

Isolation and Characterization of Compounds from Ochreinauclea maingayi (Hook. f.) Ridsd. (Rubiaceae) with the Aid of LCMS/MS Molecular Networking

Norfaizah Osman, Azeana Zahari, Hazrina Hazni, Wan Nurul Nazneem Wan Othman, Nurulfazlina Edayah Rasol, Nor Hadiani Ismail, Pierre Champy, Mehdi Beniddir, Marc Litaudon, Khalijah Awang

▶ To cite this version:

Norfaizah Osman, Azeana Zahari, Hazrina Hazni, Wan Nurul Nazneem Wan Othman, Nurulfazlina Edayah Rasol, et al.. Isolation and Characterization of Compounds from Ochreinauclea maingayi (Hook. f.) Ridsd. (Rubiaceae) with the Aid of LCMS/MS Molecular Networking. Separations, 2023, 10 (2), pp.74. 10.3390/separations10020074. hal-04127296

HAL Id: hal-04127296 https://hal.science/hal-04127296v1

Submitted on 13 Jun2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Article

Isolation and Characterization of Compounds from Ochreinauclea maingayi (Hook. f.) Ridsd. (Rubiaceae) with the aid of LCMS/MS Molecular Networking.

Norfaizah Osman¹, Azeana Zahari^{1,2*,} Hazrina Hazni^{1,2}, Wan Nurul Nazneem Wan Othman³, Nurulfazlina Edayah Rasol³, Nor Hadiani Ismail³, Pierre Champy⁴, Mehdi A. Benniddir⁴, Marc Litaudon⁵ and Khalijah Awang^{1,2*}.

- Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Centre for Natural Products Research and Drug Discovery (CENAR), Universiti Malaya 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Atta-ur-Rahman Institute for Natural Product Discovery, Universiti Teknologi MARA Puncak Alam Campus, Bandar Puncak Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
- Équipe "Chimie des Substances Naturelles" BioCIS, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 5 Rue J.-B. Clément, 92290 Châtenay-Malabry, France
- ⁵ Institut de Chemie des Substances Naturalles, CNRS, ICSN UPR 2301, Universite Paris-Sud, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- * Correspondence: azeanazahari@um.edu.my (A.Z); khalijah@um.edu.my (K.A)
- ; Tel.: +603-79674064 ext 2522(A.Z)

Abstract: Phytochemical investigation of the dichloromethane crude extract of bark of Ochreinauclea 18 maingayi with the aid of LCMS/MS based molecular networking guided isolation and accelerated 19 elucidation of known and new indole alkaloids. Molecular networking analysis produces two main 20 clusters, 41 non-prioritized clusters and self-loop nodes. Each cluster has several nodes which depict 21 the fractions contained within those nodes. Implementation of a fraction mapping for each nodes 22 represented the molecular weight and key fragment data of each compound. From the analysis of 23 each cluster and nodes, we can deduce the indole alkaloids is scaffold of interest. Indole scaffold 24 can be found between F5-F10 that contain several types of indole alkaloids. In total we have suc-25 cessfully purified nine indole alkaloids; 9H-β-carboline-4-carboxylate 2, norharmane 3, harmane 4, 26 naucledine 10, neonaucline 15, 1,2,3,4-tetranorharmane-1-one 16, naulafine 19, dihyrodegly-27 cocadambine 7, cadambine 9 and new monoterpene indole alkaloid 7 deglycocadambine from F5-28 F10 using chromatography technique. Their structures were confirmed by 1D-NMR, 2D-NMR, UV, 29 IR, LCMS and MS2LDA. Several clusters and nodes contained ions could not be annotated thus 30 suggesting that they may possess novel compounds that are yet to be discovered. 31

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Azeana Zahari and Khalijah Awang

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Keywords: indole alkaloid; molecular networking; dereplication; Rubiaceae; Ochreinaculea maingayi 32

33

34

1. Introduction

Natural product studies usually deal with samples of complex chemical mixtures. 35 Isolation and structural elucidation of the metabolites within these mixtures remain tedi-36 ous and time-consuming despite the advanced performance of modern chromatographic 37 and analytical technologies. Dereplication is one of the strategies to prioritize metabolites 38 by having efficient isolation and elucidation using LC-MS/MS based molecular network-39 ing (MN). Using the literature and annotated molecular network as a guide, it signifi-40 cantly reduces the cost of drug discovery pipeline by increasing the speed of isolation of 41 new and known compounds. Hence in this study, we describe the use of a targeted sub-42 structure-informed molecular networking screening approach to detect indole alkaloid 43 (IA) scaffold and predict the molecular structure of possible compounds present. IA are 44



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

biosynthesized from tryptophan which undergo decarboxylation to form tryptamine. Fol-45 low by, Mannich reaction of latter and secologanin to produce strictosidine, which serves 46 as the precursor in the production of indole alkaloids. [1, 2]. IA can be found in Apoc-47 ynaceae, Icacinaceae, Nyssaceae, Gelsemiaceae, Loganiceae and Rubiaceae which have 48 been known to exhibit various bioactivities [3-5]. In the framework of scientific collabora-49 tion between ICSN and Universiti Malaya, our laboratories have embarked on a project to 50 find IA scaffold using MN from Ochreinauclea maingayi which belongs to the genus of 51 Ochreinauclea (Rubiaceae). Throughout the world, there are only two species; O. maingayi 52 and O. missionis which is understudied in phytochemical analysis [6, 7]. O. maingayi is a 53 commercial timber that mostly distributed in Peninsular Malaysia, Borneo, Sumatra and 54 Thailand [8, 9]. 55

To execute this strategy, first, the LC-MS/MS data of crude and fractions were ac-56 quired then .RAW data LC-MS/MS were converted to .mzXML format using MSConvert 57 (http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net). Every .mzXML file will be processed using 58 MZmine 2 v53 to produce .mgf data [10]. The .mgf preclustered spectral data file and its 59 corresponding.csv metadata file (for RT and integration) were exported and submit for 60 GNPS (Global Natural Product Social Molecular Networking). Next, a molecular network 61 will be constructed using a minimum cosine score value of 0.6 and network topK of 10 62 with six match fragment ions which indicate similarity in MS/MS spectra. The generated 63 molecular network can be view and analyzed using Cytoscape (ver 3.8.2). To further prove 64 this analysis, MS2LDA decomposes fragmentation spectra into blocks of co-occurring 65 fragments and losses, referred to as "Mass2Motifs"[11]. 66

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General experimental procedures

Analytical and preparative TLC was carried out on Merck 60 F254 silica gel plates 69 (absorbent thickness: 0.25 and 0.50 mm, respectively). Column chromatography (CC) was 70 performed using silica gel (Merck 230-400 mesh, ASTM). IR spectra were recorded using 71 a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 400 FT-IR Spectrometer. NMR spectra were acquired in CDCl₃, C5D5N and CD3OD (Merck, Germany) with tetramethyl silane as internal standard (Merck, Germany) using BRUKER Avance III 400 and BRUKER Avance III 600 spectrom-74 eters. The LC/MS profiling were identified using UHPLC-Orbitrap MS. Nitrogen was 75 used as the sheath and auxiliary gas at flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The optimum source 76 (ESI) condition was spray voltage 3500V (4.5) and 2500V (3.7) kV for positive and negative 77 respectively, S-Lens RF Level at 55.00, ESI capillary temperature 325 °C and probe heater 78 temperature 40 °C. UV spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu 1650 PC UV-Vis Spec-79 trophotometer. An AP-300 Automatic Polarimeter was used to measure optical rotations. 80 All solvents were of analytical grade and were distilled prior to use. 81

2.2 Plant material

The stem bark of O. maingayi was collected from Ulu Sat Reserve Forest, Machang, Kelantan, Malaysia. The plant was identified by Prof. Colin E. Ridsdale (Leiden University, Netherland) and a voucher specimen (KL 5595) is deposited with the Universiti Malaya herbarium.

2.3 Extraction

Dried and powdered stem barks of O. maingayi (2.0 kg) were extracted by cold ex-90 traction procedure using three different solvents; hexane, dichloromethane (CH2Cl2) and 91 methanol (CH₃OH) respectively to give three different crude extracts depending on the 92 polarity of compounds. The dried grounded stem bark of O. maingayi were first defatted 93 with hexane (10 L) for three days at room temperature. The resulting slurry was filtered, 94 and the residual plant material was moistened with 25% ammonia solution (1 L) and left 95

67 68

72 73

82 83 84

85

86

87 88

for 2 hours to aggregate the nitrogen containing compounds in the plant. The basified 96 residual plant material was then successively re-extracted with dichloromethane CH₂Cl₂ 97 (15 L, 3×). CH₂Cl₂ extract was repeatedly extracted with a solution of 5% hydrochloric acid 98 (0.5 L, 1×) until it gave a negative result for the Mayer's test. It was next basified with 25% 99 ammonia solution to about pH 11 and re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 L, 1×) to yield 10 g of 100 dark brown gummy CH₂Cl₂ crude. The CH₂Cl₂ extract (10 g) was subjected to silica gel 101 column chromatography (CC), eluted with CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH (100: 1 to 1: 100, v/v) yielded 10 fractions.

2.3 Purification of compounds

15 (3.2 mg, CH2Cl2: CH3OH; 99:1) and 16 (2.2 mg, CH2Cl2: CH3OH; 99:1) were ob-106 tained from F5 after purified using PTLC. Meanwhile F6 yielded 19 (2.8 mg, CH2Cl2: 107 CH3OH; 99:1) using PTLC. F7 was subjected to microcolumn eluted with CH2Cl2/CH3OH 108 (100: 1 to 1: 100, v/v) to give 5 fraction and then fraction 5(1) was purified with PTLC to 109 obtained 3 (1.6 mg, CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 99:1) and 4 (3.5 mg, CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 99:1). F8 was 110 purified to afford compound 2 (2.3 mg, CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 98:2) by PTLC. 10 (3.6 mg, 111 CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 95:5) was obtained after purification by PTLC of F9. Purification of F10 112 by PTLC yielded 7 (6.0 mg, CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 94:6) and 9 (110.0 mg, CH₂Cl₂: CH₃OH; 94:6) 113 respectively.

2.4 Data dependent LCMS analysis, FBMN, and MS2LDA parameters

2.4.1 Data dependent LCMS Orbitrap MS/MS analysis

For LC-MS analysis, the crude extracts were dissolved in LC-MS grade MeOH at a 118 concentration 0.1mg/mL. Analyses were performed on a Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid. Samples were injected and chromatographically separated on Accucore TM 120 Vanquish C_{18} + Dim. (2.1 x 100 mm) at a temperature 40°C with an injection volume of 1.0 121 μ L. Separation was achieved with a binary LC solvent system controlled by Mobile phase 122 A consisted of 99.9% water/0.1% formic acid (LC/MS grade) and mobile phase B contained 123 100% MeOH (LC/MS grade), which were pumped at a rate 0.250mL/min. 124

2.4.2 Feature-Based Molecular Networking

The MS2 data files were converted from the.raw (Orbitrap) standard data format to 126 .mzXML format using MSConvert Software, part of the ProteoWizard package [22]. All 127 .mzXML were then processed using MZmine 2 v53 [10]. The mass detection was realized 128 keeping the noise level at 5.0E5 at MS1 and at 5.0E4 at MS2. The ADAP chromatogram 129 builder was used using a minimum group size of scan of 5, a group intensity threshold of 130 3.0E5, a minimum highest intensity 3.0E5, and m/z tolerance of 0.001 Da (or 5ppm). The 131 ADAP wavelets deconvolution algorithm was used with the following standard setting: 132 Chromatographic threshold=1%, search minimum in RT range min =0.30, minimum rela-133 tive height =1%, minimum absolute height=5.0E5, minimum ratio peak top/edge=2 and 134 peak duration range (min)= 0 to 2 min. Isotopologues were grouped using the isotopic 135 peaks grouper algorithm with a m/z tolerance of 0.006 Da (20ppm), RT tolerance of 0.5 136 min. Peak alignment was performed using the join aligner module (m/z tolerance of 0.001 137 Da (or 5ppm), weight for RT= 2.0, absolute RT tolerance 0.3 min). The feature list row filler 138 peak mz 100 to 1000, with keep the MS2 scan and reset the ID. The peak list was gap-filled 139 with the same RT and m/z range gap filler module (m/z tolerance 0.001 or 5ppm). Even-140tually, the mgf preclustered spectral data file and its corresponding.csv metadata file (for 141 RT and integration) were exported using dedicated "Export/Submit for GNPS/FBMN" 142 option. 143

2.4.3 Molecular Networking parameters

145

A molecular network was created using the online workflow 146 (https://gnps.ucsd.edu/ProteoSAFe/status.jsp?task=54bf2f40092c41e28f69b60e845f056d /) 147

103 104

105

114 115

116

117

125

160 161

162

170

171

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

2.4.4 MS2LDA Unsupervised Substructure Annotation

The fragmentation spectra were mined for co-occurring fragments and neutral losses 163 using the latent Diriclet allocation algorithm on MS2LDA web app[25]. The following parameters were used for this task: isolation_window (0.5), min_ms2_int (500), n_its (1000), 165 K (60), ms2_bin (0.005 Da), Zero MotiftDB. The result of the MS2LDA annotation is publicly available at the following link: <u>https://ms2lda.org/basicviz/toggle_public/2353/</u> the inspection and the annotation of the Mass2Motifs were realized on the MS2LDA.org Web app. 168

on the GNPS [23] website (http://gnps.ucsd.edu). The data was filtered by removing all

MS/MS fragment ions within +/- 17 Da of the precursor m/z. MS/MS spectra were window

filtered by choosing only the top 6 fragment ions in the +/- 50Da window throughout the

spectrum. The precursor ion mass tolerance was set to 0.02 Da and a MS/MS fragment ion

tolerance of 0.03 Da. A network was then created where edges were filtered to have a

cosine score above 0.6 and more than 6 matched peaks. Further, edges between two nodes

were kept in the network if and only if each of the nodes appeared in each other's respec-

tive top 10 most similar nodes. Finally, the maximum size of a molecular family was set

to 100, and the lowest scoring edges were removed from molecular families until the mo-

lecular family size was below this threshold. All matches kept between network spectra

and library spectra were required to have a score above 0.7 and at least 6 matched peaks.

The spectra in the network were then searched against GNPS' spectral libraries. The mo-

lecular networking data were analysed and visualized using Cytoscape (ver 3.8.2)[24]

3. Results and Discussions

The present work aims to explore and highlight the existing link between spectral 172 molecular networks, predicted fractions, experimental fractions and how we deduce iso-173 lation and elucidation of known and unknown compounds. 174

Our strategy begins with analysing LC-MS² profile (Figure 1) of dichloromethane 175 CH2Cl2 extract from the bark of O.maingayi, under positive ion mode. More than 1000 176 MS/MS spectra over the range m/z 70 to 1000 were generated. The raw data of LCMS for 177 extract and ten fractions (fractionation of crude using column chromatography) were con-178 verted to .mgf, then mapping was done using GNPS (https://gnps.ucsd.edu/Prote-179 oSAFe/status.jsp?task=54bf2f40092c41e28f69b60e845f056d) to generate massive molecular 180 networking (MN) (Figure 2). The MN contain two main clusters (A and B), 41 non-priori-181 tized clusters and self-loop nodes. Each cluster has several nodes which depict the frac-182 tions contained within those nodes. Those nodes represented the molecular weight and 183 key fragment data of each compound. From the analysis of each cluster and nodes, we can 184 deduce the cluster that is of interest, in this case is indole alkaloids (IA). After analysis the 185 massive MN data (Table 1), we deduce five fractions (F5-F10) of interest contain several 186 types of indole alkaloids. In total we have successfully purified nine indole alkaloids from 187 F5 (neonaucline 15, 1,2,3,4-tetranorharmane-1-one 16 [12]), F6 (naulafine 19 [13]), F7 188 (norharmane 3 [14], harmane 4 [15]), F8 (methyl 9H-β-carboline-4-carboxylate 2 [16]), F9 189 (naucledine 10 [12]), F10 (dihyrodeglycocadambine 7, cadambine 9 [17]). Compounds 2, 190 3, 4, 10, 15, 16, 19 are non-isoprenoid tryptophan indole alkaloid which is from simple β -191 carboline (2, 3, 4, 16), indolopyridine (10), nauclefine (15) and naulafine (19) type, while 7, 192 9 are IA belong to cadambine type. 7 is a new monoterpene indole alkaloid successfully 193 elucidated with MS-guided purification. 194

195



Figure 1. Dichloromethane crude extract of Ochreinauclea maingayi show the LCMS profile under positive mode [M+H]⁺

- .



Figure 2. (a) Massive molecular networking of dichloromethane crude extract of the bark of O.maingayi (b) Implementation of a fraction mapping for 207 molecular networking-guided purification for cluster A. (c) Implementation of a fraction mapping for molecular networking-guided purification for 208 cluster B. (d) Implementation of a fraction mapping for molecular networking-guided purification for non-prioritized cluster. (e) Implementation of 209 a fraction mapping for molecular networking-guided purification for self-loop nodes. 210

6 of 17

Table 1. Chemical constituents identified in the dichloromethane crude extract of the bark of O.maingayi									
tifica-	Precursor	Molecular	RT	Ion	Predicted fractions	Experi-	Key fragment (m/z)		
	ion mass	Formula	mean	type	(Figure 3)	mental			
	(\dots)		-			En etter			

Peak	Compound Identifica-	Precursor	Molecular	RT	Ion	Predicted fractions	Experi-	Key fragment (m/z)
No	tions	ion mass	Formula	mean	type	(Figure 3)	mental	
		(m/z)		s			Fraction	
				(min)				
	IA (Cluster A, non-pri-							
	oritized cluster and							
	selfloops)							
2	Methyl 9H-β-carbo-	227.176	$C_{13}H_{11}N_2O_2$	7.03	[M+H] ⁺	F10, F8 ,F9,F7,F5,F6	F8	209,100
	line-4-carboxylate ^b							
3	Norharmaneab	169.076	C11H9N2	8.88	[M+H] ⁺	F10,F9,F8,F5	F7	142,115
4	Harmane ^{bc}	183.091	C12H11N2	10.41	[M+H] ⁺	F6,F7,F8,F9,F10,F1,F2,F3,F4	F7	142,115
6	Deglycocadambined	383.161	C21H23N2O5	11.15	[M+H]+	F8,F9,F10,F7,F6,F4		365,337,305,183
7	Dehydrodegly-	385.177	C21H25N2O5	11.23	[M+H] ⁺	F8,F9, F10	F10	367,227,183,170,144
	cocadambine ^b							
9	Cadambine ^b	545.215	C27H23N2O1	11.43	[M+H]+	F9, F10	F10	383,365,227,170,139
			0					
10	Naucledine ^{bc}	306.124	C18H16N3O2	11.75	[M+H] ⁺	F10, F9 ,F8,F6	F9	189,163,144
13	Cadamine ^c	364.167	C21H22N3O	13.2	[M+H] ⁺	F6,F7,F5		346,317,285,233,144
15	Neonaucline ^{bc}	346.119	C20H16N3O2	14.39	[M+H]+	F4, F5 ,F2,F7,F6	F5	314,286,271,231
16	1,2,3,4-tetranorhar-	187.087	C11H11N2O	15.21	[M+H] ⁺	F2,F8,F9,F10,F6,F4,F3	F5	158,142,130,115
	mane-1-one ab							
17	Geissoschizine methyl	367.203	C22H26N2O	15.62	[M+H] ⁺	F8,F9,F10		170,144,130,108,75
	ether ^a		3					
19	Naulafine ^b	312.114	C20H14N3O	17.15	[M+H] ⁺	F5, F6, F4,F8,F9,F10,F2,F4	F6	269,242,187,170,159,141, 116

	Triterpene (Cluster B							
	and Selfloops)							
23	Glycyrrhetic acid ^a	471.348	C30H47O4	26.57	[M+H] ⁺	F6,F7,F5,F4,F1		189,175,133,119,107,95
25	Betulinic acid ^a	439.358	C30H46O2	28.72	[M+H]+-	F9,F10, F7,F8,F6		393,259,243,213,179,137,95
					H ₂ O			
27	Oleanolic acid ^a	439.358	C30H46O2	30.47	[M+H]+-	F7,F8,F9,F10		215,203,189,147,133,119,107,95,81,
					H ₂ O			69
	Coumarine							
	(Selfloops)							
5	Scopoletine ^{ab}	193.050	$C_{10}H_9O_4$	10.75	[M+H]+	F4.F3	F3	150.133.122.94.77.66
-						, -	-	
12	Scoparone ^b	207.065	C11H11O4	12.73	[M+H]+	F1. F2 .F3.F4.F5.F6.F7.F8.F9	F2	163.151.146.135.118.107.91
	1							
14	Di-O-methylfraxetin ^a	237.076	C10H9O5	13.98	[M+H]+	F4,F3,F5,F7,F8		207,179,147,133,123,91
	Phenolic (Cluster B)							
20	Hexvl- <i>v</i> coumarate ^b	249.184	C15H20O3	20.58	[M+H]+	F1 ,F2,F3,F4,F5,F6,F7,F10	F1	175,163,153,147,125,109,95,69
	- J F					, , -, , -, -, , -		-,, -, , -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -
26	Trans ferulic acid ^a	177.055	C10H11O4	29.60	[M+H] ⁺	F8,F9,F10		117,89,78
	Fatty acid (Cluster B)							
24	9(10)-EpOME ^a	279.232	C18H33O3	26.59	[M+H]+-	F8,F9,F10,F7,F1,F4,F5,F6		135,123,109,95,81,67
					H ₂ O			
	Lipid (Cluster B)							
	▲ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1				

Organic

11

18

(Selfloops)

cyclo(L-Phe-D-Pro)^a

2-Methoxy-6-methyl

acid							
) a	245.128	$C_{14}H_{17}N_2O_2$	11.90	[M+H] ⁺	F3, F2,F1,F6,F5,F7,F8,F9,F4		154,120,98,70
yl	167.060	C9H11O3	17.11	[M+H] ⁺	F1, F2 ,F3,F4,F5,F6,F7,F10	F2	140,113

	benzoic acid ^b							
	Amide (Selfloops)							
1	Benzamide ^{bc}	122.060	C7H8NO	6.15	[M+H]+	F5,F1,F8,F10	F4	105,95,77
8	Cinnamide ^{bc}	148.076	C9H10NO	11.28	[M+H]+	F4,F8,F10,F10	F5	131,103
	Polyketides							
21	Decarboxyportentol	331.188	C18H28O4	22.96	[M+Na]	F1, F2 ,F3,F5,F8,F10	F2	173,147,137,109,95,83,69
	acetate ^b				+			

Peak numbers correspond to the numbering of peaks that appear in total ion chromatogram (TICs). ^a Structural hit obtained from GNPS spectral library matching. ^bCompounds have 213 been elucidated from fractions. ^cCompounds previously reported in leaves of *O. maingayi* [12].^d MS/MS spectra matched with published literature [18]. 214

215

216



Figure 3. Compounds isolated from F5-F10; methyl 9H-β-carboline-4-carboxylate (2), norharmane 219 (3), harmane (4), dihyrodeglycocadambine (7), cadambine (9), naucledine (10), neonaucline (15), 220 1,2,3,4-tetranorharmane-1-one (16), naulafine (19) 221

Next, to further prove our elucidation on 7, we utilized the web-based MS2LDA [11, 222 19] to process our MS/MS data and explore the results through interactive visualizations. 223 This process indicated that Cluster A exhibited a Mass2motif (motif_370) shared by 16 224 parent masses that possess IA scaffold. Out of these, two parent mass; Parent: 492 and 225 Parent: 803 showed characteristic fragmentation patterns belonging to IA scaffold [20]. 226 Details of Parent: 492 and Parent: 803 MS/MS spectra, the protonated molecular ions, and 227 fragmentation pathway are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. Parent: 492 frag-228 mentation pattern analysis led to the identification of cadambine 9 while the analysis of 229 Parent: 830 led to discovery of new compound dihydrodeglycocadambine 7. 230

Cadambine 9 (545.2153 Da) loss hexose sugar (162.075 Da) to give deglyocadambine 231 6 (383.1750 Da) [18]. With respect from this parent mass fragmentation that give 6 we can 232 deduce new IA compound isolated is dihydrodeglycocadambine 7 (385.177 Da) from the 233 loss of hexose sugar at C-21 and double bond at C16-C17 that have the similar pattern of 234 fragmentation pathway as in cadambine 9 (Figure 4).

235

218

236 237



Figure 4. Mass spectra and fragmentation pathway of mass motif for parent 492

250

253



Figure 5. Mass spectra and fragmentation pathway of mass motif for parent 830

Dihydrodeglycocadambine 7 was obtained as an optically active light yellow amor-254 phous solid [α]²⁵ D= +57.3 (*c*=0.0004, MeOH), UV(MeOH) λmax (log ε) 222 (4.18), 274 (3.64) 255 nm;. It has the molecular formula C21H23N2O5 as deduced from LC-ESI-HR-MS/MS analy-256 sis [M+H]⁺, *m*/*z* 385.1754 (calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄N₂O₅, 385.1685). The UV spectrum revealed max-257 imum absorption at λ_{max} 222 and 274 nm which revealed an indole chromophore [21] while 258 IR gave broad band at 3339.6cm⁻¹ due to O-H stretching and a sharp strong band at 1731.0 259 cm⁻¹ due to C=O group. Analysis of the ¹H NMR and HSQC indicated four aromatics sig-260 nal characteristic of unsubstituted indole moiety appeared at δ_H 7.74, (d, J=7.7) H-9, δ_H 261 7.28, (t, J=7.5), H-10, δH 7.34, (t, J=7.4), H-11 and δH 7.67, (d, J=8.1), H-12. 262

The ¹³C NMR and DEPT indicated a total of twenty-one carbons in the structure 263 which belong to five quaternary carbons (δ_c 135.1,C-2; 92.3,C-3; 111.1 C-7; 127.2, C-8; 138.4, 264

C-13), nine methines (δ_c 119.9,C9; 119.7,C10; 122.7,C11; 111.4,C12; 29.8,C15; 48.9,C16; 265 74.8,C19; 50.3,C20), five methylenes (δ_c 53.2,C-5; 22.8,C-6; 47.9,C-14; 67.8,C-17; 57.3,C-18), 266 one hemiacetal carbon (δ_c 97.1,C21), one methoxy group (δ_c 52.0,C-23) and one carbonyl 267 groups (δ_c 173.0,C-22). 268

Further analysis of ¹H and ¹³ C NMR spectroscopic data (Supplementary 1, Table 2) 269 indicated that **7** was structurally related to **9**, except for the following three differences; 270 first the absence of glucosyl moiety at C-21, which suggested that **7** was the aglycone to **9**, 271 second the absent of double bond at C16-C17, and thirdly the presence of an ester group 272 at C-16 (δ_c 48.9). The presence of ester group at C-16 is further proven by the existence 273 upfield methine $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.65, H-16 and appearance oxygenated methylene at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97 (m) H-17*α*, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.33(dd, *J*=11.5,4.3) H-17*β*. 275

The location of substituents and rings arrangement were further confirmed by cross 276 peaks in HMBC spectra (Supplementary 1, Figure 6). The correlation of H-16/C-22 (δ_c 277 173.0), OMe-/C-22 (δ_c 173.0) and H-16/C-20 (δ_c 50.3) proving the ester group situated at δ_c 278 48.9, C-16. The cross peaks between H-17/ C-21 (dc 97.1), H-20/C-21 indicated location of 279 hydroxyl group at C-21. Finally, the linkages between ring C, D, E were assigned with the 280 aid of the HMBC spectrum. The cross peak between H-18/ C-5 and H-14/ C-3 further con-281 firmed that indole ring (A-C) and D were fused via C-3-N-4 junction. The cross peak be-282 tween H-18/C-20, H-16/C-20 inferred that ring D was connected through tetrahydropyran 283 ring E through C-20. 284

The relative stereochemistry of 7 was confirmed from the NOESY spectrum and cor-285 relation (Figure 7) by comparison of its correlations with those of similar skeleton [17]. 286 The stereochemistry at C-20 could be ascertained by the NOESY relationship between H19 287 and H-20. The presence of chiral carbon at C-19 indicated by O-bridged between C-3 and 288 C-19 that exist as β -configuration. This β -configuration led to the assignment of proton at 289 C-19 exist as α -configuration. NOESY spectrum H-19 correlated with H-20 as α -configu-290 ration. In addition, H-20 correlated with H-21 in COSY spectrum, however there is no 291 correlation between H-20/H-21 in NOESY spectrum, thus it can be deduced that H-21 have 292 different configuration with H-20 and assigned as β -configuration. There are two geminal 293 proton for C-17 (H17 α and H17 β). H-17 β showed correlation with H-21 β . It also proved 294 that H16 exist as α -configuration due to the direct correlation of H17 α and H16 α . There 295 is direct correlation between H-15/H-16 and H-15/H-20, therefore we can presume that H-296 15 also exist as α -oriented. 297

The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR (600 MHz) spectral assignments performed by extensive 2D-NMR experiments (COSY, HSQC and HMBC) and summarized in Figure 6 and Table 2.

315 316

317

298

	Dihydrodeglycocada	mbine 7	Cadambine	e 9	Cadambine [17]		
position	δн (<i>m, J</i> in Hz) in Pyridine, C₅D₅N	δ⊂in Pyri- dine, C₅D5N	δн (<i>m, J</i> in Hz) in Pyridine, C₅D₅N	δ⊂in Pyri- dine, C₅D₅N	δ _H (<i>m, J</i> in Hz) in CD3OD	δc in CD3O D	
NH	12.48 (br s)						
2		135.1		134.6		133.2	
3		92.3		92.1		93.0	
5	2.83 (<i>m</i>) overlap 3.18 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> = 8.1)	53.2	3.01 (<i>m</i>) 2.74 (<i>m</i>)	53.0	3.16 (<i>m</i>) 2.79 (<i>m</i>)	53.9	
6	2.83 (<i>m</i>) overlap	22.8	2.80 (<i>m</i>) 4.63 (<i>dd</i> , J=3.0, 12.2)	22.8	2.80 brs	22.8	
7		111.1		110.8		111.6	
8		127.2		127.0		127.0	
9	7.74 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.6)	119.9	7.69 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.7)	119.9	7.47 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.8)	120.2	
10	7.28 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.6)	119.7	7.23 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.7)	119.9	7.00 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.8)	120.1	
11	7.34 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.6)	122.7	7.27 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.7)	122.8	7.11 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =7.8)	123.4	
12	7.67 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.6)	111.4	7.51 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.7)	112.6	7.33 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =7.8)	112.6	
13		138.4		138.4		136.3	
14a	2.28 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> =12.0,12.0) 2.09 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> =12.0, 4.7)	47.9	2.38 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> =5.9, 12.7)	44.1	2.06 (<i>m</i>)	43.1	
14b			2.33 (<i>t</i> , <i>J</i> =12.7)				
15	2.83 (<i>m</i>) overlap	29.8	3.48 (<i>m</i>)	26.41	3.26 (<i>m</i>)	26.9	
16	2.65 (<i>m</i>)	48.9		110.8		111.3	
17a	4.33 (<i>dd</i> , J=11.2,4.3) 3.97 (<i>t</i> , J=11.2)	67.8	7.65 (s)	153.4	7.57 s	154.4	
17b							
18a 18b	2.83 (<i>m</i>) overlap 2.62 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =10.1)	57.3	3.14 (<i>d J</i> =10.2) 2.86 (<i>m</i>)	59.4	3.51 (brd J=10.8) 3.02 (dd J=10.8,7.3)	59.4	
19	5.22 (<i>dd</i> , <i>J</i> =6.2, 2.0)	74.8	5.10 (<i>d J</i> =7.0)	73.8	4.94 (brd J=7.3)	74.6	
20	2.20 (<i>m</i>)	50.3	1.78 (<i>t J</i> =7.0)	40.9	1.76 (<i>m</i>)	41.1	
21	5.04 (<i>d</i> , <i>J</i> =8.6)	97.1	5.82 (<i>d J</i> =9.2)	98.2	5.84 (<i>d J</i> =9.3)	97.6	
22-C=O		173.0		167.6		168.9	
23- ОСН3	3.49 (s)	52.0	3.60 (bs)	51.5	3.65 s	51.9	
1'			5.19 (<i>d I</i> =7 8)	103.0	4.80 <i>d I</i> =7 9	101 7	
2'			4.16(m)	75.4	3.30(m)	74 9	
- 3′			4.30 (t I=8.9)	78.0	3.42 <i>t I</i> =9.0	78.1	
4'			4.20 (<i>m</i>)	72.4	3.28(m)	71.7	
5′			3.97 (<i>dddd J</i> =2.4, 7.0)	79.0	3.34 (<i>m</i>)	78.5	
6′			4.48 (<i>dd J</i> =11.8, 2.4)	63.6	3.87 (<i>dd J</i> =12.1, 2.3) 3.61 (<i>dd J</i> =12.1, 6.4)	62.9	
			4.17 (<i>m</i>) overlapp		,		



Figure 6. Selected key correlation of dihydrodeglycocadambine 7

321

320





Figure 7. Selected NOESY correlation of dihydrodeglycocadambine 7

324

325

326

323

5. Conclusions

The combination of MN, analysis of the fragmentation patterns and manual derepli-327 cation proved to be a useful strategy for facilitated dereplication of IA scaffold from O. 328 maingayi. The MN not only aided the detection of the presence of the new compound; 329 dihydrodeglycocadambine 7, but also enables the selection of fraction containing targeted 330 compounds, detection and identification of known IA scaffold. A total of nine indole 331 alkaloids, two coumarins and one fatty acid were positively identified in bark of O. main-332 gayi. Moreover, several clusters contained ions that could not be annotated suggesting 333 that they may also possess novel compounds that are yet to be discovered. 334

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at:335www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: LCMS of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S2: ¹H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S3: ¹³C NMR spectrum (600 MHz, C3D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S4: COSY spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S5: DEPT 90 spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S6: HSQC spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S7:336339340

References

	HMBC spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7); Figure S8: NOESY spectrum (600 MHz, C5D5N) of Dihyrodeglycocadambine (7).	341 342
	Author Contributions: N.O. and A.Z. contributed to the experiments and analyses, participated in the discussion of results and wrote the manuscript; K.A. participated in material preparation and manuscript writing; H.H., N.E.R, and N.I. participated in experiments; W.N.W.O., P.C., M.D., and M.L. provided intellectual input in the analysis of the results. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.	343 344 345 346 347
	Funding: This work was financial supported by the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) FRGS/1/2020/SKK0/UM/01/5), FP054-2020 and University Malaya Research Fund Assistance (BKP) BK040-2017. International Funding, French-Ma- laysian Collaborative grant on Phytochemical Analysis on Malayan Flora, project number 57-02-03- 1007 were used for travel grant on molecular networking studies.	348 349 350 351 352
1	Data Availability Statement: Not applicable	353
	Acknowledgments: The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.	354 355
	Conflicts of Interest: Declare conflicts of interest or state "The authors declare no conflict of inter- est."	356 357
erences		358
		359
1. O'Connor, S.E. and J 2006 23 (4): p. 532-547	J.J. Maresh, Chemistry and biology of monoterpene indole alkaloid biosynthesis. Natural Product Reports,	360 361
 Szabó, L.F., <i>Rigorous</i> 	s biogenetic network for a group of indole alkaloids derived from strictosidine. Molecules, 2008. 13 (8): p.	362
1070-90. 3 Škubník I et al <i>Vi</i>	neristing in Combination Therapy of Cancer: Emeroing Trends in Clinics, Biology (Basel) 2021 10(9)	363
4. Shamon, S.D. and M	1.I. Perez, Blood pressure-lowering efficacy of reservine for primary hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst	365
Rev, 2016. 12(12): p. Cd0076	j55.	366
5. Rtibi, K., et al., <i>Vinbl</i> <i>tract in rat</i> . Toxicol Rep, 201	<i>'astine, an anticancer drug, causes constipation and oxidative stress as well as others disruptions in intestinal</i> 7. 4 ; p. 221-225.	367 368
6. Dalal, N. and R. Ray	vishankar, in vitro propagation of Ochreinauclea missionis (Wall. EX G. Don), an ethnomedicinal endemic Cellular & Developmental Biology - Plant 2001, 37 : p. 820-823	369 370
7. Ravishankar, R., Gen	netic fidelity in micropropagated plantlets of Ochreinauclea missionis an endemic, threatened and medicinal I Biotechnol. 2009. 8	371 372
8. Ridsdale, C.E., <i>A re</i>	<i>vision of the tribe Naucleeae s.s. (Rubiaceae).</i> Blumea: Biodiversity, Evolution and Biogeography of	373
9. Lim, S., K. Gan, and	C. Kheng Ten, Identification and utilisation of lesser-known commercial timbers in Peninsular Malaysia 1:	374 375
Ara, Bangkal, Bebusok and Bel 10 Pluskal T et al M	K01. 2022. Zmine 2: Modular framework for processing zisualizing and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular.	376
profile data. BMC Bioinforma	atics, 2010. 11 (1): p. 395.	378
11. Van der Hooft, J.J.J., tional Academy of Sciences	, et al., Topic modeling for untargeted substructure exploration in metabolomics. Proceedings of the Na-	379 380
12. Mukhtar, M.R., et al.	, Neonaucline, a new indole alkaloid from the leaves of Ochreinauclea maingayii (Hook. f.) Ridsd. (Rubiaceae).	381
13. Repke, D.B., et al., <i>S</i>	<i>Synthesis of naucléfine, angustidine, angustine,</i> (±)-13b,14-dihydro-angustine and naulafine. Tetrahedron,	383 384
1969. 45 (9). p. 2541-2550. 14. Parameswaran, P.S.	. C.G. Naik, and V.R. Hegde, Secondary Metabolites from the Sponse Tedania anhelans: Isolation and	385
Characterization of Two Novel	<i>l Pyrazole Acids and Other Metabolites.</i> Journal of Natural Products, 1997. 60 (8): p. 802-803.	386
15. Seki, H., A. Hashim	oto, and T. Hino, The ¹ H and ¹³ C-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Harman. Reinvestigation of the	387
Assignments by One- and Two	o-Dimensional Methods. Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, 1993. 41 (6): p. 1169-1172.	388
2014. 50 (5): p. 884-888.	en Aikaioius from r icrusmu quussioiues unu Their Cytotoxic Actionies. Chemistry of Natural Compounds,	389 390
17. Yuan, H.L., et al., <i>A alkaloids</i> . J Ethnopharmacol.	nti-inflammatory and analgesic activities of Neolamarckia cadamba and its bioactive monoterpenoid indole , 2020. 260 : p. 113103.	391 392
18. Wu, XD., et al., Two	o New Indole Alkaloids from Emmenopterys henryi. Helvetica Chimica Acta, 2013. 96(12): p. 2207-2213.	393

	10	Wander Lastal Marilda and with based tonic modelling for substructions discorrenging mass master matter. Picinformatics 2018	204
	19.	wandy, J., et al., Miszualorg. web-based topic modelling for substructure discovery in mass spectrometry. Bioinformatics, 2018.	394
	34(2):]	p. 317-318.	395
	20.	Gai, Y., et al., Analysis of the traditional medicine YiGan San by the fragmentation patterns of cadambine indole alkaloids using	396
	HPLC	coupled with high-resolution MS. J Sep Sci, 2013. 36 (23): p. 3723-32.	397
	21.	Albinsson, B. and B. Norden, Excited-state properties of the indole chromophore: electronic transition moment directions from	398
	linear c	dichroism measurements: effect of methyl and methoxy substituents. The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 1992. 96(15): p. 6204-	399
	6212.		400
	22.	Chambers, M.C., et al., A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. Nature Biotechnology, 2012. 30(10): p.	401
	918-92	0.	402
	23.	Wang, M., et al., Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular	403
	Networ	rking. Nature Biotechnology, 2016. 34 (8): p. 828-837.	404
	24.	Otasek, D., et al., Cytoscape Automation: empowering workflow-based network analysis. Genome Biol, 2019. 20(1): p. 185.	405
	25.	Wandy, J., et al., Ms2lda.org: web-based topic modelling for substructure discovery in mass spectrometry. Bioinformatics, 2017.	406
	34(2): 1	p. 317-318.	407
Dis	claimer/	Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual au-	408
thor	(s) and	contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to	409
peo	ple or pi	roperty resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.	410
•	. 1		
			411
			412