

Growing maize while biological remediating a multiple metal-contaminated soil: a promising solution with the hyperaccumulator plant Sedum alfredii and the earthworm Amynthas morrisi

Chi Zhang, Hesen Zhong, Jerome Mathieu, Bo Zhou, Jun Dai, Mikael Motelica-Heino, Patrick Lavelle

▶ To cite this version:

Chi Zhang, Hesen Zhong, Jerome Mathieu, Bo
 Zhou, Jun Dai, et al.. Growing maize while biological remediating a multiple metal-contaminated soil: a promising solution with the hyperaccumulator plant Sedum alfredii and the earthworm Amynthas morrisi. Plant and Soil, 2023, 10.1007/s11104-023-06054-y . hal-04116849

HAL Id: hal-04116849 https://hal.science/hal-04116849

Submitted on 6 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Science of the Total Environment xxx (xxxx) 152834



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Assessment of bioremediation potential of metal contaminated soils (Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn) by earthworms from their tolerance, accumulation and impact on metal activation and soil quality: A case study in South China

Menghao Zhang^{a, 1}, Pascal Jouquet^b, Jun Dai^a, Ling Xiao^a, Yan Du^a, Kexue Liu^d, Mikael Motelica-Heino^c, Patrick Lavelle^b, Hesen Zhong^a, Chi Zhang^a, *

a Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture for Arable Land Conservation in South China/Centre of Land consolidation and soil bioremediation, College of Natural

Resources and Environment, South China Agricultural University, 510642 Guangzhou, China

^b Sorbonne Université, UPEC, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Institut d'Ecologie et des Sciences de l'Environnement, IESS, 93143 Bondy, France

^c ISTO UMR7327, CNRS-Université d'Orléans-Brgm, Orléans 45071, France

^d Department of Resources and the Urban Planning, Xinhua College of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510520, China

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: Charlotte Poschenrieder

Keywords: Earthworm species Metals Accumulation Availability Soil quality

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to evaluate the potential of four earthworm species commonly found in South China for the bioremediation of soils contaminated by Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn. Survival rates and metal accumulation of *Eisenia fetida, Amynthas morrisi, A. robustus* and *A. corticis* and changes in soil physico-chemical properties were investigated in a 60-day incubation experiment with a metal-polluted soil. At the end of the experiment, the survival rates of *E. fetida, A. morrisi* and *A. robustus* were significantly higher than that of *A. corticis*. Principal component analysis showed that earthworm activity improved soil quality with the averaging soil quality index being 0.66, 0.64, 0.56, 0.53, and 0.12 for the *A. corticis, A. morrisi, A. robustus, E. fetida,* and control treatments, respectively. The highest total available Cd, Cu, and Pb in casts were found in the treatment with *A. morrisi*, and this species accumulated the smallest amount of metals. Results indicate that *A. morrisi* may be the best candidate for earthworm-assisted bioremediation of metal contaminated soils in South China.

1. Introduction

Earthworms, known as soil ecosystem engineers, have drawn more attention than ever before in soil quality studies (Lavelle et al., 2016; Guerra et al., 2021). They not only influence soil organic matter dynamics, nutrient release, and plant growth (Lavelle and Spain, 2001; Lavelle et al., 2020), but also play a vital role in the biogeochemical cycling of trace elements (Sizmur and Richardson, 2020). Due to their important role in numerous ecological functions, earthworms have been used in ecological restoration of soils degraded by intensive tillage or excessive agrochemical inputs such as chemical fertilizers (Bedano et al., 2019; Fonte et al., 2018; Noguera et al., 2010). However, there is limited research on the potential of earthworms in bioremediation of soils contaminated by potentially toxic elements such as metal(loid)s (Zhang et al., 2020; Sizmur and Richardson, 2020).

So far, many studies have been conducted on the exposure of earthworms to metals. Some studies focused on the metal tolerance of earthworm species. For instance, Nahmani et al. (2007) investigated the tolerance of Eisenia fetida and some European earthworm species (e.g., Lumbricus rubellus, Lumbricus castaneus, Lumbricus terrestris, Lumbricus friendi, Aporrectodea rosea, Aporrectodea longa, Aporrectodea caliginosa and Allolobophora chlorotica) to metal contaminations. Other studies focused on metal accumulation by earthworms (Dai et al., 2004; Nahmani et al., 2007; Coelho et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2020) or metal mobilization and activation in earthworm-inhabited soil and plant uptake due to passage through the earthworm gut and change in microenvironment (Mondal et al., 2020; Ji et al., 2020; Sizmur and Richardson, 2020). However, the key ecological functions of earthworms, such as those associated with C and N cycling, soil structure changes, and microbial activity, have sel-

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152834

Received 12 August 2021; Received in revised form 15 December 2021; Accepted 28 December 2021 0048-9697/© 2021

^{*} Corresponding author at: College of Natural Resources and Environment, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China. *E-mail address:* zhangchi2012@scau.edu.cn (C. Zhang).

¹ Present address: College of Natural Resources and Environment, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China.

dom been investigated in these studies (Velasquez et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009a; Domínguez-Haydar et al., 2019).

Therefore, a more integrated approach is needed to understand the influence of earthworms on the quality improvement of metalcontaminated soils. This is especially important in China where 16.1% of arable land is polluted by heavy metals (Meng, 2014). Earthwormassisted bioremediation technologies have been proposed for metalcontaminated soils due to their low cost, environmentally friendliness, and sustainability (Zhang et al., 2018, 2020). Earthworm, as the stimulators of phytoremediation processes, could tolerate rather high amounts of contamination, enhance metal bioavailability and improve plants growth (Zhang et al., 2020). However, the tolerance of Asian earthworm species to metals and their influence on metal dynamics and soil quality still remain unknown (Xiao et al., 2020). Therefore, our present study was aimed to evaluate the metal tolerance and accumulation of four earthworm species commonly found in China and to assess their influence on soil quality as well.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

Surface soil samples (0–20 cm) were collected from a paddy field (24°30′ N, 113°45′ E) contaminated with Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn in the upstream region of Yang He River near the Dabaoshan opencast mine, Guangdong Province, China. Soils in our study is a granite based soil with low organic C and N contents and acidic pH value but distributed widely in South China (Lu, 2017). Low microbial activity and metal availability in polluted soil were also found in this region (Li et al., 2009a, 2009b). Samples were homogenized and sieved to 2 mm after air-drying. Soil pH value, contents in total organic C, N and clay-sized particles (<2 μ m) and the C:N ratio were 4.18 g kg⁻¹ ± 0.03, 15.3 ± 0.23 g kg⁻¹, 1.47 ± 0.05 g kg⁻¹, 33.6% ±1.0 and 10. 4 ± 0.22, respectively. Total Cu, Cd, Pb and Zn concentrations were 394 ± 10.2, 0.639 ± 0.06, 439 ± 8.44 and 405 ± 29.0 mg kg-1, respectively.

Coco coir and cattle dung were purchased from a flower market in Guangzhou, China. Coco coir and cattle dung were air-dried, ground, and sieved to 2 mm. Total organic C, total N, and C/N ratio were 50.3%, 0.66%, and 76.0, respectively, for coco coir and 15.7%, 0.62%, and 25.4, respectively, for cattle dung. Contents of total Cd, Pb, Cu and Zn reached 0.8, 0.0, 16.2 and 123.7 mg kg⁻¹ for cattle dung and 0.7, 0.0, 0.0 and 50.7 mg kg⁻¹ for coco bran, respectively. These concentrations were lower than the national quality standard for organic fertilizer of China (NY525-2012). Coco coir and cattle dung were mixed at 4:1 (w/w) for later use as an organic amendment (pH 6.8).

Four earthworm species commonly found in South China were used in this study. *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826), the reference species of several international standard toxicity tests, was collected from a local vermicompost operation. *Amynthas corticis* (Kinberg, 1867), *A. morrisi* (Beddard, 1892), and *A. robustus* (Perrier, 1872), native species in Asia, were collected from uncontaminated soils in Guangdong Province, China. Of the four, *E. fetida* and *A. corticis* are epigeic species, whereas *A. morrisi* and *A. robustus* are epi-endogeic and endogeic, respectively. The earthworms were cultured in an uncontaminated soil with organic matter addition under suitable moisture and temperature conditions for one month before use.

The soil and coco coir/cattle dung mixture were mixed thoroughly at 9:1 (w/w) and added to pots (5 cm lower diameter, 11 cm upper diameter, 13.5 cm height). Then, five treatments were set up in triplicates: control, EF, AC, AM, and AR. In control, no earthworm was added, whereas in EF, AC, AM, and AR, approximately 15 g of healthy adult *E. fetida* (averaging 0.3 g individual⁻¹), *A. corticis* (averaging 0.4 g individual⁻¹), *A. morrisi* (averaging 0.4 g individual⁻¹), and *A. robustus* (averaging 1.5 g individual⁻¹) with clitella were added, respec-

tively. During the experiment, soil moisture was maintained at field capacity and temperature at 25 °C. After 60 days of incubation, earthworms in each pot were collected, counted, weighed, and placed on moist filter papers in Petri dishes to allow for excretion of contaminated soil from their guts at 25 °C for 7 days. Then, earthworms were killed in liquid nitrogen and oven-dried at 100 °C for 24 h. Aboveground and belowground casts and non ingested soil were manually separated, airdried, weighed, ground, and sieved to 2 (for determination of pH, enzyme activities, and available metals) and 0.149 mm (for determination of organic C, total N, and total metals) based on the Chinese state environmental protection standards.

2.2. Laboratory analyses

The soil pH was measured using a 1:2.5 solid-to-water ratio. The organic C content was determined by the dichromate digestion method, and total N was determined by Kjeldahl digestion (Sparks, 1996).

Total Cu, Zn, Pb, and Cd concentrations were determined after digestion with HF, HNO₃, and HClO₄ (Amacher, 1996). Available Cu, Zn, Pb, and Cd were extracted with diethylene triamine penta acetic acid (DTPA) (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978) and determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS).

Soil enzyme activities were considered biological indicators of soil quality (Dick et al., 1996). β -Glucosidase and N-acetyl- β -Dglucosaminidase, acid and alkaline phosphatase activities involved in soil organic C, N and P cycles and microbial activities (Mora et al., 2005; Li et al., 2009a). 2 g of soil or earthworm casts were moistened with 0.5 mL distilled water and incubated during 48 h at 25 °C, to which 3 mL of water were added to obtain soil solution. Activities of acid phosphatase and alkaline phosphatase were determined at pH 5 (citrate buffer) and 9 (borate buffer), respectively, using *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate (Mora et al., 2005). Activities of β -glucosidase and *N*-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase were determined at pH 5 (phosphate buffer) using *p*-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside and *p*-nitrophenyl-*N*acetyl- β -D-glucosaminide as substrate, respectively (Mora et al., 2005). Overall enzyme activity was evaluated with fluorescein diacetate (FDA) hydrolytic activity which was related with total microbial activities (Schnvrer and Rosswall, 1982).

Metal (Zn, Cd, Cu, and Pb) concentrations of earthworms were analyzed as described by Dai et al. (2004). Earthworm responses to metal pollution were evaluated with survival rate and the biota-to-soil accumulation factor (BSAF). The survival rate I_1 was calculated as:

$$I_1 = A_{60} / A_0 \times 100\%$$

where A_0 and A_{60} are earthworm number at the beginning (day 0) and end (day 60) of the experiment, respectively.

BSAF was calculated as:

$$BSAF = M_{worm}/M_{soi}$$

where M_{worm} and M_{soil} are metal concentrations of earthworm and soil, respectively.

Cast production was the sum of aboveground casts and below-ground casts.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SAS 8.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Duncan's test was used to analyze the differences between treatments and the significance level was set at P < 0.05. Multivariate analyses, including principal component analysis (PCA) and co-inertia analysis (CIA), were performed using the ADE-4 package in R (R Development Core Team, 2009; Thioulouse et al., 1997). A Monte Carlo permutation test was performed to check the significance of the co-inertia using the ADE-4 package as well. Quality indices of aboveground casts, belowground casts, and non ingested soil were calculated using the methodology proposed by Velasquez et al. (2007a, 2007b). The pH, organic C and total N contents, cast production, β -glucosidase and *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase, acid and alkaline phosphatase activities and FDA hydrolytic activity, which are associated with C, N and P cycling, soil structure changes, and microbial activity, were chosen as soil quality variables in this study. For the first three axes of the PCA (F2 and F3 were very close in their contributions to the total variance), the variables with the highest contributions were selected. The values of each variable set, adjusted to a range of 0.1 to 1.0 via homothetic transformation, were multiplied by their respective weight factors and summed, giving soil/casts quality index I as:

$$I = F1 \times (\alpha_1 a + \beta_1 b + \gamma_1 c) + F2$$

$$\times (\alpha_2 a + \beta_2 b + \gamma_2 c) + F3 \times (\alpha_3 a + \beta_3 b + \gamma_3 c)$$

where F1, F2 and F3 are the percentages of variance explained by the PCA on axis 1, axis 2 and axis 3, respectively, α , β , and γ represent the contributions of the variables to the formation of their respective axes, and a, b, and c are the values of the selected variables on their corresponding axes (Velasquez et al., 2007a, 2007b; Rodriguez et al., 2021).

3. Results

3.1. Earthworm survival rates and metal accumulation

The survival rates of *E. fetida*, *A. morrisi*, and *A. robustus* reached 94.8, 115, and 99.7%, respectively, significantly higher than that of *A. corticis* (Fig. 1a). *A. morrisi* reproduced during the experiment, and its quantity exceeded 100%. After 60 days of incubation, the biomasses of *E. fetida*, *A. corticis*, and *A. morrisi* decreased, while that of *A. robustus* increased (Fig. 1b). Moreover, the biomasses of *E. fetida* and *A. morrisi* were significantly higher than that of *A. corticis*.

Metal accumulation in earthworm tissues varied according to the type of metal. Metal concentration in earthworm tissues ranked as $Zn \gg Pb$ and $Cu \gg Cd$ (Table 1). Compared with *E. fetida* and *A. morrisi*, Zn concentration of *A. corticis* was 33.3–92.1% higher (P < 0.05).

Significantly higher Cu and Cd concentrations were found in the native species (i.e., *A. corticis, A. morrisi,* and *A. robustus*) as compared with the non-native species *E. fetida.* In addition, significantly higher Pb concentration was observed in the endogeic species (i.e., *A. robustus*) as compared with the epigeic and epi-endogeic species.

In terms of BSAF, the heavy metals ranked as Cd > Zn > Pb and Cu, and the BSAFs of Zn, Cu, and Pb were less than 1 (Table 1). The BSAFs of Cd and Cu of the native species (i.e., *A. corticis, A. morrisi,* and *A. robustus*) were markedly higher than those of *E. fetida*, while the BSAF of Pb of endogeic species (i.e., *A. robustus*) was significantly higher than those of the epigeic/epi-endogeic.

3.2. Earthworm casts production and casts and non ingested soil properties

Earthworm casts production ranked as A. morrisi > E. fetida > A. robustus > A. corticis (Fig. 2). Eisenia fetida produced the largest amounts of aboveground casts while A. robustus produced the smallest amount. Additionally, A. morrisi and A. robustus produced more below-ground casts than E. fetida and A. corticis.

Compared with the control, pH values of the aboveground casts and non ingested soil in EF, AM and AR increased by 0.33, 0.13, 0.09, and 0.12 units, respectively (Table 2). In EF, pH value of the aboveground casts was significantly higher than that of the belowground casts. The highest pH values of aboveground and belowground casts and non ingested soil were found in EF, while the lowest pH values of aboveground casts and non ingested soil were observed in AC and AR respectively (P < 0.05).

The organic C content of casts was 15.4–31.4% higher than that of the soil in control (P < 0.05). Higher organic C contents were always found in the earthworm casts than in the non ingested soil, especially in EF, AC, and AR. Of the four treatments with earthworms, EF showed the lowest organic C content in aboveground casts and in non ingested soil as well (P < 0.05).

Compared with the control, the presence of earthworms in the other treatments increased the total N contents of non ingested soil and casts by 4.74–29.4%. In both EF and AC, the total N content of aboveground casts was significantly higher than that of belowground casts. In EF, the total N contents of both the aboveground and belowground casts were



Fig. 1. Survival rate (a) and biomass (b) of *Eisenia fetida*, *Amynthas corticis*, *A. morrisi*, and *A. robustus* after 60-day incubation in the Zn/Cu/Cd/Pb multi-polluted soil. Different letters indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.05) between different earthworm species.

Table 1

Metal concentration and metal biota-to-soil accumulation factor (BSAF) of the four earthworm species (i.e., *Eisenia fetida, Amynthas corticis, A. morrisi,* and *A. robustus*) after 60-day incubation in the Zn/Cu/Cd/Pb multi-polluted soil (mean \pm S.D., n = 3).

| Earthworm species | Metal concentra | tion (mg·kg ⁻¹) | | | BSAF | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Zn | Zn Cd Cu Pb | | Pb | Zn | Cd | Cu | РЬ | |
| E. fetida | 95.8 ± 4.80b | $5.03 \pm 0.117b$ | $30.9 \pm 1.67b$ | 56.8 ± 4.86b | $0.308 \pm 0.043a$ | 6.76 ± 1.60b | $0.084 \pm 0.006b$ | $0.138 \pm 0.011b$ | |
| A. corticis | $184~\pm~28.3a$ | $15.7 \pm 2.62a$ | 72.5 ± 8.74a | $62.5 \pm 22.7b$ | $0.666 \pm 0.099a$ | $24.8~\pm~7.03a$ | $0.194 \pm 0.022a$ | $0.149 \pm 0.055b$ | |
| A. morrisi | $138~\pm~22.3b$ | $12.0 \pm 0.614a$ | 59.9 ± 8.39a | $63.6 \pm 7.47b$ | $0.508 \pm 0.270a$ | $16.6~\pm~5.58 ab$ | $0.156 \pm 0.018a$ | $0.150 \pm 0.017b$ | |
| A. robustus | 150 ± 9.89ab | $12.2~\pm~4.31a$ | $72.7 \pm 5.35a$ | 97.4 ± 13.7a | $0.557 \pm 0.059a$ | $24.0~\pm~7.92a$ | $0.196 \pm 0.009a$ | $0.235 \pm 0.034a$ | |

Different lower letters indicate significant differences between earthworms (P < 0.05).



Fig. 2. Proportions of aboveground and belowground casts and non ingested soil in the four treatments with earthworm species of *Eisenia fetida* (EF), *Amynthas corticis* (AC), *A. morrisi* (AM), and *A. robustus* (AR) added.

significantly higher than that of the non ingested soil. Of the four treatments, EF displayed the lowest total N content of non ingested soil and the highest total N contents of aboveground and belowground casts.

Earthworm inoculation generally decreased the enzyme activities in soil (Table 2). β -Glucosidase activity in non ingested soil was significantly higher in AC than in EF and AM. The enzyme activity in above-

ground casts was significantly higher in EF than in AC, and the enzyme activity in belowground casts was significantly higher in EF than in AM and AR. In AC, the enzyme activity was significantly lower in aboveground and belowground casts than in non ingested soil. In general, Nacetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase activity ranked as non ingested soil > aboveground casts > belowground casts. However, in EF, the enzyme activity was significantly higher in aboveground casts than in non ingested soil. The enzyme activity in belowground casts was significantly higher in EF than in AM. Alkaline phosphatase activity of the four treatments with earthworms ranked as AR > AM > AC > EF but as AC > AR > AM > EF for belowground casts. In AR, the enzyme activity was significantly lower in belowground casts than in non ingested soil and aboveground casts. Acid phosphatase activity in non ingested soil of the four treatments with earthworms ranked as AC > AR > AM> EF. In AC and AR, the enzyme activity was significantly higher in non ingested soil than in belowground casts. In AC, FDA hydrolytic activity was significantly higher in belowground casts than in non ingested soil and aboveground casts, whereas casts of AR had significantly lower activity than non ingested soil. The activity in non ingested soil and aboveground casts was higher in AR than in the other three treatments with epigeic species. In particular, the differences in FDA hydrolytic activity of non ingested soil were significant between the three treatments with native species.

3.3. Total and available metals in casts and non ingested soil

Compared with the control soil, total Zn concentration in AC decreased by 10.1% and 5.58% in aboveground and belowground casts, respectively (Table 3). In AC, the total Zn concentration of non ingested

Table 2

| Pro | perties of n | on ingested | l soil and al | boveground and | l belowground | l casts in the diffe | erent treatments | $(mean \pm S.D.)$ | $n = 3^{\circ}$ |). |
|-----|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----|
|-----|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----|

| Treatment | pH | Organic C | Total N | β-Glucosidase | N-Acetyl-β-D- | Alkaline | Acid | FDA |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | mg.kg ⁻¹ | | | µg pNP g ⁻¹ soil h | -1 | phosphatase | phosphatase | μg fluorescein g^{-1} soil h^{-1} |
| Control EF | 5.48 ± 0.00 | $40.8~\pm~0.22$ | 2.11 ± 0.14 | 209 ± 14.1 | 212 ± 11.9 | 85.9 ± 34.0 | 467 ± 50.3 | $149~\pm~19.5$ |
| Non ingested soil | 5.61 ± 0.06ABa | 38.4 ± 1.83Bb | 2.30 ± 0.05Cb | 166 ± 26.1Abc | 114 ± 30.5Ba | 31 ± 22.2Ab | 350 ± 103Ac | 80 ± 8.6Ab |
| Aboveground cast | $5.81~\pm~0.12 \text{Aa}$ | 47.2 ± 1.62Ab | 2.73 ± 0.08Aa | 213 ± 28.3Aa | 186 ± 45.9Aa | 25 ± 17.6Ac | 324 ± 68.9Aa | 61 ± 13.0Aa |
| Belowground cast AC | 5.45 ± 0.14Ba | 48.5 ± 1.59Aa | 2.53 ± 0.04Ba | 184 ± 49.3Aa | 132 ± 8.6ABa | 47 ± 33.8Aa | 292 ± 47.2Aa | 68 ± 19.1Aa |
| Non ingested soil | 5.45 ± 0.08Ab | 44.1 ± 2.53Ba | 2.59 ± 0.08Aa | 293 ± 48.2Aa | 201 ± 70.4Aa | 72 ± 33.2Aab | 615 ± 95.4Aa | 22 ± 3.7Bc |
| Aboveground cast | 5.23 ± 0.23Ab | 53.6 ± 2.96Aa | 2.57 ± 0.25Aa | 130 ± 13.3Bb | 150 ± 41.9Aa | 56 ± 15.6Abc | 311 ± 51.1Ba | 22 ± 2.7Bb |
| Belowground cast AM | 5.44 ± 0.16Aa | 50.1 ± 1.63Aa | 2.21 ± 0.08Ba | 135 ± 11.2Bab | 98 ± 45.5Aab | 73 ± 14.2Aa | 338 ± 74.2Ba | 69 ± 6.7Aa |
| Non ingested soil | 5.57 ± 0.11Aab | 43.6 ± 3.48Aa | 2.51 ± 0.19Aab | 136 ± 2.6Bc | 175 ± 36.2Aa | 106 ± 26.7Aab | 438 ± 84.9Abc | 81 ± 7.6Ab |
| Aboveground cast | 5.31 ± 0.07Ab | 48.1 ± 2.28Ab | $2.39~\pm~0.18 \text{Aa}$ | 182 ± 24.1Aab | 127 ± 56.4ABa | 94 ± 37.3Aab | $318~\pm~61.4\text{Aa}$ | 76 ± 18.6Aa |
| Belowground cast AR | 5.28 ± 0.26Aa | 47.1 ± 2.92Aa | 2.50 ± 0.09Aa | 110 ± 12.9Bb | 51 ± 38.8Bb | 50 ± 20.2Aa | 298 ± 77.8Aa | 72 ± 8.7Aa |
| Non ingested soil | 5.43 ± 0.04Ab | 44.0 ± 1.90Ba | 2.56 ± 0.14Aa | 250 ± 73.7Aab | 180 ± 56.5Aa | $142~\pm~42.4\text{Aa}$ | 521 ± 54.6Aab | 117 ± 19.1Aa |
| Aboveground cast | 5.60 ± 0.03Aa | 49.7 ± 0.95Ab | $2.40~\pm~0.18 \text{Aa}$ | 164 ± 42.0ABab | 154 ± 18.6Aa | 117 ± 8.7Aa | 409 ± 51.7ABa | 79 ± 3.0Ba |
| Belowground cast | 5.31 ± 0.25Aa | 50.6 ± 0.66Aa | 2.42 ± 0.31Aa | 110 ± 36.8Bb | 101 ± 36.8Aab | 60 ± 8.4Ba | 268 ± 98.9Ba | 63 ± 5.5Ba |

pNP = p-nitrophenol.

Different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between non ingested soil, aboveground casts, and belowground casts for a same treatment; different lower case letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments for a same component (i.e., non ingested soil, aboveground casts, or below-ground casts). The data for non ingested soil and aboveground casts in EF and AM treatments were from Zhang et al. (2016).

Table 3

| Total and available metals in the aboveground and belowground | casts and non ingested soil of all treatments (mean \pm S.D., n = 3). |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Treatment | Total Zn | Total Cd | Total Cu | Total Pb | Available Zn | Available Cd | Available Cu | Available Pb | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| | mg.kg ⁻¹ | | | | | | | | |
| CK EF | 266.69 ± 5.27 | $0.65~\pm~0.24$ | 382.82 ± 2.86 | 427.64 ± 2.12 | 19.9 ± 0.645 | 0.266 ± 0.009 | 76.3 ± 1.78 | 84.3 ± 1.86 | |
| Non ingested soil | 313.21 ± 27.30 Aa | $0.77~\pm~0.19 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 369.13 ± 8.28Ba | 410.65 ± 8.11 Ba | $17.1~\pm~1.32 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 0.267 ± 0.012 Aa | 73.6 ± 2.94Aa | 79.1 ± 9.69Aa | |
| Aboveground casts | 250.14 ± 110.09Aa | $0.46~\pm~0.22 \text{Aa}$ | 374.73 ± 2.74ABa | 403.62 ± 10.29Ba | 18.7 ± 1.69Ab | $0.300 \pm 0.029 \text{Ab}$ | 71.4 ± 5.49Aa | 85.4 ± 5.37Aa | |
| Belowground casts | 406.80 ± 192.68Aab | 0.80 ± 0.22 Aa | 382.08 ± 1.54Aa | 427.63 ± 6.10Aa | 18.7 ± 1.09Ab | 0.275 ± 0.013Ab | 81.4 ± 6.47Aa | 92.5 ± 7.32Aa | |
| AC | | | | | | | | | |
| Non ingested soil | 275.49 ± 1.52Aa | $0.65~\pm~0.10 \text{Aa}$ | 374.73 ± 6.46Aa | 421.33 ± 2.58Aa | $18.0~\pm~0.64 Ca$ | $0.272 \pm 0.006Ba$ | 73.9 ± 2.06Ba | 84.3 ± 1.37Ca | |
| Aboveground casts | 239.86 ± 18.74Ba | $0.72~\pm~0.00 \text{Aa}$ | 357.62 ± 10.91Aa | 394.63 ± 11.11Ba | $22.5~\pm~0.91 \text{Aa}$ | 0.377 ± 0.019 Aa | 77.6 ± 2.57Ba | 90.6 ± 2.72Ba | |
| Belowground casts | 251.82 ± 19.02ABb | 0.62 ± 0.05Aa | 373.74 ± 12.11Aa | 393.64 ± 7.83Bb | $21.2~\pm~0.13Ba$ | 0.343 ± 0.025 Aa | 82.9 ± 1.82Aa | 95.3 ± 2.75Aa | |
| AM | | | | | | | | | |
| Non ingested soil | 305.96 ± 107.72Aa | 0.77 ± 0.22 Aa | 384.78 ± 12.06Aa | 425.30 ± 11.71Aa | $12.3 \pm 0.64Bc$ | 0.272 ± 0.016 Ba | 71.6 ± 2.34Ba | 74.9 ± 1.85Ba | |
| Aboveground casts | 376.28 ± 169.45Aa | 0.65 ± 0.36Aa | 315.45 ± 67.21Aa | 346.47 ± 77.04Aa | 14.8 ± 1.52Ac | 0.316 ± 0.009Ab | 74.4 ± 1.36ABa | 78.0 ± 4.16Bb | |
| Belowground casts | 485.57 ± 127.72Aa | 0.83 ± 0.26Aa | 384.98 ± 10.61 Aa | 424.12 ± 11.52Aa | 13.8 ± 0.26ABd | 0.292 ± 0.009Bb | 77.4 ± 1.43Aa | 84.8 ± 1.07Ab | |
| AR | | | | | | | | | |
| Non ingested soil | 269.16 ± 10.82Aa | 0.52 ± 0.13 Aa | 370.26 ± 9.25Aa | 413.72 ± 3.23Aa | 14.9 ± 0.32Bb | $0.241 \pm 0.007Bb$ | 55.4 ± 0.86Bb | 64.1 ± 2.90Ab | |
| Aboveground casts | 234.86 ± 16.79Ba | 0.68 ± 0.04Aa | 344.63 ± 9.41Aa | 380.58 ± 12.15Ba | 18.0 ± 0.98Ab | $0.297~\pm~0.020 \text{Ab}$ | 55.8 ± 1.48Bb | 49.2 ± 2.00Bc | |
| Belowground casts | 236.60 ± 10.42Bb | 0.70 ± 0.05Aa | 525.06 ± 191.73Aa | 391.84 ± 9.12Bb | 16.6 ± 0.91Ac | $0.265~\pm~0.016ABb$ | 63.9 ± 2.46Ab | $20.6~\pm~1.36\text{Cc}$ | |

Different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between non ingested soil, aboveground casts, and belowground casts for a same treatment; different lower case letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments for a same component (i.e., non ingested soil, aboveground casts, or below ground casts). The data for non ingested soil and aboveground casts in EF and AM treatments were from Zhang et al. (2016).

soil was significantly higher than that of aboveground casts. In AR, the total Zn concentration of non ingested soil was significantly higher than those of casts. The total Zn concentration of belowground casts was significantly higher in AM than in AC. However, there were no significant differences in total Cd concentration between non ingested soil, aboveground casts, and belowground casts whatever the treatments.

In EF, the total Cu and Pb concentrations of belowground casts were significantly higher than those of non ingested soil. In AC and AR, total Pb concentration was significantly higher in non ingested soil than in aboveground and belowground casts. Additionally, total Pb concentration of belowground casts was significantly higher in EF and AM than in AC and AR. Earthworm introduction decreased total soil Pb concentration by 0–19.0% (P < 0.05).

In general, casts had higher available metal concentrations than the non ingested soil. The highest metal availability in casts and non ingested soil were found in AC with the epigeic species *A. corticis*, whereas the lowest values were generally observed in AR with the endogeic species *A. robustus* (Table 3).

In the three treatments with native species, the available Zn concentrations of casts were significantly higher than that of non ingested soil, which was especially true for AC. Compared with the control, available Zn concentration of aboveground and belowground casts in AC was 13.1% and 6.5%, respectively, higher (P < 0.05), and that of aboveground casts was significantly higher than that of belowground casts.

Compared with the control, available Cd concentrations in EF, AC, and AM were 0.4–41.7% higher. In the three treatments with native species (i.e., AC, AM, and AR), available Cd concentration of aboveground casts was significantly higher than that of non ingested soil. Available Cd concentrations of casts were also significantly higher in AC than in the other treatments.

Compared with the control, available Cu concentration of belowground casts in the treatments with epigeic and epi-endogeic species (i.e., EF, AC, and AM) was 1.4–8.7% higher. In the treatments with native species, available Cu concentration was significantly higher in casts than in non ingested soil. The available Cu concentrations of casts were significantly lower in AR than in EF, AC, and AM.

Compared with the control, the available Pb concentrations of casts in EF and AC were 1.3–13.0% higher. It is also worth noticing that in AR with the endogeic species *A. robustus*, the available Pb concentration of non ingested soil was significantly higher than those of casts. In terms of the available Pb concentration of casts, the treatments ranked as AC > EF > AM > AR (P < 0.05).

3.4. Relationship between metal concentration in earthworm tissue and available metal in casts

A co-inertia analysis was performed to explore the relationship between metal concentration in earthworm tissue and available metal in casts (Fig. 3). Metal concentration of earthworm tissue and available metal of casts were significantly different between treatments (P = 0.005). The first axis explained 71.0% of the total variance. Available Cu and Pb in casts were negatively correlated with the axis, while their total concentrations in earthworm tissue were positively correlated with the axis. The second axis explained 18.3% of the total variance. The total concentrations of Zn and Cd in earthworm tissues were positively correlated with the available Zn and Cd in casts. The Pb- and Cu-activating abilities of epigeic species E. fetida and A. corticis and epiendogeic species A. morrisi were stronger than those of the endogeic species A. robustus, but their Pb- and Cu-accumulating abilities were lower. There were significant differences in the Zn- and Cdaccumulating and activating abilities between the three epigeic and epi-endogeic earthworm species (P = 0.005). Amynthas corticis had the strongest Zn- and Cd-accumulating and activating abilities, followed by A. morrisi and E. fetida.

Additionally, total metal accumulation in earthworm tissues and total available metal in earthworm casts and their ratios were calculated (Table 4). The highest ratios were found in the treatment with the endogeic species *A. robustus* (P < 0.05). The ratio of Cd was larger than 1.0



Fig. 3. Co-inertia analysis between metal concentration in earthworm tissue and available metal in earthworm casts. (a) Projection of metal concentration in earthworm tissue.(b) Projection of available metal in earthworm casts.(c) Score plot of treatments with different earthworm species addition.

for AC, AM, and AR and was considerably larger than those of Zn, Cu, and Pb.

3.5. Effects of earthworm on soil quality

PCA analysis showed that axis 1 (38.1% variance explained) was mainly related to organic C, cast production, and activities of β glucosidase, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, acid phosphatase and alkaline phosphatase (Fig. 4a, b, c). Axis 2 (17.5% variance explained) was mainly related to total N and FDA hydrolytic activity. Axis 3 (14.0% variance explained) was mainly related to pH and alkaline phosphatase. Organic C and aggregate production were negatively correlated with enzyme activities (Fig. 4a, b, c), and total N were negatively correlated with the overall enzymatic activity (i.e., FDA hydrolytic activity). The differences in non ingested soil and casts properties between the four treatments of EF, AC, AM, and AR were significant (P = 0.001). In terms of soil quality index, control < non ingested soil < casts (Fig. 4d). The soil quality indices of non ingested soil ranked as: AM (0.41) > AC (0.40) > EF (0.34) > AR (0.30); those of aboveground casts were in the order of: AC (0.90) > AM (0.63) > EF (0.58) > AR(0.51) (*P* < 0.05); and those of belowground casts were observed as: AM (0.88) > AR (0.85) > EF (0.67) and AC (0.67). Compared with the control (0.12), the average soil quality indices of EF (0.53), AM (0.64), AC (0.66), and AR (0.56) were higher.

4. Discussion

There is an urgently need for soil comprehensive remediation in polluted soil. Transfering metals from soil, improving soil quality and increasing plants growth are the three dimensions of soil remediation, which could be achieved by earthworm-assisted bioremediation technologies (Zhang et al., 2018, 2020). When using earthworm for soil bioremediation in the field, it is necessary to choose the most suitable earthworm species and then take several factors into consideration, such as earthworm tolerance to metals, metal accumulation in earthworm, available metals in earthworm casts removed into the aboveground of plants in further, and earthworm effects on soil quality.

4.1. Earthworm tolerance to metals

High tolerance to pollutants is considered an essential characteristic of organisms that are to be used in bioremediation technologies (Macaskie and Dean, 1989). High survival rate in contaminated soil is, obviously, the primary requirement for the selction of earthworm species. In our study, the high survival rates of the four earthworm species in the natural heavy metal-contaminated soil demonstrated their high tolerance to Cu, Cd, Pb, and Zn. Compared with A. corticis, the >90% survival rates of A. robustus and A. morrisi indicated that the two species may be promising in the field (Fig. 1). Eisenia fetida is commonly used in international standard toxicity tests and readily available from commercial supplies. It is not a natural soil species and inhabits organic-rich habitats (Nahmani et al., 2007). In addition, it is less sensitive to contaminants than other species (Langdon et al., 2005). In our 60-day laboratory experiment with 10% organic matter addition to the soil, a survival rate of 94.8% was found for E. fetida, which was not much lower than those of A. morrisi and A. robustus. Therefore, although not a native species, E. fetida may also be considered for bioremediation of metal-contaminated soils in South China. In further study, some subcellar or molecular experiments should be needed for explaining the tolerant mechanism of earthworms on the long-term with the aim to use earthworms in remediation processes.

4.2. Earthworm effects on soil quality

Effects of earthworm on soil quality are also crucial when using earthworm in soil bioremediation. Soil health and sustainability should be maintained (Lavelle et al., 2016). Soil quality indices reflect soil physical, chemical, and biological processes (Velasquez et al., 2007a, 2007b; Domínguez-Haydar et al., 2019). In this study, soil quality indices were significantly different between treatments with different earthworm species (Fig. 4c). The higher average soil quality indices in AR (0.56) and AM (0.64) as compared with EF (0.53) may be mainly due to the effects of *A. robustus* and *A. morrisi* on soil aggregates, organic C, and microbial activities. Previous studies have shown that soildwelling species living in deeper soil layers produce more stable aggregates than epigeic species (Blouin et al., 2013; Lavelle et al., 2020). Similar results were also found in this study that the endogeic species *A*.

 Table 4

 Metal accumulation in earthworm tissue, total available metal in earthworm casts and the ratio between them.

| Treatment | Metal accumula | tion in earthworm | | | Total available | e metal in earthwo | rm casts | | The ratio of metal accumulation in earthworm to total available metal in earthworm | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Zn | Cd | Cu | Pb | Zn | Cd | Cu | Pb | Zn | Cd | Cu | РЬ | |
| | mg/pot | | | | mg/pot | | | | | | | | |
| EF | $1.03 \pm 0.03c$ | $0.054 \pm 0.002b$ | $0.33 \pm 0.01c$ | $0.61 \pm 0.04b$ | 5.33 ± 0.65a | $0.083 \pm 0.010b$ | $21.42 \pm 2.53b$ | 25.10 ± 2.79a | $0.19 \pm 0.02b$ | $0.66 \pm 0.09b$ | $0.016 \pm 0.002c$ | $0.024 \pm 0.001b$ | |
| AC | $1.36~\pm~0.40 bc$ | $0.118 \pm 0.036b$ | $0.54 \pm 0.15b$ | $0.45~\pm~0.17b$ | $4.12~\pm~0.95a$ | $0.067 \pm 0.011b$ | $15.39 \pm 3.61 bc$ | $17.81 \pm 4.26b$ | $0.35 \pm 0.15b$ | $1.84 \pm 0.76b$ | $0.038 \pm 0.017 bc$ | $0.027 \pm 0.013b$ | |
| AM | $1.64~\pm~0.12b$ | $0.144~\pm~0.010 ab$ | $0.72~\pm~0.04b$ | $076~\pm~0.04b$ | $5.22~\pm~0.72a$ | $0.111 \pm 0.018a$ | $28.88 \pm 4.55a$ | $31.37 \pm 5.10a$ | $0.32~\pm~0.06b$ | $1.31 \pm 0.14b$ | $0.025 \pm 0.005b$ | $0.025 \pm 0.004b$ | |
| AR | $2.62~\pm~0.34a$ | $0.217~\pm~0.092a$ | $1.27~\pm~0.11a$ | $1.70~\pm~026a$ | $4.02~\pm~0.46a$ | $0.064~\pm~0.008b$ | $15.05 \pm 1.45c$ | $5.90~\pm~1.08c$ | $0.65~\pm~0.04a$ | $3.31~\pm~1.03a$ | $0.085 \pm 0.006a$ | $0.291 \pm 0.043a$ | |

Different lower case letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments.



Fig. 4. Principal component analysis of soil properties in treatments with earthworm addition in factorial F1, F2 and F3 planes. (a) Correlation circles of soil and cast properties in all treatments in factorial F1 and F2 planes.(b) Correlation circles of soil and cast properties in all treatments in factorial F2 and F3 planes.(c) Projection of experimental points according to treatments.(d) Soil quality indices of treatments with different earthworm species addition. (Different lower letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between aboveground casts, belowground casts, and non ingested soil for a same treatment, and different capital letters indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) in soil quality index of aboveground casts/belowground casts/non ingested soil between different treatments.)

robustus and the epi-endogeic species *A. morrisi* produced more casts, which are rich in microaggregates, than the epigeic species *A. corticis* (Fig. 2). The high C contents of aboveground and belowground casts (Table 2 and Fig. 4) were related to earthworms' feeding habits that they selectively feed on litter or organic-rich soil (Lavelle and Spain, 2001; Van Groenigen et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020). Taking their effects on soil quality into account, *A. robustus* and *A. morrisi* were better candidate species than *E. fetida* for bioremediation of metal-contaminated soils.

4.3. Metal accumulation in earthworm and available metal in casts and their relationship

Metal accumulation in earthworm and available metal in casts are key to earthworm environmental application. Metal accumulation in earthworm tissue was significantly influenced by earthworm species (Tables 1 and 4). The higher metal concentrations in the tissues of the three native species A. corticis, A. morrisi, and A. robustus as compared with E. fetida may be because they ingest larger amounts of soil but excrete smaller amounts of metal (Dai et al., 2004; Suthar et al., 2008). Total metal accumulation and the ratio of metal accumulation in body to available metal in casts of A. robustus were higher than those of the other species (Table 4), possibly due to the higher biomass of A. robustus (Fig. 1) (Xiao et al., 2020). The higher metal availability in earthworm casts than in non ingested soil, which indicates metal activation in earthworm casts, is attributed to the effects of intestinal microbes according to our previous studies (Dai et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Metal availability in casts was closely associated with earthworm species (Table 3, Fig. 3). The metal-activating effect of epigeic/epiendogeic species was stronger than that of endogeic species (Table 3). Epigeic and epi-endogeic species have stronger organic C-decomposing ability than endogeic species (Lavelle and Spain, 2001) due to some special microbes in their guts which participate in carbohydrate metabolism regulation (Liu et al., 2018), resulting in higher availability of elements, including metals. In addition, total available Cd was higher in the casts of *A. morrisi* than in the casts of the other species (P < 0.05, Table 4), demonstrating that *A. morrisi* is a good Cd activator for Cd bioremediation.

Many studies have focused on metal accumulation (Nahmani et al., 2007; Suthar et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2020) or metal activation by earthworms (Sizmur and Richardson, 2020, and the references in it), but few studies have looked at their relationship. In this study, the ratio values of metal accumulation in earthworm tissue to total available metal in earthworm casts indicated that a large proportion of the metals ingested by earthworms returned to the soil in available forms instead of entered earthworm tissue except Cd (Table 4). Therefore, both stabilizing the Zn, Cu, and Pb in earthworm casts and phytoextraction are plausible remediation methods. As Cd is highly mobile (Nannoni et al., 2011) and easily combines with metallothionein (MT) and nonmetallothionein proteins (i.e. MIP) in earthworm tissue (Morgan et al., 1989; Hussain et al., 2021), Cd accumulation in earthworm should not be overlooked. Metal BSAFs ranked as Cd > Zn > Cu and Pb (Table 1, P < 0.05), which was consistent with the result of Dai et al. (2004). The Cd accumulated in earthworm tissues would re-enter the soil when earthworm dies, posing environmental risk (Zhang et al., 2016). Therefore, it is important to reduce Cd accumulation in earthworm tissue. Lanno et al. (2004) pointed out that after ingested, Cd is transported to sites of toxic action and persists in earthworm body for a long time. The co-inertia analysis showed that Cd availability in casts was positively related with Cd accumulation in epigeic/epi-endogeic species (Fig. 3). Available Cd in earthworm-soil system is influenced by microbe strains, plant roots, and soil properties (Dai et al., 2015; Kaur et al., 2019). Employing phytoextraction technology may decrease Cd in soil and earthworm tissue and remediate Cd pollution in soil.

Above all, the highest total available Cd, Cu, and Pb concentrations in *A. morrisi* casts demonstrates that *A. morrisi* is the best option for future application of the three native earthworm species. *A. morrisi*assisted phytoextraction may be a suitable remediation method for the Cd/Cu/Pb multi-polluted soils in South China. However, it is worth mentioning that only one type of soil was used in our experiment, which lasted only 60 days. Therefore, more studies are required to confirm the results of this study in other environments and over long-term observations.

5. Conclusion

When choosing earthworm species for metal-contaminated soil remediation, special attention should be paid to the tolerance of earthworm to metals, metal accumulation in earthworm tissue, metal availability in earthworm casts, and the effect of earthworm on soil quality. *Eisenia fetida, A. morrisi,* and *A. robustus* showed higher survival rates than *A. corticis* in the heavy metal-contaminated soil. Compared with the epigeic species *E. fetida,* the native epi-endogeic species *A. morrisi* and endogeic species *A. robustus* accumulated more metals and had a more beneficial effect on soil quality. In addition, *A. morrisi* had a strong ability in activating Cd, Cu, and Pb in casts. Based on the relationship between metal accumulation in earthworm tissue and metal availability in casts, *A. morrisi* is the most promising of the four for application in heavy metal-contaminated soils, and *A. morrisi*-assisted phytoextraction technology may be exploited for the Cd/Cu/Pb multipolluted soils in South China.

Uncited references

Fonte et al., 2018 Mondal et al., 2020

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Menghao Zhang: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Data curation. Pascal Jouquet: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Jun Dai: Writing – review & editing. Ling Xiao: Writing – review & editing. Yan Du: Data curation, Investigation. Kexue Liu: Data curation, Investigation. Mikael Motelica-Heino: Investigation. Patrick Lavelle: Investigation. Hesen Zhong: Investigation. Chi Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project administration.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2021A1515011543), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41201305 and 41601575), National Science and Technology Fundamental Resources Investigation Program of China (2018FY100301), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0201301 and

2016YFD0201200) supported this work, in addition to support from the China Scholarship Council and the scientific section of the French Embassy in Beijing.

References

- Amacher, M.C., 1996. Nickel, cadmium, and lead. In: Sparks, D.L. (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3. Chemical Methods. Soil Science Society of America Book Series, 5. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp. 739–768.
- Bedano, J.C., Vaquero, F., Dominguez, A., Rodriquez, M.P., Wall, L.G., Lavelle, P., 2019. Earthworms contribute to ecosystem process in no-till systems with high crop rotation intensity in Argentina. Acta Oecol. 98, 14–24.
- Blouin, M., Hodson, M.E., Delgado, E.A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K.R., Dai, J., Dendooven, L., Peres, G., Tondoh, J.E., Cluzeau, D., Brun, J.-J., 2013. A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 64 (2), 161–182.
- Coelho, C., Foret, C., Bazin, C., Leduc, L., Hammada, M., Inácio, M., Bedell, J.P., 2018. Bioavailability and bioaccumulation of heavy metals of several soils and sediments (from industrialized urban areas) for Eisenia fetida. Sci. Total Environ. 635, 1317–1330.
- Dai, J., Becquer, T., Rouiller, J.H., Reversat, G., Bernhard-Reversat, F., Nahmani, J., Lavelle, P., 2004. Heavy metal accumulation by two earthworm species and its relationship to total and DTPA-extractable metals in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36 (1), 91–98.
- Dai, J.J., Zhang, C., Zhou, B., Sun, Y.T., Huang, Y.T., Ren, Z.L., Dai, J., 2015. Effects of earthworm gut on microbial community structure in heavy metal contaminated soils. J. China Agric. Univ. 20 (5), 95–102 (Chinese with English abstract).
- Dick, R.P., Breakwill, D., Turco, R., 1996. Soil enzyme activities and biodiversity measurements as integrating biological indicators. In: Doran, J.W., Jones, A.J. (Eds.), Handbook of Methods for Assessment of Soil Quality. pp. 247–272.
- Domínguez-Haydar, Y., Velásquez, E., Carmona, J., Lavelle, P., Chavez, L.J., Juan, J., 2019. Evaluation of reclamation success in an open-pit coal mine using integrated soil physical, chemical and biological quality indicators. Ecol. Indic. 103, 182–193.
- Fonte, S.J., Botero, C., Quintero, D.C., Lavelle, P., van Kessel, C., 2018. Earthworms regulate plant productivity and the efficacy of soil fertility amendments in acid soils of the Colombian Llanos. Soil Biol. Biochem. 129, 136–143.
- Guerra, C.A., Bardgett, R.D., Caon, L., Crowther, T.W., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Montanarella, L., Navarro, L.M., Orgiazzi, A., Singh, B.K., Tedersoo, L., Vargas-Rojas, R., Briones, M.J.I., Buscot, F., Cameron, E.K., Cesarz, S., Chatzinotas, A., Cowan, D.A., Djukic, I., van den Hoogen, J., Lehmann, A., Maestre, F.M., Marín, C., Reitz, T., Rillig, M.C., Smith, L.C., de Vries, F.T., Weigelt, A., Wall, D.H., Eisenhauer, N., 2021. Tracking, targeting, and conserving soil biodiversity. Science 371 (6526), 239–241.
- Husain, S., Chatterjee, S.K., Maiti, T.K., Goswami, L., Das, S., Deb, U., Bhattacharya, S.S., 2021. Metal induced non-metallothionein protein in earthworm: a new pathway for cadmium detoxification in chloragogenous tissue. J. Hazard. Mater. 401, 123357.
- Ji, P., Huang, X., Jiang, Y., Zhang, H.H., 2020. Potential of enhancing the phytoremediation efficiency of Solanum nigrum L. by earthworms. Int. J. Phyoremediat. 22 (5), 529–533.
- Kaur, P., Bali, S., Sharma, A., Kohli, S.K., Vig, A.P., Bhardwaj, R., Thukral, A.K., Abd-Allah, E.F., Wijaya, L., Alyemeni, M.N., Ahmad, P., 2019. Cd induced generation of free radical species in Brassica juncea is regulated by supplementation of earthworms in the drilosphere. Sci. Total Environ. 655, 663–675.
- Langdon, C.J., Hodson, M.E., Arnold, R.E., Black, S., 2005. Survival, pb-uptake and behaviour of three species of earthworm in Pb treated soils determined using an OECD-style toxicity test and a soil avoidance test. Environ. Pollut. 138, 368–375.
- Lanno, R., Wells, J., Conder, J., Bradham, K., Basta, N., 2004. The bioavailability of chemicals in soil for earthworms. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 57 (1), 39–47.
- Lavelle, P., Spain, A.V., 2001. Soil ecology. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Lavelle, P., Spain, A., Blouin, M., Brown, G., Decaens, T., Grimaldi, M., Jimenez, J.J., McKey, D., Mathieu, J., Velasquez, E., Zangerle, A., 2016. Ecosystem engineers in a self-organized soil: a review of concepts and future research question. Soil Sci. 181, 91–109.
- Lavelle, P., Spain, A., Fonte, S., Bedano, J.C., Blanchart, E., Galindo, V., Grimaldi, M., Jimenez, J.J., Velasquez, E., Zangerle, A., 2020. Soil aggregation, ecosystem engineers and C cycle. Acta Oecol. 105, 103561.
- Li, Y.T., Rouland, C., Benedetti, M., Li, F.B., Pando, N., Lavelle, P., Dai, J., 2009a. Microbial biomass, enzyme and mineralization activity in relation to soil organic C, N and P turnover influenced by acid metal stress. Soil Biol. Biochem. 41 (5), 969–977.
- Li, Y.T., Becquer, T., Dai, J., Quantin, C., Benedetti, M.F., 2009b. Ion activity and distribution of heavy metals in acid mine drainage polluted subtropical soils. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1249–1257.
- Lindsay, W.L., Norvell, W.A., 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper 1. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42 (3), 421–428.
- Liu, Q., Wu, J.L., Zhou, B., Xiao, L., Yang, Q.J., Wang, H.Y., Jiang, M., Zhong, L.L., Dai, J., Zhang, C., 2018. The combined effects of earthworms and Sphingobacterium sp. on soil carbon, nitrogen, microbial activities and diversities. In: 11th International Symposium on Earthworm Ecology, Shanghai, June 24–29.
- Lu, Y., 2017. In: Soil series of China: Guangdong. Science Press, Beijing, pp. 14–26. Macaskie, L.E., Dean, A.C.R., 1989. Microbial metabolism, desolubilization and deposition of heavy metals: uptake by immobilized cells and application to the treatment of liquid wastes. In: Mizrahi, A. (Ed.), Biological Waste Treatment. Alan R, Liss, New York, pp. 150–201.
- Meng, J. (Ed.), 2014. 16.1 percent of Chinese soil is polluted. English.news.cn 04-17 http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/china/2014-04/17/c 133270770.htm.

M. Zhang et al.

Mondal, A., Goswami, L., Hussain, N., Barman, S., Kalita, E., Bhattacharyya, P., Bhattacharya, S.S., 2020. Detoxification and eco-friendly recycling of brick kiln coal ash using Eisenia fetida: a clean approach through vermitechnology. Chemosphere 244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125470.

- Mora, P., Miambi, E., Jiménez, J.J., Decaëns, T., Rouland, C., 2005. Functional complement of biogenic structures produced by earthworms, termites and ants in the neotropical savannas. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37 (6), 1043–1048.
- Morgan, J.E., Norey, C.G., Morgan, A.J., Key, J., 1989. A comparison of the cadmiumbinding protein isolated from the posterior alimentary canal of the earthworms Dendrodrilus rubida and Lumbricus rubellus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 92 (C), 15–21.
- Nahmani, J., Hodson, M.E., Black, S., 2007. A review of studies performed to assess metal uptake by earthworms. Environ. Pollut. 145 (2), 402–424.
- Nannoni, F., Protano, G., Riccobono, F., 2011. Uptake and bioaccumulation of heavy elements by two earthworm species from a smelter contaminated area in northern Kosovo. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43 (12), 2359–2367.
- Noguera, D., Rondón, M., Laossi, K.-R., Hoyos, V., Lavelle, P., de Carvalho, M.H.C., Barot, S., 2010. Contrasted effect of biochar and earthworms on rice growth and resource allocation in different soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 1017–1027.
- R Development Core Team, 2009. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org.
- Rodriguez, L., Suarez, J.C., Pulleman, M., Guaca, L., Rico, A., Romero, M., Quintero, M., Lavelle, P., 2021. Agroforestry systems in the Colombian Amazon improve the provision of soil ecosystem services. Appl. Soil Ecol. 164, 103933.
- Schnvrer, J., Rosswall, T., 1982. Flurescein diacetate hydrolysis as a measure of total microbial activity in soil and litter. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43, 1256–1261.
- Sizmur, T., Richardson, J., 2020. Earthworms accelerate the biogeochemical cycling of potentially toxic elements: results of a meta-analysis. Soil Biol. Biochem. 148, 107865.
- Sparks, D.L., 1996. Methods of soil analysis, part 3, chemical methods. Published by soil science Society of America Inc. American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison,

Wisconsin, USA.

- Suthar, S., Singh, S., Dhawan, S., 2008. Earthworms as bioindicator of metals (Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu, Pb and Cd) in soils: is metal bioaccumulation affected by their ecological category? Ecol. Eng. 32 (2), 99–107.
- Thioulouse, J., Chessel, D., Dole, S., Olivier, J.M., 1997. ADE-4: a multivariate analysis and graphical display software. Stat. Comput. 7 (1), 75–83.
- Van Groenigen, J.W., Van Groenigen, K.J., Koopmans, G.F., Stokkermans, L., Vos Hannah, M.J., Lubbers, I.M., 2019. How fertile are earthworm casts? A meta-analysis. Geoderma 338, 525–535.
- Velasquez, E., Pelosi, C., Brunet, D., Grimaldi, M., Martins, M., Rendeiro, A.C., Barrios, E., Lavelle, P., 2007. This ped is my ped: visual separation and near infrared spectra allow determination of the origins of soil macroaggregates. Pedobiologia 51 (1), 75–87.
- Velasquez, E., Lavelle, P., Andrade, M., 2007. GISQ, a multifunctional indicator of soil quality. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39, 3066–3080.
- Wu, J., Zhang, C., Xiao, L., Motelica-Heino, M., Ren, Z., Deng, T., Dai, J., 2020. Impacts of earthworm species on soil acidification, Al fractions, and base cation release in a subtropical soil from China. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R 27, 33446–33457.
- Xiao, L., Li, M., Dai, J., Motelica-Heino, M., Chen, X.F., Wu, J.L., Zhao, L., Liu, K., Zhang, C., 2020. Assessment of earthworm activity on cu, cd, pb and zn bioavailability in contaminated soils using biota to soil accumulation factor and DTPA extraction. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 195, 110513.
- Zhang, C., Mora, P., Dai, J., Chen, X., Giusti-Miller, S., Ruiz-Camacho, N., Velasquez, E., Lavelle, P., 2016. Earthworm and organic amendment effects on microbial activities and metal availability in a contaminated soil from China. Appl. Soil Ecol. 104, 54–66.
- Zhang, C., Zhou, B., Wu, J.L., Lv, M.R., Chen, X.F., Yuan, Z.Y., Xiao, L., Dai, J., 2018. Application of earthworms on soil remediation in southern China. Biodivers. Sci. 26 (10), 1091–1102 (Chinese with English abstract).
- Zhang, C., Dai, J., Chen, X., Li, H., Lavelle, P., 2020. Effects of a native earthworm species (Amynthas morrisi) and Eisenia fetida on metal fractions in a multi-metal polluted soil from South China. Acta Oecol. 102, 103503.