

## Corrigendum to "A novel model evaluation approach focusing on local and advected contributions to urban PM2.5 levels - application to Paris, France" published in Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1483-1505, 2014

H. Petetin, M. Beekmann, J. Sciare, M. Bressi, A. Rosso, O. Sanchez, V.

Ghersi

## ▶ To cite this version:

H. Petetin, M. Beekmann, J. Sciare, M. Bressi, A. Rosso, et al.. Corrigendum to "A novel model evaluation approach focusing on local and advected contributions to urban PM2.5 levels - application to Paris, France" published in Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1483-1505, 2014. Geoscientific Model Development, 2014, 7, pp.1517-1517. 10.5194/gmd-7-1517-2014. hal-04114624

## HAL Id: hal-04114624 https://hal.science/hal-04114624v1

Submitted on 14 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1517, 2014 www.geosci-model-dev.net/7/1517/2014/ doi:10.5194/gmd-7-1517-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.





## Corrigendum to "A novel model evaluation approach focusing on local and advected contributions to urban $PM_{2.5}$ levels – application to Paris, France" published in Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1483–1505, 2014

H. Petetin<sup>1</sup>, M. Beekmann<sup>1</sup>, J. Sciare<sup>2</sup>, M. Bressi<sup>2</sup>, A. Rosso<sup>3</sup>, O. Sanchez<sup>3</sup>, and V. Ghersi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LISA/IPSL, Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques, UMR CNRS7583,

Université Paris Est Créteil (UPEC) et Université Paris Diderot (UPD), France

<sup>2</sup>LSCE, Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CNRS-CEA-UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>3</sup>AIRPARIF, Agence de surveillance de la qualité de l'air, Paris, France

Correspondence to: H. Petetin (herve.petetin@lisa.u-pec.fr)

Due to an oversight in the production process, an essential word (overestimation) was left out of the abstract. The correct version of the abstract can be seen below.

Abstract. Aerosol simulations in chemistry transport models (CTMs) still suffer from numerous uncertainties, and diagnostic evaluations are required to point out major error sources. This paper presents an original approach to evaluate CTMs based on local and imported contributions in a large megacity rather than urban background concentrations. The study is applied to the CHIMERE model in the Paris region (France) and considers the fine particulate matter  $(PM_{2,5})$ and its main chemical constituents (elemental and organic carbon, nitrate, sulfate and ammonium), for which daily measurements are available during a whole year at various stations (PARTICULES project). Back-trajectory data are used to locate the upwind station, from which the concentration is identified as the import, the local production being deduced from the urban concentration by subtraction. Uncertainties on these contributions are quantified. Small biases in urban background  $PM_{2.5}$  simulations (bias of +16%) hide significant error compensations between local and advected contributions, as well as in PM2.5 chemical compounds. In particular, winter time organic matter (OM) imports appear strongly underestimated while local OM and elemental carbon (EC) production is overestimated all along the year. Erroneous continental wood burning emissions and missing secondary organic aerosol (SOA) pathways may explain errors on advected OM, while the carbonaceous compounds overestimation is likely to be related to errors in emissions and dynamics. A statistically significant local formation of nitrate is also highlighted from observations, but missed by the model. Together with the overestimation of nitrate imports, it leads to a bias of +51 % on the local PM<sub>2.5</sub> contribution. Such an evaluation finally gives more detailed insights on major gaps in current CTMs on which future efforts are needed.