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# COMPACTNESS OF THE SET OF SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN 2 DIMENSIONS 

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA


#### Abstract

We study the behavior of solutions to elliptic equations in 2 dimensions. In particular, we show that the set of solutions is compact under a Lipschitz condition.


## 1. Introduction

Let us define the operator

$$
e_{\epsilon}^{L}:=\Delta+\epsilon\left(x_{1} \partial_{1}+x_{2} \partial_{2}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{div}\left[a_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla\right]}{a_{\epsilon}(x)}, \quad \text { with } \quad a_{\epsilon}(x)=e^{\epsilon|x|^{2} / 2}
$$

We consider the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u-\epsilon\left(x_{1} \partial_{1} u+x_{2} \partial_{2} u\right)=-L_{\epsilon} u=V e^{u} \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
u=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a starshaped set, $u \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega), e^{u} \in L^{1}(\Omega), 0 \leq V \leq b, 1 \geq \epsilon \geq 0$.
For $\epsilon=0$ equation (1.1) has been studied by many authors with and without the boundary condition. This equation also has been studied in Riemann surfaces; see [1]-[20], where one can find some existence and compactness results. Also we have a nice formulation in the sense of the distributions of this problem in [7]. Among the known results we find the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Brezis-Merle [6]). If $\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $\left(V_{i}\right)$ are two sequences of functions in problem (1.1) with $\epsilon=0$, and

$$
0<a \leq V_{i} \leq b<+\infty
$$

then for all compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ it holds

$$
\sup _{K} u_{i} \leq c,
$$

with $c$ depending on $a, b, K$ and $\Omega$.
We can find an interior estimate if we assume $a=0$, but we need an assumption on the integral of $e^{u_{i}}$.

[^0]Theorem 1.2 (Brezis-Merle [6]). Let $\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $\left(V_{i}\right)$ two sequences of functions in problem (1.1) with

$$
0 \leq V_{i} \leq b<+\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} d y \leq C
$$

Then, for all compact subset $K$ of $\Omega$ it holds

$$
\sup _{K} u_{i} \leq c,
$$

with $c$ depending on $b, C, K$ and $\Omega$.
The condition $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} d y \leq C$ is a necessary in Problem 1.1 as showed by the following statement for $\epsilon=0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Brezis-Merle [6]). There are sequences $\left(u_{i}\right)$ and $\left(V_{i}\right)$ in problem (1.1) with

$$
0 \leq V_{i} \leq b<+\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} d y \leq C
$$

such that $\sup _{\Omega} u_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$.
To obtain Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 Brezis and Merle used an inequality [6, Theorem 1] obtained by an approximation argument, Fatou's lemma, and the maximum principle in $W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)$, which arises from Kato's inequality. Also this weak form of the maximum principle is used to prove the local uniform boundedness result by comparing a certain function and the Newtonian potential. We refer the reader to [5] for information about the weak form of the maximum principle.

Note that for problem (1.1), by using the Pohozaev identity, we can prove that $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}}$ is uniformly bounded when $0<a \leq V_{i} \leq b<+\infty,\left\|\nabla V_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq A$, and $\Omega$ starshaped. When $a=0$ and $\nabla \log V_{i}$ is uniformly bounded, we can find a uniform bound for $\int_{\Omega} V_{i} e^{u_{i}}$.

Ma-Wei [17] proved that those results remain valid for all open sets not necessarily starshaped when $a>0$. Chen-Li [9] proved that if $a=0, \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}}$ is uniformly bounded, and $\nabla \log V_{i}$ is uniformly bounded, then $\left(u_{i}\right)$ is bounded near the boundary and we have directly the compactness result for the problem (1.1]. Ma-Wei [17] extend this result in the case where $a>0$.

When $\epsilon=0$ and if we assume $V$ more regular we can have another type of estimates called sup + inf type inequalities. It was proved by Shafrir [19] that, if $\left(u_{i}\right),\left(V_{i}\right)$ are two sequences of solutions to Problem $\sqrt{1.1}$, without assumption on the boundary and $0<a \leq V_{i} \leq b<+\infty$, then it holds

$$
C\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \sup _{K} u_{i}+\inf _{\Omega} u_{i} \leq c=c(a, b, K, \Omega) .
$$

We find in [10] the explicit value $C(a / b)=\sqrt{a / b}$. In his proof, Shafrir [19] used the blow-up function, the Stokes formula and an isoperimetric inequality. Chen-Lin [10] used the blow-up analysis combined with some geometric type inequality for obtaining the integral curvature.

Now, if we assume $\left(V_{i}\right)$ is uniformly Lipschitzian with constant $A$, then $C(a / b)=$ 1 and $c=c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)$ see Brezis-Li-Shafrir [4]. This result was extended for Hölderian sequences $\left(V_{i}\right)$ by Chen-Lin [10]. Also we have in [15], an extension of the Brezis-Li-Shafrir result to compact Riemannian surfaces without boundary. One can see in [17] an explicit form, $\left(8 \pi m, m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right.$ exactly), for the numbers in front of the Dirac masses when the solutions blow-up. Here the notion of isolated
blow-up point is used. Also one can find in 11 refined estimates near the isolated blow-up points and the bubbling behavior of the blow-up sequences.

Here we study the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary, and give a compactness result with Lipschitz condition. Note that our problem is an extension of the Brezis-Merle Problem.

Brezis-Merle Problem [6]. Suppose that $V_{i} \rightarrow V$ in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq V_{i}$, and consider a sequence of solutions $\left(u_{i}\right)$ of (1.1) relative to $\left(V_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} d x \leq C
$$

Is it possible to have

$$
\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C=C(b, C, V, \Omega) ?
$$

Here we give a blow-up analysis on the boundary when $V_{i}$ are nonnegative and bounded (similar to the prescribed curvature when $\epsilon=0$ ). On the other hand, if we add the assumption that these functions (similar to the prescribed curvature) are uniformly Lipschitzian, we have a compactness of the solutions of problem (1.1) for $\epsilon$ small enough. (In particular we can take a sequence of $\epsilon_{i}$ tending to 0 ).

For the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary, the following condition is sufficient,

$$
0 \leq V_{i} \leq b
$$

The condition $V_{i} \rightarrow V$ in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is not necessary. But for the compactness of the solutions we add the condition

$$
\left\|\nabla V_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq A
$$

Our main results read as follows.
Theorem 1.4. Assume that $\max _{\Omega} u_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\left(u_{i}\right)$ are solutions of 1.1 with $\epsilon=\epsilon_{i}$ and

$$
0 \leq V_{i} \leq b, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} d x \leq C, \quad \epsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then, after passing to a subsequence, there are a function $u$, a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $N$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \partial \Omega$, such that

$$
\partial_{\nu} u_{i} \rightarrow \partial_{\nu} u+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \quad \alpha_{j} \geq 4 \pi
$$

in the sense of measures on $\partial \Omega$, and

$$
u_{i} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.5. Assume that $\left(u_{i}\right)$ are solutions of (1.1) with $\epsilon=\epsilon_{i}$, and

$$
0 \leq V_{i} \leq b, \quad\left\|\nabla V_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq A, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}} \leq C, \quad \epsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then

$$
\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq c(b, A, C, \Omega)
$$

## 2. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First we remark that

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u_{i}=\epsilon_{i}\left(x_{1} \partial_{1} u_{i}+x_{2} \partial_{2} u_{i}\right)+V_{i} e^{u_{i}} \in L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
u_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega . \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $u_{i} \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega)$.
By [6, Corollary 1] we have $e^{u_{i}} \in L^{k}(\Omega)$ for all $k>2$ and the elliptic estimates of Agmon and the Sobolev embedding see [1] imply that

$$
u_{i} \in W^{2, k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1, \epsilon}(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

Also we remark that for two positive constants $C_{q}=C(q, \Omega)$ and $C_{1}=C_{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{q}\left\|\Delta u_{i}\right\|_{L^{1}} \leq\left(C_{q}^{\prime}+\epsilon C_{1}\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right), \quad \forall i \text { and } 1<q<2
$$

(see [7]). Thus, if $\epsilon>0$ is small enough and by Holder's inequality,

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{q}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \forall i \text { and } 1<q<2
$$

Step 1: Interior estimate. First we consider the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta w_{i}=\epsilon_{i}\left(x_{1} \partial_{1} u_{i}+x_{2} \partial_{2} u_{i}\right) \in L^{q}, \quad 1<q<2 \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
w_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we consider $v_{i}$ as the Newtonnian potential of $\epsilon_{i}\left(x_{1} \partial_{1} u_{i}+x_{2} \partial_{2} u_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
v_{i} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \Delta\left(w_{i}-v_{i}\right)=0
$$

By the maximum principle $w_{i}-v_{i} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ and thus $w_{i} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$.
Also we have by elliptic estimates that $w_{i} \in W^{2,1+\epsilon} \subset L^{\infty}$, and we can write the equation of the Problem as

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta\left(u_{i}-w_{i}\right)=\tilde{V}_{i} e^{u_{i}-w_{i}} \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{2.3}\\
u_{i}-w_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
0 \leq \tilde{V}_{i}=V_{i} e^{w_{i}} \leq \tilde{b}, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}-w_{i}} \leq \tilde{C}
$$

We apply the Brezis-Merle theorem to $u_{i}-w_{i}$ to have $u_{i}-w_{i} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and, thus $u_{i} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Step2: Boundary estimate. Let $\partial_{\nu} u_{i}$ be the inner derivative of $u_{i}$. By the maximum principle $\partial_{\nu} u_{i} \geq 0$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{i} d \sigma \leq C
$$

We have the existence of a nonnegative Radon measure $\mu$ such that

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{i} \phi d \sigma \rightarrow \mu(\phi), \quad \forall \phi \in C^{0}(\partial \Omega)
$$

We take an $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ such that $\mu\left(x_{0}\right)<4 \pi$. Set $B\left(x_{0}, \epsilon\right) \cap \partial \Omega:=I_{\epsilon}$. We choose a function $\eta_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 1, \quad \text { on } I_{\epsilon}, 0<\epsilon<\delta / 2 \\
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 0, \quad \text { outside } I_{2 \epsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \eta_{\epsilon} & \leq 1 \\
\left\|\nabla \eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{2 \epsilon}\right)} & \leq \frac{C_{0}\left(\Omega, x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

We take a $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} & =0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \\
\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} & =\eta_{\epsilon} \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.1. We use the following steps in the construction of $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}$, taking a cutoff function $\eta_{0}$ in $B(0,2)$ or in $B\left(x_{0}, 2\right)$ :
(1) We set $\eta_{\epsilon}(x)=\eta_{0}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right| / \epsilon\right)$ in the case of the unit disk it is sufficient.
(2) Or, in the general case: we use a chart $(f, \tilde{\Omega})$ with $f(0)=x_{0}$ and we take $\mu_{\epsilon}(x)=\eta_{0}(f(|x| / \epsilon))$ to have connected sets $I_{\epsilon}$ and we take $\eta_{\epsilon}(y)=\mu_{\epsilon}\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)$. Because $f, f^{-1}$ are Lipschitz, $\left|f(x)-x_{0}\right| \leq k_{2}|x| \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1 / k_{2}$ and $\left|f(x)-x_{0}\right| \geq$ $k_{1}|x| \geq 2$ for $|x| \geq 2 / k_{1}>1 / k_{2}$, the support of $\eta$ is in $I_{\left(2 / k_{1}\right) \epsilon}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 1, \quad \text { on } f\left(I_{\left(1 / k_{2}\right) \epsilon}\right), 0<\epsilon<\delta / 2, \\
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 0, \quad \text { outside } f\left(I_{\left(2 / k_{1}\right) \epsilon}\right), \\
0 \leq \eta_{\epsilon} \leq 1, \\
\left\|\nabla \eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(I_{\left(2 / k_{1}\right) \epsilon}\right)} \leq \frac{C_{0}\left(\Omega, x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(3) Also, we can take: $\mu_{\epsilon}(x)=\eta_{0}(|x| / \epsilon)$ and $\eta_{\epsilon}(y)=\mu_{\epsilon}\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)$, we extend it by 0 outside $f\left(B_{1}(0)\right)$. We have $f\left(B_{1}(0)\right)=D_{1}\left(x_{0}\right), f\left(B_{\epsilon}(0)\right)=D_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $f\left(B_{\epsilon}^{+}\right)=D_{\epsilon}^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $f$ and $f^{-1}$ smooth diffeomorphism.

$$
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 1, \quad \text { on the connected set } J_{\epsilon}=f\left(I_{\epsilon}\right), 0<\epsilon<\delta / 2,
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{\epsilon} \equiv 0, \quad \text { outside } J_{\epsilon}^{\prime}=f\left(I_{2 \epsilon}\right) \\
0 \leq \eta_{\epsilon} \leq 1 \\
\left\|\nabla \eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(J_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)} \leq \frac{C_{0}\left(\Omega, x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

And $H_{1}\left(J_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \leq C_{1} H_{1}\left(I_{2 \epsilon}\right)=C_{1} 4 \epsilon$, because $f$ is Lipschitz. Here $H_{1}$ is the Hausdorff measure. We solve the Dirichlet Problem

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\Delta \bar{\eta}_{\epsilon}=\Delta \eta_{\epsilon} & \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\bar{\eta}_{\epsilon}=0 & \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

and finally we set $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}=-\bar{\eta}_{\epsilon}+\eta_{\epsilon}$. Also, by the maximum principle and the elliptic estimates we have

$$
\left\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\left(\left\|\eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\nabla \eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\Delta \eta_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \leq \frac{C_{1}}{\epsilon^{2}}
$$

with $C_{1}$ depending on $\Omega$.
As we said in the beginning, see also [3, 7, 13, 20, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{i}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C_{q}, \quad \forall i, 1<q<2
$$

We deduce from the above estimate that, $\left(u_{i}\right)$ converge weakly in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$, almost everywhere to a function $u \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} e^{u}<+\infty$ (by Fatou lemma). Also, $V_{i}$
weakly converge to a nonnegative function $V$ in $L^{\infty}$. The function $u$ is in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u=V e^{u} \in L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
u=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

According to [6, Ccorollary 1], we have $e^{k u} \in L^{1}(\Omega), k>1$. By the elliptic estimates, we have $u \in W^{2, k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1, \epsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$.

We denote by $f \cdot g$ the inner product of any two vectors $f$ and $g$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left(\left(u_{i}-u\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right)=\left(V_{i} e^{u_{i}}-V e^{u}\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}-2 \nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}+\epsilon_{i}\left(\nabla u_{i} \cdot x\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the interior estimate in Brezis-Merle 6].
Step 1: Estimate of the integral of the first term of the right-hand side of 2.4 . We use Green's formula between $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}$ and $u$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} V e^{u} \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} d x=\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u \eta_{\epsilon} \leq C \epsilon=O(\epsilon) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta u_{i}-\epsilon_{i} \nabla u_{i} \cdot x=V_{i} e^{u_{i}} \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
u=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

We use Green's formula between $u_{i}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}$ to have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} V_{i} e^{u_{i}} \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} d x & =\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{i} \eta_{\epsilon} d \sigma-\epsilon_{i} \int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u_{i} \cdot x\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{i} \eta_{\epsilon} d \sigma+o(1)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \rightarrow \mu\left(\eta_{\epsilon}\right) \leq \mu\left(J_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \leq 4 \pi-\epsilon_{0}, \quad \epsilon_{0}>0
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.5) and 2.6) we have that for all $\epsilon>0$ there is $i_{0}$ such that, for $i \geq i_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\left(V_{i} e^{u_{i}}-V e^{u}\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x \leq 4 \pi-\epsilon_{0}+C \epsilon \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2.1: Estimate of integral of the second term of the right hand side of (2.4). Let $\Sigma_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial \Omega)=\epsilon^{3}\right\}$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}=\left\{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial \Omega) \geq \epsilon^{3}\right\}, \epsilon>0$. Then, for $\epsilon$ small enough, $\Sigma_{\epsilon}$ is an hypersurface.

The measure of $\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}$ is $k_{2} \epsilon^{3} \leq \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right)=\mu_{L}\left(\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right) \leq k_{1} \epsilon^{3}$.
Remark 2.2. For the unit ball $\bar{B}(0,1)$, our new manifold is $\bar{B}\left(0,1-\epsilon^{3}\right)$. To prove this fact, we consider consider $d(x, \partial \Omega)=d\left(x, z_{0}\right), z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, which implies that $\left(d\left(x, z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \leq(d(x, z))^{2}$ for all $z \in \partial \Omega$. This is equivalent to $\left(z-z_{0}\right) \cdot\left(2 x-z-z_{0}\right) \leq 0$ for all $z \in \partial \Omega$. Let us consider a chart around $z_{0}$ and $\gamma(t)$ a curve in $\partial \Omega$, we have $\left(\gamma(t)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right) \cdot\left(2 x-\gamma(t)-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \leq 0\right.$ if we divide by $\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ (with the sign and tend $t$ to $t_{0}$ ), we have $\gamma^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=0$. This implies that $x=z_{0}-s \nu_{0}$ where $\nu_{0}$ is the outward normal of $\partial \Omega$ at $z_{0}$ )

From the above remark, we can say that

$$
S=\{x, d(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \epsilon\}=\left\{x=z_{0}-s \nu_{z_{0}}, z_{0} \in \partial \Omega,-\epsilon \leq s \leq \epsilon\right\}
$$

It is sufficient to work on $\partial \Omega$. Let us consider charts $\left(z, D=B\left(z, 4 \epsilon_{z}\right), \gamma_{z}\right)$ with $z \in \partial \Omega$ such that $\cup_{z} B\left(z, \epsilon_{z}\right)$ is cover of $\partial \Omega$. One can extract a finite cover
$\left(B\left(z_{k}, \epsilon_{k}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, m$, by the area formula the measure of $S \cap B\left(z_{k}, \epsilon_{k}\right)$ is less than a $k \epsilon$ (a $\epsilon$-rectangle). For the reverse inequality, it is sufficient to consider one chart around one point of the boundary). We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x=\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x+\int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2.1.1: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon} 3}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x$. First, we know from elliptic estimates that $\left\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_{1} / \epsilon^{2}, C_{1}$ depends on $\Omega$.

We know that $\left(\left|\nabla u_{i}\right|\right)_{i}$ is bounded in $L^{q}, 1<q<2$, we can extract from this sequence a subsequence which converge weakly to $h \in L^{q}$. But, we know that we have locally the uniform convergence to $|\nabla u|$ (by Brezis-Merle's theorem), then, $h=|\nabla u|$ a.e. Let $q^{\prime}$ be the conjugate of $q$.

We have that for all $f \in L^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right| f d x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u| f d x
$$

If we take $f=1_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}$, for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $i_{1}=i_{1}(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $i \geq i_{1}$ implies

$$
\int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right| \leq \int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}|\nabla u|+\epsilon^{3}
$$

Then, for $i \geq i_{1}(\epsilon)$,

$$
\int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla u_{i}\right| \leq \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}+\epsilon^{3}=\epsilon^{3}\left(k_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}+1\right)=O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega-\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x \leq \epsilon C_{1}\left(2 k_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}+1\right)=O(\epsilon) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C_{1}$ does not depend on $\epsilon$ but on $\Omega$.
Step 2.1.2: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x$. We know that, $\Omega_{\epsilon} \subset \subset \Omega$, and (because of Brezis-Merle's interior estimates) $u_{i} \rightarrow u$ in $C^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right)$. We have

$$
\left\|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right)} \leq \epsilon^{3}, \text { for } i \geq i_{3} .
$$

We write

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x \leq\left\|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon^{3}}\right)}\left\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=C_{1} \epsilon=O(\epsilon)
$$

for $i \geq i_{3}$. For $\epsilon>0$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with $i \geq i^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(u_{i}-u\right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right| d x \leq \epsilon C_{1}\left(2 k_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}+2\right)=O(\epsilon) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From 2.7 and 2.10, for $\epsilon>0$, there is $i^{\prime \prime}$ such that $i \geq i^{\prime \prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta\left[\left(u_{i}-u\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right]\right| d x \leq 4 \pi-\epsilon_{0}+\epsilon 2 C_{1}\left(2 k_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}}+2+C\right)=4 \pi-\epsilon_{0}+O(\epsilon) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we choose $\epsilon>0$ small enough to have a good estimate of 2.4. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta\left[\left(u_{i}-u\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\right]=g_{i, \epsilon} \quad \text { textin } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
\left(u_{i}-u\right) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}=0 \quad \text { in } \partial \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\left\|g_{i, \epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq 4 \pi-\epsilon_{0} / 2$.
We can use [6, Theorem 1] to conclude that there are $q \geq \tilde{q}>1$ such that

$$
\int_{V_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} e^{\tilde{q}\left|u_{i}-u\right|} d x \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{q\left|u_{i}-u\right| \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}} d x \leq C(\epsilon, \Omega)
$$

where, $V_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Here we have used that in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ by the elliptic estimates, $1-C \epsilon \leq \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \leq 1$.

Thus, for each $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega-\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}\right\}$ there is $\epsilon_{0}>0, q_{0}>1$ such that

$$
\int_{B\left(x_{0}, \epsilon_{0}\right)} e^{q_{0} u_{i}} d x \leq C, \quad \forall i
$$

By elliptic estimates see [14], we have

$$
\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{C^{1, \theta}\left[B\left(x_{0}, \epsilon\right)\right]} \leq c_{3} \quad \forall i .
$$

We have proved that there is a finite number of points $\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}$ such that the sequence $\left(u_{i}\right)$ is locally uniformly bounded in $C^{1, \theta},(\theta>0)$ on $\bar{\Omega}-\left\{\bar{x}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m}\right\}$.

Proof of theorem 1.5. The Pohozaev identity gives
$\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot \nu)\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{i}\right)^{2} d \sigma+\epsilon \int_{\Omega}\left(x \cdot \nabla u_{i}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{\partial \Omega}(x \cdot \nu) V_{i} e^{u_{i}} d \sigma=\int_{\Omega}\left(x \cdot \nabla V_{i}+2 V_{i}\right) e^{u_{i}} d x$.
We use the boundary condition, that $\Omega$ is starshaped, and that $\epsilon>0$ to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\partial_{\nu} u_{i}\right)^{2} d x \leq c_{0}(b, A, C, \Omega) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can use the weak convergence in $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ to have a subsequence $\partial_{\nu} u_{i}$, such that

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_{i} \phi d x \rightarrow \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\nu} u \phi d x, \quad \forall \phi \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)
$$

Thus, $\alpha_{j}=0, j=1, \ldots, N$ and $\left(u_{i}\right)$ is uniformly bounded.
Remark 2.3. If we assume the open set bounded starshaped and $V_{i}$ uniformly Lipschitzian and between two positive constants we can bound, by using the inner normal derivative $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_{i}}$.

If we assume the open set bounded starshaped and $\nabla \log V_{i}$ uniformly bounded, by the previous Pohozaev identity (we consider the inner normal derivative) one can bound $\int_{\Omega} V_{i} e^{u_{i}}$ uniformly.

One can consider the problem on the unit ball and an ellipse. These two problems are different, because:
(1) if we use a linear transformation, $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1} / a, x_{2} / b\right)$, the Laplcian is not invariant under this map.
(2) If we use a conformal transformation, by a Riemann theorem, the quantity $x \cdot \nabla u$ is not invariant under this map.

We can not use, after using those transformations, the Pohozaev identity.

## 3. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

We start with the notation of the counterexample of Brezis and Merle. The domain $\Omega$ is the unit ball centered in $x_{0}=(1,0)$. Consider $z_{i}$ (obtained by the variational method), such that

$$
-\Delta z_{i}-\epsilon_{i}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nabla z_{i}=-\tilde{L}_{\epsilon_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)=f_{\epsilon_{i}}
$$

with Dirichlet condition. By the regularity theorem, $z_{i} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then we have

$$
\left\|f_{\epsilon_{i}}\right\|_{1}=4 \pi A
$$

Thus by the duality theorem of Stampacchia or Brezis-Strauss, we have

$$
\left\|\nabla z_{i}\right\|_{q} \leq C_{q}, \quad 1 \leq q<2
$$

We solve

$$
-\Delta w_{i}=\epsilon_{i}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nabla z_{i}
$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition.
By elliptic estimates, $w_{i} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $w_{i} \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ uniformly. By the maximum principle we have

$$
z_{i}-w_{i} \equiv u_{i}
$$

Where $u_{i}$ is the function of the counterexemple of Brezis Merle. Then we write

$$
-\Delta z_{i}-\epsilon_{i}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot \nabla z_{i}=f_{\epsilon_{i}}=V_{i} e^{z_{i}}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} e^{z_{i}} \leq C_{1}, \quad 0 \leq V_{i} \leq C_{2} \\
z_{i}\left(a_{i}\right) \geq u_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)-C_{3} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad a_{i} \rightarrow O .
\end{gathered}
$$

To have a counterexample on the unit disk, we do a translation $x \rightarrow x-x_{0}$ in the previous counterexample.
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