

# Integrated test circuit for off-state dynamic drain stress evaluation

Joycelyn Hai, Florian Cacho, Xavier Federspiel, Tidjani Garba-Seybou, Alexis Divay, Estelle Lauga-Larroze, Jean-Daniel Arnould

### ▶ To cite this version:

Joycelyn Hai, Florian Cacho, Xavier Federspiel, Tidjani Garba-Seybou, Alexis Divay, et al.. Integrated test circuit for off-state dynamic drain stress evaluation. IRPS 2023 - IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium, Mar 2023, Monterey, United States. pp.10.1109/IRPS48203.2023.10117885, 10.1109/IRPS48203.2023.10117885 . hal-04105262

## HAL Id: hal-04105262 https://hal.science/hal-04105262

Submitted on 8 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Integrated Test Circuit for Off-State Dynamic Drain Stress Evaluation

J. Hai, F. Cacho, X. Federspiel, T. Garba-Seybou STMicroelectronics, 850 rue Jean Monnet, 38926, Crolles, France E-mail : joycelyn.hai@st.com

A. Divay

CEA-Leti, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

E. Lauga-Larroze, J.-D. Arnould

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes, TIMA, Grenoble, France

Abstract— Dynamic off-state stress for RF applications is investigated via integrated test circuits to enable GHz level testing. We have performed characterization of test circuits to ensure the dynamic stress signal waveform integrity, which is verified against model simulation data. We report a x2 gain on time-to-breakdown at 1GHz against DC TDDB off-state stress. Based on extraction of I<sub>Dlin</sub> degradation, no frequency effect is observed from DC to 1GHz off-state stress conditions. Modeling of on-state and off-state interactions based on sum of degradations modes is then demonstrated and supported by experimental data.

*Index Terms--* Off-state reliability, Integrated test circuit, Dynamic stress, TDDB, Degradation modes.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Off-state leakage current ( $I_{off}$ ) is becoming a critical reliability concern for both analog and digital circuits in deep sub-micron CMOS technologies. The downscaling of transistor dimensions has been necessary to accommodate the increasing chip density while enhancing the device's performance, precisely the on-current density  $I_{on}$ /W. The supply voltage  $V_{dd}$  and oxide thickness  $t_{ox}$  needs to be scaled accordingly to channel length and this incurs an increase in  $I_{off}$ . It has been reported in [1,2,3] that the off-state drain leakage current  $Id_{off}$  is dominated by band-to-band-tunneling (BTBT) current in the gate-to-drain overlap region when high gate-drain bias is applied to the device.

Static (DC) off-state stress has been investigated extensively in MOS and DeMOS devices [1,2,4], and in applications such as memory and logic gate circuits [5,6]. However, AC off-state degradation research is comparatively low and mainly limited to kHz and MHz frequencies, due to test signal integrity challenges caused by capacitive loading on the device input that influences high voltage periodic waveforms. For millimeter-wave (mmW) devices that drive high output power, i.e., power amplifiers (PA), drain voltage swings often exceed  $2xV_{dd}$  when the gate-source voltage is below threshold voltage. This large gate-to-drain voltage  $V_{DG}$ bias can cause two reliability issues: parametric degradation (which impacts the RF performance) and device breakdown after long-term stress. Previous RF TDDB investigations of PA device in [7] has demonstrated RF TDDB at 1.8GHz under  $V_{DG}$  stress bias of x3  $V_{DDNOM}$ , while no hard breakdown after 50ks of RF TDDB stress has been evidenced in [8] at 14GHz.

Based on our previous work in [9], we identified that the PA device is subjected to alternatively on-state and off-state stress when driven near to saturation output power at 28GHz, as shown in the PA mission profile in Fig. 1. In the case of on-state degradation due to hot-carrier injection (HCI), DC to RF degradations have been established via quasi-static approximations, enabling accurate estimations of RF aging models. On the other hand, accurate estimations of RF off-state degradations are more difficult to reproduce, given the lack of understanding on the frequency-dependent behavior of device parametric degradation at GHz level.



Figure 1: Mission profile of RF linear power amplifier (left) with off-state region at VG<=0 highlighted. The probability density function of this region (right) represents 40% of the total time in RF cycle.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate dynamic off-state stress at GHz frequency using a dedicated test structure featuring an integrated ring oscillator for pulsed waveform generation. Firstly, we perform DC and AC characterization of the test structure to demonstrate the validity of the generated stress waveform. Using this test structure, off-state AC TDDB data is provided in the form of Weibull distribution and parametric degradation of MOS transistor performance up to 1GHz will then be discussed. The interaction between on-state and DC/AC off-state degradations is then investigated experimentally and validated against reliability modeling strategies.

#### II. TEST STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION

To overcome the limitations of conventional frequency generators of testing equipment at higher RF frequencies (>1MHz), on-chip frequency generators are necessary to reduce waveform distortion from propagation loss, i.e. attenuators (cables, probes, impedance mismatch) on the signal path to the device under test (DUT). The key interest of this integrated test structure is to generate a high pulsed voltage while maintaining the slew rate relatively high compared to the desired test frequency. This ensures a pulsed waveform with 50% duty cycle with minimum voltage overshoots.



Figure 2: (a) Standalone DUT consisting of a body-contacted GO1 NMOS in 65nm PDSOI technology, RO test structures (b) without direct drain access (c) with direct drain access. DC and AC stress modes are applied to DUT drain terminal via transmission gates controlled by RO oscillation mode (Ring\_EN).

The test structures, designed and fabricated in STMicroelectronics 65nm partially depleted (PD) SOI technology, are shown in Fig 2. The standalone DUT in Fig. 2(a) consists of a body contacted GO1 NMOS with gate width and length of W=100µm and L=60nm respectively. We propose a DUT with large W suitable for analog and RF applications, as well as to achieve device breakdown in a reasonable stress time frame for TDDB data in Section IV.A. Pulsed waveform up to a frequency of 100kHz can be applied directly to V<sub>Drain</sub> via a pulsed unit generator (1GHz). The DUT test structures in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c) are designed for AC pulsed waveform stress on the drain terminal of the DUT (V<sub>Drain</sub>) which are generated by GO1 ring oscillators (RO) with oscillation frequency of 500MHz and/or 1GHz. Since high stress voltages are applied on the V<sub>Drain</sub>, a level shifter is used to prevent RO device degradation by keeping nominal V<sub>dd</sub> operation of RO and providing 2xV<sub>dd</sub> voltage level at V<sub>Drain</sub> via transmission gates consisting of thick-oxide (GO2) transistors. DC and AC modes of these structures are controlled by the RO enable signal (Ring\_EN). When Ring\_EN ='0', DC mode is activated so that  $V_{Drain} = V_{HL}$  while when Ring\_EN='1', AC mode is activated so that  $V_{Drain}$  is oscillating between  $V_{HL}$  and  $V_{LL}$  at 500MHz or 1GHz with 50% duty cycle target. The same 500MHz test structure with direct drain access, DUT<sub>Test</sub> Structure Drain, in Fig.2(c) is used for DUT characterization comparison purpose (discussed in Section III) and to monitor transmission gate degradation during off-state stress (discussed in Section IV.B).

#### III. DUT CHARACTERIZATION

Prior to off-state drain stress measurements, DC characterization was conducted on each test structure to ensure the signal integrity of the pulsed waveform at  $V_{Drain}$ . Firstly, linear  $I_DV_G$  DUT characterization is performed for the three structures at  $V_{Drain} = V_{HL} = 50$ mV, which is illustrated in Fig. 3 with good correlation to simulation data. The linear  $I_{VHL}$  of the DUT goes into saturation from  $V_{Gate} = 0.3$ V onwards due to the



Figure 3: Linear  $I_DV_G$  DC characterization at  $V_{Drain}{=}V_{HL}{=}50mV$ . From  $V_{Gate}{=}0.3V$  onwards, saturation of linear  $I_{VHL}$  (red) is due to large  $Ron_{SW}$  in series with DUT (refer to Fig.4) Thus, linear  $I_{VHL}$  is extracted at  $V_{Gate}{=}0.1V$  and/or 0.3V for comparable  $I_{Dlin}$  degradation values.



Figure 4: Equivalent schematic of DUT test structures with (bottom) and without (top) drain access for linear  $I_DV_G$  characterization.  $V_{Drain}$  of DUT test structure without drain access is reduced when  $Ron_{DUT}$  is smaller or equal to  $Ron_{Switch}$ .

significant on-state resistance of transmission gates ( $Ron_{Switch}$ ) in series with the DUT. To explain this result, the equivalent schematic of test structures with and without direct drain access for linear  $I_DV_G$  characterization is illustrated in Fig. 4. The DUT on-state resistance ( $Ron_{DUT}$ ) varies according to its ratio against Ron<sub>Switch</sub>. When Ron<sub>DUT</sub> is smaller or equal compared to Ron<sub>Switch</sub>, V<sub>Drain</sub> is drastically reduced due to voltage dividing effect. As a result, it is not relevant to compare I<sub>Dlin</sub> at V<sub>Gate</sub> = 1V and V<sub>Drain</sub> = 50mV across different structures, as per convention. In the following, I<sub>VHL</sub> of DUT <sub>Test Structure</sub> is extracted at V<sub>Gate</sub>= 0.3V for equivalent I<sub>Dlin</sub> degradation ( $\Delta$ I<sub>Dlin</sub>) comparison with I<sub>Dlin</sub> of DUT <sub>Standalone</sub>.

Fig. 5 shows I<sub>D</sub>V<sub>D</sub> characterization of the test structures at  $V_{Gate}$  = -1V which corresponds to off-state stress conditions. In both measurement and simulation results of test structures in AC mode, we observe a higher average I<sub>VHL</sub>(AC) which is attributed to large current peaks during transmission gate switching that is verified from simulation results in Fig.6. When these peak currents are neglected, the ratio of  $I_{VHI}(DC)/I_{VHI}(AC)=2.15$ , translating to duty cycle of 46.5%. In the case of test structure with drain access, V<sub>Drain</sub>(t) AC waveform is altered due to capacitive loading of probes at drain access terminal, causing the average I<sub>VHL</sub>(AC) to increase (supported by experimental results in Fig. 5). To summarize the characterization of different test structures, we demonstrate that the impact of Ronswitch on V<sub>Drain</sub> and I<sub>Drain</sub> signal integrity is negligible during off-state DC and AC stress conditions, while during linear IDlin measurements, it is necessary to consider VDrain drop caused by RonSwitch.



Figure 5:  $I_DV_D$  characterization at  $V_{Gate}$ =-1V, corresponding to off-state stress conditions. Higher  $I_{VHL}$  during AC mode is attributed to large current peaks during transmission gate switching (refer to Fig. 6). Measurement results show good correlation to simulation.



Figure 6: Simulation results of DUT drain voltage and current waveforms during AC mode ( $V_{Gate}$ --1V,  $V_{Drain}$ =V<sub>HL</sub>=2.3V) of test structure with (magenta) and without (blue) direct drain access. The current peaks during transmission gate switching, when neglected, gives ratio  $I_{VHL}(DC)/I_{VHL}(AC)$ =2.15. Due to capacitive loading at  $V_{Drain}$  of  $DUT_{Test}$  Structure Drain, average  $I_{VHL}(AC)$  is increased (also illustrated in Fig.5) and  $V_{Drain}$  waveform is subjected to distortion.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL: DC TO AC OFF-STATE RELIABILITY

#### A. TDDB Off-state

Fig. 7 shows the breakdown voltages (BV) of each test structure which are determined by conducting fresh (T0)  $I_GV_D$  and  $I_DV_D$  characterization until device breakdown. The BV of DUT standalone is at  $V_{Drain}$  =2.7V while in the case of DUT<sub>Test</sub> structure under DC mode the BV is at  $V_{HL}$ =3.2V due to voltage drop across Ron<sub>Switch</sub> as  $I_{VHL}$  increases with  $V_{HL}$ . In AC mode, the BV of DUT<sub>Test Structure</sub> is higher at  $V_{HL}$ =3.75V due to the combined effects of Ron<sub>Switch</sub> voltage drop and duty cycle. Therefore, the-TDDB off-state DC and AC drain bias stress



Figure 7: T0 characterization of breakdown voltage (BV) at  $V_{Gate}$ = -1V. The BV for DUT standalone is 2.7V. For test structures in AC mode, BV is almost twice that of DC mode.

condition is fixed at  $V_{Drain} = V_{HL} = 2.3V$  and gate bias stress  $V_{Gate} = -1V$  to obtain practical time-to-breakdown (TBD) for both DC and AC On-The-Fly stress measurements at T=125°C. The TBD of equivalent time stress at DC and AC frequencies by applying the duty cycle factor are plotted in the Weibull distribution illustrated in Fig.8. An increase in TBD is observed as off-state TDDB stress frequency is increased, while the Weibull slope  $\beta = 1.27$  remains constant at different stress frequencies up to 1GHz. A gain factor of 2.15 in TBD is observed at 1GHz stress compared to DC TBD. Assuming the on-state frequency TDDB analytical model in [10] which



Figure 8: Weibull distribution of DUT standalone and DUT test structures at VD=2.3V for DC, 100kHz, 500MHz and 1GHz stress at T=125°C. The Weibull slope  $\beta$ =1.27 remains constant for both DC and AC stress frequencies. A gain factor of 2.15 in time-to-breakdown (TBD) is observed at 1GHz stress compared to DC stress.

follows a frequency power law dependence, the experimental TBD AC values are compared to this model (with exponent n=0.76 extracted from 500MHz and 1GHz experimental data) in Fig 9(a). Here, a TBD "plateau" for frequencies lower than 100kHz is observed, which is not covered by the proposed model. However, additional data is needed to confirm this assumption for 100kHz to 500MHz. Using this frequency model, the extrapolated TBD at 28GHz (operating frequency for mmW PA applications) is x25 larger than DC TBD, as shown in Fig. 9(b). As V<sub>Dmax</sub> margins for RF PA design are usually fixed by TBD DC, TBD AC projections can relax V<sub>Dmax</sub> overhead in design to optimize RF performance at higher frequencies.



Figure 9: (a) TBD AC experimental data fitted to power law model with an exponent of n=0.76. (b) TBD AC Model extrapolation at 28GHz (mmW PA operating frequency) shows x25 estimated gain factor compared to TBD DC.

#### B. Effect of dynamic off-state stress on I<sub>Dlin</sub> degradation

To conduct this study, a Stress-Measurement-Stress methodology is used: during stress phase, stress bias conditions  $V_{Gate}$ =-1V and  $V_{Drain}$ =V<sub>stress</sub> or  $V_{HL}$ =V<sub>stress</sub> are applied on DUT at DC or stress frequency, during measurement phase DC mode is activated to perform linear I<sub>D</sub>V<sub>G</sub> measurements to enable extraction of  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  at V<sub>Gate</sub>=0.1V, 0.3V,0.6V or  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  at V<sub>Gate</sub>=0.3V. The total stress time is fixed depending on V<sub>stress</sub> to avoid device breakdown during the experiment.

In Fig. 10, we show the evidence of off-state stress DC and AC 500MHz and 1GHz degradation of DUT<sub>Test Structure</sub> on linear I<sub>D</sub>V<sub>G</sub> characteristics: Increase in threshold voltage V<sub>T</sub> (due to charging of interface traps), decrease in transconductance Gm (due to the increase in drain resistance caused by hot hole injection localized at gate-drain region), and an increase of subthreshold slope. We observed a pivot effect between  $V_{Gate} = 0.1V$  and 0.3V as  $I_{Dlin}$  is increased for V<sub>Gate</sub>=0.1V (subthreshold current) while I<sub>Dlin</sub> is decreased for  $V_{Gate} = 0.3V$  and 0.6V (weak inversion current). The pivot effect for off-state stress, as reported in [1,4], is due to the discharge of interface traps depending on bias measurement conditions of device, from depletion to weak inversion. In Fig. 11(a), (b) & (c), we have extracted  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of DUT<sub>Standalone</sub> at various V<sub>Gate</sub> characterization at V<sub>Drain</sub>=50mV, for  $V_{stress} = V_{Drain} = 2.2V$ .  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  is slightly higher for DC stress compared to AC 100kHz stress condition. However,  $\Delta V_{Tlin}$  in Fig. 11(d) shows that there is no difference in AC stress



Figure 10: Off-state degradation on linear  $I_DV_G$  characteristics of DUT Test Structure for DC and AC stress at 2.2V. AC stress pivot point (similar for both 500MHz and 1GHz) is at higher  $V_{Gate}$  compared to DC stress pivot.



Figure 11:  $I_{Dlin}$  degradation ( $\Delta I_{Dlin}$ ) of DUT standalone extracted at different (a) $V_{Gate}$ =0.1V, (b)  $V_{Gate}$ = 0.3V and (c)  $V_{Gate}$ =0.6V during measurement phase for  $V_{stress}$ = $V_{Drain}$ =2.2V DC and 100kHz stress conditions. The pivot effect is located between measurement bias of  $V_{Gate}$ = 0.1V and 0.3V. (d) No significant difference is observed in  $V_{Tlin}$  degradation ( $\Delta V_{Tlin}$ ) of DUT standalone at DC and 100kHz stress.



Figure 12:  $I_{VHLlin}$  degradation of  $DUT_{Test\ Structure}\ (\Delta I_{VHLlin})$  extracted at  $V_{Gate}{=}0.3V$  during measurement phase for  $V_{stress}{=}V_{Drain}{=}2.2V$  at DC, 500MHz & 1GHz stress frequencies.  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  of DC stress is similar to  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  of AC stress while  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  is lower than  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  due to  $Ron_{Switch}$  during measurement phase.

compared to DC stress. We are presuming from the plot of  $\Delta V_{\text{Tlin}}$  in Fig. 11(d) that the the interface trap generation rate  $\Delta N_{IT}$  is unaffected by the de-trapping AC stress conditions. Likewise, to observe the  $\Delta I_{VHL}$  at DC, 500MHz and 1GHz,  $\Delta I_{VHL}$  of DUT<sub>Test Structure</sub> is extracted at V<sub>Gate</sub> =0.3V, as shown in Fig. 12. The frequency effect on  $\Delta I_{VHL}$  is non-existent, despite that the magnitude of  $\Delta I_{VHL}$  is smaller than that of  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$ extracted at  $V_{Gate} = 0.3V$ . The  $V_D$  dependence of  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  is then discussed based on the experimental results in Fig. 13. The I<sub>VHLlin</sub> degradation rate for V<sub>stress</sub>=2.5V is slightly higher than V<sub>stress</sub>=2.2V and 2V. This reflects the higher interface defect generation rate when the stress bias V<sub>GD</sub> is increased. A saturation behavior for 500MHz and 1GHz stress conditions at higher V<sub>stress</sub> is also observed but is not present for DC stress conditions. A possible explanation for this behavior is the different defect generation time dynamics between DC and AC off-state stress, as proposed in [11].



Figure 13: Comparison of  $abs(\Delta I_{VHLlin})$  during measurement phase for different  $V_{stress}=V_{Drain}=2V$ , 2.2V & 2.5V at DC and AC stress frequencies.

To confirm that  $\Delta I_{VHLlin}$  is not influenced by degradation of transmission gate device (GO2), the comparison of Ron<sub>Switch</sub> degradation for DC and AC 500MHz V<sub>stress</sub>=2.2V condition extracted from DUT<sub>Test</sub> Structure Drain is shown in Fig. 14. No significant Ron<sub>Switch</sub> degradation is observed during stress and measurement phase, which validates the test structure application.



Figure 14: Comparison of Ron<sub>Switch</sub> degradation under DC and AC 500MHz stress extracted during (a) measurement phase (V<sub>HL</sub>=50mV) and (b) stress phase (V<sub>HL</sub>=2.2V) by using DUT<sub>Test Structure Drain</sub>. No significant degradation is observed on all the 3 samples tested for each condition.

#### C. Interaction of on-state & off-state degradation modes

To provide insight to off-state and on-state interactions, we have studied the history effect of a combination of stress sequences comprising of DC HCI on-state and DC/AC off-state stresses. In this study, the stress sequences are applied on DUT<sub>Test Structure Drain</sub> at V<sub>Gate</sub>=V<sub>Drain</sub>=1.8V for DC HCI on-state condition while off-state DC and AC 500MHz stress conditions V<sub>Gate</sub>= -1V and V<sub>HL</sub>=2.2V at T=125°C. By using a total equivalent time of 3.3ks for the stress sequences,  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  level is within acceptable range (<10%) without inducing device breakdown.  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of each degradation mode is fitted to a time power law dependence with a saturation component  $\Delta_{max}$  in the following model:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\Delta max} + \frac{1}{A \cdot t^n}} \tag{1}$$

with the following parameter values.

Degradation mode Parameter	DC HCI ON	DC OFF	AC OFF
А	15.2E-4	4.2E-3	5.9E-3
n	0.71	0.31	0.55
$\Delta_{\max}$	0.16	1	0.015

From the model fit, we note that the off-state degradation has a smaller degradation rate in contrast to on-state degradation, given by the smaller exponent n. It is important to remind here that the exponent n of AC off-state is different than that of DC off-state due to the non-pulsed waveform stress (discussed in Section III).



Figure 15:  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of pre-stress DC HCI ( $V_{Gate}=V_{Drain}=1.8V$  300s) followed by DC/AC off-state stress ( $V_{Gate}=-1V$ ,  $V_{Drain}=2.2V$  3ks). Total  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of stress sequence is modeled by the sum of degradation of each mode.

Fig. 15 shows  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  for the sequence pre-stress DC HCI on-state for a duration of 300s followed by DC/AC off-state stress for 3ks. Experimental data is found to be well modeled by the sum of degradation of each mode, as opposed to the sum of age interaction model, indicating the absence of

interaction between these two mechanisms [11]. For comparison, Fig. 16 shows the inverse stress sequences of Fig.15,  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  with pre-stress DC/AC off-state stress for a duration of 3ks followed by DC HCI stress for 300s. Again, no history effect is observed after pre-stress. However, we observe in this case that both sum of degradation and sum of age modelling fit experimental data of this stress sequence. In addition, a series of alternating DC HCI on-state and DC/AC off-state stress sequences (30 cycles) are conducted to compare with the previous  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  results obtained in Fig. 15 & Fig. 16, as shown in Fig. 17. Interestingly, the total  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  is the same for DC HCI on-state to DC/AC off-state stress modes given the same stress duration of different stress sequences, thus validating the sum of degradation model for on and offstate interactions.



Figure 16:  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of pre-stress DC/AC off-state stress (V<sub>Gate</sub>=-1V, V<sub>Drain</sub>=2.2V 3ks) followed by DC HCI (V<sub>Gate</sub>=V<sub>Drain</sub>=1.8V 300s). Both models sum of degradation and sum of age are in good agreement with experimental data.  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of pre-stress AC off-state is different from DC off-state due to non-pulsed waveform stress pattern.



Figure 17:  $\Delta I_{Dlin}$  of alternating DC on-state HCI and DC/AC off-state stress sequences with total stress duration of 3.3ks. Sum of degradation model shows good agreement with measurement data.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

In-depth characterization of the test structures, presented by good model-to-hardware correlation (MHC) data has proven the integrity of the applied off-state pulsed voltage stress until 1GHz. Through experimental results using these integrated test structures, we have reported in TBD gain factor of 2 for 1GHz compared to DC off-state TDDB stress. In contrast, our off-state stress investigation on linear I<sub>D</sub> parameter has evidenced no difference in degradation from DC to AC 1GHz stress. We have also experimentally proven the absence of interaction in DC & AC off-state vs DC on-state degradation modes, which allows them to be modeled independently in reliability models as the sum of degradation modes.

#### REFERENCES

- A. Bravaix et al., "Off state incorporation into the 3-energy mode device lifetime modeling for advanced 40nm CMOS node," in 2010 IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium, 2010, pp. 55-64.
- [2] D. Varghese et al., "Off-state degradation in drain-extended NMOS transistors: interface damage and correlation to dielectric breakdown," *IEEE Trans. on Electron Devices*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 2669-2678, October 2007.
- [3] T. Garba-Seybou, X. Federspiel, A. Bravaix and F. Cacho, "New Modelling Off-state TDDB for 130nm to 28nm CMOS nodes," in 2022 IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium, 2022, pp. 11A.3-1-11A.3-7.
- [4] N-H. Lee, D. Baek and B. Kang, "Effect of off-state stress and drain relaxation voltage on degradation of a nanoscale nMOSFET at high temperature," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 856-858, July 2011.
- [5] S. Kupke et al., "Experimental proof of the drain-side dielectric breakdown of HKMG nMOSFETs under logic circuit operation," *IEEE Electron Device Letters*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 430-432, May 2015.
- [6] K. Kim et al., "Study on off-state hot carrier degradation and recovery of NMOSFET in SWD circuits of DRAM," in 2016 IEEE International Integrated Reliability Workshop, 2016, pp. 91-94.
- [7] A. Divay et al., "65nm RFSOI Power Amplifier Transistor Ageing at mmW frequencies, 14 GHz and 28 GHz," 2021 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), 2021, pp. 39.3.1-39.3.4
- [8] L. Larcher, D. Sanzogni, R. Brama, A. Mazzanti and F. Svelto, "Oxide Breakdown After RF Stress: Experimental Analysis and Effects on Power Amplifier Operation," 2006 IEEE International Reliability Physics Sym posium Proceedings, 2006, pp. 283-288
- [9] J. Hai et al., "Comprehensive Analysis of RF Hot-Carrier Reliability Sensitivity and Design Explorations for 28GHz Power Amplifier Applications," in 2022 IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium (IRPS), Dallas, TX, USA, 2022, pp. 4B.2-1-4B.2-6
- [10] M. Arabi et al., "Frequency dependent gate oxide TDDB model," in 2022 IEEE International Reliability Physics Symposium, 2022, pp. P25-1-P25-5.
- [11] X. Federspiel, F. Cacho and D. Roy, "Experimental characterization of the interactions between HCI, off-state and BTI degradation modes," in IEEE International Integrated Reliability Workshop Final Report, 2011, pp. 133-136