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# BRÄNDÉN'S $(p, q)$-EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS, ANDRÉ PERMUTATIONS AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS 

QIONG QIONG PAN AND JIANG ZENG


#### Abstract

In 2008 Brändén proved a $(p, q)$-analogue of the $\gamma$-expansion formula for Eulerian polynomials and conjectured the divisibility of the $\gamma$-coefficient $\gamma_{n, k}(p, q)$ by $(p+q)^{k}$. As a follow-up, in 2012 Shin and Zeng showed that the fraction $\gamma_{n, k}(p, q) /(p+q)^{k}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{N}[p, q]$.The aim of this paper is to give a combinatorial interpretation of the latter polynomial in terms of André permutations, a class of objects first defined and studied by Foata, Schützenberger and Strehl in the 1970s. It turns out that our result provides an answer to a recent open problem of Han, which was the impetus of this paper.


## 1. Introduction

The Euler number $E_{n}$, namely the coefficient of $x^{n} / n!$ in the expansion of $\sec (x)+\tan (x)$, is well studied and has many combinatorial interpretations and different refinements; see [FS73, Vi81, St09, GSZ, SZ10, SZ12, JV14, MPP]. It was André [An79] who first proved that $E_{n}$ is the number of alternating permutations $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ of $12 \ldots n$, i.e., $a_{1}>a_{2}<\cdots$. Among the many remarkable identities for the Euler numbers there is the less known J-type continued fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n+1} x^{n}=\frac{1}{1-x-\frac{x^{2}}{1-2 x-\frac{3 x^{2}}{1-3 x-\frac{6 x^{2}}{1-4 x-\frac{10 x^{2}}{1-\cdots}}}}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula does not appear in Flajolet's classic [Fl80] and its connection with the work of Stieltjes St90] was unveiled only in 2018, see [So18]. More recently, Han Ha19] considered a $q$-version of (1.1) and asked for a combinatorial interpretation for the corresponding $q$ Euler numbers $E_{n}(q)$ (see (1.3) below). Motivated by Han's question, we shall study the more general polynomials $D_{n}(p, q, t)$ defined by the following continued fraction, which is
a $(p, q)$-analogue of (1.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{n+1}(p, q, t) x^{n}=\frac{1}{1-x-\frac{\binom{2}{2}_{p, q} t x^{2}}{1-[2]_{p, q} x-\frac{\binom{3}{2}_{p, q} t x^{2}}{1-[3]_{p, q} x-\frac{\binom{4}{2}_{p, q} t x^{2}}{1-[4]_{p, q} x-\frac{\binom{5}{2}_{p, q} t x^{2}}{1-\cdots}}}},} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $(p, q)$-analogue of $n$ is defined by

$$
[n]_{p, q}=\frac{p^{n}-q^{n}}{p-q}=\sum_{i+j=n-1} p^{i} q^{j} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

and the $(p, q)$-analogue of the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ is defined by

$$
\binom{n}{k}_{p, q}=\frac{[n]_{p, q} \ldots[n-k+1]_{p, q}}{[1]_{p, q} \ldots[k]_{p, q}} \quad(0 \leq k \leq n)
$$

Comparing (1.1) and (1.2) yields that

$$
D_{n}(1,1,1)=E_{n} \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

The $q$-Euler number $E_{n}(q)$ of Han [Ha19] can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(q):=D_{n}(1, q, 1)=D_{n}(q, 1,1) \quad(n \geq 1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first few values of $D_{n}(p, q, t)$ are $D_{1}(p, q, t)=D_{2}(p, q, t)=1$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{3}(p, q, t)=1+t, \quad D_{4}(p, q, t)=1+(p+q+2) t  \tag{1.4}\\
& D_{5}(p, q, t)=1+\left((p+q)^{2}+2(p+q)+3\right) t+\left(p^{2}+p q+q^{2}+1\right) t^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

It turns out that the polynomials $D_{n}(p, q, t)$ are related to the $\gamma$-coefficients of Brändén's $(p, q)$-analogue of Eulerian polynomials [Br08]. In this paper we shall interpret $D_{n}(p, q, t)$ in terms of André permutations, which were introduced and studied by Foata, Schützenberger and Strehl [FS73, FS74, FS76] in the 1970s. There are three ingredients in our proof: the connection of these polynomials with the $\gamma$-coefficients of Brändén's $(p, q)$-analogue of Eulerian polynomials [Br08], Shin-Zeng's continued fraction expansion of the $\gamma$-coefficients of generalized Eulerian polynomials [SZ12] and a new action on the permutations without double descents.

Recall that any polynomial $h(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} h_{i} t^{i}$ satisfying $h_{i}=h_{n-i}$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} \gamma_{i} t^{i}(1+t)^{n-2 i}$. The coefficients $\gamma_{i}$ are called the $\gamma$-coefficients of $h(t)$. If the $\gamma$-coefficients $\gamma_{i}$ are all nonnegative, then $h(t)$ is said to be $\gamma$-positive. The unimodality of the sequence $\left(h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ is a direct consequence of $\gamma$-positivity. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ be the set of permutations of $[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For a permutation $\sigma:=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \ldots \sigma_{n}$ of $[n]$, the descent number $\operatorname{des} \sigma$ is the number of descent positions, i.e. $i<n$ such that $\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}$, and the excedance number $\operatorname{exc} \sigma$ is the number of excedance positions, i.e. $i \in[n]$ such

| $n \backslash k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 8 |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 22 | 16 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 52 | 136 |  |
| 7 | 1 | 114 | 720 | 272 |


| $n \backslash k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $E_{n}=\sum_{k} \mathrm{~d}_{n, k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 11 | 4 |  | 16 |
| 6 | 1 | 26 | 34 |  | 61 |
| 7 | 1 | 57 | 180 | 34 | 272 |

Figure 1. The first values of $\gamma_{n, k}$ (left), $d_{n, k}$ and $E_{n}$ for $0 \leq 2 k<n \leq 7$.
that $\sigma_{i}>i$. Thanks to the work of MacMahon Mac15] and Riordan Ri51 we can define the Eulerian polynomials $A_{n}(t)$ by

$$
A_{n}(t)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} t^{\operatorname{des} \sigma}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} t^{\operatorname{exc} \sigma} .
$$

The following $\gamma$-decompositions for $A_{n}(t)$ are well-known [FS73, Section 4].
Theorem 1 (Foata and Schützenberger).

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}(t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \gamma_{n, k} t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k}  \tag{1.5}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} 2^{k} d_{n, k} t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n, k}=2^{k} d_{n, k}$ and $d_{n, k}$ are positive integers satisfying the recurrence

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}_{1,0} & =1 \quad \text { and for } n \geq 2, k \geq 0 \\
\mathrm{~d}_{n, k} & =(k+1) \mathrm{d}_{n-1, k}+(n-2 k) \mathrm{d}_{n-1, k-1} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the sum $\sum_{k} d_{n, k}$ is precisely the Euler number $E_{n}$, see Figure 1 .
In the last two decades although various refinements of (1.5) have been given in combinatorics and geometry (see PRW, Pe15, At18, SW20]), similar extension of (1.6) does not seem to be known. In this paper we will provide two refinements of (1.6) (see (1.12) and (1.16)).

Definition 1. For a permutation $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n}$ of $[n]$ with $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{n+1}=0$, the entry $\sigma_{i}$ is

- a peak if $\sigma_{i-1}<\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}$;
- a valley if $\sigma_{i-1}>\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}<\sigma_{i+1}$;
- a double ascent if $\sigma_{i-1}<\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}<\sigma_{i+1}$;
- a double descent if $\sigma_{i-1}>\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}$.

Let $\operatorname{pk} \sigma$ (resp. val $\sigma$, da $\sigma, \operatorname{dd} \sigma$ ) denote the number of peaks (resp. valleys, double ascents, double descents) in $\sigma$. Note that $\operatorname{des} \sigma=\operatorname{val} \sigma+\operatorname{dd} \sigma$ and $\operatorname{pk} \sigma=\operatorname{val} \sigma+1$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}=\left\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \operatorname{val} \sigma=k, \operatorname{dd} \sigma=0\right\}$. For example, the elements of $\mathcal{G}_{4,1}$ are

$$
1324, \quad 1423, \quad 2134, \quad 2314, \quad 2413, \quad 3124, \quad 3412, \quad 4123 .
$$

Definition 2. For a permutation $\sigma$ of $[n]$, let $\sigma_{[k]}$ be the subword of $\sigma$ consisting of $1, \ldots, k$ in the order they appear in $\sigma$. Then, the permutation $\sigma$ is an André permutation if $\sigma_{[k]}$ has no double descents (and ends with an ascent) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

For example, the permutation $\sigma=43512$ is not André since the subword 4312 of $\sigma$ contains a double descent 3 , while the permutation $\tau=31245$ is André. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{n}$ be the set of André permutations of $[n]$. For instance, the set $\mathfrak{D}_{4}$ consists of five permutations $1234,1423,3124,3412$, and 4123 . Let $\mathfrak{D}_{n, k}$ be the set of André permutations of $[n]$ with $k$ descents. For example, the elements of $\mathfrak{D}_{5,2}$ are

$$
31524,41523,51423,53412
$$

Proposition 1 ([FS73],FS76]). The coefficients $\gamma_{n, k}$ and $d_{n, k}$ equal the cardinalities of $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{n, k}$, respectively.

For $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \ldots \sigma_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, the statistic (31-2) $\sigma$ is the number of pairs $(i, j)$ such that $2 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $\sigma_{i-1}>\sigma_{j}>\sigma_{i}$. Similarly, the statistic (2-13) $\sigma$ is the number of pairs $(i, j)$ such that $1 \leq i<j \leq n-1$ and $\sigma_{j+1}>\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{j}$. In 2008 Brändén [Br08] defined a $(p, q)$-analogue of Eulerian polynomials and proved a $(p, q)$-analogue of (1.5). In this paper we shall use the following variant of Brändén's $(p, q)$-Eulerian polynomials in [SZ12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(p, q, t):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} t^{\operatorname{des} \sigma} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leq k \leq(n-1) / 2$ define the $(p, q)$-analogue of $\gamma_{n, k}$ and $d_{n, k}$ in (1.5) and (1.6) by

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{n, k}(p, q) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}  \tag{1.9}\\
d_{n, k}(p, q) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma-k} . \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Our main results are the following two theorems.
Theorem 2. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}(p, q, t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} \gamma_{n, k}(p, q) t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k}  \tag{1.11}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor}(p+q)^{k} \mathrm{~d}_{n, k}(p, q) t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k} . \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

ON THE $\gamma$-COEFFICIENTS OF BRÄNDÉN'S $(p, q)$-EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS
Remark 1. An equivalent $\gamma$-expansion of (1.11) was proved by Brändén [Br08] using the modified Foata-Stehl action. The divisibility of $\gamma_{n, k}(p, q)$ by $(p+q)^{k}$ was conjectured by Brändén (op.cit.) and proved by Shin and Zeng [SZ12] using the combinatorial theory of continued fractions.

Theorem 3. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{n}(p, q, t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} \mathrm{d}_{n, k}(p, q) t^{k}  \tag{1.13}\\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma-\operatorname{des} \sigma} t^{\operatorname{des} \sigma} . \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2. It is not difficult to see that (31-2) $\sigma \geq \operatorname{des} \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}$, see (iii) of Proposition 3 .

Example. We enumerate the André permutations in $\mathfrak{D}_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{4}$ with their number of patterns (2-13) and (31-2). The valleys are in boldface.

| $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{3}$ | $(2-13) \sigma$ | $(31-2) \sigma$ | $\operatorname{des} \sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 312 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{4}$ | $(2-13) \sigma$ | $(31-2) \sigma$ | $\operatorname{des} \sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1234 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1423 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3124 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $34 \mathbf{1 2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $4 \mathbf{1 2 3}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 |

These are in accordance with (1.4).
Combining Theorem 2 with Theorem 1 in [SZ16], which is (1.15) below, we derive a $q$-analogue of (1.5) and (1.6).

Corollary 1. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}_{n}} q^{(\mathrm{inv}-\operatorname{exc}) \sigma} t^{\operatorname{exc} \sigma} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} \gamma_{n, k}\left(q^{2}, q\right) t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k}  \tag{1.15}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor}(1+q)^{k} \mathrm{~d}_{n, k}(q) t^{k}(1+t)^{n-1-2 k}, \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{d}_{n, k}(q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}} q^{2(2-13) \sigma+(31-2) \sigma} .
$$

By (1.3) and Theorem 3 we derive two interpretations for Han's $q$-Euler numbers [Ha19].

Corollary 2. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n}(q) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}} q^{(2-13) \sigma}  \tag{1.17}\\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n}} q^{(31-2) \sigma-\operatorname{des} \sigma} . \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

In Section 3 we shall give a triple sum formula for $D_{n}(1, q, t)$ (cf. Theorem 5) and also a simple sum formula for $D_{n}(1,-1, t)$ (cf. Theorem 6).

## 2. Proof of main Theorems

2.1. x-factorization and MFS-action. We recall some definitions from [FS73, FS74]. A permutation $w$ of a finite subset $\left\{a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is a word $w=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$. The word $u$ obtained by juxtaposing two words $v$ and $w$ in this order is written $u=v w$. The word $v$ (resp. $w$ ) is the left (resp. right) factor of $u$. More generally, a factorization of length $q(q>0)$ of a word $w$ is any sequence $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{q}\right)$ of words (some of them possibly empty) such that the juxtaposition product $w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{q}$ is equal to $w$. The following definition was given as a lemma in [FS74, Lemma 1].

Definition 3. Let $w=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}(n>0)$ be a permutation and $x$ be one of the letters $x_{i}$ $(1<i<n)$. Then $w$ has a unique factorization $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ of length 5 , called its $x$-factorization, which is characterized by the three properties
(i) $w_{1}$ is empty or its last letter is less than $x$;
(ii) $w_{2}$ (resp. $w_{4}$ ) is empty or all its letters are greater than $x$;
(iii) $w_{5}$ is empty or its first letter is less than $x$.

For instance, for $x=4$ the $x$-factorization of $w=76314582$ is given by $(7631, \epsilon, 4,58,2)$, where $\epsilon$ denotes the empty word. It is not difficult to check the following facts about $x$ factorization (see [FS76]).

Proposition 2. Let $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ be the $x$-factorization of a permutation. Then

- $x$ is a peak of $\sigma$ iff $w_{2}=\epsilon$ and $w_{4}=\epsilon$,
- $x$ is a valley of $\sigma$ iff $w_{2} \neq \epsilon$ and $w_{4} \neq \epsilon$,
- $x$ is a double ascent of $\sigma$ iff $w_{2}=\epsilon$ and $w_{4} \neq \epsilon$,
- $x$ is a double descent of $\sigma$ iff $w_{2} \neq \epsilon$ and $w_{4}=\epsilon$.

We can charactrize André permutations in terms of $x$-factorization FS73].
Proposition 3. A permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is an André permutation if it is empty or satisfies the following:
(i) $\sigma$ has no double descents,
(ii) $n-1$ is not a descent position, i.e. $\sigma_{n-1}<\sigma_{n}$,
(iii) If $\sigma_{i}$ is a valley of $\sigma$ with $\sigma_{i}$-factorization $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \sigma_{i}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$, then $\min \left(w_{2}\right)>$ $\min \left(w_{4}\right)$, i.e., the minimum letter of $w_{2}$ is larger than the minimum letter of $w_{4}$.

Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ satisfying (i)-(iii), let $\tau:=\sigma_{[k]}=\tau_{1} \ldots \tau_{k}$ for $1 \leq k<n$.


Figure 2. MFS-actions on $\sigma=596138427$ with $\sigma(0)=\sigma(10)=0$

- If $x:=\tau_{i}$ is a double descent of $\tau$, then $x$ must be a valley in $\sigma$ by (i); as all letters (if any) between $\tau_{i-1}$ and $x$ in $\sigma$ are larger than $x$, and all the letters between $x$ and $\tau_{i+1}$ are larger than $k$, the $x$-factorization of $\sigma$ is $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ with $\min \left(w_{4}\right)>k$ and $\min \left(w_{2}\right) \leq \tau_{i-1} \leq k$, so $\min \left(w_{4}\right)>\min \left(w_{2}\right)$ which is impossible by (iii).
- If $\tau_{k-1}$ is a descent in $\sigma_{[k]}$, then $\tau_{k}$ must be a valley in $\sigma$ because all the letters after $x$ in $\sigma$ are larger than $k$. Let $x=\tau_{k}$, then the same argument as above leads to a contradiction.
Thus, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, the restriction $\sigma_{[k]}$ is an André permutation.
We recall Brändén's modified Foata-Strehl action or MFS-action Br08]. For $x \in[n]$, the MFS-action $\tilde{\varphi}_{x}$ on $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $x$-factorization $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{x}(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
w_{1} w_{4} x w_{2} w_{5} & \text { if } x \text { is a double ascent or double descent } \\
\sigma & \text { if } x \text { is a valley or peak. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $\tilde{\varphi}_{x}$ is an involution acting on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and it is not hard to see that $\tilde{\varphi}_{x}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{y}$ commute for all $x, y \in[n]$. Hence for any subset $X \subseteq[n]$ we may define the action $\tilde{\varphi}_{X}$ on $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ by

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{X}(\sigma)=\prod_{x \in X} \tilde{\varphi}_{x}(\sigma)
$$

See Figure 2 for illustration, where exchanging $w_{2}$ and $w_{4}$ in the $x$-factorisation is equivalent to moving $x$ from a double ascent to a double descent or vice versa.

For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ let $\operatorname{Orb}(\sigma)=\left\{g(\sigma): g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}\right\}$ be the orbit of $\sigma$ under the action MFS-action. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the unique element in $\operatorname{Orb}(\sigma)$ without double descents. The next theorem follows from the work of [Br08, FS76].
Theorem 4. For any $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ without double decent, we have

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Orb}(\tilde{\sigma})} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} t^{\operatorname{des} \sigma}=p^{(2-13) \tilde{\sigma}} q^{(31-2)} \tilde{\sigma}^{\operatorname{des} \tilde{\sigma}}(1+t)^{n-1-2 \operatorname{des} \tilde{\sigma}}
$$

Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, consider a (2-13) triple $\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i+1}\right)$, where $1 \leq j<i \leq n$ and $\sigma_{i}<\sigma_{j}<\sigma_{i+1}$, where $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i+1}\right)$ is a pair of consecutive valley and peak, which means that there are no other peaks and valleys in between $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}$. The number of such triples is invariant under the action since $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i+1}$ cannot move and $a_{j}$ can not move over the peak $\sigma_{i+1}$. A similar reasoning applies to (31-2).

Let $A_{n}(p, q, t, u, v, w)$ be the generalized Eulerian polynomials defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(p, q, t, u, v, w):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} t^{\operatorname{des} \sigma} u^{\operatorname{da} \sigma} v^{\operatorname{dd} \sigma} w^{\mathrm{val} \sigma} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As des $=$ val + dd we derive the following generalization of (1.11) from Theorem 4. This was first proved in [SZ12] by using combinatorial theory of continued fractions.
Corollary 3. For the $\gamma$-coefficients $\gamma_{n, k}(p, q)$ in (1.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(p, q, t, u, v, w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} \gamma_{n, k}(p, q)(t w)^{k}(u+v t)^{n-1-2 k} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. New action on permutations without double descent. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ be the set of permutations of $[n]$ without double descent. For any permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ and $x \in[n]$ we shall identify $\sigma$ with its $x$-factorization, i.e., $\sigma=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)=w_{1} w_{2} x w_{4} w_{5}$, and let $y_{1}:=\min \left(w_{2}\right), y_{2}:=\min \left(w_{4}\right)$. A valley $x$ of $\sigma$ is said to be

- good (resp. bad) if $y_{1}>y_{2}$ (resp. $y_{1}<y_{2}$ );
- of type $I$ if $\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is a peak or double ascent,
- of type II if $\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ is a valley.

We denote by $\mathrm{Val} \sigma$ the set of valleys of $\sigma$.
Proposition 4. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ and $x \in \operatorname{Val} \sigma$ with $y=\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$.
(i) If $y$ is a peak, then $w_{4}=y$ (resp. $w_{2}=y$ ) if $y_{1}>y_{2}$ (resp. $y_{1}<y_{2}$ ).
(ii) If $y$ is a double ascent, then $w_{4}=y w_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $\left.w_{2}=y w_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $w_{2}^{\prime \prime}, w_{4}^{\prime \prime} \neq \epsilon$ if $y_{1}>y_{2}$ (resp. $y_{1}<y_{2}$ ).
(iii) If $y$ is a valley, then $w_{4}=w_{4}^{\prime} y w_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $w_{2}=w_{2}^{\prime} y w_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ ) with $w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime \prime}, w_{4}^{\prime}, w_{4}^{\prime \prime} \neq \epsilon$ if $y_{1}>y_{2}$ (resp. $y_{1}<y_{2}$ ).
Proof. We assume that $y=y_{2}$.
(i) If $y_{2}$ is a peak, then $w_{4}=y_{2}$ for, otherwise, the word $w_{4}$ contains a letter next to $y_{2}$ and smaller than $y_{2}$.
(ii) If $y_{2}$ is a double ascent, then $w_{4}=y w_{4}^{\prime}$ with $w_{4}^{\prime} \neq \epsilon$.
(iii) If $y_{2}$ is a valley, then $w_{4}=w_{4}^{\prime} y w_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ with $w_{4}^{\prime}, w_{4}^{\prime \prime} \neq \epsilon$.

The case $y=y_{1}$ can be proved similarly.
Definition 4. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$ and each $x \in \operatorname{Val} \sigma$ with $y=\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, we define its transform $\varphi(\sigma, x)$ as follows:
(i) If $y$ is a peak, then

$$
\varphi(\sigma, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(w_{1}, y, x, w_{2}, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } & y=y_{2} \\
\left(w_{1}, w_{4}, x, y, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } & y=y_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) If $y$ is a double ascent, then

$$
\varphi(\sigma, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(w_{1}, y w_{2}, x, w^{\prime \prime}, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } \quad y=y_{2} \text { and } w_{4}=y w^{\prime \prime} \\
\left(w_{1}, w^{\prime \prime}, x, y w_{4}, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } & y=y_{1} \text { and } w_{2}=y w^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 3. The transform $\varphi(x, \sigma)$ according to the type of $y$
(iii) If $y$ is a valley, then

$$
\varphi(\sigma, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(w_{1}, w_{2} y w^{\prime}, x, w^{\prime \prime}, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } & y=y_{2} \text { and } w_{4}=w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime} \\
\left(w_{1}, w^{\prime}, x, w^{\prime \prime} y w_{4}, w_{5}\right) & \text { if } & y=y_{1} \text { and } w_{2}=w^{\prime} y w^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime} \neq \epsilon$.
Obviously this transformation switches $y$ from left to right or right to left of $x$ and $\varphi(\varphi(\sigma, x), x)=\sigma$. The three cases (i),(ii),(iii) of the transformation with $y=y_{2}$ are depicted in Figure 2.2.

We record the basic properties of this transformation in the following proposition.
Proposition 5. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $x \in \operatorname{Val} \sigma$, then $\varphi(\sigma, x) \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2-13) \varphi(\sigma, x)= \begin{cases}(2-13) \sigma+1 & \text { if } x \text { is good } \\
(2-13) \sigma-1 & \text { if } x \text { is bad } ;\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\
& (31-2) \varphi(\sigma, x)= \begin{cases}(31-2) \sigma-1 & \text { if } x \text { is good } \\
(31-2) \sigma+1 & \text { if } x \text { is bad }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We assume that $y:=\min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}$. By definition 4, the descent number is unchanged after the transform, i.e., $\operatorname{des} \sigma=\operatorname{des} \varphi(\sigma, x)$. Next we verify that $\varphi(\sigma, x)$ has no double descent for the above three cases. For (i), the only possible double descent in $\varphi(\sigma, x)=\left(w_{1}, y, x, w_{2}, w_{5}\right)$ is last $\left(w_{2}\right)$, but this is impossible for, otherwise, the same letter last $\left(w_{2}\right)$ would be a double descent in $\sigma$. The case (ii) is clear. For case (iii), the only possible double descent in $\varphi(\sigma, x)=\left(w_{1}, w_{2} y w_{4}^{\prime}, x, w_{4}^{\prime \prime}, w_{5}\right)$ is last $\left(w_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, but this is also impossible for, otherwise, the same letter last $\left(w_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ would be a double descent in $\sigma$. Thus $\varphi(\sigma, x) \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$.

It remains to prove (2.3). For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, it is convenient to say that a (31-2) pattern $\left(\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}, \sigma_{i}\right)$ is created by $\sigma_{i}$, and a (2-13) pattern $\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{j+1}\right)$ is created by $\sigma_{i}$. Let $x \in \operatorname{Val} \sigma$ and $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ be the $x$-factorization of $\sigma$ and $y:=\min \left(w_{4}\right)$. We examine three cases corresponding to the above (i)-(iii), respectively.
(i) If $y$ is a peak, then $\tau=\left(w_{1}, y, x, w_{2}, w_{5}\right)$. Let $a \in[n]$.

- If $a=y$ and creats the (31-2)-pattern (last $\left.\left(w_{2}\right), x, y\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $a$ creats the (2-13)-pattern $\left(y, x\right.$, first $\left.\left(w_{2}\right)\right)$ in $\tau$.
- If $a=x$ and creats the (2-13)-pattern $\left(x, y, f i r s t\left(w_{5}\right)\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $a$ creats the same pattern $\left(x, \operatorname{last}\left(w_{2}\right)\right.$, first $\left.\left(w_{5}\right)\right)$ in $\tau$.
- If $a \neq x, y$ and creats the (2-13)-pattern $\left(a, \operatorname{last}\left(w_{1}\right)\right.$, first $\left.\left(w_{2}\right)\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $a$ creats the same pattern $\left(a, \operatorname{last}\left(w_{1}\right), y\right)$ in $\tau$.
- If $a \neq x, y$ and creats the (31-2)-pattern $\left(y\right.$, first $\left.\left(w_{5}\right), a\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $a$ creats the same pattern (last $\left(w_{2}\right)$, first $\left.\left(w_{5}\right), a\right)$ in $\tau$.
Hence, if $a \in[n] \backslash\{y\}$, the number of (31-2)-patterns (resp. (2-13)-patterns) created by $a$ is unchanged under the action.
(ii) If $y$ is a double ascent, then $\tau=\left(w_{1}, y w_{2}, x, w_{4}^{\prime}, w_{5}\right)$ with $w_{4}=y w_{4}^{\prime}$ and $w_{4}^{\prime} \neq \epsilon$. If $y$ creats the (31-2)-pattern (last $\left.\left(w_{2}\right), x, y\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $y$ creats the (2-13)-pattern $\left(y, x\right.$, first $\left.\left(w_{4}\right)\right)$ in $\tau$. As in (i) we can verify that the number of patterns created by other entry $a \in[n]$ will not change.
(iii) If $y$ is a valley, then $\tau=\left(w_{1}, w_{2} y w_{4}^{\prime}, x, w_{4}^{\prime \prime}, w_{5}\right)$ with $w_{4}=w^{\prime} y w_{4}^{\prime}$ and $w_{4}^{\prime}, w_{4}^{\prime \prime} \neq \epsilon$. If $y$ creats the (31-2)-pattern (last $\left.\left(w_{2}\right), x, y\right)$ in $\sigma$, then $y$ creats the (2-13)-pattern ( $y, x$, first $\left(w_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) in $\tau$. Again as in case (i) for other entry $a \in[n]$, the number of (31-2) and (2-13) created by $a$ will not change.
The proof is thus completed.
Next we define the transform $\varphi(\sigma, S)$ for any subset $S$ of $\operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$.
Definition 5. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$. For any $S \subseteq \operatorname{Val} \sigma$, let $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}\right\}$ be the partition of $S$ such that
(1) $S_{1}$ is the subset of $S$ consisting of valleys of type I, say $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}$;
(2) $S_{2}$ is the subset of $S$ consisting of valleys of type II, say $j_{k}<\cdots<j_{2}<j_{1}$.

Define the transforms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}\right) & =\varphi\left(i_{l}, \ldots, \varphi\left(i_{2}, \varphi\left(i_{1}, \sigma\right)\right)\right) \\
\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{2}\right) & =\varphi\left(j_{k}, \ldots, \varphi\left(j_{2}, \varphi\left(j_{1}, \sigma\right)\right)\right) \\
\varphi(\sigma, S) & =\varphi\left(\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}\right), S_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. The image $\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}\right)$ is independent of the order of $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}$ while $\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{2}\right)$ is defined for the elements of $S_{2}$ in the decreasing order $j_{1}>j_{2}>\ldots>j_{1}$.

In what follows, if $w=w_{1} \ldots w_{n}$ is a permutation of $[n]$ and $u$ a subword of $w$, i.e., $u=w_{i} w_{i+1} \ldots w_{j}(1 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$, we write $u \subseteq w$ and $u \in w$ if $u$ is a single letter $w_{i}$.
Proposition 6. If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}$ and $S \subseteq \operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$, then $\tau:=\varphi(\sigma, S) \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ is well defined and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\{x \in \operatorname{Val}(\tau) \mid x \text { is a bad guy }\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in S$, let $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x_{1}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ and $\left(w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, x_{2}, w_{4}^{\prime}, w_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ be the $x_{1}-$ factorization and $x_{2}$-factorization of $\sigma$, respectively. Assume that $x_{1}<x_{2}$, hence $x_{1} \in w_{1}^{\prime}$ or $x_{1} \in w_{5}^{\prime}$. Thus, if $w_{2} x_{1} w_{4} \cap w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then $w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{4}$ or $w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{2}$, namely, one of the following holds:

$$
w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{1}, \quad w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{2}, \quad w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{4}, \quad w_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} w_{4}^{\prime} \subseteq w_{5}
$$

Thus when we apply $\varphi$ to the elements of $S_{1}$ the image $\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}\right)$ is independ from the order of elements, and $\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{2}\right)$ is well defined if we apply $\varphi$ to the elements of $S_{2}$ in decreasing order. As for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}$ and any $x \in \operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$ there holds $y_{1}>y_{2}$, and for each element of $S$ the application of $\varphi$ switches $y_{2}$ from right to left of $x$, we have (2.4).

For any set $S$ we denote by $2^{S}$ the set of all subsets of $S$. In what follows, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ we will identify $\operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$ with $[k]$ under the map $a_{i} \mapsto i$ for $i \in[k]$ if $\operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$ consists of $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{k}$, and identify any subset $S \in \operatorname{Val}(\sigma)$ with its image $S^{\prime} \in 2^{[k]}$. Thus we will use $2^{[k]}$ instead of $2^{\operatorname{Val}(\sigma)}$.

Proposition 7. The map $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}_{n, k} \times 2^{[k]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ is a bijection such that for $(\sigma, S) \in$ $\mathfrak{D}_{n, k} \times 2^{[k]}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(2-13) \sigma+|S| & =(2-13) \varphi(\sigma, S) \\
(31-2) \sigma-|S| & =(31-2) \varphi(\sigma, S) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By Propositions 5and 6, for $(\sigma, S) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k} \times 2^{[k]}$ the image $\tau:=\varphi(\sigma, S)$ is an element in $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ and satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). To show that $\varphi$ is bijective, we construct the reverse of $\varphi$. For any $\tau \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}$, let $\mathrm{Val}^{(1)}(\tau)$ and $\mathrm{Val}^{(2)}(\tau)$ be the sets of valleys of $\tau$ of types I and II, respectively, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2}(\tau) & :=\left\{x \in \operatorname{Val}^{(2)}(\tau) \mid x \text { is a bad guy }\right\} \\
S_{1}(\tau): & =\left\{x \in \operatorname{Val}^{(1)}(\tau) \mid x \text { is a bad guy }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\varphi(\sigma, \emptyset)=\sigma$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}$ if and only if $S_{1}(\sigma) \cup S_{2}(\sigma)=\emptyset$. We recover the pair $(\sigma, S) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k} \times 2^{[k]}$ such that $\varphi(\sigma, S)=\tau$ by applying the following algorithm:
(i) Input $(\sigma, S):=(\tau, \emptyset)$.
(ii) While $S_{2}(\sigma):=\left\{x \in \mathrm{Val}^{(2)} \sigma \mid y_{1}<y_{2}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ do $(\sigma, S):=(\varphi(\sigma, z), S \cup\{z\})$ with $z:=\min S_{2}(\sigma)$.
(iii) Let $(\sigma, S)$ be the output of (ii) with $S_{1}(\sigma):=\left\{x \in \operatorname{Val}^{(1)} \sigma \mid y_{1}<y_{2}\right\}$, and

$$
(\sigma, S):=\left(\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}(\sigma)\right), S \cup S_{1}(\sigma)\right)
$$

To see that the loop (ii) is finite we just need to verify (easy!) that $z$ is a good guy in $\varphi(\sigma, z)$, which implies that if $z^{\prime}=\min S_{2}(\varphi(\sigma, z))$ then $z^{\prime}>z$. It is clear that $S=S_{1}(\tau) \cup S_{2}(\tau)$ and $\varphi(\sigma, S)=\tau$.

For the reader's convenience, we run the map $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}_{5,2} \times 2^{[2]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{5,2}$ in Figure 4, and give one example for $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}_{5,2} \times 2^{[2]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{5,2}$ and $\varphi^{-1}: \mathcal{G}_{13,5} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{13,5} \times 2^{[5]}$, respectively.
(A) If $\sigma=31524 \in \mathfrak{D}_{5,2}$ and $S=\{1,2\}$, then

- for the valley $1, \min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=2$ is a valley, so 1 is a type II valley.
- for the valley $2, \min \left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=4$ is a peak, so 2 is a type I valley.

So, we should first deal with the valley 2 , the 2 -factorization is $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)=$ $(31,5,2,4, \emptyset)$ according to case $(i)$ of $\varphi$, we just exchange 4 and 5 , and get 31425; then we apply $\varphi$ to the valley 1 in 31425 , the 1 -factorization is $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, x, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)=$ $(\emptyset, 3,1,425, \emptyset)$, which is case (iii) of $\varphi$, we just exchange 1 and 2 , and get $\varphi(\sigma, S)=$ 32415.
(B) For $\tau=11212131645389710 \in \mathcal{G}_{13,5}$.
(i) First let $(\sigma, S):=(\tau, \emptyset)$. We have $S_{2}=\{1,3\}$ and $\min \left(S_{2}\right)=1$, so $S=\{1\}$ and

$$
\sigma:=\varphi(\sigma, 1)=11112132645389710 .
$$

| $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{5,2}$ | (2-13) | (31-2) | $S \in 2^{\text {Val } \sigma}$ | $\tau \in \mathcal{G}_{5,2}$ | (2-13) | (31-2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31524 | 2 | 2 | Ø | 31524 | 2 | 2 |
| 31524 | 2 | 2 | \{1\} | 32514 | 3 | 1 |
| 31524 | 2 | 2 | \{2\} | 31425 | 3 | 1 |
| 31524 | 2 | 2 | \{1,2\} | 32415 | 4 | 0 |
| 41523 | 1 | 3 | $\emptyset$ | 41523 | 1 | 3 |
| 41523 | 1 | 3 | \{1\} | 42513 | 2 | 2 |
| 41523 | 1 | 3 | \{2\} | 41325 | 2 | 2 |
| 41523 | 1 | 3 | \{1, 2\} | 42315 | 3 | 1 |
| 51423 | 0 | 4 | $\emptyset$ | 51423 | 0 | 4 |
| 51423 | 0 | 4 | \{1\} | 52413 | 1 | 3 |
| 51423 | 0 | 4 | \{2\} | 51324 | 1 | 3 |
| 51423 | 0 | 4 | \{1, 2\} | 52314 | 2 | 2 |
| 53412 | 0 | 2 | $\emptyset$ | 53412 | 0 | 2 |
| 53412 | 0 | 2 | \{1\} | 21534 | 1 | 1 |
| 53412 | 0 | 2 | \{3\} | 43512 | 1 | 1 |
| 53412 | 0 | 2 | \{1, 3\} | 21435 | 2 | 0 |

Figure 4. The bijection $\varphi:(\sigma, S) \mapsto \tau$ from $\mathfrak{D}_{5,2} \times 2^{[2]}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{5,2}$

As $S_{2}=\{3\}$, we have $\min S_{2}=3$ and $S:=\{1,3\}$, hence

$$
\sigma:=\varphi(\sigma, 3)=11112132635489710
$$

(ii) Now for $\sigma, S_{2}=\emptyset$ and $S_{1}=\{4,7\}$, then $S:=\{1,3,4,7\}$ and

$$
\sigma:=\varphi\left(\sigma, S_{1}\right)=11112132631078945 \in \mathfrak{D}_{13,5}
$$

We can check that $\varphi(\sigma, S)=\tau$.
2.3. Proof of Theorem 2. Clearly (1.11) is a special case of Corollary 3, and (1.12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+q)^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma-k}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $(p+q)^{k}=\sum_{S \in 2^{[k]}} p^{|S|} q^{k-|S|}$ we can rewrite the above identity as

$$
\sum_{(\sigma, S) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n, k} \times 2^{[k]}} p^{(2-13) \sigma+|S|} q^{(31-2) \sigma-|S|}=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}} p^{(2-13) \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma} .
$$

The result follows from Proposition 7 .

ON THE $\gamma$-COEFFICIENTS OF BRÄNDÉN'S $(p, q)$-EULERIAN POLYNOMIALS
2.4. Proof of Theorem 3. We shall use the J-type continued fraction as a formal power series defined by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-b_{0} t-\frac{\lambda_{1} t^{2}}{1-b_{1} t-\frac{\lambda_{2} t^{2}}{1-\cdots}}}
$$

where $\left(b_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)(n \geq 0)$ are two sequences in a commutative ring. When $b_{n}=0$ we obtain the S-type continued fraction:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda_{1} t}{1-\frac{\lambda_{2} t}{1-\cdots}}}
$$

Recall the following continued fraction expansion formula from [SZ12, (28)]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \geq 1} A_{n}(p, q, t, u, v, w) x^{n-1}= \\
& \frac{1}{1-(u+t v)[1]_{p, q} x-\frac{[1]_{p, q}[2]_{p, q} t w x^{2}}{1-(u+t v)[2]_{p, q} x-\frac{[2]_{p, q}[3]_{p, q} t w x^{2}}{\cdots}}} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

with $b_{n}=(u+t v)[n+1]_{p, q}$ and $\lambda_{n}=[n]_{p, q}[n+1]_{p, q} t w$.
By Theorem 22 and substituting $(t, u, v, w)$ with $(p+q, 0,1, t)$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$
A_{n}(p, q, p+q, 0,1, t)=(p+q)^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} d_{n, k}(p, q) t^{k}
$$

Thus, substituting $(t, u, v, w)$ with $(p+q, 0,1, t)$ in (2.7) and replacing $x$ by $x /(p+q)$ we obtain the same continued fraction in (1.2). This proves (1.13).

## 3. Two explicit formulae

First we derive a formula for $D_{n}(1, q, t)$ from the work of Shin-Zeng [SZ12], Han-MaoZeng [HMZ] and Josuat-Vergès [JV11.

Theorem 5. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n}(1, q, t) & =\frac{1}{v(1-q)}\left(\frac{1+u}{(1+u v)\left(1-q^{2}\right)}\right)^{n-1} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} v^{j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{n}{j+k}-\binom{n}{j-1}\binom{n}{j+k+1}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} v^{i} q^{i(k+1-i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{1+q^{2}-2(1+q) t-(1+q) \sqrt{(1+q)^{2}-4(1+q) t}}{2(q-t(1+q))}  \tag{3.1}\\
& v=\frac{(1+q)-2 t-\sqrt{(1+q)^{2}-4 t(1+q)}}{2 t} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(1, q, q, 1,1, t\left(1+q^{-1}\right)\right)=(1+q)^{n-1} D_{n}(1, q, t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Corollary 3.2 in HMZ we derive

$$
A_{n}\left(1, q, q, 1,1, t\left(1+q^{-1}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{1+u}{1+u v}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} v^{\operatorname{des} \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are given by (3.1) and (3.2). By Theorem 6.3 in JV11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} y^{\operatorname{des} \sigma} q^{(31-2) \sigma}= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} y^{\operatorname{asc} \sigma} q^{(13-2) \sigma} \\
&=\frac{1}{y(1-q)^{n}} \sum_{n=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} y^{j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{n}{j+k}-\binom{n}{j-1}\binom{n}{j+k+1}\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} y^{i} q^{i(k+1-i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting the above three formulae together completes the proof.
A Motzkin path of length $n$ is a sequence of points $\eta:=\left(\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)$ in the integer plane $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- $\eta_{0}=(0,0)$ and $\eta_{n}=(n, 0)$,
- $\eta_{i}-\eta_{i-1} \in\{(1,0),(1,1),(1,-1)\}$,
- $\eta_{i}:=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.

In other words, a Motzkin path of length $n$ is a lattice path starting at $(0,0)$, ending at $(n, 0)$, and never going below the $x$-axis, consisting of up-steps $\mathrm{U}=(1,1)$, level-steps $\mathrm{L}=(1,0)$, and down-steps $\mathrm{D}=(1,-1)$. Let $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ be the set of Motzkin paths of length $n$. Clearly we can identify Motzkin paths of length $n$ with words $w$ on $\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{D}\}$ of length $n$ such that all prefixes of $w$ contain no more D's than U's and the number of D's equals the number of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime} s$. The height of a step $\left(\eta_{i}, \eta_{i+1}\right)$ is the coordinate of the starting point $\eta_{i}$. Given a Motzkin path $p \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and two sequences $\left(b_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ of a commutative ring R, we weight each up-step by 1 , and each level-step (resp. down-step) at height $i$ by $b_{i}$ (resp. $\lambda_{i}$ ) and define the weight $w(p)$ of $p$ by the product of the weights of all its steps. The following result of Flajolet [F180] is our starting point.

Lemma 1 (Flajolet). We have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{n}} w(p)\right) t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-b_{0} t-\frac{\lambda_{1} t^{2}}{1-b_{1} t-\frac{\lambda_{2} t^{2}}{1-b_{2} t-\cdots}}}
$$

A Motzkin path without level-steps is called a Dyck path, and a Motzkin path without level-steps at odd height is called an André path. We denote by $\mathcal{A P}_{n, k}$ the set of André paths of half-length $n$ with $k$ level-steps, and $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$ the set of Dyck paths of half length $n$.
Lemma 2. Let $b_{i}=0(i \geq 0)$ and $\lambda_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{i+1}{2}\right\rfloor(i \geq 1)$. Then

$$
n!=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_{n}} w(p) .
$$

In other words, the factorial $n$ ! is the generating polynomial of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{n}$.
Proof. Recall the following formula of Euler:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} n!x^{n}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1 x}{1-\frac{1 x}{1-\frac{2 x}{1-\frac{2 x}{\cdots}}}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{n}=\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor$. The result then follows from Lemma 1 .
Remark 4. A bijective proof of Euler's formula (3.4) is known, see [PZ19, (4.9)].
Lemma 3. Let $b_{2 i}=1, b_{2 i+1}=0(i \geq 0)$ and $\lambda_{k}=\left\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor t(i \geq 1)$. Then

$$
D_{n+1}(1,-1, t)=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_{n}} w(p)
$$

In other words, the polynomial $D_{n+1}(1,-1, t)$ is the generating polynomial of André paths of length $n$.
Proof. When $(p, q)=(1,-1)$ formula(1.2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{n+1}(1,-1, t) x^{n}=\frac{1}{1-x-\frac{t x^{2}}{1-\frac{t x^{2}}{1-x-\frac{2 t x^{2}}{1-\frac{2 t x^{2}}{1-x-\cdots}}}}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients $b_{2 i}=1, b_{2 i+1}=0$ and $\lambda_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{i+1}{2}\right\rfloor t$. The result follows from Lemma 1 .


Figure 5. The bijection $\psi: \mathcal{A P}_{12,4} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{12,4} \times \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{4}$
Let

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{n, k}:=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k+1}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}: y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k+1}=n-2 k\right\}
$$

Example. An illustration of the bijection $\psi$ is given in Figure 5
Lemma 4. For $0 \leq k \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, there is an explicit bijection $\psi: \mathcal{A P}_{n, n-2 k} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{D P}_{k}$ such that if $\psi(u)=(y, p)$ with for $u \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_{n, n-2 k}$ and $(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{k}$ then $w(u)=w(p)$, where the weight is associated to the sequences $\left(b_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ with $b_{2 i}=1, b_{2 i+1}=0(i \geq 0)$, and $\lambda_{k}=\left\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor t(i \geq 1)$.
Proof. Since an André path (word) on $\{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}\}$ has only level-steps at even height and starts from height 0 , so the subword between two consecutive level-steps L's must be of even length and is a word on the alphabet $\{U U, D D, U D, D U\}$. Thus, any André word $u \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}_{n, n-2 k}$ can be written in a unique way as follows:

$$
u=\mathrm{L}^{y_{1}} w_{1} \mathrm{~L}^{y_{2}} w_{2} \ldots w_{k} \mathrm{~L}^{y_{k+1}} \quad \text { with } \quad w_{i} \in\{\mathrm{UU}, \mathrm{DD}, \mathrm{UD}, \mathrm{DU}\} .
$$

Let $y:=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k+1}\right)$ and $p:=w_{1} \ldots w_{k}$. As the path $p$ is obtained by removing out all the level-steps L's from the André path $u$, each step in $p$ keeps the same height in $u$, and $(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{k}$, Let $\psi(u)=(y, p)$. It is clear that this is the desired bijection.
Theorem 6. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(1,-1, t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1-k}{k} k!t^{k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5. If $t=1$, in view of (1.3), the above result is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(-1)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1-k}{k} k! \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was posted by P. Barry, see A122852 in OEIS [OEIS. Han [Ha19, Theorem 7.1] gave a non-trivial (sic) proof of (3.7) by showing that both sides of (3.7) satisfy the same recurrence relation, which had been conjectured by R. J. Mathar. Our proof of (3.6) is combinatorial and insightful for the summation formula in (3.6).

Proof of Theorem 6. By Lemmas 3 and 4 we have

$$
D_{n+1}(1,-1, t)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{k}} w(p) .
$$

Since the cardinality of $\mathcal{Y}_{n, k}$ is $\binom{n-k}{k}$, and the generating polynomial of $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{P}_{k}$ is equal to $k!t^{k}$ by Lemma 2, summing over all $0 \leq k \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ we obtain Theorem 3.6.

Remark 6. It is a challenge to show directly that Theorem 6 is the limit case of Theorem 5 when $q \rightarrow-1$.

## References

[An79] D. André, Développement de $\sec x$ and $\operatorname{tg} x$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 88 (1879), 965-979.
[At18] C. A. Athanasiadis, Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 77 (2018) B77i, 64pp (electronic).
[Br08] P. Brändén, Actions on permutations and unimodality of descent polynomials, European J. Combin. 29 (2008), no. 2, 514-531.
[CSZ] R. J. Clarke, E. Steingrímsson and J. Zeng, New Euler-Mahonian statistics on permutations and words. Adv. in Appl. Math. 18 (1997), no. 3, 237-270.
[El18] S. Elizalde, Continued fractions for permutation statistics, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 19 (2) (2018) \#11.
[FS73] D. Foata, M.-P. Schützenberger, Nombres d'Euler et permutations alternantes. A survey of combinatorial theory (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Colorado State Univ., Fort Collins, Colo., 1971), pp. 173-187. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
[FH01] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, Arbres minimax et polynômes d'André, Adv. in Appl. Math. 27 (2001), no. 3, 367-389.
[FH15] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, André permutation calculus: a twin Seidel matrix sequence. Sém. Lothar. Combin. 73 ([2014-2016]), Art. B73e, 54 pp.
[FS74] D. Foata and V. Strehl, Rearrangements of the symmetric group and enumerative properties of the tangent and secant numbers, Math. Z. 137 (1974), 257-264.
[FS76] D. Foata, V. Strehl, Euler numbers and variations of permutations, in: Atti dei Convegni Lincei, vol. 17, Tomo I, 1976, pp. 119-131.
[Fl80] P. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, Discrete Math. 32 (1980), no. 2, 125-161.
[GSZ] Y. Gelineau, H. Shin, J. Zeng, Bijections for Entringer families, European J. Combin. 32 (1) (2011) 100-115.
[Ha19] G.-N. Han, Hankel Continued fractions and Hankel determinants of the Euler numbers, preprint, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.00103.pdf, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 2019.
[HR98] G. Hetyei, E. Reiner. Permutation trees and variation statistics. European J. Combin., 19:847866, 1998.
[HMZ] B. Han, J. X. Mao, J. Zeng, Eulerian polynomials and excedance statistics, arXiv:1908.01084.
[JV11] M. Josuat-Vergés, Rook placements in Young diagrams and permutation enumeration. Adv. Appl. Math. 47 (2011) 1-22.
[JV14] M. Josuat-Vergès, Enumeration of snakes and cycle-alternating permutations, Australas. J. Combin. 60, 279-305 (2014).
[Mac15] P.A. MacMahon, Combinatory analysis, vols 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, 1915-16.
[MPP] A. Morales, I. Pak, G. Panova, Hook formulas for skew shapes II. Combinatorial proofs and enumerative applications. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 31 (2017), no. 3, 1953-1989.
[OEIS] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2020), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org
[PZ19] Q. Pan and J. Zeng. Combinatorics of (q, y)-Laguerre polynomials and theirs moments. https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.00907.

QIONG QIONG PAN AND JIANG ZENG
[Pe15] T.K. Petersen, Eulerian Numbers. With a foreword by Richard Stanley. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015.
[Ri51] J. Riordan, Triangular permutation numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951) 429-432.
[PRW] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, and L. Williams, Faces of generalized permutohedra, Doc. Math., 13 (2008), 207-273.
[St09] R. P. Stanley, A survey of alternating permutations. In: Brualdi, R.A., Hedayat, S., Kharaghani, H., Khosrovshahi, G.B., Shahriari, S. (eds.) Combinatorics and Graphs. Contemp. Math., Vol. 531, pp. 165-196. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2010)
[So18] A. Sokal, The Euler and Springer numbers as moment sequences, Expositiones Mathematicae, DOI: 10.1016/j.exmath.2018.08.00, April 2018, available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.04498.pdf.
[St90] T. J. Stieltjes, Sur quelques intégrales définies et leur développement en fractions continues, Q. J. Math., London, 24, 1890, pp. 370-382.
[SZ10] H. Shin and J. Zeng, The $q$-tangent and $q$-secant numbers via continued fractions, European J. Combin. 31 (2010), no. 7, 1689-1705.
[SZ12] H. Shin and J. Zeng, The symmetric and unimodal expansion of Eulerian polynomials via continued fractions. European J. Combin. 33 (2012), no. 2, 111-127.
[SZ16] H. Shin and J. Zeng, Symmetric unimodal expansions of excedances in colored permutations. European J. Combin. 52 (2016), part A, 174-196.
[SW20] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, Gamma-positivity of variations of Eulerian polynomials. J. Comb. 11 (2020), no. 1, 1-33.
[Vi81] G. Viennot, Interprétations combinatoires des nombres d'Euler et de Genocchi, exposé $n^{\circ} 11$, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Année 1980-1981, Université de Bordeaux.
[Vi83] G. Viennot, Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux. Lecture Notes, 1983, Université du Québec à Montréal.
(Qiong Qiong Pan) Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, UMR 5208 Du CNRS, Institut Camille Jordan, 43, Blvd du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

E-mail address: qpan@math.univ-lyon1.fr
(Jiang Zeng) Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, UMR 5208 du CNRS, Institut Camille Jordan, 43, blvd du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

E-mail address: zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr

