

Mode I delamination R-Curve in poplar laminated veneer lumber

Axel Peignon, Joël Serra, L. Gélard, Arthur Cantarel, Florent Eyma, B.

Castanié

► To cite this version:

Axel Peignon, Joël Serra, L. Gélard, Arthur Cantarel, Florent Eyma, et al.. Mode I delamination R-Curve in poplar laminated veneer lumber. Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics, 2023, 10.1016/j.tafmec.2023.103982. hal-04141431v2

HAL Id: hal-04141431 https://hal.science/hal-04141431v2

Submitted on 25 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mode I delamination R-Curve in poplar laminated veneer lumber

- 2 A. Peignon¹, J. Serra¹, L. Gélard¹, A. Cantarel¹, F. Eyma¹ and B. Castanié^{1,*}
- ³ ¹Institut Clément (ICA), Université de Toulouse, CNRS UMR 5312, INSA, ISAE-Supaéro, INSA,
- 4 IMT Mines Albi, UPS, Toulouse, France
- 5 *corresponding author: <u>bruno.castanie@insa-toulouse.fr</u>

6 **Abstract:** Recent studies have shown that plywood and laminated veneer lumber are very efficient

7 crashworthiness materials. Therefore, there is a need to determine the fracture toughness of these

8 wood composite materials to be able to model their static and dynamic damage behavior. This study

9 reports the results of the delamination propagation of plywood under mode I loading. Two different

- 10 double cantilever beam specimens made of poplar were compared: one with an interfacial fiber
- 11 orientation of $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ and the second with $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$. Failure scenarios and patterns are analyzed to
- 12 establish a comparison between the two configurations and compute consistent R-curves.
- 13 Keywords: Wood veneers, R-curve, Double Cantilever Beam (DCB), Delamination, Fiber bridging,
- 14 Laminated veneer lumber (LVL)
- 15 Funding: This work was supported by the French National Research Agency under the BOOST
- 16 project (ANR-21-CE43-0008-01).

CC: Compliance Calibration DCB: Double Cantilever Beam IR: InfraRed LVL: Laminated Veneer Lumber MBT: Modified Beam Theory MCC: Modified Compliance Calibration RSD: Relative Standard Deviation SEA: Specific Energy Absorption SD: Standard Deviation

17 Nomenclature

crack length
initial crack length
specimen width
specimen compliance
modulus of elasticity of wood along the longitudinal direction
fracture toughness in mode I
specimen height
moment of inertia of a DCB specimen arm
veneer longitudinal direction
half width of loading block
specimen length
load acting on the specimen
veneer Radial direction
veneer Tangential direction
distance from loading block pin to center line of top specimen arm
specimen length direction
x coordinate of the crack origin
x coordinate of point 1
x coordinate of crack tip
specimen depth direction
y coordinate of crack origin
y coordinate of point 1
y coordinate of crack tip
specimen thickness direction
applied displacement
i-th coefficient of an unknown function

19 **1. Introduction**

20 Wood is one of the earliest materials used by man in construction [1]. It is a well-known material for 21 which there exists fairly detailed knowledge of its pathologies and durability characteristics. One of 22 the first building codes concerned wood as the material and was published in 1091 in China [2]. 23 Wood, especially used in sandwich structures, was also one of the materials used in aeronautics in its 24 early days and until the Second World War [3]. In addition, it is a natural and renewable material, 25 which can be produced locally and recycled [4], [5]. It also acts as a carbon sink, a natural reservoir 26 capable of absorbing and storing carbon from atmospheric CO₂. Wood can therefore play a key role in 27 the fight against global warming [6]. Its waste products are also reusable as a secondary material or 28 energy source [7] and structural material [8].

29 Laminated veneer lumber (LVL) and plywood are structural composite products made from thin, 30 peeled wood plies, called veneers, assembled with an adhesive. Plywood has cross-lamination, which 31 means that longitudinal and transverse veneers are alternated throughout the laminate [9]. Because of 32 their manufacturing steps, LVL and plywood offer the possibility of selecting veneers without visible 33 defects such as knots, knotholes or cracks and have static mechanical characteristics comparable to, or 34 even superior to, those of solid wood [10]–[13]. In addition, recent studies by the authors have shown 35 that LVL also has interesting mechanical potential for crash or impact applications [14]–[18]. For 36 example, Guélou et al. [14] obtained a dynamic Specific Energy Absorption (SEA) for birch wood 37 ranging between 35.7 J/g and 41.1 J/g. The SEA refers to the amount of energy per gram that a 38 material can absorb before it fails or breaks. In addition, sandwich structures with plywood cores and 39 composite (glass, carbon, linen) or metal (aluminium) skins [19], have shown very good resistance to 40 low-speed/low-energy impact [20] as well as excellent post-impact compressive strength in 41 configurations with aluminium skin and linen, where the knockdown factor is less than 10% [15]. 42 However, a previous study simulated impact on wood based materials with a damage law available in 43 LS-Dyna [21]. This study showed a deficiency of this type of approach. Additionally, based on their 44 experience of the impact on composite structures [22]–[25] the authors believe that the use of the so-45 called Discrete Ply Model [23]–[25] modeling strategy would be relevant to model the fracture

scenarios of plywood-based structures, in both static and dynamic situations. This strategy is based on
only 13 parameters, including energy fracture toughness at interfaces, which should be identified
specifically for wood applications.

49 To characterize the glue joint, the standard NF EN 302 (AFNOR 2017) or the former standard DIN 53253 (DIN 1964) propose to test the shear strength of the glue joint via longitudinal tensile tests. 50 51 However, during this type of test, only the strength of the glue line is characterized [26]. Thus, synthetic composite materials standard ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010) is considered to characterize the 52 toughness of a glued interface in mode I. This opening mode is studied as a priority because, in 53 54 general among the three modes of propagation of a crack, it is the one that requires the least energy and is therefore the most dangerous for the structure. The mode I toughness of the LVL is generally 55 56 characterized by a DCB (Double Cantilever Beam) test. This test makes it possible to obtain the 57 Resistance curve of the characterized interface, also called the R-curve. This curve represents the 58 amount of energy required to propagate an initial crack in the interface to be characterized [27]. The 59 few authors who have shown interest in DCB tests on glued wood interfaces, either for LVL or plywood include El Moustaphaoui et al. [28], [29], who characterized the toughness of a 9-ply ceiba 60 61 plywood interface, without specifying its stacking sequence, using urea-formaldehyde glue. They 62 obtained a G_{Ic} value between 140 J/m² and 200 J/m². Baba et al. [30] were interested in the 63 delamination of beech plywood with a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface and the stacking sequence $[0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}/0^{\circ}]_{s}$. They obtained a G_{Ic} value of the order of 20 J/m². This value, which is low compared to that of El 64 65 Moustaphaoui, can be explained by the addition of rye flour in the urea-formaldehyde glue used to 66 make the test tubes. Other authors have been interested in glued wood interfaces in glulam [31]–[37]. The order of magnitude of G_{Ic} values obtained by these studies is a few hundred Joules per square 67 68 meters.

69 Previous studies only considered 0°/0° delamination interfaces [28]–[37] but, in the case of laminates 70 such as plywood, delamination occurs mainly at 0°/90° interfaces because interlaminar shear is 71 maximum for perpendicularly oriented interfaces. This phenomenon is well known in the field of 72 composites [38] but not studied for wood.

In the case of laminates made of synthetic composite materials, the configuration with a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface makes it possible to find a lower bound for the value of G_{Ic} by limiting fiber bridging while keeping the crack in the interface between the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ plies. The configuration with a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface is more likely to create migration of the crack into the 90° ply and therefore will tend to overestimate the G_{Ic} [39], [40].

78 Moreover, in the case of LVL or plywood, only El Moustaphaoui et al. have computed R-curves [28].

79 Baba et al. have reproduced an R-curve characterizing the G_{Ic} of initiation for various initial cracks

80 [30]. However, once the R-curve is obtained, few physical justifications are provided to explain its

81 evolution and analyze these specimens' fracture surfaces.

Therefore, the study presented here aims to provide mode I delamination R-curves for two different interfaces: a 0°/0° interface and a 0°/90° interface. The evolution of the R-curve will be analyzed for Koster poplar (*Populus*) plywood and a comparison will be made between the two interfaces studied. The corresponding fracture toughness will be calculated and analyzed.

86 2. Materials and Experimental Procedures

The Double Cantilever Beam (DCB) test consists of applying a load to the ends of the specimen,
which causes the crack to propagate in pure mode I. The specimen is placed with an initial crack
length (a₀). Figure 1 shows the DCB test and the depiction of the initial crack. The fracture energy can
be evaluated using the force-displacement curve (P-δ curve), the crack length (a), and the Irwin-Kies
equation [41] (Equation 1):

- 92 $\mathbf{G_{Ic}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2\mathbf{b}} \frac{\mathbf{dC}}{\mathbf{da}}$ 93 Equation 1
- 94 with b as the width of the specimen and C as the compliance of the specimen (Equation 2).
- 95 $\mathbf{C} = \frac{\delta}{\mathbf{P}}$
- 96 Equation 2

97 2.1. Materials and Manufacturing

98	One-millimeter Koster wood veneers were used in the manufacture of the DCB test specimens. The
99	veneer, supplied by the LaBoMaP laboratory in Cluny, France, was produced using a rotary wood
100	peeling machine. The moisture content of the wood was measured at 10.1% with a Relative Standard
101	Deviation (RSD) of 0.4%. The veneers were then stacked and pressed for 5 hours at 10 bars and 25°C.
102	The wood glue used to make the plywood was Kleiberit PUR 510 FIBERBOND, a one-component
103	polyurethane-based glue that hardens by reaction with moisture. The amount of glue used was 250
104	g/m2. The density of Koster veneers was 348 kg/m ³ (RSD: 4%).
105	Two specimen configurations were made for this study and are illustrated in Figure 2:
106	• 8-ply laminates with a $[0^\circ]_8$ stacking sequence to study the $0^\circ/0^\circ$ interface, referred to as " $0^\circ/0^\circ$
107	specimens".
108	• 9-ply laminates with a $[0^{\circ}_{4},90^{\circ},0^{\circ}_{4}]$ stacking sequence to study the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface, referred to
109	as " $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens". A view of the thickness is shown in Figure 3.
110	Two reference frames will be used to describe the systems (Figure 3):
111	• A global reference frame (X,Y,Z) with X oriented along the length of the DCB specimen, Y in
112	its depth, and Z in its thickness (Figure 1).
113	• A local reference frame (L,T,R), describing the main directions of the wood, is used to
114	characterize the veneers (L oriented in the direction of the wood fibers (the wood cells), R
115	oriented in the direction of the growth rings, and so in the thickness of the veneers, and T in the
116	transverse direction in the plane of the veneers).
117	It is important to note that, during the manufacture of veneers, lathe checks are created on one of their
118	faces [42], [43]. Lathe checks are small cracks (~0.1mm in the veneer used for this study) that occur
119	along the length of the veneer layers in plywood (Figure 4). These cracks happen when the veneer is
120	manufactured (Figure 5). In addition, the thickness of a wood veneer can be composed of earlywood
121	(wood formed at the beginning of the growing season) and latewood (wood formed later in the
122	season) [44] (Figure 5). It is important to note that the anatomy and the mechanical properties of those
123	two kinds of wood are different [45]–[47].

124 Moreover, unlike carbon composite materials, in wood, and so veneers, not all wood fibers are

125 perfectly aligned, they may have an in-plane and an out-of-plane angle. This is due to the wood nature

126 and, for veneers, the manufacturing process. Since the out-of-plane angle is mainly due to the

127 irregularity of the wood log, when the veneer is peeled, the fibers in the LR plane of the veneer are not

aligned with the L direction (Figure 6).

The plywood manufactured for the DCB specimen considers the positions of the lathe checks present in the Koster veneer. During stacking, faces with lathe checks are placed on healthy faces, except for the outer plies, where the cracked faces face the inside of the plywood. This stack is called "tight side out and loose side in" and it is this setup that is used in industry for the manufacture of plywood [48].

133 Figure 7 illustrates the stack used for $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens.

134 For the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interfacial orientation, it was therefore decided to orient the cracked face of the 0° ply

135 towards the inside of the interface. Figure 8 illustrates the location of the lathe checks at the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$

136 interface in the XZ and YZ planes.

137 A total of 12 specimens per configuration were laser cut. DCB specimens had nominal dimensions of $250(1)\times25(b)\times8(h)$ mm³ for the 0°/0° series and $250(1)\times25(b)\times9(h)$ mm³ for the 0°/90° series (shown in 138 139 Figure 9). Attachment blocks were chosen to attach the DCB specimens to the tension machine. The 140 initial notch (a₀) was made in two stages: a Teflon film was placed during the production of the 141 plywood (as recommended by ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010)), and then the crack was initiated using a 142 thin cutter blade. The insertion of the cutter blade made it possible to spread the arms slightly so that 143 cracking was initiated without damaging the crack tip. The initiation made with the cutter reduced the 144 variability related to a possible glue cluster at the end of the Teflon film.

145 **2.2. Experimental Setup**

DCB tests were performed at ambient temperature and humidity (23.5°C (SD: 0.5°C) and 47% H (SD:
2% H)). An Instron 5900 machine was used to perform the tests using a displacement control (Figure
10). A 50 kN force cell was installed, with a measurement accuracy of 0.5 N, and the travel speed was
set at 20 mm/min.

150 Digital Image Correlation (DIC) was used to track sample deformation. A 5 MP camera (2452x2052 pixels) was used to acquire images of the specimens during the test. An infrared thermography (IRT) 151 152 camera (M3K - Telops) was placed on the other side of the specimens to examine the propagation of 153 damage during the test. The specimens were painted with matt black paint on one side for the IRT camera, to have a constant and maximum emissivity, and with speckles on the other side for image 154 155 correlation (Figure 10). The speckles were made using spray paint and the size of the patterns was 156 determined according to the experimental configuration to obtain a minimum size of 3 pixels for each 157 speckle [49].

158 A data acquisition system was used to record both the applied load (P) and the vertical displacement of 159 the clamps (δ) (Figure 1), with the acquisition frequency related to image correlation set at 1 Hz.

160 Image correlation data were analyzed with VIC 2D® correlation software. Calibration was carried out

using a calibration pattern. The acquisition frequency of the thermal imaging camera was set at 50 Hz.

162 **2.3. Methodology**

To compute the fracture energy with a DCB test and the methods presented in ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010), it is necessary to establish a link between compliance C and crack length to obtain dC/da (Equation 1). Many models are documented in the literature, the main ones being:

- Compliance Calibration (CC) (C= λ₀a^{λ1}; λ₀ and λ₁ are constants that need to be identified),
 described in ISO 15024 (ISO 2001) and ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010),
- Modified Compliance Calibration (MCC) (C^{1/3}=λ₁a/h+ λ₀; λ₀ and λ₁ are constants that need to
 be identified), described in ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010),
- Modified Beam Theory (MBT) (C=2a³/3E₁I) described in ISO 15024 (ISO 2001) and ASTM
 D5528 (ASTM 2010).

172 DIC was used to obtain the crack length required in the three methods employed in this paper. To

173 post-process DIC images, the size of the subset was fixed so that the subset contained 3 to 4 speckles.

174 The confidence interval of the correlation (called "Sigma") was used to visualize the correlation

175 mismatch and thus estimate the position of the crack tip of the specimens (Figure 11). The Sigma cut-

off value chosen was 0.015, which corresponds to the maximum value obtained when calculating the confidence interval between two identical images. The value obtained corresponded to the noise in the study area, so values below 0.015 will not be considered hereafter and are, therefore, not related to the presence of a crack. In addition, the resolution of the camera was 4.55 pixels for 1 mm; so, a correlation confidence interval of 0.015 pixels corresponds to an interval of 0.0033 mm.

181 A Python script was used to track the crack tip using Sigma visualization obtained for each specimen
182 over time. Manual point-by-point monitoring was carried out to obtain the coordinates in millimeters
183 of the tip of the crack at each time.

184 When setting up DIC cameras, a compromise was used to see as many of the samples as possible 185 while keeping a resolution high enough to allow sufficient resolution of the speckles. Therefore, the 186 ends of the specimens were not visible during the test. That is why to obtain the crack length, it is 187 necessary to compute it by using the origin of the crack and consider the bending of the lower arm of 188 all the specimens during the tensile test. For each image captured, three points were tracked and used 189 for specimen curvature interpolation. The first point corresponded to the crack tip (x_f, y_f) , the second 190 to the origin of the crack (x_0, y_0) , and the third was taken on the interface of the specimen between the 191 first and second points (x_1, y_1) , (Figure 12). A second-order function was used to fit this set of points 192 (named f(x)). Then, the length of the crack was calculated between the origin of the crack and the 193 crack tip with Equation 3 for each image:

194
$$\mathbf{a} = \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}_f} \sqrt{1 + f'(\mathbf{x})^2} d\mathbf{x}$$

195

Equation 3

200 **3. Results**

201 Once the tests were completed, each specimen was inspected to remove specimens with "abnormal" 202 fracture patterns from the study. For example, in some $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ series specimens, the crack migrated into 203 the plies at the beginning of the test even though it was initially at the interface. Since the force 204 applied was no longer symmetrical, the crack propagation was no longer in pure mode I and these 205 DCB tests were, therefore, no longer considered valid. For this reason, four specimens were excluded 206 from this study. This migration of the crack in the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ configuration can be explained by the out-of-207 the-plane variation of the grain angle in the veneers (Figure 14 and Figure 15), not all wood fibers are 208 horizontal and they may have an out-of-plane angle. This phenomenon is not common in DCB tests of 209 composite composites [50]. In addition, as explained in §2.3, the end of the specimen was not visible, 210 and monitoring the crack-tips coordinates (x_f, y_f) was no longer possible for the last propagations and 211 the final fracture, so the last crack propagation leading to the final rupture of the specimen was not 212 considered in this study.

213 The P- δ curves obtained during the tests, keeping only viable specimens, are shown by series in Figure 214 16. On the 0°/90° specimens, no anomaly is found during the tests, all the specimens can be used. 215 There is already a greater variability for the 0°/0° series compared to the 0°/90° series.

216 **3.1. R-curves**

For the sake of clarity, only the fracture toughness and R-curves computed with the MCC method willbe presented and illustrated in this sub-section.

219 A criterion for estimating repeatability during a DCB test campaign was the variability of the

220 interpolation coefficients determined to correlate compliance and crack length. It is recalled that the

221 MCC method uses an interpolation of log(C) with log(a). The fitted curves are shown in Figure 17.

222 The $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ series has a lower regression curve slope variability compared to $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ series. This lower

variability is consistent with the variability observed on the P- δ curves (Figure 16). Note that the

average R2 obtained for all fitting curves is 0.988 (SD: 0.011).

The first part of crack propagation, corresponding to the initiation of delamination, i.e. first G_{Ic} values,
 were not considered in this study, in accordance with ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010). G_{Ic} values were

227 calculated only once the measured force had reached its maximum value and then decreased by 5%.

228 The R-curves obtained by the MCC method are shown in Figure 18.

229 The values for all the test specimens reported in Figure 18 shows that the average value of G_{Ic} is 230 higher for the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens than for the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ ones. This difference is related to the difference of 231 the amount of fiber-bridging. 0°/0° specimens exhibited larger amount of fiber-bridging than 0°/90° 232 ones. To validate this observation, the IR images obtained during the tests were subtracted one by one 233 to obtain the heating between each image. It was thus understood that the heating observed did not 234 come from the background, but from a self-heating in the specimen, related to a dissipation of energy 235 (fiber failure - Figure 19). The IR imagery provides a visualization of irreversible energy dissipation through temperature variations. This self-heating, of the order of 1°C confirmed the presence of fiber 236 237 rupture, which can be observed in the post-mortem specimens of Figure 19. This variation of energy 238 is associated with fiber rupture because it is a phenomenon more energetic than decohesion alone [51], 239 and it was not throughout the whole test. Fiber bridging is more common in wood than in conventional 240 composites because the variability in the out-of-plane angle of the fibers is much higher than that 241 encountered in carbon composites [52].

In addition, there is a tendency for $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ ply G_{Ic} to increase throughout propagation. This has however 242 243 only been observed on a single specimen. The authors believe that this phenomenon cannot be clearly 244 identified in the others because the valid crack propagation (without ply failure or crack migration) is 245 too small. Fiber bridging is a two-step phenomenon: first fibers are being slowly pulled out and then 246 they are broken. That increase in force needed to break the fibers is the reason for the increase in 247 computed G_{Ic} . For the other specimens only the first part of the fiber bridging (fiber pull-out) is 248 observed. It has to be noted that for DCB tests on solid wood, during the fiber bridging, there are as 249 many fibers that break as fibers that bridge in the LT plane [53]. Fiber bridging is therefore a lot more 250 constant throughout crack propagation. In the present study, it seems that the low stiffness of the arms 251 of the DCB specimens made the pulled-out fibers break later.

As for the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ series, the G_{Ic} remains quasi-constant, slightly decreasing, when the crack

253 propagates in the specimen. This can be explained by the fact that a lot less fiber bridging occurs in the

 $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens. There was therefore a much greater variability in the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens. The average values of fracture toughness obtained were: $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}} = 422 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 30%) and $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}} = 247 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 21%). However, once the measured force reaches its maximum value and then decreases by 5%, the average initial G_{Ic} , as defined in ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010), is similar between the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens and the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens.

To ensure that the potential error from the method used here to track the crack did not have a significant effect on the calculated G_{Ic} values, the G_{Ic} curves obtained were compared with curves obtained by adding artificial random noise on the measured crack lengths. By adding a random measurement error of +/-1.5 mm (a value higher than the maximum error observed in Figure 13), a maximum deviation of 1.75% was measured between the G_{Ic} curves (Figure 20). The method used to calculate G_{Ic} seems to be robust and the potential deviations of the crack length measurements do not disturb the calculated values.

In this sub-section, only the MCC method has been used to compute G_{Ic} . However, the results obtained with the two other methods presented (CC and MBT) differed with some specimens. Another method based on beam theory that does not require the use of the crack length can also be used to compute G_{Ic} with Equation 4 [54]:

270
$$G_{Ic} = \frac{3\delta P}{2b} \times \left(\frac{2}{E_I IC}\right)^{1/3}$$

271

Equation 4

272 The four methods were compared for each specimen. Figure 21 illustrates the relative deviation 273 between the MCC method and the other three methods. The comparison between the 4 methods on the 274 $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens shows large differences in half of the specimens. On $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens, there are significant differences only on a small number of specimens. However, there is little difference 275 276 between the CC and MCC methods, and similarly between the MBT and beam theory methods. The 277 small difference between the MBT method and the beam theory method is reassuring regarding crack 278 tracking. The differences between on one side the methods based on beam theory (MBT and beam 279 theory method) and on the other side the CC and MCC methods can be explained by the presence of

fiber bridging for the 0°/0° specimens and the presence of fiber bridging in the 90° ply for the 0°/90°specimens. Methods based on beam theory considered perfect beams without the presence of fiberbridging, on the other hand, CC and MCC methods are calibrated with compliance as a function of thecrack length, and so, offer more flexibility and indirectly consider the effect of fiber bridging.

However, the relative deviation between the mean G_{Ic} , for the 0°/90° specimens, computed with the MCC method and the MBT method, differed by only 7.5%. This relative deviation is comparable to that obtained by El Moustaphaoui et al. in Ceiba plywood [29]. Also, for the 0°/0° specimens, this relative deviation is 21%. The rest of this paper will use the MCC method, as it, with the CC method, is the one that needs the weakest assumptions about the relationship between compliance and crack length. Furthermore, this method provides R2 coefficients closer to 1 on the calibration curves than the CC method does (Figure 17).

3.2. Fracture Surfaces

In the second step, the fracture surfaces of the specimens were analyzed to highlight the path of the crack and the presence of different physical phenomena. Note that the crack never seemed to propagate in the glue. The break was either adhesive, between the wood and the glue, or cohesive in the wood, but no cohesive break in the glue was observed when the fracture surfaces were observed under a microscope. This phenomenon has already been reported in wood assemblies, where the glue joint is known to be stronger than wood [55]. To relate the crack propagation and the G_{Ic} values obtained, other phenomena have been identified to classify crack propagation:

299 For $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens:

Crack at the 0°/0° interface. It would seem that this crack is cohesive in the wood close to the glue interface between the two plies at 0°, and sometimes adhesive between the wood and the glue. However, these two rupture modes could be observed in the width of the specimen, so they will be considered together for the 0°/0° interface crack propagation (zone 2 in Figure 24)

• Crack migration out of a plane (zone **3** in Figure 24)

305 For $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens:

- 306
- Crack at the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface (zone **4** in Figure 23)
- 307

• Propagation in the 90° ply (Figure 22 and zone **2** in Figure 23)

308 Crack migration out of a plane or mixed event (zones 3 and 5 in Figure 23, and 3 in Figure 24) • As the propagation of the crack in the 90° ply seems to be in the lower part of the ply, the hypothesis 309 310 that can be advanced for this phenomenon is that the upper part (which includes lathe checks) would 311 not allow a simple propagation of the crack because the lathe check would be filled with glue. The 312 crack would then naturally propagate in the lower part of the ply, which offers the least resistance. 313 In section 3.1, an average R-curve was plotted for all the specimens. The idea now is to distinguish the 314 different propagation phenomena on each specimen. For example, with specimen 0°/90° no. 4, G_{Ic} 315 values are computed from line 1 in Figure 23, according to ASTM D5528 (ASTM 2010). The crack 316 then propagates in the 90° ply (zone 2 in Figure 23) and passes to the interface by tearing fibers (zone 317 **3** in Figure 23). This zone (**3**) is not used for the calculation of the G_{Ic} because it corresponds to a 318 mixed phenomenon (bridging of fibers and passage of the crack at the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface). To obtain an 319 average value of G_{Ic} per type of crack propagation according to the classification proposed above, 320 mixed phenomena are not considered since, in mixed zones, the effects of the individual phenomena 321 on the G_{Ic} cannot be distinguished. The crack then propagates to the interface between the 0° and 90° 322 plies (zone 4 in Figure 23). The last part, in purple, corresponds to a similar propagation to zone 3, 323 which cannot be classified and therefore is not considered (zone 5 in Figure 23). The end of the 324 specimen is no longer in the field of view of the cameras and crack tracking is no longer possible (after 325 zone **5** in Figure 23).

A similar analysis was carried out on the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens, as illustrated by the example of specimen no. 1 in Figure 24. The difference here is that only the propagation at the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface (zone 2 in Figure 24) and particular events (mainly out-of-plane crack migration for these specimens) were considered (zone 3 in Figure 24).

By performing this analysis on each specimen, it is possible to calculate the crack propagation energy for each previously distinguished area. For the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens, the value calculated is identical to the mean value obtained in §3.1 (the abnormal values have already been removed from this study as explained in §3.1), $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}} = 422 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 30%). For 90°/0° specimens, the study of the fracture surfaces reveals different R-curves and different average values depending on the zone of propagation of the crack. To understand the different physical phenomena involved, it is interesting to compare the present results with a theoretical model based on the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory [56]. This model provides the following relations (Equation 5 and Equation 6):

$$C = \frac{2a^3}{3E_1}$$

340
$$\mathbf{P}(\delta) = \sqrt{\frac{2b^2}{3\delta}} \sqrt{\frac{G_{IC}^3 E_I(h/2)^3}{12}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \left(\frac{4}{9} E_I I\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (bG_{IC})^{\frac{3}{4}}$$

341

Equation 6

with I referring to the moment of inertia of a DCB specimen arm and E_i the longitudinal Young's
 modulus.

By plotting $P=f(\delta)$ using the measured δ displacement, it is possible to visualize experimental and theoretical curves on the same graph. Specimen 0°/90° no. 4 is taken as an example here. A comparison of the theoretical values observed with the R-curve of the same specimen gives the minimum and maximum values observed (~55 and ~448 J/m² in this case). The differences between the theoretical and experimental curves can be explained. Initially, the

349 experimental curve follows the theoretical curve for $G_{Ic} = 188 \text{ J/m}^2$ (before zone 1 in Figure 25). There

350 is then a quasi-constant force on the P– δ curve, which means that the energy required for the

propagation of the crack increases (zone 1 in Figure 25): when the crack propagates in the 90° ply, it

- tends to follow lines of least resistance and create some fiber bridging (zone 2 in Figure 25). Fiber
- bridging is not as frequent in the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens as in the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimen but it nevertheless exists
- and is shown in Figure 26. It should be noted, however, the out-of-plane bridging in the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$
- 355 specimens seems less marked, given the calculated energies compared to the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens. The

subsequent drop in force occurs when the crack migrates in an unstable way at the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface after tearing fibers (zone 3 in Figure 25). It is then easier for the crack to propagate at the interface than between the wood cells and the locally accumulated energy dissipates, hence the fall of G_{Ic}. Note that this propagation at the interface takes place over a very short time; the value of G_{Ic} obtained can be influenced by viscosity phenomena due to wood or glue due to the dynamic propagation. The crack then resumes a tortuous path between the wood cells in the 90° ply, which again explains the increase in the value of G_{Ic}, as was the case in zone 2 in Figure 25 (zone 4 in Figure 25).

363 Note that, in the case where the crack propagates at the interface, the measurement points taken during 364 the crack propagation are not considered for the calculation of the average value of G_{Ic}, because it is a dynamic propagation. Only the final and stable point is considered when the crack has stabilized. Due 365 366 to the unstable propagation of the crack, these values underestimate the G_{Ic} (static value) of the 367 interface. Note that the propagation in the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface is observed on only two specimens and only a part of the crack propagation occurs at the interface, in both cases, it is an unstable propagation. 368 369 Once the fracture propagation phenomenon has been detailed, it is possible to plot the R-curves for 370 crack propagation at the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface and in the 90° ply (Figure 27).

371 When the crack propagates in the 90° ply, it moves around the fibers, which contributes to the tortuous 372 appearance of each R-curve. The value obtained for the fracture toughness in the 90° ply is $G_{Ic-90^\circ-ply} =$ 373 247 J/m² (RSD: 24%).

374 When the crack moves towards the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface, there is a significant decrease in the value of G_{Ic}. 375 This is because the crack no longer needs to bypass or break fibers to propagate and so requires a 376 smaller amount of energy. The average value of the critical energy is obtained by taking the values 377 calculated once the crack is installed in the interface. In the present case, only two values were 378 obtained; they are visible in Figure 27. The value found for the critical fracture toughness at the 379 interface $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ is $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}-interface} = 62 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 28%). However, a low degree of confidence is to 380 apply to this value given the very low number of test configurations on which this phenomenon 381 appeared and its dynamic aspect. The value to use is therefore $G_{Ic-90^{\circ}-ply} = 247 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 24%).

382 4. Discussion

383

Authors	Material	G _{Ic} (J/m ²) Min/max
[30]	6-ply beech plywood [0°/90°/0°]//[0°/90°/0°]	~20
[28]	9-ply ceiba plywood [undisclosed stacking sequence]	~140 to 200
[33]	Beech glulam	~30 to 80
[37]	Poplar glulam	~120 to 230
[31]	Scots pine glulam	~150 to 250
[32]	Poplar glulam	~200 to 300
[34]	Maritime pine glulam	~220 to 465
[35]	Maritime pine glulam	~ 400 to 550
[36]	Beech glulam	~ 827 ± 239

In the literature, the values of G_{Ic} on a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface of LVL or glulam are between about 20 and 850

 J/m^2 . These values are summarized in Table 1.

385

Table 1 – Comparison of the orders of magnitude of $G_{\mbox{\scriptsize Ic}}$

Few authors have investigated plywood toughness, which is why some G_{Ic} values obtained on glulam 386 387 are compared here. It is important to note that the thicknesses of wood lumber and veneers are not 388 identical and therefore there is a scale effect between these materials. However, the differences 389 between the authors' findings can be explained mainly by the type of wood and glue used in the 390 manufacturing of the plywood or glulam characterized. The toughness of a glued assembly is strongly 391 correlated with the nature of the wood-glue combination. In addition, the fracture energy of the 392 assembly will also be influenced by the roughness of the wood, the thickness of the glue joint or 393 Young's modulus of the material characterized [57]. Similarly, a strong dispersion is expected on tests 394 such as DCB applied to wood and wood composite materials due to the heterogeneity of wood 395 material and the variability of its mechanical properties [57]. Some average values for standard 396 composites in aeronautics are summarized in Table 2. The mean value of toughness obtains for 0°/0° 397 specimens is in the same order of magnitude as thermoset composites.

Authors	Material	G_{Ic} (J/m ²)
[58]	UD carbon/epoxy 1st generation (type 3506)	60
[39]	UD carbon/epoxy 2nd generation (type M21)	450
[59]	UD carbon/PEEK (type APC-2)	2200
[60]	UD carbon/PPS	1100
[38]	U.D. Glass/epoxy	800
[61]	U.D. Glass-E/polyester	300

Table 2 – Some G_{Ic} values for standard composites in aeronautics [62]

399 The literature does not provide a value of G_{Ic} for a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface in the case of plywood. However, 400 given the results obtained during this study, it can be seen that the value of G_{Ic} for a 0°/90° interface $(G_{Ic-90^{\circ}} = 247 \text{ J/m}^2 \text{ (RSD: 21\%)})$ is lower than for a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface $(G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}} = 422 \text{ J/m}^2 \text{ (RSD: 30\%)})$ 401 because fiber bridging is less present than in a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface. Note that the value of G_{Ic} for an $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ 402 403 interface measured experimentally overestimates the value of delamination compared to a pure 404 interface without the effects of fiber bridging and fiber rupture. It is therefore possible to wonder 405 whether the toughness characterized for a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface is not to be preferred if the aim is to obtain 406 a numerical value of the mode I fracture toughness of a plywood interface by limiting the influence of 407 fiber bridging. Moreover, according to research [63], the interface $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ is the most susceptible to 408 delamination in cases of impact-induced delamination. The value obtained will be a value that is less 409 influenced by fiber bridging and closer to the theoretical value that will subsequently be used for the 410 implementation of a finite element model to simulate the glued interface.

It is also interesting to compare the fracture pattern found in this study with those recorded in DCB tests on carbon fiber composite materials. The configuration with a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface allows the boundary value of G_{Ic} to be lowered in the case of synthetic composite materials because it is the configuration that gives the least fiber bridging and the 0° fibers at the interface prevent delamination migration [39], [64], [65]. Figure 28 illustrates a representative side view of the crack path of such an interface. 417 In the case of wood, the crack rapidly migrates outside the initial interface. There are several possible 418 explanations for this phenomenon. First, the wood has fibers with a non-zero out-of-plane deviation of 419 the fiber angle due to the manufacturing process, a situation that is much less common for composites. 420 Additionally, the thickness of a wood veneer can be composed of earlywood and latewood (Figure 5) 421 and this heterogeneity could create an easier propagation path for the crack. Finally, in wood 422 assemblies, the glue joint is known to be stronger than wood [55]. This phenomenon can cause the 423 crack to propagate cohesively near the interface for the $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens and mostly in the 90° ply for 424 the $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens. This is exactly what has been observed on IMA/M21E composites: the glued 425 interface is stronger than the plies [23], [66].

426 In the second configuration of composite materials, with a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface, the crack may change

427 plane during delamination [39] (Figure 29). This delamination migration in synthetic composite

428 material tends to increase the fracture area and to dissipate more energy [40]. According to Sebaey et

429 al., their numerical model demonstrates that as the bending stiffness of specimen arms decreases, there

430 is an increased propensity for delamination migration at the interface [67]. However, for plywood,

431 delamination migration doesn't occur on specimen with $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface.

432 Concerning fiber bridging, the phenomenon is less important for this configuration compared to
433 specimens with 0°/0° interface. Due to the propagation of the crack in the 90° ply, the few fiber
434 bridging behavior observed is comparable to that of a 90°/90° interface in synthetic composite [40],
435 [65].

436 Considering the nature of the wood, with the presence of out-of-plane fibers and a greater fiber 437 bridging, it is possible to explain why the value of G_{Ic} for a 0°/0° interface is not a lower bound G_{Ic} 438 value for plywood while this is the case for composite materials [39], [40], [50]. In addition, fracture 439 pattern and crack propagation appear similar when crack propagations are compared for 0°/90° 440 interfaces in the cases of plywood and composite materials.

441 **5.** Conclusions and Perspectives

442 The effects of interfacial orientation for two different configurations were investigated in DCB tests on443 poplar plywood. The following conclusions can be drawn from the results of the study:

- On the R-curves, $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}}$ tends to increase, due to fiber bridging, while the average value of G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}} remains quasi-constant, slightly decreasing, as a function of the crack propagation. • The values of $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}}$ ($G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}} = 422 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 30%)) are more scattered than $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}}$ ($G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}} = 247 \text{ J/m}^2$ (RSD: 21%)). This is explained by the presence of fiber bridging during the crack propagation in the case of the 0°/0° interface.
- 449 On average, the $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}}$ has a higher value than $G_{Ic-0^{\circ}/9^{\circ}}$, due to the presence of fiber bridging. It is interesting to perform DCB tests with a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface to obtain G_{Ic} values for plywood, 450 451 for the development of numerical models. This interface corresponds to those most likely to 452 delaminate. The apparent toughness, i.e. the toughness computed with the influence of structural effects such as fiber bridging, could differ from the intrinsic material toughness 453 454 value. However, the values presented in this article provide values for comparing the effect of 455 the interface on delamination. It should also be noted that some fiber bridging also influences 456 the values obtained on $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens.

457 To implement a numerical model of wood-based composite, specimen characterization with 0°/90° 458 interfaces seems to provide a lower bound for the interface toughness value that could serve as a 459 reference for modelling glued interfaces of plywood or LVL, for example. However, further research 460 is needed to determine the influence of new interface orientations on these toughness values and to 461 identify the influence of this interface on the measured values. In addition, in low-velocity impact failure, mode I initiate the delamination in composite laminates, but then mode II (plane shear) is 462 predominant in the propagation of the crack due to high shear stress at the interface [68]. To set up a 463 464 digital model of plywood, it would then be necessary to characterize mode II toughness through, for example, ENF (End Notched Flexure) tests. However, it has to be underlined that delamination 465 migration can also occur in mode II propagation, it's already observed in LVL [69] and in carbon fibre 466 467 composite with ENF tests [70], [71].

- 468 **6.** Acknowledgments
 - 20

- 469 The research that led to the results presented above received funds from the French National Research
- 470 Agency under the BOOST project (ANR-21-CE43-0008-01). The authors thank the LaBoMaP
- 471 Laboratory, Cluny, France for providing the Poplar veneers used in this study, through the research
- 472 project ANR BOOST.

473 **7. References**

- 474 [1] G. Wimmers, 'Wood: a construction material for tall buildings', *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, vol. 2, p.
 475 17051, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1038/natrevmats.2017.51.
- 476 [2] J. Ye and C. Fivet, 'The zaojing: review of a unique wooden construction typology', *Int. J. Constr. Hist. Soc.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 22–49, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.5281/zenedo.3941502.
- 478 [3] B. Castanie, C. Bouvet, and M. Ginot, 'Review of composite sandwich structure in aeronautic
 479 applications', *Compos. Part C Open Access*, vol. 1, p. 100004, Aug. 2020, doi:
 480 10.1016/j.jcomc.2020.100004.
- 481 [4] G. Jungmeier, F. Werner, A. Jarnehammar, C. Hohenthal, and K. Richter, 'Allocation in LCA of
 482 wood-based products experiences of cost action E9: Part I. Methodology', *Int. J. Life Cycle*483 *Assess.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 290–294, Sep. 2002, doi: 10.1007/BF02978890.
- 484 [5] G. Jungmeier, F. Werner, A. Jarnehammar, C. Hohenthal, and K. Richter, 'Allocation in LCA of
 485 wood-based products experiences of cost action E9: Part II. Examples', *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.*,
 486 vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 369–375, Nov. 2002, doi: 10.1007/BF02978686.
- 487 [6] R. Bergman, M. Puettmann, A. Taylor, and K. E. Skog, 'The Carbon Impacts of Wood
 488 Products', *For. Prod. J.*, vol. 64, no. 7–8, pp. 220–231, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.13073/FPJ-D-14489 00047.
- 490 [7] C. Cornillier and E. Vial, 'L'Analyse de Cycle de Vie (ACV) appliquée aux produits bois : bilan
 491 énergétique et prise en compte du carbone biomasse', presented at the IXème Colloque Sciences
 492 et Industrie du Bois, 2008, p. 16.
- K. Tamanna, S. N. Raman, M. Jamil, and R. Hamid, 'Utilization of wood waste ash in construction technology: A review', *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 237, p. 117654, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117654.
- 496 [9] Finnish Woodworking Industries Federation, Ed., *LVL handbook Europe*. Helsinki: Federation
 497 of the Finnish Woodworking Industries, 2019.
- 498 [10] Y. Kiliç, E. Burdurlu, G. C. Elibol, and M. Ulupinar, 'Effect of Layer Arrangement on
 499 Expansion, Bending Strength and Modulus of Elasticity of Solid Wood and Laminated Veneer
 500 Lumber (LVL) Produced from Pine and Poplar', p. 7, 2010.
- [11] R. H. Leicester and R. C. Bunker, 'Fracture at butt joints in laminated pine', For. Prod J 192 59 60, 1969.
- 503 [12] H. Sasaki and A. A. Abdullahi, 'Lumber: Laminated Veneer', in *Reference Module in Materials* 504 *Science and Materials Engineering*, Elsevier, 2016, p. B9780128035818020000. doi:
 505 10.1016/B978-0-12-803581-8.01989-5.
- 506 [13] J. A. Youngquist, T. L. Laufenberg, and B. S. Bryant, 'End jointing of laminated veneer lumber
 507 for structural use', *For. Prod. J.*, p. 8, 1984.
- [14] R. Guélou, F. Eyma, A. Cantarel, S. Rivallant, and B. Castanié, 'A comparison of three wood
 species (poplar, birch and oak) for crash application', *Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod.*, Aug. 2022, doi:
 10.1007/s00107-022-01871-x.
- [15] J. Susainathan, F. Eyma, E. De Luycker, A. Cantarel, C. Bouvet, and B. Castanie, 'Experimental investigation of compression and compression after impact of wood-based sandwich structures', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 220, pp. 236–249, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.03.095.
- [16] R. Guélou, F. Eyma, A. Cantarel, S. Rivallant, and B. Castanié, 'Static crushing of wood based
 sandwich composite tubes', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 273, 2021, doi:
- 516 10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.114317.

- [17] R. Guélou, F. Eyma, A. Cantarel, S. Rivallant, and B. Castanié, 'Crashworthiness of poplar
 wood veneer tubes', *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, vol. 147, p. 103738, Jan. 2021, doi:
 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2020.103738.
- [18] R. Guélou, F. Eyma, A. Cantarel, S. Rivallant, and B. Castanié, 'Dynamic crushing of wood-based sandwich composite tubes', *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.*, pp. 1–21, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1080/15376494.2021.1991533.
- J. Susainathan, F. Eyma, E. De Luycker, A. Cantarel, and B. Castanie, 'Manufacturing and
 quasi-static bending behavior of wood-based sandwich structures', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 182, pp.
 487–504, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.09.034.
- J. Susainathan, F. Eyma, E. De Luycker, A. Cantarel, and B. Castanie, 'Experimental investigation of impact behavior of wood-based sandwich structures', *Compos. Part Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 109, pp. 10–19, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2018.02.029.
- [21] J. Susainathan, F. Eyma, E. De Luycker, A. Cantarel, and B. Castanie, 'Numerical modeling of
 impact on wood-based sandwich structures', *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.*, vol. 27, no. 18, Art. no.
 18, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1080/15376494.2018.1519619.
- 532 [22] S. Abrate, B. Castanié, and Y. D. S. Rajapakse, Eds., *Dynamic Failure of Composite and* 533 *Sandwich Structures*, vol. 192. in Solid Mechanics and Its Applications, vol. 192. Dordrecht:
 534 Springer Netherlands, 2013. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-5329-7.
- L. Adam, C. Bouvet, B. Castanié, A. Daidié, and E. Bonhomme, 'Discrete ply model of circular
 pull-through test of fasteners in laminates', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 94, no. 10, pp. 3082–3091, Oct.
 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2012.05.008.
- 538 [24] C. Bouvet, B. Castanié, M. Bizeul, and J.-J. Barrau, 'Low velocity impact modelling in laminate
 539 composite panels with discrete interface elements', *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 46, no. 14, pp.
 540 2809–2821, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2009.03.010.
- 541 [25] J. Serra, C. Bouvet, B. Castanié, and C. Petiot, 'Scaling effect in notched composites: The
 542 Discrete Ply Model approach', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 148, pp. 127–143, Jul. 2016, doi:
 543 10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.03.062.
- 544 [26] J. Konnerth, W. Gindl, M. Harm, and U. Müller, 'Comparing dry bond strength of spruce and
 545 beech wood glued with different adhesives by means of scarf- and lap joint testing method', *Holz*546 *Als Roh- Werkst.*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 269–271, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1007/s00107-006-0104-1.
- 547 [27] F. Lachaud, 'Delaminage de materiaux composites a fibres de carbone et a matrices organiques :
 548 etude numerique et experimentale, suivi par emission acoustique', PhD Thesis, Université de
 549 Toulouse ISAE, Toulouse, 1997.
- A. El Moustaphaoui, A. Chouaf, and K. Kimakh, 'Experimental and numerical study of the
 delamination of Ceiba plywood under mode I, mode II and mixed-mode (I + II) loading using
 the DCB, ELS and MMF tests', *Int. J. Fract.*, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10704-021-00557-4.
- A. El Moustaphaoui, A. Chouaf, K. Kimakh, and M. Chergui, 'Characterization of ceiba
 plywood delamination in mode I using an energetic criterion', *Wood Res.*, vol. 64, p. 12, 2019.
- [30] M. N. Baba, F. Dogaru, I. Curtu, G. Dinu, and M. Bayer, 'Experimental Determination of
 Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of Wood Laminated Composite Specimens under DCB Test',
 Mater. Plast., p. 5, 2010.
- [31] Y. Zhao, Z. Li, and B. Xu, 'Mixed-mode (I/II) interlaminar fracture of glued-laminated timber',
 Mater. Des., vol. 131, pp. 210–218, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2017.05.070.
- [32] J. Jennings, 'Investigating the Surface Energy, and Bond Performance of Compression Densified
 Wood', Blacksburg, Virginia, 2003.
- [33] M. Rhême, J. Botsis, J. Cugnoni, and P. Navi, 'Influence of the moisture content on the fracture characteristics of welded wood joint. Part 1: Mode I fracture', *Holzforschung*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 747–754, 2013.
- [34] J. Xavier, J. Morais, N. Dourado, and M. F. S. F. De Moura, 'Measurement of Mode I and Mode
 II Fracture Properties of Wood-Bonded Joints', *J. Adhes. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 25, no. 20, pp.
 2881–2895, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1163/016942411X576563.
- 568 [35] F. G. A. Silva, J. Xavier, F. A. M. Pereira, J. J. L. Morais, N. Dourado, and M. F. S. F. Moura,
 569 'Determination of cohesive laws in wood bonded joints under mode I loading using the DCB
 570 test', *Holzforschung*, vol. 67, no. 8, Art. no. 8, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1515/hf-2013-0012.

- 571 [36] S. Myslicki, T. Vallée, O. Bletz-Mühldorfer, F. Diehl, L. C. Lavarec, and R. Créac'Hcadec,
 572 'Fracture mechanics based joint capacity prediction of glued-in rods with beech laminated
 573 veneer lumber', *J. Adhes.*, vol. 95, no. 5–7, pp. 405–424, Jun. 2019, doi:
 574 10.1080/00218464.2018.1538879.
- 575 [37] C. R. Scoville, 'Characterizing the Durability of PF and pMDI Adhesive Wood Composites
 576 Through Fracture Testing', PhD Thesis, Virginia Tech, 2001. Accessed: May 09, 2022. [Online].
 577 Available: https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/handle/10919/35353
- 578 [38] D. Gay, Composite Materials: Design and Applications, 3rd edition. CRC Press, 2014.
- 579 [39] P. Prombut, 'Caractérisation de la propagation de délaminage des stratifiées composites
 580 multidirectionneles', PhD Thesis, Université de Toulouse III Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2007.
- [40] Y. Gong *et al.*, 'Delamination in carbon fiber epoxy DCB laminates with different stacking
 sequences: R-curve behavior and bridging traction-separation relation', *Compos. Struct.*, vol.
 262, p. 113605, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.113605.
- 584 [41] G. R. Irwin and J. A. Kies, 'The welding journal', *Res Suppl*, vol. 33, p. 193, 1954.
- 585 [42] L. Denaud *et al.*, 'Influence of Peeling Process Parameters on Veneer Lathe Check Properties', 586 presented at the 24th International Wood Machining Semina, Corvallis, OR, USA, Aug. 2019.
- 587 [43] G. Pot, L. Denaud, J.-C. Butaud, S. Girardon, R. Collet, and F. Cottin, 'Experimental study of 588 the influence of veneer lathe checks on LVL elastic mechanical properties', Aug. 2016.
- 589 [44] S. Stefanowski, R. Frayssinhes, G. Pinkowski, and L. Denaud, 'Study on the in-process
 590 measurements of the surface roughness of Douglas fir green veneers with the use of laser
 591 profilometer', *Eur. J. Wood Wood Prod.*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 555–564, May 2020, doi:
 592 10.1007/s00107-020-01529-6.
- [45] I. Rahayu, L. Denaud, R. Marchal, and W. Darmawan, 'Ten new poplar cultivars provide
 laminated veneer lumber for structural application', *Ann. For. Sci.*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 705–715,
 Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s13595-014-0422-0.
- 596 [46] J. Gáborík and K. Káčerová, 'Bending properties of laminated wood from juvenile poplar.',
 597 *Proc. 2nd Int. Sci. Conf. Woodwork. Tech. Zalesina Croat. 11-15 Sept. 2007*, pp. 233–240, 2007.
- 598 [47] F. F. P. Kollmann, W. A. Côté, E. W. Kuenzi, and A. J. Stamm, *Principles of Wood Science and Technology: Solid wood*. G. Allen & Unwin, 1968.
- [48] W. Leggate, R. McGavin, and H. Bailleres, A guide to manufacturing rotary veneer and
 products from small logs, ACIAR Monograph. 2017.
- 602 [49] P. Reu, 'All about speckles: Speckle Size Measurement', *Exp. Tech.*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1–2,
 603 Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1111/ext.12110.
- 604 [50] A. Ramji, Y. Xu, M. Yasaee, M. Grasso, and P. Webb, 'Delamination migration in CFRP
 605 laminates under mode I loading', *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 190, p. 108067, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2020.108067.
- [51] T. Lisle, C. Bouvet, N. Hongkarnjanakul, M.-L. Pastor, S. Rivallant, and P. Margueres, 'Measure of fracture toughness of compressive fiber failure in composite structures using infrared thermography', *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 112, pp. 22–33, May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2015.03.005.
- [52] M. Pramreiter, S. C. Bodner, J. Keckes, A. Stadlmann, C. Kumpenza, and U. Müller, 'Influence
 of Fiber Deviation on Strength of Thin Birch (Betula pendula Roth.) Veneers', *Materials*, vol.
 13, no. 7, Art. no. 7, Mar. 2020. doi: 10.3390/ma13071484.
- [53] J. L. Gómez-Royuela, A. Majano-Majano, A. J. Lara-Bocanegra, J. Xavier, and M. F. S. F. de
 Moura, 'Evaluation of R-curves and cohesive law in mode I of European beech', *Theor. Appl. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 118, p. 103220, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.tafmec.2021.103220.
- [54] S. Hashemi, A. Jame Kinlock, and J. M. Williams, 'The analysis of interlaminar fracture in uniaxial fibre-polymer composites', *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Math. Phys. Sci.*, vol. 427, no. 1872, pp. 173–199, Jan. 1990, doi: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1990.0007.
- [55] R. J. Ross and F. P. Laboratory. USDA Forest Service., 'Wood handbook : wood as an
 engineering material', U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Products
 Laboratory, Madison, WI, FPL-GTR-190, 2010. doi: 10.2737/FPL-GTR-190.
- 623 [56] N. Ben Salem, 'Fiabilité des assemblages structuraux collés pour applications spatiales', PhD
- Thesis, Bordeaux 1, 2012. Accessed: Oct. 19, 2022. [Online]. Available:
 https://www.theses.fr/2012BOR14681

- 626 [57] G. Pluvinage, *La rupture du bois et de ses composites*, Cépaduès-Éditions. 1992. Accessed: Aug.
 627 24, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.eyrolles.com/BTP/Livre/la-rupture-du-bois-et-de628 ses-composites-9782854282924/
- [58] B. W. Kim and A. H. Mayer, 'Influence of fiber direction and mixed-mode ratio on delamination
 fracture toughness of carbon/epoxy laminates', *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 695–
 713, Apr. 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0266-3538(02)00258-0.
- [59] S. Hashemi, A. J. Kinloch, and J. G. Williams, 'The Effects of Geometry, Rate and Temperature
 on the Mode I, Mode II and Mixed-Mode I/II Interlaminar Fracture of Carbon-Fibre/Poly(etherether ketone) Composites', *J. Compos. Mater.*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 918–956, Sep. 1990, doi:
 10.1177/002199839002400902.
- [60] F. Sacchetti, W. J. B. Grouve, L. L. Warnet, and I. F. Villegas, 'Effect of cooling rate on the
 interlaminar fracture toughness of unidirectional Carbon/PPS laminates', *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol.
 203, pp. 126–136, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2018.02.022.
- 639 [61] A. Szekrényes, 'Delamination fracture analysis in the GII–GIII plane using prestressed
 640 transparent composite beams', *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 3359–3378, May 2007,
 641 doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2006.09.029.
- 642 [62] C. Bouvet, 'Mécanique de la rupture des composites : délaminage et fissuration', *Systèmes Aéronautiques Spatiaux*, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.51257/a-v1-trp4043.
- [63] D. Liu, 'Impact-Induced Delamination: A View of Bending Stiffness Mismatching', J. Compos.
 Mater., vol. 22, 1988.
- [64] A. Sohrabi, M. Pourhosseinshahi, and B. Mohammadi, 'Prediction of mode I fracture behavior
 of delaminated 0//90 interface in cross-ply laminated composites from the SERR of classical
 unidirectional DCB specimen', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 317, p. 117080, Aug. 2023, doi:
 10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.117080.
- [65] M. S. Bin Mohamed Rehan, J. Rousseau, S. Fontaine, and X. J. Gong, 'Experimental study of
 the influence of ply orientation on DCB mode-I delamination behavior by using multidirectional
 fully isotropic carbon/epoxy laminates', *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 161, pp. 1–7, Feb. 2017, doi:
 10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.11.036.
- 654 [66] S. Chaibi, 'Prévision des endommagements induits par un impact basse vitesse/basse énergie au
 655 sein de matériaux composites stratifiés carbone-epoxy de dernière génération', PhD Thesis,
 656 Université de Toulouse ISAE, Toulouse, 2022.
- [67] T. A. Sebaey, N. Blanco, C. S. Lopes, and J. Costa, 'Numerical investigation to prevent crack
 jumping in Double Cantilever Beam tests of multidirectional composite laminates', *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 13, pp. 1587–1592, Sep. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2011.07.002.
- [68] C. Bouvet, S. Rivallant, and J. J. Barrau, 'Low velocity impact modeling in composite laminates
 capturing permanent indentation', *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 72, no. 16, pp. 1977–1988, Nov.
 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2012.08.019.
- [69] B. Franke and P. Quenneville, 'Analysis of the fracture behavior of Radiata Pine timber and
 Laminated Veneer Lumber', *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 116, pp. 1–12, Jan. 2014, doi:
 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2013.12.004.
- [70] S. Oshima, A. Mamishin, M. Hojo, M. Nishikawa, N. Matsuda, and M. Kanesaki, 'Highresolution in situ characterization of micromechanisms in CFRP laminates under mode II
 loading', *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 260, p. 108189, Feb. 2022, doi:
 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2021.108189.
- [71] M. Olave, I. Vara, H. Usabiaga, L. Aretxabaleta, S. V. Lomov, and D. Vandepitte, 'Nesting
 effect on the mode II fracture toughness of woven laminates', *Compos. Part Appl. Sci. Manuf.*,
 vol. 74, pp. 174–181, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.03.020.
- [72] N. M. M. Dourado, M. F. S. F. de Moura, J. J. L. Morais, and M. A. L. Silva, 'Estimate of
 resistance-curve in wood through the double cantilever beam test', *Holzforschung*, vol. 64, no. 1,
 Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1515/hf.2010.010.
- [73] R. Duriot *et al.*, 'New Perspectives for LVL Manufacturing from Wood of Heterogeneous
 Quality—Part. 1: Veneer Mechanical Grading Based on Online Local Wood Fiber Orientation
 Measurement', *Forests*, vol. 12, no. 9, Art. no. 9, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.3390/f12091264.
- [74] H. G. Richter, K. Gembruch, and G. Koch, 'CITESwoodID introduction', *delta-intkey*, Sep. 20, 2019. https://www.delta-intkey.com/citeswood/en/intro.htm (accessed Jan. 13, 2023).









Figure 3- Microscope visualization of $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimen thickness



Figure 4- Visible lathe checks on the poplar ply

689



Figure 5 – Illustration of the veneer production process (left) [73] and a microscopic view of
 earlywood and latewood on veneers (right)



Exaggerated specimen thickness

693

694

Figure 6 – An exaggerated representation of veneer LR plane on a wood log [74]











Figure 8- Stacking of the veneer at the interface of DCB $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens





Figure 9- DCB specimen shape used in the experiments



Figure 10- Experimental Setup used during the DCB test





Figure 11 – Sigma visualization for one 0°/90° specimen (*Colored figure*)





Figure 12 – Three-point interpolation used to obtain the crack length (Colored figure)





Figure 13 – Difference between measured and calculated initial crack length



711

Figure 14 – Illustration of the crack path leaving the interfacial 0°/0° due to fiber out-of-plane

angle



migrated out of the initial interface (B)

714

715 Figure 15 – Post-mortem of specimen $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ no. 4 with fiber bridging (A) and crack that has





Figure 16- P- δ curves for 0°/0° specimens (top) and 0°/90° specimens (bottom)



719

Figure 17 – Fit calibration with MCC method for $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens (left) and $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens

(right)



Figure 18 – R-curves for $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens (left) and $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens (right) with the mean curve

724

(20-point rolling mean)



726 Figure 19 – Close-up view of broken fiber (top), IR camera capture (bottom left) and the



difference between two consecutive images (bottom right)



730 Figure 20 – G_{Ic} with and without noise added to the crack length measurement (left) and relative









734 Figure 21 – Relative deviation of G_{Ic} methods compared to the MCC method for $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ specimens

(left) and $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens (right)



End of teflon film Cutter initiation

Glued interfaces



736



0°/90° specimen (bottom)







744 Figure 24 – Propagation zones (top) and illustration of the crack path (bottom) for the specimen



 $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ no. 1 (*Colored figure*)



747 Figure 25 – Experimental and theoretical P-δ curves (left) and R-Curve (right) for the specimen

 $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ no. 4 (*Colored figure*)





Figure 26 – Out-of-plane fiber bridging on 0°/90° specimens



Figure 27 – $G_{Ic}=f(a)$ by propagation zones for $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ specimens





754

Figure 28 – Expected delamination path for a $0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$ interface [50] (*Colored figure*)





Figure 29 – Expected delamination path for a $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$ interface [50] (*Colored figure*)

Conflict of Interest Form

- 758 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships
- that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.