

## A Novel Optical Wireless Modulation exploiting Time, Frequency and Amplitude divisions enabling Link and Illumination Reliability

Andrea Petroni, Antonio Costanzo, Valeria Loscrì, Mauro Biagi

### ► To cite this version:

Andrea Petroni, Antonio Costanzo, Valeria Loscrì, Mauro Biagi. A Novel Optical Wireless Modulation exploiting Time, Frequency and Amplitude divisions enabling Link and Illumination Reliability. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 2023, 10.1109/TWC.2023.3285822. hal-04115865

## HAL Id: hal-04115865 https://hal.science/hal-04115865

Submitted on 2 Jun2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# A Novel Optical Wireless Modulation exploiting Time, Frequency and Amplitude divisions enabling Link and Illumination Reliability

Andrea Petroni, Antonio Costanzo, Member, IEEE

Valeria Loscri, Senior Member, IEEE, and Mauro Biagi, Senior Member, IEEE

#### Abstract

1

2 The simultaneous task of data transmission and illumination achievable with Visible Light Communication is continuing to raise the interest of research and industry community. The joint use of the 3 same infrastructure for illumination and communication may enable new location-based services, by 4 implementing sensing functionalities. In this paper, we propose a new multi-dimensional modulation 5 scheme combining different techniques, in order to increase the spectral and energy efficiency, while 6 meeting the optical power emission constraints. Specifically, Pulse Position Modulation Pulse Amplitude 7 Modulation and Frequency Shift Keying are effectively combined in a joint fashion, in order to exploit 8 time, amplitude and frequency information. The innovation of using a smart combination of those 9 modulation formats is based on the exploitation of specific features of the different approaches. In 10 particular, we combine time, amplitude and frequency features to enhance the robustness of the system, 11 without sacrificing the data rate performance as for coded systems. The major implication of such 12 approach is twofold. In this way, we grant a constant illumination level per symbol and, moreover, we 13 outperform in terms of reliability coded modulations for the same level of spectral efficiency. Theoretical 14 results, validated through experimental evaluations, demonstrate that the combined approach achieves 15 very good performance. 16

Andrea Petroni is with Fondazione Ugo Bordoni (FUB), Viale America, 201 00144 Rome, Italy (e-mail: apetroni@fub.it). Mauro Biagi is with the Department of Information, Electrical, and Telecommunication (DIET) engineering, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Via Eudossiana, 18 00184 Rome, Italy (e-mail: mauro.biagi@uniroma1.it).

Antonio Costanzo is with LEOST Team at Université Gustave Eiffel, France (e-mail: antonio.costanzo@univ-eiffel.fr). Valeria Loscri is with FUN Team of Inria Lille, France (e-mail: valeria.loscri@inria.fr). Index Terms

18

19

17

#### Modulation, Coding, Illumination, Detection, Optical Wireless

#### I. INTRODUCTION

It is a well recognized matter of fact that the Internet of Everything (IoE) paradigm main 20 limitation is represented by the exiguous wireless resources [1]. In the last decade, Optical 21 Wireless Communication Systems (OWCSs) have risen as a green and effective solution to 22 alleviate the spectral scarcity and boost the ubiquitous deployment and usage of IoE devices [2]. 23 The significant advances in optoelectronic technology have made Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) 24 and photodetectors (PDs) inexpensive, and efficient hardware to equip optical transceivers [3]. 25 Furthermore, the emission of LEDs can be conveniently managed to provide the illumination 26 service jointly with wireless connectivity. Such scenario is peculiar of the so called Visible 27 Light Communication (VLC) [4], representing one of the most promising fields of application 28 for OWCSs. 29

By focusing on communication aspects, the design of energy efficient and effective modulation 30 schemes is paramount to maximize the performance in terms of both data rate and reliability [5]. 31 One of the key features of OWCSs is they are Intensity-Modulation/Direct-Detection (IM/DD) 32 systems, requiring high energy efficient modulation techniques. Among the different modulation 33 schemes, one of the most widespread is On-Off Keying (OOK), as a special case of Pulse-34 Amplitude Modulation (PAM) employing only two transmit signal power levels, one of which is 35 set to zero. In general, even though PAM is very suitable for OWCSs, its main issue is related to 36 the growth of the electrical/optical energy per bit, with the increase of modulation order, necessary 37 to guarantee a certain Bit Error Rate (BER). A higher level of energy efficiency can be achieved 38 with the adoption of orthogonal modulation schemes, although with a negative impact on spectral 39 efficiency. Frequency-Shift Keying (FSK) belongs to the orthogonal modulation schemes category 40 [6]. Its main drawback in the context of OWCSs is represented by its bipolar characteristics, 41 however the variant of FSK based on Direct-Current (DC) offset, namely DC-FSK, allows to 42 obtain a scheme compatible with the IM/DD approach [7]. In fact, from an energy point of 43 view, DC-FSK is more efficient than an asymmetric version of FSK, but suffers from a greater 44 spectral efficiency reduction. Another orthogonal modulation technique is represented by Pulse 45

<sup>46</sup> Position Modulation (PPM) [8]. PPM is interesting for OWCSs since particularly fitting also for
<sup>47</sup> localization purposes, but its inefficiency concerns the peak-to-mean optical power ratio [9].

Based on these premises, it is clear that each modulation scheme has its own strengths and 48 weaknesses. Hence, combining different techniques would be a promising approach to capitalize 49 on the benefits and mitigate drawbacks. One of the earliest proposal for hybrid schemes is 50 described in [10], where a low-density parity-check coded hybrid subcarrier-amplitude-phase-51 polarization modulation is investigated to deal with optical channels and providing up to 240-52 Gb/s rate. Another work about hybrid solutions for OWCSs has been presented in [11]. The 53 authors propose a class of optical modulation techniques as a combination of PPM and FSK 54 and with the addition of a polarization component and/or phase modulation, demonstrating the 55 achievement of a higher power efficiency. Both the schemes in [10], [11] were developed for 56 optical systems, but not specifically wireless, hence potential issues related to dimming control, 57 typical of indoor VLC, are not addressed. A hybrid DC Frequency and Phase Shift Keying 58 modulation scheme for optical wireless systems has been investigated in [12]. The authors 59 established the particular combination of phase and frequency leading to an optimal energy 60 and spectral efficiency. Phase and frequency shift applied to OOK are considered by the authors 61 in [13] to demonstrate the feasibility of a 160 meters outdoor VLC link, by employing an image 62 sensor-based receiver for reliable signal detection. Still dealing with free space optics (FSO), the 63 joint use of quadrature amplitude modulation and multi-pulse position modulation is evaluated 64 in [14] for both turbulence-free and gamma-gamma channels, with results demonstrating its 65 superiority to other known schemes in terms of power efficiency and outage probability. Although 66 the techniques in [12]–[14] are tailored to optical wireless communications, no focus has been 67 made on their application in indoor VLC systems, therefore performance related to lighting 68 control were not investigated. An interesting contribution on hybrid modulation schemes has been 69 proposed in [15], where the authors combine Pulse Width Modulation, PPM and Discrete Pulse 70 Amplitude Modulation (DPAM) in order to increase the data transmission rate. Furthermore, the 71 use of DPAM is also aimed to achieve the dimming function. Similarly, the features of DPAM 72 and the reliability of variable PPM are jointly exploited in [16] to improve the communication 73 rate while providing illumination control as well. The effectiveness of the approaches presented 74 in [15] and [16] was validated through several experiments, however the lack of preliminary 75 simulation analysis does not allow the measured performance to be compared with a reliable 76 benchmark. Besides in [17] a new modulation format able to constrain macro-symbol by macro-77

<sup>78</sup> symbol the lighting level has been proposed by merging PAM and PPM. The receiver is really
 <sup>79</sup> complex and a sub-optimal solution has been proposed in [18].

Based on the encouraging results available in the literature, the idea of this work is to explore the potentialities of three popular modulation formats and try to merge them in a whole framework. Hence, in this work we propose a joint multi-modulation scheme, based on the combination of non-orthogonal PPM, PAM and FSK, in order to efficiently exploit the time, amplitude and frequency features of the different schemes.

More in detail, it is known from theory [19] that the adoption of spectrally efficient *pure* 85 modulations like PAM allows the achievement of high data rates. However, the main drawback 86 concerns the power inefficiency that, in OWCSs, does not guarantee the provision of a constant 87 lighting level per symbol and, moreover, modulation only is not sufficient to achieve high 88 reliability in real systems. Nonetheless, solving the reliability problem through coding does 89 not allow to solve illumination issues. On the other hand, light emission and robustness to errors 90 can be effectively addressed by using different schemes, such has FSK or PPM, but rate is 91 unavoidably penalized due to the bandwidth inefficiency. 92

With the aim to achieve a convenient compromise between rate and reliability, the use of hybrid modulations has been recently spreading. Current works in the literature mainly deal with the merging of pairs of modulation schemes, typically phase and amplitude, frequency and amplitude, phase and frequency domains. Furthermore, the largest part of the proposed solutions are developed for FSO applications or, in general, not specifically for indoor wireless scenarios. Hence, issues related to power and illumination control that characterize indoor VLC are still very often neglected.

The main novelty of this work is that we propose a multi-modulation scheme relying on the 100 combination of non-orthogonal PPM, PAM and FSK to leverage the specific features and smartly 101 mitigate the main drawbacks of each modulation. Such popular schemes are merged in a whole, 102 novel framework, in order to efficiently exploit the time, amplitude and frequency features of 103 the different schemes. The goal is to achieve performance improvements in terms of spectral 104 and power efficiency with respect to pure modulations, providing also a constant illumination 105 level that represents a fundamental requirement in real-world indoor VLC systems. The main 106 contributions can be summarized as follows. The multi-dimensional modulation we propose: 107

is able to simultaneously *exploit* the spectral efficiency of PAM and the power efficiency of
 PPM and FSK by combining them in a single and more effective scheme, where the impact

of pure modulations weaknesses is reduced;

is able to grant a constant power level, thus meaning constant lighting level on a per symbol
 basis, allowing illumination control while other coded and uncoded schemes generally do
 not;

allows the use of different receivers, ranging from the optimum to several sub-optimal
 ones, with each one providing a particular trade off between computational complexity and
 performance;

is able to outperform block coding strategies, for the same level of spectral efficiency, in
 terms of communication reliability, as confirmed by experimental validation as well.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we introduce the system model, including channel description and the multi-dimensional modulation we propose. In Sec III, we detail the optimum receiver structure and discuss the implementation of several sub-optimal and less costly receivers. In order to evaluate both the performance of the modulation itself and the different receivers effectiveness, we proceed in Sec. IV with the numerical results description and we also show some test results. Last, in Sec. V we draw final conclusion.

125

#### II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROPOSED MODULATION

Let us consider a point-to-point optical link with transmitter and receiver equipped with a single LED and PD, respectively. The signal propagation can be modeled as:

$$y(t) = \rho h x(t) + w(t) \tag{1}$$

where x(t) is the instantaneous power associated to the transmitted optical signal, h accounts for the channel effect from the source to the receiver,  $\rho$  is the responsivity of the PD (measured in ampere/watt), and y(t) is the received current signal resulting from opto-electrical conversion. Finally, w(t) is the noise term modeled as zero-mean, additive white and Gaussian, the variance of which is indicated as  $\sigma_w^2$ . Regarding the channel characterization, by assuming the signal propagation as Lambertian and line-of-sight, we can model h as [20]:

$$h = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1)A_{\rm pd}}{2\pi D^2} \cos^n(\phi) \cos(\psi)g(\psi), \ \psi \le \Psi\\ 0, \qquad \psi > \Psi \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $A_{pd}$  is area of the PD, D is the distance between LED and PD, g represents the gain of a potentially employable optical concentrator and  $n = -\ln 2/\ln(\cos \Phi_{1/2})$  represents the Lambertian emission factor derived from the LED -3dB semi-angle. Finally,  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are the angle of radiance and incidence, respectively, with  $\Psi$  defining the PD FOV.

Herein, we propose a novel multi-dimensional modulation scheme based on a PPM-like signaling, FSK-inspired shape and also PAM-based modulation. The general framework description is provided in Figure 1. Transmit side operations are described in the current section, while received signal processing is detailed in the next one.

<sup>142</sup> Now, we proceed by first introducing the generic symbol emitted by the modulator in the <sup>143</sup> following compact form:

$$x(t) = I_0 + A_m s_n (t - \ell T_c) \tag{3}$$

where  $I_0$  is a light bias that is used to maintain constant the lighting level per symbol. This choice is fundamental in order to guarantee a constant illumination level. In fact, the use of



Receiving stage of the Multi-modulation scheme

Fig. 1. General framework describing the proposed scheme principles.

different amplitudes, as realized in PAM modulation, allows to have a constant *average* lighting, only under the hypothesis of equal distribution of the transmitted symbols. Unfortunately, such assumption *fails to be verified*, for example when an image characterized by black and white stripes has to be transmitted. There, we have several consecutive and identical symbols to be transmitted, so reflecting in LEDs on the same power level for several time instants, including the level zero corresponding to the source switch off. It is worth specifying that  $I_0$  and  $s_n(t)$ represent current signals that, by assuming ideal electro-optical conversion at transmit side, define the optical signal x(t). Still regarding eq. (3),  $A_m$  is one of the M amplitudes belonging



Fig. 2. Block diagram of the PPM-FSK-PAM modulator.

to the PAM-based alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, A_1, ..., A_{M-1}\}$ ,  $T_c$  is the elementary PPM-like delay and the index  $\ell = 0, 1, ..., L-1$  rules the signal time shift according to one of the L possible PPM delays gathered in  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, T_c, ..., (L-1)T_c\}$ . Finally,  $s_n(t)$  is the square wave describing the N-FSK signal shape, with n=1,2,...,N indicating the number of wave cycles within the signaling time  $T_p$ . In detail,  $s_n(t)$  can be represented as:

$$s_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( rect \left( \frac{t - T_{p}/4n - (k-1)T_{p}/2n}{T_{p}/2n} \right) - rect \left( \frac{t - T_{p}/4n - kT_{p}/2n}{T_{p}/2n} \right) \right)$$
(4)

144

where the first term is the positive portion of the square wave and the second term represents 145 the negative component of the square wave. The modulator combining the principles of PPM, 146 FSK and PAM signaling is depicted in Fig. 2, while a graphical example of symbol emission 147 is reported in Fig.3. Once more, it is possible to appreciate that the symbol power is constant, 148 hence, the illumination has a constant value on a symbol basis. Given  $T_c$  and  $T_p$ , the use of L 149 possible delays, N possible frequencies and M possible amplitudes entails the overall symbol 150 time to be equal to  $T_s = T_p + (L-1)T_c$  (it is worth clarifying that the PAM modulation does 151 not impact on the symbol duration, but only on amplitude). Interestingly, it can be noted that if 152  $T_c = T_p$  the orthogonal PPM signaling is realized, while  $T_c < T_p$  returns non-orthogonal PPM. 153 In this direction, by expressing  $T_c = \beta T_p$ , with  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  ( $\beta = 1$  representing orthogonal 154 PPM), the spectral efficiency of the proposed modulation format (related to the electrical signal) 155 is given by: 156

$$\eta = \frac{\log_2(L \cdot N \cdot M)}{N(1 + \beta(L - 1))}.$$
(5)

According to the modulator block diagram in Fig. 2, the bit mapping can be done by composing the bit string related to the generic symbol as  $\log_2(L \cdot M \cdot N)$  bits where the first  $\log_2 L$  are related to PPM, the second  $\log_2 N$  are linked to FSK and the last  $\log_2 M$  deal with PAM.



Fig. 3. Graphical representation of modulated symbols with different combinations of  $\ell$ , n and m.

It is worth highlighting that the proposed scheme combines a highly spectrally efficient 160 modulation such as PAM with spectrally inefficient but reliable modulation like PPM and FSK. 161 In this regard, PPM and FSK realize a sort of coding (even though here no channel coding 162 is present) as they provide a higher robustness to errors with respect to pure PAM signaling, 163 that is unavoidably paid in terms of spectral efficiency reduction. In fact, by looking at eq. (5), 164 the use of PAM signaling (M > 1) allows  $\eta$  to increase, while the presence of PPM and FSK 165 (L > 1, N > 1) lowers  $\eta$ . Moreover,  $\beta$  ruling the width of PPM delay impacts on the trade off 166 between spectral efficiency and reliability as well. Another interesting aspect to highlight is that, 167 by smartly combining the three different techniques, we are able to improve energy efficiency. In 168 particular, energy efficiency is meant as the system is able to reduce the BER in correspondence 169 to the same SNR values, thus requiring lower power to achieve the same performance of other 170 schemes. 171

172

#### III. DIGITAL DEMODULATION: OPTIMAL AND SUB-OPTIMAL RECEIVERS

As detailed in the previous section, by combining PPM, FSK and PAM we realize a multidimensional modulation scheme jointly exploiting time, frequency and amplitude domains. Regarding digital demodulation, we must anticipate that the relationship that links PPM, FSK and PAM still holds. In fact, a possible wrong decision about the PPM part of the symbol may induce errors both in symbol frequency and amplitude detection. An unreliable decision on the FSK part may lead PAM detection to be unreliable as well. Finally, having many close signal amplitudes available makes PAM detection very challenging, and errors may have also a significant impact on PPM symbol part recognition.

Such a *circular* dependency among PPM, FSK and PAM suggests that *parallel detection* of the three modulation components can not be followed. Therefore, a different approach combining time, frequency and amplitude dimensions is required. In this regard, we resort to the principles of Maximum Likelihood (ML) criterion allowing symbol detection to be described as follows. First, the transmit signal model in eq. (3) allows eq. (1) to be rewritten as:

$$y(t) = \rho h I_0 + \rho h A_m s_n (t - \ell T_c) + w(t)$$
(6)

<sup>186</sup> from which it is possible to recover the unbiased continuous time received signal as:

$$y_u(t) = y(t) - \rho h I_o = \rho h A_m s_n(t - \ell T_c) + w(t)$$
(7)

where the term h is the estimated version of the channel that, under the hypothesis of reliable 187 estimation, leads to have the  $y_u(t)$  with zero-mean. As previously outlined, the bits carried by 188 PPM, FSK and PAM must be decoded in a joint fashion. The most effective, but also expensive, 189 way to achieve this goal is to implement the so called optimum receiver (OR) [19], based 190 on signal filtering operated by considering all the possible combinations of PPM, FSK and 191 PAM signals. As alternatives, we present three sub-optimal receivers, referred as quasi-optimum 192 receiver (QOR), direct receiver (DR) and feedback receiver (FBR), respectively. Below, we 193 detail the characteristics of the mentioned receivers, discussing the different trade off between 194 complexity and performance provided by each configuration. 195

#### 196 A. Optimum receiver

<sup>197</sup> By looking at the scheme of the OR reported in Fig. 4 (excluding the gray section), it is <sup>198</sup> possible to appreciate that, by employing *L*-PPM and *N*-FSK,  $L \cdot N$  filters matched to different <sup>199</sup> delays and frequencies are required in order to evaluate the corresponding  $L \cdot N$  decision metrics, <sup>200</sup> given as:

$$r_{\ell,n} = \frac{1}{T_p} \int_{\ell T_c}^{\ell T_c + T_p} y_u(t) s_n(t - \ell T_c) dt$$
(8)

with n=1,...,N,  $\ell=0,...,L-1$ . Then, the decision related to the PPM-FSK symbol components is taken according to the following rule, that allows to obtain the pair  $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{n})$ :

$$\hat{\ell}, \hat{n} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\ell=0,\dots,L-1, \ n=1,\dots,N} |r_{\ell,n}|.$$
(9)

representing the indexes of the decided PPM and FSK symbols, respectively. It is important to highlight that, in eq. (9), we introduce the use of modulus on  $r_{\ell,n}$  since the electrical signal, for what concerns the amplitude, can be negative due to the presence of noise. Differently, measuring only the maximum on  $r_{\ell,n}$  may lead to totally misdetect the frequency component.

At this stage, once decided  $\hat{\ell}$  and  $\hat{n}$ , only the PAM component still needs to be detected. Hence, by resorting to the ML criterion based on Euclidean distance, we can perform the PAM detection according to the following rule:

$$\hat{m} = \underset{m=0,...,M-1}{\operatorname{argmin}} (\rho \tilde{h} A_m - r_{\hat{\ell},\hat{n}})^2$$
(10)

so that  $\hat{\ell}$ ,  $\hat{n}$  and  $\hat{m}$  return the decided indexes of the PPM, FSK and PAM symbols composing the transmitted multi-dimensional symbol.

Summarizing, we can define the computational cost required by the OR as  $\mathcal{O}_{OR} = L \cdot N + M$ , given by the  $L \cdot N$  filtering operations related to PPM and FSK detection plus the M metrics computations for PAM detection. As the decision on the received multi-dimensional symbol is taken by comparing it to all the possible symbols belonging to the PPM-FSK-PAM alphabet, the OR results as the best performing receiver. On the other hand, the required computational cost  $\mathcal{O}_{OR}$  may be high, especially if the modulation orders grow.

#### 218 B. Quasi-optimum receiver

The block diagram of the sub-optimal QOR is the same of the OR, but including a further processing part, highlighted in the gray area in Fig. 4, realizing a very first decision stage that allows the overall signal processing to be reduced with respect to the case related to the OR. In fact, the receiver is referred as *quasi-optimum* since, whenever possible, it avoids the full computation of the filtering operations characterizing the OR. In QOR, the initial processing stage is characterized by an energy detector that computes the signal energy over the *L* PPM time windows characterizing the received signal  $y_u(t)$ . So, we have that the quantity:

$$\xi(\ell) = \int_{\ell T_c}^{\ell T_c + T_p} |y_u(t)|^2 dt, \ \ell = 0, ..., L-1$$
(11)



Fig. 4. Block diagram of optimum receiver (OR) and quasi-optimum receiver (blue

#### QOR).

represents the signal energy referred to the  $\ell$ -th PPM slot measured in  $y_u(t)$ . Once the L energy metrics are calculated, a threshold  $\theta$  is used to determine the best candidates to be the detectable PPM symbols, gathered in the set  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} = \{\ell \mid \xi(\ell) > \theta\}$ . Hence, the PPM-FSK symbol is detected according to the following rule:

$$\hat{\ell}, \hat{n} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{\theta}, \ n=1,\dots,N} |r_{\ell,n}|$$
(12)

where the maximum is not searched in the set characterized by  $L \cdot N$  elements (as done in the optimum receiver case), but in a number of  $|\mathcal{L}_{\theta}| \cdot N$ . Please notice that, in this case, the operator |.| applied to the set  $|\mathcal{L}_{\theta}|$  means its cardinality, that is, the number of elements that it is composed of. As in general  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \leq L$ , the number of metrics computed according to eq. (8) is lower in QOR than in the optimal receiver, thus saving computational effort.

The setup of the threshold  $\theta$  depends on the energy level expected to be measured within the PPM slot where the FSK signal is placed on. In this regard, let us assume the transmission of a pilot multi-dimensional symbol  $x_{\rm P}(t)$  where  $\ell$ , n and m are known. The estimated energy, calculated as in eq. (11) in the  $\ell$ -th PPM slot and referred as  $\xi_{\rm P}$ , can be exploited to define the threshold theta as  $\theta = (1 - \beta)\xi_{\rm P}$ , that essentially represents the energy level expected in the  $(\ell + 1)$ -th PPM slot immediately next to that one where the signal is placed on. In fact, due to

- their closeness, the  $\ell$ -th PPM symbol is likely to be confused with the  $(\ell + 1)$ -th one, so this is why the threshold  $\theta$  is set as a function of the energy expected on the  $(\ell + 1)$ -th PPM slot.
- Finally, concerning the detection of the PAM symbol, we proceed in the same way reported in eq. (10). Overall, the processing cost related to the QOR is  $\mathcal{O}_{QOR} = L + \mathcal{L}_{\theta} \cdot N + M$ , given by the *L* filtering operations characterizing the PPM energy detector,  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta} \cdot N$  filters for PPM and FSK detection, and finally *M* metrics computations related to PAM decision. It is worth noting that  $\mathcal{O}_{QOR}$  is not a fixed value since it strongly depends on the number of elements composing the set  $\mathcal{L}_{\theta}$ .



Fig. 5. Block diagram of direct receiver (DR) and feedback receiver (FBR).

248

#### 249 C. Direct receiver

The receiver introduced as DR is probably the less complex sub-optimal solution in terms of computational cost since signal detection is performed sequentially, starting from the PPMrelated part, then moving to FSK and finally to PAM parts. The use of such detection approach is the reason why the receiver is referred as *direct*. For the sake of clarity, we would remark that, in this case, detection is not operated jointly on the PPM, FSK and PAM signal components. The block diagram of DR is depicted in Fig. 5 (excluding the gray box). Regarding PPM detection, an energy detector as that one introduced in eq. (11) is considered, so as:

$$\hat{\ell} = \max_{\ell=0,\dots,L-1} \xi(\ell) \tag{13}$$

returns the index of the decided symbol related to the PPM part. From  $\hat{\ell}$  it is possible to identify the time delay characterizing the FSK signal  $s_n(t)$  within the received symbol  $y_u(t)$ , so that the FSK detection can be performed according to the following rule:

$$\hat{n} = \underset{n=1,\dots,N}{\operatorname{argmax}} |r_{\hat{\ell},n}| \tag{14}$$

where it is important to highlight that we are not dealing with  $r_{\ell,n}$  as in eq. (8), but with  $r_{\ell,n}$ defined as:

$$r_{\hat{\ell},n} = \frac{1}{T_p} \int_{\hat{\ell}T_c}^{\ell T_c + T_p} y_u(t) s_n(t - \hat{\ell}T_c) dt.$$
(15)

In other words, eq. (15) defines the filtering operations that consider only the specific time delay given by  $\hat{\ell}$ , while filtering in eq. (8) is performed for  $\ell=0,1,...,L-1$ . Finally, for what concerns PAM detection, we can still resort to the ML based approach followed in eq. (10).

Regarding the DR, the number of filtering operations and comparisons requested for symbol demodulation is really low since, as previously outlined, detection is performed sequentially on the PPM, FSK and PAM parts. Therefore, the required computational cost is given as  $\mathcal{O}_{DR} = L + N + M$ .

#### 269 D. Feedback receiver

The last receiver we propose is referred as FBR and it is somewhat similar to the DR. In fact, 270 its reference block diagram is that one reported in Fig. 5, but including the gray area as well. 271 With FBR, it is possible to take a temporary decision on the PPM-FSK symbol part, with the 272 detection being potentially performed multiple times iteratively. Therefore, as highlighted in Fig. 273 5, a feedback-like mechanism is realized and integrated in the DR architecture. In this regard, 274 we indicate the iteration number with the subscript s, so that  $\hat{\ell}_s$  refers to the PPM symbol part 275 decided at the s-th detection iteration. For s=0, we have the very first decision on PPM as taken 276 according to eq. (13) similarly to the DR case. Even for FSK detection, for s=0,  $\hat{n}_s$  is determined 277 as in eq. (14) representing the maximum output of matched filtering. Differently from DR, we 278 also consider a second potential candidate to be the decided FSK symbol, formally defined as: 279

$$\hat{n}_s^\diamond = \underset{n \in \mathcal{N}/\{\hat{n}_s\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} |r_{\hat{\ell},n}| \tag{16}$$

that is the second-highest output of eq. (15) (in other words, maximum output of matched filtering excluding  $\hat{n}_s$ ). Given such two candidates,  $\hat{n}_s$  is recognized as a reliable decision if the following conditions are simultaneously met:

$$|r_{\hat{\ell}_{s},\hat{n}_{s}}| - |r_{\hat{\ell}_{s},\hat{n}_{s}^{\diamond}}| > \Psi$$
(17a)

$$|r_{\hat{\ell}_s,\hat{n}_s}| > \Gamma. \tag{17b}$$

Specifically, eq. (17a) is used to explicitly verify the accuracy of FSK decision. In fact, if the 280 difference between  $\hat{n}_s$  and  $\hat{n}_s^{\diamond}$  is larger than a suitably defined threshold  $\Psi$ , it follows that the 281 computing of N matched filters returns an output, namely  $\hat{n}_s$ , significantly higher than the others. 282 So, it is likely that  $\hat{n}_s$  correctly identifies the index of the received FSK symbol. The reference 283 threshold is defined as  $\Psi = 0.25(A_{M-1} - A_0)/(M-1)$  and is function of the distance expected 284 between adjacent PAM amplitudes, with 0.25 being an empirically chosen scaling factor. Eq. 285 (17b) allows not only to explicitly verify the reliability of FSK detection, but also to understand 286 if the decision on PPM has been taken correctly. In this regard, if the N matched filters computed 287 for FSK detection returns very low output values, it may be that a wrong decision on PPM has 288 led to a misaligned identification of the FSK signal within  $y_u(t)$ , causing the FSK filtering to 289 be unmatched. On the other hand, if the highest filter output  $r_{\hat{\ell}_s,\hat{n}_s}$  exceeds a certain threshold 290  $\Gamma$ , conveniently chosen to deal with energy detector behavior, it is likely that the PPM detection 291 has been performed reliably. To this aim, the threshold has been set as  $\Gamma = 0.25 |A_{\min}|$ , with 292  $A_{\min}$  being the minimum amplitude for a PAM symbol and 0.25 representing a scaling factor as 293 for  $\Psi$ . Therefore, the joint meeting of eqs. (17a)-(17b) should prevent from a wrong decision 294 on the PPM-FSK symbol pair  $(\hat{\ell}_s, \hat{n}_s)$ . 295

Otherwise, if eq. (17) is not met, we consider the next step for s, that is the next iteration, and we get back to the energy detection stage by evaluating:

$$\hat{\ell}_{s+1} = \max_{\ell \in \mathcal{L} / \{\hat{\ell}_0, \dots, \hat{\ell}_s\}} \xi(\ell)$$
(18)

that is a new decision on the PPM symbol part, but excluding the index  $\hat{\ell}_s$  found during the previous iteration. Once the *new* decided PPM symbol is available, we proceed again with FSK detection by computing eqs. (13)-(16) and checking if, given  $\hat{\ell}_{s+1}$ , eq. (17) is finally met. Such procedure is repeated until eq. (17) is verified or, at most, *L* times corresponding to the maximum number of decisions that can be considered for PPM, thus realizing the feedback path highlighted in Fig. 5. Just in case eq. (17) is never achieved, the final symbol decision will be the one related to the iteration s = 0. Finally, dealing with PAM detection, still we can resort to eq. (10).

It is important to note that in FBR, as for QOR, the number of filtering operations and symbol comparisons is not fixed since it depends on the meeting of conditions in eq. (17). Hence, the cost is  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{FBR}} = L + (s+1) \cdot N + M$  where the fixed terms L and M are related to the implementation of the PPM energy detector and PAM detector, respectively, while the remaining variable term is function of the number of feedback iterations.

310

#### 311 Remark - about the possible receiver selection

Although the implementation of DR is worth for what concerns cost, the optimal receiver allows 312 to achieve the minimum error rate, thus granting the highest level of reliability. Hence, the use 313 of the most convenient receiver can be seen in two different ways. First, if the setup is fixed and 314 it is expected that no significant changes are applied to the communication link, once assigned 315 the parameters, it is possible to select the receiver allowing the achievement of the requested 316 target performance in terms of error rate, while optimizing the receiver computational effort. 317 This represents an offline solution. Second, if the channel is subject to variations (for example 318 a user moving in a room), the received optical power changes and so the signal-to-noise ratio 319 (SNR). This implies that, if the receiver is implemented by software, it is possible to realize 320 a SNR threshold based detection method tuning, so that the channel conditions drive the most 32 convenient receiver selection. By doing so, the requested reliability level can be provided at the 322 lowest processing cost. We comment further in the numerical results section the possible use of 323 the receiver selection procedure. 324

325

#### **IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

In this section, we investigate the performance of the proposed multi-dimensional modulation 326 scheme, highlighting the benefits brought by the use of such approach in terms of both commu-327 nication reliability and illumination control. Furthermore, we evaluate the effectiveness of the 328 three sub-optimal receivers presented in the previous section, discussing the trade off between 329 computational complexity and error rate achievable with respect to the optimum receiver case. 330 Matlab software was employed for simulating the transmission of 10<sup>7</sup> symbols over a point-331 to-point optical link. In addition, some results obtained from experimental validation are also 332 reported and discussed. Different communication distances between transmitter and receiver have 333

<sup>334</sup> been considered so as to investigate the performance as a function of the average electrical SNR
 <sup>335</sup> per symbol, formally defined as:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{K_s} \sum_{i=0}^{K_s - 1} \frac{(\rho P_i h)^2}{\sigma_w^2}$$
(19)

where  $K_s = L \cdot N \cdot M$  is the size of the considered multi-dimensional modulation vocabulary and  $P_i$  is the power of the *i*-th transmitted symbol, with  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., K_s$ -1. For simulations, we considered normalized LED and PD parameters since performance are evaluated as a function of SNR. On the other hand, a detailed description of hardware parameters and setup is provided when presenting the experimental results.

#### 341 A. Optimum and Multi-dimensional modulation performance

As outlined in Sec. II, the multi-dimensional modulation allows the high spectral efficiency of PAM to be combined with the reliability of PPM and FSK, to achieve good data rates while providing robustness to errors. In this direction, we now compare the BER performance of uncoded and coded PAM with some PPM-FSK-PAM schemes.

*Comparison with uncoded strategy:* We selected some modulation formats providing a target spectral efficiency  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz and  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz achieved with 2-PAM (or OOK) and 4-PAM, respectively. Such PAM formats have been taken as a reference since being the most widespread in OWCS [21], [22]. In fact, the optical signal starts suffering from high attenuation after few decades of centimeters propagation, therefore using higher order PAM modulations results as unreliable due to the detection errors caused by the presence of noise.

Given  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz, 2-PAM has been compared to 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM with  $\beta=0.5$ , to 4-352 PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.5 and to 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.05. The BER results 353 are plotted in Fig. 6(a), with curves related to the multi-dimensional modulations being obtained 354 considering the OR based detection. In particular, it can be appreciated that the multi-dimensional 355 modulations characterized by 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM are able to out-356 perform 2-PAM, while 4-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM provide worse performance. Such results can be 357 explained as follows. By recalling eq. (5), the use of PPM and FSK (that is, L > 1 and N > 1) 358 lowers the spectral efficiency. So, there is a limited number of combinations of L, N and  $\beta$  that 359 guarantee the achievement of the target  $\eta^*$ . Moreover, the spectral efficiency reduction caused 360 by the use of PPM and FSK must be counterbalanced by increasing the PAM modulation order 361 as well. This is what happens when dealing with the considered 4-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM case. 362



Fig. 6. BER performance, based on the OR, of different modulation schemes providing the same spectral efficiency  $\eta^*$ .

<sup>363</sup> Unfortunately, the use of PPM and FSK to achieve a higher reliability requests the PAM order <sup>364</sup> M to be increased up to 4 so as to guarantee  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz. Hence, the benefits brought by PPM <sup>365</sup> and FSK in terms of robustness to errors are *lost* since the use of 4-PAM leads the communication <sup>366</sup> to be more sensitive to errors than an uncoded 2-PAM. As a consequence, such kind of multi-<sup>367</sup> dimensional modulation is not convenient with respect to 2-PAM.

Moving to a more challenging case, Fig. 6(b) shows the BER for those schemes providing 368 a target spectral efficiency  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz, that are uncoded 4-PAM, 2-PPM/2-FSK/8-PAM with 369  $\beta$ =0.25, 4-PPM/2-FSK/16-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.25 and to 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.05. Curves 370 still refer to the performance achieved with the OR. Even in this case, it can be observed that 371 2-PPM/2-FSK/8-PAM and 4-PPM/2-FSK/16-PAM are not feasible since the introduction of PPM 372 and FSK requires the increase of the PAM order to achieve the desired spectral efficiency. So, 373 as discussed before, the benefits of PPM and FSK are canceled by the use of an unreliable PAM 374 order. 375

*Comparison with coded strategy:* An easy comment and objection is that, in order to grant reliability, a coding strategy may be applied, obviously at the cost of spectral efficiency reduction since a part of the bits sent on the channel are for error correction. In this regard, we proceed with an additional performance comparison that is related to block coding (BC) with coding rate R=1/2, thus meaning that the ratio between the number of bits entering in the coder are half of

the ones out the coder. In order to provide a comparison for the same spectral efficiency  $\eta^*=1$ 38 bit/s/Hz, we considered 4-PAM. As just mentioned, the role of channel coding is to improve 382 the robustness to errors, but at the expense of rate reduction. Therefore, the achievement of the 383 target spectral efficiency requests the PAM order to be necessarily increased. From the results, 384 we can appreciate that the performance of coded 4-PAM are significantly lower than uncoded 385 2-PAM, thus revealing that the proposed multi-dimensional modulation is more convenient than 386 coding. Of course, many other coding schemes may be considered, but the achievement of 387 good performance unavoidably requires the decoding strategy complexity increase. Differently, 388 in 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.05 and 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.5 the PAM order 389 remains the lowest possible, while PPM and FSK are introduced to achieve a higher reliability. In 390 fact, these solutions result as better performing than uncoded 2-PAM even though providing the 391 same spectral efficiency. In Fig. 6(b), the same problem concerns 16-PAM with block coding 392 and R=1/2, where the unreliability of the high PAM modulation order can not be properly 393 counterbalanced by coding. On the other hand, the implementation of a 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM 394 results to be more convenient than an uncoded 4-PAM, thus meaning that PPM and FSK are 395 exploited fruitfully to achieve a lower BER. 396

#### 397 Results Discussion

Interestingly, it can be noted from Fig. 6 that the most reliable modulation schemes to achieve 398 both  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz and  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz consider the use of a high PPM order equal to L=16, 399 that may induce a significant spectral efficiency decrease according to eq. (5). However, in both 400 cases we have  $\beta$ =0.05 allowing the realization of a non-orthogonal PPM, which provides a 401 lower spectral efficiency reduction with respect to orthogonal PPM (where  $\beta=1$ ). Furthermore, 402 it is worth highlighting that, in general, when dealing with the proposed multi-dimensional 403 modulation, using high PPM modulation formats may be more convenient than increasing the 404 FSK order. In fact, regarding PPM, we have two degree of freedom to adjust the spectral 405 efficiency, that are L and  $\beta$ . On the other hand, FSK performance are ruled by the unique 406 parameter N. Hence, by increasing N the spectral efficiency decreases, but there is no other 407 way to mitigate such reduction, as happens in PPM by adjusting  $\beta$ . 408

409



Fig. 7. BER performance of different multi-dimensional modulations with optimal and sub-optimal receivers.

#### 410 B. Trade off between computational complexity, spectral efficiency and error rate

Once identified the most suitable multi-dimensional modulation formats allowing the achieve-411 ment of the considered target spectral efficiency, we pass now to investigate the performance of 412 the proposed sub-optimal receivers. The results are still shown in terms of BER as a function of 413 the SNR. Dealing with  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz, Fig. 7(a) is related to 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM with  $\beta=0.5$ , 414 while Fig. 7(b) describes the performance of 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.05, related to 415  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz. We have chosen such two modulation formats since characterized by different 416 values of L, N and M that can impact on the receiver performance. For what concerns 2-PPM/2-417 FSK/2-PAM, Fig. 7(a) shows that QOR and OR guarantee the same reliability level, with the 418 performance of FBR and DR being very close as well. This is due to the fact that, since L, N 419 and M are equal to the lowest order possible, that is 2, the number of transmittable symbols 420 is the lowest possible as well, hence reliability performance are less sensitive to the detection 421 mechanism type. Regarding 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM, as depicted in Fig. 7(b), the sub-optimal 422 receivers still provide performance close to the optimum one. In this case where  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz, 423 symbol detection results as more challenging than for the previously investigated schemes. 424 Therefore, having similar performance between optimal and sub-optimal solutions allows the 425 receiver selection to be potentially performed basing on the computational effort constraints. 426

Following the remarks presented in Section III about the receiver selection, by means of the

Modulation	Subopt.	SNR $\gamma$			
scheme	receiver	3 dB	6 dB	9 dB	12 dB
2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM	QOR	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.16
$\beta = 0.5$	FBR	1.01	1.00	1.00	0.99
16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM	QOR	0.49	0.47	0.46	0.45
$\beta = 0.05$	FBR	0.37	0.34	0.33	0.33
16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM	QOR	0.70	0.69	0.67	0.65
$\beta = 0.05$	FBR	0.64	0.63	0.61	0.61

TABLE I COMPUTATION COST FOR QOR AND FBR.

proposed scheme we have the capability to select the most appropriate scheme to guarantee the quality of service achievement based on the propagation conditions, while selecting the less expensive approach from a computational point of view. In this direction, in order to evaluate the computational effort required by the proposed sub-optimal receivers with respect to the optimum one, we introduce now the metric  $C_d = O_d/O_{OR}$ , measuring the processing effort required by the *d*-th receiver type (d = QOR,DR,FBR), normalized to the optimum receiver computational cost.

As discussed in Sec. III-C, the computational cost related to DR is fixed since depending 435 only on L, N, M. Hence, for 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM, 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 16-PPM/2-436 FSK/4-PAM we have that  $C_{DR}$ =1,  $C_{DR}$ =0.333 and  $C_{DR}$ =0.611, respectively. This means that, when 437 dealing with 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM, the processing complexity required by the DR is essentially 438 the same of the OR, while for the cases 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM 439 the use of DR allows a significant computational effort saving of about 70% and 40% with 440 respect to the OR, respectively. On the other hand, the performance of QOR and FBR depends 441 on the SNR impacting on eq. (12) and eq. (17). In this regard, Table I reports the results 442 related to the considered QOR and FBR, measured at different SNR levels, and considering 443 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM, 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM resulted as the best 444 performing schemes from the previous analysis. Interestingly, it can be noted that, when dealing 445 with 2-PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM, the sub-optimal receivers seem to be more costly than the optimum 446 one. Such result is explained by the fact that, since L=2, the filtering operations for PPM-FSK 447 detection required by QOR and FBR are essentially the same as for the OR. In fact, for QOR we 448

have that  $L_{\theta}$  can be 1 or 2, thus very close or equal to L, while in FBR the running of a single 449 feedback iteration leads the computational cost to be essentially the same as in the optimum 450 case. Moreover, both QOR and FBR consider the implementation of an energy detector as initial 451 stage of processing, that is not present in the OR. So, this is the reason why  $C_{QOR}$  and  $C_{FBR}$  are 452 greater or equal to 1. Moving to 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM where L is 453 very large, the processing saving provided by QOR and FBR (despite the implementation of the 454 PPM energy detector) becomes remarkable since, in general, in QOR  $L_{\theta}$  may be much smaller 455 than L and in FBR the feedback iterations may be few. Specifically, in 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM 456 the cost of QOR is less than half of that one referred to the OR, while the cost of DR is even 457 lower, with processing saving being larger than 60%. In the case of 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM, 458 representing a higher performing modulation since providing a spectral efficiency equal to 2 459 bit/s/Hz, the computational effort requested by QOR and DR increases. However, more than 460 30% of processing is avoided with respect to the OR case, and this result is still significant. 461 Furthermore, the computational cost characterizing QOR and FBR tends to lower as the SNR 462 grows, even though such decrease is only slight. In this regard, both 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM 463 and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM consider a very small  $\beta$ , so it follows that the PPM energy detector 464 returns L values that are comparable in amplitude since PPM is far from be orthogonal. Hence, 465 the number of filtering operations for QOR and FBR may not be the minimum one. On the other 466 hand, by enlarging  $\beta$  the PPM detection results more reliable. As a consequence, in QOR  $L_{\theta}$ 467 tends to 1 and in FBR the feedback becomes rarely necessary (thus leading to the performance 468 of DR). 469

Till now, we have slightly discussed about the role of  $\beta$ , anyway it is important to deeply 470 explain its impact. As previously outlined, the use of a high PPM order as for 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-471 PAM and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM is made possible thanks to the realization of a non-orthogonal 472 signaling with  $\beta \ll 1$ , allowing the spectral efficiency reduction due to L to be mitigated. By 473 referring to the considered schemes, we now show in Fig. 8 how the choice of  $\beta$  rules the trade 474 off between BER and spectral efficiency. Results have been obtained at  $\gamma$ =2.5 dB and  $\gamma$ =8dB 475 for 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM and 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM, respectively, which represent the SNR 476 conditions where a BER equal to  $10^{-3}$  is achieved (see Fig. 6). Specifically, each point in Fig. 477 8 is characterized by a unique x coordinate, related to BER, and a double y coordinate related 478 to  $\beta$  and  $\eta$ . Here,  $\beta$  is the independent variable (that is reported on the y-axis instead of the 479 x-axis as in conventional plotting), while BER and  $\eta$  are the dependent ones. In fact, according 480



Fig. 8. Performance trade off between BER and  $\eta^*$  as a function of  $\beta$ .

483

16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM providing  $\eta^*=1$  bit/s/Hz, it is possible to appreciate that, for whatever 484 detection mechanism employed at the receiver, BER lowers as  $\beta$  grows. When dealing with OR 485 and QOR, the high reliability of the considered detection approaches allows the use of  $\beta < 0.15$ 486 to achieve a BER below  $10^{-5}$ . On the other hand, for FBR and DR representing less complex 487 solutions, a larger  $\beta$  would be required for performance improvement. Moving to the case 16-488 PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM providing  $\eta^*=2$  bit/s/Hz, the performance of all the considered receivers 489 follows essentially a similar behavior, with BER below  $10^{-5}$  achievable only for  $\beta > 0.2$ . Of 490 course, it can be verified from the right y-axis on Fig. 8 that the increase of  $\beta$  to improve the 491 communication reliability is unavoidably paid in terms of spectral efficiency. 492

We recall that the main goal of our approach is to combine the robustness of PPM and FSK with the high spectral efficiency of PAM. By doing so, a more reliable communication can be achieved, without excessively sacrificing the data rate. This is what typically happens when coding is applied, with overhead being introduced to protect data from propagation errors, but by reducing rate. In this direction, we provide now a comparison with some multi-dimensional modulations and coded PAM schemes. Specifically, we considered BC with R=1/2 and R=1/3



Fig. 9. Performance comparison between multi-dimensional schemes and coded modulations.

and Reed-Solomon (RS) coding with R=11/15, representing the most widespread techniques 499 providing a good trade off between effectiveness and error protection complexity. Given the 500 reference BER equal to  $10^{-4}$ , we show in Fig. 9 a map where the points with coordinates  $(\gamma, \eta)$ 501 describe the performance of the schemes under investigation. In Fig. 9, the multi-dimensional 502 modulations are highlighted in red, pure PAM schemes in black, PAM with BC in blue and PAM 503 with RS coding in green. Different modulation orders referred to the same scheme are instead 504 differentiated with markers. For multi-dimensional modulations, we considered the performance 505 achieved with the OR. Furthermore, Fig. 9 reports also the Shannon limit curve (note that the 506 curve has not the typical logarithmic behavior as the SNR x-axis is on the logarithmic scale), 507 so that it is possible to infer how close the modulations performance are with respect to the 508 maximum achievable. Overall, it can be seen how the proposed multi-dimensional modulations 509 outperform the other coded and uncoded PAM solutions. In fact, among same colored markers, 510 those ones referring to multi-dimensional schemes are closer to the Shannon limit (see the 511 squared red marker representing 16-PPM/4-FSK/2-PAM, the red circled marker describing 4-512 PPM/2-FSK/2-PAM and the red star-shaped marker related to 8-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM). This means 513 that, for a given pair of target BER and spectral efficiency to be provided, multi-dimensional 514 modulations result as better performing in terms of SNR. 515

Finally, it is worth noting that the results shown in Fig. 9 allow multi-dimensional modulations 516 and coding based solutions to be compared only from a communication point of view. Another 517 fundamental benefit brought by multi-dimensional modulation concerns illumination, since the 518 use of FSK signaling allows the average source output power to be constant, thus avoiding 519 problem of dimming and flickering. On the other hand, when using PAM, with or without coding, 520 despite the emitted symbols can be assumed as equiprobable, it is not possible to achieve lighting 521 control, that may represent a severe limitation in indoor VLC scenarios where illumination and 522 connectivity services must be simultaneously provided. To sum up the main results obtained 523 in this section, we can highlight that multi-dimensional modulation scheme allows a dynamic 524 and (computation)-cost efficient selection of the most suitable multi-dimensional scheme, with 525 an eye on the power level of the light, to guarantee light levels homogeneity for users. This 526 is a key point for deploying real-world VLC systems. In other words, with multi-dimensional 527 modulation schemes, we aim to provide the most cost-effective solution by guaranteeing the 528 quality of service imposed by the underlying applications. Another important consideration is 529 regarding the energy efficiency, since as we can observe in Fig. 9, multi-dimensional modulations 530 allow to achieve the same BER with a reduced SNR with respect to other coded and uncoded 531 schemes, or otherwise said, at the same SNR level it is possible to reduce the BER, with an 532 evident impact in terms of energy reduction. From this we can infer that the proposed modulation 533 scheme allows to achieve higher energy efficiency. 534

#### 535 C. Experimental validation

In order to validate the feasibility of the proposed multi-dimensional modulation, we performed several experiments by realizing an ad hoc test-bed based on Software Defined paradigm (Fig. 10(a)). As described in the schematic diagram in Fig. 10(d), at transmit side the test signals have been generated through an Arduino 1 board, using a low level code in order to directly operate on the registers of the micro-controller and so avoiding unwanted latency which could prevent the correctness of the tests.

Data were transmitted by modulating the optical emission of a Kingbright 104500 10mm red LED array. Each light is controlled by a dedicated digital output of the board and the number of LED simultaneously turned on determines the output optical flux. This approach allows to easily implement the PAM modulation, avoiding non linearities due to the current-optical flux characteristic of the LED. Since each LED is characterized by a limited output power, the



(d) Schematic diagram

Fig. 10. Experimental setup description.

array configuration is also necessary to increase signal dynamics, achieve larger communication 547 distances and maintain the proper average illumination level. In the experimental validation, we 548 used 9 LEDs (Fig. 10(b)) in order to properly perform the PAM levels emission and generate 549 the bias light level. At the receiver, the signal has been elaborated using a NI USRP 2920 and 550 the commercial software LabView. In order to operate in base-band spectrum, a low frequency 551 RX daughter-board, produced by Ettus, has been integrated between the optical receiver and 552 the USRP motherboard. The optical receiver is composed of 5 CENTRONIC OSD15-5T PDs 553 in order to realize a further gain and improve the quality of the received signal (Fig. 10(c)). 554 All the PDs are arranged in parallel, acting as a unique current generator. Despite we actually 555 realized a Multiple-Input Multiple-Output configuration, LEDs and PDs alignment has been 556 accurately performed so as to let the system acting in Single-Input Single-Output mode. This 557 is because using a single LED does not allow to generate reasonable power levels due to the 558 LED characteristics (only 150mW power each). The received signal has not been amplified and 559

TABLE II
EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS

Receiver		Transmitter		
Photodiode	CENTRONIC	LED	Kingbright	
Model	OSD15-5T	Model	RED 104500	
Active Area	15 mm <sup>2</sup>	Output Power	150 mW	
Responsivity	0.4	Operative	30 mA	
(620 nm)	0.4	current		
Bandwidth	29.1 MHz	Light flux	1.4 lm	
FOV	45°	FOV	$80^{\circ}$	
Rise time	12 ns	Forward current	30 mA	

## TABLE III BER COMPARISON BETWEEN SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT WITH OR AND QOR

Detection	75 cm link (γ=13.9 dB)		175 cm link (γ=5.6 dB)		
type	Sim.	Exp.	Sim.	Exp.	
OR	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.16 \cdot 10^{-2}$	
QOR	$3.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.45 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.03 \cdot 10^{-2}$	

<sup>560</sup> no filtering operations have been performed. The transimpedance operation has been guaranteed <sup>561</sup> just using a  $1M\Omega$  resistor. Other information about the receiver and the transmitter are resumed <sup>562</sup> in Table II. The experimental validation concerned 16-PPM/2-FSK/4-PAM with  $\beta$ =0.05, chosen <sup>563</sup> since representing the most efficient scheme in terms of spectral efficiency and reliability among <sup>564</sup> those ones previously investigated.

In detail, we evaluated the communication performance by transmitting a sequence of 10<sup>8</sup> symbols over two different link distances, that are 175 cm and 75 cm, where we measured a SNR equal to 5.6 dB and 13.9 dB, respectively. Regarding signal detection, we implemented the optimum receiver and the QOR, with this latter demonstrating to be the most effective suboptimal detector. The results, expressed in terms of BER, are reported in Table III. For the two considered receivers and communication distances, we compared the BER values achieved with simulations and experiments. Specifically, it is possible to appreciate that test results only slightly differ from those ones related to simulations. The really limited mismatch is due to the fact that the realized test-bed is not a pure single LED-single PD point-to-point link, so the propagation suffers from different angular emissions paths that are not accounted in the simulation model. However, it is possible to appreciate that at 75cm we achieve a BER of  $3.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$  where the simulations report  $2 \cdot 10^{-7}$ . Obviously, at 175cm the BER is higher for both the cases (simulated and experiments) since the SNR is really low.

578

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this work we have proposed a novel joint modulation approach, based on different tech-579 niques, namely PAM, FSK and PPM. The main idea is to combine the different schemes in order 580 to exploit their key features and limit the disadvantages of each modulation. The selection of the 581 different schemes is based on the rationale that we can exploit in an effective way amplitude, 582 frequency and time information. The combination of the different schemes is not trivial, and they 583 have to be combined in order to keep a constant power level in a VLC system that is thought for 584 illumination and communication simultaneously. In particular, we have proposed a framework 585 that has been proven, both with a numerical evaluation and experimental results, to be effective 586 and outperform block coding schemes. The proof of concept demonstrates the feasibility of the 587 system, by the means of commercial components, such as LED and PDs, that do not require 588 complex, ad-hoc hardware to integrate the framework. Performance results are very encouraging 589 and prove not only the feasibility of such a kind of frameworks, but also the superiority in 590 respect of block coding based approaches. 591

592

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

<sup>593</sup> This publication has been based upon work from COST Action CA19111 NEWFOCUS, <sup>594</sup> supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

595

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Langley, J. van Doorn, I. C. Ng, S. Stieglitz, A. Lazovik, and A. Boonstra, "The internet of everything: Smart things and their impact on business models," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 122, pp. 853–863, 2021. [Online].
   Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S014829631930801X
- [2] Z. Ghassemlooy, S. Arnon, M. Uysal, Z. Xu, and J. Cheng, "Emerging optical wireless communications-advances and
   challenges," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 33, no. 9, pp. 1738–1749, 2015.
- [3] Y. Zhang, L. Wang, K. Wang, K. S. Wong, and K. Wu, "Recent advances in the hardware of visible light communication,"
   *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 91 093–91 104, 2019.

- [4] D. Karunatilaka, F. Zafar, V. Kalavally, and R. Parthiban, "LED based indoor visible light communications: State of the
   art," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 1649–1678, 2015.
- [5] S. Doğan Tusha, A. Tusha, E. Basar, and H. Arslan, "Multidimensional index modulation for 5G and beyond wireless
   networks," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 170–199, 2021.
- [6] H. A. Ngo, C. Xu, S. Sugiura, and L. Hanzo, "Space-time-frequency shift keying for dispersive channels," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 177–180, 2011.
- [7] G. M. Yamga, A. R. Ndjiongue, and K. Ouahada, "Low complexity clipped frequency shift keying (FSK) for visible light
   communications," in 2018 IEEE 7th International Conference on Adaptive Science Technology (ICAST), 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [8] R. Lee, K. Yun, J.-H. Yoo, S.-Y. Jung, and J. K. Kwon, "Performance analysis of M-ary PPM in dimmable visible light
   communications," in 2013 Fifth International Conference on Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN), 2013, pp. 380–383.
- [9] S. Arnon, "The effect of clock jitter in visible light communication applications," J. Lightwave Technol., vol. 30, no. 21,
- pp. 3434–3439, Nov 2012. [Online]. Available: http://opg.optica.org/jlt/abstract.cfm?URI=jlt-30-21-3434
- [10] H. G. Batshon and I. B. Djordjevic, "Beyond 240 gb/s per wavelength optical transmission using coded hybrid
  subcarrier/amplitude/phase/polarization modulation," *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 299–301,
  2010.
- [11] X. Liu, S. Chandrasekhar, T. H. Wood, R. W. Tkach, P. J. Winzer, E. C. Burrows, and A. R. Chraplyvy,
  "M-ary pulse-position modulation and frequency-shift keying with additional polarization/phase modulation for
  high-sensitivity optical transmission," *Opt. Express*, vol. 19, no. 26, pp. B868–B881, Dec 2011. [Online]. Available:
  http://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-19-26-B868
- [12] A. W. Azim, Y. Le Guennec, and L. Ros, "Hybrid Frequency and Phase-Shift Keying Modulation for Energy
   Efficient Optical Wireless Systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, pp. 1–4, Nov. 2019. [Online]. Available:
   https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02383633
- [13] M. A. Atta and A. Bermak, "A 160 m visible light communication link using hybrid undersampled phase-frequency shift
   on-off keying and CMOS image sensor," *Opt. Express*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 2478–2487, Feb 2019. [Online]. Available:
   http://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-27-3-2478
- [14] H. S. Khallaf, H. M. H. Shalaby, J. M. Garrido-Balsells, and S. Sampei, "Performance analysis of a hybrid QAM-MPPM
   technique over turbulence-free and gamma-gamma free-space optical channels," *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 161–171, 2017.
- [15] Y. Xu, Z. Chen, Z. Gong, Z. Xia, T. Yuan, Z. Gu, W. Zhao, and J. Chen, "Hybrid modulation scheme for visible
   light communication using CMOS camera," *Optics Communications*, vol. 440, pp. 89–94, 2019. [Online]. Available:
   https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0030401819300550
- [16] Z. Hua, T. Lu, Y. Huang, J. Zhang, S. Dang, and W. Zhao, "Three-dimensional constellation modulated visible light
   communications with pixelated addressable micro-LED array," in *2019 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Electronics and Communication Engineering (ICECE)*, 2019, pp. 172–177.
- [17] T.-C. Bui, R. Singh, T. O'Farrell, and M. Biagi, "Energy-constrained slot-amplitude modulation with dimming support,"
   *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 1301–1304, 2018.
- [18] —, "Optical energy-constrained slot-amplitude modulation for dimmable vlc: Suboptimal detection and performance
   evaluation," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1582–1595, 2021.
- [19] J. G. Proakis and M. Salehi, *Digital Communications*, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill, 2008.
- 642 [20] A. Petroni, G. Scarano, R. Cusani, and M. Biagi, "Modulation precoding for MISO visible light communications," Journal
- of Lightwave Technology, vol. 39, no. 17, pp. 5439–5448, 2021.

- [21] J. Ma, J. He, J. Shi, J. He, Z. Zhou, and R. Deng, "Nonlinear compensation based on K-means clustering algorithm for
   nyquist PAM-4 VLC system," *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 935–938, 2019.
- [22] L. Wang, X. Wang, J. Kang, and C. P. Yue, "A 75-mb/s RGB PAM-4 visible light communication transceiver system with
   pre- and post-equalization," *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1381–1390, 2021.