

Functional composition of gravel bar plant communities along the Rhône River:

implications for management and restoration operations

Janssen Philippe^{1,2}, Piégay Hervé¹ & Evette André²


¹CNRS, UMR 5600 « Environnement, Ville, Société », ²IRSTEA, UR LESSEM







Riparian biodiversity

















Riparian communities from the upper to the lower part of the banks

Poff et al. 1997, Strayer & Dudgeon 2010, González et al. 2016, Naiman and & Decamps 1997, Sabo et al. 2005











Environmental gradients











Environmental changes and human activity

- Civil engineering widely used
 - Control flood risk
 - Prevent channel migration

Added-value of restoration operations?



- Prevent vegetation encroachment
- Maintain wide channels



Related effect on pioneer communities?







Nilsson et al. 2005, Feld et al. 2011, Poff et al. 2007, Poff and Zimmerman 2010, Liébault and Piégay 2002, Comiti et al. 2011







Research questions

Study the effect of topographical and pedological gradients on the functional composition of gravel bar plant communities

- How environmental gradients shape riparian plant functional composition along a highly degraded large River?
- How human activity, through maintenance and restoration operations, mediate the response of plant functional composition to environmental gradients?















Case study: the Rhône River

- A large River
 - total length = 810 km
 - watershed area = 96 500 km²
 - mean flow = 1 700 m³/s
- A highly degraded system
 - rectification phase in the 19th century
 - derivation phase in the 20th century
- Riparian zones intensively managed
- Ambitious ecological restoration program











Study area and sampling design

















Trait-based approach

Three groups of plant traits:



Modeling framework: LMMs / GLMMs + multimodel inference









Analytical strategy

 How do environmental gradients shape plant communities along the Rhône River?

 How do maintenance operations mediate their response to environmental gradients?


 How is the response consistent among fixed, rejuvenated and dynamic bars?





















Elevation gradient











Soil texture gradient























Effect of maintenance operations




Elevation gradient













Soil texture gradient

Effect of maintenance operations












































Elevation gradient














Soil texture gradient




















Take-home message...

- Both gradients have strong effect on plant functional composition;
- Co-occurrence of species is higher on highly disturbed sites;
- Rejuvenation processes avoid biotic homogenization and promote habitat heterogeneity;
- Human activities mediate environmental gradients:
 - ploughing promote pioneer communities but also alien species;
 - dynamic gravel bars are more stressful environments;
 - rejuvenated surfaces follow the ecological trajectories of fixed ones.
- Effective ecological restoration strategies should imply:
 - reactivation of bedload transport and supply + greater variability of the minimum flow;
 - engineering operations that better mimic landform and soil properties of dynamic gravel bars.







Acknowledgements

Help on the field:

Breton Vincent, Cavaillé
Paul, Favier Gilles, Jaymond
Delphine, Labonne Sophie



Plant trait values:





Financial support:

















