

Low-cost biomonitoring and high-resolution, scalable models of urban metal pollution

Mathis Loïc Messager, Ian P. Davies, Phillip S. Levin

▶ To cite this version:

Mathis Loïc Messager, Ian P. Davies, Phillip S. Levin. Low-cost biomonitoring and high-resolution, scalable models of urban metal pollution. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 767, pp.144280. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144280. hal-04151691

HAL Id: hal-04151691 https://hal.science/hal-04151691

Submitted on 5 Jul2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 Low-cost biomonitoring and high-resolution, scalable

2 models of urban metal pollution

4 Mathis L. Messager ^a * ^(b), Ian P. Davies ^a, Phillip S. Levin ^{a, b}

5

6 Affiliations

- ⁷ ^a School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, 3714 Garfield Place,
- 8 NE, 98195 Seattle, Washington, United States of America
- 9 ^b The Nature Conservancy, 74 Wall Street, 98121 Seattle, Washington, United States of America
- 10 NE, 98195 Seattle, Washington, United States of America
- 11
- 12 * Current addresses: Department of Geography, McGill University, Burnside Hall Building, 805
- 13 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0B9, Canada; and National Institute for
- 14 Agricultural and Environmental Research (INRAE), 5 rue de la Doua, CS 20244, 69625
- 15 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.
- 16
- 17 Corresponding author: Mathis Loïc Messager (messamat@uw.edu)
- 18 Other email addresses: <u>ipdavies@uw.edu</u>, <u>pslevin@uw.edu</u>

19

20 Keywords

21 air pollution, stormwater pollution, automobile traffic, PM2.5, moss, Puget Sound

23 Abstract

24 As the global toll on human lives and ecosystems exacted by urban pollution grows, planning 25 tools still lack the resolution to identify priority sites where toxic pollution can be most 26 efficiently averted at a spatial scale that matches funding and management. Here we tackle this 27 gap by demonstrating novel scalable methods to monitor and predict urban metal pollution at 28 high resolution (< 5 meter) across large areas (10,000-100,000 km²) to guide pollution reduction 29 and stormwater management. We showcase and calibrate predictive models of Zn, Cu, and a 30 synthetic index of pollution for the Puget Sound region of Washington State, U.S., a densely 31 urbanized yet important ecosystem of conservation interest, and exemplify their transferability 32 across the entire United States. We leveraged widely and freely available datasets of car traffic 33 characteristics and land use as predictor variables and trained the models with biological 34 monitoring data of metal concentrations in epiphytic moss from > 100 trees based on new rapid 35 and low-cost protocols introduced in this study. Our model predictions, showing that 50% of the 36 total Cu and Zn pollution across the Puget Sound watershed is deposited over only 3.3% of the 37 land area, will allow cities to effectively and efficiently target toxic hotspots.

39 **1. Introduction**

40 The global expansion of urban areas over the past century has led to widespread pollution, yielding a cascade of impacts on ecosystems and human health $^{1-4}$. Car ownership 41 42 underlies much of this impact as the world's fleet continues growing, exceeding one billion 43 vehicles in 2015⁵. Ambient air pollution is now considered one of the leading causes of premature death ⁶⁻⁸. Additionally, precipitation flowing over impervious surfaces transfers a 44 complex mixture of contaminants to streams and waterbodies — metals, polycyclic aromatic 45 46 hydrocarbons (PAH), pesticides and other pollutants emitted by urban activities are washed off 47 from streets and parking lots or leached from roofs and other construction material by stormwater runoff and subsequently carried into nearby ecosystems ⁹. This stormwater runoff is 48 the fastest growing source of water pollution in many areas ¹⁰. With nearly 80% of the 49 population of the world projected to live in cities by the end of the 21st century ³, and the number 50 of vehicles expected to double or triple by 2050¹¹, it is imperative to tackle the negative effects 51 52 of urban dwelling.

53 Metals are among the pollutants of highest concern in urban areas due to their persistence, bioavailability and toxicity ^{12–14}. High concentrations of metals threaten aquatic 54 ecosystem functioning and biodiversity, as well as human health ^{10,15}. In cities, metals are 55 56 commonly reported pollutants, reaching waterways directly through atmospheric deposition and 57 indirectly through rain runoff by being leached or picked up as dust after depositing and building up on impervious surfaces ¹⁶. Road dust naturally contains metals from eroded soil but 58 59 anthropogenic sources like building and road materials, vehicle brake, tire wear, and tailpipe emissions are the main non-point sources of metal pollution in urban areas ^{17–19}. 60

61 High-resolution estimates of pollutant sources are required to mitigate exposure to toxic compounds by identifying the specific locations and associated site characteristics where the 62 deposition of metals is greatest ²⁰. Pollution predictions must also be available for large 63 64 geographic areas to match the spatial scale of funding, management, and some environmental 65 issues⁸. Low-resolution (coarse) models cannot identify emission hot spots, as pollution sources 66 are averaged over large spatial units of analysis, and models developed for small spatial extents may not match the ecological scale relevant to species targeted by management ¹⁰. However, the 67 68 few models that focus on metals usually lack the combination of resolution and scale for this purpose (but see ^{21–23}). Therefore, high-resolution, scalable models that describe the relative 69 70 magnitude of metal pollution sources across space are needed to guide investments across large 71 areas.

72

73 Further complicating the training and validation of high-resolution models of urban metal 74 pollution is the dearth of monitoring data at the appropriate temporal and spatial scales. For 75 stormwater management, infrastructure siting requires long-term average metal emission 76 measures in flowing and standing water bodies, yet common monitoring data usually represent snapshots in time subject to high sub-daily, daily, and seasonal variability ²⁴. Air quality 77 78 monitoring networks, on the other hand, measure the concentration of metals in Nfine (< 2.5 μ m diameter – PM_{2.5}) and coarse (2.5–10 μ m diameter – PM₁₀) particulate matter ^{25–27} through costly 79 80 protocols. Consequently, the geographic density and temporal grain of metal pollution 81 monitoring is currently insufficient to train fine scale models of pollution, and most developing 82 countries lack the resources to install such monitoring infrastructure. Affordable approaches to 83 assess metal pollutant emissions integrated over long time periods thus hold the potential to

greatly facilitate air pollution and stormwater management across large spatial scales and in
 areas with limited resources^{28,29}.

86

87 The aim of this study was to develop scalable methods to monitor and predict urban 88 metal pollution at high resolution to guide pollution reduction and stormwater management. 89 Here, we showcase and calibrate these methods for the Puget Sound region of Washington State, 90 U.S., a densely urbanized yet important ecosystem of conservation interest ³⁰. We modeled long-91 term average pollution levels for two metals of concern, Zn and Cu, as well as a pollution index 92 combining the pollution levels of these two metals with Pb. The model was trained with an 93 extensive set of passive biological monitoring data. We measured metal concentrations in 94 epiphytic moss collected by in-situ portable X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy for > 10095 trees based on new protocols introduced in this study. Lastly, we exemplify the utility of the 96 models by assessing its ability to predict metal air pollution across the U.S.

97

98 **2. Materials and Methods**

99 2.1. Overview

We first compiled spatial data for six of the main variables that have been reported to drive the spatial distribution of urban metal pollution ^{31–35}: land use; motor vehicle traffic volume, speed, and congestion; road gradient; and over-ground public transit frequency (TOC.1). Second, we generated pollution source "density maps" that simulate the cumulative and diffuse emissions from each road-based source of pollution (TOC.2). In these source maps, each location is assigned the distance-weighted sum of the value of the variable (e.g. traffic volume) across the entire area within a buffer around that location. This allowed us to account for the cumulative

107	effect of the variable from multiple roads around every location while accounting for pollution
108	concentrations decay away from each road. Third, we measured the concentrations of metals in
109	epiphytic moss at 87 sites across the greater Seattle area (WA, U.S.) using portable XRF
110	(TOC.3). Fourth, a set of multiple regression models were developed using the density maps
111	values and land use at the sampling sites as candidate predictor variables and the XRF
112	measurements as response variables (i.e. training data, TOC.5). Three models were developed
113	separately for Zn, Cu, and the synthetic pollution index (PI). Zn, Cu, and Pb were selected as our
114	pollutants of study as these elements are among the most commonly studied and reported metal
115	pollutants in urban environments ^{36–38} . Zn and Cu, in particular, are closely associated with
116	automobile traffic volume and congestion through the emission of rubber tire dust and brake
117	wear dust, respectively ^{18,37} . The three trained models were subsequently used to make spatially
118	continuous predictions of the relative concentrations of each pollutant across the entire study
119	area (TOC.6). Lastly, we predicted Zn pollution around every air quality monitoring station from
120	the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that recorded ambient air
121	concentrations of Zn in the form of fine particulate matter (TOC.7). This allowed us to assess
122	how well our predictions fit standard measurements of long-term average air pollution. See
123	Appendix 1 for a detailed description of the methodology and data sources.
124	

126

125 *2.2. Study area*

The Puget Sound region encompasses 41,500 km² of upland, freshwater, estuarine and
marine habitats, and currently supports a large and increasingly urban population from
Vancouver, British Columbia (Canada) to Olympia, Washington (U.S., Fig. 1). Population
projections suggest that human numbers in the greater Puget Sound region will increase by two

131	million in the next 30 years, and Seattle, Washington, the largest city in the region, is one of the
132	fastest growing in the country ³⁹ . With over 40 species of birds, mammals, fishes, plants and
133	invertebrates currently listed as threatened, endangered, or as candidates for state and federal
134	endangered species lists, Puget Sound is considered a "hot-spot" of extinction risk ³⁰ .
135	Importantly, some of these imperiled species, such as Chinook salmon
136	(Onchorhynchus tshawytscha) and killer whales (Orcinus orca), are regional icons that have
137	been commemorated in art, culture and tradition for millennia (e.g. ⁴⁰).
138	
139	2.3. Candidate predictor variables: data sources and pre-processing
140	We used the Percent Developed Imperviousness dataset from the 2016 Multi-Resolution
141	Land Characteristics Consortium's National Land Cover Data (NLCD, spatial resolution of 30 m)
142	⁴¹ as a continuous measure of land use intensity. Because the effects of urban land-use on air and
143	water pollution are diffuse, two spatially smoothed imperviousness layers were also generated
144	from this dataset with 3x3 pixel mean and median filters.
145	A single spatial road network dataset with traffic volume (Annual Average Daily Traffic)
146	and speed was compiled from the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) and the U.S. Census
147	Bureau (Table S1). The slope of each road segment in the network was calculated from the 3D
148	Elevation Program Digital Elevation Models (Table S1) at the highest resolution available for
149	every location by first smoothing the elevation dataset and then computing the ratio of each road
150	segment's elevation range and length. To quantify traffic congestion, we computed the average
151	traffic flow across the area of interest based on data from the Bing [™] Maps REST Services
152	Application Programming Interface (API). To do so, we built a custom tool similar to Tostes and
153	colleagues' ⁴² . We applied our tool to obtain traffic conditions throughout the Puget Sound

watershed area from November 28th, 2018 at 08:00 am to December 5th, 2018 at 08:00. For Air
Quality Monitoring Stations, the tool was run from April 9th at 18:00 2019 to April 16th at 18:00
2019, resulting in 169 hourly snapshots.

Maps of over-ground public transit frequency were generated for the Puget Sound watershed and within the vicinity of Air Quality Monitoring Stations based on static General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS) data from the National Transit Map (Table S1) — the largest seamless compilation of GTFS data in the U.S. at the time of this study. The result provided us with a single seamless layer of the average weekly number of times that a public transit vehicle went through every location across the landscape, thus integrating across all agencies, modes of transport, routes, and schedules.

164

165 2.4. Pollution spread models

166 To simulate the spread of pollutants away from roads and thus the cumulative pollution 167 from multiple roads, we generated pollution source density maps of public transit frequency, 168 road gradient, and traffic volume, speed and congestion. For each density map, the value at each 169 location was calculated as the distance-weighted sum of all pixel values within a maximum 170 distance from that location, whereby the weight attributed to each pixel was determined by a 171 distance-decay function. To encompass various possible rates and functional forms of metal 172 particle deposition, we generated 24 candidate density maps for each of these five road-based 173 variables based on a set of 24 different distance-decay functions, each function illustrating a 174 different hypothesized pattern and distance of pollution spread (see Appendix 1). The resulting 175 120 continuous maps of pollution sources were subsequently used as candidate predictor 176 variables to select and train the models of metal pollution across the Greater Seattle area.

178 2.5. Data collection and model training

179 We used the elemental composition of epiphytic moss on trees from 87 sites determined 180 by portable XRF to train and validate our predictive models of pollution (Fig. 1, see Appendix 1 181 and [Messager et al. companion paper] for more information on sampling design and protocols). 182 Mosses have been widely used in Europe as biological monitors of metal pollution for decades, 183 because they readily accumulate pollutants over time, reflecting long term pollution levels ^{43,44}. 184 Unlike plants, they lack roots and a cuticle, so they absorb nutrients from the atmosphere over their entire surface and all cells are directly exposed to wet deposition ⁴⁵. Pollutants in dust also 185 186 accumulate on their surface through dry deposition ⁴⁶. Their high cation exchange capacity 187 results in moss cells passively trapping pollutants, including metals ⁴⁵. As a result, mosses more 188 readily accumulate atmospheric metals than vascular plants, and metal concentrations in moss pseudo-tissues are not as influenced by local variations in soil metal levels ^{29,47}. In addition, 189 190 mosses are ubiquitous in the study region, in both rural and urban settings, and persist even in the 191 most polluted urban sites. Leveraging these characteristics, multiple studies have successfully 192 used elemental analysis of mosses to assess the spatial distribution and sources of urban and motor vehicle traffic-related metal pollution with high specificity ^{28,31,48}. 193

194

For this study, a new protocol of rapid in-situ elemental analysis by portable XRF was developed. With this method, photons are emitted from an X-ray tube in a portable instrument whose measuring window is directly applied against moss mats growing on tree bark. The emitted photons interact with the atoms within the moss sample, which in turn emit photons at energy levels that are specific to each element. Photons from the moss atoms are then received, 200 counted, and converted by the instrument into a spectrum of energy with clearly identifiable peaks whose size reflects the relative quantity of each element in the sample ⁴⁹. Portable XRF is 201 202 now a common tool whose performance is comparable to more conventional methods like 203 benchtop XRF and inductively-coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) for the analysis of hazardous metal concentrations in soils and plants ^{50–52}. We show in [Messager et al. 204 205 companion paper] that, compared to quantitation by ICP-OES, pXRF enables quantitative screening of Cu (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.75$) and Zn (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.81$), and qualitative screening of Pb 206 $(R^2 = 0.63)$, with high measurement replicability. While epiphytic moss has previously been 207 used for urban metal pollution mapping 28 , this is, to the authors' knowledge, the first time that 208 209 portable XRF was used for biological monitoring of fine-scale spatial patterns in ambient metal 210 pollution.

211

212 Although 87 different sites were selected for sampling, measurements were separately 213 taken from two closely adjacent trees in a quarter of the sites to assess small-scale variations in 214 metal concentration. Moss mats were thus sampled on a total of 109 trees (measurements from 215 single trees, rather than sites, are hereon used as our unit of analysis). Sampling took place in 216 July-August 2018 and March-April 2019. As various studies have shown that air-moss metal 217 concentration relationships vary among species ⁵³, measurements were taken from a single moss 218 species, Orthotrichum lyelli Hook. & Taylor growing on hardwood tree species. Portable XRF 219 assays were obtained from three different moss mats on each tree using a Bruker Tracer III-SD 220 (T3S2606, Bruker Elemental, Kennewick, WA, U.S.). Spectral data were processed and 221 normalized to yield a comparable 'concentration' index across samples using standard analysis protocols (See Appendix 1 and [Messager et al. companion paper] for details on the protocol)⁵¹. 222



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of sampled trees used in model development (black points, after
outlier removal: n = 107), roads (grey lines, excluding local roads) and surface imperviousness
(National Land Cover Dataset 2016) across the Greater Seattle area (Washington State, U.S.),
the most densely urbanized region of the Puget Sound watershed (pink polygon in inset map).
[1.5 column-fitting image]

We developed three separate models for Cu, Zn, and a pollution index. These multiple regression models were developed through model selection ⁵⁴, all using the density map values and imperviousness at the sampling sites as candidate predictor variables and the element concentrations as response variables (see Appendix 1 for a detailed description).

The pollution index was computed with the following equation for each sampled tree *i*:

1)

235 Pollution index_i =
$$\left(\frac{[Cu]_i}{[Cu]_{ref}} \times \frac{[Pb]_i}{[Pb]_{ref}} \times \frac{[Zn]_i}{[Zn]_{ref}}\right)^{1/3}$$
 (Equation

Where $[Element]_i$ is the element's normalized net count rate averaged over the three XRF assays at that site and $[Element]_{ref}$ is the background normalized net count rate (after within-site averaging) for that element recorded at a reference site away from pollutant sources.

After selecting a reduced set of predictor variables through preliminary selection, a systematic model selection was conducted to develop a multiple regression model for each response variable ⁵⁴. We then assessed whether including sampling season (summer 2018 or spring 2019) as a fixed effect improved the model, to take in account the potential influence of temperature and precipitation on moss pollution retention ^{55–57}. The model residuals were also evaluated for spatially collinearity; we built spatial simultaneous autoregressive error models (linear regression models accounting for spatial error structure) when appropriate ⁵⁸.

246

247 2.6.Model predictions and fit to air pollution measurements

248 Using the trained models described above, we made spatially continuous predictions of 249 pollution for the Puget Sound region and around every air quality monitoring station operated by 250 the EPA across all states of the contiguous U.S. The latter allowed us to assess whether our 251 estimates of metal concentrations from a model trained with local bio-monitoring data could be 252 related to more standard measurements of air pollution beyond our focal area. We selected all air 253 quality monitoring sites in the conterminous U.S. with at least 200 measurements of Zinc PM_{2.5} 254 $(\mu g/m^3)$ from 2014 to 2019 and extracted the Zn concentration estimated by our model (Zn_{pred}) 255 for each of these sites. As spatial and temporal variations in air pollution are strongly influenced 256 by local climatic and meteorological factors, we also computed 37 variables identified as key drivers of PM_{2.5} through 50th percentile quantile regressions by Porter and colleagues ⁵⁹. To 257

assess the adequacy of Zn_{pred} for predicting Zn PM_{2.5} records, we compared two partial leastsquare regression (PLSR) model of Zn PM_{2.5} (after logarithmic transformation): the first only included meteorological variables as predictors whereas the second included those 37 variables together with Zn_{pred}.

262

265

263 **3. Results and Discussion**

264 *3.1. Observed distribution and drivers of metal pollution in the study area*

266 XRF assays of epiphytic moss revealed that numerous metals were prevalent throughout 267 the Puget Sound watershed. Their concentrations covaried with urban land use and motor vehicle 268 traffic, often ranging in concentration by an order of magnitude above background levels 269 (Appendix 2). Metals detected by our sampling included Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sr, Ti, 270 Zn, and Zr. Notably, Zn concentration in the most polluted site was 23 times higher than in the 271 most isolated area, whereas Cu varied by a factor of 10 throughout the area. The concentrations 272 of metals measured by XRF sampling also covaried among elements (Appendix 3, Fig. S1). This 273 further justified our focus on a reduced set of elements (Cu, Zn, and Pb) that could serve as 274 indicators for a broader suite of metal pollutants. Clusters of elements revealed common 275 physicochemical properties, patterns of spatial dispersion, and potential sources. High 276 concentrations of Zn, Co, Fe, Zr, Cr, and Ti tended to co-occur (0.6 < Pearson's r < 0.9), Cu 277 exhibiting slightly different distributional patterns, whereas Pb was clustered with As. The 278 observed correlations among metals can be attributed to their co-occurrence in automotive parts 279 and in crustal dust, as traffic leads to non-exhaust emissions through both abrasion (i.e. of brake pads and tires) and dust resuspension 18,60 . 280

281 Applying our rapid and low-cost biomonitoring protocol across a dense array of sites, and 282 combining these measurements with widely available spatial data on potential pollution sources, 283 allowed us to shed light on the traffic and land use characteristics most responsible for the 284 occurrence of different metals in urban environments. This analysis showed for example that Zn 285 and Cu concentrations are both strongly correlated with traffic speed (r > 0.5). Zn also strongly 286 covaries with traffic volume and congestion (0.6 < r < 0.7) whereas Cu is correlated with bus 287 transit volume (r > 0.6, Appendix 3, Fig. S2). The distance-decay functions used to produce the 288 density maps of pollutant predictors that were most correlated to metal concentrations further 289 hinted at the relative distances to which different metals disperse away from their source of 290 emission. For instance, Zn is slightly more correlated to traffic speed and volume density maps 291 generated with 100 m kernels compared to those based on 200 m kernels or larger. On the other 292 hand, Cu is most correlated to density maps produced with the largest kernels of dispersion for 293 every pollution predictor. Closely associated with tire wear, Zn in dry deposition is usually 294 associated with larger particle size (> $10 \,\mu$ m) whereas Pb, Cd, Ni and Cu are mostly present in 295 smaller particle sizes (< 10 μ m)³⁷. As particles < 10 μ m behave more like gases, diffusing along 296 concentration gradients to deposit on surfaces, they tend to travel further than coarser particles 297 whose deposition rates are more gravity-driven, explaining the differences in spread patterns we 298 observed between Cu and Zn⁶¹.

299

301

300 *3.2. Model training and validation results in the Puget Sound watershed*

The models of pollution developed here — for Zn, Cu, and a pollution index — relied on only two to three predictors each, and all yielded estimates with average percentage errors from 20 to 30% (Fig. 2). These three models explained 65%, 64% (78%) and 57% (72%) of the

305	variability in Zn, Cu, and pollution index across sampled sites, respectively, based on pseudo-R ²
306	computed with (and without) outliers (Fig. 2). For a full list of candidate models, performance
307	and diagnostic tests, refer to Appendix 4. To achieve normality and homoscedasticity of
308	residuals, the regression models of Cu and pollution index were trained without outliers (grey
309	points in Fig. 2 scatterplots). Sampling season did not have a significant effect ($p > 0.05$) in the
310	models. Regression coefficients from autoregressive error models with inverse distance spatial
311	weight matrices based on one nearest neighbor were used for model predictions in all three cases,
312	as Lagrange Multiplier robust diagnostic tests revealed spatial error dependence in the initial
313	simple linear regression models. The metal pollutant concentrations were thus estimated
314	according to the following regression models (based on Zn and Cu values maximum-
315	standardized to 100):
316	$Zn = exp(2.042 + 0.417 \cdot volume_{log100}^{1/4} + 0.012 \cdot imperviousness_{smooth})$ (Equation 2),
317	$Cu = 17.522 + 5.808 \cdot transit_{log200}^{1/2} + 0.142 \cdot imperviousness_{smooth}$ (Equation 3),
318	$Pollution \ index = 1.783 + 0.048 \cdot volume_{log100} + 1.690 \cdot transit_{linear100}^{1/3} + 0.031 \cdot imperviousness_{smooth} \ (Equation \ 4),$
319	where <i>volume</i> _{log100} is the value from the density map of average annual daily traffic created with
320	a 100 m-log kernel, transit _{log200} and transit _{linear100} are produced with bus transit density maps
321	with 200 m-log and 100 m-linear kernels, respectively, and <i>imperviousness</i> _{smooth} is based on a
322	3x3 median filter of imperviousness.



Figure 2. Prediction maps and scatterplots of observed vs. predicted metal pollution for (A, D)
Zinc, (B, E) Pollution index, and (C, F) Copper (respectively). Map values for Zn and Cu are

326 minimum-maximum standardized to describe the spatial distribution of metal concentrations 327 across the area, 0 reflecting "background" metal concentrations presumably due to local crustal 328 deposits at the least impacted site, and 100 corresponding to the highest predicted value across 329 the Puget Sound watershed. For all models, Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) and 330 Mean Absolute Error (MAE, of the standardized indices) were below 30% and 10, respectively. 331 Residuals show percentage error of predictions at sampled sites based on XRF-measured metal 332 pollution. Insets show the heavily trafficked downtown area of Seattle adjacent to a section of the 333 Interstate Highway 5 where a dense array of measurements were conducted. 334 [2 column-fitting image]

335

336 Our results echo previous studies relating motor vehicle traffic characteristics with 337 measured air, soil, and stormwater pollution. Horstmeyer and colleagues ³², for instance, 338 document the greatest Pb, Zn, and Cu concentrations in the topsoil of vegetated infiltration 339 swales at sites with high traffic volume or with frequent braking and acceleration, at crossings, 340 roundabouts and in stop-and-go traffic. Cu and Zn concentrations in mosses along transects of 341 nine roads also increased with traffic density and the incline of the roads in Austria³¹. While 342 additional predictors could have been added to increase the performance of our model, we 343 instead focused on using widely available, free-to-access data to maximize the scalability of our 344 model and its transferability to varied geographical contexts. The fact that our models including 345 bus transit best predicted Cu was a surprising finding, but we conjecture that bus transit routes 346 reflect major transportation axes also used by heavy commercial motor vehicles (i.e. trucks) and 347 thus buses do not solely account for the pollution patterns. Pb was not as well predicted by traffic 348 and land use as Zn and Cu. This lower predictive ability goes against our expectations as Pb,

despite having been phased out of gasoline for several decade, remains a prevalent component of
motor vehicle parts ^{18,62}. Point-source pollution spreading over large distances and the age of
surrounding buildings may be more strongly driving the spatial distribution of this pollutant ⁶³.
For the development of more local models, we therefore posit that additional sources of metal
pollution to account for, when available, should include: heavy-duty motor vehicle traffic ³²,
building density and age ⁶³, parcel-level land use (e.g. parking lots ³²), road surface material ⁶⁴,
commercial railways ⁶⁵, and locations of point-source pollution ²⁸.

356

358

357 3.3. Model transferability: comparison to nationwide monitoring data

359 Although our models were trained with metal concentrations in epiphytic moss from across 360 the Puget Sound watershed, they could also predict air metal pollution at the continental scale 361 with relative accuracy. Together with long-term meteorological and climatic predictors, our 362 estimates of Zn pollution explained 59% of the variability in long-term average Zinc $PM_{2.5}$ 363 $(\mu g/m^3)$ across all 195 air quality stations with at least 200 daily observations (2014-2019) across 364 the U.S. (Fig. 3). Here we focused on assessing the ability of our models to predict Zn in fine 365 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}). However, Zn, Cu and Pb emissions are associated with a range of 366 particle sizes that might be better predicted by our model (e.g. PM_{10} and coarser ^{18,66}). In 367 addition, although the goal of our US-wide analysis was to assess the relevance of our model 368 estimates regarding long-term air metal pollution, more complex models accounting for temporal 369 (weekly and seasonal) and spatial autocorrelation could achieve greater predictive ability.



371

Figure 3. Predictive performance of (A) a meteorology-only partial least-square regression (PLSR) and (B) a PLSR combining meteorological variables and the Zn index estimated by the model developed in this study as predictor variables for (C) long-term average Zn PM_{2.5} (color, mg/m^3) recorded at 195 air quality stations across the United States from 2014 to 2019. The black diagonal lines (A and B) are 1:1 lines. The points in the map (C) are sized according to the absolute percentage error of the [meteorology + modeled Zn] PLSR relative to measured Zn

378 *PM*_{2.5} *at the stations*. [2 column-fitting image]

379 The biomonitoring method and modelling framework demonstrated here provide a unique 380 combination of scale and resolution. They can be leveraged for fine-scale decision-making to 381 control a family of pollutants that is rarely modeled yet whose threat to the environment is increasingly recognized ¹⁰. A large portion of urban metal pollution is initially emitted, or 382 383 resuspended, to the atmosphere before settling on the road surface and being washed off by stormwater^{35,67,68}. Therefore, moss biomonitoring and the associated predictive models can 384 385 inform stormwater management in identifying hot spots of metal emission by these sources 386 located upstream from waterbodies of interest. Although moss has been used across Europe to map large scale patterns of pollution ⁶⁹, only a handful of studies have demonstrated the use of 387 388 bryophytes for assessing fine scale patterns of pollution ³¹. Using portable XRF, we were able to 389 assess the concentration of 12 metals across 87 sites in about five minutes at each location, 390 allowing for fine-scale assessment of the spatial distribution of pollution. By contrast, there are 391 only two stations with long-term Zn PM_{2.5} data in the Puget Sound watershed. The models can 392 also be further adapted with moss measurements from other locations of interest. Because we 393 trained our models based on widely and freely available datasets of pollution predictors, this 394 framework can be leveraged to estimate metal pollution at high resolution (here ~ 5 m) in other 395 contexts and across large areas. Outside of the United States for example, the road network from 396 the Open Street Map dataset, a global crowd-sourced collaborative mapping project, is nearly complete ⁷⁰, and our novel tool for assessing the degree of traffic congestion using Bing TM could 397 be applied in > 70 countries at the time of this study ⁷¹. Traffic congestion data could also be 398 399 more easily obtained through the establishment of custom user agreements with companies 400 specializing in the collection of traffic data (e.g. INRIX®). Lastly, sub-models and variable

401 substitution can be used to accommodate cases where predictor variables may be unavailable
402 (e.g. traffic volume) as multiple variables performed well in predicting pollution (Appendix 4).
403

404 *3.4. Sources of errors and uncertainty*

405 Multiple sources of uncertainty are associated with the framework introduced here, stemming 406 from the use of moss biomonitoring, XRF measurements, as well as in the predictor variable 407 data. The main source of uncertainty in moss-based biomonitoring is that metal levels in moss 408 pseudo-tissue do not reflect a passive and steady accumulation over time but a dynamic equilibrium between concentrations in the environment, moss growth, and meteorology ⁵³. We 409 410 review sources of uncertainty in moss measurements in Appendix 5, including precipitation, 411 proximity to saltwater, pseudo-tissue age and growth rate. Field sampling was conducted across 412 two different seasons due to maintenance requirements for the XRF instrument — while we did 413 not find a significant effect of season in our models, we recommend that future studies conduct 414 all sampling within a single season or re-sample the same sites across different periods of the 415 year to better capture seasonal variability ⁴⁴. Due to these uncertainties, moss biomonitoring is 416 best suited for assessing the spatial distribution of pollution in relative terms rather than 417 determining absolute levels for the evaluation of pollution criteria compliance. Regarding field 418 XRF measurements, the main uncertainty resides in the heterogeneity of moss samples growing 419 on trees, resulting in unequal densities of pseudo-tissue and depth of measurements. Nonetheless, 420 the low level of tissue differentiation and the lack of a cuticle greatly reduces variability in XRF measurements of moss compared to vascular plants ⁵¹. One additional limitation of XRF is that 421 422 the prevalence of some commonly used tracers of non-exhaust traffic pollution (e.g. Sb, $Ba^{60,72,73}$) cannot be accurately determined at the same time as those discussed in this study; 423

these elements' characteristic energy lines occur outside of the region that the instrument was set
to measure (through the use of a yellow filter, see Appendix 1 for details on protocol). Owing to
its affordability and speed, the resulting ability to compute averages over replicated
measurements, and the minimal disruption of samples involved compared to conventional
methods (e.g. sample extraction, transport, processing, digestion), we believe that this protocol is
a significant advance towards high resolution monitoring of urban metal pollution.

430

431 3.5. Predicted metal pollution across the Puget Sound watershed

432 With the assurance that our models satisfactorily described the spatial patterns of the 433 three metal pollution indicators, we made predictions of metal pollution across the entire 434 \sim 40,000 km² of the Puget Sound watershed. These estimates show that the pollutant footprint of 435 human development spans across the entire landscape, with 27% of the watershed's land area 436 (excluding all 30x30 m pixels identified as water by the National Land Cover Dataset) exhibiting 437 metal concentrations above background levels for at least one of the indicators. Cu affects the 438 greatest area in the region, as expected from the larger size of the distance-decay function for bus 439 transit (Equation 3) in its computation. Our work also reveals that metal pollution is very 440 localized: 50% of the total pollution deposited on land across the Puget Sound watershed is 441 deposited over only 3.3% of the land area for both Cu and Zn (Fig. 2 and 3).

442

443 *3.6. Conclusion*

Effectively and efficiently combating pollution generated from motor vehicles is a major challenge facing natural resource managers and urban planners. In this study, we demonstrate the utility of new biomonitoring techniques that can provide unique insight into the spatial

447	distribution of pollution sources at a fraction of the cost of usual approaches. Intensive sampling
448	of epiphytic moss with pXRF revealed the existence of Zn and Cu emission hotspots in densely
449	urbanized areas of the Puget Sound watershed with metal concentrations exceeding background
450	levels by an order of magnitude. Combined with open access datasets to train predictive models,
451	our inexpensive, rapid, and rigorous approach allows managers to identify and mitigate toxic
452	metals at fine spatial scales over large geographic regions. Here we applied these models to
453	predict the concentration of metals at ~5 m resolution across the Puget Sound watershed and
454	around every air quality monitoring station in the contiguous U.S. With increasingly limited
455	resources, this new approach holds the potential for cities to target toxic hotspots, thus
456	maximizing environmental returns on mitigation investments.
457	
458	
459	

Data availability

463	All scripts used in this study are available for reuse (https://github.com/messamat/traffic for
464	Bing [™] traffic flow analysis, <u>https://github.com/messamat/stormwater_samplingPy</u> for GIS
465	analysis and mapping, and https://github.com/messamat/stormwater_samplingR for sampling,
466	XRF data post-processing, and statistical analysis). All data are also available at [figshare URL].
467 468 469 470	Acknowledgements We are grateful to Amir Harding and Luwam Gabreselassie for their great help with field work.
471	We thank Tom Deluca and Amanda Bidwell for initiating this project's methodology and
472	providing us with the XRF analyzing device, The Nature Conservancy in Washington, all of the
473	staff there and in particular the Science and Puget Sound teams, as well as the UW
474	Interdisciplinary and Conservation Science Lab for their support and enthusiasm throughout the
475	project. We also thank Lee Drake for his tutorials and advice on XRF analysis. The manuscript
476	was improved by the insightful comments from Ailene Ettinger.
477	
478	Funding for this project was generously provided by the Boeing company, the Nature
479	Conservancy of Washington, and the University of Washington School of Environmental and
480	Forest Sciences.
481	
482	Disclosures
483	The authors declare no competing financial or other interest.
484	

486	See th	e accompanying Supporting Information for the full methodology (Appendix 1), a table of	
487	the selected measured elemental concentrations and land use characteristics at sites sampled by		
488	XRF (Appendix 2), cluster and correlation analysis results depicting the relationships among		
489	selected elemental concentrations measured in epiphytic moss by XRF and land use and vehicle		
490	traffic pollution predictors (Appendix 3), model development tables (Appendix 4), and a		
491	literature review of the sources of uncertainty related to moss biomonitoring of ambient metal		
492	pollut	ion (Appendix 5).	
493 494 495 496 497 498	Refere	ences Seto, K. C.; Fragkias, M.; Güneralp, B.; Reilly, M. K. A Meta-Analysis of Global Urban Land Expansion. <i>PLoS One</i> 2011 , <i>6</i> (8), e23777. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023777.	
499 500 501	(2)	Dargay, J.; Gately, D.; Sommer, M. Vehicle Ownership and Income Growth, Worldwide: 1960-2030. <i>Energy J.</i> 2007 , <i>28</i> (4), 143–170. https://doi.org/10.5547/ISSN0195-6574-EJ-Vol28-No4-7.	
502 503 504	(3)	Jiang, L.; O'Neill, B. C. Global Urbanization Projections for the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. <i>Glob. Environ. Chang.</i> 2017 , <i>42</i> , 193–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.03.008.	
505 506 507	(4)	Walsh, C. J.; Roy, A. H.; Feminella, J. W.; Cottingham, P. D.; Groffman, P. M.; Morgan, R. P. The Urban Stream Syndrome: Current Knowledge and the Search for a Cure. <i>J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.</i> 2005 , <i>24</i> (3), 706–723. https://doi.org/10.1899/04-028.1.	
508 509	(5)	Davis, S.; Boundy, R. G. <i>Transportation Energy Data Book: Edition 38</i> ; United States, 2020. https://doi.org/10.2172/1606919.	
 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 	(6)	 Forouzanfar, M. H.; Afshin, A.; Alexander, L. T.; Biryukov, S.; Brauer, M.; Cercy, K.; Charlson, F. J.; Cohen, A. J.; Dandona, L.; Estep, K.; Ferrari, A. J.; Frostad, J. J.; Fullman, N.; Godwin, W. W.; Griswold, M.; Hay, S. I.; Kyu, H. H.; Larson, H. J.; Lim, S. S.; Liu, P. Y.; Lopez, A. D.; Lozano, R.; Marczak, L.; Mokdad, A. H.; Moradi-Lakeh, M.; Naghavi, M.; Reitsma, M. B.; Roth, G. A.; Sur, P. J.; Vos, T.; Wagner, J. A.; Wang, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zhou, M.; Barber, R. M.; Bell, B.; Blore, J. D.; Casey, D. C.; Coates, M. M.; Cooperrider, K.; Cornaby, L.; Dicker, D.; Erskine, H. E.; Fleming, T.; Foreman, K.; 	

Associated content

517 Gakidou, E.; Haagsma, J. A.; Johnson, C. O.; Kemmer, L.; Ku, T.; Leung, J.; Masiye, F.; 518 Millear, A.; Mirarefin, M.; Misganaw, A.; Mullany, E.; Mumford, J. E.; Ng, M.; Olsen, 519 H.; Rao, P.; Reinig, N.; Roman, Y.; Sandar, L.; Santomauro, D. F.; Slepak, E. L.; 520 Sorensen, R. J. D.; Thomas, B. A.; Vollset, S. E.; Whiteford, H. A.; Zipkin, B.; Murray, C. 521 J. L.; Mock, C. N.; Anderson, B. O.; Futran, N. D.; Anderson, H. R.; Bhutta, Z. A.; Nisar, 522 M. I.; Akseer, N.; Krueger, H.; Gotay, C. C.; Kissoon, N.; Kopec, J. A.; Pourmalek, F.; 523 Burnett, R.; Abajobir, A. A.; Knibbs, L. D.; Veerman, J. L.; Lalloo, R.; Scott, J. G.; Alam, 524 N. K. M.; Gouda, H. N.; Guo, Y.; McGrath, J. J.; Jeemon, P.; Dandona, R.; Goenka, S.; 525 Kumar, G. A.; Gething, P. W.; Bisanzio, D.; Deribew, A.; Darby, S. C.; Ali, R.; Bennett, 526 D. A.; Jha, V.; Kinfu, Y.; McKee, M.; Murthy, G. V. S.; Pearce, N.; Stöckl, H.; Duan, L.; 527 Jin, Y.; Li, Y.; Liu, S.; Wang, L.; Ye, P.; Liang, X.; Azzopardi, P.; Patton, G. C.; 528 Meretoja, A.; Alam, K.; Borschmann, R.; Colquhoun, S. M.; Weintraub, R. G.; Szoeke, C. 529 E. I.; Ademi, Z.; Taylor, H. R.; Wijeratne, T.; Batis, C.; Barquera, S.; Campos-Nonato, I. 530 R.; Contreras, A. G.; Cuevas-Nasu, L.; De, V.; Gomez-Dantes, H.; Heredia-Pi, I. B.; 531 Medina, C.; Mejia-Rodriguez, F.; Montañez Hernandez, J. C.; Razo-García, C. A.; Rivera, 532 J. A.; Rodríguez-Ramírez, S.; Sánchez-Pimienta, T. G.; Servan-Mori, E. E.; Shamah, T.; 533 Mensah, G. A.; Hoff, H. J.; Neal, B.; Driscoll, T. R.; Kemp, A. H.; Leigh, J.; Mekonnen, 534 A. B.; Bhatt, S.; Fürst, T.; Piel, F. B.; Rodriguez, A.; Hutchings, S. J.; Majeed, A.; Soljak, 535 M.; Salomon, J. A.; Thorne-Lyman, A. L.; Ajala, O. N.; Bärnighausen, T.; Cahill, L. E.; 536 Ding, E. L.; Farvid, M. S.; Khatibzadeh, S.; Wagner, G. R.; Shrime, M. G.; Fitchett, J. R. 537 A.; Aasvang, G. M.; Savic, M.; Abate, K. H.; Gebrehiwot, T. T.; Gebremedhin, A. T.; 538 Abbafati, C.; Abbas, K. M.; Abd-Allah, F.; Abdulle, A. M.; Abera, S. F.; Melaku, Y. A.; 539 Abyu, G. Y.; Betsu, B. D.; Hailu, G. B.; Tekle, D. Y.; Yalew, A. Z.; Abraham, B.; Abu-540 Raddad, L. J.; Adebiyi, A. O.; Adedeji, I. A.; Adou, A. K.; Adsuar, J. C.; Agardh, E. E.; 541 Rehm, J.; Badawi, A.; Popova, S.; Agarwal, A.; Ahmad, A.; Akinyemiju, T. F.; Schwebel, 542 D. C.; Singh, J. A.; Al-Aly, Z.; Aldhahri, S. F.; Altirkawi, K. A.; Terkawi, A. S.; Aldridge, 543 R. W.; Tillmann, T.; Alemu, Z. A.; Tegegne, T. K.; Alkerwi, A.; Alla, F.; Guillemin, F.; 544 Allebeck, P.; Rabiee, R. H. S.; Fereshtehnejad, S. M.; Kivipelto, M.; Carrero, J. J.; 545 Weiderpass, E.; Havmoeller, R.; Sindi, S.; Alsharif, U.; Alvarez, E.; Alvis-Guzman, N.; Amare, A. T.; Ciobanu, L. G.; Taye, B. W.; Amberbir, A.; Amegah, A. K.; Amini, H.; 546 Karema, C. K.; Ammar, W.; Harb, H. L.; Amrock, S. M.; Andersen, H. H.; Antonio, C. A. 547 T.; Faraon, E. J. A.; Anwari, P.; Ärnlöv, J.; Larsson, A.; Artaman, A.; Asayesh, H.; 548 549 Asghar, R. J.; Assadi, R.; Atique, S.; Avokpaho, E. F. G. A.; Awasthi, A.; Ayala, B. P.; 550 Bacha, U.; Bahit, M. C.; Balakrishnan, K.; Barac, A.; Barker-Collo, S. L.; del Pozo-Cruz, 551 B.; Mohammed, S.; Barregard, L.; Petzold, M.; Barrero, L. H.; Basu, S.; Del, L. C.; 552 Bazargan-Hejazi, S.; Beardsley, J.; Bedi, N.; Beghi, E.; Sheth, K. N.; Bell, M. L.; Huang, 553 J. J.; Bello, A. K.; Santos, I. S.; Bensenor, I. M.; Lotufo, P. A.; Berhane, A.; Wolfe, C. D.; 554 Bernabé, E.; Roba, H. S.; Beyene, A. S.; Hassen, T. A.; Mesfin, Y. M.; Bhala, N.; 555 Bhansali, A.; Biadgilign, S.; Bikbov, B.; Bjertness, E.; Htet, A. S.; Boufous, S.;

556 Degenhardt, L.; Resnikoff, S.; Calabria, B.; Bourne, R. R. A.; Brainin, M.; Brazinova, A.; 557 Majdan, M.; Shen, J.; Breitborde, N. J. K.; Brenner, H.; Schöttker, B.; Broday, D. M.; 558 Brugha, T. S.; Brunekreef, B.; Kromhout, H.; Butt, Z. A.; van Donkelaar, A.; Martin, R. 559 V.; Cárdenas, R.; Carpenter, D. O.; Castañeda-Orjuela, C. A.; Castillo, J.; Castro, R. E.; 560 Catalá-López, F.; Chang, J.; Chiang, P. P.; Chibalabala, M.; Chimed-Ochir, O.; Jiang, Y.; 561 Takahashi, K.; Chisumpa, V. H.; Mapoma, C. C.; Chitheer, A. A.; Choi, J. J.; Christensen, 562 H.; Christopher, D. J.; Cooper, L. T.; Crump, J. A.; Poulton, R. G.; Damasceno, A.; 563 Dargan, P. I.; das Neves, J.; Davis, A. C.; Newton, J. N.; Steel, N.; Davletov, K.; de 564 Castro, E. F.; De, D.; Dellavalle, R. P.; Des, D. C.; Dharmaratne, S. D.; Dhillon, P. K.; 565 Lal, D. K.; Zodpey, S.; Diaz-Torné, C.; Dorsey, E. R.; Doyle, K. E.; Dubey, M.; Rahman, M. H. U.; Ram, U.; Singh, A.; Yadav, A. K.; Duncan, B. B.; Kieling, C.; Schmidt, M. I.; 566 567 Elyazar, I.; Endries, A. Y.; Ermakov, S. P.; Eshrati, B.; Farzadfar, F.; Kasaeian, A.; 568 Parsaeian, M.; Esteghamati, A.; Hafezi-Nejad, N.; Sheikhbahaei, S.; Fahimi, S.; 569 Malekzadeh, R.; Roshandel, G.; Sepanlou, S. G.; Hassanvand, M. S.; Heydarpour, P.; Rahimi-Movaghar, V.; Yaseri, M.; Farid, T. A.; Khan, A. R.; Farinha, C. S. E. S.; Faro, 570 571 A.; Feigin, V. L.; Fernandes, J. G.; Fischer, F.; Foigt, N.; Shiue, I.; Fowkes, F. G. R.; 572 Franklin, R. C.; Garcia-Basteiro, A. L.; Geleijnse, J. M.; Jibat, T.; Gessner, B. D.; Tefera, 573 W.; Giref, A. Z.; Haile, D.; Manamo, W. A. A.; Giroud, M.; Gishu, M. D.; Martinez-Raga, J.; Gomez-Cabrera, M. C.; Gona, P.; Goodridge, A.; Gopalani, S. V.; Goto, A.; 574 575 Inoue, M.; Gugnani, H. C.; Gupta, R.; Gutiérrez, R. A.; Orozco, R.; Halasa, Y. A.; 576 Undurraga, E. A.; Hamadeh, R. R.; Hamidi, S.; Handal, A. J.; Hankey, G. J.; Hao, Y.; 577 Harikrishnan, S.; Haro, J. M.; Hernández-Llanes, N. F.; Hoek, H. W.; Tura, A. K.; Horino, 578 M.; Horita, N.; Hosgood, H. D.; Hoy, D. G.; Hsairi, M.; Hu, G.; Husseini, A.; Huybrechts, 579 I.; Iburg, K. M.; Idrisov, B. T.; Kwan, G. F.; Ileanu, B. V.; Pana, A.; Kawakami, N.; 580 Shibuya, K.; Jacobs, T. A.; Jacobsen, K. H.; Jahanmehr, N.; Jakovljevic, M. B.; Jansen, H. 581 A. F.; Jassal, S. K.; Stein, M. B.; Javanbakht, M.; Jayaraman, S. P.; Jayatilleke, A. U.; Jee, 582 S. H.; Jonas, J. B.; Kabir, Z.; Kalkonde, Y.; Kamal, R.; She, J.; Kan, H.; Karch, A.; 583 Karimkhani, C.; Kaul, A.; Kazi, D. S.; Keiyoro, P. N.; Parry, C. D.; Kengne, A. P.; 584 Matzopoulos, R.; Wiysonge, C. S.; Stein, D. J.; Mayosi, B. M.; Keren, A.; Khader, Y. S.; Khan, E. A.; Khan, G.; Khang, Y. H.; Won, S.; Khera, S.; Tavakkoli, M.; Khoja, T. A. M.; 585 586 Khubchandani, J.; Kim, C.; Kim, D.; Kimokoti, R. W.; Kokubo, Y.; Koul, P. A.; 587 Koyanagi, A.; Kravchenko, M.; Varakin, Y. Y.; Kuate, B.; Kuchenbecker, R. S.; Kucuk, 588 B.; Kuipers, E. J.; Lallukka, T.; Shiri, R.; Meretoja, T. J.; Lan, Q.; Latif, A. A.; 589 Lawrynowicz, A. E. B.; Leasher, J. L.; Levi, M.; Li, X.; Liang, J.; Lloyd, B. K.; 590 Logroscino, G.; Lunevicius, R.; Pope, D.; Mahdavi, M.; Malta, D. C.; Marcenes, W.; 591 Matsushita, K.; Nachega, J. B.; Tran, B. X.; Meaney, P. A.; Mehari, A.; Tedla, B. A.; 592 Memish, Z. A.; Mendoza, W.; Mensink, G. B. M.; Mhimbira, F. A.; Miller, T. R.; Mills, 593 E. J.; Mohammadi, A.; Mola, G. L. D.; Monasta, L.; Morawska, L.; Norman, R. E.; Mori, 594 R.; Mozaff, D.; Shi, P.; Werdecker, A.; Mueller, U. O.; Paternina, A. J.; Westerman, R.;

595 Seedat, S.; Naheed, A.; Nangia, V.; Nassiri, N.; Nguyen, Q. L.; Nkamedjie, P. M.; 596 Norheim, O. F.; Norrving, B.; Nyakarahuka, L.; Obermeyer, C. M.; Ogbo, F. A.; Oh, I.; 597 Oladimeji, O.; Sartorius, B.; Olusanya, B. O.; Olivares, P. R.; Olusanya, J. O.; Opio, J. N.; 598 Oren, E.; Ortiz, A.; Ota, E.; Mahesh, P. A.; Park, E.; Patel, T.; Patil, S. T.; Patten, S. B.; 599 Wang, J.; Pereira, D. M.; Cortinovis, M.; Giussani, G.; Perico, N.; Remuzzi, G.; Pesudovs, 600 K.; Phillips, M. R.; Pillay, J. D.; Plass, D.; Tobollik, M.; Polinder, S.; Pond, C. D.; Pope, 601 C. A.; Prasad, N. M.; Oorbani, M.; Radfar, A.; Rafay, A.; Rana, S. M.; Rahman, M.; 602 Rahman, S. U.; Rajsic, S.; Rai, R. K.; Raju, M.; Ranganathan, K.; Refaat, A. H.; Rehm, C. 603 D.; Ribeiro, A. L.; Rojas-Rueda, D.; Roy, A.; Satpathy, M.; Tandon, N.; Rothenbacher, 604 D.; Saleh, M. M.; Sanabria, J. R.; Sanchez-Riera, L.; Sanchez-Niño, M. D.; Sarmiento-605 Suarez, R.; Sawhney, M.; Schmidhuber, J.; Schneider, I. J. C.; Schutte, A. E.; Silva, D. A. 606 S.; Shahraz, S.; Shin, M.; Shaheen, A.; Shaikh, M. A.; Sharma, R.; Shigematsu, M.; Yoon, 607 S.; Shishani, K.; Sigfusdottir, I. D.; Singh, P. K.; Silveira, D. G. A.; Silverberg, J. I.; 608 Yano, Y.; Soneji, S.; Stranges, S.; Steckling, N.; Sreeramareddy, C. T.; Stathopoulou, V.; 609 Stroumpoulis, K.; Sunguya, B. F.; Swaminathan, S.; Sykes, B. L.; Tabarés-Seisdedos, R.; 610 Talongwa, R. T.; Tanne, D.; Tuzcu, E. M.; Thakur, J.; Shaddick, G.; Thomas, M. L.; 611 Thrift, A. G.; Thurston, G. D.; Thomson, A. J.; Topor-Madry, R.; Topouzis, F.; Towbin, J. 612 A.; Uthman, O. A.; Tobe-Gai, R.; Tsilimparis, N.; Tsala, Z.; Tyrovolas, S.; Ukwaja, K. N.; van Os, J.; Vasankari, T.; Venketasubramanian, N.; Violante, F. S.; Waller, S. G.; Uneke, 613 614 C. J.; Wang, Y.; Weichenthal, S.; Woolf, A. D.; Xavier, D.; Xu, G.; Yakob, B.; Yip, P.; 615 Kesavachandran, C. N.; Montico, M.; Ronfani, L.; Yu, C.; Zaidi, Z.; Yonemoto, N.; 616 Younis, M. Z.; Wubshet, M.; Zuhlke, L. J.; Zaki, M. E.; Zhu, J. Global, Regional, and 617 National Comparative Risk Assessment of 79 Behavioural, Environmental and 618 Occupational, and Metabolic Risks or Clusters of Risks, 1990–2015: A Systematic 619 Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet 2016, 388 (10053), 1659-620 1724. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31679-8. 621 (7) Lelieveld, J.; Evans, J. S.; Fnais, M.; Giannadaki, D.; Pozzer, A. The Contribution of 622 Outdoor Air Pollution Sources to Premature Mortality on a Global Scale. Nature 2015, 623 525 (7569), 367–371. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371. 624 (8) Burnett, R.; Chen, H.; Szyszkowicz, M.; Fann, N.; Hubbell, B.; Pope, C. A.; Apte, J. S.; 625 Brauer, M.; Cohen, A.; Weichenthal, S.; Coggins, J.; Di, Q.; Brunekreef, B.; Frostad, J.; 626 Lim, S. S.; Kan, H.; Walker, K. D.; Thurston, G. D.; Hayes, R. B.; Lim, C. C.; Turner, M. 627 C.; Jerrett, M.; Krewski, D.; Gapstur, S. M.; Diver, W. R.; Ostro, B.; Goldberg, D.; 628 Crouse, D. L.; Martin, R. V.; Peters, P.; Pinault, L.; Tjepkema, M.; Van Donkelaar, A.; 629 Villeneuve, P. J.; Miller, A. B.; Yin, P.; Zhou, M.; Wang, L.; Janssen, N. A. H.; Marra, 630 M.; Atkinson, R. W.; Tsang, H.; Thach, T. O.; Cannon, J. B.; Allen, R. T.; Hart, J. E.; 631 Laden, F.; Cesaroni, G.; Forastiere, F.; Weinmayr, G.; Jaensch, A.; Nagel, G.; Concin, H.; 632 Spadaro, J. V. Global Estimates of Mortality Associated with Longterm Exposure to

633 Outdoor Fine Particulate Matter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2018, 115 (38), 9592-634 9597. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803222115. Müller, A.; Österlund, H.; Marsalek, J.; Viklander, M. The Pollution Conveyed by Urban 635 (9) 636 Runoff: A Review of Sources. Science of the Total Environment. Elsevier B.V. March 20, 2020, p 136125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136125. 637 638 (10) Levin, P. S.; Howe, E.; Robertson, J. C. Impacts of Stormwater on Coastal Ecosystems: 639 The Need to Match the Scales of Management Objectives and Solutions. Philos. Trans. R. 640 Soc. London B. 2020, in press. 641 (11)Yeh, S.; Mishra, G. S.; Fulton, L.; Kyle, P.; McCollum, D. L.; Miller, J.; Cazzola, P.; 642 Teter, J. Detailed Assessment of Global Transport-Energy Models' Structures and 643 Projections. Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ. 2017, 55, 294–309. 644 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2016.11.001. 645 Jayarathne, A.; Egodawatta, P.; Ayoko, G. A.; Goonetilleke, A. Assessment of Ecological (12)646 and Human Health Risks of Metals in Urban Road Dust Based on Geochemical 647 Fractionation and Potential Bioavailability. Sci. Total Environ. 2018, 635, 1609–1619. 648 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.04.098. 649 (13)Chen, L. C.; Lippmann, M. Effects of Metals within Ambient Air Particulate Matter (PM) 650 on Human Health. Inhal. Toxicol. 2009, 21 (1), 1-31. 651 https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370802105405. 652 Caussy, D.; Gochfeld, M.; Gurzau, E.; Neagu, C.; Ruedel, H. Lessons from Case Studies (14)653 of Metals: Investigating Exposure, Bioavailability, and Risk. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 654 **2003**, 56 (1), 45–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513(03)00049-6. 655 (15) Ma, Y.; McGree, J.; Liu, A.; Deilami, K.; Egodawatta, P.; Goonetilleke, A. Catchment 656 Scale Assessment of Risk Posed by Traffic Generated Heavy Metals and Polycyclic 657 Aromatic Hydrocarbons. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2017, 144, 593–600. 658 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2017.06.073. 659 (16) Liu, A.; Ma, Y.; Gunawardena, J. M. A.; Egodawatta, P.; Ayoko, G. A.; Goonetilleke, A. 660 Heavy Metals Transport Pathways: The Importance of Atmospheric Pollution 661 Contributing to Stormwater Pollution. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2018, 164, 696–703. 662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.08.072. 663 McKenzie, E. R.; Money, J. E.; Green, P. G.; Young, T. M. Metals Associated with (17)664 Stormwater-Relevant Brake and Tire Samples. Sci. Total Environ. 2009, 407 (22), 5855-665 5860. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2009.07.018. 666 Thorpe, A.; Harrison, R. M. Sources and Properties of Non-Exhaust Particulate Matter (18)667 from Road Traffic: A Review. Sci. Total Environ. 2008, 400 (1-3), 270-282.

668 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.06.007. 669 Davis, A. P.; Shokouhian, M.; Ni, S. Loading Estimates of Lead, Copper, Cadmium, and (19)670 Zinc in Urban Runoff from Specific Sources. *Chemosphere* **2001**, *44* (5), 997–1009. 671 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00561-0. 672 (20)Zhang, K.; Chui, T. F. M. A Comprehensive Review of Spatial Allocation of LID-BMP-673 GI Practices: Strategies and Optimization Tools. Sci. Total Environ. 2018, 621, 915–929. 674 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2017.11.281. 675 (21) Barzyk, T. M.; Isakov, V.; Arunachalam, S.; Venkatram, A.; Cook, R.; Naess, B. A Near-676 Road Modeling System for Community-Scale Assessments Oftraffic-Related Air Pollution in the United States. Environ. Model. Softw. 2015, 66, 46-56. 677 678 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.12.004. 679 Zivkovich, B. R.; Mays, D. C. Predicting Nonpoint Stormwater Runoff Quality from Land (22)680 Use. PLoS One 2018, 13 (5), e0196782. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196782. 681 Mitchell, G. Mapping Hazard from Urban Non-Point Pollution: A Screening Model to (23)682 Support Sustainable Urban Drainage Planning. Journal of Environmental Management. 683 Academic Press January 1, 2005, pp 1–9. 684 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2004.08.002. 685 (24)Ma, J. S.; Kang, J. H.; Kayhanian, M.; Stenstrom, M. K. Sampling Issues in Urban Runoff 686 Monitoring Programs: Composite versus Grab. J. Environ. Eng. 2009, 135 (3), 118–127. 687 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2009)135:3(118). 688 (25)Hennigan, C. J.; Mucci, A.; Reed, B. E. Trends in PM 2.5 Transition Metals in Urban 689 Areas across the United States. Environ. Res. Lett. 2019, 14 (10), 104006. 690 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4032. 691 (26)Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E.; Dann, T. F.; Kalyani Martinelango, P.; Celo, V.; Brook, J. R.; 692 Mathieu, D.; Ding, L.; Austin, C. C. Canadian National Air Pollution Surveillance 693 (NAPS) PM2.5 Speciation Program: Methodology and PM2.5 Chemical Composition for 694 the Years 2003-2008. Atmos. Environ. 2011, 45 (3), 673–686. 695 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.024. 696 Philip, S.; Martin, R. V.; Van Donkelaar, A.; Lo, J. W. H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, D.; Zhang, L.; (27)697 Kasibhatla, P. S.; Wang, S.; Zhang, Q.; Lu, Z.; Streets, D. G.; Bittman, S.; Macdonald, D. J. Global Chemical Composition of Ambient Fine Particulate Matter for Exposure 698 699 Assessment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 48 (22), 13060–13068. 700 https://doi.org/10.1021/es502965b. 701 Gatziolis, D.; Jovan, S.; Donovan, G.; Amacher, M. Elemental Atmospheric Pollution (28)702 Assessment Via Moss-Based Measurements in Portland, Oregon. General Technical

Report PNW-GTR-938.; 2016; Vol. 938.

- Jiang, Y.; Fan, M.; Hu, R.; Zhao, J.; Wu, Y. Mosses Are Better than Leaves of Vascular
 Plants in Monitoring Atmospheric Heavy Metal Pollution in Urban Areas. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2018. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15061105.
- Ruckelshaus, M.; Essington, T.; Levin, P. Puget Sound, Washington, USA. In *Ecosystem- based Management for the Oceans*; McLeod, K., Leslie, H., Eds.; Island Press:
 Washington, DC, USA: Washington, D.C., 2009; pp 201–226.
- (31) Zechmeister, H. G.; Hohenwallner, D.; Riss, A.; Hanus-Illnar, A. Estimation of Element
 Deposition Derived from Road Traffic Sources by Using Mosses. *Environ. Pollut.* 2005, *138* (2), 238–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.04.005.
- (32) Horstmeyer, N.; Huber, M.; Drewes, J. E.; Helmreich, B. Evaluation of Site-Specific
 Factors Influencing Heavy Metal Contents in the Topsoil of Vegetated Infiltration Swales. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, *560–561*, 19–28.
- 716 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.051.
- 717 (33) Apeagyei, E.; Bank, M. S.; Spengler, J. D. Distribution of Heavy Metals in Road Dust
 718 along an Urban-Rural Gradient in Massachusetts. *Atmos. Environ.* 2011, 45 (13), 2310–
 719 2323. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2010.11.015.
- 720 (34) Pasquier, A.; André, M. Considering Criteria Related to Spatial Variabilities for the
 721 Assessment of Air Pollution from Traffic. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 2017, 25, 3354–3369.
 722 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRPRO.2017.05.210.
- Gunawardena, J. M. A.; Liu, A.; Egodawatta, P.; Ayoko, G. A.; Goonetilleke, A. Primary
 Traffic Related Pollutants and Urban Stormwater Quality; Springer, Singapore, 2018; pp
 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5302-3_1.
- (36) Deforest, D. K.; Meyer, J. S. Critical Review: Toxicity of Dietborne Metals to Aquatic
 Organisms. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. June 3, 2015, pp
 1176–1241. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2014.955626.
- Gunawardena, J. M. A.; Egodawatta, P.; Ayoko, G. A.; Goonetilleke, A. Atmospheric
 Deposition as a Source of Heavy Metals in Urban Stormwater. *Atmos. Environ.* 2013, 68,
 235–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.062.
- (38) Duong, T. T. T.; Lee, B. K. Determining Contamination Level of Heavy Metals in Road
 Dust from Busy Traffic Areas with Different Characteristics. *J. Environ. Manage.* 2011,
 92 (3), 554–562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.09.010.
- 735 (39) Puget Sound Regional Council. 2050 Forecast of People and Jobs; Puget Sound Regional
 736 Council: Seattle Washington, 2018.

737 738 739 740	(40)	Mathews, D. L.; Turner, N. J. Ocean Cultures: Northwest Coast Ecosystems and Indigenous Management Systems. In <i>Conservation for the Antropocene Ocean:</i> <i>Interdisciplinary science in support of nature and people</i> ; Levin, P. S., Poe, M. R., Eds.; Academic Press: London, 2017; pp 169–206.
741 742 743 744 745	(41)	Yang, L.; Jin, S.; Danielson, P.; Homer, C.; Gass, L.; Bender, S. M.; Case, A.; Costello, C.; Dewitz, J.; Fry, J.; Funk, M.; Granneman, B.; Liknes, G. C.; Rigge, M.; Xian, G. A New Generation of the United States National Land Cover Database: Requirements, Research Priorities, Design, and Implementation Strategies. <i>ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.</i> 2018 , <i>146</i> , 108–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISPRSJPRS.2018.09.006.
746 747 748 749	(42)	Tostes, A. I. J.; de L. P. Duarte-Figueiredo, F.; Assunção, R.; Salles, J.; Loureiro, A. A. F. From Data to Knowledge: City-Wide Traffic Flows Analysis and Prediction Using Bing Maps. <i>Proc. 2nd ACM SIGKDD Int. Work. Urban Comput UrbComp '13</i> 2013 , 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1145/2505821.2505831.
750 751	(43)	Little, P.; Martin, M. H. Biological Monitoring of Heavy Metal Pollution. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 1974 , <i>6</i> (1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9327(74)90042-1.
752 753 754 755	(44)	Fernández, J. A.; Boquete, M. T.; Carballeira, A.; Aboal, J. R. A Critical Review of Protocols for Moss Biomonitoring of Atmospheric Deposition: Sampling and Sample Preparation. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> . Elsevier June 1, 2015, pp 132–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.050.
756 757	(45)	Bates, J. W. Mineral Nutrient Acquisition and Retention by Bryophytes. <i>J. Bryol.</i> 1992 , <i>17</i> (2), 223–240. https://doi.org/10.1179/jbr.1992.17.2.223.
758 759 760	(46)	Aboal, J. R.; Pérez-Llamazares, A.; Carballeira, A.; Giordano, S.; Fernández, J. A. Should Moss Samples Used as Biomonitors of Atmospheric Contamination Be Washed? <i>Atmos.</i> <i>Environ.</i> 2011 , <i>45</i> (37), 6837–6840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.004.
761 762 763 764	(47)	Salemaa, M.; Derome, J.; Helmissari, H.; Nieminen, T.; Vanhamajamaa, I. Element Accumulation in Boreal Bryophytes, Lichens and Vascular Plants Exposed to Heavy Metal and Sulfur Deposition in Finland. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 2004 , <i>324</i> (1–3), 141–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.10.025.
765 766 767	(48)	Pearson, J.; Wells, D. M.; Seller, K. J.; Bennett, A.; Soares, A.; Woodall, J.; Ingrouille, M. J. Traffic Exposure Increases Natural 15N and Heavy Metal Concentrations in Mosses. <i>New Phytol.</i> 2000 , <i>147</i> , 317–326.
768 769	(49)	Kalnicky, D. J.; Singhvi, R. Field Portable XRF Analysis of Environmental Samples. J. <i>Hazard. Mater.</i> 2001 , <i>83</i> (1–2), 93–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(00)00330-7.
770 771	(50)	United States Environmental Protection Agency. Field Portable X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry for the Determination of Elemental Concentrations in Soil and Sediment;
	32	

772 2007.

- Towett, E. K.; Shepherd, K. D.; Lee Drake, B. Plant Elemental Composition and Portable
 X-Ray Fluorescence (PXRF) Spectroscopy: Quantification under Different Analytical
 Parameters. *X-Ray Spectrom.* 2016, 45 (2), 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1002/xrs.2678.
- (52) Bueno Guerra, M. B.; de Almeida, E.; Carvalho, G. G. A.; Souza, P. F.; Nunes, L. C.;
 Júnior, D. S.; Krug, F. J. Comparison of Analytical Performance of Benchtop and
 Handheld Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Systems for the Direct Analysis of
 Plant Materials. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2014, 29 (9), 1667–1674.
- 780 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4JA00083H.
- (53) Aboal, J. R.; Fernández, J. A.; Boquete, T.; Carballeira, A. Is It Possible to Estimate
 Atmospheric Deposition of Heavy Metals by Analysis of Terrestrial Mosses? *Science of the Total Environment*. 2010, pp 6291–6297.
- 784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.09.013.
- Kuhn, M.; Johnson, K. *Applied Predictive Modeling*; Springer New York, 2013.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6849-3.
- Markert, B.; Weckert, V. Use of Polytrichum Formosum (Moss) as a Passive Biomonitor
 for Heavy Metal Pollution (Cadmium, Copper, Lead and Zinc). *Sci. Total Environ.* 1989,
 86 (3), 289–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(89)90291-X.
- (56) Real, C.; Fernández, J. A.; Aboal, J. R.; Carballeira, A. Detection of Pulses of
 Atmospheric Mercury Deposition with Extensive Surveys and Frequently Sampled
 Stations: A Comparison. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2008, 70 (3), 392–399.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2008.01.005.
- (57) Boquete, M. T.; Fernández, J. A.; Aboal, J. R.; Carballeira, A. Analysis of Temporal
 Variability in the Concentrations of Some Elements in the Terrestrial Moss
 Pseudoscleropodium Purum. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2011, 72 (2), 210–216.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.03.002.
- (58) Bivand, R.; with contributions by; Anselin, L.; Assunção, R.; Berke, O.; Bernat, A.;
 Carvalho, M.; Chun, Y.; Christensen, B.; Dormann, C.; Dray, S.; Halbersma, R.; Krainski,
 E.; Lewin-Koh, N.; Li, H.; Ma, J.; Millo, G.; Mueller, W.; Ono, H.; Peres-Neto, P.; Reder,
 M.; Tiefelsdorf, M.; Yu, D. The Spdep Package Spatial Dependence: Weighting
 Schemes, Statistics and Models. 2009, p 163.
- 803 (59) Porter, W. C.; Heald, C. L.; Cooley, D.; Russell, B. Investigating the Observed
 804 Sensitivities of Air-Quality Extremes to Meteorological Drivers via Quantile Regression.
 805 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *15* (18), 10349–10366. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-10349806 2015.

807 808 809 810	(60)	Pant, P.; Harrison, R. M. Estimation of the Contribution of Road Traffic Emissions to Particulate Matter Concentrations from Field Measurements: A Review. <i>Atmospheric</i> <i>Environment</i> . Pergamon October 1, 2013, pp 78–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.028.
811 812 813	(61)	Tong, Z.; Whitlow, T. H.; MacRae, P. F.; Landers, A. J.; Harada, Y. Quantifying the Effect of Vegetation on Near-Road Air Quality Using Brief Campaigns. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 2015 , <i>201</i> , 141–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2015.02.026.
814 815 816 817	(62)	De Miguel, E.; Llamas, J. F.; Chacón, E.; Berg, T.; Larssen, S.; Røyset, O.; Vadset, M. Origin and Patterns of Distribution of Trace Elements in Street Dust: Unleaded Petrol and Urban Lead. <i>Atmos. Environ.</i> 1997 , <i>31</i> (17), 2733–2740. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00101-5.
818 819 820 821	(63)	Schwarz, K.; Pickett, S. T. A.; Lathrop, R. G.; Weathers, K. C.; Pouyat, R. V.; Cadenasso, M. L. The Effects of the Urban Built Environment on the Spatial Distribution of Lead in Residential Soils. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 2012 , <i>163</i> , 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.003.
822 823 824 825	(64)	 Wijesiri, B.; Liu, A.; Gunawardana, C.; Hong, N.; Zhu, P.; Guan, Y.; Goonetilleke, A. Influence of Urbanisation Characteristics on the Variability of Particle-Bound Heavy Metals Build-up: A Comparative Study between China and Australia. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 2018, 242, 1067–1077. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2018.07.123.
826 827 828	(65)	Rouillon, M.; Gore, D. B.; Taylor, M. P. The Nature and Distribution of Cu, Zn, Hg, and Pb in Urban Soils of a Regional City: Lithgow, Australia. <i>Appl. Geochemistry</i> 2013 , <i>36</i> , 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2013.06.015.
829 830 831	(66)	Li, H.; Shi, A.; Zhang, X. Particle Size Distribution and Characteristics of Heavy Metals in Road-Deposited Sediments from Beijing Olympic Park. <i>J. Environ. Sci. (China)</i> 2015 , <i>32</i> , 228–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2014.11.014.
832 833 834 835	(67)	Hou, D.; O'Connor, D.; Nathanail, P.; Tian, L.; Ma, Y. Integrated GIS and Multivariate Statistical Analysis for Regional Scale Assessment of Heavy Metal Soil Contamination: A Critical Review. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 2017 , <i>231</i> , 1188–1200. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2017.07.021.
836 837 838	(68)	Gunawardena, J. M. A.; Ziyath, A. M.; Egodawatta, P.; Ayoko, G. A.; Goonetilleke, A. Sources and Transport Pathways of Common Heavy Metals to Urban Road Surfaces. <i>Ecol. Eng.</i> 2015 , <i>77</i> , 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2015.01.023.
839 840 841	(69)	Schröder, W.; Holy, M.; Pesch, R.; Harmens, H.; Ilyin, I.; Steinnes, E.; Alber, R.; Aleksiayenak, Y.; Blum, O.; Coşkun, M.; Dam, M.; De Temmerman, L.; Frolova, M.; Frontasyeva, M.; Miqueo, L. G.; Grodzińska, K.; Jeran, Z.; Korzekwa, S.; Krmar, M.;

842 843 844 845 846		Kubin, E.; Kvietkus, K.; Leblond, S.; Liiv, S.; Magnússon, S.; Maňkovská, B.; Piispanen, J.; Rühling, Å.; Santamaria, J.; Spiric, Z.; Suchara, I.; Thöni, L.; Urumov, V.; Yurukova, L.; Zechmeister, H. G. Are Cadmium, Lead and Mercury Concentrations in Mosses across Europe Primarily Determined by Atmospheric Deposition of These Metals? <i>J. Soils Sediments</i> 2010 , <i>10</i> (8), 1572–1584. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-010-0254-y.
847 848 849	(70)	Barrington-Leigh, C.; Millard-Ball, A. The World's User-Generated Road Map Is More than 80% Complete. <i>PLoS One</i> 2017 , <i>12</i> (8), e0180698. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180698.
850 851	(71)	Microsoft. Bing Maps Traffic Coverage https://docs.microsoft.com/en- us/bingmaps/coverage/traffic-coverage-old.
852 853 854 855	(72)	Winkler, A.; Contardo, T.; Vannini, A.; Sorbo, S.; Basile, A.; Loppi, S. Magnetic Emissions from Brake Wear Are the Major Source of Airborne Particulate Matter Bioaccumulated by Lichens Exposed in Milan (Italy). <i>Appl. Sci.</i> 2020 . https://doi.org/10.3390/app10062073.
856 857 858 859 860	(73)	Bukowiecki, N.; Lienemann, P.; Hill, M.; Figi, R.; Richard, A.; Furger, M.; Rickers, K.; Falkenberg, G.; Zhao, Y.; Cliff, S. S.; Prevot, A. S. H.; Baltensperger, U.; Buchmann, B.; Gehrig, R. Real-World Emission Factors for Antimony and Other Brake Wear Related Trace Elements: Size-Segregated Values for Light and Heavy Duty Vehicles. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> 2009 . https://doi.org/10.1021/es9006096.
0.61		