

## Organizations and migrant integration: Towards a multiparadigm narrative approach

Henriett Primecz, Peter Lugosi, Mette Zølner, Sylvie Chevrier, Christoph

Barmeyer, Sina Grosskopf

### ► To cite this version:

Henriett Primecz, Peter Lugosi, Mette Zølner, Sylvie Chevrier, Christoph Barmeyer, et al.. Organizations and migrant integration: Towards a multiparadigm narrative approach. International Journal of Cross Cultural Management, 2023, 23 (1), pp.7-30. 10.1177/14705958231155011 . hal-04132389

## HAL Id: hal-04132389 https://hal.science/hal-04132389

Submitted on 21 Jun2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Organizations and migrant integration: Towards a multiparadigm narrative approach

Henriett Primecz, Ph.D. (corresponding author) Johannes Kepler University, Liz, Austria <u>Henriett.primecz@jku.at</u> Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary

Henriett.primecz@uni-corvinus.hu

Peter Lugosi, Ph.D. Oxford Brookes University, UK <u>plugosi@brookes.ac.uk</u>

Mette Zølner, Ph.D. Aarhus University, Denmark mz@cc.au.dk

Sylvie Chevrier, Ph.D. IRG, Gustave Eiffel University/ UPEC, France sylvie.chevrier@univ-eiffel.fr

Christoph Barmeyer, Ph.D. University of Passau, Germany <u>Christoph.Barmeyer@uni-passau.de</u>

Sina Grosskopf research assistant University of Passau, Germany <u>sina.grosskopf@uni-passau.de</u>

# Organizations and migrant integration: Towards a multiparadigm narrative approach

#### Abstract

This methodology paper explores the potential of conducting multiparadigm research, focusing on narratives to examine how organizations shape migrant integration experiences and trajectories. It highlights the strengths of paradigmatic multiplicity in research with examples of three illustrative studies adopting functionalist, interpretive and critical perspectives, before considering the boundaries of these individual approaches. The paper proceeds to explore the potential of adopting a multiparadigm approach within a single proposed study that places narratives at the centre of enquiry. It identifies the scope and focus of future research for a socially and politically important area of enquiry; it evaluates the application of diverse paradigm-driven methodological perspectives including the challenges involved in using them alone and in combination; and develops a research framework, which has theoretical, methodological and practical implications.

Keywords: migrant, organization, narrative analysis, functionalism, interpretivism, critical theory

#### Introduction

Organization and management researchers are relative latecomers to the field of migration studies (Hajro et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020; Nardon et al., 2021). However, they have the potential to make valuable contributions since workplaces play a key role in shaping migrants' settlement experiences and integration trajectories (Ager and Strang, 2008). Research has demonstrated that access to and experiences in organizations can enable financial independence, social participation, cultural learning and intercultural exchange, which opens pathways to inclusion into a foreign society (Landes and Barmeyer, 2018; Lugosi et al., 2016). Organizations can also perpetuate migrants' social and economic

exclusion leading to marginalisation (Hajro et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020; Risberg and Romani, 2021). A significant challenge for organization and management studies concerns the adoption of effective methodologies to capture, analyse and interpret migrants' subjective, lived experiences. More specifically, it is important to question the appropriateness of methods for studying how migrants convey or 'narrate' their experiences, for example of organizational interactions, challenges and facilitators that shape their integration trajectories and strategies. This methodology paper thus seeks to support these domains of enquiry by proposing an approach to studying migrants' narratives from a multiparadigm perspective.

Research examining intersections of migrants' integration and organizations is paradigmatically plural, with studies adopting particular epistemological stances in pursuing specific disciplinary, intellectual, emancipatory, political, or utilitarian economic agenda (Al Ariss et al., 2013; Cerdin et al., 2014; Golnaraghi and Dye, 2016; Golnaraghi and Mills, 2017; Guo and Al Ariss, 2015; Hajro et al., 2019; Mahadevan and Kilian-Yasin, 2016, Syed, 2008, Syed and Özbilgin, 2009, Syed and Pio, 2010). The multiple perspectives adopted in research reflect disparate and, some could argue, incommensurable paradigmatic traditions, and this paradigm plurality can be seen as a weakness for proponents of accumulative knowledge (Pfeffer, 1993). However, other scholars have argued that developing a sensitivity for different paradigms (Grosskopf and Barmeyer, 2021; Patel, 2017) and even *combining* multiple paradigms within a study is a strength because it allows for multiple foci, questions, interpretations, and perspectives that can enrich comprehension of social phenomena (Gagnon et al., 2021; Patel, 2017; Primecz, 2020; Romani et al., 2011). This particularly applies to the complex issues of migration and migrants' integration experiences, which often raise heated debates, with multiple political and economic issues at stake (Brettell and Hollifield, 2021, Patel, 2017). No single perspective can effectively examine or comprehend such a multidimensional and controversial subject. Multiparadigm studies have been recognised for their theoretical contributions (Lewis and Grimes, 1999; Lewis and Kelemen, 2002; Patel, 2017), but they also raise methodological challenges (Patel, 2017; Romani and Primecz, 2019). It is therefore essential to understand the underpinning assumptions of specific paradigmatic perspectives to subsequently appreciate how a multiparadigmatic approach can utilise their combined strengths to study how migrants narrate their unique experiences.

The purpose of this paper is to identify the important features and evaluate the strengths of key paradigmatic approaches to show how their use in a multiparadigm methodological strategy is apposite in studying the complex issue of migrants' subjective integration experiences. To support this aim, we propose using *narratives* as a common point of reference in combining paradigmatic approaches. Narrative methodology is widely applied within migration studies; however, more often than not, there remain ambiguities regarding authors' underlying ontological and epistemological assumptions (de Fina and Tseng, 2017). We argue that such clarification can sharpen contributions of narratives when analysing migrants' integration experiences in organizations. Moreover, the burgeoning research on migrants' organizational experiences has not attempted to conceptualize or evaluate how multidisciplinary approaches could be used to study migrants' narratives. In response to the limitations in existing knowledge concerning narrative approaches, migration experiences and the application of multiparadigm perspectives, this article contributes to knowledge in three ways. First, it shows the distinct contributions of key paradigmatic approaches by demonstrating how they were applied in an illustrative sample of published studies that examined migrants' organizational experiences. Second, it critically discusses how their individual strengths may be combined to generate new insights. Third, in proposing this research agenda, it distinguishes between the different componential roles that the three paradigmatic approaches have in relation to narratives; specifically, to understand, to critique and to enact change. Narratives are thus conceptualised as method, as the focus of enquiry i.e. its empirical object, but also as 'devices' i.e. the products of research, with specific transformative capacities and trajectories when applied to studies of migrant integration.

The first part of the paper presents three widely adopted paradigms: functionalist, interpretive and critical. We then use three studies reflecting of each of the paradigms to illustrate the features and boundaries of each before outlining how their unique contributions could be utilised. In the subsequent sections we, first, explore how narratives can be used to study migrants' subjective experiences in the context of organizations; second, discuss how narratives could be approached and utilised in each

paradigm; and third, evaluate the methodological challenges and opportunities of a multiparadigmatic approach to studying migrants' narratives. We conclude by outlining how these insights translate into an agenda for researching intersections of organizations and migrants' integration, focusing on migrants' narratives and utilizing a multiparadigm perspective.

#### Paradigmatic multiplicity in research on organizations and migrant integration

Similarly to many other social science fields, studies of organizations and migrant integration are paradigmatically divided. Each study tends to be conducted within one research paradigm, and consequently their findings, conclusions and transferability are bounded by the paradigm's research agenda, ontology and epistemologies. To highlight the distinctive features, methodological strengths and thus also boundaries of research performed in different paradigms, we chose to examine three previously published studies that explored how recruiters contribute to migrants' employment evaluating their insights, contribution and boundaries. Other studies with a similar focus and positioned in different paradigms would have equally served the purpose. However, these studies allowed comparability because of their common focus on migrants and organizational domains of recruitment, and their paradigmatic positioning is diverse.

We have chosen to present studies in the functionalist, interpretive and critical paradigms, as these are the most researched paradigms in organization studies. We are fully aware of the existence of other paradigms, defined by influential paradigm taxonomies (Burrell and Morgan, 1979; Deetz, 1996; Lincoln et al., 2018; Patel, 2017), for example postmodernism and post-colonialism. However, the three selected paradigms have clearly distinguishing basic assumptions; numerous publications are based on these fundamental paradigms, and there is critical mass in the research communities who adopt them; consequently they fulfil the criterion of being distinct paradigms (Primecz, 2020: 129). They constitute distinctive world-views, self-consistent communities, and they are used in empirical studies to construct findings according to a clear set of (implicit or explicit) beliefs, theories, methodologies, and communication practices (Patel, 2017).

#### Studies in the functionalist paradigm: Distinctive contributions

The *functionalist paradigm* (Burrell and Morgan, 1979), sometimes labelled as the "positivist paradigm" (Donaldson, 2003) builds on the assumptions that social science is similar to natural science, and scientific models are also valid for capturing human experience. Advocates believe that reality can be measured objectively, and that relations between different variables can be assessed in a linear, cause-effect fashion (Patel, 2017). Functionalist research often adopts quantitative approaches, using multivariate statistical analysis that help to develop models of independent and dependent variables, and also test hypotheses (Patel, 2017). It is also possible to collect data with qualitative methods (e.g. structured interviews, non-participant observation or document analysis) in this paradigmatic tradition, but the purpose is still to develop models from systematized qualitative data (Glaser and Strauss, 2006). Functionalist organizational and management research aims to help operators and managers make better decisions, and to improve organizations' effectiveness and efficiency (Donaldson, 2003). More important, these aims are pursued with the assumption of a single, common reality, and that attitudes, behaviours, experiences and their outcomes can be captured to make predictions about causes and effects in generating practical, applied, and thus "impact-focused" solutions (Lugosi, 2017).

An example of work developed in the functionalist tradition is Almeida et al. (2018), who studied the causes of underemployment of skilled migrants from non-English speaking backgrounds in Australia. Almeida et al. (2018) adopted a mixed method design, combining a survey and interviews with employers to investigate the causes in-depth. They concluded that decision makers have poor understanding of skilled migrants' cultural capital, particularly when migrants come from countries employers are unfamiliar with, mainly non-English speaking ones. The study concluded that to develop their internal resource capabilities, employers should train their employees in cross-cultural management and implement diversity policies. Changing values and attitudes of employees would enable companies to benefit from a larger pool of applicants including migrants. In doing so, this article explores cause and effect relationships, isolating a series of individual and organizational variables that account for the discrimination of recruiters against non-Western migrant applicants. Inherent in its

attempt to validate hypotheses is the desire to identify factors that are assumed to be essential insofar as their systematic organizational governance will determine predictable utilitarian outcomes. It assumes a causal set of relationships between homogenised actors and mediating/moderating variables. Moreover, it embraces an instrumental perspective by aiming to help organizations to become more efficient by identifying levers that enable them to increase their capabilities.

#### Studies in the interpretive paradigm: Distinctive contributions

The *interpretive paradigm* searches for possible sense-making and the social construction of realities (Hatch and Yanow, 2003; Gertsen and Zølner, 2020). The focus of interpretive studies is on actors' perspectives, trying to understand different frames of meanings, or even developing negotiated meanings (Brannen and Salk, 2000; Romani et al., 2011). The ultimate premise of interpretive approaches is that social and organizational phenomena are constantly changing, with actors always constructing and reconstructing reality. A nominalist ontological position within this paradigm rejects cultural universals, thereby acknowledging the contextualised and subjective nature of reality (Patel, 2017). Studies within the interpretive paradigm focus on understanding actors and their experiences, assuming that the researcher plays an active role in knowledge creation as the research object and subject cannot be fully separated (Lincoln and Guba, 2006). Interpretive research is usually based on qualitative data collection techniques using semi- or unstructured interviews, textual and visual analysis, or participant observation, drawing on ethnographic sensibilities (Geertz, 1973). Research in this tradition does not necessarily seek to develop static explanatory models; rather it aims to gain insights into actors' complex situations (d'Iribarne et al., 2020); it also acknowledges the co-existence of multiple realities within societies (d'Iribarne, 2009; Patel, 2017). Moreover, knowledge is created through communicative interaction and human action. Data are produced intersubjectively between researchers and research participants, all being social actors engaged in dialogue. The constructed nature of social reality (Berger and Luckmann, 1969) leads to anti-essentialism, which means that all social phenomena are created and recreated, never pregiven or determined. The fundamental assumption of interpretive research differs from functionalist insofar as the former does not assume that social science follows the same rules as natural sciences (Hatch and Yanow, 2003).

An example of a study conducted in the interpretive paradigm is by Olakivi (2020). The author analysed in-depth interviews with social care managers in Finland who regularly employ care workers with migrant backgrounds. The researcher explored how recruiters' agency is constructed through discursive practices during interviews, considering the broader political context without assuming the existence of deterministic overarching structural power relations. The Nordic political context implies that the managers' occupational agency is expected to best serve their clients (Finnish older clients and their relatives) and do not contribute to increasing societal inequalities through the production of ethnic hierarchies (the exploitation of low-paid migrant workers). Managers of social care emphasized their agency or structural constrains in navigating between their home country's alleged expectations and migrants' interests. Olakivi identified patterns of interpretations and construction processes by paying attention to managers' reference to migrant workers sometimes as professionals and other times as members of ethnic groups. The study identified how organizational actors can draw upon multiple resources to construct situationally changing and eventually contradictory representations.

In contrast with functionalist approaches, such interpretive studies do not seek to evaluate the respective weight of various factors determining recruiters' behaviours but show the ongoing subjective and relational construction of meanings in their recruitment practices. This type of study thus sheds light on the social drama of migrants' integration by giving access to the representations and sense-making strategies that the actors construct in the interactions.

#### Studies in the critical paradigm: Distinctive contributions

The *critical paradigm* seeks to understand social phenomena by trying to unmask social inequalities, injustice and exploitation, challenging these through the empowerment of the disenfranchised. Research in this tradition emphasizes power inequalities, unequal chances, discrimination and structural differences among different social groups regarding exclusion from the labour market,

(under)employment, or restriction of upward career mobility (Bleijenbergh et al., 2018; Zanoni et al., 2010). Critical approaches uncover the allegedly neutral nature of cultural differences and highlight the inequalities and possible exploitative relationships between societies, organizations and social actors (Primecz et al., 2016). Critical approaches thus reveal possible oppression and unfair treatment of social groups, such as migrants, while also arguing for fairness and equality of people regardless of their societal, cultural or ethnic background, proposing solutions to reach just societies and organizations (Romani et al., 2018a, 2018b, Romani et al., 2020).

An example of work in this paradigmatic tradition was by Romani et al. (2019). They conducted an organizational ethnography of diversity initiatives at a Swedish company to reveal how HR professionals, engaged with diversity and inclusion, were unaware of how their efforts eventually contributed to the reproduction of inequalities. Researchers investigated a case organization using interviews, observation and document analysis reflexively. They approached the organization with critical scrutiny, remaining sensitive to social inequalities. Their findings highlighted that 'benevolent discrimination' was difficult to notice by well-intended decision-makers because they were convinced that their commitment to diversity and inclusion, and their condemnation of existing discrimination in their societies, assured positive outcomes of their actions. Nonetheless, researchers identified systematic and structural ethnic and class inequalities in the case company. This study showed that the critical paradigm goes beyond the discourse of social actors and highlights discrimination of migrants where most studies would detect only the positive messages regarding good intention of diversity and inclusion initiatives. Research in this paradigm therefore interrogates and remains sceptical of the perceived dominant social order, using insights to speak out against all kinds of domination in organizations.

A summary of the three studies and their essential features is provided in Table 1.

#### Insert Table 1 about here

Table 1. Three example studies on practitioners' roles in the recruitment of migrants

It is important to stress that in presenting these three paradigmatic traditions, we recognise that it risks essentializing complex research traditions, foregrounding some features above others to generate an operationalizable taxonomy for the purposes of the argument. Furthermore, in citing these illustrative examples, we are actively positioning these studies in those paradigmatic fields, whereas their authors may contest this enclosure into these paradigmatic traditions. We are keen to stress that these paradigmatic distinctions and illustrations are used as sensitizing devices. Our aim is to stress key features of each research approach to highlight its strength and the singularity of its contributions. We do so as a first step in arguing for a multiparadigm approach that combines distinctive views and research traditions to develop an enriched understanding of a phenomena.

#### Combining distinctive contributions

Researching intersections of organizations and migrants' integration by combining the strengths of these paradigmatic approaches presents a series of opportunities for enriched enquiry. Functionalist work may attempt to isolate and focus on clearly discernible organizational and human factors, or variables, and subsequently determine how their relative presence (or absence) impacts on migrants' integration trajectories or experiences. Arguably, the ability to specify factors and assess their impacts empirically to show causal relationships provides significant scope to translate the insights generated by such work into practice. It supports the agency of all stakeholders, migrants, managers, HR departments. However, focussing on operationalizable variables forces researchers to ignore a wide range of contextual and especially subjective elements that may also play an important role. In addition, working with a constant variable makes it difficult to acknowledge the fluid, constructed and contested nature of social reality, which is something that an interpretive study is better equipped to do. Furthermore, the potential influence of confounding variables, for example, abstract societal discourses, are difficult if not impossible to integrate within an empirically-driven exercise, when it is quite commonly addressed in critical studies. As with each paradigm, the strength of the functionalist approach is also linked to its boundaries, which appear to be addressed by other perspectives.

Work in the interpretive paradigm is explicitly concerned with the nuances of subjective experience, sensemaking and thus the social construction of reality. In doing so, it is better able to acknowledge the role of a wide range of contextual, organizational and personal factors that may intersect in shaping human experiences, behaviours, attitudes and perceptions. The willingness to accept complexity, subjectivity and fluidity of phenomena, and the inherent locality of the knowledge that is produced can benefit from a combination with a positivist perspective that will establish the primacy of some variables. In addition, the subjective ontology aims to depict the social world the way it is experienced by the actors studied, implicitly assuming that they are the agent of their social constructions. However, adding a critical perspective, for instance by acknowledging that actors' sense-making is shaped by power relations, offers further opportunities to extend the scope and impacts of enquiry.

The critical paradigm, in its problematization of power and inequity, stresses the need for transformation and challenges embedded social norms and systems. Such work is also able and explicitly willing to account for how societal, institutional and organizational factors intersect to shape human experience. However, these features may also make this type of research the most difficult to translate into organizational actions. This is partly because organizations are inherently sites of uneven power relations, often reflecting wider societal and structural inequities, which manifest themselves in but are arguably beyond the scope of the organization. This is where critical studies can benefit from interpretive studies that access how each organizational member makes sense of a given situation and thus gives semantic tools to act upon the situation. Moreover, the concern with critique, which can be driven by a moralizing intellectualization of human experience, may restrict opportunities for collaboration between practitioners and academics. Critical enquiry may thus extend to 'critical performativity' (Wickert and Schaefer, 2015) – translational acts that try to engage practitioners in creating change. Articulating and addressing common interests between critical scholars and practitioners requires a shared lexicon and spaces of interaction necessary for constructive dialogue leading to change (Lugosi, 2020). The drive towards practical application which characterises functional approaches thus has a potentially useful role in shaping the processes and (impact) trajectories of critical, interpretive research.

In light of the distinctive insights afforded by each paradigm, a multiparadigm approach is proposed to study intersections of organizations and migrant integration. Arguably, creating research projects that incorporate the features and aspirations from across the paradigms can help to appreciate the societal, structural, institutional, organizational and subjective factors that shape migrants' integration experiences and trajectories, including how migrants' and other stakeholders interpret and construct meanings in, across and in relation to organizations. Such research can aspire to uncover problematic power relations and structurally embedded inequities while aiming to generate knowledge of drivers, mediating factors and outcomes that translate into operationalizable insights for practitioners and academics. In line with literature on multiparadigm analyses in organizational studies (Gagnon et al, 2021; Lewis and Grimes, 1999; Patel, 2017; Romani et al., 2011; Primecz et al., 2015; Schultz and Hatch, 1996), the remainder of this paper discusses the potential of utilising multiple paradigms to examine intersections of organizations and migrant integration. Advocating this line of enquiry and expressing its agenda requires us to identify a common conceptual and empirical point of reference. We propose narratives as a possible common reference point. To justify its applicability, the next section discusses how narrative approaches have been utilised in organizational research before exploring how they could be operationalized in a multiparadigm enquiry that focuses specifically on migrant integration.

#### Building on paradigmatic multiplicity with narrative approaches

#### The relevance of narrative approaches for studying migrant integration in organizations

Narrative approaches have increasingly become adopted within social sciences generally, and in organizational studies specifically (Clandinin, 2020; Czarniawska, 2004; Gabriel, 2015, 2018; Kourti, 2016; Rosile et al., 2013). Scholars have demonstrated that narratives play important roles in organizational life and some even argue that stories are so central to organizations that they could not function without them (Mitroff and Kilmann, 1975, quoted in Gabriel, 2015, p. 277). Narrative inquiries/analyses aspire to capture interpretations and meanings in organizational life. Examining narratives therefore implies adopting a storied and context sensitive view of human lives and

organizational phenomena (Clandinin, 2020; Tsoukas and Hatch, 2001), which provides new understanding of a variety of topics (e.g. Gabriel, 2015; Rosile et al., 2013; Tsoukas and Hatch, 2001). This includes visions, strategies, emotions and desires as well as cultures and identities within organizations (Essers and Tedmanson, 2014; Johansen, 2014; Johansson and Śliwa, 2016; Kourti, 2016). Telling stories is a way to make sense of events as well as of the role that one fulfils in an organization (Czarniawska, 1998). From this perspective, narrators define "who they are" by inscribing themselves in a story and they associate themselves with a group of people they would like to resemble while dissociating from others. Hence, narratives and storytelling serve to construct and deconstruct in-group and out-group boundaries (Essers and Tedmanson, 2014; Johansen, 2014; Johansen, 2014; Johansson and Śliwa, 2016; Kourti, 2016), and are, therefore, at the core of migrants' integration experiences and strategies.

Across the large varieties of narrative approaches in organizational studies, one can identify the assumption that telling stories constitute a universal phenomenon through which individuals and organizations convey meaning to events, experiences and lives. It follows that narrative modes of knowledge differ from an abstract and logico-scientific ones based on measurable data or on comparing and contrasting phenomena and categories (Bruner, 1986; quoted by Czarniawska, 2004, 18). While the latter knowledge aims at providing accurate or reliable accounts of actual events, narrative knowledge offers insight into how different people experience such events including their emotions and desires related to these.

However, as it has been documented by reviews of narrative approaches in organizational studies (Clandinin 2020; Gabriel, 2015, 2018; Rosile et al., 2013), narrative approaches also come in multiple forms giving raise to some conceptual confusion. Some scholars use 'narrative' and 'story' interchangeably while others distinguish between the two concepts, yet, not in the same way. That is, for some, a narrative requires an account with temporal chains of inter-related actions that are undertaken by actors with a purpose while a story constitutes a particular kind of narrative (Gabriel, 2018, 64; Czarniawska 2010). According to Czarniawska, a story is a narrative with a plot that brings together specific events into one meaningful whole by suggesting causal relations (Czarniawska, 2004). Yet, for others, such as Boje (2008), stories are individual verbalized accounts that relate to events in the

presence or are anticipated to be achievable in the future, while narratives are larger and more formal formations that shape our experiences into coherent and believable accounts.

Another difference relates to the level of analysis. Some address narratives at either the micro-, meso-, or macro-level (Kourti, 2016; van Hulst and Ybema, 2020); others adopt a multiple-level approach, for example, positioning individual narratives within 'meta-narratives' in the wider (social, cultural and political) context and as part of an extended networks of other events (Essers and Tedmanson, 2014; Johansen, 2014; Johansson and Śliwa, 2016; Zohar, 2019). Finally, there are ontological differences related to whether a narrative is seen to 'reflect/represent' or to 'constitute' social reality; and whether the aim of the research is to 'uncover' narratives and the underlying meaning structure or to contribute in changing these (Gabriel, 2015).

This brief glimpse into organizational scholars' use of the concept of narratives also illustrates that their use of narrative analyses/inquiry differs depending on the paradigms in which they operate, (Gabriel, 2015; Rosile et al., 2013; Clandinin, 2020) including the three paradigms that we introduced above as functionalist, interpretive and critical. The interpretive paradigm tends to dominate with its 'stories-assensemaking' approach, according to which narratives are 'sensemaking devices' (Weick, 1979, 2001) or 'cognitive maps' (Wilkins, 1984) that assist organizational actors in making sense of past or anticipated events and experiences (i.e. Czarniawska and Gagliardi, 2003; Gabriel, 2000). However, there is also a power perspective, introduced by Boje (1991, 1995) contending that organizations consist of multiple and fragmented narratives, some of which dominate while others are marginalized (Boje, 1991, 1995). Research has shown that narratives become instruments of disciplinary power (Wilkins and Ouchi, 1983) and organizational socialization (Gabriel, 1991) that serve to foreground certain events and actions while de-emphasising others (Boje, 2001); and similarly to silence and even to ridicule certain voices (Czarniawska, 2008) while legitimizing others (Brown, 2002). One also finds narrative enquiry within the positivist paradigm (Gabriel, 2018; Rosile et al. 2013). Practitioners, consultants, managers, and leaders have adopted narratives as techniques to effectively disseminate ideas, construct organizational culture and identity, and induce change (Brown et al., 2009; Gabriel 2015; Peters and Waterman, 1982).

In line with the argument of this paper, we do not position ourselves within a specific scientific paradigm since our aim is to discuss how narrative inquiry can be applied in three scientific paradigms as a way to enrich research and theorizing. We argue that this requires increased conceptual awareness and reflection upon the implication of our ontological and epistemological assumptions when doing narrative enquiries, when reporting research and when evaluating the quality of narrative research (Rosile et al., 2013). In the following sections, we discuss how and why narratives could be used in multi-paradigmatic organizational enquiry concerning migrants' integration experiences and strategies. We explain how narratives can be approached from different paradigmatic perspectives, and the methodological challenges and opportunities they present. In doing so we aim to show how the use of narratives in research incorporating several paradigms can enrich this area of enquiry.

#### Narratives through the lenses of the three paradigms

Arguably, narrative research can contribute rich and novel insights into migrants' perceptions, attitudes, behaviours and experiences in organizations. However, it requires careful considerations of the multiple ways in which the concept of narrative is applied. Consequently, it is necessary to relate narrative analysis to the ontological, epistemological, methodological assumptions within the paradigm in which it is inscribed. Therefore, the discussion follows Rosile et al. (2013) who, in line with Romani et al.'s (2011) work on the conceptualization of culture, argue that narrative analyses in several paradigms carry the potential to enrich understanding of a phenomenon by raising different questions (Rosile et al., 2013). However, while Rosile et al.'s (2013) outline six distinct approaches, the following discussion focuses on the three dominant paradigms in organization studies introduced above, providing a short overview of narrative analysis in the functionalist, interpretive and critical paradigms, which were introduced previously. The key features of these are summarized in Table 2.

#### Table 2 is about here

Table 2. Narrative studies of organizations across paradigms

From a *functionalist paradigm* perspective, narratives are conceived as representing the 'objective reality' that the researcher endeavours to capture through the confrontation or triangulation of narratives, trying to find cause-effect relations and/or trying to identify hidden structures that shape meaning making. Narratives may be seen to help identify linear relationships between organizational stories and practices. Hence, storytelling appears as a management tool that can be used to enhance organizational change, identities and practices (Brown et al., 2009). In short, they are potentially 'devices' deployed (purposefully) to enact change. Regarding migrants' integration experiences within and through organizations, questions within this paradigm can be: "Which narratives about and by migrants respectively enhance and constrain their organizational identification?" and "Can this learning be generalized?"

From an *interpretive paradigm* perspective, narratives reflect social actors' various worldviews and realities. A narrative is mostly conceived as an account of events that involves temporal chains of interrelated actions undertaken among characters with purposes, emotions and desires (Czarniawska and Gagliardi, 2003). Narrative analyses allow for exploring how various actors ascribe meaning to their experiences and construct the social reality in and through social interaction within a given contextual and situational setting. It follows that narrative analysis is applied as an analytical tool for acquiring insights into manifold subjective experiences and how these are formed within a particular organizational context. Hence, analysis is likely to focus on exploring narratives within the social and cognitive structures in which actors tell the stories. Regarding migrants' integration in and through organizations, narrative analyses in the interpretive paradigm are apt for raising question such as: "How do migrants' – as well as other organizational actors – make sense of practices of integration within particular organizations?" or "How can learning regarding migrant integration practices and experiences be transferred to other organizational contexts?"

From a *critical paradigm* perspective, narratives are not necessarily coherent sequences with an explicit plot but can also emerge as webs of meaning with the potential to become narratives when actors strategically mobilize these to pursue their interests (Boje, 2001). Hence, concurrent stories are told but

16

some narratives are more dominant and widely diffused and shared. In this perspective, analysis is likely to focus on revealing how some narratives constitute instruments of power, mostly tacitly, and how they support the hegemony of a dominant ideology and the interests of particular groups. Organizations, while being inclusive, might also set high demands regarding conformity and the internalisation of specific values, discourses and ideologies (Ortlieb et al., 2020). In addition, critical researchers aim at making audible alternative stories that are rarely heard. Regarding migrants' integration within and through organizations, narrative analysis in a critical perspective may raise questions such as: "What is the dominant narrative on the 'integrated' migrant?", "Which ideology is behind it and whose interest does it serve?", "What alternative narratives exist?", "What narratives are silenced?" and "Which narratives are heard and which are not?"

In both *interpretive and critical* approaches, narratives themselves are processes: telling stories contributes to shaping realities. In studying migrant experiences and trajectories, narratives are likely to constitute an inherent part of their integration processes. When telling a narrative, a social actor positions him or herself in relation to the story. As MacIntyre (2007: 231) argued: "I can only answer the question 'What am I to do?' if I can answer the prior question 'Of what story or stories do I find myself a part?'". In this perspective, the very act of narrating organizational practices of integration comes to constitute symbolic devices for interpreting integration experiences and developing strategies (Abkherz et al. 2017).

Moreover, both approaches perceive narratives as dynamic, continuously evolving in relation to the situational and contextual settings in which they are told. The interplay of societal, organizational and individual narratives is key in understanding the social world. When telling a narrative, individuals are likely to customize extant narratives by relating these to their own experiences and to a given time and place. Hence, narratives carry ambiguity, leaving openings for the negotiation of meaning. Several versions of one narrative might coexist.

#### Methodological challenges and opportunities of multiparadigm narrative research

Research on organizations shows that narrative approaches can create new understandings of organizational experiences and practices (Gertsen and Søderberg, 2011). For example, capturing and analysing narratives allows researchers to explore various organizational actors' subjective experiences of organizational practices of integration. This provides understanding and learning from different organizational stakeholders. Examining narratives helps to anchor practices within particular organizational and societal contexts and to compare across these. Hence, while focusing on organizational practices, a narrative approach can move inquiry beyond single organizations by examining inter-organizational experiences, i.e. how migrants engage with stakeholders from diverse institutions in and across different organizational spaces. This points to the opportunities afforded by multi-sited and comparative studies to identify and potentially distinguish between contextualized and universal issues shaping migrants' integration experiences. Finally, narrative approaches are likely to provide thick descriptions, helping to communicate research findings through "tools that merge subjective and objective forms of data collection and analysis" (Dundon and Ryan, 2009, quoted in Rosile et al., 2013, p. 558).

The collection and identification of particular types of narratives raise a number of methodological challenges. Cultural factors may strongly influence where and how researchers and participants can conduct interviews (Guttormsen, 2018). Language ability is also likely to shape the relationship between interviewers and interviewees, including researchers' ability to ask questions and migrants' capacities to narrate experiences in a chosen common language. Research may adopt narrative and/or open, life-history methods, encouraging contributors to tell their stories with minimal prompting. This type of approach to eliciting data shifts the analytical responsibility on the researchers and the processes of coding and ordering, through which they can identify insightful narratives regarding learning or access to work, for example. However, if the objective of empirical research is to generate what may be termed 'instructive' reflective narratives around transformational moments, which can be used in future developmental initiatives, this may require the use of semi-structured interview formats and specific elicitation techniques. These data creation strategies may involve foregrounding practical concepts e.g.

'challenges', 'resolutions' or 'learning', or latent sensitizing concepts e.g. 'threshold moments' when recruiting and briefing migrant participants, and in prompts during interviews. This raises the potential criticism that acts of psychological priming and framing thus anticipate certain forms of narratives, whilst risking the exclusion of alternative narratives or interpretations of events by respondents (Lugosi, 2017). It is also important to acknowledge the role of power between the interviewer and interviewee. Migrants may feel obliged to adopt or follow the storylines of socially legitimate narratives on integration when talking to researchers from the 'host' society. The risks posed by these methodological challenges must be fully acknowledged and, where possible, their impacts should be negated to legitimize data-generation choices.

#### Conclusions and implications for research and practice

The multiparadigm perspective proposed here enables inquiry to overcome narrow, segmented lenses used to study integration experiences, trajectories and strategies. Utilizing multiple approaches in combination may help to identify interdependencies and webs of entanglements between different dimensions of integration. However, as reflected in Figure 1, conducting multiparadigm enquiry requires researchers to apply distinct paradigmatic approaches in parallel (Lewis and Grimes, 1999) before their insight can be cross-fertilized to avoid unreflective paradigm mixing (Hassard and Kelemen, 2002). The strategic generation, analysis and cross-fertilization of narratives in multiparadigm research, can provide rich insights into subjective experiences, which avoids reductionist conceptions of migrants' integration journeys or outcomes, whilst generating information that may be used to develop practical interventions.

#### Insert Figure 1 about here

Figure 1. Conceptualising a multiparadigm narrative approach to studying migrant integration

#### Implications for research

Incorporating a critical paradigm perspective in empirical enquiry enables researchers to question the notion of integration itself, placing it at the heart of power struggles between stakeholders. This perspective can help to: identify dominant narratives on integration at the societal and organizational levels; examine how they are imposed on migrants by various actors and institutions; explore what strategies migrants adopt in response to these narratives; and evaluate what kind of resistance and counter-narratives are produced. From this paradigmatic perspective, emphasizing the narratives of "dominated individuals", voicing usually unheard producers of alternative narratives, is a first step towards social change and larger inclusion. For instance, narratives are powerful tools for denouncing discrimination in the workplace and making stakeholders aware of the need to make organizational practices more equitable. Narratives epitomize social inequalities and convincingly encapsulate and convey analyses that deconstruct systems that entrench discriminatory behaviours.

Complementing critical perspectives with interpretive ones, which give voices to multiple stakeholders, enables research to broaden knowledge about how integration unfolds in practice. Research can capture the diverse range of experiences amongst migrants, and other organizational stakeholders, including coworkers, mentors, case workers, state body representatives, whom they encounter during their settlement process and engagement with the labour market. By bringing together the narratives of multiple actors, research can aim at detailing organizational practices shaping integration trajectories and the integration strategies that are adopted. It can highlight the various meanings of organizational practices that contribute to social integration, the latter being eventually defined differently depending on the stakeholders.

Narratives may be used to identify higher-order, abstract themes about the dynamics of intercultural encounters, for example, whether they are bound to notions of conflict or hospitality that emerge in a societal context. Theoretically, these accounts can provide insights into how existing social and cultural discourses are mobilized during narrated encounters, and in the subsequent processes of reflective sensemaking. Contextualization enables further explorations of what meanings of integration underlie narratives and which practices they reference. For example, it can help to question whether integration

is mainly perceived as one-way internalisation of and compliance with dominant discourses, or as twoway negotiation requiring adjustments from all stakeholders and the emergence of new hybridized organizational practices. Research can thus critically examine the role of organizations in the representations of ideal trajectories of integration in receiving countries, which echo specific societal models of integration.

#### Implications for practice and impact-focused enquiry

Incorporating a functionalist, impact-focused approach in multiparadigm enquiry suggests that research can enrich knowledge by providing details of practices underpinning successful, sustainable integration strategies (Dietrich and Hellgren, 2018). Research can endeavour to find common ground among protagonists' narratives to identify emblematic stories of contextualized good practices of migrant integration. Migrants' reflective accounts offer ways for them to narrate the challenges they encountered, how those situations were managed, in practice, and what they learned about the 'host' culture, and about themselves that could be helpful to others. It may be useful to focus on transformational, 'threshold-crossing' experiences, including the actors involved and the situational factors, which help to understand 'social dramas' in their socio-cultural contexts.

Viewed from a functionalist perspective, narratives can thus be conceptualised and deployed in actionoriented research as 'learning devices'. Success stories identified through analysis adopting a functionalist perspective could be used to communicate the learning associated with migrants' experiences and their engagement with individuals from 'host' communities, other migrants and organizations. Such success stories will have different applications for disparate audiences. 'Impactful' stories could potentially support migrants' socialization into 'host' cultures through transmission of their codes in a more meaningful way than with traditional teaching methods. Migrants' narratives may offer inspiring role models and help newcomers to consider their integration journey in more concrete and 'realistic' ways. For the people working with migrants, including coaches, managers, and colleagues, narratives concerning 'what works' (or does not) can create bridges and mutual learning, which enables the development of management practices that facilitate effective integration experiences in organizations.

#### References

- Abkhezr P McMahon M Glasheen, K Campbell M (2018) Finding voice through narrative storytelling:An exploration of the career development of young African females with refugee backgrounds.*Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 105: 17-30.
- Ager A & Strang A (2008) Understanding integration: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 21(2): 166-191.
- Al Ariss A Vassilopoulou J Özbilgin MF & Gamed A (2013) Understanding career experiences of skilled minority ethnic workers in France and Germany. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(6): 1236-1256.
- Almeida S Waxin, M-F & Paradies Y (2018) Cultural capital of recruitment decision-makers and its influence on their perception of person-organisation fit of skilled migrants. *International Migration*, 57(1): 318-334.
- Berger PL & Luckmann T (1969) *The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge*. Garden City: Anchor.
- Bleijenbergh I Booysen L & Mills A (2018) The challenges and outcomes of critical diversity scholarship. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 13(3): 206-217.
- Boje DM (1991) The storytelling organization: A study of story performance in an office supply firm. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(1): 106-126.

Boje DM (2001) Narrative methods for organizational and communication research. London: Sage.

Boje DM (2008) Storytelling organizations. London: Sage.

- Brannen MY & Salk JE (2000) Partnering across borders: Negotiating organizational culture in a German-Japanese joint venture. *Human Relations*, 53(4), 451-487.
- Brettell CB & Hollifield JF (Eds.) (2021) *Migration theory: Talking across disciplines*, 5th ed. London: Routledge.

- Brown AD (2002) Narrative, politics and legitimacy in an implementation. *Journal of Management Studies*, 35(1): 35–58.
- Brown AD Gabriel Y & Gherardi, S (2009) Storytelling and change: An unfolding story. *Organization*, 16(3): 323-333.

Bruner J (1986) Actual minds, possible worlds. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

- Burrell G & Morgan G (1979) Sociological paradigms and organisational analysis. London: Heinemann.
- Caringal-Go, J & Canoy N (2019) Hearing narrative voices of Filipino social enterprise employees. Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management, 14(2): 154-171.
- Cerdin JL Diné MA & Brewster C (2014) Qualified immigrants' success: Exploring the motivation to migrate and to integrate. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 45(2): 151-168.
- Clandinin J (2020) Journeys in narrative inquiry. The selected works of D. Jean Clandinin. London: Routledge.
- Cooperrider D & Srivastva S (2017) The gift of new eyes: Personal reflections after 30 years of appreciative inquiry in organizational life: Appreciative inquiry in organizational life. In: Shani,A. & Noumair, D. A. (Eds.) *Research in organizational change and development*. Bingley Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 81-142.

Czarniawska B (1998) A narrative approach to organization studies. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Czarniawska B (2004) Narratives in social science research. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

- Czarniawska B (2008) Humiliation: A standard organizational product?. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19(7): 1034–1053.
- Czarniawska B (2010) The uses of narratology in social and policy studies. *Critical Policy Studies*, 4(1): 58-76.

- Czarniawska B & Gagliardi P (2003) Narratives we organize by. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Dietrich A & Hellgren H (2018) Organizing Labour Market Integration of Foreign-born Persons in the Gothenburg Metropolitan Area (GRI Rapport 2018:3). Gothenburg: Gothenburg Research Institute.
- d'Iribarne P (2009) National cultures and organisations in search of a theory: An interpretative approach. International Journal of Cross Cultural Management, 9(3): 309–321.
- d'Iribarne P Chevrier S Henry A Segal JP & Tréguer-Felten G (2020) Cross-cultural management revisited: A qualitative approach. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Donaldson L (2003) Organization theory as a positive science. In: Tsoukas, H. & Knudsen C. (Eds.) *The Oxford handbook of organization theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 39–62.
- Dundon T & Ryan P (2009) The qualitative research interview: Fashioning respondent affinity. Journal of Management Research, 1(1): 1-12.
- Dunlop SL & Ward P (2015) Narrated photography: Visual representations of the sacred among young Polish migrants in England. *Fieldwork in Religion*, 9(1): 30-52.
- Essers C & Tedmanson D (2014) Upsetting 'Others' in the Netherlands: Narratives of Muslim Turkish migrant businesswomen at the crossroads of ethnicity, gender and religion. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 21(4): 353-367.
- Gabriel Y (1991) On organizational stories and myths: Why it is easier to slay a dragon than to kill a myth. *International Sociology*, 6(4): 427–442.

Gabriel Y (2000) Storytelling in organizations. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gabriel Y (2015) Narratives and stories in organizational life. In: De Fina, A. & Georgeakopoulos, A.(Eds.) *The handbook of narrative analysis*. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell pp. 273-295.

- Gabriel Y (2018) Stories and narratives. In: Cassell, C. Cunliffe, A.L. & Grandy, G. (Eds). *The Sage handbook of qualitative business and management research methods. Methods and challenges*. London: Sage, pp. 63-81.
- Gagnon S Augustin TJ & Cukier W (2021) Interplay for change in equality, diversity and inclusion studies. *Human Relations*, Online first, DOI: 00187267211002239.
- Geertz C (1973) The interpretation of cultures. New York: Basic Books Publishers.
- Gertsen MC & Søderberg AM (2011) Intercultural collaboration stories: On narrative inquiry and analysis as tools for research in international business. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(6): 787-804.
- Gertsen MC & Zølner M (2020) Interpretive approaches to culture: What is interpretive cross-cultural management research?, In: Szkudlarek, B. Romani, L. Caprar D.V. & Osland J. S. (Eds.) *The SAGE handbook of contemporary cross-cultural management*. London: Sage, pp. 34-50.
- Glaser B & Strauss A (2006) *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*, 4<sup>th</sup>Ed. New Brunswick and London: Aldine Transaction.
- Golnaraghi G & Dye K (2016) Discourses of contradiction: A postcolonial analysis of Muslim women and the veil. *International Journal of Cross-Cultural Management*, 16(2): 137-152.
- Golnaraghi G & Mills AJ (2017) Diversity discourses and corporate Canada: Unveiling images of the Muslim woman at work. In Mahadevan J. & C.-H. Mayer C. H. (Eds.) *Muslim minorities, workplace diversity and reflexive HRM*. London: Taylor and Francis, pp. 141-161.
- Grosskopf S & Barmeyer C (2021) Learning from multi-paradigmatic sensitivity in cross-cultural management? Empirical and theoretical considerations. *International Journal of Cross-Cultural Management*, Online first, DOI:10.1177/14705958211019437.
- Guo C & Al Ariss A (2015) Human resource management of international migrants: Current theories and future research. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(10): 1287-1297.

- Guttormsen DSA (2018) Advancing otherness and othering of the cultural other during 'intercultural encounters' in cross-cultural management research. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 48(3): 314-332.
- de Fina A & Tseng A (2017) Narrative in the study of migrants. In: Canagarajah, S. (Ed.) *The Routledge handbook of migration and language*. London: Routledge, pp. 381-396.
- Hajro A Stahl GK Clegg CC & Lazarova BM (2019) Acculturation, coping, and integration success of international skilled migrants: An integrative review and multilevel framework. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 29(3): 328–352.
- Hatch MJ & Yanow D (2003) Organization theory as an interpretive science. In: Tsoukas, H. & Knudsen, C. (Eds.) *The Oxford handbook of organization theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 63-87.
- Janta H, Lugosi P Brown L & Ladkin A (2012) Migrant networks, language learning and tourism employment. *Tourism Management*, 33(2): 431-439.
- Johansen TS (2014) Researching collective identity through stories and antestories. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 9(4): 332-350.
- Johansson M & Śliwa M (2016) 'It is English and there is no alternative': Intersectionality, language and social/organizational differentiation of Polish migrants in the UK. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 23(3): 296-309.
- Kourti I (2016) Using personal narratives to explore multiple identities in organisational contexts. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 11(3): 169-188.
- Landes A & Barmeyer C (2018) Akteure der Integration. Die Rolle von Unternehmen im interkulturellen Integrationsprozess – eine Fallstudie. *ZfO – Zeitschrift für Führung + Organisation*, 87(5): 337– 342.

- Lee ES Szkudlarek B Nguyen DC & Nardon L (2020) Unveiling the canvas ceiling: A multidisciplinary literature review of refugee employment and workforce integration. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 22(2): 193-216.
- Lewis MW & Grimes AI (1999) Metatriangulation: Building theory from multiple paradigms. *Academy* of Management Review, 24(4): 672-690.
- Lincoln Y & Guba E (2006) Judging the quality of case study reports. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 3(1): 53-59.
- Lincoln Y Lynham S & Guba E (2018) Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences, revisited. In: Denzin, N. & Lincoln, Y. (Eds.) *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*, 5th ed., Thousand Oaks: Sage, pp. 213-263.
- Lugosi P (2017) Using abstract concepts in impact-focussed organisational research: An empirical example deploying 'hospitality'. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 12(1): 18-34.
- Lugosi P (2020) Developing and publishing interdisciplinary research: Creating dialogue, taking risks. *Hospitality and Society*, 10(2): 217-230.
- Lugosi P Janta H & Wilczek, B (2016) Work(ing) dynamics of migrant networking among Poles employed in hospitality and food production. *The Sociological Review*, 64(4): 894-911.
- Luhman J (2019) Reimagining organizational storytelling research as archeological story analysis. Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management, 14(1): 43-54.
- MacIntyre A (2007) *After virtue: A study in moral theory*, 3rd ed.. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Mahadevan J & Kilian-Yasin K (2016) Dominant discourse, Orientalism and the need for reflexive HRM: Skilled Muslim migrants in the German context. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(8): 1140-1162.

- Nardon L Zhang H Szkudlarek B & Gulanowski D (2021) Identity work in refugee workforce integration: The role of newcomer support organizations. *Human Relations*, 74(12): 1994-2020.
- Olakivi A (2020) The problematic recruitment of migrant labor: A relational perspective on the agency of care work managers. *Current Sociology*, 68(3): 333-352.
- Ortlieb R Glauninger E & Weiss S (2020) Organizational inclusion and identity regulation: How inclusive organizations form 'Good', 'Glorious' and 'Grateful' refugees. *Organization*, 8(2): 266 –288.
- Ortega-Alcazar I & Dyck I (2012) Migrant narratives of health and well-being: Challenging 'othering' processes through photo-elicitation interviews. *Critical Social Policy*, 32(1): 106-125.
- Patel T (2017) Muliparadigmatic studies of culture: Needs, challenges, and recommendations for management scholars. *European Management Review*, 14: 83-100.

Peters T & Waterman RH (1982) In Search of Excellence. New York: Harper and Row.

- Pfeffer J (1993) Barriers to the advance of organizational science: Paradigm development as a dependent variable. *Academy of Management Review*, 18(4): 599-620.
- Primecz H (2020) Positivist, constructivist and critical approaches to international human resource management and some future directions. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(2): 124-147.
- Primecz H Romani L & Topçu K. (2015) A multi-paradigm analysis of cross-cultural encounters. In: Holden, N., Michailova, S. & Tietze, S (Eds.) *Routledge companion to cross-cultural Management*, London: Routledge, pp. 431-440.
- Primecz H Mahadevan J & Romani L (2016) Why is cross-cultural management scholarship blind to power relations? Investigating ethnicity, language, gender and religion in power-laden contexts. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 16(2): 127-136.
- Risberg A & Romani L (2021) Underemploying highly skilled migrants: An organizational logic protecting corporate 'normality'. *Human Relations*, Online first, DOI: 0018726721992854.

- Romani L Barmeyer C Primecz H & Pilhofer K (2018a) Cross-cultural management studies: State of the field in the four research paradigms. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 48(3): 247-263.
- Romani L Holck L & Risberg A (2019) Benevolent discrimination: Explaining how human resources professionals can be blind to the harm of diversity initiatives. *Organization*, 26(3): 371-390.
- Romani L Mahadevan J. & Primecz, H (2018b) Critical cross-cultural management: Outline and emerging contributions. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 48(4): 403-418.
- Romani L & Primecz H (2019) Promoting and demystifying paradigm interplay: Reflexive practices on a study of Turkish mobile professionals. *Studi di Sociologia*, 57(1): 31-44.
- Romani L Primecz H & Topçu, K (2011) Paradigm interplay for theory development: A methodological example with the Kulturstandard method. *Organizational Research Methods*, 14(3): 432-455.
- Romani L Mahadevan J & Primecz H (2020) Methods of critical cross-cultural management. In: Szkudlarek, B., Romani, L., Caprar, D. & Osland, J. (Eds.) SAGE handbook of contemporary cross-cultural management. London: Sage, pp. 141–155.
- Rosile GA Boje DM Carlon DM Downs A & Saylors R (2013) Storytelling diamond: An antenarrative integration of the six facets of storytelling in organization research design. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16 (4): 557-580.
- Schultz M & Hatch MJ (1996) Living with multiple paradigms: The case of paradigm interplay in organizational culture studies. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(2): 529-557.
- Syed J (2008), Employment prospects for skilled migrants: A relational perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*, 18(1), 28-45.
- Syed J. & Özbilgin M (2009) A relational framework for international transfer of diversity management practices. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20 (12): 2435-2453.
- Syed J & Pio E (2010) Veiled diversity: Workplace experiences of Muslim women in Australia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 27(1): 115-137.

- Tsoukas H & Hatch MJ (2001) Complex thinking, complex practice: The case for a narrative approach to organizational complexity. *Human Relations*, 54(8): 979-1013.
- Van Hulst M & Ybema S (2020) From what to where: A setting-sensitive approach to organizational storytelling. *Organization Studies*, 41(3): 365-391.

Weick KE (1979) The social psychology of organizing. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Weick KE (2001). Making sense of the organization. Oxford: Blackwell.

- Wilkins AL & Ouchi WG (1983) Efficient cultures: Exploring the relationship between culture and organizational performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 28(3): 468–481.
- Wilkins AL (1984) The creation of company cultures: The role of stories in human resource systems. *Human Resource Management*, 23(1): 41–60.
- Wickert C & Schaefer S (2015) Towards a progressive understanding of performativity in critical management studies. *Human Relations*, 8(1): 107-130.
- Zanoni P Janssens M Benschop Y & Nkomo S (2010) Guest editorial: Unpacking diversity, grasping inequality: Rethinking difference through critical perspectives. *Organization*, 17(1): 9-29.
- Zohar G (2019) Institutionalization of the OECD grand-narrative of inclusivity (1983-2012). *Qualitative Research in Organization and Management*, 14(2): 94-114.

	Functionalist	Interpretive	Critical
Study	Almeida <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Olakivi (2020)	Romani <i>et al.</i> (2019)
Research aim	Discover the causes of	Analyse the interpretive	Explore how human
	relatively low success rate	patterns of care work	resources professionals
	of international migrants	managers struggling with	do not see that the
	from non-English-	agency and structural	diversity measures they
	speaking countries in	constraints to justify	initiate can contribute to
	Australia in finding	practices in the	the reproduction of
	employment that matches	recruitment of migrants.	inequalities.
	their skills.		
Methodology	Sequential mixed methods	Semi-structured	Semi-structured and
	(survey followed by semi-	interviews based on a	unstructured interviews
	structured interviews).	relational approach.	alongside spontaneous
			conversations within a
			critical ethnography.
Data analysis	Hypothesis testing of	Manual content analysis	Reflexive approach to
	quantitative data; iterative	guided by the question: with what relational	inductive open coding,
	open coding and mind mapping for visualization		then axial coding.
	of qualitative data in	resources are managers able to manage positive	
	support of quantitative	impressions of the	
	findings.	recruitment of migrant	
	mungs.	workers in a cultural	
		context where such	
		recruitment is politically	
		contested?	
Key findings	The quantitative part	The article portrays the	HR managers are blind to
v U	confirms the negative	managers' agency as	their role in the
	impacts of low levels of	open to relationally	continuation of
	understanding of cultures	changing interpretations.	discrimination
	and concerns for person-	The result of the study is	of migrants, principally
	organization fit by	that the relationally	because they are willing
	recruiters.	changing interpretations	to help them.
	The qualitative part	can serve many	
	reveals the organizational	functions, including care	
	and individual factors that	work managers'	
	influenced negative	impression management	
	impacts on their	in different situations	
	perceptions:	and, ultimately, the	
	organisational cultural	recruitment of migrant	
	values, type of	workers to (precarious) old-age care.	
	organization, decision- makers' exposure to	olu-age cale.	
	diverse cultures and their		
	personal and work		
	experience.		
Contribution	The article provides a list	The article shows how	The article uncovers
2 0111 IN WHOM	of factors influencing the	recruiters switch	benevolent
	negative perceptions of	between managers'	discrimination as a subtle
	negative perceptions of		
			and structural form of
	recruitment decision- makers of the fit between	agency and structural constraints to serve their	

Table 1. Three example studies on practitioners' roles in the recruitment of migrants

	their organization. It concludes by recommending 'impact- focused' cross-cultural management training and diversity management policies to develop the cultural capital of decision-makers and change their attitudes towards skilled applicants.		because it frames their action as positive, in solidarity with the patronized 'other' who is helped, and within a hierarchical order that is taken for granted. It contributes to a better understanding of the paradoxical outcomes of diversity management
Boundaries	Adopts essentialist view of the cultural backgrounds of skilled migrants and local recruiters; ignores contextual dimensions, as defined and isolated variables are examined.	Only one stakeholder's point of view is represented. Narrow focus on care worker managers, who do not represent other migrants' employers. Accordingly, not large number of perspectives, which limits transferability.	initiatives. Uncovers critical situations and grievances, but solutions are not given, and consequently offers limited help to decision makers.

Source: Almeida et al. (2018), Olakivi (2020), Romani et al. (2019)

Table 2. Narrative studies of organizations across paradigms

	Functionalist	Interpretive	Critical
Views on ontology	Narratives constitute a single reality. Narratives identify emblematic stories of contextualized "good practices".	Narratives reflect multiple, contested, evolving realities. Narratives constitute, carry and construct sensemaking.	Narratives constitute and reflect multiple, power- laden realities as the perspective of the narrator is always embedded in a power position.
Goals	Research seeks to capture cause and effect relationships resulting in (positive) change.	Research aspires to acquire insight into individual and collective sensemaking, including conflicts and contradictions.	Research aspires to unmask hegemonic narratives and create spaces to voice marginalized experiences/ experiences of marginalization.
Contributions	Narratives provide insights on possible causal relationships. Narratives are tools for communication and management, which can help stimulate organizational change and/or continuity.	Narratives are renewed in the process of telling and that gives insight into sensemaking processes in the organization.	Narratives are instruments for overcoming essentialization, challenging inequality, and facilitating resistance.
Scholarly illustrations	Cooperrider and Srivastava (2017)	Gabriel (2000), Czarniawska and Gagliardi (2003)	Boje (2001)

Source: Authors' development

Figure 1. Conceptualising a multiparadigm narrative approach to studying migrant integration

A companyition a	Functionalist paradigm	Interpretive paradigm	Critical paradigm
Assumptions Different ontological and epistemological perspectives	Capture 'apprehendable' factors and their relations; identify causes and effects	Interpret multiple realities and constructed meanings	Expose power-laden realities, conflicts and inequities
Methods and data Common empirical focus	-Narratives of selves, others and organisations (in their wider context) -Stories of migrant integration experiences, challenges and resolutions -Actors, plots, dramas and their outcomes		
Analysis Distinct insights facilitating cross- fertilization of ideas	Detect and assess processes and practices leading to positive integration journeys and outcomes	Explore and interpret the forms and significance of subjective integration journeys and outcomes	Identify problematic power relations, structures and practices shaping integration journeys and outcomes
Apprehension and action Conceptions and avenues to impact	Design and deployment of practical interventions supporting integration pathways	Understanding of diverse integration experiences, pathways and consequences	Critical appraisal of embedded systems and practices shaping integration pathways

Source: Authors' development