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#### Abstract

We prove that for any $\varepsilon>0$, for any large enough $t$, there is a graph $G$ that admits no $K_{t}$-minor but admits a $\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right) t$-colouring that is "frozen" with respect to Kempe changes, i.e. any two colour classes induce a connected component. This disproves three conjectures of Las Vergnas and Meyniel from 1981.


## 1 Introduction

In an attempt to prove the Four Colour Theorem in 1879, Kempe [Kem79] introduced an elementary operation on the colourings ${ }^{1}$ of a graph that became known as a Kempe change. Given a $k$-colouring $\alpha$ of a graph $G$, a Kempe chain is a maximal bichromatic component ${ }^{2}$. A Kempe change in $\alpha$ corresponds to swapping the two colours of a Kempe chain so as to obtain another $k$-colouring. Two $k$-colourings are Kempe equivalent if one can be obtained from the other through a series of Kempe changes.

The study of Kempe changes has a vast history, see e.g. [Moh06] for a comprehensive overview or [BBFJ19] for a recent result on general graphs. We refer the curious reader to the relevant chapter of a 2013 survey by Cereceda [vdH13]. Kempe equivalence falls within the wider setting of combinatorial reconfiguration, which [vdH13] is also an excellent introduction to. Perhaps surprisingly, Kempe equivalence has direct applications in approximate counting and applications in statistical physics (see e.g. [Sok00, MS09] for nice overviews). Closer to graph theory, Kempe equivalence can be studied with a goal of obtaining a random colouring by applying random walks and rapidly mixing Markov chains, see e.g. [Vig00].

Kempe changes were introduced as a mere tool, and are decisive in the proof of Vizing's edge colouring theorem [Viz64]. However, the equivalence class they define on the set of $k$ colourings is itself highly interesting. In which cases is there a single equivalence class? In which cases does every equivalence class contain a colouring that uses the minimum number of colours? Vizing conjectured in 1965 [Viz68] that the second scenario should be true in every line graph, no matter the choice of $k$. Despite partial results [Asr09, AC16], this conjecture remains wildly open.

[^0]In the setting of planar graphs, Meyniel proved in 1977 [Mey78] that all 5-colourings form a unique Kempe equivalence class. The result was then extended to all $K_{5}$-minor-free graphs in 1979 by Las Vergnas and Meyniel [LVM81]. They conjectured the following, which can be seen as a reconfiguration counterpoint to Hadwiger's conjecture, though it neither implies it nor is implied by it.

Conjecture 1.1 (Conjecture A in [LVM81]). For everyt, all the t-colourings of a graph with no $K_{t}$-minor form a single equivalence class.

They also proposed a related conjecture that is weaker assuming Hadwiger's conjecture holds.

Conjecture 1.2 (Conjecture A' in [LVM81]). For every t and every graph with no $K_{t}$-minor, every equivalence class of $t$-colourings contains some $(t-1)$-colouring.

Here, we disprove both Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2, as follows.
Theorem 1.3. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and for any large enough $t$, there is a graph with no $K_{t}$-minor, whose $\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right) t$-colourings are not all Kempe equivalent.

In fact, we prove that for every $\varepsilon>0$ and for any large enough $t$, there is a graph $G$ that does not admit a $K_{t}$-minor but admits a $\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right) t$-colouring that is frozen; Any pair of colours induce a connected component, so that no Kempe change can modify the colour partition. To obtain Theorem 1.3, we then argue that the graph admits a colouring with a different colour partition. The notion of frozen $k$-colouring is related to the notion of quasi- $K_{p}$-minor, introduced in [LVM81]. A graph $G$ admits a $K_{p}$-minor if it admits $p$ non-empty, pairwise disjoint and connected bags $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{p} \subset V(G)$ such that for any $i \neq j$, there is an edge between some vertex in $B_{i}$ and some vertex in $B_{j}$. For the notion of quasi- $K_{p}$-minor, we drop the restriction that each $B_{i}$ should induce a connected subgraph of $G$, and replace it with the condition that for any $i \neq j$, the set $B_{i} \cup B_{j}$ induces a connected subgraph of $G$. If the graph $G$ admits a frozen $p$-colouring, then it trivially admits a quasi- $K_{p}$-minor ${ }^{3}$, while the converse may not be true. If all $p$-colourings of a graph form a single equivalence class, then either there is no frozen $p$-colouring or there is a unique $p$-colouring of the graph up to colour permutation. The latter situation in a graph with no $K_{p}$-minor would disprove Hadwiger's conjecture, so Las Vergnas and Meyniel conjectured that there is no frozen $p$-colouring in that case. Namely, they conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1.4 (Conjecture C in [LVM81]). For anyt, any graph that admits a quasi- $K_{t}$-minor admits a $K_{t}$-minor.

Conjecture 1.4 is known to hold for $t \leqslant 8$ [Jør94]. As discussed above, we strongly disprove Conjecture 1.4 for large $t$. It is unclear how large $t$ needs to be for a counter-example.

Theorem 1.5. For every $\varepsilon>0$ and for any large enough $t$, there is a graph $G$ that admits $a$ quasi- $K_{t}$-minor but does not admit a $K_{\left(\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon\right) t}$-minor.

Trivially, every graph that admits a quasi- $K_{2 t}$-minor admits a $K_{t}$-minor. We leave the following two open questions, noting that $\frac{2}{3} \geqslant c \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $c^{\prime} \geqslant \frac{3}{2}$.
Question 1.6. What is the infimum $c$ such that for any large enough $t$, there is a graph $G$ that admits a quasi- $K_{t}$-minor but no $K_{c t}$-minor?
Question 1.7. Is there a constant $c^{\prime}$ such that for every $t$, all the $c^{\prime} \cdot t$-colourings of a graph with no $K_{t}$-minor form a single equivalence class?

[^1]
## 2 Construction

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\eta>0$. We build a random graph $G_{n}$ on vertex set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ : for every $i \neq j$ independently, we select one pair uniformly at random among $\left\{\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right),\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right),\left(b_{i}, a_{j}\right),\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)\right\}$ and add the three other pairs as edges to the graph $G_{n}$.

Note that the sets $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}$ form a quasi- $K_{n}$-minor, as for every $i \neq j$, the set $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, a_{j}, b_{j}\right\}$ induces a path on four vertices in $G_{n}$, hence is connected.

Our goal is to argue that if $n$ is sufficiently large then with high probability the graph $G_{n}$ does not admit any $K_{\left(\frac{2}{3}+\eta\right) n}$-minor. This will yield Theorem 1.5 . To additionally obtain Theorem 1.3, we need to argue that with high probability, $G_{n}$ admits an $n$-colouring with a different colour partition than the natural one, where the colour classes are of the form $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$. Informally, we can observe that each of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ induces a graph behaving like a graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n, \frac{3}{4}}$ (i.e. each edge exists with probability $\frac{3}{4}$ ) though the two processes are not independent. This argument indicates that $\chi\left(G_{n}\right)=O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$, but we prefer a simpler, more pedestrian approach.

Assume that for some $i, j, k, \ell$, none of the edges $a_{i} b_{j}, a_{j} b_{k}, a_{k} b_{\ell}$ and $a_{\ell} b_{i}$ exist. Then the graph $G_{n}$ admits an $n$-colouring $\alpha$ where $\alpha\left(a_{p}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{p}\right)=p$ for every $p \notin\{i, j, k, \ell\}$ and $\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{j}\right)=i, \alpha\left(a_{j}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{k}\right)=j, \alpha\left(a_{k}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{\ell}\right)=k$ and $\alpha\left(a_{\ell}\right)=\alpha\left(b_{i}\right)=\ell$ (see Figure 1 ). Since every quadruple ( $i, j, k, \ell$ ) has a positive and constant probability of satisfying this property, $G_{n}$ contains such a quadruple with overwhelmingly high probability when $n$ is large.


Figure 1: A different $n$-colouring given an appropriate quadruple.
We are now ready to prove that the probability that $G_{n}$ admits a $K_{\left(\frac{2}{3}+\eta\right) n}$-minor tends to 0 as $n$ grows to infinity. We consider three types of $K_{p}$-minors in $G$, depending on the size of the bags involved. If every bag is of size 1 , we say that it is a simple $K_{p}$-minor - in fact, it is a subgraph. If every bag is of size 2 , we say it is a double $K_{p}$-minor. If every bag is of size at least 3, we say it is a triple $K_{p}$-minor. We prove three claims, as follows.

Claim 2.1. For any $\varepsilon>0, \mathbb{P}\left(G_{n}\right.$ contains a simple $K_{\varepsilon n}$-minor $) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Claim 2.2. For any $\varepsilon>0, \mathbb{P}\left(G_{n}\right.$ contains a double $K_{\varepsilon n}$-minor $) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Claim 2.3. $G_{n}$ does not contain a triple $K_{\frac{2}{3} n+1}$-minor.
Claims 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are proved in Sections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. If a graph admits a $K_{p}$-minor, then in particular it admits a simple $K_{a}$-minor, a double $K_{b}$-minor and a triple $K_{c}$-minor such that $a+b+c \geqslant p$. Combining Claims 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, we derive the desired conclusion.

### 2.1 No large simple minor

Proof of Claim 2.1. Let $S$ be a subset of $k$ vertices of $G_{n}$. The probability that $S$ induces a clique in $G_{n}$ is at most $\left(\frac{3}{4} 4\right)^{\binom{k}{2}}$. Indeed, if $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\} \subseteq S$ for some $i$, then the probability is 0 . Otherwise, $\left|S \cap\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}\right| \leqslant 1$ for every $i$, so we have $G[S] \in \mathcal{G}_{k, \frac{3}{4}}$, i.e. edges exist independently with probability $\frac{3}{4}$. Therefore, the probability that $S$ induces a clique is $\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}}$.

By union-bound, the probability that some subset on $k$ vertices induces a clique is at most $\left.\binom{2 n}{k} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{3}{4}\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}k \\ 2\end{array}\right)$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we note that $\binom{2 n}{\varepsilon n} \leqslant 2^{2 n}$. Therefore, the probability that $G_{n}$ contains a simple $K_{\varepsilon n}$-minor is at most $2^{2 n} \cdot\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\binom{\varepsilon n}{2}}$, which tends to 0 as $n$ grows to infinity.

### 2.2 No large double minor

Proof of Claim 2.2. Let $S^{\prime}$ be a subset of $k$ pairwise disjoint pairs of vertices in $G_{n}$ such that for every $i$, at most one of $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ is involved in $S^{\prime}$.

We consider the probability that $G_{n} / S^{\prime}$ induces a clique, where $G_{n} / S^{\prime}$ is defined as the graph obtained from $G_{n}$ by considering only vertices involved in some pair of $S^{\prime}$ and identifying the vertices in each pair.

We consider two distinct pairs $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ of $S^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=\left\{a_{i}, a_{j}, a_{k}, a_{\ell}\right\}$ for some $i, j, k, \ell$. The probability that there is an edge between $\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{2}, y_{2}\right\}$ is $1-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{4}$. In other words, $\mathbb{P}\left(E\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\emptyset\right)=\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{4}$ and since at most one of $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ is involved in $S^{\prime}$ for all $i$, all such events are mutually independent. Therefore, the probability that $S^{\prime}$ yields a quasi- $K_{\left|S^{\prime}\right|}-$ minor is $\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{4}\right)^{\left(\left|S_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right)}$.

For any $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$, the number of candidates for $S^{\prime}$ is at $\operatorname{most}\binom{2 n}{2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n}$ (the number of choices for a ground set of $2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n$ vertices) times $\left(2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n\right)$ ! (a rough upper bound on the number of ways to pair them). Note that $\binom{2 n}{2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n} \cdot\left(2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n\right)!\leqslant(2 n)^{2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n}$. We derive that the probability that there is a set $S^{\prime}$ of size $\varepsilon^{\prime} n$ such that $G_{n} / S^{\prime}=K_{\left|S^{\prime}\right|}$ is at most $(2 n)^{2 \varepsilon^{\prime} n} \cdot\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{4}\right)^{\binom{\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime} n}{2}}$, which tends to 0 as $n$ grows large.

Consider a double $K_{k}$-minor $S$ of $G_{n}$. Note that no pair in $S$ is equal to $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ (for any $i$ ), as every bag induces a connected subgraph in $G_{n}$. We build greedily a maximal subset $S^{\prime} \subseteq S$ such that $S^{\prime}$ involves at most one vertex out of every set of type $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$. Note that $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \frac{|S|}{3}$. By taking $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ in the above analysis, we obtain that the probability that there is a set $S$ of $\varepsilon n$ pairs that induces a quasi- $K_{|S|}$-minor tends to 0 as $n$ grows large.

### 2.3 No large triple minor

Proof of Claim 2.3. The graph $G_{n}$ has $2 n$ vertices, and a triple $K_{k}$-minor involves at least $3 k$ vertices. It follows that if $G_{n}$ contains a triple $K_{k}$-minor then $k \leqslant \frac{2 n}{3}$.
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    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout this paper, all colourings are proper, i.e. no two vertices with the same colour are adjacent.
    ${ }^{2}$ If a vertex of $G$ is coloured 1 and has no neighbour coloured 2 in $\alpha$, then it forms a Kempe chain of size 1 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ One bag for each colour class.

