

Constructing dendrite suppressing mixed sulfide solid electrolyte for high-rate lithium metal batteries

Z. Ge, S.N. Chen, R. Wang, R Ma, B. Fan, D. Le Coq, X. Zhang, H. Ma, B.

Xue

► To cite this version:

Z. Ge, S.N. Chen, R. Wang, R Ma, B. Fan, et al.. Constructing dendrite suppressing mixed sulfide solid electrolyte for high-rate lithium metal batteries. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2023, 467, pp.143409. 10.1016/j.cej.2023.143409 . hal-04123806

HAL Id: hal-04123806 https://hal.science/hal-04123806

Submitted on 21 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	
2 3	Constructing dendrite suppressing mixed sulfide solid electrolyte for high-rate lithium metal batteries
4	
5 6	Zeyu Ge ^{a,b,c&} , Nanshan Chen ^{a&} , Rui Wang ^a , Rui Ma ^b , Bo Fan ^a , David Le coq ^c , Xianghua Zhang ^c , Hongli Ma ^c , and Bai Xue ^{a*}
7	
8 9	^a Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Advanced Thin Films and Applications, College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China
10	^b College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China
11	^c Univ Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) – UMR 6226, Rennes 35042, France
12	
13	^{&} These authors contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.
14	* Corresponding author. E-mail address: baixue@szu.edu.cn
15	Keywords: dendrite-free, interface, composite structure, solid-state electrolyte

16

17 ABSTRACT

All-solid-state lithium metal batteries (ASSLMBs) have received much attention 18 19 because of their potential for high energy density and high safety performance. 20 However, the poor compatibility of the lithium/solid electrolytes (SEs) interface and 21 the penetration of lithium dendrites during cycling prevent them from achieving current 22 densities that are capable of commercialization. In this work, a lithium-metastable solid 23 electrolyte and a lithium-unstable solid electrolyte have been mixed to obtain mix-24 structured solid electrolyte. In particular, Li_{5.5}PS_{4.5}Cl_{1.5} acts as a lithium-compatible 25 matrix, and Li₁₀SnP₂S₁₂ as a dendrite-scavenger. The synergy between the two 26 generates a stable interface with the Li anode and effectively suppresses the penetration 27 of lithium dendrites. Li symmetric cells can operate stably for 160 h at a current density of 0.5 mA cm⁻² at room temperature. The critical current density can reach 5 mA cm⁻² 28 29 and the overpotential is less than 0.5 V. The Li/SEs/Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ all-solid-state cells show a high capacity of 174 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.2 C and 148 mAh g⁻¹ at 2 C. High-capacity 30 31 retentions of 97.3% and 94.4% are shown after 75 cycles at 1 C and 2 C at room 32 temperature, respectively. This work highlights the advantages of the electrolyte with 33 mixed structure and provides a new strategy to resist lithium dendrites and improve the 34 critical current density and cycle life of ASSLMBs.

35 1. Introduction

1 Lithium-ion batteries have gained popularity as a method of energy storage due to 2 their energy density of more than 250 Wh kg⁻¹ and reasonable cost [1, 2]. However, 3 further improvements in battery energy density have been severely constrained by the 4 usage of conventional graphite anodes. Therefore, researchers are increasingly 5 interested in the use of lithium metal anodes with 10 times the gravimetric capacity of graphite [3, 4]. On the other hand, the liquid electrolytes used in conventional batteries 6 7 are usually flammable and unstable with the lithium metal anode. Solid electrolytes (SEs) have proven to be perfect for lithium metal anodes due to their durability at high 8 9 temperatures and lack of concentration polarization [5-7]. All solid-state lithium metal 10 batteries (ASSLMBs) are in the spotlight for the development of next-generation energy storage devices due to their non-flammability, non-leakage, high energy density, long 11 12 cycle life, and high safety.

13 There is a key issue with the current application of solid-state electrolytes to 14 ASSLMBs, i.e., most electrolytes still have a small critical current density (CCD) (< 0.5 mA cm^{-2}) to resist the lithium penetration which does not match the organic 15 16 electrolytes (> 2 mA cm⁻²) [8]. For example, the widely studied oxide solid electrolytes, 17 such as the garnet-type Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂, have strong chemical stability, a wide range of 18 electrochemical stability, and good stability with lithium metal [9, 10]. However, oxide 19 electrolytes are typically brittle, have poor electrode/electrolyte interface contact, and 20 usually need sintering at temperatures more than 1000°C. They also cannot be cold-21 pressed into form. Typically, their critical current densities are less than 0.5 mA cm⁻² 22 [11]. Due to their high ionic conductivity, sulfide electrolytes are widely used in all-23 solid-state batteries [12]. Nonetheless, the CCD of sulfide electrolytes is typically lower than 1 mA cm⁻² even with Li_{5.5}PS_{4.5}Cl_{1.5} (LPSCl), which has an ionic conductivity of 24 25 up to 9.4 mS cm⁻¹ [13, 14]. There is an urgent need to develop solid electrolytes with 26 greater CCD that can suppress the formation of lithium dendrites.

27 Another significant problem is the interfacial compatibility of solid-state 28 electrolytes with lithium metal. Most solid electrolytes have side reactions when they 29 come into contact with lithium metal [15]. A thick mixed conducting interphase (MCI) 30 layer forms in the electrolytes which contain the elements like Ge, Sn, and Si [16]. For 31 instance, an interphase that includes ion-conduction phases (Li₃P) and electron-32 conduction phases (Li-Sn alloys) forms at the interface between $Li_{10}SnP_2S_{12}$ (LSPS) 33 and lithium [17]. Calculations show that $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ (LGPS) is expected to undergo 34 similar electrochemical reductions at voltages below 1.7 V [18]. Even though the LGPS 35 has an ionic conductivity comparable to that of organic liquid electrolytes (12 mS cm⁻ 36 ¹), the accumulation and thickening of these interphase layers significantly impede the transport of lithium ions across the interface [19, 20]. Lithium alloys like Li-In and Li-37 38 Al can presently be used to limit the growth of MCI layers [21]. However, the redox 39 potential of Li-In electrodes is 0.62 V vs Li/Li⁺, which significantly reduces the specific 40 energy of the battery, and the high price of indium makes it almost impossible to 41 industrialize [22].

For other solid electrolytes where the metastable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer, rather than the rapidly thickening MCI layer, is formed in contact with Li metal, the compositional inhomogeneity of the interphase layer leads to uneven lithium deposition [14]. This phenomenon is partially mitigated by lithium diffusion and creep under appropriate pressure when the battery operates at a low current density [23, 24]. However, this phenomenon deteriorates when the current density increases, leading to 1 the penetration of lithium dendrites into the solid electrolytes and causing short circuits

2 in all-solid-state cells. Thus, it is critical to developing novel solid electrolytes with a

3 robust interface with lithium metal anodes.

4 In general, there are two failure modes related to interface problems between the 5 solid electrolytes and the lithium metal anodes. In the first case, a stable SEI layer is formed. Lithium dendrites grow from the poorly contacted solid electrolyte/lithium 6 7 interface, propagate through the grain/particle boundaries, and finally lead to a short 8 circuit (e.g., Fig. 1a) [25]. In the second case, lithium forms an MCI layer with the 9 electrolyte. Even though lithium does not penetrate the battery directly, the rapidly thickening MCI layer results in a huge interfacial resistance, and the battery fails owing 10 11 to the excessive overpotential (Fig. 1b) [26]. The use of multilayer composite structures 12 or composite electrolytes is an effective approach to solve these interface problems. 13 Currently, some methods are used to form a protective layer on the electrolyte to 14 prevent the electrolyte from reacting with Li to form an MCI layer. However, this 15 protective layer can be further enhanced in terms of stability, maximum current density withstood, and complex preparation processes [27-29]. Other methods are the 16 17 composite of inorganic solid electrolytes with polymer electrolytes (PEO, etc.) [30-33]. 18 These methods can provide stable SE/Li interface, but typically require high operating 19 temperature to achieve the desired ionic conductivity. High-performance composite 20 solid electrolytes are vitally required.

21 An effective solid electrolyte has to fulfill the following criteria: (i) good stability 22 with lithium metal and be free of a continually and rapidly expanding interfacial layer. (ii) high resistance to lithium dendrite even under a high current density to handle the 23 24 high-rate performance of the battery. This study demonstrates that mixing two solid 25 electrolytes with distinct properties using a straightforward grinding method can be an 26 effective way to achieve the forementioned crtieria. This method makes use of two 27 electrolytes, one of which is stable to lithium metal (LPSCl, etc.) and the other 28 efficiently consumes lithium dendrites (LGPS, LSPS, etc.). The mixed solid electrolyte 29 (MIX) can be prepared by simply hand-grinding the two electrolytes (Fig. 1c). This 30 simple mixing does not change the physicochemical properties of the two electrolytes, 31 but in a synergetic way they can preserve the good stability with lithium metal while 32 eliminating the lithium dendrites. Trees often suffer injuries such as insect holes and 33 felling wounds. If leaving these injuries untreated, the injury parts will expand and 34 eventually damage the mechanical strength of the trunk. Naturally, the injuries stimulate the tree to form a healing shell around them, which prevents the expansion of 35 36 the injury parts (Fig. S1). We believe that a similar self-healing mechanism also works for suppressing lithium dendrites in the MIX electrolytes. The dendrites which 37 penetrate into the solid electrolyte will react locally with the Li-consuming electrolytes, 38 39 forming a highly electrical resistive region to prevent the further growth of the dendrites. 40 By using the mixed solid electrolytes, the lithium symmetric cell can operate at a current 41 density of 5 mA cm⁻², and its overpotential at this current density is less than 0.5 V. 42 Additionally, the rapid increase in interfacial resistance observed in cycles using only 43 LSPS as the electrolyte is effectively suppressed when using the MIX electrolyte, 44 indicating good stability with lithium metal. The overpotential increase of the mixed 45 solid electrolyte is substantially slower than that of the conventional LSPS cell at the current of 0.5 mA cm⁻² and remains steady for hundreds of hours. It is also demonstrated 46 47 that the mixed solid electrolyte can guarantee the stable operation of a Li/SEs/Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ (LTO) full battery at 2 C rate, which maintains 94% capacity after 75 cycles. The mixed 48

- 1 solid electrolyte combines the advantages of compatibility with lithium and inhibition
- 2 of lithium dendrites. They are promising candidates for high-rate-operating ASSLMBs.
- 3 Moreover, the synthesis method is simple to operate and easy to industrialize.



4

Figure 1. Using MIX electrolyte to alleviate the two failure modes associated with the solid electrolyte/Li interface. (a) Cell with stable interface, Li dendrite penetration occurs. (b) Cell with unstable interface, a continually growing interphase layer leads to a huge overpotential. (c) Cell with the MIX electrolyte. The unstable electrolyte reacts with the penetrated dendrites that impairs their growth, and the stable electrolyte acts as a stable ion-conductive matrix, guaranteeing a low effective electronic conductivity to avoid the formation of thick resistive interphase layer.

11 **2. Experimental Section**

12 2.1. Materials Synthesis

13 The raw materials used were Li₂S (99.9%, Alfa Aesar), P₂S₅ (99%, Aladdin), and 14 LiCl (99%, Aladdin). All the chemical reagents were used directly without further purification. All solid electrolytes were synthesized through the process of high-energy 15 16 mechanical ball milling and following heat treatment. Typically, Li_{5.5}PS_{4.5}Cl_{1.5} (LPSCl) 17 electrolyte was synthesized by adding a stoichiometric of Li₂S, P₂S₅, and LiCl into a ball mill jar containing tungsten carbide grinding balls, then put jars into a planetary 18 19 ball-milling apparatus (Pulverisette 7, Fritsch GmbH, Germany) at 450 rpm for 30 h. 20 To ensure a uniform reaction of the powder, the jar should be removed from the 21 apparatus to scrape the powder off the wall of the jar at intervals. After high-energy 22 mechanical milling, the powder was heat-treated under vacuum at 550°C for 10 hours. 23 $Li_{10}SnP_2S_{12}$ (LSPS) electrolyte was prepared by the same ball milling parameters at 450 rpm for 72 h and sintered at 550°C for 72 h. Synthesis of LGPS and Li₇P₃S₁₁ is 24 25 supplemented in the Supporting Information. All sintered electrolytes were ground to 26 powder and passed through a 300-mesh sieve before use.

To prepare the MIX electrolyte, the as-prepared LPSCl and LSPS powders were mixed with a desired mass ratio by hand grinding in an agate mortar for 10 min. The mass fraction of LSPS in the MIX electrolyte was controlled from 10 wt.% to 60 wt.%.

30 2.2. Preparation of lithium symmetric cells

The homemade battery molds were used to assemble the symmetric cells. 210 mg of solid electrolytes were pressed in a mold (13 mm in diameter) at 300 MPa for 10 min, then 100 µm thick lithium sheets were placed on both sides of the solid electrolyte pellets. A pressure of 7 MPa was maintained during the test.

5 **2.3. Preparation of full cells**

The cathode materials were made by ball milling Li₄Ti₅O₁₂, Ketjen black, and 6 LPSCl at a mass ratio of 1:1:3 at 240 rpm for 6 h. The solid electrolyte powder was 7 8 pressed at 75 MPa for 1 min, then spreading a certain amount of the cathode powder on one side of the solid electrolyte pellet and pressed at 300 MPa for 10 min. The mass 9 loading of the active material was 1.4 mg cm⁻². Finally, a lithium foil was laid on the 10 other side of the cell and a pressure of 7 MPa was maintained. The preparation 11 12 procedure of NCM (LiNiCoMnO₂ (Ni:Co:Mn = 8:1:1)) full cell is supplemented in 13 Supporting Information.

14 **2.4. Materials Characterization**

The crystallographic structures of the electrolytes were characterized by X-ray 15 16 diffraction (XRD, Empyrean, PANalytical, Netherlands). XRD Rietveld refined samples were conducted at a step size of 0.02° with a dwell time of 4 seconds per step. 17 Raman spectra were measured by a Raman spectrometer (in Via, Renishaw Inc., UK) 18 19 using a 532 nm diode-pumped solid-state laser. The electrolyte samples for XRD and 20 Raman spectra were sealed with a polyimide film to avoid humidity. The 21 micromorphology of and the elemental distribution of the samples were detected by a 22 field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, SU8010, Hitachi Inc., Japan) and 23 an energy dispersive X-ray detector (EDX). Zview software was used to fit the 24 experimental data. The interfacial chemistry within the cells was analyzed by an X-ray 25 photoelectron spectroscopy device (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi, Thermo Scientific Inc., 26 US) with Al Kα-radiation.

27 **2.5. Electrochemical Characterization**

28 Galvanostatic charge-discharge tests of the cells were conducted using a Wuhan 29 Land CT2001 battery tester. The electrochemical impedance spectra of lithium 30 symmetric cells were measured by a frequency response analyzer (Solartron 1260A, 31 Solartron Analytical Inc., UK). A perturbation voltage of 10 mV in the frequency range 32 from 10⁶ Hz to 1 Hz was applied. The electrochemical impedance spectra of full cells 33 were measured at an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, CHInstruments Ins., 34 China) with a frequency range from 10⁵ Hz to 0.01 Hz and a perturbation voltage of 10 35 mV.

36 **3. Results and discussion**

By mixing the two types of electrolytes, the MIX electrolyte is obtained. It is also possible to design the performance of the MIX electrolyte by adding a variety of electrolytes or organic and inorganic additives as what is done in conventional liquid electrolytes. Here, LPSCl and LSPS are chosen as the two electrolytes to be investigated in depth. If not specially mentioned, the MIX electrolyte with an optimized composition, 40 wt.% LSPS is discussed in the following.

1 To demonstrate the effectiveness of the mixed solid electrolyte, LPSCl is chosen 2 as the Li-compatible component and LSPS as the dendrite-consuming component. The 3 two solid electrolytes are uniformly mixed and then cold pressed at 300 MPa. As shown 4 in the top-view SEM image in Fig. S2, the surface of the solid electrolyte pellet is 5 relatively flat and has no obvious voids. This phenomenon is probably due to the similar 6 Young's modulus of the two components [34]. It is not easy to distinguish the two 7 different types of electrolytes from top-view SEM because the surface of the electrolyte 8 sheet is too flat after cold pressing. Thus, the distribution of the two electrolytes 9 particles is further shown by the cross-sectional SEM images (Fig.2a-c). Generally, the 10 cross-sectional SEM image at low magnification (Fig. 2a) shows that the MIX electrolyte pellet is dense and free of cracks. The thickness of the MIX electrolyte is 11 12 0.9 mm. At higher magnification, it clearly shows two morphologically distinct regions, 13 one exhibits a structure of tens of microns of large grains and the other exhibits an 14 aggregated structure of several microns of small grains. The two kinds of regions are 15 tightly pressed together, with no visible cracks and voids. EDX mapping (Fig. 2d) 16 further confirms that the large grain regions are rich in Cl while the small particle 17 regions are rich in Sn, indicating that the former is LPSCl, and the latter is LSPS. The 18 two electrolytes are uniformly distributed at a scale of tens of microns. As a result of 19 the impurity phase LiCl, it is also discovered that in LPSCl, there are some places that 20 contain Cl but not S. To prove that the two electrolytes would not react after grinding, 21 the reaction energy between LPSCl and LSPS is calculated by using the Materials 22 Project [35, 36]. The reaction energy of the two electrolytes at any ratio is 0 eV/atom, which indicates that the interfaces of the two electrolytes are stable and do not react 23 24 with each other at room temperature (Fig. S3).



25

26

Figure 2. (a-c) cross-sectional SEM images of MIX electrolyte pellet at different magnifications.(d) EDX of the MIX electrolyte pellet. (e) Raman spectra of MIX, LPSCl, and LSPS. (f)XRD patterns of MIX, LSPS, and LPSCl.

According to XRD Rietveld refinement (Fig. S4), it is revealed that the as-

1 prepared LPSCl powder is mainly composed of an argyrodite phase (cubic, space group 2 $F\overline{4}3m$), in accompany by 3.14 wt.% LiCl. The lattice parameter of the argyrodite phase 3 is 9.788 Å, which is consistent with the study in the literature [13]. Li_{5.5}PS_{4.5}Cl_{1.5}, which 4 has been utilized in this research, has a higher Cl doping level than Li₆PS₅Cl, increasing 5 the Cl⁻/S²⁻ site disorder and causing a stronger hetero-valent doping effect, allowing for 6 higher ionic conductivity to be attained. The XRD Rietveld refinement also shows that 7 the main structure of the as-prepared LSPS is $Li_{10}SnP_2S_{12}$ (tetragonal, space group $P4_2$ mc whose lattice parameters are a = b = 8.74263 Å, c = 12.7701 Å, $a = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$). 8 Besides, there is 7.13 wt.% Li₂SnS₃ phase in the sample [37]. As shown in Figure 2e, 9 10 the XRD pattern of the MIX electrolyte can be well reproduced by the superposition of 11 those of the as-prepared LPSCl and LSPS. Thus, the two solid electrolytes are 12 physically mixed in the MIX electrolyte.

13 Figure 2f shows the Raman spectra of the electrolyte powders in the wave number range of 200-550 cm⁻¹. In agreement with other reported LSPS results, the strongest 14 Raman peaks at 422 cm⁻¹ and 416 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the PS₄ tetrahedron, and the 15 16 peak at 346 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the SnS_4 tetrahedron [38]. In addition, there is also an SnS₃ unit peak at 308 cm⁻¹, which is attributed to the impurity Li₂SnS₃ [39]. LPSC1 17 exhibits the only peak at 422 cm⁻¹ attributed to the PS₄ tetrahedron. Similarly, the 18 19 Raman spectrum of the MIX electrolyte is the superposition of those of the as-prepared 20 LPSCl and LSPS, further supporting the conclusion that the two solid electrolytes are 21 mixed without chemical reactions.



22

Figure 3. (a-c) The time-resolved impedance spectroscopy of LSPS, LPSCl, and MIX, from 0 to 72 h. (d) Evolution of the interfacial resistance of the Li symmetric cells.

25 The ionic conductivities of the as-prepared LPSCl and LSPS are 6.9 mS cm⁻¹ and

1 2.4 mS cm⁻¹, respectively (Fig. S5). The MIX electrolyte exhibits an ionic conductivity 2 of 3.6 mS cm⁻¹, which is between the ionic conductivities of the two components. The 3 MIX electrolyte has an electronic conductivity of 3.9×10^{-9} S cm⁻¹ also lies between 4 the two components and does not become additionally large (Fig. S6). Conclusively, 5 LPSCl and LSPS are physically and homogenously mixed at the micrometer scale, and the produced MIX electrolyte has a high ionic conductivity and a very low electronic 6 7 conductivity, making it an appropriate solid-state electrolyte material for solid-state 8 batteries.

9 Time-resolved impedance spectroscopy of the lithium symmetric cells is used to 10 test the formation of the interphase layer between lithium and different solid 11 electrolytes. As shown in Fig.3a-c, the impedance spectra of the three solid electrolytes 12 shows different variation trends as monitored over 72 h. Periodical fluctuation of the 13 spectra is caused by the slight environmental temperature difference between the day 14 and the night. The equivalent circuit in the insert of Fig. 3d is used to fit the impedance 15 spectra, so that the resistance of the interphase layer formed between lithium and the 16 solid electrolytes can be deduced from the high-frequency semicircle $(10^{6}-10^{2} \text{ Hz})$ by 17 the R_{int}-CPE1 element [40, 41]. As with the phenomena observed in other studies, a significant increase in the interphase layer resistance between Li and LSPS can be 18 observed.[20] Differently, the interphase layer resistance increment for both LPSCl and 19 20 MIX is relatively slow (Fig. 3d). For LSPS, The interphase layer resistance increases 21 continually and reaches 500 Ω after 72 h. This effect is due to the fact that LSPS contains Sn⁴⁺, which forms an MCI layer with high electronic conductivity when in 22 23 contact with lithium metal [42]. The MCI layer does not effectively inhibit further 24 reactions of Li to the electrolyte, resulting in an increasing resistance over time. In 25 contrast, LPSCl and MIX are more stable with lithium. Their interphase layer resistance 26 is less than 30 Ω even after 72 h. For MIX, the interphase layer resistance increases 27 very slowly after several hours of stabilization, and for LPSCl, an even stable interphase 28 layer resistance is observed benefiting from the formation of the electron-blocking SEI 29 layer at the Li/LPSCl interface [24]. A suitable proportion of LPSCl within the MIX 30 electrolyte significantly reduces the direct contact between LSPS and Li. The LSPS at 31 some of the interfaces is inevitably decomposed into substances with considerable 32 electronic conductivity. Fortunately, LPSCl in the MIX electrolyte, acting as a stable 33 matrix, not only maintains the ion conduction path, but also guarantees a low effective electronic conductivity even when LSPS locally reacts with lithium. The MIX 34 35 electrolyte delays the interfacial reaction between lithium and the solid electrolyte. 36 Consequently, the MIX electrolyte, even containing LSPS, can exhibit a high level of 37 lithium compatibility as long as a suitable ratio of LSPS to LPSCI is applied. These 38 results illustrate that LSPS suffers severe interfacial reactions, while LPSCl and MIX 39 retain good stability with Li metal.

40



1 2 3 Figure 4. (a) Rate test of Li symmetric cells at step-increased current densities. The capacity of each charge and discharge process is 0.5 mAh cm⁻². (b) EIS of electrolytes after rate cycling. (c) Long-4 term cycle stability at 0.5 mA cm⁻² current density with 0.25 mAh cm⁻² areal capacity.

5 Lithium symmetric cells using LSPS, LPSCl, and MIX electrolyte are assembled, 6 respectively. The rate performance of the symmetric lithium batteries is measured at 7 step-increased current densities with an increment of 0.2 mA cm⁻² per step. The capacity 8 of each charging and discharging process is 0.5 mAh cm⁻². As shown in Fig.4a, the cell 9 with LPSCl is shorted at 0.4 mA cm⁻², far from the high current densities required for 10 the high-rate operation of all-solid-state batteries. The cell with LSPS shows severe 11 polarization with the voltage exceeding 2 V when the current density is 1.6 mA cm⁻². 12 This is due to the rapid reaction of the LSPS with the lithium when current is applied, 13 rapidly forming MCI layers with great resistance and consequently increasing the 14 polarization voltage. The battery can no longer be used owing to the huge overpotential. 15 When the mass ratio of LSPS in the MIX is less than 30 wt.%, a soft short circuit occurs at high current density (Fig. S7a). When the mass ratio of LSPS in the MIX is more 16 than 40 wt.%, the rapid increase of the overpotential can be observed once the current 17 18 density is higher than 1.6 mA cm⁻² (Fig. S7b). The mix ratio of the MIX electrolyte is 19 optimized, and it is discovered that the MIX electrolyte with 40 wt.% LSPS can support 20 a current density of 5.0 mA cm⁻² without generating lithium penetration and can 21 maintain a low overpotential of about 0.5 V at such a high current density (Fig. 4a). 22 This is because when the LPSCl content is high complete short circuit is likely to occur 23 at high currents, and when the LSPS content is high the severe reaction between LSPS 24 and Li results in a very high polarization voltage. By adjusting the appropriate ratio of 25 the two, an equilibrium can be achieved so that the cell is both short-circuit resistant and has a small polarization voltage at high currents. Therefore, without special 26

1 instruction, the MIX electrolyte discussed below is composed of 60 wt.% LPSCl and

2 40 wt.% LSPS.

3 Fig. 4b shows the electrochemical impedance spectrum (EIS) of the lithium 4 symmetric cells after the rate-performance test. The EIS of the cell with LPSCl exhibits 5 an inductance behavior, indicating that the cell is completely short-circuited. Differently, the EIS of the cell with LSPS shows a huge interphase layer resistance of 6 7 1591 Ω after the rate-performance test. Although for the cell with MIX, the interphase 8 layer resistance also increases to 228 Ω , it is significantly smaller than the one with 9 LSPS. To further study the origin of the increasing interphase layer resistance, the lithium symmetric cells after the rate-performance test are dissembled. The surface of 10 11 the solid electrolytes in contact with Li can be exposed (Fig. S8). For the Li/LSPS/Li 12 cell, the surface of the solid electrolyte is darkened and becomes rough. Moreover, the 13 lithium electrodes are easily detached from the solid electrolyte, indicating a chemical-14 mechanical failure that leads to severe contact loss. For the Li/MIX/Li cell, the lithium electrodes still intimately adhere to the solid electrolyte, and after removing the lithium 15 16 electrodes, the surface of the solid electrolyte shows only some black blemishes. The 17 limited interfacial reaction between MIX and Li endows the Li/MIX/Li cell with much 18 better cycling stability than the Li/LSPS/Li cell.

19 To further demonstrate the cycling stability of the MIX electrolyte, lithium 20 plating/stripping cycling of the lithium symmetric cells is tested at a current density of 21 0.5 mA cm⁻². The LPSCl cell can only afford a few cycles and the cell is immediately 22 short-circuited, while the voltage of the LSPS cell increase drastically and reaches a 23 point where it can no longer be used after 90 h. After 90 h of cycling, the overpotential 24 of the LSPS cell changes from 0.089 V to 5 V. The initial overpotentials of the LPSCI 25 and MIX are 0.031 V and 0.039 V, respectively. However, at around 10 h the LPSC1 26 cell short-circuits. Remarkably, the MIX cell has cycled steadily for 160 h while the 27 overpotential increases from 0.043 V to 0.251 V, which is significantly less than the 28 LSPS cell.

29



1

Figure 5 (a) S 2p, (b) P 2P and (c) Sn 3d XPS spectra of the MIX electrolyte collected from the
 interior and the MIX/Li interface. The spectra of the pristine electrolyte are also present for

4 comparison.

5 The XPS results of the MIX electrolyte before and after the rate cycling are 6 compared in order to further elucidate how the MIX electrolyte suppresses lithium 7 dendrites and maintains a low interfacial resistance at the same time. The XPS spectra 8 of Li 1s for these three samples show in Fig. S9a. All the Li 1s spectra show a Li-S peak at 55.4 eV and a Li-Cl peak at 56.1 eV. The Li-Cl peak corresponds to LPSCl 9 inside the MIX electrolyte as well as some incompletely reacted LiCl [43]. For the 10 11 spectra collected at the MIX/Li interface, an additional peak at 54.8 eV, attributed to Li⁰, can be observed. It originates from the interfacial reaction product Li-Sn alloys as 12 13 well as the Li adhering to the surface. As shown in Fig. 5a, the S 2p spectra collected 14 from the interior of the MIX electrolyte do not change significantly before and after the cycling, showing a couple of peaks at 162.6 eV and 161.4 eV, which is attributed to 15 16 SnS_4^{3-} and PS_4^{3-} . Differently, a couple of peaks attributed to Li₂S (161.3 eV and 160.2 17 eV) shows up in the spectrum collected at the MIX/Li interface after cycling, signifying 18 an evident lithiation of the MIX electrolyte [44]. This indicates that in the MIX 19 electrolyte, the decomposition mainly occurs at the interface, and is well suppressed 20 within the electrolyte. Similar conclusions can be drawn from the P 2p spectra (Fig. 21 5b). The pristine spectrum shows a couple of P 2p peaks at 132.6 eV and 131.8 eV, attributed to SnS4³⁻ and PS4³⁻. After cycling, the spectrum collected from the interior 22 23 shows almost the same signals, while the one collected at the interface shows additional 24 peaks at 128.6 eV and 127.9 eV, which correspond to the electrolyte decomposition 25 product Li₃P. The Sn 3d spectra (Fig. 5c) shows the signals of Sn⁴⁺ in LSPS at 494.4 26 eV and 485.9 eV. As for Sn 3d spectrum collected at the interface after cycling, the 27 peak intensity decreases significantly. Moreover, there appear additional peaks 28 associated with Li-Sn alloy at 491.7 eV and 483.5 eV, indicating that the LSPS has 29 partially decomposed at the MIX/Li interface [17]. The XPS fine sweep spectra of Cl 30 (Fig. S9b) is unchanged after cycling, whether collected from the interior or at the 31 interface, showing the good stability of LPSCI [45]. According to the XPS results, it 32 can be confirmed that after rate cycling, the decomposition of LSPS is almost confined 1 near the MIX/Li interface. This limited decomposition of LSPS, in one aspect,

2 consumes the lithium dendrites generated at high currents, and in the other aspect,

3 avoids the continuous growth of the highly resistive interphase layer.

4 After rate cycling, the MIX electrolyte was homogeneously ground and subjected 5 to XRD. It is clear to see that the peak intensity of the sample after the rate cycling 6 decreases to some extent, though with no obvious peaks of new compounds generated 7 (Fig. S10). The SEM of the interface after the rate cycling (Fig. S11) also shows that it 8 becomes slightly loose. Combined with the above observations, we infer that there is a 9 significant reaction at the electrolyte interface and that the internal electrolyte maintains 10 its normal performance. This indicates that the electrolyte still has good electrochemical 11 properties after the reaction induced by the high-current cycling. In principle, the MIX 12 electrolyte can be prepared by mixing a lithium-metastable solid electrolyte and a 13 lithium-unstable solid electrolyte, where the former acts as a lithium-compatible matrix, 14 and the latter as a dendrite-scavenger. To demonstrate the university of this strategy, 15 another combination, $Li_7P_3S_{11}$ and $Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12}$ are chosen to prepare the MIX 16 electrolyte. The mass ratio between Li₇P₃S₁₁ and Li₁₀GeP₂S₁₂ is 60:40. The rate test of 17 the lithium symmetric cells is presented in Fig. S12. It shows that this modified combination can also afford a high current density of up to 5 mA cm⁻² without a short 18 19 circuit.

20 Finally, full batteries are assembled to evaluate the electrochemical performance 21 of the MIX electrolyte. Here, LTO is used as the active material of the cathode, lithium 22 metal as the anode and the MIX electrolyte as the separator. LTO exhibits excellent 23 cycling stability. Moreover, it is compatible with sulfide solid electrolytes, so that the 24 side reactions in the cathode could be avoided [46-48]. To further reduce the side 25 reactions, the voltage window is adjusted to 1.3 - 2.3 V. In this voltage range, the 26 decomposition of the solid electrolyte is significantly suppressed [44, 49]. For 27 comparison, ASSLMBs with electrolytes of LPSCl and LSPS are also prepared using 28 the same manufacturing process. The rate-performance tests show that at 0.2 C rate, the 29 batteries with LSPS and with MIX have a high initial capacity of 180 mAh g⁻¹ and 174 30 mAh g⁻¹, respectively, whereas the one with LPSCl has a relatively low capacity of 139 31 mAh g⁻¹. Typically, the sulfide solid electrolytes containing metal elements, like LSPS 32 and LGPS, tend to provide a certain amount of battery capacity, owing to their poorer 33 stability than other sulfide solid electrolytes [50]. Once the rate increases, the battery 34 with LPSCl quickly short circuits at 0.5 C, which is reflected by the fluctuating charge 35 curve and the much higher charge capacity than the discharge capacity (Fig. 6f). This 36 corresponds to the low CCD of LPSCl as revealed in the Li symmetric cell (Fig. 4a). 37 As for the battery with LSPS, the rate capacities are 167 mAh g⁻¹, 133 mAh g⁻¹, and 20 38 mAh g⁻¹at 0.5, 1, and 2 C rates, respectively. The drastic capacity degradation at 2 C is 39 ascribed to the extremely large overpotential (Fig. 6e). The catastrophic polarization at 40 a high rate is ameliorated in the battery with the MIX electrolyte, whose rate capacities 41 are 164 mAh g⁻¹, 159 mAh g⁻¹, and 148 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.5, 1, and 2 C rates, respectively. 42 Moreover, no short circuit occurs even at a 2 C rate when the MIX electrolyte is used. 43 During 1 C rate cycling, the overpotential of the batteries, measured at half of the 44 theoretical capacity, is 0.050 V, and 0.220V for MIX and LSPS, respectively. Since 45 LPSCl is short-circuited at 1 C, its overpotential is 0.032 V at 0.5 C. The much higher 46 overpotential of the LSPS battery is associated with the high interphase layer resistance, 47 which will be discussed below by the EIS results. Fig. 6a also shows that all three 48 batteries have a coulombic efficiency greater than 100% in the initial few cycles. This

1 may be related to the side reactions between the electrolyte and the lithium anode. With

2 the increase of cycle numbers, coulombic efficiency all converges to 100%. It is also

3 tested with a commercial NCM-811 cathode material and maintained 77% capacity

4 after 150 cycles of 2 C (Fig. S13), with no short circuit occurring.

5 The EIS of the batteries before and after the rate test is shown in Fig. 5b-c. The incomplete high-frequency semicircle (10^5-10^2 Hz) is associated with the interphase 6 layer formed between the lithium and the solid electrolyte, the medium frequency (10^{2} -7 8 1 Hz) semicircle with the charge transfer process of the lithium anode, and the low frequency (1-0.01Hz) segment with the diffusion process of the lithium in the cathode. 9 Before the rate test, the batteries with LPSCl and MIX have similar EIS, with both 10 11 showing an interphase layer resistance of less than 10 Ω . Differently, the interphase 12 layer resistance of the LSPS battery is 70 Ω . After the rate test, the impedance of the 13 LPSCl battery slightly decreases, which corresponds to the soft short circuit of the 14 battery. A different case is observed in the LSPS battery, where the interphase layer 15 resistance drastically increases to 400 Ω , indicating the battery underwent violent 16 interfacial reactions during the cycling. In contrast, the MIX maintains a relatively 17 small interphase layer resistance of 84 Ω after the rate test. EIS results indicate that the 18 huge overpotential of the LSPS battery is principally ascribed to the uncontrollable 19 growth of the interphase layer between LSPS and the lithium anode, and the MIX 20 electrolyte can effectively inhibit interfacial reactions benefitting from the good 21 stability of LPSCI to lithium. To further test the cycling stability of the batteries using 22 the MIX electrolyte, galvanostatic charge/discharge is carried out at 1 C and 2 C, 23 respectively. As can be seen in Fig. 5g, the batteries exhibit excellent cycling stability, 24 retaining 94.4% and 97.3% capacity after 75 cycles at 1 C and 2 C, respectively, and 25 keep nearly 100% coulombic efficiency. This excellent stability and high coulombic 26 efficiency indicate that the MIX electrolyte can effectively stabilize the lithium/solid 27 electrolyte interface and can inhibit the short circuit of the ASSLMBs.



2

1

Figure 6. (a) Rate performance of Li/SEs/LTO ASSLMBs (SEs = MIX, LSPS, LPSCl). (b-c)
 Nyquist plots of Li/SEs/Li ASSLMBs before and after cycling. (d-f) Charge/discharge profiles of
 ASSLMBs. (g) Cycling performances of the Li/MIX /LTO ASSLMB at 1 C and 2 C.

6 4. Conclusions

7 In summary, the mixed solid electrolyte is prepared from two different types of SEs 8 by a simple mixing strategy, one of which efficiently consumes lithium dendrites, and 9 the other is meta-stable with Li anode. The MIX solid electrolyte exhibits good Li-10 compatibility and excellent lithium-dendrite resistance. Using this MIX electrolyte, the 11 Li symmetric cells are found to operate stably for 160 h at 0.5 mA cm⁻² without a short circuit. Even at a current density of 5 mA cm⁻², the overpotential is lower than 0.5 V. 12 13 The Li/SEs/LTO ASSLMBs are prepared and exhibit a high capacity of 174 mAh g⁻¹ 14 at 0.2 C and 148 mAh g⁻¹ at 2 C, showing excellent rate performance. High-capacity 15 retention of 97.3% and 94.4% are shown after 75 cycles at room temperature at 1 C and 2 C, respectively. The high adaptability of MIX solid electrolyte may make it possible 16 17 to use not just two electrolytes with different properties, but even three or more electrolytes and additives with varied properties to specifically optimize the 18 19 performance of the all-solid-state battery. This simple preparation method provides a 20 completely new idea for developing novel solid electrolytes in order to meet the 21 requirements of the commercialization of all-solid-state batteries.

22

23 Supporting Information.

1 The support information is available free of charge.

2 Acknowledgment

3 This work is financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong

- 4 Province (No. 2021A1515011725), and the Shenzhen Science and Technology 5 Foundation (ICV120210324095808023)
- 5 Foundation (JCYJ20210324095808023).

6 CRediT authorship contribution statement

- 7 Zeyu Ge: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Writing –
- 8 original draft. Nanshan Chen: Conceptualization, Validation, Investigation, Writing)
- 9 original draft. **Rui Wang**: Investigation, Validation, Writing review & editing.
- 10 **Rui Ma**: Methodology, Investigation, Writing review & editing. **Fan Bo**:
- 11 Methodology, Investigation, Writing review & editing. **David Le coq**:
- 12 Methodology, Investigation, Writing review & editing. Xianghua Zhang:
- 13 Methodology, Investigation, Writing review & editing. Hongli Ma: Methodology,
- 14 Investigation, Writing review & editing. Bai Xue: Conceptualization, Methodology,
- 15 Validation, Investigation, Writing original draft, Writing review & editing,
- 16 Supervision, Project administration.
- 17
- 18 Zeyu Ge and Nanshan Chen contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.
- 19

20 Conflict of Interest

- 21 There are no conflicts to declare.
- 22

- 1 [1] F. Duffner, N. Kronemeyer, J. Tübke, J. Leker, M. Winter, R. Schmuch, Post-
- 2 lithium-ion battery cell production and its compatibility with lithium-ion cell 3 production infrastructure, Nature Energy 6(2) (2021) 123-134.
- 4 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-00748-8</u>.
- [2] L.-Z. Fan, H. He, C.-W. Nan, Tailoring inorganic–polymer composites for the mass
 production of solid-state batteries, Nature Reviews Materials 6(11) (2021) 1003-1019.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00320-0.
- 8 [3] Y. Chen, Z. Wang, X. Li, X. Yao, C. Wang, Y. Li, W. Xue, D. Yu, S.Y. Kim, F.
- 9 Yang, A. Kushima, G. Zhang, H. Huang, N. Wu, Y.W. Mai, J.B. Goodenough, J. Li, Li
- 10 metal deposition and stripping in a solid-state battery via Coble creep, Nature 578(7794)
- 11 (2020) 251-255. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-1972-y</u>.
- [4] D. Lin, Y. Liu, Y. Cui, Reviving the lithium metal anode for high-energy batteries,
 Nat Nanotechnol 12(3) (2017) 194-206. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.16.
- [5] J. Janek, W.G. Zeier, A solid future for battery development, Nature Energy 1(9)
 (2016) 16141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/Nenergy.2016.141</u>.
- 16 [6] B.S. Vishnugopi, E. Kazyak, J.A. Lewis, J. Nanda, M.T. McDowell, N.P. Dasgupta,
- 17 P.P. Mukherjee, Challenges and Opportunities for Fast Charging of Solid-State Lithium
- 18 Metal Batteries, ACS Energy Letters 6(10) (2021) 3734-3749.
- 19 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c01352</u>.
- [7] Y. Qian, K. Zhang, L. Tan, Y. An, B. Xi, S. Xiong, J. Feng, Y. Qian, Highly
 reversible and safe lithium metal batteries enabled by Non-flammable All-fluorinated
 carbonate electrolyte conjugated with 3D flexible MXene-based lithium anode,
 Chemical Engineering Journal 440 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2022.135818.
- [8] S. Sarkar, V. Thangadurai, Critical Current Densities for High-Performance All Solid-State Li-Metal Batteries: Fundamentals, Mechanisms, Interfaces, Materials, and
- 26 Applications, ACS Energy Letters 7(4) (2022) 1492-1527.
- 27 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c00003.
- 28 [9] W. Lu, M. Xue, C. Zhang, Modified Li7La3Zr2O12 (LLZO) and LLZO-polymer
- composites for solid-state lithium batteries, Energy Storage Materials 39 (2021) 108https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2021.04.016.
- [10] Z. Zhao, Z. Wen, X. Liu, H. Yang, S. Chen, C. Li, H. Lv, F. Wu, B. Wu, D. Mu,
 Tuning a compatible interface with LLZTO integrated on cathode material for
 improving NCM811/LLZTO solid-state battery, Chemical Engineering Journal 405
 (2021) 127031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127031.
- [11] F. Shen, M.B. Dixit, X. Xiao, K.B. Hatzell, Effect of Pore Connectivity on Li
 Dendrite Propagation within LLZO Electrolytes Observed with Synchrotron X-ray
 Tomography, ACS Energy Letters 3(4) (2018) 1056-1061.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00249.
- [12] C. Wang, J. Liang, Y. Zhao, M. Zheng, X. Li, X. Sun, All-solid-state lithium
 batteries enabled by sulfide electrolytes: from fundamental research to practical
 engineering design, Energy & Environmental Science 14(5) (2021) 2577-2619.

- 1 https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ee00551k.
- 2 [13] P. Adeli, J.D. Bazak, K.H. Park, I. Kochetkov, A. Huq, G.R. Goward, L.F. Nazar,

3 Boosting Solid-State Diffusivity and Conductivity in Lithium Superionic Argyrodites 4 by Halide Substitution, Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 58(26) (2019) 8681-8686. 5 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201814222.

- 6 [14] D. Zeng, J. Yao, L. Zhang, R. Xu, S. Wang, X. Yan, C. Yu, L. Wang, Promoting 7 favorable interfacial properties in lithium-based batteries using chlorine-rich sulfide 8 inorganic solid-state electrolytes, Nat Commun 13(1)(2022)1909. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29596-8. 9
- 10 [15] T. Krauskopf, F.H. Richter, W.G. Zeier, J. Janek, Physicochemical Concepts of 11 the Lithium Metal Anode in Solid-State Batteries, Chem Rev 120(15) (2020) 7745-12 7794. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00431.
- 13 [16] S.P. Ong, Y. Mo, W.D. Richards, L. Miara, H.S. Lee, G. Ceder, Phase stability, 14 electrochemical stability and ionic conductivity of the $Li10\pm 1MP2X12$ (M = Ge, Si, Sn,
- 15 Al or P, and X = O, S or Se) family of superionic conductors, Energy Environ. Sci. 6(1)
- 16 (2013) 148-156. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee23355j.
- 17 [17] B.Z. Zheng, X.S. Liu, J.P. Zhu, J. Zhao, G.M. Zhong, Y.X. Xiang, H.C. Wang, 18 W.M. Zhao, E. Umeshbabu, Q.H. Wu, J.Y. Huang, Y. Yang, Unraveling (electro)-19 chemical stability and interfacial reactions of Li10SnP2S12 in all-solid-state Li 20 batteries. Nano Energy 67 (2020)104252.
- 21 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104252.

[18] W. Fitzhugh, X. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Ye, X. Li, Solid-electrolyte-interphase design 22 23 in constrained ensemble for solid-state batteries, Energy & Environmental Science 14(8) 24 (2021) 4574-4583. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ee00754h.

25 [19] N. Kamaya, K. Homma, Y. Yamakawa, M. Hirayama, R. Kanno, M. Yonemura, 26 T. Kamiyama, Y. Kato, S. Hama, K. Kawamoto, A. Mitsui, A lithium superionic 27 conductor, Nat Mater 10(9) (2011) 682-6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3066.

- 28 [20] S. Wenzel, S. Randau, T. Leichtweiß, D.A. Weber, J. Sann, W.G. Zeier, J. Janek, 29 Direct Observation of the Interfacial Instability of the Fast Ionic Conductor 30 Li10GeP2S12 at the Lithium Metal Anode, Chemistry of Materials 28(7) (2016) 2400-31 2407. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b00610.
- 32 [21] H. Pan, M. Zhang, Z. Cheng, H. Jiang, J. Yang, P. Wang, P. He, H. Zhou, Carbon-33 free and binder-free Li-Al alloy anode enabling an all-solid-state Li-S battery with high 34 stability, Science Advances eabn4372. energy and 8(15) (2022)35 https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn4372.
- 36 [22] S. Luo, Z. Wang, X. Li, X. Liu, H. Wang, W. Ma, L. Zhang, L. Zhu, X. Zhang, 37 Growth of lithium-indium dendrites in all-solid-state lithium-based batteries with sulfide electrolytes, Nat Commun 12(1) (2021) 6968. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-38 39 021-27311-7.
- 40 [23] J.M. Doux, H. Nguyen, D.H.S. Tan, A. Banerjee, X. Wang, E.A. Wu, C. Jo, H. 41 Yang, Y.S. Meng, Stack Pressure Considerations for Room-Temperature

- 1 All-Solid-State Lithium Metal Batteries, Advanced Energy Materials 10(1) (2019)
- 2 1903253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201903253</u>.
- 3 [24] C. Lee, S.Y. Han, J.A. Lewis, P.P. Shetty, D. Yeh, Y. Liu, E. Klein, H.-W. Lee,
- 4 M.T. McDowell, Stack Pressure Measurements to Probe the Evolution of the Lithium-
- 5 Solid-State Electrolyte Interface, ACS Energy Letters 6(9) (2021) 3261-3269.
- 6 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.1c01395</u>.
- 7 [25] Z. Ning, D.S. Jolly, G. Li, R. De Meyere, S.D. Pu, Y. Chen, J. Kasemchainan, J.
- 8 Ihli, C. Gong, B. Liu, D.L.R. Melvin, A. Bonnin, O. Magdysyuk, P. Adamson, G.O.
- 9 Hartley, C.W. Monroe, T.J. Marrow, P.G. Bruce, Visualizing plating-induced cracking
- 10 in lithium-anode solid-electrolyte cells, Nat Mater 20(8) (2021) 1121-1129.
- 11 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-00967-8</u>.
- [26] J.A. Lewis, F.J.Q. Cortes, Y. Liu, J.C. Miers, A. Verma, B.S. Vishnugopi, J.
 Tippens, D. Prakash, T.S. Marchese, S.Y. Han, C. Lee, P.P. Shetty, H.W. Lee, P.
 Shevchenko, F. De Carlo, C. Saldana, P.P. Mukherjee, M.T. McDowell, Linking void
 and interphase evolution to electrochemistry in solid-state batteries using operando Xray tomography, Nat Mater 20(4) (2021) 503-510. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-</u>
 00903-2.
- 18 [27] C. Wang, Y. Zhao, Q. Sun, X. Li, Y. Liu, J. Liang, X. Li, X. Lin, R. Li, K.R. Adair,
- L. Zhang, R. Yang, S. Lu, X. Sun, Stabilizing interface between Li10SnP2S12 and Li
 metal by molecular layer deposition, Nano Energy 53 (2018) 168-174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2018.08.030.
- [28] H. Wan, S. Liu, T. Deng, J. Xu, J. Zhang, X. He, X. Ji, X. Yao, C. Wang,
 Bifunctional Interphase-Enabled Li10GeP2S12 Electrolytes for Lithium–Sulfur
 Battery, ACS Energy Letters 6(3) (2021) 862-868.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02617.
- [29] X. Wu, S. Cui, M. Fei, S. Liu, X. Gao, G. Li, Inverse-opal structured TiO2
 regulating electrodeposition behavior to enable stable lithium metal electrodes, Green
 Energy & Environment (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gee.2022.03.010.
- 29 [30] J. Xu, J. Li, Y. Li, M. Yang, L. Chen, H. Li, F. Wu, Long-Life Lithium-Metal All-30 Solid-State Batteries and Stable Li Plating Enabled by In Situ Formation of Li(3) PS(4) 31 in the SEI Layer, Adv Mater 34(34) (2022)e2203281. 32 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202203281.
- 52 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202205201</u>.
- 33 [31] X. Li, D. Wang, H. Wang, H. Yan, Z. Gong, Y. Yang, Poly(ethylene oxide)-
- Li(10)SnP(2)S(12) Composite Polymer Electrolyte Enables High-Performance All Solid-State Lithium Sulfur Battery, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 11(25) (2019) 22745-
- 36 22753. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b05212</u>.
- [32] Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, P. Zhang, D. Yan, J. Liu, Y. Chen, Q. Liu, P. Cheng, M.J.
 Zaworotko, Z. Zhang, Thermally rearranged covalent organic framework with flameretardancy as a high safety Li-ion solid electrolyte, eScience 2(3) (2022) 311-318.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esci.2022.03.004</u>.
- 41 [33] H. Yang, B. Zhang, M. Jing, X. Shen, L. Wang, H. Xu, X. Yan, X. He, In Situ

- 1 Catalytic Polymerization of a Highly Homogeneous PDOL Composite Electrolyte for
- 2 Long-Cycle High-Voltage Solid-State Lithium Batteries, Advanced Energy Materials
- 3 12(39) (2022) 2201762. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.202201762</u>.

[34] Q. Zhang, D. Cao, Y. Ma, A. Natan, P. Aurora, H. Zhu, Sulfide-Based Solid-State
Electrolytes: Synthesis, Stability, and Potential for All-Solid-State Batteries, Adv Mater
31(44) (2019) e1901131. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201901131.

- 7 [35] A. Jain, S.P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W.D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D.
- 8 Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder, K.A. Persson, Commentary: The Materials Project: A 9 materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation, Apl Materials 1(1)
- 10 (2013) 011002. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812323.
- [36] Y. Wang, L. Ye, X. Chen, X. Li, A Two-Parameter Space to Tune Solid
 Electrolytes for Lithium Dendrite Constriction, JACS Au 2(4) (2022) 886-897.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jacsau.2c00009</u>.
- [37] Q. Wang, D. Liu, X. Ma, X. Zhou, Z. Lei, Cl-Doped Li(10)SnP(2)S(12) with
 Enhanced Ionic Conductivity and Lower Li-Ion Migration Barrier, ACS Appl Mater
 Interfaces 14(19) (2022) 22225-22232. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c05203.
- [38] H. Wang, L. Wu, B. Xue, F. Wang, Z. Luo, X. Zhang, L. Calvez, P. Fan, B. Fan,
 Improving Cycling Stability of the Lithium Anode by a Spin-Coated High-Purity
 Li(3)PS(4) Artificial SEI Layer, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 14(13) (2022) 1521415224. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c25224.
- [39] P. Bron, S. Johansson, K. Zick, J. Schmedt auf der Gunne, S. Dehnen, B. Roling,
 Li10SnP2S12: an affordable lithium superionic conductor, J Am Chem Soc 135(42)
 (2013) 15694-7. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja407393y.
- [40] B. Fan, Z.B. Guan, H.J. Wang, L.L. Wu, W.Z. Li, S.B. Zhang, B. Xue,
 Electrochemical processes in all-solid-state Li-S batteries studied by electrochemical
 impedance spectroscopy, Solid State Ionics 368 (2021) 115680.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2021.115680.
- [41] H.L. Li, T. Zhang, Z. Yang, Y.L. Shi, Q.C. Zhuang, Y.H. Cui, Electrochemical
 Impedance Spectroscopy Study on Using Li10GeP2S12 Electrolyte for All-Solid-State
 Lithium Batteries, International Journal of Electrochemical Science 16(2) (2021)
 210229. <u>https://doi.org/10.20964/2021.02.33</u>.
- [42] I. Tarhouchi, V. Viallet, P. Vinatier, M. Ménétrier, Electrochemical
 characterization of Li10SnP2S12: An electrolyte or a negative electrode for solid state
 Li-ion batteries?, Solid State Ionics 296 (2016) 18-25.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2016.08.016</u>.
- [43] A.L. Davis, E. Kazyak, D.W. Liao, K.N. Wood, N.P. Dasgupta, Operando
 Analysis of Interphase Dynamics in Anode-Free Solid-State Batteries with Sulfide
 Electrolytes, Journal of The Electrochemical Society 168(7) (2021) 070557.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ac163d</u>.
- 40 [44] D.H.S. Tan, E.A. Wu, H. Nguyen, Z. Chen, M.A.T. Marple, J.-M. Doux, X. Wang,
- 41 H. Yang, A. Banerjee, Y.S. Meng, Elucidating Reversible Electrochemical Redox of

- Li6PS5Cl Solid Electrolyte, ACS Energy Letters 4(10) (2019) 2418-2427.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01693</u>.
- 3 [45] J. Auvergniot, A. Cassel, D. Foix, V. Viallet, V. Seznec, R. Dedryvère, Redox 4 activity of argyrodite Li6PS5Cl electrolyte in all-solid-state Li-ion battery: An XPS
- 5 study, Solid State Ionics 300 (2017) 78-85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2016.11.029</u>.
- 6 [46] G.-L. Zhu, C.-Z. Zhao, H. Yuan, B.-C. Zhao, L.-P. Hou, X.-B. Cheng, H.-X. Nan,
- 7 Y. Lu, J. Zhang, J.-Q. Huang, Q.-B. Liu, C.-X. He, Q. Zhang, Interfacial redox
- 8 behaviors of sulfide electrolytes in fast-charging all-solid-state lithium metal batteries,
 9 Energy Storage Materials 31 (2020) 267-273.
- 9 Energy Storage Materials 10 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.05.017.
- 11 [47] L. Feng, Z.W. Yin, C.W. Wang, Z. Li, S.J. Zhang, P.F. Zhang, Y.P. Deng, F. Pan,
- B. Zhang, Z. Lin, Glassy/Ceramic Li2TiO3/LixByOz Analogous "Solid Electrolyte
 Interphase" to Boost 4.5 V LiCoO2 in Sulfide-Based All-Solid-State Batteries,
 Advanced Functional Materials (2023) 2210744.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202210744.
- 16 [48] C.-W. Wang, F.-C. Ren, Y. Zhou, P.-F. Yan, X.-D. Zhou, S.-J. Zhang, W. Liu, W.-D. Zhang, M.-H. Zou, L.-Y. Zeng, X.-Y. Yao, L. Huang, J.-T. Li, S.-G. Sun, 17 18 Engineering the interface between LiCoO2 and Li10GeP2S12 solid electrolytes with 19 an ultrathin Li2CoTi3O8 interlayer to boost the performance of all-solid-state batteries, 20 Energy & Environmental Science 14(1)437-450. (2021)https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ee03212c. 21
- [49] C.-W. Wang, S.-J. Zhang, C. Lin, S. Xue, Y.-P. Deng, B. Zhang, L. Yang, X. Yao, 22 23 L. Zeng, J.-T. Li, F. Pan, Z.-W. Yin, Mechanochemical reactions between polyanionic 24 borate and residue Li2CO3 on LiCoO2 to stabilize cathode/electrolyte interface in 25 sulfide-based all-solid-state batteries, Nano Energy 108 (2023)108192. 26 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2023.108192.
- 27 [50] F. Han, T. Gao, Y. Zhu, K.J. Gaskell, C. Wang, A Battery Made from a Single
- 28 Material, Adv Mater 27(23) (2015) 3473-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201500180</u>.
- 29

30 Highlights :

- 31 1. High-performance solid electrolytes are prepared by simple hand-32 mixing.
- 33 2. The solid electrolytes can stand 5 mA cm⁻² without lithium penetration.
- 34 3. The overpotential of symmetric lithium cells is less than 0.5 V at 5 mA cm^{-2} .
- 36 4. The Li/SE/Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ cells show 94.4% capacity retention after 75
- 37 cycles at 2 C.

Johnstein