10	Diosystem	
Sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperIgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	sequenced-	CrBNA(s)	Paix et al	dpv-10 :
based reagent     CrRNA(s)     This paper     Igg-1 Legouis lab       based reagent     CrRNA(s)     This paper     Igg-1 Legouis lab       based reagent     Sequenced-     Sequenced-     Sequenced-       based reagent     Repair template Paix et al.,     2015;     Igg-1 Carticological according and according according a conditional according a conditinter a conditional according a conditional according a cond	hased reagent		2015	5'GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAGGUUUUAGAG
sequenced- based reagentCrRNA(s)This paperIgg-1 Legouis lab S'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix et al., 2015;dpy-10 : S'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paperIgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab S'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAGTGTCT	based reagent		2015	CUAUGCUGUUUUG3'
based reagent5'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA GCUAUGCUGUUUUG3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template Paix <i>et al.</i> , 2015; <i>dpy-10</i> : 5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'sequenced- based reagentRepair template This paper <i>lgg-1</i> (G116A): Legouis lab 5'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAGTGTCT	sequenced-	CrRNA(s)	This paper	Igg-1 Legouis lab
sequenced- based reagent       Repair template Paix et al., 2015;       dpy-10 : 5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'         sequenced- based reagent       Repair template This paper       lgg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab 5'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	based reagent			5'UACAGUGACGAAAGUGUGUAGUUUUAGA
sequenced- based reagent       Repair template Paix et ul., 2015;       5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA GCCTAT3'         sequenced- based reagent       Repair template This paper       //gg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab 5'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	coguoncod	Popair tomplate	Daix at al	dpv-10 ·
based reagent       2013,       GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG         GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA       GCCTAT3'         sequenced-       Repair template This paper       Igg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab         based reagent       5'CTTTACATCGCGTACGGAGCGAAGTGTCT	based reagant		2015.	5'CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAAT
sequenced- based reagent     Repair template This paper     Igg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab 5'CTTTACATCGCGTACAGTGACGAAAGTGTCT	based reagent		2015,	GACTGGAAACCGTACCGCATGCGGTGCCTATG
sequenced- based reagent     Repair template This paper     Igg-1 (G116A): Legouis lab				GTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACA
based reagent		Develutevenlete	This was a v	GCCIAI3'
based reagent	sequencea-	Repair template	ei nis paper	
	based reagent			ACGCCGGAGAGGTCGAAAAGAAGGAATAAAG
TGTCATGTAT3'				TGTCATGTAT3'
sequenced- Repair template This paper Igg-1 (G116AG117 *): Legouis lab	sequenced-	Repair template	This paper	lgg-1 (G116AG117 *): Legouis lab
based reagent 5'TTCCTTTACATCGCCTACAGTGACGAAAGTGT	based reagent			5'TTCCTTTACATCGCCTACAGTGACGAAAGTGT
GTACGCCTAAGAATICGAAAAGGAATAAA				

sequenced- based reagent	Repair template	This paper		<i>lgg-1</i> (G116AG117A): Legouis lab 5'TTCCTTTACATCGCCTACAGTGACGAAAGTGT GTACGCCGCAGAGGTCGAAAAGAAGGAATAA GAATTCAGTGTCATGTATTATCCGCCGACGAAT
sequenced- based reagent	Universal tracrRNA	Dharmacon GE	U- 002000- 05	5'AACAGCAUAGCAAGUUAAAAUAAGGCUAG UCCGUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGGCACCGAG UCGGUGCUUUUUUU3'
peptide, recombinant protein	S. pyogenes Cas9	Dharmacon	CAS11201	Edit-R Cas9 Nuclease Protein, 1000 pmol
Antibody	anti-LGG-1 (rabbit polyclonal)	Springhorn & Hoppe, 2019		Ab#3 WB (1:3000)
Antibody	anti-LGG-1 (rabbit polyclonal)	Al Rawi <i>et</i> al., 2011		Ab#1 WB (1:200) IF(1:100)
Antibody	anti-LGG-2 (rabbit polyclonal)	Manil- Ségalen <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2014		WB (1:200) IF (1:200)
Antibody	anti-Tubulin (mouse monoclonal)	Sigma	078K476 3	WB (1:1000)
Antibody	anti-SEL-1 (rabbit polyclonal)	Hoppe's lab		WB (1:8000)
Antibody	anti-CDC-48.1 (rabbit polyclonal)	Hoppe's lab		WB (1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-Rabbit HRF (goat polyclonal)	Promega	W401B	WB (1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-mouse HRF (goat polyclonal)	Promega	W4021	WB (1:10000)
Antibody	anti-GABARAP (rabbit polyclonal)	Chemicon	AB15278	IF (1:200)
Antibody	anti-GFP (mouse monoclonal)	Roche	1814460	IF (1:250)
Antibody	anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor®488 (goat polyclona )	Molecular Probes	A11029	IF (1:500 to 1:1000)
Antibody	anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor®488	Molecular Probes	A110034	IF (1:500 to 1:1000)

	(goat polyclonal )			
Antibody	anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor®568 (goat	Sigma- Aldrich	A11036	IF (1:500 to 1:1000)
	polyclonal)			
Antibody	anti-GFP (rabbit	Abcam	ab6556	(Immunogold 1:10)
Antibody	anti-rabbit IgG goat polyclonal)	Biovalley	810.011	Coupled to 10-nm colloidal gold particles (Immunogold 1:20)
chemical	EPON	Agar	R1165	see Material and Methods
compound, drug		Scientific		
chemical	lead citrate	Sigma-	15326	see Material and Methods
compound, drug		Aldrich		
chemical	LRWHITE	Electron	14381	see Material and Methods
compound, drug		Microscopy Sciences		
peptide, recombinant protein	LC3 traps	Quinet <i>et a</i> l., 2022		Molecular traps for LGG-1
commercial	Super Signal	Thermo	34579	see Material and Methods
assay or kit	Pico	Scientific		
	Chemiluminesc			
	ent Substrate			
commercial	NuPAGE 4%-	Life	NP0321	see Material and Methods
assay or kit	12% Bis- Tris ge	Technologie	BOX	
	_	s		
software,	ImageJ	http://image		see Material and Methods
algorithm	_	j.nih.gov/ij		
software,	Fidji	https://fiji.sc		see Material and Methods
algorithm	-	/		
software,	Prism	GraphPad		see Material and Methods
algorithm				
software,	R software	www.r-		see Material and Methods
algorithm		project.org		
software,	Crispr	http://Crispr		see Material and Methods
algorithm		.mit.edu		
software,	Crispor	http://crispo		see Material and Methods
algorithm		r.org		
other	MitoTracker Red CMXRos	Molecular Probes	M7512	see Material and Methods

467 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the

468 corresponding author, Renaud Legouis (renaud.legouis@i2bc.paris-saclay.fr).

*C. elegans* culture and strains

Nematode strains were grown on nematode growth media [for 500ml H2O: 1.5g NaCl
(Sigma-Aldrich, 60142), 1.5g bactopeptone (Becton-Dickinson, 211677), 0.5ml cholesterol
(Sigma-Aldrich, C8667) 5mg/ml, 10g bacto agar (Becton-Dickinson, 214010) supplemented
with 500µl CaCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich, C3306) 1M, 500µl MgSO4 (Sigma-Aldrich, M5921) 1M, 10ml
KH2PO4 (Sigma-Aldrich, P5655) 1M, 1650µl K2HPO4 (Sigma-Aldrich, 60356) 1M] and fed
with *Escherichia coli* strain OP50.

478

#### 479 **CRISPR-Cas9**

A CRISPR-Cas9 approach optimized for *C. elegans* was used, based on a *dpy-10* co-CRISPR 480 481 protocol (Paix et al., 2015). All reagents are in 5mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5. Crispr.mit.edu and 482 CRISPOR (http://crispor.org) web tools were used to choose a Cas9 cleavage site (NGG) 483 close to the edit site, the best sequence of the crRNAs (50 to 75% of GC content), and for 484 off-target prediction. 1µL of CrRNA(s) ( $8\mu g/\mu L$  or 0.6nmole/µL) and repair template(s) 485  $(1\mu g/\mu L)$  designed for *lqq-1* and *dpy-10* genes were mixed with 4.1  $\mu L$  of *S. pyrogenes* Cas9 486 (20 pmole/ $\mu$ L) and 5  $\mu$ L of universal tracrRNA (4 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L 4 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L or 0.17 nmol/ $\mu$ L molarity) in 487  $0.75 \mu$ L Hepes (200 mM)  $0.5\mu$ L KCl (1M) and water up to 20  $\mu$ L. The mix was heated for 10 488 min at 37°C and injected in the gonad of young adult hermaphrodites. Progenies of injected 489 animals were cloned and genotyped by PCR. Mutants were outcrossed three times and lgg-1 490 gene was sequenced to check for the specific mutations.

491

#### 492 Nematode starvation and lifespan

For starvation experiments, adult hermaphrodites were bleached to obtain synchronized L1 larvae. L1 were incubated in 0.5 mL sterilized M9 at 20°C on spinning wheel. At each time point, an aliquot from each sample tube was placed on a plate seeded with *E. coli* OP50. The number of worms surviving to adulthood was counted 2 or 4 days after. Life span was performed on more than 100 animals for each genotype with independent duplicates and analyzes using Kaplan-Meier method and Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

499

#### 500 RNA mediated interference

501 RNAi by feeding was performed as described (Kamath et al., 2003). Fourth-larval stage (L4) 502 animals or embryos were raised onto 1 mM isopropyl-D-β-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-503 containing nematode growth media (NGM) plates seeded with bacteria (*E. coli* HT115[DE3]) 504 carrying the empty vector L4440 (pPD129.36) as a control or the bacterial clones from the J. 505 Ahringer library, Open Biosystem).

506

#### 507 Western blot and cellular fractionation

The worms were collected after centrifugation in M9 and then mixed with the lysis buffer described previously (Springhorn and Hoppe, 2019) (25mM tris-HCl, pH7.6; 150mM NaCl; 1mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) 1% Triton X-100; 1% sodium deoxycholate (w/v); 1% SDS (w/v)) containing glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich 425-600um G8772100G). They were then denatured using Precellys 24 machine at 6000 rpm with incubation for about 5

min twice to cool down the sample. The protein extracts are then centrifuged at 15000 rpm 513 514 and separated on a NuPAGE 4%-12% Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies, NP0321BOX). The nonspecific sites are then blocked after the incubation for one hour with PBS Tween 0.1% (Tris 515 Base NaCl, Tween20) BSA 2%. Blots were probed with anti-LGG-1 (1:3000 rabbit Ab#3 516 (Springhorn and Hoppe, 2019) or 1:200 Ab#1 (Al Rawi et al., 2011)), anti-LGG-2 (1:200 517 518 rabbit), anti-Tubulin (1:1000 mouse; Sigma, 078K4763), anti-SEL-1 (1:8000, rabbit), anti-CDC-48.1 (1:5000, rabbit) and revealed using HRP-conjugated antibodies (1: 5000 promega 519 520 W401B and 1:10000 promega W4021) and the Super Signal Pico Chemiluminescent 521 Substrate (Thermo Scientific, 34579). Signals were revealed on a Las3000 photoimager (Fuji) 522 and quantified with Image Lab software. For cellular fractionation, 4000 age-synchronized 523 worms (day 1 of adulthood) were collected from NGM/OP-50 plates, washed three times 524 with M9 buffer and transferred to NGM plates without OP-50 to induce starvation. Worms were starved at 20°C for 7 hours, and then transferred to fractionation buffer (50 mM Tris-525 HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail). For cell 526 527 lysis, worms were homogenized 50 times using a Dounce homogenizer and sonicated for 20 seconds at 60% amplitude. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 500 RCF and 4°C for 5 minutes to 528 529 remove cell debris and the nuclear fraction. The supernatant was centrifuged again at 530 20,000 RCF and 4°C for 90 minutes to separate soluble (cytosolic) and insoluble (membrane) fractions. Supernatant and pellet were separated and the pellet was resuspended in 150 µL 531 532 of fractionation buffer. From this step, input samples were prepared for Western blot 533 analysis. Subsequently, 30  $\mu$ L of the pellet sample was mixed with 3  $\mu$ L each of fractionation buffer, 3 µL 5 M NaCl, and 3 µL Triton X-100. Treated pellet samples were incubated on ice 534 535 for 1 hour and then centrifuged at 20,000 RCF and 4 °C for 60 minutes. The resulting 536 supernatants and pellets were again separated and analyzed by Western blotting.

537

#### 538 Immunofluorescence and light microscopy

539 Fifty adult hermaphrodites were cut to release the early embryos on a previously poly-Llysinated slide (0.1%). Late embryos were deposited using a flattened platinum wire and 540 541 bacteria as glue. Embryos were prepared for immunofluorescence staining by freeze-542 fracture and methanol fixation 30 min at -20°C, incubated 40 min in 0.5% Tween, 3% BSA, 543 PBS solution, and washed twice 30 min in 0.5% Tween PBS solution. Incubation overnight at 4°C overnight with the primary antibodies anti-LGG-1(rabbit 1:100) anti-GABARAP (rabbit 544 1:200) (1: 200), anti-LGG-2 (rabbit 1:100) was followed by 2 washes, 2 hours incubation at 545 room temperature with the secondary antibodies, Alexa488 and Alexa 568 (1: 1000), and 546 two washes. Embryos were mounted in DABCO and imaged on an AxioImagerM2 547 548 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with Nomarski optics, coupled to a camera (AxioCam506mono) or a confocal Leica TCS SP8 microscope with serial z sections of 0.5 to 1  $\mu$ m. Images were 549 550 analyzed, guantified and processed using ImageJ or Fiji softwares.

For live imaging samples were mounted on a 2% agarose pad and larvae were immobilized
by 40 mM sodium azide. For MitoTracker staining, adult worms were transferred to NGM
agar plates containing 3.7 μM of Red CMXRos (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) and incubated
for overnight in the dark.

#### 555

#### 556 Electronic microscopy

One-day adults were transferred to M9 20% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, A7030) on 1% 557 phosphatidylcholine (Sigma-Aldrich) pre-coated 200 µm deep flat carriers (Leica Biosystems), 558 followed by cryo-immobilization in the EMPACT-2 HPF apparatus (Leica Microsystems; 559 560 Vienna Austria) as described (Largeau & Legouis, 2019). Cryo-substitution was performed using an Automated Freeze-substitution System (AFS2) with integrated binocular lens, and 561 562 incubating chamber (Leica Microsystems; Wetzlar, Germany) with acetone. Blocks were 563 infiltrated with 100% EPON, and embedded in fresh EPON (Agar Scientific, R1165). Ultrathin 564 sections of 80 nm were cut on an ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, EM UC7) and collected on a formvar and carbon-coated copper slot grid (LFG, FCF-2010-CU-50). Sections 565 566 were contrasted with 0,05% Oolong tea extract (OTE) for 30 minutes and 0.08 M lead citrate (Sigma-Aldrich, 15326) for 8 minutes. Sections were observed with a Jeol 1400 TEM at 120 567 568 kV and images acquired with a Gatan 11 Mpixels SC1000 Orius CCD camera.

569

#### 570 Affinity purification of LGG-1

571 1 mg of total proteins from *C. elegans* lysate were incubated on ice 10 minutes in 800  $\mu$ L of TUBE lysis buffer [50 mM sodium fluoride, 5 mM tetra-sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM  $\beta$ -572 573 glyceropyrophosphate, 1% lgepal CA-630, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and 1.2 mg/ml complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland)] 574 supplemented with 200 µg of purified LC3 traps or GST control (Quinet et al., 2022). After 575 cold centrifugation at 16200 g for 30 minutes, supernatant was harvested and added to 400 576 µl of prewashed glutathione-agarose beads (Sigma), and incubated for 6 hours rotating at 577 578 4°C. Beads were centrifugated at 1000 g for 5 min at 4°C (Beckman Coulter Microfuge 22R, Fullerton, CA, USA), washed five times using 10 column volumes of PBS-tween 0.05%. Elution 579 580 was done in 100 µL of [Tris pH7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% Triton, 1% SDS] at 95°C during 10 minutes, and supernatant was harvested. 581

582

#### 583 Mass spectrometry

Protein samples affinity purification were prepared using the single-pot, solid-phase-584 enhanced sample-preparation (SP3) approach as described (Hughes et al., 2019). Samples 585 586 were mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l solution of Sera-Mag SpeedBeadsTM hydrophilic and hydrophobic magnetics beads (GE healthcare, ref 45152105050250 and 65152105050250) 587 588 with a bead to sample ratio of 10:1. After a binding step in 50% ethanol in water, and three 589 successive washes with 80% ethanol in water, sample were digested with 100  $\mu$ l of a 5 ng/ul sequencing grade modified trypsin solution (PROMEGA). 50 µl of Trypsin-generated peptides 590 591 were vacuum dried, resuspended in 10  $\mu$ l of loading buffer (2% acetonitrile and 0.05% 592 Trifluoroacetic acid in water) and analyzed by nanoLC-MSMS using a nanoElute liquid chromatography system (Bruker) coupled to a timsTOF Pro mass spectrometer (Bruker). 593 594 Briefly, peptides were loaded on an Aurora analytical column (ION OPTIK, 25cm x75µm, C18, 595 1.6μm) and eluted with a gradient of 0-35% of solvent B for 100 min. Solvent A was 0.1 %

formic acid and 2% acetonitrile in water, and solvent B was 99.9% acetonitrile with 0.1% 596 597 formic acid. MS and MS/MS spectra were recorded and converted into mgf files. Proteins identification were performed with Mascot search engine (Matrix science, London, UK) 598 against a database composed of all LGG-1 sequences including the wild-type and mutant 599 sequences. Database searches were performed using semi-trypsin cleavage specificity with 600 601 five possible miscleavages. Methionine oxidation was set as variable modification. Peptide and fragment tolerances were set at 15 ppm and 0.05 Da, respectively. A peptide mascot 602 603 score threshold of 13 was set for peptide identification. C-terminal peptides were further validated manually. 604

605

#### 606 Quantification and statistical analysis

607 All experiments were done at least three times. All data summarization and statistical 608 analyses were performed by using either the GraphPad-Prism or the R software (www.r-609 project.org). The Shapiro-Wilk's test was used to evaluate the normal distribution of the values and the Hartley Fmax test for similar variance analysis. Data derived from different 610 611 genetic backgrounds were compared by Student t test, Anova, Kruskal-Wallis or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests. The Fisher's exact test was used for nominal variables. Longevity was 612 613 assessed using Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Error bars are standard deviations and boxplot 614 representations indicate the minimum and maximum, the first (Q1/25th percentile), median 615 (Q2/50th percentile) and the third (Q3/75th percentile) quartiles. NS (Not Significant p>0.05; \* 0.05>p>0.01, \*\*0.01>p>0.001, \*\*\* 0.001>p>0.0001 and \*\*\*\* p<0.0001. Exact values of n 616 617 and statistical tests used can be found in the figure legends.

618

#### 619 References

620 621 622	Al Rawi S, Louvet-Vallée S, Djeddi A, Sachse M, Culetto E, Hajjar C, Boyd L, Legouis R, Galy V. 2011. Postfertilization autophagy of sperm organelles prevents paternal mitochondrial DNA transmission. <i>Science</i> <b>334</b> :1144–1147. doi:10.1126/science.1211878
623	Alberti A, Michelet X, Djeddi A, Legouis R. 2010. The autophagosomal protein LGG-2 acts
624	synergistically with LGG-1 in dauer formation and longevity in C. elegans. Autophagy 6:622-
625	633. doi:10.4161/auto.6.5.12252
626	Alemu EA, Lamark T, Torgersen KM, Birgisdottir AB, Larsen KB, Jain A, Olsvik H, Øvervatn A, Kirkin V,
627	Johansen T. 2012. ATG8 Family Proteins Act as Scaffolds for Assembly of the ULK Complex:
628	SEQUENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR LC3-INTERACTING REGION (LIR) MOTIFS. Journal of
629	Biological Chemistry <b>287</b> :39275–39290. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.378109
630	Banta LM, Robinson JS, Klionsky DJ, Emr SD. 1988. Organelle assembly in yeast:
631	characterization of yeast mutants defective in vacuolar biogenesis and protein
632	sorting. <i>J Cell Biol</i> <b>107</b> :1369–1383. doi:10.1083/jcb.107.4.1369
633	Behrends C, Sowa ME, Gygi SP, Harper JW. 2010. Network organization of the human autophagy
634	system. Nature <b>466</b> :68–76. doi:10.1038/nature09204
635	Cappadocia L, Lima CD. 2018. Ubiquitin-like Protein Conjugation: Structures, Chemistry, and
636	Mechanism. Chem Rev 118:889–918. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00737
637	Chang JT, Kumsta C, Hellman AB, Adams LM, Hansen M. 2017. Spatiotemporal regulation of
638	autophagy during Caenorhabditis elegans aging. Elife 6. doi:10.7554/eLife.18459

- 639 Chang T-K, Shravage BV, Hayes SD, Powers CM, Simin RT, Wade Harper J, Baehrecke EH. 2013. Uba1 640 functions in Atg7- and Atg3-independent autophagy. Nat Cell Biol 15:1067–1078. 641 doi:10.1038/ncb2804 642 Chen Y, Leboutet R, Largeau C, Zentout S, Lefebvre C, Delahodde A, Culetto E, Legouis R. 2021. 643 Autophagy facilitates mitochondrial rebuilding after acute heat stress via a DRP-1-dependent 644 process. J Cell Biol 220:e201909139. doi:10.1083/jcb.201909139 645 Chen Y, Scarcelli V, Legouis R. 2017. Approaches for Studying Autophagy in Caenorhabditis elegans. 646 Cells 6. doi:10.3390/cells6030027 647 Chen Z-W, Chang C-SS, Leil TA, Olsen RW. 2007. C-terminal modification is required for GABARAP-648 mediated GABA(A) receptor trafficking. J Neurosci 27:6655-6663. 649 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0919-07.2007 650 Cherra SJ, Kulich SM, Uechi G, Balasubramani M, Mountzouris J, Day BW, Chu CT. 2010. Regulation of 651 the autophagy protein LC3 by phosphorylation. J Cell Biol 190:533–539. 652 doi:10.1083/jcb.201002108 653 Coyle JE, Qamar S, Rajashankar KR, Nikolov DB. 2002. Structure of GABARAP in two conformations: 654 implications for GABA(A) receptor localization and tubulin binding. Neuron 33:63–74. 655 doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00558-x 656 Djeddi A, Al Rawi S, Deuve JL, Perrois C, Liu Y-Y, Russeau M, Sachse M, Galy V. 2015. Sperm-inherited 657 organelle clearance in C. elegans relies on LC3-dependent autophagosome targeting to the 658 pericentrosomal area. Development 142:1705-1716. doi:10.1242/dev.117879 659 Galluzzi L, Baehrecke EH, Ballabio A, Boya P, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Cecconi F, Choi AM, Chu CT, 660 Codogno P, Colombo MI, Cuervo AM, Debnath J, Deretic V, Dikic I, Eskelinen E-L, Fimia GM, 661 Fulda S, Gewirtz DA, Green DR, Hansen M, Harper JW, Jäättelä M, Johansen T, Juhasz G, 662 Kimmelman AC, Kraft C, Ktistakis NT, Kumar S, Levine B, Lopez-Otin C, Madeo F, Martens S, 663 Martinez J, Melendez A, Mizushima N, Münz C, Murphy LO, Penninger JM, Piacentini M, 664 Reggiori F, Rubinsztein DC, Ryan KM, Santambrogio L, Scorrano L, Simon AK, Simon H-U, 665 Simonsen A, Tavernarakis N, Tooze SA, Yoshimori T, Yuan J, Yue Z, Zhong Q, Kroemer G. 2017. 666 Molecular definitions of autophagy and related processes. EMBO J 36:1811–1836. 667 doi:10.15252/embj.201796697 668 Galluzzi L, Green DR. 2019. Autophagy-Independent Functions of the Autophagy Machinery. Cell 669 177:1682-1699. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.026 670 Grunwald DS, Otto NM, Park J-M, Song D, Kim D-H. 2020. GABARAPs and LC3s have opposite roles in 671 regulating ULK1 for autophagy induction. Autophagy 16:600–614. 672 doi:10.1080/15548627.2019.1632620 673 Herhaus L, Bhaskara RM, Lystad AH, Gestal-Mato U, Covarrubias-Pinto A, Bonn F, Simonsen A, 674 Hummer G, Dikic I. 2020. TBK1-mediated phosphorylation of LC3C and GABARAP-L2 controls 675 autophagosome shedding by ATG4 protease. EMBO Rep 21:e48317. 676 doi:10.15252/embr.201948317 677 Hill SE, Kauffman KJ, Krout M, Richmond JE, Melia TJ, Colón-Ramos DA. 2019. Maturation and 678 Clearance of Autophagosomes in Neurons Depends on a Specific Cysteine Protease Isoform, 679 ATG-4.2. Developmental Cell 49:251-266.e8. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.02.013 680 Hirata E, Ohya Y, Suzuki K. 2017. Atg4 plays an important role in efficient expansion of autophagic 681 isolation membranes by cleaving lipidated Atg8 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS One 682 12:e0181047. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0181047 683 Huang R, Xu Y, Wan W, Shou X, Qian J, You Z, Liu B, Chang C, Zhou T, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Liu W. 684 2015. Deacetylation of nuclear LC3 drives autophagy initiation under starvation. Mol Cell 685 57:456-466. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.12.013 686 Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Müller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Krijgsveld J. 2019. Single-pot, solid-phase-687 enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. Nat Protoc 14:68-85. 688 doi:10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x
  - 21

689 Ishii A, Kurokawa K, Hotta M, Yoshizaki S, Kurita M, Koyama A, Nakano A, Kimura Y. 2019. Role of 690 Atg8 in the regulation of vacuolar membrane invagination. Sci Rep 9:14828. 691 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51254-1 692 Jentsch S, Pyrowolakis G. 2000. Ubiquitin and its kin: how close are the family ties? Trends in Cell 693 Biology 10:335-342. doi:10.1016/S0962-8924(00)01785-2 694 Jenzer C, Simionato E, Largeau C, Scarcelli V, Lefebvre C, Legouis R. 2019. Autophagy mediates 695 phosphatidylserine exposure and phagosome degradation during apoptosis through specific 696 functions of GABARAP/LGG-1 and LC3/LGG-2. Autophagy 15:228–241. 697 doi:10.1080/15548627.2018.1512452 698 Jipa A, Vedelek V, Merényi Z, Ürmösi A, Takáts S, Kovács AL, Horváth GV, Sinka R, Juhász G. 2020. Analysis of Drosophila Atg8 proteins reveals multiple lipidation-independent roles. 699 700 Autophagy 1–11. doi:10.1080/15548627.2020.1856494 701 Joachim J, Jefferies HBJ, Razi M, Frith D, Snijders AP, Chakravarty P, Judith D, Tooze SA. 2015. 702 Activation of ULK Kinase and Autophagy by GABARAP Trafficking from the Centrosome Is 703 Regulated by WAC and GM130. Molecular Cell 60:899–913. 704 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.11.018 705 Joachim J, Razi M, Judith D, Wirth M, Calamita E, Encheva V, Dynlacht BD, Snijders AP, O'Reilly N, 706 Jefferies HBJ, Tooze SA. 2017. Centriolar Satellites Control GABARAP Ubiquitination and 707 GABARAP-Mediated Autophagy. *Current Biology* **27**:2123-2136.e7. 708 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.021 709 Kabeya Y, Mizushima N, Ueno T, Yamamoto A, Kirisako T, Noda T, Kominami E, Ohsumi Y, Yoshimori 710 T. 2000. LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome 711 membranes after processing. EMBO J 19:5720–5728. doi:10.1093/emboj/19.21.5720 712 Kabeya Y, Mizushima N, Yamamoto A, Oshitani-Okamoto S, Ohsumi Y, Yoshimori T. 2004. LC3, GABARAP and GATE16 localize to autophagosomal membrane depending on form-II 713 714 formation. J Cell Sci 117:2805-2812. doi:10.1242/jcs.01131 715 Kamath RS, Fraser AG, Dong Y, Poulin G, Durbin R, Gotta M, Kanapin A, Le Bot N, Moreno S, 716 Sohrmann M, Welchman DP, Zipperlen P, Ahringer J. 2003. Systematic functional analysis of 717 the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature 421:231–237. 718 doi:10.1038/nature01278 719 Kaufmann A, Beier V, Franquelim HG, Wollert T. 2014. Molecular mechanism of autophagic 720 membrane-scaffold assembly and disassembly. Cell 156:469-481. 721 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.12.022 722 Kirisako T, Ichimura Y, Okada H, Kabeya Y, Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Ohsumi M, Takao T, Noda T, 723 Ohsumi Y. 2000. The Reversible Modification Regulates the Membrane-Binding State of 724 Apg8/Aut7 Essential for Autophagy and the Cytoplasm to Vacuole Targeting Pathway. Journal 725 of Cell Biology 151:263-276. doi:10.1083/jcb.151.2.263 Klionsky DJ, Abdel-Aziz AK, Abdelfatah S, Abdellatif M, Abdoli A, Abel S et al. 2021. Guidelines for the 726 727 use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (4th edition)1. Autophagy 17:1-728 382. doi:10.1080/15548627.2020.1797280 729 Knorr RL, Nakatogawa H, Ohsumi Y, Lipowsky R, Baumgart T, Dimova R. 2014. Membrane 730 morphology is actively transformed by covalent binding of the protein Atg8 to PE-lipids. PLoS 731 One 9:e115357. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115357 Kraft C, Kijanska M, Kalie E, Siergiejuk E, Lee SS, Semplicio G, Stoffel I, Brezovich A, Verma M, 732 733 Hansmann I, Ammerer G, Hofmann K, Tooze S, Peter M. 2012. Binding of the Atg1/ULK1 734 kinase to the ubiquitin-like protein Atg8 regulates autophagy. EMBO J 31:3691–3703. 735 doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.225 Kumsta C, Chang JT, Schmalz J, Hansen M. 2017. Hormetic heat stress and HSF-1 induce autophagy to 736 737 improve survival and proteostasis in C. elegans. Nat Commun 8:14337. 738 doi:10.1038/ncomms14337

739	Leboutet R, Chen Y, Legouis R, Culetto E. 2020. Mitophagy during development and stress in C.
740	elegans. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development <b>189</b> :111266.
741	doi:10.1016/j.mad.2020.111266
742	Lévêque MF, Berry L, Cipriano MJ, Nguyen H-M, Striepen B, Besteiro S. 2015. Autophagy-Related
743	Protein ATG8 Has a Noncanonical Function for Apicoplast Inheritance in Toxoplasma gondii.
744	<i>mBio</i> <b>6</b> :e01446-01415. doi:10.1128/mBio.01446-15
745	Liu X-M, Yamasaki A, Du X-M, Coffman VC, Ohsumi Y, Nakatogawa H, Wu J-Q, Noda NN, Du L-L. 2018.
746	Lipidation-independent vacuolar functions of Atg8 rely on its noncanonical interaction with a
747	vacuole membrane protein. <i>Elife</i> <b>7</b> :e41237. doi:10.7554/eLife.41237
748	Lu Q, Yang P, Huang X, Hu W, Guo B, Wu F, Lin L, Kovács AL, Yu L, Zhang H. 2011. The WD40 Repeat
749	PtdIns(3)P-Binding Protein EPG-6 Regulates Progression of Omegasomes to
750	Autophagosomes. Developmental Cell 21:343–357. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.06.024
751	Lystad AH, Ichimura Y, Takagi K, Yang Y, Pankiv S, Kanegae Y, Kageyama S, Suzuki M, Saito I,
752	Mizushima T, Komatsu M, Simonsen A. 2014. Structural determinants in GABARAP required
753	for the selective binding and recruitment of ALFY to LC3B-positive structures. EMBO Rep
754	<b>15</b> :557–565. doi:10.1002/embr.201338003
755	Manil-Ségalen M, Christophe Lefebvre, Jenzer C, Trichet M, Boulogne C, Satiat-Jeunemaitre B,
756	Legouis R. 2014. The C. elegans LC3 Acts Downstream of GABARAP to Degrade
757	Autophagosomes by Interacting with the HOPS Subunit VPS39. Developmental Cell 28:43–55.
758	dol:10.1016/J.devcel.2013.11.022
759	Waruyama T, Alam Jivi, Fukuda T, Kageyama S, Kirisako H, Ismir Y, Shimada I, Onsumi Y, Komalsu W,
760	Kaliki I, Nakalogawa H, Noua NN. 2021. Membrane perturbation by ipidated Algo underlies
762	c
762	J Melández A Talláczy Z Seaman M Eckelinen E-L Hall DH Levine B 2003 Autonbagy genes are
764	essential for dauer development and life-span extension in C elegans. Science <b>301</b> :1387–
765	1391 doi:10.1126/science.1087782
766	Mizushima N Sahani MH 2014 ATG8 localization in anicomplexan parasites: anicoplast and more?
767	Autophaav <b>10</b> :1487–1494. doi:10.4161/auto.32183
768	Nakatogawa H. 2020. Mechanisms governing autophagosome biogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol
769	<b>21</b> :439–458. doi:10.1038/s41580-020-0241-0
770	Nakatogawa H, Ichimura Y, Ohsumi Y. 2007. Atg8, a ubiquitin-like protein required for
771	autophagosome formation, mediates membrane tethering and hemifusion. Cell 130:165–
772	178. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.021
773	Nakatogawa H, Ohbayashi S, Sakoh-Nakatogawa M, Kakuta S, Suzuki SW, Kirisako H, Kondo-
774	Kakuta C, Noda NN, Yamamoto H, Ohsumi Y. 2012. The autophagy-related protein
775	kinase Atg1 interacts with the ubiquitin-like protein Atg8 via the Atg8 family
776	interacting motif to facilitate autophagosome formation. J Biol Chem <b>287</b> :28503–
777	28507. doi:10.1074/ibc.C112.387514
778	Nguyen TN, Padman BS, Usher J, Oorschot V, Ramm G, Lazarou M, 2016, Atg8 family LC3/GABARAP
779	proteins are crucial for autophagosome–lysosome fusion but not autophagosome formation
780	during PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and starvation. <i>The Journal of Cell Biology</i> <b>215</b> :857–874.
781	doi:10.1083/jcb.201607039
782	Nishida Y, Arakawa S, Fujitani K, Yamaguchi H, Mizuta T, Kanaseki T, Komatsu M, Otsu K, Tsujimoto Y,
783	Shimizu S. 2009. Discovery of Atg5/Atg7-independent alternative macroautophagy. Nature
784	<b>461</b> :654–658. doi:10.1038/nature08455
785	Noda T, Klionsky DJ. 2008. The quantitative Pho8Delta60 assay of nonspecific autophagy.
786	Methods Enzymol <b>451</b> :33–42. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(08)03203-5
787	Ohnstad AE, Delgado JM, North BJ, Nasa I, Kettenbach AN, Schultz SW, Shoemaker CJ. 2020.
788	Receptor-mediated clustering of FIP200 bypasses the role of LC3 lipidation in autophagy.
789	EMBO J <b>39</b> :e104948. doi:10.15252/embj.2020104948

790 Paix A, Folkmann A, Rasoloson D, Seydoux G. 2015. High Efficiency, Homology-Directed Genome 791 Editing in Caenorhabditis elegans Using CRISPR-Cas9 Ribonucleoprotein Complexes. Genetics 792 201:47-54. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.179382 793 Pankiv S, Clausen TH, Lamark T, Brech A, Bruun J-A, Outzen H, Øvervatn A, Bjørkøy G, Johansen T. 794 2007. p62/SQSTM1 Binds Directly to Atg8/LC3 to Facilitate Degradation of Ubiquitinated 795 Protein Aggregates by Autophagy. J Biol Chem 282:24131-24145. 796 doi:10.1074/jbc.M702824200 797 Poillet-Perez L, Jacquet M, Hervouet E, Gauthier T, Fraichard A, Borg C, Pallandre J-R, Gonzalez BJ, 798 Ramdani Y, Boyer-Guittaut M, Delage-Mourroux R, Despouy G. 2017. GABARAPL1 tumor 799 suppressive function is independent of its conjugation to autophagosomes in MCF-7 breast 800 cancer cells. Oncotarget 8. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.19639 801 Polson HEJ, de Lartigue J, Rigden DJ, Reedijk M, Urbé S, Clague MJ, Tooze SA. 2010. Mammalian 802 Atg18 (WIPI2) localizes to omegasome-anchored phagophores and positively regulates LC3 803 lipidation. Autophagy 6:506-522. doi:10.4161/auto.6.4.11863 804 Quinet G, Génin P, Ozturk O, Belgareh-Touzé N, Courtot L, Legouis R, Weil R, Cohen MM, Rodriguez 805 MS. 2022. Exploring selective autophagy events in multiple biologic models using LC3-806 interacting regions (LIR)-based molecular traps. Sci Rep 12:7652. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-807 11417-z 808 Samokhvalov V, Scott BA, Crowder CM. 2008. Autophagy protects against hypoxic injury in C. 809 elegans. Autophagy 4:1034-1041. doi:10.4161/auto.6994 810 Sato M, Sato K. 2011. Degradation of paternal mitochondria by fertilization-triggered autophagy in C. 811 elegans embryos. Science 334:1141-1144. doi:10.1126/science.1210333 812 Sato M, Sato Katsuya, Tomura K, Kosako H, Sato Ken. 2018. The autophagy receptor ALLO-1 and the 813 IKKE-1 kinase control clearance of paternal mitochondria in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Cell 814 Biol 20:81-91. doi:10.1038/s41556-017-0008-9 815 Schaaf MBE, Keulers TG, Vooijs MA, Rouschop KMA. 2016. LC3/GABARAP family proteins: autophagy-816 (un)related functions. The FASEB Journal 30:3961-3978. doi:10.1096/fj.201600698R 817 Scherz-Shouval R. Sagiv Y. Shorer H. Elazar Z. 2003. The COOH terminus of GATE-16. an intra-Golgi 818 transport modulator, is cleaved by the human cysteine protease HsApg4A. J Biol Chem 278:14053-14058. doi:10.1074/jbc.M212108200 819 820 Shpilka T, Weidberg H, Pietrokovski S, Elazar Z. 2011. Atg8: an autophagy-related ubiquitin-like 821 protein family. Genome Biol 12:226. doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-7-226 822 Springhorn A, Hoppe T. 2019. Western blot analysis of the autophagosomal membrane protein LGG-823 1/LC3 in Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods Enzymol 619:319–336. 824 doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2018.12.034 825 Tian Y, Li Z, Hu W, Ren H, Tian E, Zhao Y, Lu Q, Huang X, Yang P, Li X, Wang X, Kovács AL, Yu L, Zhang 826 H. 2010. C. elegans screen identifies autophagy genes specific to multicellular organisms. Cell 827 141:1042-1055. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.04.034 828 Vujić N, Bradić I, Goeritzer M, Kuentzel KB, Rainer S, Kratky D, Radović B. 2021. ATG7 is dispensable 829 for LC3-PE conjugation in thioglycolate-elicited mouse peritoneal macrophages. Autophagy 830 1-6. doi:10.1080/15548627.2021.1874132 831 Weidberg H, Shvets E, Shpilka T, Shimron F, Shinder V, Elazar Z. 2010. LC3 and GATE-16/GABARAP 832 subfamilies are both essential yet act differently in autophagosome biogenesis. The EMBO 833 Journal 29:1792-1802. doi:10.1038/emboj.2010.74 834 Wesch N, Kirkin V, Rogov VV. 2020. Atg8-Family Proteins-Structural Features and Molecular 835 Interactions in Autophagy and Beyond. Cells 9:E2008. doi:10.3390/cells9092008 836 Wilkinson DS, Jariwala JS, Anderson E, Mitra K, Meisenhelder J, Chang JT, Ideker T, Hunter T, Nizet V, 837 Dillin A, Hansen M. 2015. Phosphorylation of LC3 by the Hippo Kinases STK3/STK4 Is Essential 838 for Autophagy. Molecular Cell 57:55-68. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.11.019 839 Wu F, Li Y, Wang F, Noda NN, Zhang H. 2012. Differential Function of the Two Atg4 Homologues in 840 the Aggrephagy Pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biol Chem 287:29457–29467. 841 doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.365676

- 842 Wu F, Watanabe Y, Guo X-Y, Qi X, Wang P, Zhao H-Y, Wang Z, Fujioka Y, Zhang Hui, Ren J-Q, Fang T-C, 843 Shen Y-X, Feng W, Hu J-J, Noda NN, Zhang Hong. 2015. Structural Basis of the Differential 844 Function of the Two C. elegans Atg8 Homologs, LGG-1 and LGG-2, in Autophagy. Molecular 845 Cell 60:914-929. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.11.019 846 Xie Z, Nair U, Klionsky DJ. 2008. Atg8 controls phagophore expansion during autophagosome 847 formation. Mol Biol Cell 19:3290-3298. doi:10.1091/mbc.e07-12-1292 848 Zhang G, Wang Z, Du Z, Zhang H. 2018. mTOR Regulates Phase Separation of PGL Granules to 849 Modulate Their Autophagic Degradation. Cell 174:1492-1506.e22. 850 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.006 851 Zhang Y, Yan L, Zhou Z, Yang P, Tian E, Zhang K, Zhao Y, Li Z, Song B, Han J, Miao L, Zhang H. 2009. 852 SEPA-1 mediates the specific recognition and degradation of P granule components by 853 autophagy in C. elegans. Cell 136:308-321. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.022 854 Zhou Q, Li Haimin, Li Hanzeng, Nakagawa A, Lin JLJ, Lee E-S, Harry BL, Skeen-Gaar RR, Suehiro Y, 855 William D, Mitani S, Yuan HS, Kang B-H, Xue D. 2016. Mitochondrial endonuclease G 856 mediates breakdown of paternal mitochondria upon fertilization. Science 353:394–399. 857 doi:10.1126/science.aaf4777 858 859 860 861 **Figure legends** 862 Figure 1 - G116A abolishes the conjugation of LGG-1 to the membrane but not its cleavage 863
- (A) Schematic representation of the various isoforms of Atg8s proteins after cleavage of the
   precursor and reversible conjugation to a phosphatidylethanolamine (PE).
- (B) Diagram of the theoretical proteins produced by the allelic *lgg-1* series used in this study. LGG-1( $\Delta$ ) protein corresponds to the reference allele *lgg-1(tm3489)*, considered as a null, all others mutants have been generated using CRISP-Cas9. Black arrows point to the di-glycine residues which are mutated in alanine or stop codon (\*). Other deletion mutants of the Cterminus result from non-homologous end joining. The mapping of the epitopes recognized by the LGG-1 antibodies (Ab#1, 2, 3) used in this study are indicated by horizontal grey arrows.
- 873 (C) Western blot analysis of endogenous LGG-1 from total protein extracts of *wild-type, lgg-*874 1(G116A), *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)*, *lgg-1(G116AG117A)*, *lgg-1(\Delta)* young adults. The data shown 875 is representative of three experiments using Ab#3 and was confirmed with Ab#1. The 876 theoretic molecular mass of the precursor, and the form I are 14.8kDa and 14.0 kDa, 877 respectively, while the lipidated form II migrates faster. The asterisk indicates an unknown 878 band. The quantification of each LGG-1 isoforms was normalized using tubulin.
- (D-L) Immunofluorescence analysis of endogenous LGG-1 (Ab#1 or Ab#2) in early and late 879 880 embryos in wild-type (D),  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  (E), lgg-1(G116A) (F),  $lgg-1(\Delta 112-123)$  (G),  $lgg-1(\Delta 112-12$  $1(G116AG117^*)$  (H), lgg-1(G116AG117A) (I), lgg-1( $\Delta$ 100-123) (J). Inset in E shows the 881 882 corresponding DAPI staining of nuclei. Box-plots quantification showing the absence of 883 puncta in all *lqq-1* mutants (K, left n= 19, 13, 11, 10, 6, 7, 6; right n= 18, 14, 12, 10, 10, 9, 12) and the increase of cytosolic staining in lgg-1(G116A) and lgg-1(G116AG117\*) (L, n= 19, 13, 884 11, 10). Kruskal Wallis test, p-value \*<0.05, \*\*<0.01, \*\*\*\*<0.0001, NS non-significant. Scale 885 bar is 10 µm. 886

(M) Cellular fractionation of membrane vesicles. Western blot analysis for detection of LGG-887 888 1 together with LGG-2 (autophagosome marker), SEL-1 (ER marker), and CDC-48 (ERassociated and cytosol) using supernatant (S) and pellet (P) fractions of lgg-1 wild-type, lgg-889 1(G116A), and lgg-1(G116AG117\*) worm lysates treated with fractionation buffer (-), 890 sodium chloride (NaCl) or Triton X-100 (TX-100) after subcellular fractionation. Proteins 891 892 associated with membranes are solubilized by NaCl, and resident proteins in membrane-893 bound organelles are released only by dissolving the membrane with detergents. While wildtype LGG-1 is detected in the cytosolic fraction (input S) and in the various membrane 894 895 fractions, mutant LGG-1 is almost exclusively present in the cytosolic fraction in lgg-1(G116A) and lgg-1(G116AG117\*). 896

897

## Figure 2 - *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* mutants are viable with no developmental defect

900 (A-G) DIC images of embryos after morphogenesis in *wild-type* (A),  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  (B), lgg-1(G116A)

901 (C),  $lgg-1(G116AG117^*)$  (D), lgg-1(G116AG117A) (E),  $lgg-1(\Delta 112-123)$  (F),  $lgg-1(\Delta 100-123)$ 902 (G). lgg-1(G116AG117A),  $lgg-1(\Delta 112-123)$ ,  $lgg-1(\Delta 100-123)$ ,  $lgg-1(\Delta)$  mutant embryos

- present severe developmental defects. Short and long white arrows point to the anterior (a)
  and posterior (p) part of the pharynx, respectively. Scale bar is 10 μm.
- 905 (H) The viability, expressed as the percentage of embryos reaching adulthood, is not affected
  906 in *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* mutants (42<n<103).</li>
- 907 (I) The fertility, total number of progenies, of *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* adults is
  908 similar to *wild-type* (n=20).
- 909

# Figure 3 - Autophagy is functional in *lgg-1(G116A)* but not in *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* and *lgg-*1(G116AG117A)

- 912 (A-E) *In vivo* epifluorescence images of paternal mitochondria (HSP-6::GFP) at the 1-cell and 913 15-cells stages in *wild-type* (A), *Igg-1(\Delta)* (B), *Igg-1(G116A*) (C), *Igg-1(G116AG117\*)*(D), *Igg-*914 1(*G116AG117A*)(E) embryos showing an effective degradation of paternal mitochondria in *wt* 915 and *Igg-1(G116A*) but not in *Igg-1(\Delta) Igg-1(G116AG117\**) and *Igg-1(G116AG117A*). 916 Quantification are shown in (F).
- 917 (**G**, **H**) Bulk autophagy during aging and stress was assessed by worm longevity (G, log rank 918 test n > 100 animals, p-value \*\*\*\*<0.001) and starvation survival (H, Chi-square test at day 919 15 p-value \*\*\*\*<0.001). The survival is significantly reduced in  $lgg-1(\Delta)$ , lgg-1(G116AG117\*)920 and lgg-1(G116AG117A) compared to *wt* and lgg-1(G116A). NS non-significant.
- 921 (I) Box-plots quantification of apoptotic corpses showing a defective degradation in *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117A)* but not in *lgg-1(G116A)* (n=22, 40, 46, 14, 21
   923 Kruskal Wallis test \*\*\*<0.001, \*\*\*\*<0.0001, NS non-significant).</li>
- 924

### 925 Figure 4 - Autophagy but not developmental function of LGG-1(G116A) partially depends

926 on LGG-2

927 (A-F) DIC images of embryos and bright field images of adults in *wild-type* (A), *lgg-2(tm5755)* 928 (B, E), *lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755)* (C, F). The double mutant *lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755)* 929 animals have no morphogenetic defects and no decrease in viability compare to single 930 mutants or the *lgg-1(\Delta)* (quantification in D).

(G-H) Bulk autophagy during stress and aging was assessed by starvation survival (G, Chi-931 square test at day 9 \*\*\*p-value<0.001) and worm longevity (H, log rank test n>100 animals, 932 933 \*\*\*p-value<0.001, \*\*\*\*p-value<0.0001). The survival of double mutants lgg-1(G116A); lgg-934 2(tm5755) and lgg-1(G116AG117\*); lgg-2(5755) is reduced compared to wild-type and single 935 mutant lgg-1(G116A) and lgg-2(tm5755). lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755) animals survive to starvation better than *lqq-1(G116AG117\*); lqq-2(5755*) and present a slightly higher lifespan. 936 937 (I-K) In vivo epifluorescence imaging of paternal mitochondria (HSP-6::GFP) at the 1-cell, 15-938 cells and 30-cells stages in lgg-2(tm5755), (I) lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(tm5755) (J) embryos and quantification (n=50, 39, 35, 45, 46 Chi-square test \*\*\*\*<0.0001) (K). Elimination of 939

940 mitochondria is efficient but delayed in *lgg-1(G116A); lgg-2(5755*) compared to *lgg-*

- 941 *1(G116A)*. Insets show the corresponding DIC pictures.
  942 Scale bar is 10 μm (A-C, I, J) or 100 μm (E, F).
- 943

#### 944 Figure 5 - The degradation of autophagosomes is delayed in *lgg-1(G116A)*

945 (A-F) Confocal images of LGG-2 immunofluorescence in 2 cells, 4 cells and 15 cells in *wild-type* (A), *lgg-1(Δ)* (B), *lgg-1(G116A)* (C), *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* (D) and quantification of the
947 number (E) and size of puncta (F) (embryo analyzed 19, 37, 28, 14; Mann-Whitney test, p948 value \*\*\*\*<0.0001). In *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* mutants LGG-2 is detected as
949 heterogeneous sparse structures that persist.

950 (**G-J**) Colocalization analysis of paternal mitochondria (HSP-6::GFP) and LGG-2 puncta (H) 951 from confocal images of *wild-type* (H), *lgg-1(G116A)* (I) and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* (J) early 952 embryos. (Mean + SD, n = 16, 20, 12, Kruskal Wallis test p-value\*<0.05). The clustering of 953 paternal mitochondria and LGG-2 autophagosomes are absent in *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* where HSP-6::GFP and LGG-2 puncta are mainly separated with rare 955 colocalization events (yellow arrows).

956 (K) Western blot analysis of endogenous LGG-2 from total protein extracts from *wild-type*, 957 *lgg-1(G116A)*, *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)*, *lgg-1(\Delta)* young adults. The quantification of LGG-2 upper 958 and lower bands was normalized using tubulin.

959

#### 960 Figure 6 - The cleaved LGG-1 is sufficient for autophagosome biogenesis

961 (A-J) Electron microscopy images of autophagosomes in *wild-type* (A), *lgg-1(\Delta*) (B), *lgg-*962 1(*G116A*) (C-E), *lgg-1(G116AG117\**) (F-G), *lgg-1(G116A*); *lgg-2(tm5755*) (H), *lgg-*963 1(*G116AG117\**) *lgg-2(tm5755*) (I) and *lgg-2(tm5755)* (J) early embryos. Type 1 964 autophagosomes (A, C, D) appear as closed structures containing various membrane 965 organelles. Among those, sequestered paternal mitochondria (black arrows) are observed in 966 *wild-type* and *lgg-1(G116A*) embryos but remain unsequestered in *lgg-1(\Delta*) and *lgg-*967 1(*G116AG117\**) embryos. Type 2 autophagosomes (E, white arrow in H, J) appear as closed

- structures containing unidentified or degraded materials. Type 3 structures (G, I) are multilamellar structures only detected in *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos. Scale bar is 200 nm.
- 970 (K) Quantification of type 1, type 2 and type 3 structures in early embryo (1 to 12 cells). In
  971 *lgg-1(G116A)* embryos, the numbers of type 1 and type 2 autophagosomal structures
  972 increase supporting a retarded degradation. The formation of autophagosomes in *lgg-*973 *1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos is partially dependent of LGG-2 (n sections= 32,
  974 62, 32, 19, 32, 26, 52).
- 975

## Figure 7 - The lipidated LGG-1 is involved in the coordination between cargo recognition and autophagosome biogenesis.

(A-E) Confocal images of ATG-18::GFP (green) and LGG-2 (red) immunofluorescence in *wild-type* (H), *lgg-1(RNAi)* (I), *lgg-1(G116A)* (J), *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* (K) 100-cells embryos. Insets are 2-fold magnification of the white boxed regions. (E) Compared to ATG-18 puncta the number of colocalization is decreased in *lgg-1(RNAi)* (p-value <0.05) and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* (p-value\*<0.001) but not *lgg-1(G116A)* embryos (mean + SD, n= 10, 10, 10, 10; Kruskal Wallis p-value\*<0.05\*\*<0.01).</li>

- 984 (F-K) Quantification (F) and maximum projections of epifluorescence images of the 985 aggrephagy cargo SEPA-1::GFP in 1.5 fold embryos for *wild-type* (G), *lgg-1(\Delta*) (H), *lgg-*986 1(G116A) (I), *lgg-1*(G116AG117\*) (J) and *lgg-1*(G116AG117A) (K). Boxplots of SEPA-1::GFP 987 dots (n=10) (F) indicate that the degradation is stronger in *lgg-1*(G116A) embryos than in *lgg-*988 1(RNAi), *lgg-1*(G116AG117\*) and *lgg-1*(G116AG117A) but weaker than in *wt*.
- 989 (L-P) Confocal images of SEPA-1::GFP (green) and LGG-1 (L, M) or LGG-2 (N, O) (red) 990 immunofluorescence in *wild-type* (L, N) and *lgg-1(G116A)* (M, O) 100-cells embryos. Insets 991 are 2.5-fold magnification of the white boxed regions. In *lgg-1(G116A)* embryos LGG-2-992 positive/ LGG-1-negative autophagosomes are detected close to SEPA-1::GFP cargos but with 993 a decreased overlap. P) Box-plots of the overlap between green and red pixels (Manders 994 coefficient) in *wild-type* and *lgg-1(G116A)* (n=11, 13; Mann-Whitney test \*\*<0.01).
- 995 Scale bar is 10  $\mu$ m (A-K) or 5  $\mu$ m (L- O).
- 996 997

#### 998 Supplementary figures

999

#### 1000 Figure 1-figure supplement 1 - Description of *lgg-1* alleles

(A) Schematic representation of *lgg-1* locus indicating the exon/intron composition and the localization of the PAM used for CRISPR-Cas9. The names of the alleles and the theoretical sequences of the proteins series are indicated. Residues in red are the results of the point mutations and residues in blue italics are the theoretical consequence of frameshifts. The diglycine motif in position 116-117 is shown in green and the red arrow points to the conserved glycine 116. The grey box shows the aligned sequence of *S. cerevisiae* Atg8

1007 **(B)** Comparison of Atg8/GABARAP homologs in worm (Ce), fly (Dm), human (Hs), mouse 1008 (Mm), zebrafish (Dr), plants (At, Gm), yeasts (Sp, Sc), amoeba (Dd) and parasite protozoa (Ld), identified in the eukaryotic proteomes from NCBI landmark Blast database. The
 conserved core sequence (blue box) is represented using a LOGO analysis (after removal of a
 small insertion in At Atg8D and in two Gm uncharacterized homologs) and the variable N and C-terminus sequences are indicated in light beige. Green arrows points to the invariable
 glycine 116.

1014

#### 1015 Figure 1-figure supplement 2 - Identification of LGG-1(G116A) and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) forms

(A) Western blot analysis of affinity purified samples using LC3 traps. Molecular weightmarkers (kDa) are indicated on the right.

(B) Protein sequence, and peptides coverage of LGG-1(G116AG117\*) identified by mass
 spectrometry analyses after trypsin treatment (blue underline). The MS/MS fragmentation of
 the C-terminal peptide 89-116 (green) identifying the truncated form is shown below.

1021 (C) Protein sequence and peptides coverage of LGG-1(G116A) identified by mass 1022 spectrometry analyses after trypsin treatment (blue underline). The yellow underline 1023 indicates a C-terminal 111-123 peptide identified after trypsin and AspN digestion. The 1024 MS/MS fragmentation of the C-terminal peptide 107-121 (red box) identifying the precursor 1025 form and peptide 89-116 (green) identifying the cleaved form are shown below.

- (D-G) Mutant for the protease *atg-4.1(bp501)* (D) still presents few small puncta (arrows) in
   wild-type due to the presence of a paralog, *atg-4.2*. Autophagosome maturation defective
   *epg-5(RNAi*) embryos accumulate LGG-1 positive autophagosomes (E) but do not show
   puncta in *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos (F, G). Scale bar is 10 μm.
- (H) Schematic representation of the forms present in the wild-type and *lgg-1* mutants based
   on western-blot, immunofluorescence and mass spectrometry analyses.
- 1032

#### 1033 Figure 1-figure supplement 3 - GFP::LGG-1(G116A) does not localize to autophagosomes

(A) Western blot analysis of GFP::LGG-1 and GFP::LGG-1(G116A) using Ab#3, from total
 protein extracts from young adults. Accumulation of the precursor but absence of form II is
 observed. Molecular weight markers (kDa) are indicated on the left.

(B) Schema of the experimental approach indicating the GFP reporters and the autophagy
 steps affected upon specific RNAi depletion. A 60 minutes heat-stress at 37°C induces an
 autophagy flux.

1040 (C-D) In vivo epifluorescence images of GFP::LGG-1(wt) (left columns) and GFP::LGG-1041 1(G116A) (right columns) in the epidermis of control, atg-3(RNAi), atg-7(RNAi), epg-5(RNAi), and rab-7(RNAi) 4<sup>th</sup> stage larvae. GFP::LGG-1(wt) puncta indicate the 1042 autophagosomes formed upon autophagy induction. The alteration of the conjugation 1043 1044 process (atq-3, atq-7) or the fusion with the lysosome (epq-5, rab-7) results in a decrease or 1045 an accumulation of the puncta, respectively. GFP::LGG-1(G116A) does not localize to 1046 autophagosomes even in the conditions of strong accumulation. The zoomed images are 3 1047 fold magnifications of the dotted rectangles. Scale bar is 50 µm. Quantifications are shown in 1048 (D) (n=10, 10, 6, 7, 10, 10, 4, 8, 10, 10, 7, 10, 10, 10, 4, 6, 10, 10, 5, 6).

1049 (E-F) Electron microscopy images of GFP-immunolabeled GFP::LGG-1(wt) and GFP::LGG-1050 1(G116A) in the epidermis of 4<sup>th</sup> stage larvae after heat stress. Arrows indicates gold beads 1051 present on autophagosomes and quantifications are shown in (F). In GFP::LGG-1(G116A) 1052 animals labelled autophagosomes are very rare and beads are located in the lumen (n=110, 1053 116, from 4 independent immunostainings). Scale bar is 200 nm.

1054

Figure 3-figure supplement 1 - Autophagy is functional in *lgg-1(G116A)* but not in *lgg- 1(G116AG117\*)*

- 1057 (A-B) *In vivo* epifluorescence images of paternal mitochondria (left, mitotracker) and 1058 corresponding DIC images (right) at the 1-4 cells and 20-50 cells stages in *wild-type*, *lgg-1*( $\Delta$ ), 1059 *lgg-1*(*G116A*), *lgg-1*(*G116AG117\**) embryos showing an effective degradation of paternal 1060 mitochondria in *wt* and *lgg-1*(*G116A*) but not in *lgg-1*( $\Delta$ ) *lgg-1*(*G116AG117\**). Quantifications 1061 are shown in (B).
- 1062 (C) DIC images of 1.5 fold stage *wild-type*,  $lgg-1(\Delta)$ , lgg-1(G116A),  $lgg-1(G116AG117^*)$  and 1063 lgg-1(G116AG117A) embryos. Apoptotic corpses in the head region are indicated by yellow 1064 arrows. The quantification of apoptotic corpses is shown in Figure 3I. Scale bar is 10 µm.
- 1065
- 1066Figure 3-figure supplement 2 Atg8(G116A) and Atg8(G116AR117\*) are functional for1067vacuolar shaping but not for autophagy in S. cerevisiae
- 1068 (A) Schematic representation of wild-type and mutant Atg8 proteins.
- 1069 **(B)** Autophagic activity is abolished in *S. cerevisiae* mutant strains *atg8G116A* or *atg8G116A*-1070 *R117* $\Delta$  forms of Atg8. Autophagic activity of wild-type, *atg1* $\Delta$ , *atg8* $\Delta$ , *atg8G116A* and 1071 *atg8G116A*R117\* strains was measured using the quantitative Pho8 $\Delta$ 60 assay, before (+N) 1072 and after (-N) 4 hours of nitrogen starvation. The data shown are the mean ± s.e.m. of 3 1073 independent experiments (Mann-Whitney test, p-value\*\*\*\*<0.0001).
- 1074 (C) S. *cerevisiae* mutants *atg8Δ*, *atg8G116A* or *atg8G116AR117\** have a similar cell viability
   1075 defect upon nitrogen starvation.
- 1076 **(D)** Epifluorescence image of FM4-64 staining of the vacuole of *wild-type* and *atg8* mutant 1077 strains (single focal plan).
- 1078 **(E)** Quantification of vacuoles in wild-type and *atg8* mutants. *atg8G116A* and 1079 *atg8G116AR117\** mutants present a less severe vacuolar phenotype than *atg8* $\Delta$  mutant (n > 1080 200 cells, Chi-square test, p-value \*<0.05, \*\*\*<0.001, \*\*\*\*<0.0001).
- 1081 (F) Rescue assays of  $atg8\Delta$  by lgg-1(wt), lgg-1(G116A) and  $lgg-1(G116AG117^*)$ . In the upper part, the survival in nitrogen starvation of  $atg8\Delta$  cells transformed with lgg-1(wt) or ATG8 is 1082 1083 compared with *atg8* and *wild-type*. In the lower part, the survival in nitrogen starvation of 1084 atg8 $\Delta$  cells transformed with lgg-1(wt), lgg-1(G116A), lgg-1(G116AG117\*) are compared with atg84. The percentage of surviving cells was calculated in comparison with day 0. lgg-1085 1086 1(G116A) expression improves the survival but less efficiently than lgg-1(wt). Black and red 1087 stars show statistical difference with wild-type and *atg8*<sup>4</sup>, respectively, Mann-Whitney test, p-value \*\*<0.001). 1088

1089

#### 1090 Figure 5- figure supplement 1 - Colocalization quantification of HSP-6::GFP and LGG-2

1091 Quantification of puncta positive for the paternal mitochondria marker HSP-6::GFP, the 1092 autophagosomal marker LGG-2 and both, in early embryos *wild-type*, *lgg-1(G116A)* and *lgg-*1093 *1(G116AG117\*)* (Mean + SD, n= 16, 20, 12 Kruskal Wallis p-value\*<0.05, \*\*<0.01).

1094

## 1095Figure 7- figure supplement 1 - LGG-1(G116A) function in aggrephagy is dependent on1096UNC-51 and EPG-2

1097 (**A-H**) *In vivo* confocal images of ATG-18::GFP, showing the initiation of autophagosome 1098 biogenesis, in 50-100 cells embryos for *wild-type* (A), *lgg-1(\Delta*)(B), *lgg-1(G116A*) (C), *lgg-*1099 *1(G116AG117\*)* (D), *unc-51* (*RNAI*)(E), *unc-51(RNAI*);*lgg-1(\Delta*)(F), *unc-51(RNAI*);*lgg-*1100 *1(G116A*)(G) and *unc-51(RNAI*);*lgg-1(G116AG117\**)(H).

(I, J) Boxplots of the number of ATG-18::GFP puncta (P) (n=13, 24, 10, 14, 28, 36, 27, 20) and
 the mean fluorescent intensity (Q)(n= 42, 45, 55, 140, 145, 130,115, 100) (Kruskal-Wallis test
 p-value \*<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001, NS non-significant)</li>

(K-O) Maximum projections of epifluorescence images of the aggrephagy cargo SEPA-1::GFP
in 1.5 fold embryos for *epg-2(RNAi)* (K), *lgg-1(G116A) epg-2(RNAi)* (L), *lgg-1(G116AG117\*) epg-2(RNAi)* (M) and *lgg-1(G116AG117A) epg-2(RNAi)* (N). Boxplots of SEPA-1::GFP dots
(n=10, Kruskal Wallis p-value\*\*\*<0.001, NS non-significant) (O). The degradation of SEPA-1::GFP in *lgg-1(G116A)* animals is dependent on EPG-2. Scale bar is 10 μm.

- 1109
- 1110 Source data
- 1111

#### 1112 Figure1-Source\_Data1

- 1113 Folder containing original microscopy pictures, quantification data and western blots shown
- 1114 in Figure 1
- 1115 Figure2-Source\_Data1
- 1116 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 2
- 1117 Figure3-Source\_Data1
- 1118 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 3
- 1119 Figure4-Source\_Data1
- 1120 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 4
- 1121 Figure5-Source\_Data1
- 1122 Folder containing original microscopy pictures, quantification data and western blots shown
- 1123 in Figure 5
- 1124 Figure6-Source\_Data1
- 1125 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 6
- 1126 Figure7-Source\_Data1
- 1127 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 7
- 1128 Figure1-figure-supplement2-Source\_Data1
- 1129 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 1-
- 1130 figure-supplement2

- 1131 Figure1-figure-suplement3-Source\_Data1
- 1132 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure 1-
- 1133 figure-supplement3
- 1134 Figure3-figure-supplement1-Source\_Data1
- 1135 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure3-
- 1136 figure-supplement1
- 1137 Figure3-figure-supplement2-Source\_Data1
- 1138 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure3-
- 1139 figure-supplement2
- 1140 Figure5-figure-supplement1-Source\_Data1
- 1141 Folder containing quantification data shown in Figure5-figure-supplement1
- 1142 Figure7-figure-supplement1-Source\_Data1
- 1143 Folder containing original microscopy pictures and quantification data shown in Figure7-
- 1144 figure-supplement1

1145

1	Appendix 1
2	
3	LGG-1(wt) and LGG-1(G116A) partially restore the survival to nitrogen starvation of yeast
4	atg8(Δ)
5	Our results demonstrate that the localization of LGG-1 to the membrane is dispensable for
6	autophagy and other functions. To address whether it is a particularity of C. elegans, a similar
7	strategy was performed for Atg8 in the yeast S. cerevisiae. Atg8 precursor ends with an
8	arginine at position 117 (Figure 1-figure supplement 3A) and using mutant proteins expressed
9	from centromeric plasmids the Oshumi lab (Kirisako et al., 2000; Nakatogawa et al., 2012) has
10	shown that G116 is essential for autophagy. The endogenous ATG8 was modified by an
11	homologous recombination strategy to generate atg8(G116A) and atg8(G116AR117*) alleles,
12	and the autophagy flux was assessed using the Pho8 $\Delta$ 60 reporter (Noda and Klionsky, 2008).
13	Both atg8(G116A) and atg8(G116AR117*) mutants were unable to achieve a functional
14	autophagy and behave similarly to $atg8(\Delta)$ or $atg1(\Delta)$ null mutants (Figure 1-figure
15	supplement 3B). The analysis of nitrogen starvation survival showed that both <i>atg8(G116A)</i>
16	and <i>atg8(G116AR117*)</i> strains were unable to recover after a 4 days starvation, similarly to
17	atg1 $\Delta$ and atg8 $\Delta$ (Figure 1-figure supplement 3C).
18	The non-autophagy function of <i>atg8(G116A)</i> and <i>atg8(G116AR117*)</i> mutants was assessed by
19	analyzing the shape of the vacuole (Banta et al., 1988). During exponential growth, $atg8(\Delta)$
20	cells frequently presented multiple small vacuoles compared to wild-type cells which harbor
21	usually less than 4 vacuoles (Figure 1-figure supplement 3D, E). The incidence of defective
22	vacuolar shape decreased in <i>atg8(G116A)</i> and <i>atg8(G116AR117*)</i> cells indicating that the non
23	autophagy functions were partially maintained. These data suggest that in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> the
24	non-autophagy functions of Atg8 are partially independent of its cleavage and conjugation.
25	Args mutant was then used to investigate whether the functionality of LGG-1(G116A) in
26	autophagy was restricted to <i>C. elegans</i> . The capacity of LGG-1(G116A) to restore hitrogen
27	starvation survival to $atgs(\Delta)$ mutant cells was compared with LGG-1(wt) and LGG-
28	I(GII6AGII/*). The corresponding cDNAs were cloned in a centromeric vector and the
29	proteins were expressed in $atg8(2)$ mutants. The expression of LGG-1(Wt) and LGG-1(G116A),
30	but not EGG-1(G116AG1177), improved weakly the introgen starvation survival indicating a
27 27	of LGG-1/G116A) is not restricted to <i>C</i> elegans supporting on intrinsic property of LGG-1 form
52 22	i Log-1(G110A) is not restricted to c. elegans, supporting an intrinsic property of Log-1 form
33	1.
<u>э</u> г	
35	
36 27	LGG-1(G116A) function in aggrephagy is dependent on UNC-51 and EPG-2
32 38	Data in yeast and mammals have revealed that $\Delta t\sigma 8/1C3/G\Delta R\Delta R\Delta P$ can interact with
36 37 38	LGG-1(G116A) function in aggrephagy is dependent on UNC-51 and EPG-2 Data in yeast and mammals have revealed that Atg8/LC3/GABARAP can interact with

Atg1/ULK1 and modify the kinase activity of the ULK1 complex (Alemu et al., 2012; Grunwald
et al., 2020; Kraft et al., 2012; Nakatogawa et al., 2012). In *C. elegans*, LGG-1 can directly binds

41 UNC-51/ULK1 (Wu et al., 2015) and the cargo SEPA-1 (Zhang et al., 2009) and could have an 42 early function for initiating aggrephagy (Lu et al., 2011). To decipher the function of LGG-1 form I in the induction of autophagy we performed a genetic approach. Using RNAi we 43 depleted UNC-51 and quantified the initiation events in vivo in wild-type,  $lag-1(\Delta)$ ,  $lag-1(\Delta)$ 44 1(G116A), and lqq-1(G116AG117\*) embryos (Figure 7-figure supplement 1A-J). As expected, 45 46 depleting UNC-51 resulted in the decrease of ATG-18 puncta while depleting LGG-1 lead to 47 the increase of ATG-18 intensity and number of puncta. The decrease of ATG-18::GFP puncta after co-depletion of UNC-51 and LGG-1 indicated that LGG-1 functions depends on UNC-51. 48 49 For *lqg-1(G116A*) animals, a small decrease was observed in the number of puncta compared 50 to the wild-type animals but no change in the total signal of ATG-18::GFP. Moreover, the depletion of UNC-51 further decreased ATG-18::GFP puncta and intensity confirming that 51 LGG-1(G116A) almost behaves like the wild-type LGG-1 for the initiation (Figure 7-figure 52 supplement 1C, G, I, J). In *Igq-1(G116AG117\*)* embryos, a marked increase of ATG-18 puncta 53 54 was observed, but contrarily to  $lgq-1(\Delta)$ , the number did not decrease when UNC-51 was depleted (Figure 7-figure supplement 1D, H, I, J). These data confirm electron microscopy 55 observations and support a neomorphic function for the truncated LGG-1(G116AG117\*), 56 57 independent of ULK1 complex.

Finally, we analyzed whether the cargoes degradation by LGG-1(G116A) was dependent of the scaffolding protein EPG-2 (Figure 7-figure supplement 1K-O). Aggregate-prone proteins are degraded through autophagy in *C. elegans* embryo through liquid-liquid phase separation promoted by the receptor SEPA-1 and regulated by the scaffolding protein EPG-2 (Zhang et al., 2018). The depletion of EPG-2 induced the persistence of SEPA-1::GFP aggregates in wildtype and in *lgg-1(G116A)* embryos. This data indicates that the function of LGG-1(G116A) for degrading SEPA-1 is dependent of EPG-2.

65

#### 66 Supplementary Material and Methods

67

#### 68 Immunostaining and electron microscopy

200 µm-deep flat carriers (Leica Biosystems) were incubated few minutes in 1% 69 phosphatidylcholine (Sigma-Aldrich, 61755) in chloroform. Young adults were transferred to 70 71 the carriers containing 20% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, A7030) in M9 buffer, followed by cryo-72 immobilization in the EMPACT-2 HPF apparatus (Leica Microsystems) and cryo-substitution 73 with Automated Freeze-substitution System (AFS2, Leica Microsystems). Cryosubtitution medium, composed by 0.1% acetate uranyl in acetone, for 3 days with a slow increase of the 74 75 temperature from -90°C to -15°C. After several washes of acetone and ethanol at -15°C, samples were incubated successively in 25% to 100% LRWHITE resin (Electron Microscopy 76 77 Sciences, 14381) in ethanol, then UV-polymerized 24 hours at -15°C. 80 nm thin sections were collected on a Nickel 100-mesh grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, FCF-100-Ni) and 78 79 immunostained with the immunogold labelling system (IGL, Leica microsystem). Samples were 80 labelled during 1 h with the primary rabbit anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab6556; 1:10 dilution 81 in 0.1% BSA in PBS), washed 4 times for 2min with PBS, and twice for 5 min with 0.1% BSA in

- PBS. Samples where then labelled during 30 min with the secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody
- coupled to 10-nm colloidal gold particles (Biovalley, 810.011) at 1:20 dilution in 0.1% BSA in
- PBS. Sections were contrasted with 2% uranyl acetate (Merck, 8473) for 8 min and 0.08 M lead
- citrate (Sigma-Aldrich, 15326) for 2 min, and were observed with a Jeol 1400 TEM at 120 kV
- 86 equipped with a Gatan SC1000 Orius CCD camera (Roper Industries).
- 87

#### 88 S. cerevisiae culture and strains

Yeast cells were grown to log phase in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% bactopeptone and 2%
glucose) or complete synthetic medium (CSM) without uracil or leucine. The reference strain
is BY4742. Other strain and genotypes are listed in the Key Resources Table.

92

#### 93 S. cerevisiae culture and autophagy assays

94 The quantitative Pho8 $\Delta$ 60 assay for bulk autophagy, was performed as described (Noda and 95 Klionsky, 2008). Cells were grown to log phase in YPD medium then were transferred to nitrogen starvation medium for 4h. At different time point, 5 OD<sub>600</sub> units of cells were 96 collected, washed and resuspended in ice-cold assay buffer (250mM Tris-HCl, pH 9; 10mM 97 MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 10  $\mu$ M ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) with 1mM PMSF. Then cells were broken using glass beads. For the 98 99 assay, 10  $\mu$ l of lysed cells are added to 500  $\mu$ l of ice-cold assay buffer, placed at 30°C for 5 min before tadding 50  $\mu$ l of 55 mM  $\alpha$ -naphthyl phosphate disodium salt for 20 min at 30°C. The 100 reaction was stopped with 500 µl of 2M glycine-NaOH, pH 11 and the fluorescence measured 101 102 (345 nm excitation /472 nm emission). The Pho8∆60 activity corresponds to light emission per 103 amount of protein in the reaction (mg) and reaction time (min).

104 The number of vacuoles was counted after incubation of exponentially growing cells with 105 FM4-64 (33  $\mu$ M) in YPD medium at 30°C for one hour, washing and imaging.

For survival to nitrogen starvation cells were grown to log phase in appropriate complete synthetic medium (CSM) and transferred to nitrogen starvation medium (0.17% yeast nitrogen base and 2% glucose). After 0 to 6 days of starvation, cells were spread on YPD plates and colonies were counted after 2 days at 30°C. For LGG-1 rescue assays, LGG-1(G116A) and LGG-1(G116AG117\*) were generated by PCR amplification from cDNA LGG-1 and cloned in pRS416 vector under the control of GPD promoter.

112



Figure 1 Leboutet et al.



Figure 2 Leboutet et al.



Figure 3 Leboutet et al



Figure 4 Leboutet et al.



Figure 5 Leboutet et al.



Figure 6 Leboutet et al.



Figure 7 Leboutet et al.

Δ			1 288 341 PAM 424
~	lgg-	-1 locus	ATG Exon1 Exon2
Allele	è	Putative pro	bein sequence wt
			1 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 116 120
+	~	LGG-1	MKWAYKEENNFEKRRAEGDKIRRKYPDRIPVIVEKAPKSKLHDLDKKKYLVPSDLTVGQFYFLIRKRIQLRPEDALFFFVNNVIPQTMTTMGQLYQDHHEEDLFLYIAYSDESVYGGEVEKKE*
tm34	89	$\Delta$	MKWAYKEENNFEKRRAEGDKIRRKYPDRIPVIVEKAPRTITRKTCSFTSPTVTKVCMEERSKRRNKVSCIIRRRMCILVQWKFHTSNGNPKPL*
pp05	~	G116A	MKWAYKEENNFEKRAÆGDKIRKKYPDRIPVIVEKAPKSKLHOLDKKKYLVPSDLTVGGFYELIRKRUQRPEDALFFFVNNVIPOTMTTMGGLYQDHHEEDLFLYIAYSDESVYAGEVEKKE*
pp 14	4	G116AG117A	MKWAYKEENPEKKAAEGOKIKKKYPURIPUREKAAKKYLUPSDLIVGGPYFLIKKRULUPEDLIFFWNWIPUIHIIMGULYDUHEEDLIFURSDESYYAAEVEKKE*
pp14	7	G116AG117 *	MKWAYKEENPERKKAEGUKIKKATPURIPUREARASKLHULUKKATLIPSULI VOQHTFLIKKAULUKREUALFFVINVIPULI III III MGQLTQUHHEDULLTIATSUESYTA MKWAYKEENPERKAEGUKIKKATPURIPUREARASKLHULUKKATLIPSULI VOQHTFLIKKAULUKREUALFFVINVIPULI III IIII MGQLTQUHHEDULLTIATSUESYTA
pp2.	2	Δ112-123	MKWAYKEENYEERAREGINKIBKYODIBUWEKABKKI HUI DIKKYI YUDI TXGOTI FILINANUGUTE DALIFFEWINYEGI HUI HUMBRAKKIKKI YU SADECIVI YAANII I METOSPEKKIKSESSIII YE HIESSESSYD SS
pp0	6	A50.122	
pp20	6	A	
nn8	5	Δ114-123	
μυ		A114-123	
+		SCAIG8	MKSIFKSET PFEKKKAESEKIAUKFKNRIPVICEKAERSDIPETUKKKTLVPAULIVGQFVTVIKKRIMLPPEKAIFIFVNUTLPPIAALMSAITQEHKUKUGFLYVISGENIFISK
<b>B</b>			
	<b>I</b> ter	•	conserved ATG8 core Cter
	N	1	GEVEKKE LGG-1 Caenorhabdtis elegans
	Ň		Atgeb Drosophila melanogaster
	Ň	1 F/ .	LI CABARAPI A GABARAPI HOUSE A CABARAPI HO
	MDN		
	N	KSSI I _ E	
	MN		
	M	0.0400000	
	M	S	SINTA ALIÉS G SITVA ALIÉS A Arabidopsis thaliana
	M M	E I	GSPVC Atg8 B
	MGN		
	M		
MELO	M/ LKFSE		
	M/		
	MGG	I YELVIII	
	MVH	88888998 8888888	Ner Arbert 1990 August 1990 Berry Reverse Reverse and a sea
	1013510		





Figure 1-figure supplement 2 Leboutet et al.



Figure 1-figure supplement 3 Leboutet et al.



Figure 3-figure supplement 1 Leboutet et al.



Figure 3-figure supplement 2 Leboutet et al.



Figure 5-figure supplement 1 Leboutet et al.



Figure 7-figure supplement 1 Leboutet et al.