

# From Bq cm<sup>--3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>--2</sup> (and conversely)-part 1: a useful conversion for autoradiography

Sophie Billon, Paul Sardini, Sylvain Leblond, Pascal Fichet

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sophie Billon, Paul Sardini, Sylvain Leblond, Pascal Fichet. From Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> (and conversely)-part 1: a useful conversion for autoradiography. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 2019, 320 (3), pp.643-654. 10.1007/s10967-019-06521-w. hal-04122520

# HAL Id: hal-04122520 https://hal.science/hal-04122520v1

Submitted on 17 Feb 2025

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	From Bq cm <sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm <sup>-2</sup> (and conversely) - Part 1: a useful
2	conversion for autoradiography
3	Sophie Billon <sup>1</sup> , Paul Sardini <sup>2</sup> , Sylvain Leblond <sup>3</sup> , Pascal Fichet <sup>3</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> ERM company, rue Michel Brunet, Bat. 35, 86000 Poitiers, France
5	<sup>2</sup> Université de Poitiers, UMR 7285 CNRS, IC2MP, rue Michel Brunet, Bat. 35, 86073
6	Poitiers cedex 9, France
7	<sup>3</sup> Den-SEARS, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191, Gif Sur Yvette, France
8	sophie.billon@erm-poitiers.fr
9	Abstract

Autoradiography technique generally provides an image in cps cm<sup>-2</sup>, the conversion in Bq g<sup>-1</sup> 10 remaining a challenge. The Geant4 tool has been used to establish a conversion procedure based 11 on the estimation of a key parameter, the Emission Fraction  $F_E$ . The conversion method was 12 applied on three samples containing <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>238</sup>U respectively, allowing then to assess the 13 detection efficiency of a digital radioimager, BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup>. Particular attention was paid to 14 matrix effect of contaminated material that could affect the detection efficiency. This article is 15 coupled with a companion paper, providing support to apply the conversion method to 16 decommissioning investigations. 17

18 Keywords

19 autoradiography, surface/volumetric activities, detection efficiency, Geant4, emission fraction.

20

## 22 Introduction

Autoradiography is a two-dimensional image of the radioactive emissions from a solid material. 23 It appears to be one of the most suitable technique to detect, quantify and map radioactive 24 25 particle emissions, with the significant advantage to be a non-destructive method [1]. The usual methods use films (silver halide emulsions or phosphor screens) which are just blackened under 26 radiations: standard specimens are needed to quantify the blackening level. In opposition to 27 films, the radioimagers, a new technology of Digital Autoradiography (DA), allow an 28 acquisition in real time giving an individual particle counting (cps cm<sup>-2</sup>). Developed first in 29 biological, medical and pharmaceutical fields to track molecules labeled with radioactive 30 31 tracers [1], autoradiography is now a valuable technique to study solid materials such as rock samples in geological field, building materials or personal protective equipment in a 32 dismantling context. DA is of primary interest to study properties of rock targeted for the final 33 disposal of spent nuclear fuel, such as the sorption and diffusion of radioactive elements into 34 rocks [2-4]. The connected porosity of rocks which controls the diffusion process [5], can also 35 be fruitfully investigated with DA after impregnation with the <sup>14</sup>C-PolyMethylMetAcrylate 36 (<sup>14</sup>C-PMMA), a radioactive resin. The radioactive tracer is mapped on decimeter scale samples 37 [6-7]. In the front-end activities of the nuclear fuel cycle, DA technology is being used now to 38 locate precisely the uranium present in a given ore, as well as the remaining radioactive 39 elements in uranium mill tailings [8-9]. 40

The investigation of radionuclide contaminations under dismantling processes has also been recently developed using autoradiography. A concrete floor of an old nuclear laboratory has indeed been studied with phosphor screens, to map and quantify the radioactive contaminations [10]. A full-scale visualization of the contaminations was obtained, and half of the estimated activities measured by autoradiography was consistent with the liquid scintillation counting measurements, which is a reference technique in the measurement of beta emissions [1;10-14]. For the other half of the autoradiography measurements, the observed discrepancy was due tocalibration problems including two successive unit changes:

49 1- From DLU cm<sup>-2</sup> (Digital Luminescence Unit) to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> for the analyzed surface of the 50 contaminated sample. Contrary to digital radioimagers providing a direct particle counting per 51 area and per time, autoradiography technique using phosphor screens gives a signal per area in 52 the arbitrary unit DLU [10]. To convert DLU cm<sup>-2</sup> into Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>, standard specimens with known 53 activities must be exposed during the same time than samples of interest.

From Bq g<sup>-1</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> for the standard specimens. The most often, standard specimens for
autoradiography consist of a set of strips whose activities are given in Bq g<sup>-1</sup> (ART0123A for
<sup>3</sup>H, ARC146B for <sup>14</sup>C, from ARC Inc.). So, the surface activities of these strips (Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>) need
to be estimated before performing the first conversion from DLU cm<sup>-2</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>.

For many applications, there is also a need to estimate an activity per unit mass, when activity
per unit area can be determined with autoradiography technology or with Surface
Contamination Meters (SCMs), these last being widely used for decommissioning operations.
Until now, this conversion remains a challenging task.

The aim of this paper is thus to provide a useful conversion technique allowing to switch simply and properly between surface and volumetric activities. This method is based on Geant4 simulations which provide the fraction of particles reaching a given surface, i.e. the Analyzed Surface of a Contaminated Volume (ASCV), among all the particles emitting in this given volume. This key parameter is known as the Emission Fraction  $F_E$ .

Three samples of rock and plastic, each contaminated with a different radionuclide (<sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>238</sup>U series), have been used in order to illustrate the conversion from Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>. The emission fractions calculated with Geant4 for each sample, have allowed the conversion from the known volumetric activities to the estimated surface activities. Surface activities have also been determined experimentally using the gaseous radioimager BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (formerly known as Beaver<sup>TM</sup>). The detection efficiency  $\varepsilon$  of this detector, which corresponds to the number of detected particles among the number of particles emitted from the ASCV, can thus be assessed
for three different radionuclides, just by comparing measured surface activities with the
estimated ones.

For a given device (radioimager or SCM), the detection efficiency varies from one radionuclide to another according to the particle type and its energy of radiation. It is also possible that the instrument detection efficiency for a given radionuclide depends on the material in which it is emitted (known as "matrix effect"). More importantly, the particle counting measured with a detector depends on the contamination thickness into the material. Both effects are carefully investigated in the present work.

82

83

#### 1. Materials and Tools

## 84 1.1. Radioimager

85 BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> [15, 16], a gaseous device based on the combination of a parallel ionization multiplier with a micro pattern gas detector, was used as a digital radioimager. Samples are 86 deposited in contact with the gas chamber, in such a manner that radioactive particles emerging 87 from a contaminated surface of the sample (i.e. the ASCV), interact with gas (ionization), 88 resulting in the creation of secondary electrons. Via an appropriate electric voltage applied 89 90 between sample (the cathode), micromeshes and anode, secondary electrons are multiplied and then located onto the 2D pixelized anode. Then, each initial particle which triggers an electronic 91 acquisition is reconstructed providing an autoradiography image formation in real time. 92

The maximal spatial resolution obtained for both <sup>3</sup>H and alpha detection is 20  $\mu$ m, while the sensitivity can reach 8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> cps cm<sup>-2</sup> [15]. It can also be noted that BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> is not affected by X or gamma rays, and that the detection response is linear with the activities and covers 5 orders of magnitude. Moreover, BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> is able to separate alpha and beta emissions, based on a threshold applied on the energy deposited in gas (far higher for alpha than for beta), and 98 on the selection of adequate acquisition settings supplied by the manufacturer AI4R [15]. A 99 sample holder called GS with a rectangular area for analysis of 12 cm by 8 cm, providing a total 100 Detector Surface area  $S_D = 96$  cm<sup>2</sup>, was used for the measurements.

## 101 1.2. Samples

Three laboratory samples of interest were used to experimentally illustrate the proposed
 conversion method and determine the detection efficiency of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (Figure 1).



105 **Fig. 1** Samples pictures. a- M6 sample is a triangular piece of mortar impregnated and 106 surrounded with <sup>3</sup>H-PMMA resin (orange). b- M9 sample is a triangular piece of <sup>14</sup>C-PMMA 107 (yellow). c- M8 sample is a thin section of granite, including  $\beta$ -uranophane (U-bearing 108 minerals)

The first sample (M6) is a triangular piece of mortar which has been impregnated with a <sup>3</sup>H doped PMMA resin (pure beta emitter) [17]: a significant area of homogeneous pure <sup>3</sup>H-PMMA resin is also present around the mortar (orange colored zone in Figure 1a). The second sample (M9) is a sub-triangular piece (yellow zone in Figure 1b) of homogeneous resin of <sup>14</sup>C doped PMMA (pure beta emitter), surrounded by non-radioactive colorless resin. Volumetric activity of the PMMA resin is known for each of the two samples (Table 1).

Finally, the third sample (M8) is a granite thin section (rock thickness of 30 µm) sticked on a 116 glass slide (Figure 1c). It contains natural uranium bearing minerals known as  $\beta$ -uranophane 117 118 (54.4 wt% U) distributed in small clusters. The age of the rock (150 Ma) and alpha spectrometry attest the secular equilibrium of <sup>238</sup>U series [9]. For each <sup>238</sup>U disintegration, the 13 daughters 119 120 disintegrate too, leading to the simultaneous emission of eight alpha and six beta particles, plus all the associated emissions: gamma and X-rays, Auger and conversion electrons. However, 121 when analyzing with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup>, the option allowing counting only alpha particles has been 122 chosen. Therefore, all the other emissions produced by <sup>238</sup>U decay chain are not investigated in 123 this work (anyway, BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> is not sensitive to gamma and X-rays). 124

125 A theoretical Eq. (1) proposed in [18], was employed to estimate the activity of each alpha 126 emission from 1 cm<sup>3</sup> of  $\beta$ -uranophane crystal (i.e. the Volumetric Activity of one alpha  $A_{V,\alpha l}$ ): 127 a value of 26409 Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> is found (Table 1) for each alpha emission, giving a total activity for 128 the eight alphas of 221271 Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>.

129 
$$A_{V,\alpha 1} = \rho \lambda \left(\frac{C_x}{100} \frac{N}{A_x}\right)$$
(1)

130 Where  $\rho$  is the density of  $\beta$ -uranophane (3.9 g cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $\lambda$  is the disintegration constant of <sup>238</sup>U 131 (0.492×10<sup>-17</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_X$  is the weight fraction of uranium in  $\beta$ -uranophane (54.4 wt%) measured 132 by microprobe with a wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy system (Cameca SX100, Camparis 133 facilities, University Pierre and Marie Curie, Paris), *N* the Avogradro number (mol<sup>-1</sup>) and  $A_X$ 134 the molar mass of uranium (238.029 g mol<sup>-1</sup>).

135 Detailed features and properties of these three samples are provided in Table 1.

136

**Table 1** Sample properties. For M8 sample, data are separated between granite rock under exponent (1) and  $\beta$ -uranophane under exponent (2), granite being the whole sample,  $\beta$ uranophane a component of the sample

Sample	M6	M9	M8
Matarial			<sup>(1)</sup> granite (rock)
Material	PMMA resin	PMMA resin	$^{(2)}\beta$ -Uranophane mineral
Radionuclide	<sup>3</sup> H	$^{14}C$	<sup>238</sup> U series <sup>(2)</sup>
Chemical formula	$(C_5H_8O_2)_n$	$(C_5H_8O_2)_n$	$Ca(UO_2)_2(SiO_3OH)_2 \cdot 5H_2O^{(2)}$
Density $\rho$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.19	1.19	3.9 <sup>(2)</sup>
Contamination thickness $d_C$ (µm)	7000	500	30 <sup>(1) and (2)</sup>
Approximative surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	5	1	$10^{(1)}, < 1^{(2)}$
Known Volumetric Activity $A_{V,K}$ (Bq cm <sup>-3</sup> )	7 000 000	330 000	26 409 (2) *

Footnote to table 1 : \* the volumetric activity given for M8 sample refers to each alpha emission of the  $^{238}$ U decay chain. This value needs to be multiplied by the number of alpha (eight in  $^{238}$ U series) to obtain the total activity of alphas in  $\beta$ -uranophane

## 143 1.3. Geant4 simulations and validation

Geant4 (GEometry ANd Tracking 4) is a powerful and C++ based toolkit allowing to simulate 144 145 the transport of all kinds of particles through the matter [19]. It was used in this work to model the transport of beta and alpha particles emitted from the three samples studied here. An 146 accurate list of the sample materials including chemical compositions (loaded in Geant4) is 147 given in supplementary data (Table 1). Energy spectra of beta emissions have been extracted 148 from database provided in the Rad Toolbox software developed by the Oak Ridge National 149 Laboratory [20]. The energy distributions for the alpha emissions, have been extracted from 150 standard [21]. Simulations have been achieved considering  $10^7$  beta or alpha particles, ensuring 151 a valuable statistical analysis. 152

Geant4.10.02.p02 version was used for this study with a physics list based on the electromagnetic standard processes and models (EM standard physics list). The creation of a specific physics list allows to customize some settings instead of using the proposed default values.

In order to validate the implemented physics in Geant4, the Maximum Range values  $R_{MAX}$  of 158 beta particles travelling in plastic (i.e. PMMA), concrete and steel (materials defined in 159 supplementary data Table 1) with kinetic energy ranging from 20 keV to 1 MeV, were 160 calculated using both ESTAR program from NIST database [22] (National Institute of 161 Standards and Technology), and Geant4 simulations. Both tools provide similar  $R_{MAX}$  values, 162 with linear correlations displaying a slope a close to 1 as well as a correlation coefficient  $R^2$  of 163 0.99 (Figure 2a and supplementary data Table 2), except for beta radiations in steel where a =164 0.7. This discrepancy might be due to additional physics processes involved in the Geant4 165 166 physics list for which the cross-sections are enhanced by high density material such as steel. 167 The same comparison has been performed for alpha particles travelling in air, plastic and iron, using ASTAR program from NIST database [22] and Geant4: the obtained  $R_{MAX}$  values are very 168 close as illustrated by the slope a = 1 and the  $R^2 > 0.98$  for the linear correlations (Figure 2b and 169 supplementary data Table 3). 170



Fig. 2 Comparison of maximum range values (linear correlation with a slope "*a*" and a
correlation coefficient "*R*<sup>2</sup>"): NIST [22] Versus Geant4. a- beta emissions from 20 to 1000
keV. b- alpha emissions from 4000 to 5600 keV

175

## 176 **2. Method**

177

## 2.1. Detection efficiency calculation

Each radiation detector has a detection efficiency  $\varepsilon$  depending on the radiation energy and on 178 the radiation type (alpha, beta, gamma). The geometry of the detector has also a great influence 179 on the detection efficiency, because the solid angle of particles emissions depends on 1- the 180 distance between the Analyzed Surface of the Contaminated Volume (ASCV) and the sensitive 181 part of the detector, and 2- the ratio between contamination and detector surface areas. The 182 nature of the contaminated material, i.e. the material in which particles travel, could also impact 183 the detection efficiency. Indeed, the energy of particles, which decreases at each interaction 184 185 with matter, can be different at the analyzed surface of a contaminated material A, compared to the analyzed surface of a contaminated material B, because of the matrix effect. 186

To determine precisely the efficiency of a SCM, the ISO standard 7503-3 [23] recommends to use calibrated sources with  $10 \times 10$  cm<sup>2</sup> active area and certified alpha, beta or gamma Emission Rate *ER* in  $2\pi$  (provided in counts per second (cps) for the total contaminated Surface area of the Source *S<sub>s</sub>*), and to apply the following Eq. (2):

191 
$$\varepsilon = \frac{(SCR_S - SCR_B)}{SER_S}$$
(2)

192 with 
$$SER_S = \frac{ER_S}{S_S}$$
,  $SCR_B = \frac{CR_B}{S_D}$ ,  $SCR_S = \frac{CR_S}{S_D}$  if  $S_S > S_D$ , or  $SCR_S = \frac{CR_S}{S_S}$  if  $S_D > S_S$ 

193

where  $SCR_S$  is the Surface Count Rate measured on the calibrated Source in cps cm<sup>-2</sup>,  $SCR_B$  the Surface Count Rate measured for the Background in cps cm<sup>-2</sup>,  $SER_S$  the certified Surface Emission Rate in  $2\pi$  of the calibrated Source in cps cm<sup>-2</sup>. A Surface Count Rate *SER* being a 197 Count Rate *CR* divided by the related surface area of counting: -  $S_D$  the Surface area of the 198 sensitive part of the Detector (cm<sup>2</sup>) or -  $S_S$  the Surface area of a calibrated Source in cm<sup>2</sup>.

199 Then, the Surface Count Rate measurement ( $SCR_C$ ) of a homogeneously Contaminated surface, 200 for which the radionuclide is known, can be corrected by the factor  $1/\varepsilon$  of the corresponding 201 radiation type and energy region to obtain the Surface Emission Rate  $SER_C$  of the 202 Contamination (Eq. (3)).

203 
$$SER_C = \frac{(SCR_C - SCR_B)}{\varepsilon}$$
(3)

204 with 
$$SER_C = \frac{ER_C}{S_C}$$
,  $SCR_B = \frac{CR_B}{S_D}$ ,  $SCR_C = \frac{CR_C}{S_D}$  if  $S_C > S_D$ , or  $SCR_C = \frac{CR_C}{S_C}$  if  $S_D > S_C$ 

205

The autoradiography technology allows to map a contaminated surface to calculate its surface area value  $S_C$ : it is thus possible to choose the relevant area to calculate  $SCR_C$  from the Count Rate of the contamination  $CR_C$  (cps) (Eq. (3)). For other radioactivity detectors, generally one of the two assumptions ( $S_D$  or  $S_C$ ) has to be chosen.

The detection efficiency  $\varepsilon$  of the radioimager BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> is investigated in this work for pure 210 beta emitters (<sup>3</sup>H and <sup>14</sup>C) and for alpha emitters from the entire decay chain of <sup>238</sup>U (eight 211 alphas). To this purpose, the three samples (see Figure 1) were used: although they are not 212 referenced sources with certified surface emission rate in  $2\pi$ , volumetric activities of M6 and 213 M9 samples were determined in laboratory by liquid scintillation counting (Table 1). For the 214 M8 sample, the theoretical Eq. (1) proposed by [18] was used to estimate the volumetric activity 215 216 of each alpha emitter of uranium bearing minerals, as already stated above. Surface activities have been estimated from these volumetric activities, in order to be compared with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> 217 218 surface measurements.

## 219 2.2. Concept of Emission Fraction $F_E$

The ISO standard 7503-1 [24] proposes to use an Emission Fraction  $F_E$  to convert Surface Emission Rate *SER* (cps cm<sup>-2</sup>) into Surface Activity  $A_S$  (Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>) (Eq. (4)).

222 
$$A_S = \frac{SER_C}{F_E} = \frac{(SCR_C - SCR_B)}{\varepsilon F_E}$$
(4)

 $F_E$  is the ratio between the number of particles emerging from the ASCV (or in other terms the 223 face of a contaminated sample in contact with the detector window) and the number of particles 224 225 produced in the contaminated volume. However, the concept of  $F_E$  presented in [24] is based on the assumption that the contamination layer is infinitely thin, eliminating the concept of 226 227 volume. Accordingly, the  $2\pi$  geometry yields to a reduction of the detectable emissions by a factor of 2 [23], providing an estimation of  $F_E$  equal to 0.5. However, in the case of thick 228 229 contaminations, which is the general case found for nuclear wastes or geological samples, such an assumption induces a significant bias between Surface Activity As and Volumetric Activity 230  $A_V$ . In other terms, Eq. (4) proposed in the ISO standard 7503-1 [24] to calculate  $A_S$ , is not truly 231 adapted to thick contaminated samples when considering  $F_E = 0.5$ . 232

233

Emission Probability  $P_E$ , described as the ratio between the number of particles created and the 234 number of decays of the same radionuclide, is equal to 1 for the three samples analyzed with 235 BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup>. Indeed, M6 and M9 are pure beta emitters (<sup>3</sup>H and <sup>14</sup>C). Concerning M8 in which 236 only alpha particles are considered,  $P_E$  could be considered equal to 1 for each of the eight alpha 237 emissions of the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain. In this work,  $P_E$  equal to 1 (i.e. cps = Bq) allows the direct 238 conversion from the measured Surface Count Rate  $SCR_C$  (cps cm<sup>-2</sup>) to the Surface Activity  $A_S$ 239 (Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>), after correction from the corresponding instrument efficiency  $\varepsilon$  and from the 240 background measurement, without having to involve the Fraction Emission  $F_E$  (Eq. (5)). 241

242 
$$A_S = \frac{SCR_C - SCR_B}{\varepsilon} = SER_C$$
(5)

In the present study, an appropriate estimation of  $F_E$  is used to calculate a Volumetric Activity A<sub>V</sub> in Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> (next section 2.3.), instead of a Surface Activity A<sub>S</sub> in Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> of a contamination layer infinitely thin (as proposed in [24] and Eq. (4)).

The Emission Fraction  $F_E$  is difficult to estimate because it depends on the nature of the 247 248 contaminated material (matrix), the radionuclide (particle type and emission energy) and the Contamination thickness  $d_c$ . The contamination is considered as homogeneous in the whole 249 material. For a given  $d_c$ , the denser the material is, the lower the number of particles of a given 250 251 type and energy emerge on the ASCV. For a same material, high energy beta particles are able 252 to cross over longer distances than low energy betas or alphas. This leads to a higher value of  $F_E$  considering the same Contaminated thickness  $d_C$ . Finally, for a given combination of a 253 material and a radionuclide, the greater  $d_C$  is, the lower will be the fraction  $F_E$  of particles 254 emerging from the total volume, through the ASCV. Indeed, particles are more likely to be 255 stopped in a thick material, before to reach the ASCV, than in a thin one. A proper simulation 256 procedure using Geant4 has been performed to answer to these difficulties. 257

258

## 2.3. Estimation of the Emission Fraction $F_E$ using Geant4 simulations

A contaminated material can be depicted as a parallelepiped object (Figure 3a), in which three thicknesses are defined: 1- apparent Object thickness  $d_O$  (which can be considered as infinite in the case of contaminated floor and/or wall), 2- Contamination thickness  $d_C$  (Figure 3a), corresponding to the depth of the contamination, 3- Maximum Range  $R_{MAX}$  of the particles responsible of the contamination in the considered material.

Knowing that  $d_O$  is thus necessarily greater or equal to  $d_C$ ,  $d_O$  is not a significant thickness in the present work, while  $d_C$  needs to be known.  $R_{MAX}$  can be lower, equal or higher than  $d_C$ . If  $R_{MAX} < d_C$ , a part of the contaminated volume is not explored by the autoradiography technique 267 (or by any other SCM), because particles emitted deeper than the maximum range cannot reach 268 the ASCV (Figure 3b). Thus, it is not relevant to estimate  $F_E$  for contamination thicknesses  $d_C$ 269  $> R_{MAX}$ , even if it could happen in real samples.

270



Fig. 3 Definitions of important thicknesses for a contaminated sample. The upper face corresponds to the Analyzed Surface of the Contaminated Volume (ASCV). a- The Contamination thickness  $d_c$  is lower or equal to the Object thickness  $d_o$ . b- The Maximum Range  $R_{MAX}$  can be lower, equal or greater than the Contamination thickness  $d_c$ 

276

Geant4 was used to calculate the fraction  $F_E$  of the volumetric activity detectable on the ASCV, for the three studied samples previously described in section 1.2.  $F_E$  will then allow to switch from volumetric to surface activities.

The first step consisted to calculate with Geant4, the  $R_{MAX}$  values in the given material, using the maximal emission energy of the considered radiation [20, 21]. Then, a rectangular parallelepiped of  $4 \times R_{MAX}$  in width,  $4 \times R_{MAX}$  in length and  $2 \times R_{MAX}$  in height, filled with the

material to consider, was build (Figure 4). Into this volume, 10<sup>7</sup> particles corresponding to one 283 of the studied radionuclides have been generated with initial random directions and positions 284 in a segment. This segment ranges from the origin (0, 0, 0) to the point  $(0, 0, -R_{MAX})$  (see Figure 285 4). These conditions and dimensions were chosen in order that any emitted particle can possibly 286 reach the upper face of the material volume, i.e. the ASCV. For each emitted particle, the initial 287 kinetic energy is selected in the corresponding energy distribution [20, 21]. The fraction of 288 particles emerging at the ASCV, according to their emission depth h, was calculated, h ranging 289 from the surface (h = 0) to  $h = -R_{MAX}$ . Then, an integral calculation has been achieved to 290 estimate the fraction of particles reaching the ASCV, i.e. the emission fraction according to the 291 292 thickness d of the considered layer,  $F_E(d)$ . The numerical Steps s of  $F_E(d)$  are adjusted according to the order of magnitudes of the  $R_{MAX}$  values:  $s = 0.01 \ \mu m$  for <sup>3</sup>H,  $s = 0.1 \ \mu m$  for <sup>14</sup>C and the 293 alpha emitters of the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain. 294

295



Fig. 4 Scheme of the geometry chosen in the Geant4 simulations. The parallelepiped object corresponds to the contaminated volume centered in  $(0, 0, -R_{MAX})$ . The upper face, i.e. the Analyzed Surface of the Contaminated Volume (ASCV), is centered in (0, 0, 0). Particles are emitted from the segment ranging from (0, 0, 0) to  $(0, 0, -R_{MAX})$ 

With these sets of  $F_E$  values, it is then possible to evaluate the Surface Activity  $A_S$  (in Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>) of a sample with known Volumetric Activity  $A_V$  (in Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>), and conversely. For a given case (a material and a radiation type), if the Contamination thickness  $d_C > R_{MAX}$ , the activities need to be calculated with a thickness equal to  $R_{MAX}$ , and  $F_E(R_{MAX})$  has to be used (Eq. (6a)). Otherwise, if  $d_C < R_{MAX}$ , activities have to be calculated with  $F_E(d_C)$  (Eq. (6b)).

$$307 A_{S,G} = A_{V,K} \times F_E(R_{MAX}) \times R_{MAX} or A_{V,G} = \frac{A_{S,K}}{F_E(R_{MAX}) \times R_{MAX}} if d_C > R_{MAX} (6a)$$

$$308 A_{S,G} = A_{V,K} \times F_E(d_c) \times d_c or A_{V,G} = \frac{A_{S,K}}{F_E(d_c) \times d_c} if d_C < R_{MAX} (6b)$$

309

Where  $A_{S,G}$  is the Surface Activity estimated with Geant4 simulation tool (Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>),  $A_{V,K}$  the Known Volumetric Activity (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $A_{V,G}$  the Volumetric Activity estimated with Geant4 simulation tool (Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>) and  $A_{S,K}$  the Known Surface Activity (Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>). Obviously, the important assumption of the model is to consider that the activity is homogeneously distributed in the volume.

315

Surface activities of calibrated sources are generally known to calculate the detection efficiency 316 of a detector (Eq. (2)), but for controlled samples (the case in the present work), surface 317 activities needed to be evaluated from their known volumetric activities (Eq. (6)): application 318 of the conversion from Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>. Then for any contaminated material with  $P_E = 1$ , the 319 measured Surface Count Rate  $SCR_C$  can be converted into volumetric activity (Eq. (6)), after 320 detection efficiency correction (Eq. (5)): use of the conversion from Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-3</sup>. 321 However, this last assumption is accurate only if the detection efficiency of the instrument is 322 independent of any matrix effect. 323

#### 325 2.4. Method for evaluating the matrix effects on detection efficiency

In this section, the impact of the contaminated material type on the detection efficiency of a given instrument is examined. Indeed, it is not trivial to know if the detection efficiency of a radionuclide obtained on a calibrated source or a well characterized sample (Eq. (2)) can be employed to determine the surface activity of a contaminated object emitting the same radionuclide (Eq. (5)), but composed with another material.

To clarify this point, it is necessary to determine the kinetic energy distribution of the emitted particles when they reach the ASCV. Indeed, for almost all the common radiation detectors, and in this work for autoradiography devices, the detection efficiency is directly linked to the energy of the particles.

Geant4 was used to plot the frequency distribution (fd) of the kinetic energy of particles (beta and alpha) just as they go out from the ASCV, considering three different materials: plastic, concrete and steel. In these simulations, the contaminated material is still depicted as a rectangular parallelepiped  $4 \times R_{MAX}$  long and width, and a thickness (height) of  $2 \times R_{MAX}$  ( $R_{MAX}$ of the considered radionuclide in one of the chosen materials (Figure 4)). A second series of simulations has also been achieved with contaminated volume presenting a constant Contamination thickness  $d_C$ , regardless of the material.

Finally, it is important to emphasize that the matrix effect described here is only related to the detection efficiency of a given instrument, i.e. the ratio of the number of particles detected to the number of particles emitted at the ASCV. The number of particles emitted at the ASCV, given by the Emission Fraction  $F_E$ , is however strongly dependent on the nature of the material, as previously explained (end of the section 2.2.)

**347 3. Results and discussion** 

## 348 3.1. Surface Count Rate measurements with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup>

Each of the three samples M6, M8 and M9 was acquired with the radioimager BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> during one hour, in beta mode for M6 and M9, and in alpha mode for M8. On the autoradiographs obtained, the Contaminated Surface areas  $S_C$  on which the count rate measurements were performed are outlined with a white frame (Figure 5).  $S_C$  values (cm<sup>2</sup>) are given in Table 2, together with the count rates measured. To calculate the Surface Count Rate (*SCR<sub>C</sub>*), note that  $S_C$  are always lower than  $S_D$  (Detector Surface area) in the present work.





**Fig. 5.** a- Autoradiography of the beta emissions from the M6 sample, a mortar (triangular shape in blue and black) impregnated with <sup>3</sup>H-PMMA resin. Resin surrounds also the mortar (in green and red). b- Autoradiography of the beta emissions from a triangular piece of <sup>14</sup>C-PMMA (M9 sample). c- Autoradiography of the alpha emissions from uranium bearing minerals ( $\beta$ -uranophane) included in the granite M8. D- Zoom on the red frame of image c.

Autoradiographs are acquired with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> and with the GS  $12 \times 8$  cm<sup>2</sup> sample holder. Color scales give the particle counts in 1 hour, pixel size is fixed at 100 µm and surface count rate measurements have been performed on the surface areas delimited with a white frame

365

In M6 sample, the mortar corresponds to the central triangular area which is heterogeneous 366 (Figure 5a). It is a mixture of non-radioactive grains (in black) and pores filled with the 367 radioactive resin <sup>3</sup>H-PMMA (in blue). The two homogenous areas surrounding the mortar are 368 made of pure <sup>3</sup>H-PMMA resin, on which the measurement was performed. The M9 sample 369 shows a sub-triangular and homogeneous piece of <sup>14</sup>C-PMMA resin (Figure 5b). Then, the 370 autoradiography of M8 sample depicts the spatial distribution of the  $\beta$ -uranophane crystals into 371 the rock (Figure 5c); a nearly homogeneous and small area corresponding to a cluster of  $\beta$ -372 uranophane crystals was chosen to perform the measurement (Figure 5d). 373

374

**Table 2** Measurements of the three samples with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> using the GS  $12 \times 8$  cm<sup>2</sup> sample holder: Contamination Surface area  $S_C$ , Count Rate of this surface  $CR_C$  and Surface Count Rate  $SCR_C$ 

Sample	Radionuclide	Particle	Used setting	$S_C(\mathbf{cm}^2)$	<i>CR</i> <sub>C</sub> ( <b>cps</b> ) for <i>S</i> <sub>C</sub>	SCR <sub>C</sub> (cps cm <sup>-2</sup> )
M6	<sup>3</sup> H	beta	beta	$1.00 \times 10^{+00}$	38.21	38.10
M9	<sup>14</sup> C	beta	beta	$6.29 \times 10^{-01}$	30.09	47.85
M8	<sup>238</sup> U decay chain	alpha	alpha	$2.51 \times 10^{-02}$	1.60	63.90

378

Blank measurements were also performed in order to obtain the Background Surface Count Rate of the detector (*SCR<sub>B</sub>*), using the sample holder GS ( $12 \times 8 \text{ cm}^2$ ) and the two different acquisition settings (Table 3). These blank values, needed to the further calculations of the detection efficiency of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (Eq. (2)), are typically 4 to 5 orders of magnitude lower
than the three samples surface count rates.

384

**Table 3** Background measurements of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (GS  $12 \times 8$  cm<sup>2</sup> sample holder). Detector

Surface area  $S_D$ , Background Count Rate  $CR_B$  and Background Surface Count Rate  $SCR_B$ 

Setting	<i>S<sub>D</sub></i> ( <b>cm</b> <sup>2</sup> )	$CR_B$ (cps) for $S_D = 96 \text{ cm}^2$	SCR <sub>B</sub> (cps cm <sup>-2</sup> )
beta	96	2.65	$2.76 \times 10^{-02}$
alpha	96	0.13	$1.35 \times 10^{-03}$

387

388

# 3.2. Surface Emission Rate estimations: from Bq $cm^{-3}$ to Bq $cm^{-2}$

The Volumetric Activities  $(A_{V,K})$  in Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> are known for the M6 and M9 samples, and 389 calculated for each alpha emission of the M8 sample (due to the secular equilibrium of the <sup>238</sup>U 390 decay chain, all the daughters have the same activity) (Tables 1 and 4). The conversion method 391 has been applied to estimate the Surface Activity  $(A_{S,G})$  in Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> of the three samples, i.e. 392 convert the Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> (Table 1) into Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>, using Eq. (6). Because  $P_E$  of <sup>14</sup>C, <sup>3</sup>H and each alpha 393 of the  $^{238}$ U decay chain are equal to 1, these estimated Surface Activities  $A_{S,G}$  are equal to the 394 Surface Emission Rate  $SER_C$  (i.e. Bq = cps). The comparison between the Surface Count Rates 395  $(SCR_C)$  measured previously with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> and these estimated Surface Emission Rates 396  $(SER_C)$  would allow then to assess the detection efficiency of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (next section), using 397 Eq. (2). The three samples are indeed used as calibrated sources. 398

As previously mentioned, the first step of the conversion method is the calculation of the maximum ranges of particles emitting in the three samples. These  $R_{MAX}$  values are displayed in Table 4, with the related maximum energy used.

Table 4 Estimation of the Surface Activity  $A_{S,G}$  of the M6, M8 and M9 samples (since  $P_E = 1$ ,  $A_{S,G} = SER_C$  (Surface Emission Rate in cps cm<sup>-2</sup>)). The Known Volumetric Activities  $A_{V,K}$  and the Contamination thicknesses  $d_C$  have been reported from Table 1. Maximum Ranges values  $R_{MAX}$  have been calculated with Geant4, using the maximum energy also reported. According Eq. (6), the Emission Fraction  $F_E$  is calculated for the smaller of the two, between  $d_C$  and  $R_{MAX}$ : for M6 and M9 samples,  $F_E(R_{MAX})$  is used to perform the conversion Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup>, while for M8 sample  $F_E(d_c)$  is used

				Known Volumetric	Contamination	Maximum	Maximum	Emission	Emission	Estimated Surface
Sample	Material	Radionuclide	Particle	Activity AVK	thickness <i>d</i> <sub>C</sub>	Energy	Range R <sub>MAX</sub>	Fraction	Fraction	Activity As,G
				$(\mathbf{B}\alpha \ \mathbf{cm}^{-3})$	(cm)	(keV)	(cm)	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{c}})$	$F_{\rm T}(\mathbf{R}_{\rm MAX})$	( <b>Bq cm</b> <sup>-2</sup> )
				(bq cm )	(CIII)	(KCV)	(cm)	$\mathbf{I}^{r} E(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{C})$	I'E(MMAA)	(or $SER_C$ (cps cm <sup>-2</sup> ))
M6	PMMA	3Н	beta	7 000 000	$7 \times 10^{-01}$	18.6	$8.40 \times 10^{-04}$	-	0.026	153
M9	PMMA	<sup>14</sup> C	beta	330 000	$5 \times 10^{-02}$	156.5	$2.78 \times 10^{-02}$	-	0.034	312
		<sup>238</sup> U	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	4198	1.73 ×10 <sup>-03</sup>	0.138	-	11
	β–Uranophane	<sup>234</sup> U	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	4775	$2.09 \times 10^{-03}$	0.166	-	13
		<sup>230</sup> Th	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	4687	$2.03 \times 10^{-03}$	0.162	-	13
NO 23811		<sup>226</sup> Ra	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	4784	$2.10 \times 10^{-03}$	0.167	-	13
M8 – <sup>256</sup> U		<sup>222</sup> Rn	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	5490	$2.57 \times 10^{-03}$	0.204	-	16
Decay Chain	mineral	<sup>218</sup> Po	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	6002	$2.92 \times 10^{-03}$	0.233	-	19
		<sup>214</sup> Po	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	7687	$4.23 \times 10^{-03}$	0.316	-	25
		<sup>210</sup> Po	alpha	26 409	$3 \times 10^{-03}$	5304	$2.44 \times 10^{-03}$	0.192	-	15
		Average a	alpha				2,51 × 10 <sup>-03</sup>	0.197		

	$\sum$ alpha	211 272	125
406			
407			
408			

Then the Emission Fraction  $F_E$  has been calculated with Geant4. As the Contamination 409 thicknesses  $d_C$  of the M6 and M9 samples (0.7 cm and 0.05 cm respectively) are higher than the 410 maximum range of the corresponding radiation in PMMA ( $8.4 \times 10^{-4}$  cm for M6 and  $2.78 \times$ 411  $10^{-2}$  cm for M9),  $F_E$  was estimated in a volume of thickness  $R_{MAX}$  (Table 4). Concerning the M8 412 sample, as the Maximum Ranges  $R_{MAX}$  of the eight alphas (Table 4) are of the same order of 413 magnitude than the sample Contamination thickness  $d_C (3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm})$ , the Emission Fraction  $F_E$ 414 of each alpha has been estimated for the Contamination thickness  $d_C$  (Table 4). Thus, the 415 Surface Activities  $A_{S,G}$  (= SER<sub>C</sub> since  $P_E = 1$ ) presented in Table 4 are calculated with Eq. (6a) 416 for M6 and M9 samples, and with Eq. (6b) for each alpha of M8 sample. The sum of the alphas 417 activity has been performed in order to be compared with the total alphas counting measured 418 419 with BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup>.

420

To conclude, it is crucial to always consider that autoradiographic method remains a surface measurement technique. For the analyzed samples of the present work, the Contamination depths  $d_C$  were higher or equal to  $R_{MAX}$ . In the case of samples with  $d_C < R_{MAX}$ ,  $F_E(d)$  for <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>14</sup>C radionuclides emitted in PMMA (plastic), concrete and steel are provided from d = 0 to d $= R_{MAX}$  in the companion paper [25]. However, the analysis of a sample where  $d_C$  is unknown can be problematic, especially if  $d_C < R_{MAX}$ . The unique way to solve properly that issue would be to determine  $d_C$  by measuring the contamination profile as a function of depth.

## 428 3.3. Detection efficiency calculation of BeaQuant<sup>™</sup>

Comparing surface count rate measurements with surface emission rate estimations (Eq. (2)), the detection efficiency of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (sample holder reference GS), can be calculated for the three samples which substitute the calibrated sources in the present work (Table 5). In the M6 sample emitting <sup>3</sup>H in PMMA (plastic), 25% of the particles emitted through the ASCV are detected, whereas only 15% of betas emitted from <sup>14</sup>C-PMMA (M9 sample) are collected. 434 Concerning <sup>238</sup>U decay chain, alphas emitted in the  $\beta$ -uranophane crystals (M8 sample) are 435 detected with an efficiency of 51%.

436

**Table 5** Instrument efficiencies  $\varepsilon$  of BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> using the GS 12 × 8 cm<sup>2</sup> sample holder. After correction from the Background Surface Count Rate *SCR<sub>B</sub>*, the Surface Count Rate *SCR<sub>C</sub>* is compared to the Surface Emission Rate *SER<sub>C</sub>* expected for the samples (Eq. (2))

Samula	Dadianualida	Doutiele	Used	SCR <sub>C</sub>	SCR <sub>B</sub>	<b>SER</b> <sub>C</sub>	
Sample	Kaulonuchue	rarticle	setting	(cps cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cps cm <sup>-2</sup> )	(cps cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Ë
M6	<sup>3</sup> H	beta	beta	38.10	$2.76 \times 10^{-02}$	153	0.25
M9	$^{14}$ C	beta	beta	47.85	$2.76 \times 10^{-02}$	312	0.15
M8	<sup>238</sup> U decay chain	alpha	alpha	63.90	$1.35 \times 10^{-03}$	125	0.51

440

## 441 3.4. Matrix effect for the detection efficiency calculation

Figure 6 represents the frequency distributions (fd) of the kinetic energy for particles emitted 442 from the upper face of a contaminated volume (i.e. the ASCV), in different matrix types. For 443 alpha and beta, these fd are quite similar for a given radionuclide emission passing through the 444 three different materials, regardless of the contamination thickness. Indeed, simulations were 445 achieved considering two cases. In the first case, the thickness of the contaminated volume was 446 set to  $R_{MAX}$ , which is variable from one material to another (Figure 6 and supplementary data 447 Figure 1). In the second case, a constant thickness higher than  $R_{MAX}$  (30 µm, 100 µm and 1 mm 448 for <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>14</sup>C respectively) was used for each radionuclide, independently of the material 449 450 (supplementary data Figure 2).

451 For more clarity, only one example of beta and alpha emitters is displayed in Figure 6.



**Fig. 6** Frequency distributions (fd) of the kinetic energy of particles when reaching the Analyzed Surface of the Contaminated Volume (ASCV), supplied for three different materials: plastic; concrete and steel. The mean and median values of the kinetic energy are given for each fd. a- <sup>3</sup>H radionuclide (beta emission), contamination thickness =  $R_{MAX}$ . b- <sup>238</sup>U radionuclide (alpha emissions only), contamination thickness =  $R_{MAX}$ 

459

460 For beta emissions, a slight increase of mean and median energy values when material density 461 increases (from plastic to steel) is observed, with a maximum relative standard deviation of 6 %. For alpha emissions, mean and median energy values decrease in denser materials, with a 462 maximum relative deviation of 5 %. It can also be concluded that the detection efficiency of a 463 given detector is the same for a given combination alpha/energy or beta/energy, regardless of 464 the nature of the contaminated material. It means that the matrix effect on the detection 465 efficiency of a given detector is very low or even negligible for the considered alpha and beta 466 emissions. 467

468 **4. Conclusion** 

469 Autoradiography can be an efficient technique to measure traces of radioactivity on the surface470 of materials for biological and geological research fields but also more increasingly in the

471 framework of decommissioning. However, the calibration step is always an important issue, as472 with all common Surface Contamination Meters (SCM).

The activity of standard specimens, needed to calibrate the autoradiography signal from films, are most of the time provided in Bq  $g^{-1}$ , which is not consistent with the signal measured on autoradiographs (DLU cm<sup>-2</sup> for phosphor screens, grey level per pixel for silver halide emulsions). The conversion tools proposed in the present work improve the calibration step for these traditional methods of autoradiography.

Concerning radioimagers and SCMs, calibrated sources with certified surface emission rate are 478 479 often used to evaluate the detection efficiency needed to calibrate the instrument or to correct the measurements. However, this kind of source are not easy to obtain (long national 480 procedures, usage regulations, transport...). Often it is necessary to use well characterized and 481 available laboratory samples, as is the case for the present study. Geant4 allowed to estimate 482 the Emission Fraction  $F_E$ , used to evaluate the Surface Activity  $A_S$  (or Surface Count Rate SCR, 483 since  $P_E = 1$ ) of the three laboratory samples chosen here, knowing their volumetric activities: 484 this illustrated the use of the conversion from Bq  $cm^{-3}$  to Bq  $cm^{-2}$  (Eq. (6)). 485

Results have demonstrated the importance of a good estimation of the emission fraction  $F_E$  to 486 apply the conversion method. Indeed, even if a contamination layer is extremely thin,  $F_E$  is 487 somewhat different from 0.5 (i.e. 50% of particles reaching the ASCV), as considered in the 488 ISO standard 7503-1 [24]. As an example, beta particles from <sup>3</sup>H have a short range in plastic: 489 for a contamination thickness equal to the relevant  $R_{MAX} = 8.3 \ \mu m$ , around 3 % of betas can 490 reach the ASCV (i.e.  $F_E(R_{MAX} = 8.3 \,\mu\text{m}) = 0.03$ ). While the thickness of  $\beta$ -uranophane (the U-491 bearing mineral including in the M8 sample) of 30 µm induces fraction emissions ranging from 492 0.14 to 0.32 according the alpha particle considered among the eight emitted in the  $^{238}$ U decay 493 chain (i.e.  $0.14 < F_E(30 \ \mu m) < 0.32$ ) (Table 5). The companion paper [25] supplies data of  $F_E$ 494 to deal with the cases where Contamination thickness  $d_C < R_{MAX}$ .  $F_E(d)$  are also provided from 495

496 thickness d = 0 to  $d = R_{MAX}$  of the considered particle type/energy and material. These  $F_E(d)$  are 497 given for three materials (plastic, concrete and steel) and for <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>238</sup>U, as well as other 498 radionuclides that can be encountered in nuclear dismantling facilities.

499 It was subsequently possible to calculate the detection efficiency of a radioimager, BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> (GS  $12 \times 8$  cm<sup>2</sup> sample holder), for the three laboratory samples used as calibrated source in 500 this work. Since it has been shown than for a given instrument, the detection efficiency of both 501 beta/energy or alpha/energy combinations is independent of the composition of the material 502 contaminated (i.e. no matrix effect), the detection efficiency found for the three samples can be 503 generalized to the concerned radionuclides:  $\varepsilon = 25\%$  for <sup>3</sup>H,  $\varepsilon = 15\%$  for <sup>14</sup>C,  $\varepsilon = 51\%$  for alpha 504 emitters in  $^{238}$ U decay chain. These efficiency values  $\varepsilon$  can be used to calibrate or correct 505 BeaOuant<sup>TM</sup> measurements on materials contaminated with <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C or <sup>238</sup>U (Eq. (3)), performed 506 in the same configuration as used in the present study. Indeed, the detection efficiency of 507 508 BeaQuant<sup>TM</sup> is strongly dependent of the holder type and of the electric fields applied in the detector (defined in the acquisition settings) (manufacturer's data). As an example, [9] found a 509 detection efficiency greater than 80% for alpha emitters in <sup>238</sup>U decay chain, using another 510 sample holder. 511

After detection efficiency correction (Eq. (3)),  $F_E$  parameter can be used to convert a measured surface activity into volumetric activity (Eq. (6)). Such conversion remains nowadays a challenge in decommissioning investigations where activity per gram is systematically required to suitably manage the nuclear wastes. That is why we propose in the companion paper, a second part "From Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> (and conversely) - Part 2: a useful conversion for decommissioning operations", to provide a large set of data helping to deal with the conversion problems possibly encountered in a dismantling context.

519

#### 521 Acknowledgements

522 This work was performed within the Investments for the future program of the French 523 Government and operated by the French National Radioactive Waste Management Agency 524 (Andra). We would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments and criticisms 525 in reviewing the paper, and Alain Meunier for his thorough re-reading of this article.

526

## 527 **References**

- 528 1. L'Annunziata MF (2012) Handbook of radioactivity analysis. Academic Press, Third edition
- 529 2. Ittner T, Allard B (1990) Diffusion of strontium, technetium, iodine and cesium in granitic
  530 rock. Radiochim. Acta, 49:101-106
- 3. Pinnioja S, Jaakkola T, Miettinen JK (1984) Comparison of batch and autoradiographic
  methods in sorption studies of radionuclides in rock and mineral samples. Mater Res Soc
  Symp Proc. 26:979–984
- 4. Muuri E, Sorokina T, Donnard J, Billon S, Helariutta K, Koskinen L, Martin A, SiitariKauppi M (submitted in 2018) Electronic autoradiography of <sup>133</sup>Ba particle emissions;
  diffusion profiles in granitic rocks. Appl. Radiat. Isot.
- 537 5. Voutilainen M, Siitari-Kauppi M, Sardini P, Kekäläinen P, Muuri E, Timonen J, Martin A
- (2017) Modelling transport of cesium in Grimsel granodiorite with heterogenous structure
  and dynamic update of Kd. Water Resources Research. 53:9245-9265
- 540 6. Hellmuth KH, Lukkarinen S, Siitari-Kauppi M (1994) Rock matrix studies with carbon-14-
- 541 polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA); method development and applications. Isotopenpraxis
- 542 Isotopes in Environmental and Health Studies. 30:47-60

543	7. Siitari-Kauppi M (2002) Development of <sup>14</sup> C-polymethylmethacrylate method for the
544	characterisation of low porosity media: Application to rocks in geological barriers of nuclear
545	waste storage. Academic Dissertation, Report Series in Radiochemistry 17
546	8. Angileri A, Sardini P, Donnard J, Duval S, Lefeuvre H, Oger T, Patrier P, Rividi N, Siitari-
547	Kauppi M, Toubon H, Descostes M (2018). Mapping <sup>238</sup> U decay chain equilibrium state in
548	thin sections of geo-materials by digital autoradiography and microprobe analysis. Appl.
549	Radiat. Isot. 140:228-237
550	9. Sardini P, Angileri A, Descostes M, Duval S, Oger T, Patrier P, Rividi N, Siitari-Kauppi M,
551	Toubon H, Donnard J (2016) Quantitative autoradiography of alpha particle emission in geo-
552	materials using the Beaver <sup>™</sup> system. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 833:15-22
553	10. Leskinen A, Fichet P, Siitari-Kauppi M, Goutelard F (2013) Digital autoradiography (DA)
554	in quantification of trace level beta emitters on concrete. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 298:153-
555	161
556	11. Fichet P, Bresson F, Leskinen A, Goutelard F, Ikonen J, Siitari-Kaupi M (2012) Tritium
557	analysis in building dismantling process using digital autoradiography. J. Radioanal. Nucl.
558	Chem. 291:869-875
559	12. Haudebourg R, Fichet P (2016) A non-destructive and on-site digital autoradiography-based
560	tool to identify contaminating radionuclide in nuclear wastes and facilities to be dismantled.
561	J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 309:551–561
562	13. ISO 7503-2 (2016) Measurement of radioactivity –Measurement and evaluation of surface
563	contamination - Part 2: Test method using wipe tests
564	14. ISO 9698 (2010) Water quality – Determination of tritium activity concentration – liquid

scintillation counting method

566	15. BeaQuant <sup>™</sup> - Real-time autoradiography <u>http://www.ai4r.com/</u> Accessed 22 Sep 2018
567	16. Donnard J, Berny R, Carduner H, Leray P, Morteau E, Provence M, Servagent N, Thers D
568	(2009) The micro-pattern gas detector PIM: A multi-modality solution for novel
569	investigations in functional imaging. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 610 :158-160
570	17. De La Asuncion (2005) Caractérisation de la porosité des matériaux cimentaires avec la
571	methode 14C-polyméthylmétacrylate (14C-PMMA). French master thesis of the university
572	of Poitiers and of the institute national des sciences appliqués de Toulouse, 81pp
573	18. Ilic R, Durrani SA (2003) Solid State nuclear track detector (Chapter 3). In : L'Annunziata
574	MF (ed) Handbook of Radioactivity Analysis, 2nd edn, Elsevier Science, USA, pp. 179-237,
575	pp. 1273
576	19. Agostinelli S, Allison J, Amako KA, Apostolakis J, Araujo H, Arce P, Asai M, Axen D,
577	Banerjee S, Barrand G, Behner F, Bellagamba L, Boudreau J, Broglia L, Brunengo A,
578	Burkhardt H, Chauvie S, Chuma J, & Zschiesche D (2003) GEANT4 - a simulation
579	toolkit. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 506:250-303
580	20. Eckerman KF, Sjoreen AL (2004) Radiological toolbox user's manual. United States.
581	Department of Energy
582	21. Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel, Library for gamma and alpha emissions
583	http://www.lnhb.fr/nuclear-data/module-lara/. Accessed 6 May 2018
584	22. Berger MJ, Coursey JS, Zucker MA (1999) ESTAR, PSTAR, and ASTAR: Computer
585	programs for calculating stopping-power and range tables for electrons, protons, and helium
586	ions (version 1.21). <u>https://www.nist.gov/pml/stopping-power-range-tables-electrons-</u>
587	protons-and-helium-ions. Accessed 14 Jul 2018
588	23. ISO 7503-3 (2016) Measurement of radioactivity. Measurement and evaluation of surface
589	contamination- Part 3: Apparatus calibration

- 590 24. ISO 7503-1 (2016) Measurement of radioactivity- Measurement and evaluation of surface
  591 contamination- Part 1: General principles
- 592 25. Billon S, Sardini P, Leblond S, Fichet P, (in press 2019) From Bq cm<sup>-3</sup> to Bq cm<sup>-2</sup> (and
- 593 conversely)- part 2: a useful conversion for decommissioning operations. Journal of
- 594 Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry