# Asymptotic behavior of a plate with non-planar top surface <br> Georges Griso 

## To cite this version:

Georges Griso. Asymptotic behavior of a plate with non-planar top surface. 2023. hal-04098827

## HAL Id: hal-04098827

## https://hal.science/hal-04098827

Preprint submitted on 16 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Asymptotic behavior of a plate with non-planar top surface G. GRISO 

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions (LJLL), Sorbonne Université, F-75005 Paris, email: griso@ljll.math.upmc.fr


#### Abstract

In this paper we study the asymptotic behaviors of a plate with non-planar top surface in the framework of linear elasticity. For this plate, we give a decomposition of the displacements. We show that every displacement of the plate is the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual displacement that takes into account the deformations of the fibers of the plate and the shearing. We also prove Korn's type inequalities.


## Résumé

Dans cet article, nous étudions, dans le cadre de l'élasticité linéaire, les comportements asymptotiques d'une plaque dont la surface supérieure est non plane. Pour cette plaque, nous donnons une décomposition des déplacements. Nous montrons que tout déplacement de la plaque est la somme d'un déplacement de Kirchhoff-Love et d'un déplacement résiduel qui prend en compte les déformations des fibres de la plaque et le cisaillement. Nous donnons également des inégalités de type Korn.
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## 1 Introduction

The first difficulty encountered when studying a plate with a non-planar top surface is the estimation of displacements. The second, as in all problems of linear elasticity of thin structures, is to give a simple expression to the strain limit tensor. In this paper, we start by extending the result obtained in 21 for flat plates: namely that any displacement is the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual displacement. Here, our proof uses the result of [21] and is based on simple geometrical considerations concerning the lateral boundary and the parameterization of the non-flat part of the plate. This decomposition of a displacement is followed by estimates of each of its terms using the norm of the strain tensor. When investigating the behavior of the strain tensor of a sequence of displacements, these estimates allow to obtain a simple expression for the limit strain tensor only in terms of the limit Kirchhoff-Love displacements and the limit residual displacements. This done, we can give the asymptotic behavior of a non-planar plate made of an isotropic and homogeneous material and also give the asymptotic behavior of this type of plate in the framework of reduction of dimension and homogenization.
Plates with rough surfaces are the subject of an important literature. In [5], we find a Korn type inequality for a rough surface plate and in [10 a Korn type inequality for a structure made of plates whit non-flat surfaces. The asymptotic behavior of a plate with a rough surface is studied in [6, 7, 8]. Other papers [11, 12, 14, 17, study the jonction of a plate with a vertical rod or a family of regularly spaced vertical rods. As a general reference on elasticity, we refer the reader to [1, 3]. For mathematical modeling of plates we refer to [2, 4, ,9, 16, 21]. For the periodic unfolding method we refer to [16].
This paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we introduce the main notations and the plate $\Omega_{\delta}$ with non-flat top surface.
- In Section 3 we recall some results obtained in [21] concerning the displacements of a plate. Any displacement of a plate of constant thickness is the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual part (the shearing and the warping). We also recall the estimates of the terms of this decomposition (see Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.1).
- In Section 4 we extend the results obtained in [21 to non-flat plates.
- In Section 5 we transform the plate $\Omega_{\delta}$ into a fixed domain $\Omega$ using a dilation in the plate thickness direction. Then, we introduce the dimension reduction operator $\Pi_{\delta}$ which acts on functions defined in $\Omega_{\delta}$, this allows to work with functions defined in $\Omega$. In Subsection 5.1, we consider a sequence of displacements $\left\{u_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta}$ whose strain tensors satisfy

$$
\left\|e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2} .
$$

In Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.1 we give the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\left\{1 / \delta^{2} \Pi_{\delta}\left(e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right)\right\}_{\delta}$ using the limits of the partial derivatives of the terms of the decomposition of $u_{\delta}$.
In order to solve problems of dimension reduction and homogenization, we introduce a specific operator $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}$ that combines dimension reduction and periodic unfolding. The dimension of the periodic cells is $\varepsilon$, we are interested in the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \rightarrow \theta \in(0,+\infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Subsection 5.2 we choose a sequence of displacements $\left\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Theorem 5.2 we give the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\left\{1 / \delta^{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. In the limit strain tensor the parameter $\theta$ appears.

- In Section 6, the plate is submitted to applied body forces. We study the linear elasticity problem posed in the domain $\Omega_{\delta}$. The coefficients of Hooke's law are periodic (period $\varepsilon$ ) and they also vary strongly according to the thickness of the plate (we make the assumptions 1.1 ). The applied body forces are chosen in order that the solution $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ of the elasticity problem satisfies 1.2 . Thanks to the results of Section 5 we obtain the limit elasticity problem posed in the rescaled and unfolded domain (see Theorem6.1). Then, as usual in homogenization we introduce the correctors and we give the problem whose solution are the limit membrane displacement $\mathcal{U}_{m}^{\theta}$ and the limit bending $\mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}$. These fields depend continuously on the parameter $\theta$.
- In Section 7 we partially extend the results of Section 4 to plates with strongly oscillating top surfaces.
- The Appendix (Section 8) is devoted to the proofs of technical results used in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, we use the Einstein convention of summation over repeated indices. As a rule, the Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ take values in $\{1,2\}$ and the Latin indices $i, j, k$ and $l$ take values in $\{1,2,3\}$. The courant point of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is denoted $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. The courant point of $Y$ is $X^{\prime}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ while the courant point of $\mathcal{Y}$ is $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$.

## 2 Notations

Denote

- $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)$ the usual orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$,
- $\omega$ a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with Lipschitz boundary,
- $\gamma$ a subset of $\partial \omega$ with non null measure,
- $\phi$ a function belonging to $W^{1, \infty}(\omega)$ and satisfying

$$
\forall\left(x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \in \bar{\omega}^{2} \quad\left|\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\phi\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{0}\left|x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right|_{2}, \quad \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 C_{0}, \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \omega
$$

where $|\cdot|_{2}$ is the euclidian norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2}, K_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are strictly positive constants

- $C_{1}=\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)}$,
- $Y=(0,1)^{2}, \mathcal{Y}=Y \times\left(-C_{0}, C_{1}\right)$,
- $\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}=Y \times\left(-C_{0}, \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for all $x^{\prime} \in \omega$,
- some spaces
$H_{p e r}^{1}(Y) \doteq\left\{\chi \in H^{1}(Y) \mid \chi\right.$ is 1-periodic with respect to $X_{1}$ and $\left.X_{2}\right\}$,
$H_{p e r, 0}^{1}(Y) \doteq\left\{\chi \in H_{p e r}^{1}(Y) \mid \int_{Y} \chi\left(X^{\prime}\right) d X^{\prime}=0\right\}$,
$H_{p e r}^{2}(Y) \doteq\left\{\chi \in H^{2}(Y) \mid \chi\right.$ and $\nabla \chi$ are 1-periodic with respect to $X_{1}$ and $\left.X_{2}\right\}$,
$H_{p e r, 0}^{2}(Y) \doteq H_{p e r}^{2}(Y) \cap H_{p e r, 0}^{1}(Y)$,
$H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}) \doteq\left\{\chi \in H^{1}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid \chi\right.$ is 1-periodic with respect to $X_{1}$ and $\left.X_{2}\right\}$,
$H_{p e r, 0}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}) \doteq\left\{\chi \in H^{1}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid \int_{Y} \chi\left(X^{\prime}, X_{3}\right) d X^{\prime}=0 \quad\right.$ for a.e. $\left.X_{3} \in\left(-C_{0}, C_{1}\right)\right\}$.

The plate with a non-planar top surface is the domain

$$
\Omega_{\delta}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

We also consider

$$
\Omega_{0, \delta}=\omega \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta C_{0}\right) \subset \Omega_{\delta}
$$

the plate with flat top and bottom surfaces.
The plate $\Omega_{\delta}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)$ is clamped on a part $\Gamma_{\delta}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Gamma_{0, \delta}\right)$ of its lateral boundary

$$
\Gamma_{\delta} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \gamma \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad\left(\text { resp. } \Gamma_{0, \delta} \doteq \gamma \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta C_{0}\right)\right)
$$

## 3 Some reminders on the decomposition of plate displacements

For every $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$, we denote $e_{i j}(u)$ the entries of the strain tensor $e(u)$ of $u$

$$
e_{i j}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right), \quad(i, j) \in\{1,2,3\}^{2}
$$

In 21] we have proven that any displacement $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$, is the sum of a Kirchhof-Love displaceement and a residual one

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u(x)=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{U}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{U}_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{U}_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)}_{\text {Kirchhoff-Love displacement }}+\underbrace{\widetilde{u}(x)}_{\text {residual displacement }}  \tag{3.1}\\
u(x)=U_{K L}(x)+\widetilde{u}(x)
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \text { in } \Omega_{0, \delta}
$$

where $U_{K L}$ and $\widetilde{u}$ belong to $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}$. We recall that the map $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3} \longmapsto U_{K L} \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}$ is continuous and linear (see [21]).
The sum

$$
\mathcal{U}_{m}=\mathcal{U}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathcal{U}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}
$$

is the membrane displacement, while $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ represents the bending of the mid-surface of the plate. The residual part $\tilde{u}$ stands for shearing and warping (the deformation of the fibers $\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta C_{0}\right)$, see [13, 21]). The residual displacement satisfies the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-C_{0} \delta}^{C_{0} \delta} \widetilde{u}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) d x_{3}=\int_{-C_{0} \delta}^{C_{0} \delta} \widetilde{u}_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) d x_{3}=0 \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega \text {. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 6.1 in [21]). Let $u$ be in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$. The fields $\mathcal{U}_{m}=\mathcal{U}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathcal{U}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}$, $\mathcal{U}_{3}$ and $\widetilde{u}$ satisfy

$$
\mathcal{U}_{m} \in W^{1, p}(\omega)^{2}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{3} \in W^{2, p}(\omega), \quad \tilde{u} \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)^{3}
$$

and the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \\
& \left\|D^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1+1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}+\delta\|\nabla \widetilde{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \leq C \delta\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants do not depend on $\delta$.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 3.4 in [21]). Suppose that the plate is clamped on $\Gamma_{0, \delta}$. Then, we have

$$
\mathcal{U}_{1}=\mathcal{U}_{2}=0 \quad \text { a.e. on } \gamma
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}(\gamma)} \leq C \delta^{1-2 / p}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}, \quad\left\|\nabla \mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}(\gamma)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{2 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants do not depend on $\delta$.
As a consequence of the above theorem and lemma, one has
Proposition 3.1 (Proposition 3.5 in [21]). Let $u$ be a displacement in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right), 1<p<\infty$. Assume the plate clamped on $\Gamma_{0, \delta}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathcal{U}_{1}\right\|_{W^{1, p}(\omega)}+\left\|\mathcal{U}_{2}\right\|_{W^{1, p}(\omega)}+\delta\left\|\mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{W^{2, p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \\
& \left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}+\delta\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \\
& \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants do not depend on $\delta$.

## 4 Displacements decompositions of the non-planar plate

Let $u$ be a displacement in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$. The restriction $u_{\mid \Omega_{0, \delta}}$ is a displacement of the plate $\Omega_{0, \delta}$, we decompose it as (3.1). The Kirchhoff-Love displacement $U_{K L}$ can also be considered as a displacement of the plate $\Omega_{\delta}$, so it belongs to $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U_{K L}+\mathfrak{u} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The residual part $\mathfrak{u}$ belongs to $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}$, it stands for the deformations of the fibers of the plate $\Omega_{\delta}$.
Note that $\mathfrak{u}=\widetilde{u}$ a.e. in $\Omega_{0, \delta}$.
Theorem 4.1. For every displacement $u$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$, the terms $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ of its decomposition given by 4.1 satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \\
& \left\|D^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1+1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $\delta$.
Proof. The estimates $\boxed{4.2}_{1,2}$ are the consequences of those in Theorem 3.1 and the fact that $\|e(u)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{0, \delta}\right)} \leq$ $\|e(u)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}$ since $\Omega_{0, \delta} \subset \Omega$. We have

$$
e\left(U_{K L}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & e_{12}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & 0) \\
e_{12}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & e_{22}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $U_{K L}$ is a affine function with respect to $x_{3}$, the estimate $4.2{ }_{1,2}$ and the fact that $\mathfrak{u}=u-U_{K L}$ lead to 4.2$)_{3}$. The proof of (4.3) is postponed in Subsection 8.2 of the Appendix.

If the plate is clamped on $\Gamma_{\delta}$ then we have the following Korn's type inequalities.
Proposition 4.1. Let $u$ be a displacement in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right), 1<p<\infty$. Assume the plate clamped on $\Gamma_{\delta}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}+\delta\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}, \\
& \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2}\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)},  \tag{4.4}\\
& \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\delta}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The constants do not depend on $\delta$.
Proof. Estimates (4.4) are the consequences of the decomposition (4.1) of $u$ and the estimates (4.2)-(4.3).
From now on, in Sections 5 and 6, every $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}$ will be decomposed as the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual displacement.

## 5 Asymptotic behavior of a sequence of displacements

The set of admissible displacements is denoted $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{\delta} \doteq\left\{u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3} \mid u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\delta}\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rescale $\Omega_{\delta}$ to $\Omega$ in the $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ direction. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega & \doteq\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, X_{3}\right) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid-C_{0}<X_{3}<\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
\Omega_{1} & \doteq \omega \times\left(-C_{0}, C_{1}\right), \quad \Omega \subset \Omega_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote

$$
\Xi_{\varepsilon} \doteq\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \varepsilon(\xi+Y) \subset \omega\right\}, \quad \widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \doteq \operatorname{Interior}\left(\bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon(\xi+\bar{Y})\right), \quad \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \doteq \omega \backslash \overline{\widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon}}
$$

Definition 5.1 (The dimension reduction operator $\Pi_{\delta}$ ). For every measurable function $\psi$ on $\Omega_{\delta}$, we define the measurable function $\Pi_{\delta}(\psi)$ on $\Omega$ as follows:

$$
\Pi_{\delta}(\psi)\left(x^{\prime}, X_{3}\right)=\psi\left(x^{\prime}, \delta X_{3}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad\left(x^{\prime}, X_{3}\right) \in \Omega
$$

For every $f \in L^{1}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)$ and $g \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)$, one has

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f d x=\delta \int_{\Omega} \Pi_{\delta}(f) d x^{\prime} d X_{3}, \quad\left\|\Pi_{\delta}(g)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\frac{1}{\delta^{1 / 2}}\|g\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}
$$

Moreover, if $f \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)$, one has $(\alpha \in\{1,2\})$

$$
\Pi_{\delta}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}}\right)=\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(f)}{\partial X_{3}}, \quad \Pi_{\delta}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)=\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}(f)}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
$$

We recall below the definition of the classical unfolding operator (see [16, Chapter 1]).
Definition 5.2 (The unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ ). For every measurable function $g$ on $\omega$, we define the measurable function $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(g)$ on $\omega \times Y$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(g)\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left.g\left(\varepsilon\left[\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right]+\varepsilon\left(X_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+X_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right)^{1} \\
0 & \text { for a.e. } & \left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \in \widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times Y, \\
0 & \text { for a.e. } & \left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \times Y
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]The unfolding operator with parameters will also be denoted $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$.
Definition 5.3. For every measurable function $\psi$ on $\Omega_{1}$, we define the measurable function $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)$ on $\omega \times \mathcal{Y}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)\left(x^{\prime}, X\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\psi\left(\varepsilon\left[\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right]+\varepsilon\left(X_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+X_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right), X_{3}\right) \\
0 & \text { for a.e. }
\end{array} \quad\left(x^{\prime}, X\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \in \widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{Y}, ~\left(x^{\prime}, x_{2}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{Y} . ~ l o r ~ a . e . ~\left(x^{\prime}, X\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2} .\right.\right.
$$

Regarding the properties of the unfolding operator $\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}$ with or without parameters, we refer the reader to [16, Subsections 1.1 and 1.5].
We will denote $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} \circ \Pi_{\delta}$ the dimension reduction and unfolding operator which acts on measurable function on $\Omega_{\delta}$.
Note that if $\psi$ does not depend on $x_{3}$ then $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}(\psi)=\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(\psi)$.

### 5.1 First case: only dimension reduction

Let $\left\{u_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta}$ be a sequence of displacements belonging to $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\delta$.
We decompose $u_{\delta}$ (see 4.1) ). The terms of its decomposition satisfy (see 4.4, 4.2) and 4.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathcal{U}_{\delta, \alpha}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\delta\left\|\mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}\right\|_{H^{2}(\omega)} \leq C \delta^{2} \\
& \left\|\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{7 / 2}, \quad\left\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From which and the properties of $\Pi_{\delta}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \delta^{3}, \quad\left\|\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \delta^{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega) & \doteq\left\{\varphi \in H^{1}(\omega) \mid \varphi=0 \text { a.e. on } \gamma\right\} \\
H_{\gamma}^{2}(\omega) & \doteq\left\{\varphi \in H^{2}(\omega) \mid \varphi=0 \text { and } \nabla \varphi=0 \text { a.e. on } \gamma\right\} \\
\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) & \doteq H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega)^{2} \times H_{\gamma}^{2}(\omega) \\
\mathbb{W}(\Omega) & \doteq\left\{\Phi \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X_{3}} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We equip $\mathbb{W}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\forall \Phi \in \mathbb{W}(\Omega), \quad\|\Phi\|_{\mathbb{W}(\Omega)}=\sqrt{\|\Phi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}}
$$

The strain tensor of a displacement $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)^{3}$, decomposed as 4.1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& e(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & e_{12}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & 0) \\
e_{12}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & e_{22}(\mathcal{U})-x_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}(\mathfrak{u}) & e_{12}(\mathfrak{u}) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \\
e_{12}(\mathfrak{u}) & e_{22}(\mathfrak{u}) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) & \frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The following theorem is proved in [21].

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 4.2 in [21]). Let $\left\{u_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta}$ be a sequence of displacements belonging to $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ and satisfying

$$
\left\|e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2}
$$

There exist a subsequence of $\{\delta\}$, still denoted $\{\delta\}$, and functions $\mathcal{U}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathcal{U}_{2}, \mathcal{U}_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ and $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathbb{W}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, \alpha} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega) \text { and strongly in } L^{2}(\omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \text { weakly in } H^{2}(\omega) \text { and strongly in } H^{1}(\omega)  \tag{5.6}\\
& \frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{U} \quad \text { weakly in } \mathbb{W}(\Omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \Pi_{\delta}\left(u_{\delta, \alpha}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}-X_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \quad \text { weakly in } H^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{5.7}\\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta}\left(u_{\delta, 3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \text { strongly in } H^{1}(\Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the limit displacement in the rescaled plate $\Omega$ is of Kirchhoff-Love type.
For every $\mathcal{V}=\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{2}, \mathcal{V}_{3}\right) \in H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega)^{2} \times H_{\gamma}^{2}(\omega)$ we define the symmetric tensor $E_{M}(\mathcal{V})$ by

$$
E_{M}(\mathcal{V}) \doteq\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}(\mathcal{V})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & e_{12}(\mathcal{V})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & 0 \\
e_{12}(\mathcal{V})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & e_{22}(\mathcal{V})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for every $\mathfrak{V} \in \mathbb{W}(\Omega)$, the tensor $E_{w}(\mathfrak{V})$ by

$$
E_{w}(\mathfrak{V}) \doteq\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}} & \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, we can give the limit of the rescaled strain tensor of the sequence $\left\{u_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta}$. As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 we have

Lemma 5.1. Let $\left\{u_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta}$ be the sequence of displacements belonging to $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ introduced in Theorem 5.1. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \Pi_{\delta}\left(e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup E_{M}(\mathcal{U})+E_{w}(\mathfrak{U}) \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega)^{3 \times 3} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The displacement $\Pi_{\delta}\left(u_{\delta}\right)$ belongs to $H^{1}(\Omega)^{3}$ and its strain tensor $\Pi_{\delta}\left(e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right)$ is given a.e. in $\Omega$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Pi_{\delta}\left(e\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & e_{12}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & 0) \\
e_{12}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & e_{22}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e_{11}\left(\Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta, 3}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{1, \delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right) \\
e_{12}\left(\Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta, 3}\right)}{\left.\partial x_{1}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta}\right)\right)}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2, \delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta, 3}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{1, \delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta, 3}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2, \delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right)
\end{array} \quad \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \Pi_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\delta, 3}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right. \tag{5.9}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then, due to the convergences in Theorem 5.1 we get 5.8).

### 5.2 Second case: dimension reduction and homogenization simultaneously

Let $\left\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ be a sequence of displacements belonging to $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$.
We decompose $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ as 4.1. Then, we extend the displacement $\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ using the extension operator $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}$ (see Lemma 8.2 in Appendix). The extended displacement $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)$ will be still denoted $\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. Due to Lemma 8.2 in Appendix, the estimates 5.3$)_{2,3}$ and the properties of the reduction of dimension and unfolding operators, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})}+\left\|\nabla_{X} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})} \leq C \delta^{3} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$.
Below, we proceed simultaneously to the dimension reduction and the homogenization of the sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$. From now on, we assume that

$$
(\varepsilon, \delta) \longrightarrow(0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \longrightarrow \theta \in(0,+\infty)
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Omega} & \doteq\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, X\right) \in \omega \times \mathcal{Y} \mid-C_{0}<X_{3}<\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) & \doteq\left\{\mathfrak{V} \in L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{3} \mid \nabla_{X} \mathfrak{V} \in L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{3 \times 3}\right. \\
& \text { and } \left.\mathfrak{V} \text { is 1-periodic with respect to } X_{1} \text { and } X_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We endow $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ with the norm

$$
\forall \mathfrak{V} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}), \quad\|\mathfrak{V}\|_{\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}=\sqrt{\|\mathfrak{V}\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{X} \mathfrak{V}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2}} .
$$

For every $\mathfrak{V} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$, we define the $3 \times 3$ symmetric tensor $E_{w}^{\theta}(\mathfrak{V}) \in L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{3 \times 3}$ by

$$
E_{w}^{\theta}(\mathfrak{V}) \doteq\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & * & * \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}\right) & \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} & * \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}+\theta \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}+\theta \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}}\right) & \theta \frac{\partial \mathfrak{V}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the function $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{V})$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{V})\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+C_{0}} \int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} \mathfrak{V}\left(x^{\prime}, X\right) d X, \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega
$$

$\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{V})$ belongs to $L^{2}(\omega)^{3}$, the measure of $\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}$ is $\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+C_{0}$. The operator $\mathcal{M}$ is continuous and linear from $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ into $L^{2}(\omega)^{3}$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\left\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ be a sequence of displacements belonging to $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ and satisfying 5.10. There exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$, and functions $\mathcal{U}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathcal{U}_{2}, \mathcal{U}_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ satisfying $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}})=0$ a.e. in $\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, \alpha} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega) \text { and strongly in } L^{2}(\omega), \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \text { weakly in } H^{2}(\omega) \text { and strongly in } H^{1}(\omega), \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \alpha}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}-X_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right),  \tag{5.13}\\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup E_{M}(\mathcal{U})+E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}) \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3 \times 3} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. There exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$ and functions $\mathcal{U}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathcal{U}_{2}, \mathcal{U}_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega), \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m} \in$ $L^{2}\left(\omega ; H_{p e r, 0}^{1}(Y)\right)^{2}, \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3} \in L^{2}\left(\omega ; H_{\text {per }, 0}^{2}(Y)\right)^{2}$ and $\mathfrak{U} \in L^{2}\left(\omega ; H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right)^{3}$ such that convergences 5.12 hold and $\left((\alpha, \beta) \in\{1,2\}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, m}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}_{m} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(Y)\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, m}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{m}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{2}(Y)\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\omega \times Y) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{\alpha} \partial X_{\beta}} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\omega \times Y)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) \rightharpoonup \mathfrak{\mathfrak { U }} \text { weakly in } \mathrm{Ł}^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3} \\
& \varepsilon \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}}{\partial X_{\alpha}} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3},  \tag{5.16}\\
& \delta \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}}{\partial x_{3}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{3}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\frac{1}{\delta^{3}} \mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}}{\partial X_{3}} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & e_{12}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & 0) \\
e_{12}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & e_{22}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)-X_{3} \delta \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{\delta, 3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 1}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 2}\right)}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 1}\right)}{\partial X_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}\right)}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 1}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 1}\right)}{\partial X_{2}}+\frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 2}\right)}{\partial X_{1}}\right) & \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\left.\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta, \delta, 2}\right)}{\left.\partial \mathcal{u}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}\right)}\right. \\
\left.\frac{1}{2 X_{1}}+\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(\mathfrak{u}_{2, \delta}\right)}{\partial X_{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We divide by $\delta^{2}$ and pass to the limit using (5.15) and 5.16 2,3. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup E_{M}(\mathcal{U})+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e_{11, X^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}\right)-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}^{2}} & e_{12, X^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}\right)-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{1} \partial X_{2}} & 0) \\
e_{12, X^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}\right)-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{1} \partial X_{2}} & e_{22, X^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}\right)-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{\alpha \beta, X^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\alpha}}{\partial X_{\beta}}+\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\beta}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right)$. Set

$$
\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}=\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{1}-X_{3} \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}-X_{3} \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}+\theta \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}+\frac{1}{\theta}(\mathfrak{U}-\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{U}))
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}})=0$ a.e. in $\omega$. This leads to 5.14 . Convergences (5.13) are the consequences of 5.12 and the properties of $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}$.

Lemma 5.2. For every function $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$, one has ( $\alpha \in\{1,2\}$ )

$$
\begin{cases}\theta \geq 1, & \left\|\nabla_{X} \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}+\theta\left\|\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C \| E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{V})} \|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}\right.  \tag{5.17}\\ \theta \leq 1, & \left\|\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}+\theta\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{\mathfrak{V}}}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C \| E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{V})} \|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}\right.\end{cases}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\theta$.
Proof. Consider the domains $\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}, x^{\prime} \in \omega$. Note that

$$
Y \times\left(-C_{0}, C_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}} \subset Y \times\left(-C_{0}, C_{1}\right) \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \omega
$$

Proceeding as in [16. Chapter 11] we obtain that for every $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{\text {per }}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}(\alpha \in\{1,2\})$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta \geq 1,\left\|\nabla_{X} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)}+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_{3}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)}+\theta\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\psi})\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)}  \tag{5.18}\\
\theta \leq 1, \quad\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial X_{\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)}+\theta\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\partial X_{3}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\psi})\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}$ is the subspace of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}$ containing the periodic functions with respect to $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. The constant $C$ does not depend on $\theta$ and $x^{\prime}$.
Now, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}$ be in $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\omega} \times \overline{\mathcal{Y}})^{3}$. We apply the above result to the function $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}$. This leads to 5.17 ). Then, a density argument gives the result for every function in $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$.

Corollary 5.1. For every $\theta \in(0,+\infty)$ and for every $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{X} \boldsymbol{\psi}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\left(\theta+\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\psi})\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\theta$ and $x^{\prime}$.
Lemma 5.3. There exist two strictly positive constants $c$ and $C$, independent of $\theta$, such that for every $\mathcal{V}=$ $\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}, \mathcal{V}_{3}\right) \in H^{1}(\omega)^{2} \times H^{2}(\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ satisfying $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})=0$ a.e. in $\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(\left\|\mathcal{V}_{m}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\left\|\mathcal{V}_{3}\right\|_{H^{2}(\omega)}+\right. & \left.\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{2}}\|\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\|_{\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}\right) \leq\left\|E_{M}(\mathcal{V})+E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}  \tag{5.20}\\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\mathcal{V}_{m}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\left\|\mathcal{V}_{3}\right\|_{H^{2}(\omega)}+(1+\theta)\|\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\|_{H^{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, due to the periodicity of the fields $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}_{m}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}$, we have

$$
\left\|E_{M}(\mathcal{V})+E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2}=\left\|E_{M}(\mathcal{V})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2}+\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2}
$$

Then, since the components of $E_{M}(\mathcal{V})$ are affine functions with respect to $X_{3}$, there exist two strictly positive constants $c_{M}$ and $C_{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{M} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2}\left(\| e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}\left\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+\right\| \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} \|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \leq\left\|E_{M}(\mathcal{V})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}^{2} \leq C_{M} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2}\left(\| e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}\left\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}+\right\| \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} \|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the boundary conditions together with the Poincaré inequality yield

$$
c\left(\left\|\mathcal{V}_{m}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\|\left.\mathcal{V}_{3}\right|_{H^{2}(\omega)}\right) \leq\left\|E_{M}(\mathcal{V})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C\left(\left\|\mathcal{V}_{m}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\|\left.\mathcal{V}_{3}\right|_{H^{2}(\omega)}\right)
$$

From the definition of the matrix $E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{V})}\right.$, there exists a constant $C_{w}$ independent of $\theta$ such that

$$
\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C_{w}(1+\theta)\left\|\nabla_{X} \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}
$$

Now, 5.19 leads to

$$
\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{2}}\left\|\nabla_{X} \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C \|\left. E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega
$$

Observe that for every $x^{\prime} \in \omega$, the domains $\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}$ are star-shaped with respect to balls whose radii are $\inf \left\{1 / 2,2 C_{0}\right\}$ and have a diameter less than $2+2 C_{1}$. Hence, [13, Proposition 2.2] gives the following Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality

$$
\left\|\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)-\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C\left\|\nabla_{X} \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} . \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega
$$

where the constant does not depend on $x^{\prime} \in \omega$.
Thus

$$
\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{2}}\|\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\|_{\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})}
$$

Finally we obtain (5.20).

## 6 The linear elasticity problem in $\Omega_{\delta}$

For $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 3$, let $a_{i j k l}$ be in $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y})$ and satisfy the symmetry conditions

$$
a_{i j k l}(X)=a_{j i k l}(X)=a_{k l i j}(X) \quad \text { for a.e. } X \in \mathcal{Y}
$$

as well as the coercivity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j k l} \tau_{i j} \tau_{k l} \geq c_{0} \tau_{i j} \tau_{i j} \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathcal{Y} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every symmetric matrix $\tau=\left(\tau_{i j}\right)$ of order 3 , where $c_{0}$ is a strictly positive constant.
The coefficients $a_{i j k l}^{\varepsilon, \delta}$ of the Hooke tensor are then given by

$$
a_{i j k l}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(x)=a_{i j k l}\left(\left\{\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right\}, \frac{x_{3}}{\delta}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\delta}
$$

where $\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ is the fractional part of $x{ }^{2}$.
The constitutive law of the materials is the relation between the strain tensor and the stress tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon, \delta}(v)=a_{i j k l}^{\varepsilon, \delta} e_{k l}(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we consider only applied body forces $f_{\delta}$.
The displacement $u_{\varepsilon, \delta} \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ is the solution of the following elasticity problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} \sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon, \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) e_{i j}(v) d x=\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot v d x  \tag{6.3}\\
\forall v \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We make the assumption that the applied body forces $f_{\delta}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\delta}(x)=\left(\delta^{2} f_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\delta x_{3} g_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}+\delta^{3} f_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\delta} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is in $L^{2}(\omega)^{3}$ and $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ in $L^{2}(\omega)^{2}$.
Now, for every $u \in \mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ decompose as 4.1 one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot U_{K L} d x=\delta^{3} \int_{\omega} f_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{\delta^{4}}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
&+\frac{\delta^{3}}{2} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{\delta^{4}}{2} \int_{\omega} f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
&+\delta^{4} \int_{\omega} f_{3} \mathcal{U}_{3}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
&\left|\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot \mathfrak{u} d x\right| \leq C \delta^{3}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}\right)\|e(u)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]From the estimates 3.5 and those above, we obtain an upper bound of the right-hand side of 6.3

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot u d x\right| \leq C \delta^{5 / 2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}\right)\|e(u)\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} .
$$

Applying the above estimate for $u=u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ taken as test function in 6.3, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C \delta^{5 / 2}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1 The unfolded limit problem

In this subsection, we will investigate the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\left\{u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$, the solution to problem 6.3.

Theorem 6.1. Let $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ be the solution to problem (6.3). There exist $\mathcal{U}^{\theta}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\theta}, \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\theta}, \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ satisfying $\mathcal{M}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right)=0$ a.e. in $\omega$ such that $(\alpha \in\{1,2\})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, \alpha} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\theta} \quad \text { strongly in } H_{\gamma}^{1}(\omega), \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta} \quad \text { weakly in } H^{2}(\omega) \text { and strongly in } H^{1}(\omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, \alpha}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\theta}-X_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right),  \tag{6.6}\\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta, 3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow E_{M}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right)+E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right) \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{3 \times 3} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pair $\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}, \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) \times \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ is the unique solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} a_{i j k l}\left(E_{M, i j}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right)+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right)\right)\left(E_{M, k l}(\mathcal{V})+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right) d x^{\prime} d X  \tag{6.8}\\
=\int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{V}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \quad \forall(\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) \times \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution to the above problem satisfies the following estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{U}_{m}^{\theta}\right\|_{H^{1}(\omega)}+\left\|\mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}\right\|_{H^{2}(\omega)}+\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{2}}\left\|\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right\|_{\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}+\|g\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C$ does not depend on $\theta$.
Proof. Step 1. First of all, the convergences.
There exist a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$, still denoted $\{\varepsilon, \delta\}$, and $\mathcal{U}^{\theta}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\theta}, \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\theta}, \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega), \widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ such that the convergences 5.12 - 5.13 ) and 5.14 hold (see Theorem 5.2.
Step 2. The limit problem.
Let $\mathcal{V}$ be in $\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}} \in H^{1}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3} \cap \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}=0$ on $\gamma \times \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})=0$. We choose as test displacement

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\varepsilon, \delta}(x)=\frac{1}{\delta^{5}}\left[\left(\delta \mathcal{V}_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\delta \mathcal{V}_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathcal{V}_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}_{3}+\delta^{3} \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}\left(x^{\prime},\left\{\frac{x^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}\right\}, \frac{x_{3}}{\delta}\right)\right] \\
\text { for a.e. } x \text { in } \Omega_{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$
\delta^{4} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e\left(v_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow E_{M}(\mathcal{V})+E_{w}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}) \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})^{3 \times 3}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot v_{\varepsilon, \delta} d x \longrightarrow & \int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{V}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $v_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ as test displacement in (6.3), then transforming with $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}$ and passing to the limit using the convergences (5.14) and those above regarding the test displacement lead to 6.8 with the pair $(\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\mathfrak{V}})$.

Then, since the space of the fields $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}$ in $H^{1}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})^{3} \cap \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}=0$ on $\gamma \times \mathcal{Y}$ is dense in $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$, problem 6.8 is satisfied for every $\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}$ in $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$.

Step 3. Uniqueness of the solution of problem 6.8.
From the inequalities in Lemma 5.3 , we get that the bilinear form over $\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) \times \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$

$$
((\mathcal{V}, \widehat{\mathfrak{V}}),(\mathcal{W}, \widehat{\mathfrak{W}})) \in\left(\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) \times \mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})\right)^{2} \longmapsto \int_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} a_{i j k l}\left(E_{M, i j}(\mathcal{V})+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{V}})\right)\left(E_{M, k l}(\mathcal{W})+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}(\widehat{\mathfrak{W})})\right) d x^{\prime} d X
$$

is coercive and bounded. So, problem (6.8) admits a unique solution. Hence, the whole sequences in 6.6) and 6.7) converge towards their limits. As a consequence of Lemma 5.3. we obtain the estimate 6.9 .
Step 4. Strong convergence of the rescaled strain tensor.
Take $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ as test displacement in (6.3), then transform using $\mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}$ and divide by $\delta^{4}$.
We first have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{1}{\delta^{4}} \int_{\left(\widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{Y}\right) \cap \boldsymbol{\Omega}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(f_{\delta}\right) \cdot \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) d x^{\prime} d X= & \int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{U}^{\theta}(\phi+\psi) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+\psi^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\theta}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-\psi^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the weak lower semi-continuity of the left-hand side of (6.8), the convergences (5.15)-(5.16) together with (5.14) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} a_{i j k l}\left(E_{M, i j}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right)+E_{w, i j}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right)\right)\left(E_{M, k l}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right)+E_{w, k l}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}\right)\right) d x^{\prime} d X \\
& \leq \liminf _{(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0)}\left(\int_{\left(\widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{Y}\right) \cap \Omega} a_{i j k l} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e_{i j}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e_{k l}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) d x^{\prime} d X\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0)}\left(\int_{\left(\widehat{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \times \mathcal{Y}\right) \cap \Omega} a_{i j k l} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e_{i j}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e_{k l}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right) d x^{\prime} d X\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{1}{\delta^{5}} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} \sigma_{i j}^{\varepsilon, \delta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) e_{i j}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) d x=\limsup _{(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{1}{\delta^{5}} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} f_{\delta} \cdot u_{\varepsilon, \delta} d x \\
& =\int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{U}^{\theta}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}^{\theta}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the above inequalities are equalities. This proves the strong convergence 6.7) of the strain tensor.
Step 4. Last strong convergences.
From (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)=\frac{1}{2 C_{0}} \int_{Y} \int_{-C_{0}}^{C_{0}} \frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon \delta}\left(e_{\alpha \beta}\left(u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)\right)(\cdot, X) d X, \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strong convergence 6.7 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right) \longrightarrow e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right) \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\omega) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the convergence $(6.6)_{1}$ is strong.

### 6.2 The correctors

Denote

$$
\mathbf{M}^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{M}^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{M}^{22}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and for all $x^{\prime} \in \omega$

$$
H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{\chi \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right) \mid \chi \text { is 1-periodic with respect to } X_{1} \text { and } X_{2}\right\}
$$

Now, we will express the warping $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}$ in terms of the partial derivatives of $\mathcal{U}_{m}^{\theta}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}$.
Below, we introduce the warping correctors $\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}, \chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}$ belonging to $\mathbb{W}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$. They are the solution to the following variational problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3} \text { such that } \mathcal{M}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { and }  \tag{6.12}\\
\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W})} d X=0, \quad \forall \widehat{\mathfrak{W}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3},\right. \\
\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3} \text { such that } \mathcal{M}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { and } \\
\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{W})} d X=0, \quad \forall \widehat{\mathfrak{W}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)^{3}\right.
\end{array} \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \text { in } \omega .\right.
$$

The above problems 6.12 admit unique solutions. Observe that

$$
\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}=\chi_{m, \theta}^{\beta \alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}=\chi_{b, \theta}^{\beta \alpha} .
$$

Due to Lemma 8.2 the correctors $\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}, \chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}$ are the restrictions to $\Omega$ of functions belonging to $L^{\infty}\left(\omega ; H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right)^{3}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)}+\left\|E_{w}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}\right)} \leq C \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant is independent of $\theta \in(0,+\infty)$ and $x^{\prime} \in \omega$.
Thanks to these correctors, we express the field $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}=\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta} e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right)-\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}, \quad \text { a.e. in } \boldsymbol{\Omega} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in order to obtain certain properties of the homogenized problem, we modify the correctors. First, observe that in the problems given by (6.12), the variable $x^{\prime}$ is just a parameter. So, we change the microscopic variable $X \in \mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}$ and the open set $\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}$ to obtain problems posed in a fixed domain.
We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=X_{1}, \quad X_{2}=X_{2}, \quad X_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{X_{3}+C_{0}}{2\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+C_{0}\right)}+\frac{X_{3}-\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{2\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+C_{0}\right)}, \quad \mathcal{Y}=Y \times(-1 / 2,1 / 2) \\
& \text { and } \quad \vartheta=\theta\left(C_{0}+\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)=\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{\prime}, X_{1}, X_{2},\left(C_{0}+\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) X_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-C_{0}}{2}\right), \\
& \overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)=\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{\prime}, X_{1}, X_{2},\left(C_{0}+\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) X_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-C_{0}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is the courant point in $\mathcal{Y}$.
These new correctors belong to $L^{\infty}\left(\omega ; H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})\right)^{3}$ and are the solution to the following variational problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3} \quad \text { such that } \mathcal{M}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { and }  \tag{6.15}\\
\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \overline{a_{i j k l}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+\mathcal{E}_{w, i j}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\right) \mathcal{E}_{w, k l}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}) d \bar{X}=0, \quad \forall \overline{\mathfrak{W}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3}, \\
\left.\frac{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}{x^{\prime}}, \cdot\right) \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3} \quad \text { such that } \mathcal{M}\left(\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { and } \\
\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \overline{a_{i j k l}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+\mathcal{E}_{w, i j}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\right) \mathcal{E}_{w, k l}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}) d \bar{X}=0, \quad \forall \overline{\mathfrak{W}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where for every $\overline{\mathfrak{V}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3}$ the symmetric tensor $\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{V}}) \in L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})^{3 \times 3}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{V}}) \doteq\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & * & * \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{2}}{\partial X_{1}}+\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}\right) & \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} & * \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}}{\partial X_{1}}+\vartheta \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}}{\partial X_{2}}+\vartheta \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}}\right) & \vartheta \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and where

$$
\overline{a_{i j k l}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)=a_{i j k l}\left(X_{1}, X_{2},\left(C_{0}+\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) X_{3}^{\prime}+\frac{\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-C_{0}}{2}\right) \quad \text { for a.e. }\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right) \text { in } \omega \times \mathcal{Y}
$$

These coefficients belong to $L^{\infty}(\omega \times \mathcal{Y})$ and they satisfy the coercivity condition

$$
\overline{a_{i j k l}} \tau_{i j} \tau_{k l} \geq c_{0} \tau_{i j} \tau_{i j} \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega \times \mathcal{Y}
$$

The new correctors satisfy

As a consequence of (5.19) and the above estimates, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})} \leq C\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right), \quad\left\|\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})} \leq C\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right), \quad \text { for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above estimates, the constants do not depend on $\vartheta$ and $x^{\prime} \in \omega$.

### 6.3 The limit problem in $\omega$

Theorem 6.2. The field $\mathcal{U}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ is the unique solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\omega} A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta} e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right) e_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}(\mathcal{V}) d x^{\prime}+\int_{\omega} A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}\left(e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}^{\theta}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial x_{\beta^{\prime}}}+e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{V}) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial x_{\beta^{\prime}}}\right) d x^{\prime}  \tag{6.18}\\
+\int_{\omega} A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}^{\theta}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha^{\prime}} \partial x_{\beta^{\prime}}} d x^{\prime}=\int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{V}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}=\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) d X, \\
& A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta}=\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) d X, \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega .  \tag{6.19}\\
& A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}=\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) d X,
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that due to the symmetry conditions of the $a_{i j k l}$ 's, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+\right. & \left.E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) d X \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{Y}_{x^{\prime}}} a_{i j k l}\left(X_{3} \mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta, \theta}+E_{w, i j}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+E_{w, k l}^{\theta}\left(\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\right)\right) d X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2. In (6.8), we choose as test displacements $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ and

$$
\widehat{\mathfrak{V}}=\chi_{m, \theta}^{\alpha \beta} e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{V})-\chi_{b, \theta}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}
$$

Then, we replace $\widehat{\mathfrak{U}}^{\theta}$ by its value given by (6.14). That gives (6.18). As usual, thanks to (6.1) and the expressions (6.19) of the bilinear form in the left-hand side of $\sqrt{6.18}$ ), we get the coercivity and continuity of this bilinear form. Hence, we have the existence and unicity of the solution to (6.18).

As a consequence of the estimates 6.13 , the coefficients $A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}, A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta}$ and $A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}$ belong to $L^{\infty}(\omega)$. More precisely, we have
Lemma 6.1. As functions of the variables $\left(\vartheta, x^{\prime}\right)$, all the coefficients $A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}, A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta}$ and $A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}$ belong to $L^{\infty}((0,+\infty) \times \omega)$. Moreover, for a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$ the functions $\theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta}$ and $\theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}$ are Lipschitz continuous on every segment included in $(0,+\infty)$.

Proof. First, observe that

$$
A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{Y}} \overline{a_{i j k l}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)\left(\mathbf{M}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}+\mathcal{E}_{w, i j}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{M}_{k l}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}+\mathcal{E}_{w, k l}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{X}\right)\right) d \bar{X}
$$

We have similar equalities for the other coefficients.
We have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{W}})-\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}}(\overline{\mathfrak{W}})=\frac{\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}}  \tag{6.20}\\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}} \\
\frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}} & \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}} & 2 \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{W}}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \forall \overline{\mathfrak{W}} \in H_{p e r}^{1}(\mathcal{Y})^{3}
$$

Now, starting from 6.15$)_{1}$ and taking into account the above equality 6.20 and 5.19 , for a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \overline{a_{i j k l}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \mathcal{E}_{w, i j}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \mathcal{E}_{w, k l}^{\vartheta}(\overline{\mathfrak{W}}) d \bar{X} \\
\leq & \left.C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left(\| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}} \overline{\mathfrak{W}}\right)\left\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\right\| \nabla_{\bar{X}} \overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}+\right\| \nabla_{\bar{X}} \overline{\mathfrak{W}} \|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\left(1+\left\|\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left.C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left\|\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}}(\overline{\mathfrak{W})})\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\left(\left\|\nabla_{\bar{X}} \overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}+\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}+\| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}} \overline{\left(\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}\right.}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, choose $\overline{\mathfrak{W}}=\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)$, due to 6 6.16) and (6.17) this gives

$$
\left.\| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta} \overline{\left(\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}\right.}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\left\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y }})}^{2} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\right\| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}} \overline{\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{Y})}\left(\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}\right) . . . . . .}
$$

Similarly we show that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta^{\prime}}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y }})}^{2} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left\|\mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta}\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y }})}\left(\vartheta+\frac{1}{\vartheta}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta} \overline{\left(\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}\right.}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y }})} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left(\vartheta+\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}\right)
$$

and then

$$
\left\|\left(\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{Y})} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left(\vartheta+\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}\right)^{2} .
$$

As a consequence, for a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$ the function $\theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m, \theta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is Lipschitz continuous on every segment included in $(0,+\infty)$. In the same way, we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \mathcal{E}_{w}^{\vartheta} \overline{\left(\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right) \|_{L^{2}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y } )}} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left(\vartheta+\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}\right),} \\
& \left\|\left(\overline{\chi_{b, \vartheta}^{\alpha \beta}}-\overline{\chi_{m, \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\|_{H^{1}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Y }})} \leq C\left|\vartheta-\vartheta^{\prime}\right|\left(\vartheta+\vartheta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\vartheta}+\frac{1}{\vartheta^{\prime}}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the functions $\theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{b, \theta}$ and $\theta \longmapsto A_{\alpha \beta \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{m b, \theta}$ are Lipschitz continuous on every segment included in $(0,+\infty)$.

As immediate consequence of the above lemma, we have
Theorem 6.3. The map $\theta \in(0,+\infty) \longmapsto \mathcal{U}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ is locally Lipchitz continuous for the strong topology of $\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$.

Remark 6.1. As in [16, Chapter 11] we can show that

- the map $\theta \longmapsto \mathcal{U}^{\theta}$ admits a limit $\mathcal{U}^{0} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)^{3}$ when $\theta$ goes to 0 . This limit corresponds to the case

$$
(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \rightarrow 0
$$

It can also be obtained if we first homogenize $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \delta$ being fixed, and then reduce the dimension $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

- the map $\theta \longmapsto \mathcal{U}^{\theta}$ admits a limit $\mathcal{U}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega) \sqrt{4}$ when $\theta$ goes to $+\infty$. This limit corresponds to the case

$$
(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow(0,0) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

It can also be obtained if we first reduce the dimension $\delta \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon$ being fixed, and then homogenize $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

### 6.4 The case of an isotropic and homogeneous material

In this subsection we assume that the plate is made of an isotropic and homogeneous material whose Lamé constants are $\lambda$ and $\mu$. In this case, one has

$$
a_{i j k l}=\lambda \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{k l}+\mu\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i k} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{j l}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i l} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{j k}\right)
$$

where the $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j}$ 's are the Kronecker symbols.
In this subsection, we denote $u_{\delta}$ the solution to problem (6.3). We first have the convergences in Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.1
Here, we easily obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}_{1}}{\partial X_{3}}=\frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}_{2}}{\partial X_{3}} 2=0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathfrak{U}_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+2 \mu}\left(-\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+X_{3} \Delta \mathcal{U}_{3}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 6.2 and the values of the $a_{i j k l}$ 's.
Theorem 6.4. The field $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$ is the unique solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\quad \frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}} \int_{\omega}\left[(1-\nu) e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{U}) e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{V})+\nu e_{\alpha \alpha}(\mathcal{U}) e_{\beta \beta}(\mathcal{V})\right]\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}  \tag{6.21}\\
+\frac{E}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \int_{\omega}(1-\nu)\left(e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{U}) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+e_{\alpha \beta}(\mathcal{V}) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+\frac{E}{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \int_{\omega} \nu\left(e_{\alpha \alpha}(\mathcal{U}) \Delta \mathcal{V}_{3}+e_{\alpha \alpha}(\mathcal{V}) \Delta \mathcal{U}_{3}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+\frac{E}{3\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \int_{\omega}\left[(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha} \partial x_{\beta}}+\nu \Delta \mathcal{U}_{3} \Delta \mathcal{V}_{3}\right]\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
=\int_{\omega} f \cdot \mathcal{V}\left(\phi+C_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\omega} g_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\phi^{3}+C_{0}^{3}\right) d x^{\prime} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega}\left(g_{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_{\alpha}-f_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_{3}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\right)\left(\phi^{2}-C_{0}^{2}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad \forall \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $E=\frac{\mu(3 \lambda+2 \mu)}{\lambda+\mu}$ is the Young modulus and $\nu=\frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda+\mu)}$ the Poisson constant.

[^2]Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta}\left(\sigma_{11}\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}}\left[e_{11}(\mathcal{U})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\nu\left(e_{22}(\mathcal{U})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)\right] \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta}\left(\sigma_{22}\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}}\left[e_{22}(\mathcal{U})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\nu\left(e_{11}(\mathcal{U})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)\right] \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta}\left(\sigma_{12}\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 2 \mu\left[e_{12}(\mathcal{U})-X_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right] \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega) \\
& \frac{1}{\delta} \Pi_{\delta}\left(\sigma_{i 3}\left(u_{\delta}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7 Complement. The case of a plate with a strongly oscillating top surface

Let us consider a function $\phi$ belonging to $W^{1, \infty}(\omega \times Y)$ such that for a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \omega$ the map $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \longmapsto$ $\phi\left(x^{\prime}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is 1-periodic with respect to $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)-\phi\left(z^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{0}\left(\left|x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right|_{2}+\left|X^{\prime}-Z^{\prime}\right|_{2}\right), \quad \forall\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \in(\overline{\omega \times Y})^{2} \\
& \phi\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 C_{0}, \quad \forall\left(x^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \in \omega \times Y, \quad C_{1}=\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega \times Y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{2}$ is the euclidian norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2}, K_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are strictly positive constants.
Now, the plate whose top surface oscillates strongly is the domain

$$
\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

with

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_{2}}{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Observe that $\Omega_{0, \delta} \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}$.
As in Section 4 we decompose every displacement $u$ belonging to $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)^{3}$ as the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual displacement

$$
u=U_{K L}+\mathfrak{u}
$$

We have
Theorem 7.1. Let $u$ be a displacement in $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)^{3}, 1<p<\infty$, decomposed as the sum of a Kirchhoff-Love displacement and a residual displacement. Assume that there exists a constant $C^{\diamond}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \leq C^{\diamond} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon \leq 1 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the terms $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|e_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathcal{U}_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \\
& \left\|D^{2} \mathcal{U}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}(\omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{1+1 / p}}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}  \tag{7.2}\\
& \|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)} \leq C \delta\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)},  \tag{7.3}\\
\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$.
Proof. The estimates 7.2 are proved in the same way as those of Theorem 4.1.
Now, for simplicity we suppose that the origin $O$ belongs to $\omega$. We transform the plate $\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}$ by the dilation $x=\varepsilon z$, $z \in \omega_{1 / \varepsilon}=1 / \varepsilon \omega$. The new plate is

$$
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\varepsilon \delta}=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \omega_{1 / \varepsilon} \times \mathbb{R} \left\lvert\,-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} C_{0}<z_{3}<\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right.\right\}
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(\varepsilon z_{1}, \varepsilon z_{2}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \quad \forall z^{\prime}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \bar{\omega}_{1 / \varepsilon}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\left|\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\Phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{0}\left(\varepsilon\left|z^{\prime}-t^{\prime}\right|_{2}+\left|z^{\prime}-t\right|_{2}\right) \leq 2 K_{0}\left|z^{\prime}-t^{\prime}\right|_{2}, \quad \forall\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \in \omega_{1 / \varepsilon}
$$

The plate $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\varepsilon \delta}$ has a thickness of order $\delta / \varepsilon$, the diameter of the domain $\omega_{1 / \varepsilon}$ is of order $1 / \varepsilon$. The proof of 7.3 ) follows the same lines as the proof of 4.3 (see Subsection 8.2 in the Appendix).

The question now is: what happens if we replace the assumptions 7.1 with the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(\delta, \varepsilon) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty ? \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates 7.2 remain valid. The top surface of the plate is now made by small beams. Below we give an example.
Choose $\omega=(0, L)^{2}, \varepsilon>0$ such that $\frac{L}{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\psi$ be the 1 -periodic function defined by

$$
\psi(t)= \begin{cases}3 t & \text { if } t \in[0,1 / 3] \\ 1 & \text { if } t \in[1 / 3,2 / 3] \\ 3(1-t) & \text { if } t \in[2 / 3,1]\end{cases}
$$

We define $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 C_{0}+\psi\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\varepsilon}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \bar{\omega}
$$

Denote

$$
\Omega_{\delta}^{d}=\omega \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, 2 \delta C_{0}\right), \quad \Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}^{u}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid 2 \delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Since $\Omega_{\delta}^{d}$ is a plate of thickness $3 \delta C_{0}$ we have

$$
\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}^{d}\right)} \leq C \delta\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}, \quad\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\delta$. The domain $\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}^{u}$ is made of thin beams whose lengths are $\delta$ and thickness of order $\varepsilon$. Using the results of [20] we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathfrak{u}_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}+\left\|\mathfrak{u}_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}^{u}\right)} \leq C \frac{\delta^{2}}{\varepsilon}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}, \quad\left\|\mathfrak{u}_{3}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}^{u}\right)} \leq C\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)} \\
& \|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}^{u}\right)} \leq C \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\|e(u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon \delta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The constants do not depend on $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$.

## 8 Appendix

### 8.1 Simple star-shaped domains

Lemma 8.1. Let $B_{\delta R} \subset \omega$ be a domain of diameter less than $3 \delta R$, star-shaped with respect to to the disc $D\left(O, \delta R_{1}\right)$. If $R_{1} \leq R \leq \frac{C_{0}}{2 K_{0}}$ then the domain

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in B_{\delta R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

is star-shaped with respect to the ball $B\left(O, \delta R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{\prime}=\min \left\{C_{0}, R_{1}\right\} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$ has a diameter less than $\delta\left(3 R+2 C_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ be in $\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$ such that $a_{3} \geq 0$ and $M=\left(x_{1}, x_{2},, \delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ a point on the top surface. Consider the point $A_{\lambda}$ of the interval $[A, M)$

$$
A_{\lambda}=(1-\lambda) A+\lambda M, \quad \lambda \in[0,1)
$$

One has

$$
\left|\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\phi\left((1-\lambda) a^{\prime}+\lambda x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{0}(1-\lambda)\left|x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right|_{2}, \quad x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad a^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-K_{0}(1-\lambda)\left|x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right|_{2} \leq \phi\left((1-\lambda) a^{\prime}+\lambda x^{\prime}\right) \leq \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+K_{0}(1-\lambda)\left|x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right|_{2}
$$

The point $A_{\lambda}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$ if

$$
(1-\lambda) a_{3}+\lambda \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq \delta \phi\left((1-\lambda) a^{\prime}+\lambda x^{\prime}\right)
$$

A sufficient condition to get $A_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$, for all $\lambda \in[0,1)$, is

$$
(1-\lambda) a_{3}+\lambda \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\delta K_{0}(1-\lambda)\left|x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right|_{2}
$$

for all $\left(x^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) \in B_{\delta R} \times B_{\delta R}$. So, the above condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3} \leq \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\delta K_{0}\left|x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right|_{2}, \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in B_{\delta R} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $a_{3} \in\left[0, \delta C_{0}\right]$, we recall that $\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 C_{0}$, hence a sufficient condition is

$$
\delta C_{0} \leq 2 \delta C_{0}-2 \delta R K_{0}
$$

Condition met.
Obviously, if $\left.a_{3} \in\left[-\delta C_{0}, 0\right], 8.2\right]$ is satisfied. We have proved that any interval $[A, M)$ from a point $A \in B\left(O, \delta R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ to a point $M$ on the top surface is included in $\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$. Similarly, we can prove that any interval $[A, M)$ from a point $A \in B\left(O, \delta R_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ to a point $M$ on the bottom surface is included in $\mathcal{D}_{\delta R}$. The lemma is proved.

Let $f$ be a function belonging to $W^{1, \infty}\left(-a_{0}, a_{0}\right), a_{0}>0$, satisfying

$$
\left|f(t)-f\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{1}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, \quad \forall\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in\left[-a_{0}, a_{0}\right]^{2}
$$

For every $x_{0} \in\left[-a_{0}, a_{0}\right]$ and $a \in\left(0,2 a_{0}\right)$ such that $-a_{0} \leq x_{0}<x_{0}+a \leq a_{0}$, the domains $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{t o p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{\text {bottom }}$ (see Figure 11 defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{t o p} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{0}+a, \quad f\left(x_{0}\right)-\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a<x_{2}<f\left(x_{1}\right)\right\},  \tag{8.3}\\
& \mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{\text {bottom }} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{0}+a, \quad f\left(x_{1}\right)<x_{2}<f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

are star-shaped with respect to balls of radius $a / 2$ (or less) and have a diameter less than $\left(3 K_{1}+2\right) a$.

### 8.2 Proof of 4.3)

### 8.2.1 Interior estimates

Set $R_{0}=\frac{C_{0}}{2 K_{0}}, Z=\left(-R_{0}, R_{0}\right)^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Xi_{\delta} \doteq\left\{\xi \in 2 R_{0} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid \delta \xi+\delta Z \subset \omega\right\}, \quad \widetilde{\omega}_{\delta} \doteq \operatorname{Interior}\left(\bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi_{\delta}} \delta \xi+\delta \bar{Z}\right) \\
& \widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \widetilde{\omega}_{\delta} \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{0, \delta, \xi}=(\delta \xi+\delta Z) \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta C_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in(\delta \xi+\delta Z) \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the domain $\delta \xi+\delta Z$ is star-shaped with respect to the disc $D\left(\delta \xi, \delta R_{0}\right)$.Then, due to Lemma 8.1, the domain $\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}$ has a diameter less than $\delta\left(3 R_{0}+2 C_{1}\right)$ and is star-shaped with respect to the ball $B\left(\varepsilon \xi, \delta \min \left\{C_{0}, R_{0}\right\}\right)$.

Now, Theorem 2.3 in [13] gives a rigid displacement $\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\left(\mathbf{r}_{\xi}(x)=\mathbf{a}_{\xi}+\mathbf{B}_{\xi}(x-\delta \xi), x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbf{a}_{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbf{B}_{\xi}\right.$ is a $3 \times 3$ antisymmetric matrix with constant entries) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla\left(\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} \leq C^{*}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p}, \quad\left\|\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} \leq C^{*} \delta\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C^{*}$ only depends on the ratio $\frac{3 R_{0}+2 C_{1}}{\min \left\{C_{0}, R_{0}\right\}}$.
Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
8 \delta^{3} R_{0}^{2} C_{0}\left|\mathbf{B}_{\xi}\right|_{F}^{p}=\left\|\nabla \mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p}+\left\|\nabla\left(\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p}\right), \\
8 \delta^{3} R_{0}^{2} C_{0}\left|\mathbf{a}_{\xi}\right|_{2}^{p}=\left\|\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p}+\left\|\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{F}$ is the Frobenius norm.
Since $\mathbf{r}_{\xi}$ is a affine function with respect to $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla \mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} \leq C^{* *}\left\|\nabla \mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p}, \quad\left\|\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} \leq C^{* *}\left\|\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{0, \xi}\right)}^{p} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C^{* *}$ only depends on the ratio $\frac{C_{1}}{C_{0}}$.
Besides we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(\left\|\nabla \mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p}+\left\|\nabla\left(\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p}\right) \\
\|u \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(\left\|\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}+\left\|\mathfrak{u}-\mathbf{r}_{\xi}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\delta, \xi}\right)}\right) \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

So, (8.4-8.5)-8.6) and then summing the above inequalities 8.7) $\left(\xi \in \Xi_{\delta}\right)$ and due to the estimates of $\widetilde{u}$ (see $\left(3.3{ }_{3}\right.$ and remind that $\mathfrak{u}=\widetilde{u}$ in $\Omega_{0, \delta}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)}^{p} \leq \mathbf{C}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}^{p}, \quad\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)}^{p} \leq \mathbf{C} \delta^{p}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}^{p} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\mathbf{C}$ only depends on $K_{0}, C_{0}, C_{1}$ and obviously on $p$.

### 8.2.2 Boundary layer estimates

The domain $\widetilde{\omega}_{\delta}$ covers a large part of $\omega$. One has

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{\omega}_{\delta}, \partial \omega\right) \leq 3 \delta R_{0}
$$

Since the boundary of $\omega$ is Lipshitz, there exist constants $a_{0}$ and $\beta$ strictly positive and a finite number $N$ of local coordinate systems $\left(x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}\right)$ in orthonormal frames $\left(O_{n} ; \mathbf{e}_{1 n}, \mathbf{e}_{2 n}\right)$ and Lipschitz continuous maps $f_{n}$ : $\left[-a_{0}, a_{0}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq n \leq N$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \omega=\bigcup_{n=1}^{N}\left\{\left(x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}\left|x_{2 n}=f_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right), \quad\right| x_{1 n} \mid<a_{0}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{n, \beta} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}\left|f_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right)-\beta<x_{2 n}<f_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right), \quad\right| x_{1 n} \mid<a_{0}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\beta} \doteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{A}_{n, \beta} \subset \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

One easily shows that there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\omega \backslash \overline{\widetilde{\omega}_{\delta}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\beta}, \quad \forall \delta \in\left(0, \delta_{0}\right]
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\left|f_{n}(t)-f_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq K_{1}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, \quad \forall\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in\left[-a_{0}, a_{0}\right]^{2}, \quad \forall n \in\{1, \ldots, N .\}
$$

Now, set

$$
x_{k}=-a_{0}+k a_{\delta}, \quad k \in\left\{0, \ldots, N_{\delta}-1\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{N_{\delta}}=a_{0}-a_{\delta}
$$

where $N_{\delta}=\left[\frac{2 a_{0}}{a_{\delta}}\right],[t] \in \mathbb{N}$ is the integer part of $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The strictly positive real number $a_{\delta} \in\left(0,2 a_{0}\right]$ will be given below by 8.9.
Denote

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta} \doteq\left\{\left(x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}
x_{k}<x_{1 n}<x_{k}+a_{\delta}, \\
f_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right)-\beta<x_{2 n}<f_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right),
\end{array}\right.\right\}, \quad k \in\left\{0, \ldots, N_{\delta}\right\},
$$



Figure 1: The two domains $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{\text {top }}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{\text {botom }}$.
We cover every strip $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta}$ by the domains (see 8.3 )

- $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{t o p}$ (see Figure 1 ) where $f$ is replaced by $f_{n}$, a by $a_{\delta}, x_{0}$ by $x_{k}, k \in\left\{0, \ldots, N_{\delta}\right\}$,
- $\mathcal{D}_{a, x_{0}}^{\text {bottom }}$ (see Figure 1 ) where $f$ is replaced by $f_{n}-\beta$, a by $a_{\delta}, x_{0}$ by $x_{k}, k \in\left\{0, \ldots, N_{\delta}\right\}$,
- the remaining parts of the strips

$$
\left\{\left(x_{1 n}, x_{2 n}\right) \in\left(x_{k}, x_{k}+a_{\delta}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \mid f_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)+\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a_{\delta}-\beta<x_{2 n}<f_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)-\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a_{\delta}\right\}
$$

are covered by $\left[\frac{\beta-2\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a_{\delta}}{a_{\delta}}\right]+1^{5}$ squares whose edges have length $a_{\delta}$.
We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\delta}=\frac{3 C_{0}}{\left(3 K_{1}+2\right) K_{0}} \delta, \quad 0<\delta \leq \inf \left\{\delta_{0}, \frac{2\left(3 K_{1}+2\right) K_{0}}{3 C_{0}} a_{0}, \frac{\left(3 K_{1}+2\right) K_{0}}{6\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) C_{0}} \beta\right\} . \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $a_{\delta} \leq 2 a_{0},\left(3 K_{1}+2\right) a_{\delta}=3 \delta \frac{C_{0}}{K_{0}} \leq 3 \delta R_{0}, 2\left(2 K_{1}+1\right) a_{\delta} \leq \beta$.
So, the strip $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta}$ is covered by domains star-shaped with respect to balls of radii $a_{\delta} / 2$ and whose diameters are

[^3]less than $3 \delta R_{0}$. Each point in $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta}$ belongs to at most two domains of the covering. Then, proceeding as in Subsection 8.2.1, we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, k, \delta}\right)}^{p} \leq \mathbf{C}^{*}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, k, \delta}\right)}^{p}, \quad\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, k, \delta}\right)}^{p} \leq \mathbf{C}^{*} \delta^{p}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, k, \delta}\right)}^{p} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n, k, \delta}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta} \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

The constant $\mathbf{C}^{*}$ only depends on $K_{1}, K_{0}, C_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}$.
Every point contained in $\mathcal{A}_{n, \beta}$ belongs to at most two $\mathcal{A}_{n, k, \delta}$. Then, summing the above inequalities lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\| \nabla \mathfrak{u})\left\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, \beta, \delta}\right)}^{p} \leq 2 \mathbf{C}^{*}\right\| e(\mathfrak{u})\left\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}^{p}, \quad\right\| \mathfrak{u}\left\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n, \beta, \delta}\right)}^{p} \leq 2 \mathbf{C}^{*} \delta^{p}\right\| e(\mathfrak{u}) \|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}^{p} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n, \beta, \delta}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{n, \beta} \times \mathbb{R} \mid-\delta C_{0}<x_{3}<\delta \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

Finally, summing the inequalities (8.8) and 8.11) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C^{* *}\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}, \quad\|\mathfrak{u}\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \leq C^{* *} \delta\|e(\mathfrak{u})\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant does not depend on $\delta$, it depends on $N, K_{1}, K_{0}, C_{0}, C_{1}, \delta_{0}$ and $p$.
Note that in the proof of the estimates 4.3), the dimensional parameters $a_{0}, \beta$ and the diameter of $\omega$ are not involved.

### 8.3 The extension operator $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}$

Set

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta} \doteq \omega \times\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta C_{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 8.2. There exist an extension operator $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}$ from $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, into $W^{1, p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)$ such that for all $\Phi \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)} \leq\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \\
& \left\|\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)}{\partial x_{1}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\omega \times\left(-C_{0},+\infty\right)\right)} \leq C\|\Phi\|_{W^{1, p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}, \\
& \left\|\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)}{\partial x_{3}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}\right)} \leq C\left(\|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}+\frac{1}{\delta}\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant $C$ is independent of $\delta$.
Proof. Every measurable function $\Phi$ in $\Omega_{\delta}$ is extended as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\Phi\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) & \text { for a.e. } x_{3} \in\left(-\delta C_{0}, \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=\Phi\left(x^{\prime}, 2 \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3}\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x_{3}}{\delta C_{0}}\right) & \text { for a.e. } x_{3} \in\left(\delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\delta C_{0}\right), \\
\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right)=0 & \text { for a.e. } x_{3} \in\left(\delta \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\delta C_{0},+\infty\right) \\
& \text { and for a.e. } x^{\prime} \in \omega .
\end{array}
$$

By construction $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)=\Phi$ a.e. in $\Omega_{\delta}$. Then, we consider the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}(\Phi)=0$ to the domain $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\delta}$. A straightforward calculations gives the estimates of the lemma.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2},\left[x^{\prime}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is the integer par of $x^{\prime}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Remind that for a.e. $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have $x^{\prime}=\left[x^{\prime}\right]+\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ with $\left[x^{\prime}\right] \in Z^{2}$ and $\left\{x^{\prime}\right\} \in Y$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ for the strong topology of $\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$
    ${ }^{4}$ for the strong topology of $\mathbb{D}_{M}(\omega)$

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ If this integer is strictly greater than 1 , otherwise these parts are empty.

