



HAL
open science

Critical 3-Hypergraphs

Abderrahim Boussaïri, Brahim Chergui, Pierre Ille, Mohamed Zaidi

► **To cite this version:**

Abderrahim Boussaïri, Brahim Chergui, Pierre Ille, Mohamed Zaidi. Critical 3-Hypergraphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2023, 39 (1), pp.3. <10.1007/s00373-022-02595-8>. <hal-04096319>

HAL Id: hal-04096319

<https://hal.science/hal-04096319v1>

Submitted on 12 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Critical 3-hypergraphs

Abderrahim Boussairi*[§] Brahim Chergui *[‡] Pierre Ille[†][¶]
Mohamed Zaidi*^{||}

October 10, 2022

Abstract

Given a 3-hypergraph H , a subset M of $V(H)$ is a module of H if for each $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus M \neq \emptyset$, there exists $m \in M$ such that $e \cap M = \{m\}$ and for every $n \in M$, we have $(e \setminus \{m\}) \cup \{n\} \in E(H)$. For example, \emptyset , $V(H)$ and $\{v\}$, where $v \in V(H)$, are modules of H , called trivial modules. A 3-hypergraph with at least three vertices is prime if all its modules are trivial. Furthermore, a prime 3-hypergraph is critical if all its induced subhypergraphs, obtained by removing one vertex, are not prime. We characterize the critical 3-hypergraphs.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010): 05C65, 05C75, 05C76.

Key words: 3-hypergraph, module, prime, critical.

1 Introduction

Let H be a 3-hypergraph. A tournament T , with the same vertex set as H , is a realization of H if the edges of H are exactly the 3-element subsets of the vertex set of T that induce 3-cycles. In [3], we characterized the 3-hypergraphs that admit realizations (see [5, Problem 1]). To obtain our characterization, we introduced a new notion of a module for hypergraphs. By using the modular decomposition tree, we demonstrated that a 3-hypergraph is realizable if and only if all its prime (in terms of modular decomposition) induced subhypergraphs are realizable (see [3, Theorem 13]). Moreover, given a realizable 3-hypergraph H , we proved that H is prime if and only if all its realizations are prime (see [3, Theorem 12]). These results led us to study the prime and induced subhypergraphs of a prime 3-hypergraph. Precisely, consider a prime 3-hypergraph H .

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Fondamentales et Appliquées, Faculté des Sciences Aïn Chock, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco

†Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, I2M, Marseille, France

§aboussairi@hotmail.com

‡cherguibrahim@gmail.com

¶pierre.ille@univ-amu.fr

||zaidi.fsac@gmail.com

In [4, Corollary 18], we proved that H admits a prime induced subhypergraph obtained by removing 1 or 2 vertices. Similar results were obtained for prime digraphs [10], for prime binary relational structures [11], or for prime 2-structures [7]. Our purpose is to characterize the critical 3-hypergraphs, that is, the prime 3-hypergraphs all the subhypergraphs of which, obtained by removing one vertex, are not prime. In particular, our characterization yields a simple manner to construct critical 3-hypergraphs that are not realizable.

At present, we formalize our presentation. We consider only finite structures. A hypergraph H is defined by a vertex set $V(H)$ and an edge set $E(H)$, where $E(H) \subseteq 2^{V(H)} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Given a hypergraph H , $v(H)$ denotes the cardinality of $V(H)$. Given $k \geq 2$, a hypergraph H is a k -hypergraph if

$$E(H) \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{k}.$$

Furthermore, a hypergraph H is a $\{k, k+1\}$ -hypergraph if

$$E(H) \subseteq \binom{V(H)}{k} \cup \binom{V(H)}{k+1}.$$

Let H be a hypergraph. With each $W \subseteq V(H)$, we associate the *subhypergraph* $H[W]$ of H induced by W , which is defined on $V(H[W]) = W$ by $E(H[W]) = \{e \in E(H) : e \subseteq W\}$. Given $W \subseteq V(H)$, $H[V(H) \setminus W]$ is also denoted by $H - W$, and by $H - w$ when $W = \{w\}$.

Definition 1. Let H be a hypergraph. A subset M of $V(H)$ is a *module* of H if for each $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus M \neq \emptyset$, there exists $m \in M$ such that $e \cap M = \{m\}$, and for every $n \in M$, we have

$$(e \setminus \{m\}) \cup \{n\} \in E(H).$$

Given distinct $v, w \in V(H)$, we say that v and w are *twins* [2, 6] of H if $\{v, w\}$ is a module of H .

Definition 2. Let H be a hypergraph. Clearly, \emptyset , $V(H)$ and $\{v\}$, where $v \in V(H)$, are modules of H , called *trivial modules*. A hypergraph H is *indecomposable* if all its modules are trivial, otherwise it is *decomposable*. A hypergraph H is *prime* if it is indecomposable, with $v(H) \geq 3$.

Remark 3. Recall that a hypergraph H is *connected* if for distinct $v, w \in V(H)$, there exists a sequence (e_0, \dots, e_n) of edges of H , where $n \geq 0$, satisfying $v \in e_0$, $w \in e_n$, and (when $n \geq 1$) $e_i \cap e_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Given a hypergraph H , a maximal connected subhypergraph of H is called a *component* of H .

Let H be a hypergraph. For each component C of H , $V(C)$ and $V(H) \setminus V(C)$ are clearly modules of H . Therefore, a disconnected hypergraph with at least three vertices is decomposable.

In [4], we proved the following result (see [4, Theorem 17]). We need the following notation (see [4, Notation 13]).

Notation 4. Let H be a 3-hypergraph. Given $X \subsetneq V(H)$ such that $H[X]$ is prime, we consider the following subsets of $V(H) \setminus X$.

- We denote by $\text{Ext}_{(H,X)}$ the set of $v \in V(H) \setminus X$ such that $H[X \cup \{v\}]$ is prime. (Hence, given $v \in V(H) \setminus X$, $v \in \text{Ext}_{(H,X)}$ if and only if $H[X \cup \{v\}]$ is a prime extension of $H[X]$.)
- We denote by $\text{Dis}_{(H,X)}$ the set of $v \in V(H) \setminus X$ such that X is a module of $H[X \cup \{v\}]$. (Let $v \in \text{Dis}_{(H,X)}$. Since X is a module of $H[X \cup \{v\}]$, we obtain $v \notin e$ for every $e \in E(H[X \cup \{v\}])$. Thus, $H[X \cup \{v\}]$ is disconnected (see Remark 3).)
- Let $y \in X$. We denote by $\text{Tw}_{(H,X)}(y)$ the set of $v \in V(H) \setminus X$ such that $\{y, v\}$ is a module of $H[X \cup \{v\}]$. (Let $y \in X$. Given $v \in V(H) \setminus X$, $v \in \text{Tw}_{(H,X)}(y)$ if and only if v and y are twins of $H[X \cup \{v\}]$.)

The set $\{\text{Ext}_{(H,X)}, \text{Dis}_{(H,X)}\} \cup \{\text{Tw}_{(H,X)}(y) : y \in X\}$ is denoted by $p_{(H,X)}$.

Theorem 5. Let H be a 3-hypergraph. Consider $X \subsetneq V(H)$ such that $H[X]$ is prime. Set

$$\underline{X} = \{y \in X : \text{Tw}_{(H,X)}(y) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If H is prime, then there exist $v, w \in (V(H) \setminus X) \cup \underline{X}$ such that $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime.

The next result follows from Theorem 5 (see [4, Corollary 18])

Corollary 6. Let H be a prime 3-hypergraph. If $v(H) \geq 4$, then there exist $v, w \in V(H)$ such that $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime.

Definition 7. A prime hypergraph H is *critical* if $H - v$ is not prime for each $v \in V(H)$.

Our purpose is to characterize the critical 3-hypergraphs. An analogue of Corollary 6 for binary relations led Ille [9] to introduce the following auxiliary graph.

Definition 8. Let H be a prime 3-hypergraph with $v(H) \geq 5$. The *primality graph* $\mathcal{P}(H)$ associated with H is the graph defined on $V(H)$ as follows. Given distinct $v, w \in V(H)$, $vw \in E(\mathcal{P}(H))$ if $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime. When H is critical, it follows from Corollary 6 that $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is nonempty.

1.1 Critical and realizable 3-hypergraphs

Let T be a tournament. A subset M of $V(T)$ is a *module* [12] of T provided that for any $x, y \in M$ and $v \in V(T)$, if $xv, vy \in A(T)$, then $v \in M$. Note that the notions of a module and of a convex subset [8] coincide for tournaments. Moreover, note that the notions of a module and of an interval coincide for linear orders. Given a tournament T , $\emptyset, V(T)$ and $\{v\}$, where $v \in V(T)$, are modules of T , called *trivial modules*. A tournament is *indecomposable* if all its

modules are trivial, otherwise it is *decomposable*. A tournament T is *prime* if it is indecomposable, with $v(T) \geq 3$. Lastly, a prime tournament T is *critical* if $T-v$ is not prime for each $v \in V(T)$. Schmerl and Trotter [11] characterized the critical tournaments. They obtained the tournaments T_{2n+1} , U_{2n+1} , and W_{2n+1} defined on $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$, where $n \geq 1$, as follows. We denote by L_{2n+1} the usual linear order on $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$.

- The tournament T_{2n+1} is obtained from L_{2n+1} by reversing all the arcs between even and odd vertices.
- The tournament U_{2n+1} is obtained from L_{2n+1} by reversing all the arcs between even vertices.
- The tournament W_{2n+1} is obtained from L_{2n+1} by reversing all the arcs between $2n$ and the even elements of $\{0, \dots, 2n-1\}$.

Theorem 9 (Schmerl and Trotter [11]). *Given a tournament τ , with $v(\tau) \geq 5$, τ is critical if and only if $v(\tau)$ is odd and τ is isomorphic to $T_{v(\tau)}$, $U_{v(\tau)}$, or $W_{v(\tau)}$.*

A realization of a 3-hypergraph is defined as follows (see [3, Definition 10]). To begin, we associate with each tournament a 3-hypergraph in the following way (see [3, Definition 9]).

Definition 10. The 3-cycle is the tournament $C_3 = (\{0, 1, 2\}, \{01, 12, 20\})$. Given a tournament T , the C_3 -structure of T is the 3-hypergraph $C_3(T)$ defined on $V(C_3(T)) = V(T)$ by

$$E(C_3(T)) = \{X \subseteq V(T) : T[X] \text{ is isomorphic to } C_3\}.$$

Definition 11. Given a 3-hypergraph H , a tournament T , with $V(T) = V(H)$, realizes H if $H = C_3(T)$. We say also that T is a *realization* of H .

In [3], we proved the following result (see [3, Theorem 12])

Theorem 12. *Consider a realizable 3-hypergraph H . For a realization T of H , H is prime if and only if T is prime.*

The next result follows from Theorems 9 and 12 (see [3, Theorem 49]).

Corollary 13. *Given a realizable 3-hypergraph H , with $v(H) \geq 5$, H is critical if and only if $v(H)$ is odd and H is isomorphic to $C_3(T_{v(H)})$, $C_3(U_{v(H)})$, or $C_3(W_{v(H)})$.*

Definition 14. Given a 3-hypergraph H , we say that H is *circular* if $v(H)$ is odd and H is isomorphic to $C_3(T_{v(H)})$.

1.2 A construction of non circular and critical 3-hypergraphs

The construction is provided from the set of the components of a graph. We use the following notation.

Notation 15. Consider a graph Γ . The set of the components of Γ is denoted by $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, set $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma) = \{C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) : v(C) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) = \{C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) : v(C) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$. Lastly, set $\mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) = \{C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) : v(C) = 1\}$ and $V_1(\Gamma) = \{v \in V(\Gamma) : \{v\} \in \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)\}$. For each $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$, set

$$w(C) = \begin{cases} \frac{v(C)}{2} & \text{if } C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma) \\ \frac{v(C)-1}{2} & \text{if } C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma). \end{cases}$$

We consider a graph Γ ,

$$\text{all the components of which are paths.} \quad (1)$$

For each $n \geq 1$, recall that the *path* \mathcal{P}_n is defined on $V(\mathcal{P}_n) = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ by

$$E(\mathcal{P}_n) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \{k(k+1) : 0 \leq k \leq n-2\} & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

We suppose that

- ★ $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$,
- ★ for each $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$, if $V(H) \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$(\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)) \setminus \{C\} \neq \emptyset, \quad (2)$$
- ★ for each $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$, if $|V(H) \setminus V(C)| \geq 2$, then

$$(\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)) \setminus \{C\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Consider also a $\{2, 3\}$ -hypergraph \mathbb{H} defined on $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ and satisfying

- for each $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$, if $|\varepsilon| = 2$, then $\varepsilon \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, (3)
- for each $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$, if $|\varepsilon| = 3$, then $\varepsilon \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$.

With Γ and \mathbb{H} , we associate the 3-hypergraph $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ defined on $V(\Gamma)$ in the following manner. For each $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$, we consider an isomorphism φ_C from the path $\mathcal{P}_{v(C)}$ onto C . With each $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$, we associate the set

$$E_C = \varphi_C(E(\mathcal{C}_3(U_{v(C)}))).$$

Moreover, consider $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $|\varepsilon| = 2$. There exist $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = CD$. Associate with ε the set

$$E_\varepsilon = \{\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k) : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C) - 1, 0 \leq k \leq w(D)\}.$$

Lastly, consider $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $|\varepsilon| = 3$. There exist $I, J, K \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = IJK$. Associate with ε the set

$$E_\varepsilon = \{\varphi_I(2i)\varphi_J(2j)\varphi_K(2k) : 0 \leq i \leq w(I), 0 \leq j \leq w(J), 0 \leq k \leq w(K)\}.$$

The 3-hypergraph $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is defined on $V(\Gamma)$ by

$$E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) = \left(\bigcup_{C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)} E_C \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})} E_\varepsilon \right). \quad (4)$$

1.3 The main results

To begin, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 16. *Let H be a critical 3-hypergraph such that $v(H) \geq 5$. If H is not circular, then $\mathcal{P}(H)$ (see Definition 8) satisfies (1) and (2), and there exists a $\{2, 3\}$ -hypergraph \tilde{H} defined on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ satisfying (3) such that*

$$H = \mathcal{P}(H) \bullet \tilde{H}.$$

To state the second main theorem, we consider a graph Γ satisfying (1) and (2). We consider also a $\{2, 3\}$ -hypergraph \mathbb{H} defined on $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ satisfying (3).

Theorem 17. *Suppose that $v(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \geq 5$. The 3-hypergraph $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical if and only if the following three assertions hold*

- (C1) \mathbb{H} is connected (see Remark 3);
- (C2) for every nontrivial module \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{H} , we have $\mathbb{M} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$;
- (C3) for each $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$, if $\mathbb{H} - \{v\}$ is connected, then $\mathbb{H} - \{v\}$ admits a nontrivial module $\mathbb{M}_{\{v\}}$ such that $\mathbb{M}_{\{v\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \setminus \{v\}$.

Before proving Theorem 17, we establish the next proposition.

Proposition 18. *Suppose that $v(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \geq 3$. The 3-hypergraph $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is prime if and only if the following two assertions hold*

- (C1) \mathbb{H} is connected;
- (C2) for every nontrivial module \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{H} , we have $\mathbb{M} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

The next result yields an easy manner to construct critical 3-hypergraphs. It is a simple consequence of Corollary 13 and Theorem 17.

Corollary 19. *Suppose that $v(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \geq 5$. Suppose also that $\mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) = \emptyset$. If \mathbb{H} is connected, then $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical. Moreover, if \mathbb{H} is connected and $|\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)| \geq 2$, then $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical and non realizable.*

2 The critical tournaments

The primality graph associated with a prime tournament is defined in the same manner as that associated with a prime 3-hypergraph (see Definition 8).

Definition 20. Let T be a prime tournament. The *primality graph* $\mathcal{P}(T)$ of T is defined on $V(T)$ as follows. Given distinct $v, w \in V(T)$, $vw \in E(\mathcal{P}(T))$ if $T - \{v, w\}$ is prime.

The basic properties of the primality graph follow. The next lemma is stated in [9] without a proof. For a proof, see [1, Lemma 10].

Lemma 21 (Ille [9]). *Let T be a prime tournament with $v(T) \geq 5$. Consider $v \in V(T)$ such that $T - v$ is decomposable. We have $d_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v) \leq 2$. Moreover, the next two assertions hold.*

1. *If $d_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v) = 1$, then $V(T) \setminus (\{v\} \cup N_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v))$ is a module of $T - v$.*
2. *If $d_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v) = 2$, then $N_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v)$ is a module of $T - v$.*

For each $n \geq 3$, recall that the *cycle* \mathcal{C}_n is defined on $V(\mathcal{C}_n) = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ by $E(\mathcal{C}_n) = E(\mathcal{P}_n) \cup \{0(n-1)\}$. The *length* of \mathcal{C}_n is n . Given a critical tournament T , it follows from Lemma 21 that the connected components of $\mathcal{P}(T)$ are paths or cycles. Boudabbous and Ille [1] characterized the critical tournaments from their primality graphs. To begin, they examined the connected components of the primality graph associated with a critical tournament.

Lemma 22 (Corollary 17 [1]). *If T is a critical tournament, with $v(T) \geq 5$, then $\mathcal{P}(T)$ satisfies one of the following*

1. *$\mathcal{P}(T)$ is a cycle of odd length;*
2. *$\mathcal{P}(T)$ is a path;*
3. *$v(T)$ is odd and there is $v \in V(T)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(T) - v$ is a path and $N_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(v) = \emptyset$.*

For each of the three shapes described in Lemma 22, Boudabbous and Ille [1] characterized the corresponding critical tournaments.

Proposition 23 (Proposition 18 [1]). *Given a tournament such that $v(T) \geq 5$, T is critical and $\mathcal{P}(T) = \mathcal{C}_{v(T)}$ if and only if $v(T)$ is odd and $T = T_{v(T)}$ or $(T_{v(T)})^*$.*

Proposition 24 (Proposition 19 [1]). *Given a tournament such that $v(T) \geq 5$, T is critical and $\mathcal{P}(T) = \mathcal{P}_{v(T)}$ if and only if $v(T)$ is odd and $T = U_{v(T)}$ or $(U_{v(T)})^*$.*

Proposition 25 (Proposition 21 [1]). *Given a tournament defined on $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$ with $n \geq 2$, T is critical, $\mathcal{P}(T) - 2n = \mathcal{P}_{2n-1}$, and $N_{\mathcal{P}(T)}(2n) = \emptyset$ if and only if $T = W_{2n+1}$ or $(W_{2n+1})^*$.*

3 Proof of Theorem 16

The purpose of this section is to characterize the non circular and critical 3-hypergraphs. We use the following notation.

Notation 26. Let H be a 3-hypergraph.

$$\text{For } e, f \in \binom{V(H)}{3}, e \equiv_H f \text{ means } \begin{cases} e, f \in E(H) \\ \text{or} \\ e, f \notin E(H). \end{cases}$$

An analogue of Lemma 21 for prime 3-hypergraphs follows. For a proof, see [1, Lemma 10].

Lemma 27. *Let H be a prime 3-hypergraph with $v(H) \geq 5$. Consider $v \in V(H)$ such that $H - v$ is decomposable. We have $d_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(v) \leq 2$. Moreover, the next two assertions hold.*

1. *If $d_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(v) = 1$, then $V(H) \setminus (\{v\} \cup N_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(v))$ is a module of $H - v$.*
2. *If $d_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(v) = 2$, then $N_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(v)$ is a module of $H - v$.*

The next result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 27.

Corollary 28. *Given a critical 3-hypergraph H such that $v(H) \geq 5$, all the components of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are cycles or paths.*

Proposition 29. *Let H be a prime 3-hypergraph defined on $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$, where $p \geq 5$. Suppose that there exists $k \in \{3, \dots, p\}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P}(H)[\{0, \dots, k-1\}] = C_k.$$

If $H - i$ is decomposable for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, then k is odd, $k = p$, and $H = C_3(T_p)$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists $k \in \{3, \dots, p\}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(H)[\{0, \dots, k-1\}] = C_k$. Furthermore, suppose that $H - i$ is decomposable for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. First, we show that

$$k \text{ is odd.} \tag{5}$$

Otherwise, there exists $l \geq 2$ such that $\mathcal{P}(H)[\{0, \dots, 2l-1\}] = C_{2l}$. We verify that $\{0, 2\}$ is a module of H . Consider $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap \{0, 2\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \{0, 2\} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose for a contradiction that $0, 2 \in e$. There exists $i \in \{1\} \cup \{3, \dots, 2l-1\}$ such that $e = 02i$. Since $N_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(1) = \{0, 2\}$, it follows from Lemma 27 that $\{0, 2\}$ is a module of $H - 1$. It follows that $i = 1$, that is, $e = 012$. It follows from Lemma 27 that $01(2l-2) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{0, 2l-2\}$ is a module of $H - (2l-1)$. Consequently, $|e \cap \{0, 2\}| = 1$. For a contradiction, suppose that $1 \in e$. Since $|e \cap \{0, 2\}| = 1$, there exists $j \in \{3, \dots, 2l-1\}$ such that $e = 01j$ or $12j$. Denote by j' the unique element of $\{2l-2, 2l-1\}$ such that $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{j\}) \cup \{j'\} \in E(H)$. Similarly, by denoting by j'' the unique element of $\{3, 4\}$ such that $j'' \equiv j \pmod{2}$, we obtain $(e \setminus \{j\}) \cup \{j''\} \in E(H)$. We distinguish the following two cases.

1. Suppose that $e = 01j$. If j is even, then $01(2l-2) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{0, 2l-2\}$ is a module of $H - (2l-1)$. If j is odd, then $013 \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{1, 3\}$ is a module of $H - 2$.
2. Suppose that $e = 12j$. If j is even, then $124 \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{2, 4\}$ is a module of $H - 3$. If j is odd, then $12(2l-1) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{1, 2l-1\}$ is a module of $H - 0$.

It follows that $1 \notin e$. So, $e \in E(H-1)$. Since $N_{\mathcal{P}(H)}(1) = \{0, 2\}$, it follows from Lemma 27 that $\{0, 2\}$ is a module of $H - 1$. Thus, there exists $i \in \{0, 2\}$ such that $e \cap \{0, 2\} = \{i\}$, and $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i'\} \in E(H)$ for each $i' \in \{0, 2\}$. Consequently, $\{0, 2\}$ is a module of H , which contradicts the fact that H is prime. It follows that (5) holds. Set $k = 2n + 1$, where $n \geq 1$.

Second, we prove that $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$ is a module of H . Consider $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap \{0, \dots, 2n\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \{0, \dots, 2n\} \neq \emptyset$. We prove that

$$|e \cap \{0, \dots, 2n\}| = 1. \quad (6)$$

Otherwise, we have $|e \cap \{0, \dots, 2n\}| = 2$. There exist $i, j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$, with $i < j$, such that $e \cap \{0, \dots, 2n\} = \{i, j\}$. Denote by i' the unique element of $\{0, 1\}$ such that $i' \equiv i \pmod{2}$. As previously, we obtain $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i'\} \in E(H)$. Denote by j' the unique element of $\{2, 3\}$ such that $j' \equiv j \pmod{2}$. We obtain $(e \setminus \{i, j\}) \cup \{i', j'\} \in E(H)$. Set $e' = (e \setminus \{i, j\}) \cup \{i', j'\}$. Observe that $e' \setminus \{0, \dots, 2n\} = e \setminus \{0, \dots, 2n\}$, and denote by v the unique element of $e' \setminus \{0, \dots, 2n\}$. If $i' = 0$ and $j' = 2$, then $02v \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{0, 2\}$ is a module of $H - 1$. If $i' = 1$ and $j' = 3$, then $13v \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{1, 3\}$ is a module of $H - 2$. Suppose that $i' = 0$ and $j' = 3$. We get $03v \in E(H)$, and hence $0(2n-1)v \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{0, 2n-1\}$ is a module of $H - (2n)$. Lastly, if $i' = 1$ and $j' = 4$, then $1(2n)v \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{1, 2n\}$ is a module of $H - 0$. It follows that (6) holds. Denote by i the unique element of $e \cap \{0, \dots, 2n\}$. For every $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain

$$(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i + 2l\} \in E(H), \quad (7)$$

where $i + 2l$ is considered modulo $2n + 1$. In particular, $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i + 2n\}$, which is $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i - 1\}$, belongs to $E(H)$. Since $\{i - 1, i + 1\}$ is a module of $H - i$, we get $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i + 1\} \in E(H)$. For each $m \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, we obtain

$$(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i + 2m + 1\} \in E(H). \quad (8)$$

It follows from (7) and (8) that $(e \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i'\} \in E(H)$ for every $i' \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$. Consequently, $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$ is a module of H . Since H is prime, we obtain $V(H) = \{0, \dots, 2n\}$.

Third, we prove that $H = C_3(T_{2n+1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(C_3(T_{2n+1})) = & \{(2i)(2l+1)(2j) : 0 \leq i \leq l < j \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{(2i+1)(2l)(2j+1) : 0 \leq i < l \leq j \leq n-1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For a contradiction, suppose that there are $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$, $x \equiv y \pmod{2}$, and $xyz \in E(H)$. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(y-2)yz \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{y-2, y\}$ is a module of $H - (y-1)$. Hence, $xyz \notin E(H)$ for $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$ and $x \equiv y \pmod{2}$. Similarly, $xyz \notin E(H)$ for $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$ and $y \equiv z \pmod{2}$. It follows that

$$E(H) \subseteq E(C_3(T)). \quad (10)$$

Now, consider $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$, $x \not\equiv y \pmod{2}$, and $y \not\equiv z \pmod{2}$. It follows from Lemma 27 that

$$xyz \equiv_H x(x+1)(x+2) \quad (\text{see Notation 26}). \quad (11)$$

Now, we prove that the permutation

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: \{0, \dots, 2n\} &\longrightarrow \{0, \dots, 2n\} \\ x &\longmapsto x+1 \pmod{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

of $\{0, \dots, 2n\}$ is an automorphism of H . Given $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$, we have to verify that $xyz \equiv_H (x+1)(y+1)(z+1)$. We have

$$xyz \equiv_H xy(z+2) \equiv_H x(y+2)(z+2) \equiv_H (x+2)(y+2)(z+2).$$

Thus, $xyz \equiv_H (x+2n+2)(y+2n+2)(z+2n+2)$, that is

$$xyz \equiv_H (x+1)(y+1)(z+1).$$

Consequently, θ is an automorphism of H . Since H is prime, there exist $u, v, w \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $u < v < w$ and $uvw \in E(H)$. By (10), $uvw \in E(C_3(T))$. It follows from (9) that $u \not\equiv v \pmod{2}$ and $v \not\equiv w \pmod{2}$. It follows from (11) that $u(u+1)(u+2) \in E(H)$. Since θ is an automorphism of H , we obtain

$$012 \in E(H). \quad (12)$$

Finally, consider $x, y, z \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $x < y < z$ and $xyz \in E(C_3(T))$. By (9), $x \not\equiv y \pmod{2}$ and $y \not\equiv z \pmod{2}$. It follows from (11) that $xyz \equiv_H x(x+1)(x+2)$. Since θ is an automorphism of H , we obtain $x(x+1)(x+2) \equiv_H 012$. Therefore, $xyz \equiv_H 012$. By (12), $xyz \in E(H)$. Consequently, we obtain $E(C_3(T)) \subseteq E(H)$. It follows from (10) that $H = C_3(T)$. \square

The next corollary is an easy consequence of Corollary 28 and Proposition 29.

Corollary 30. *Given a critical 3-hypergraph H , with $v(H) \geq 5$, H is not circular if and only if all the components of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are paths.*

Proof. Suppose that H is circular. By Definition 14, $v(H)$ is odd and

$$H \simeq C_3(T_{v(H)}).$$

Hence, we obtain $\mathcal{P}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}(C_3(T_{v(H)}))$. It follows from Theorem 12 that

$$\mathcal{P}(C_3(T_{v(H)})) = \mathcal{P}(T_{v(H)}).$$

By Proposition 23, $\mathcal{P}(T_{v(H)}) = \mathcal{C}_{v(H)}$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(H)$ contains a cycle among its components.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(H)$ admits a component C which is not a path. By Corollary 28, C is a cycle. Up to isomorphism, we can assume that $V(H) = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, where $p \geq 5$, and there exists $k \in \{3, \dots, p\}$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}(H)[\{0, \dots, k-1\}] = \mathcal{C}_k$. By Proposition 29, k is odd, $k = p$, and $H = C_3(T_p)$. Therefore, H is circular. \square

Notation 31. Let H be a non circular and critical 3-hypergraph. For each $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H))$, C is a path. Thus, there exists an isomorphism denoted by φ_C from $\mathcal{P}_{v(C)}$ onto C .

Lemma 32. *Let H be a critical 3-hypergraph with $v(H) \geq 5$. Suppose that H is not circular.*

1. *Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. For every $e \in E(H)$, if $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ (see Notation 15) such that $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\}$.*
2. *Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. For every $e \in E(H)$, if $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $i \leq j$ and $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j+1)\}$.*

Proof. For the first assertion, consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. Let $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. We prove that

$$e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i+1) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}\} = \emptyset. \quad (13)$$

Otherwise, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $\varphi_C(2i+1) \in e$. We distinguish the following two cases.

- Suppose that there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, i\}$ such that $\varphi_C(2j) \in e$. Since $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$, $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2j), \varphi_C(2i+1)\}$. By denoting by v the unique element of $e \setminus V(C)$, we obtain $e = \varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2i+1)v$. It follows from Lemma 27 that $\varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2w(C)-1)v \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $V(H) \setminus \{\varphi_C(2w(C)-1), \varphi_C(2w(C))\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(2w(C))$.
- Suppose that $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2j) : j \in \{0, \dots, i\}\} = \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 27 that there exists $f \in E(H)$ such that $\varphi_C(1) \in f$ and $\varphi_C(0) \notin f$, which contradicts the fact that $V(H) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(0)$.

Consequently, (13) holds.

Now, we prove that there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that

$$e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\}. \quad (14)$$

Otherwise, it follows from (13) that there exist distinct $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $0 \leq i < j \leq w(C)$ such that $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j)\}$. It follows from Lemma 27 that there exists $f \in E(H)$ such that $f \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ and $f \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts the fact that $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. Consequently, (14) holds.

For the second assertion, consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. Let $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Set

$$p = \min(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C) - 1\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}).$$

For a contradiction, suppose that p is odd. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(p)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(1)\} \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $V(C) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(0)$. It follows that p is even. Thus, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $p = \varphi_C(2i)$. Similarly, $\max(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C) - 1\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\})$ is odd. Thus there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $\max(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C) - 1\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}) = \varphi_C(2j + 1)$. We obtain that $i \leq j$ and $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j + 1)\}$. \square

Proposition 33. *Let H be a critical 3-hypergraph with $v(H) \geq 5$. Suppose that H is not circular. Consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H))$.*

1. *Suppose that $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H)$. There exists $e \in E(H)$, such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, one of the following two assertions holds*
 - *there exist distinct $D, D' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \{C\}$ such that $e \subseteq V(C) \cup V(D) \cup V(D')$, $e \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$, and $e \cap V(D') \neq \emptyset$;*
 - *there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $e \subseteq V(C) \cup V(D)$ and $e \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$.*

Furthermore, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\}$. Lastly, for each $j \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$, $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(2j)\} \in E(H)$.

2. *The function φ_C is an isomorphism from $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ onto $H[V(C)]$.*
3. *If $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H)$, then there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $C' \neq C$.*

Proof. For the first assertion, suppose that $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H)$. Since $V(C)$ is not a module of H , there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 32 that there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\}$. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(2j)\} \in E(H)$ for each $j \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$. Moreover, since $|e \cap V(C)| = 1$, there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \{C\}$ such that $e \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish the following two cases.

- Suppose that $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. By Lemma 32, $|e \cap V(D)| = 2$. Thus, $e \subseteq V(C) \cup V(D)$.

- Suppose that $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$. By Lemma 32, $|e \cap V(D)| = 1$. Therefore, there exists $D' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \{C, D\}$ such that $e \cap V(D') \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $e \subseteq V(C) \cup V(D) \cup V(D')$. It follows from Lemma 32 that $D' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$.

For the second assertion, suppose for a contradiction that for every $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, we have $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. We verify that $\{\varphi_C(2i) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}\}$ is a module of H . Let $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}\} \neq \emptyset$. Since $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}\} \neq \emptyset$, we have $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 32, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\}$. Given $j \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$, it follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(2j)\} \in E(H)$. Therefore, $\{\varphi_C(2i) : i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}\}$ is a module of H , which contradicts the fact that H is prime. Consequently, there exists $e \in V(H)$ such that $e \subseteq V(C)$. Set

$$p = \min(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C)\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}).$$

For a contradiction, suppose that p is odd. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(p)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(1)\} \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $V(C) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(0)$. Thus, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $p = \varphi_C(2i)$. Similarly, there exists $k \in \{0, \dots, w(C)\}$ such that $\max(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C)\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}) = \varphi_C(2k)$. Since $e \subseteq V(C)$, we have $i < k$. Consider $q \in \{2i+1, \dots, 2k-1\}$ such that $e = \varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(q)\varphi_C(2k)$. For a contradiction, suppose that q is even. It follows from Lemma 27 that $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2i+2)\varphi_C(2k) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. It follows that p is odd. Hence, there exists $j \in \{i, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $q = \varphi_C(2j+1)$. We obtain

$$e = \varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_C(2k). \quad (15)$$

It follows that

$$E(H[V(C)]) \subseteq \{\varphi_C(2i')\varphi_C(2j'+1)\varphi_C(2k') : 0 \leq i' \leq j' < k' \leq w(C)\}. \quad (16)$$

It follows from Lemma 27 that

$$\varphi_C(2i')\varphi_C(2j'+1)\varphi_C(2k') \equiv_H \varphi_C(0)\varphi_C(1)\varphi_C(2) \quad (17)$$

for $0 \leq i' \leq j' < k' \leq w(C)$. Since $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_C(2k) \in E(H[V(C)])$ by (15), we obtain $\varphi_C(0)\varphi_C(1)\varphi_C(2) \in E(H[V(C)])$. It follows from (16) and (17) that

$$E(H[V(C)]) = \{\varphi_C(2i')\varphi_C(2j'+1)\varphi_C(2k') : 0 \leq i' \leq j' < k' \leq w(C)\}.$$

In other words, φ_C is an isomorphism from $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ onto $H[V(C)]$.

For the third assertion, suppose that $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H)$. Set

$$Y = V(C).$$

By Theorem 9, $U_{v(C)}$ is prime. Hence, $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ is prime by Theorem 12. It follows from the second assertion above that $H[Y]$ is prime. By Theorem 5,

there exist $v, w \in (V(H) \setminus Y) \cup \underline{Y}$ such that $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime. Since H is critical, we have $v \neq w$. Therefore, there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $v, w \in C'$. Lastly, suppose for a contradiction that $\underline{Y} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exist $y \in Y$ and $u \in V(H) \setminus Y$ such that $\{y, u\}$ is a module of $H[Y \cup \{u\}]$. Since $H[Y]$ is isomorphic to $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ by the second assertion above, there exists $e \in E(H[Y])$ such that $y \in e$. Since $\{y, u\}$ is a module of $H[Y \cup \{u\}]$, we get $(e \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{u\} \in E(H)$, which contradicts Lemma 32. It follows that $\underline{Y} = \emptyset$. Thus, we obtain $v, w \notin Y$, that is, $v, w \notin V(C)$. Hence, we have $C' \neq C$. \square

Proposition 34. *Let H be a critical 3-hypergraph such that $v(H) \geq 5$. Suppose that H is not circular. Consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$.*

1. *There exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H)$, where $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$, with $i \leq j$, and $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$;*
2. *For each $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$, the extension $\psi_C^{2k} : \{0, \dots, v(C)\} \rightarrow V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}$ of φ_C defined by*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\psi_C^{2k})_{\upharpoonright \{0, \dots, v(C)-1\}} = \varphi_C \\ \text{and} \\ \psi_C^{2k}(v(C)) = \varphi_D(2k), \end{array} \right.$$

is an isomorphism from $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ onto $H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$.

3. *If $|V(H) \setminus V(C)| \geq 2$, then there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $C' \neq C$.*

Proof. To begin, we verify that

$$e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset \tag{18}$$

for every $e \in E(H)$. Otherwise, there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \subseteq V(C)$. Set

$$p = \min(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C) - 1\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}).$$

For a contradiction, suppose that p is odd. It follows from Lemma 27 that $(e \setminus \{\varphi_C(p)\}) \cup \{\varphi_C(1)\} \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $V(C) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(0)$. Thus, there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $p = \varphi_C(2i)$. Similarly, there exists $k \in \{i, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $\max(\{i \in \{0, \dots, 2w(C)\} : \varphi_C(i) \in e\}) = \varphi_C(2k + 1)$. Since $e \subseteq V(C)$, we have $i < k$. Consider $q \in \{2i + 1, \dots, 2k\}$ such that $e = \varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(q)\varphi_C(2k + 1)$. We distinguish the following two cases. In each of them, we obtain a contradiction.

- Suppose that q is even. It follows from Lemma 27 that $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2i + 2)\varphi_C(2k + 1) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i + 2)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(2i + 1)$.
- Suppose that q is odd. It follows from Lemma 27 that $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2k - 1)\varphi_C(2k + 1) \in E(H)$, which contradicts the fact that $\{\varphi_C(2k - 1), \varphi_C(2k + 1)\}$ is a module of $H - \varphi_C(2k)$.

It follows that (18) holds. Since H is prime, we have $E(H) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from (18) that

$$V(C) \not\subseteq V(H).$$

For the first assertion, since $V(C) \not\subseteq V(H)$, $V(C)$ is not a module of H . Therefore, there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 32, there exist $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $i \leq j$ and $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j + 1)\}$. Since $|e \cap V(C)| = 2$, there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \{C\}$ such that $e \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 32 that $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ and $e \cap V(D) = \{\varphi_D(2k)\}$, where $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$.

For the second assertion, it follows from the first assertion above that there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that

$$\varphi_C(2i_0)\varphi_C(2j_0 + 1)\varphi_D(2k_0) \in E(H), \quad (19)$$

where $i_0, j_0 \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$, with $i_0 \leq j_0$, and $k_0 \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$. It follows from Lemma 27 that

$$\varphi_C(2i_0)\varphi_C(2j_0 + 1)\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H) \quad (20)$$

for each $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$. Let $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$. Consider $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $i \leq j$. It follows from Lemma 27 that

$$\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j + 1)\varphi_D(2k) \equiv_H \varphi_C(0)\varphi_C(1)\varphi_D(2k). \quad (21)$$

By (20) and (21), we have

$$\varphi_C(0)\varphi_C(1)\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H). \quad (22)$$

We prove that

$$E(H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]) = \{\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j + 1)\varphi_D(2k) : \quad (23) \\ 0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C) - 1\}.$$

Consider $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $i \leq j$. By (21) and (22), we have $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j + 1)\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$E(H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]) \supseteq \{\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j + 1)\varphi_D(2k) : \quad (24) \\ 0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C) - 1\}.$$

Conversely, consider $e \in E(H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}])$. By (18), we have $\varphi_D(2k) \in e$. It follows from Lemma 32 that there exist $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ such that $i \leq j$ and $e \cap V(C) = \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j + 1)\}$. Thus,

$$E(H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]) \subseteq \{\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j + 1)\varphi_D(2k) : \quad (25) \\ 0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C) - 1\}.$$

It follows from (24) and (25) that (23) holds. Therefore, the extension ψ_C^{2k} of φ_C is an isomorphism from $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ onto $H[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$.

For the third assertion, suppose that $|V(H) \setminus V(C)| \geq 2$. Let $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$. Set

$$Y = V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}.$$

We have $Y \not\subseteq V(H)$. By Theorem 9, $W_{v(C)+1}$ is prime. Hence, $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ is prime by Theorem 12. It follows from the second assertion above that $H[Y]$ is prime. By Theorem 5, there exist $v, w \in (V(H) \setminus Y) \cup \underline{Y}$ such that $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime. Since H is critical, we have $v \neq w$. Therefore, there exists $C' \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H))$ such that $v, w \in C'$. Lastly, suppose for a contradiction that $V(C) \cap \underline{Y} \neq \emptyset$. There exist $c \in V(C)$ and $u \in V(H) \setminus Y$ such that $\{c, u\}$ is a module of $H[Y \cup \{u\}]$. By the second assertion above, there exists $d \in V(C) \setminus \{c\}$ such that $cd\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H[Y])$. Since $\{c, u\}$ is a module of $H[Y \cup \{u\}]$, we get $ud\varphi_D(2k) \in E(H[Y])$, which contradicts Lemma 32. Consequently, we have $V(C) \cap \underline{Y} = \emptyset$. It follows that $v, w \notin V(C)$, so $C' \neq C$. \square

Proof of Theorem 16. Let H be a critical 3-hypergraph such that $v(H) \geq 5$. Suppose that H is not circular. By Corollary 30, all the components of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are paths. Hence, $\mathcal{P}(H)$ satisfies (1).

Now, we verify that $\mathcal{P}(H)$ satisfies (2). By Corollary 6, there exist $v, w \in V(H)$ such that $H - \{v, w\}$ is prime. Since H is critical, we have $v \neq w$. Thus, $vw \in E(\mathcal{P}(H))$. Denote by C the component of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ containing v and w . We obtain $C \in (\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H)) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{P}(H)))$. Therefore, it follows from Propositions 33 and 34 that $\mathcal{P}(H)$ satisfies (2).

Lastly, we associate with H the hypergraph \tilde{H} defined on $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ as follows

1. given distinct $C, D \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H))$, $CD \in E(\tilde{H})$ if $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$, $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$, and there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $|e \cap V(C)| = 2$ and $|e \cap V(D)| = 1$;
2. given distinct $I, J, K \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{P}(H))$, $IJK \in E(\tilde{H})$ if $I, J, K \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\mathcal{P}(H))$ and there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $|e \cap V(I)| = |e \cap V(J)| = |e \cap V(K)| = 1$.

Clearly, \tilde{H} satisfies (3). Using Lemma 27, Lemma 32, Proposition 33, and Proposition 34, it is not difficult to verify that $H = \mathcal{P}(H) \bullet \tilde{H}$. \square

4 Proof of Proposition 18

We use the following preliminary result (see [4, Fact 29]).

Lemma 35. *Let H be a 3-hypergraph. Consider $X \not\subseteq V(H)$ such that $H[X]$ is prime. Let M be a module of H . We have $M \cap X = \emptyset$, $M \supseteq X$, or $M \cap X = \{y\}$, where $y \in X$. Moreover, the following assertions hold (see Notation 4).*

1. If $M \cap X = \emptyset$, then all the elements of M belong to the same block of $\mathcal{P}(H, X)$.
2. If $M \supseteq X$, then all the elements of $V(H) \setminus M$ belong to $\text{Dis}(H, X)$.

3. If $M \cap X = \{y\}$, where $y \in X$, then all the elements of $M \setminus \{y\}$ belong to $\text{Tw}_{(H,X)}(y)$.

In this section and the next one, we consider a graph Γ satisfying (1) and (2). We consider also a $\{2, 3\}$ -hypergraph \mathbb{H} defined on $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ satisfying (3). We use the following notation.

Notation 36. For $\mathbb{W} \subseteq V(\mathbb{H})$, set

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{W}} V(C).$$

Conversely, for $W \subseteq V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, set

$$W/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) = \{C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) : V(C) \cap W \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We utilize the next two remarks.

Remark 37. Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$. First, suppose that $w(C) \geq 2$. It follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that φ_C is an isomorphism from $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ onto $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$. By Theorem 9, $U_{v(C)}$ is critical. By Theorem 12, $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ is critical and $\mathcal{P}(C_3(U_{v(C)})) = \mathcal{P}(U_{v(C)})$. Furthermore, we have $\mathcal{P}(U_{v(C)}) = \mathcal{P}_{v(C)}$ by Proposition 24. Since φ_C is an isomorphism from $C_3(U_{v(C)})$ onto $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$ is critical and

$$\mathcal{P}((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]) = (V(C), \{\varphi_C(m)\varphi_C(m+1) : 0 \leq m < 2w(C)\}).$$

It follows from Lemma 27 that for each $1 \leq m \leq 2w(C) - 1$,

$$\{\varphi_C(m-1), \varphi_C(m+1)\} \text{ is a module of } ((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)] - \varphi_C(m)). \quad (26)$$

Second, suppose that $w(C) = 1$. It follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that $E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]) = \{V(C)\}$. Therefore, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$ is prime. Moreover, since $v(C) = 3$, observe that (26) holds.

Remark 38. Let $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$. We have $w(C) \geq 1$. Suppose that there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $C \in \varepsilon$. We have $\varepsilon = CD$, where $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$. Let $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$. We verify that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$ is prime

First, suppose that $w(C) = 1$. It follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that $E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]) = \{V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}\}$. Therefore, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$ is prime.

Second, suppose that $w(C) \geq 2$. Let $\psi_C^{2k} : \{0, \dots, v(C)\} \rightarrow V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}$ satisfying $(\psi_C^{2k})_{\{0, \dots, v(C)-1\}} = \varphi_C$ and $\psi_C^{2k}(v(C)) = \varphi_D(2k)$. By (4), ψ_C^{2k} is an isomorphism from $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ onto $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$. By Theorem 9, $W_{v(C)+1}$ is critical, so $W_{v(C)+1}$ is prime. By Theorem 12, $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ is prime as well. Since ψ_C^{2k} is an isomorphism from $C_3(W_{v(C)+1})$ onto $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(2k)\}]$ is prime too.

If \mathbb{H} is disconnected, then $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is decomposable, whence the necessity of Assertion (C1) in Proposition 18. Indeed, we have

Lemma 39. *If \mathbb{C} is a component of \mathbb{H} , then $\overline{V(\mathbb{C})}$ and $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \overline{V(\mathbb{C})}$ are modules of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. For a contradiction, suppose that there exists $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ such that $e \cap \overline{V(\mathbb{C})} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \cap (V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \overline{V(\mathbb{C})}) \neq \emptyset$. There exist $C \in V(\mathbb{C})$ and $D \notin V(\mathbb{C})$ such that $e \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$ and $e \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $C, D \in \varepsilon$, which contradicts the fact that \mathbb{C} is a component of \mathbb{H} . Consequently, for each $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, we have $e \subseteq \overline{V(\mathbb{C})}$ or $e \subseteq (V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \overline{V(\mathbb{C})})$. It follows that $\overline{V(\mathbb{C})}$ and $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \overline{V(\mathbb{C})}$ are modules of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. \square

Each edge of \mathbb{H} induces a prime subhypergraph of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. Precisely, we have

Lemma 40. *For every $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[\varepsilon]$ is prime.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$. First, suppose that $|\varepsilon| = 2$. There exist $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = CD$. Hence, we have to show that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup V(D)]$ is prime. If $w(D) = 0$, then $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup V(D)]$ is prime by Remark 38. Suppose that $w(D) \geq 1$. Set

$$X = V(D).$$

By Remark 37, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X]$ is prime. We have $V(C) \subseteq \text{Dis}_{(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}, X)}$ (see Notation 4). Let M be a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(C)]$ such that $|M| \geq 2$. We have to show that $M = X \cup V(C)$. By Lemma 35, we have $M \cap X = \emptyset$, $M \supseteq X$, or $M \cap X = \{y\}$, where $y \in X$. It follows from the third assertion of Lemma 35 that $|M \cap X| \neq 1$. Now, suppose that $M \cap X = \emptyset$. Hence, we have $M \subseteq V(C)$. By Remark 38, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}]$ is prime. Since $|M| \geq 2$ and $M \subseteq V(C)$, we obtain $M = V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}$, which contradicts $M \cap X = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have $M \supseteq X$. Let $i, j \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ with $i \leq j$. We have $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(0) \in E(H)$. Since M is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(C)]$ and $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(1) \notin E(H)$, we obtain $\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2j+1) \in M$. It follows that $M = X \cup V(C)$.

Second, suppose that $|\varepsilon| = 3$. There exist $I, J, K \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = IJK$. Hence, we have to show that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(I) \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]$ is prime. If $w(I) = w(J) = w(K) = 0$, then $|V(I) \cup V(J) \cup V(K)| = 3$, and hence $E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(I) \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]) = \{\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0)\}$. It follows that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(I) \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]$ is prime. Now, suppose that $w(I) > 0$, $w(J) > 0$, or $w(K) > 0$. For instance, assume that $w(I) > 0$. By Remark 37, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(I)]$ is prime. Set

$$X = V(I).$$

We have $V(J) \cup V(K) \subseteq \text{Dis}_{(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}, X)}$. Let M be a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]$ such that $|M| \geq 2$. We have to show that $M = X \cup V(J) \cup V(K)$. It follows from the third assertion of Lemma 35 that $M \cap X = \emptyset$ or $X \subseteq M$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $M \cap X = \emptyset$. For $0 \leq j \leq w(J)$ and $0 \leq k \leq w(K)$, we have $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(2j)\varphi_K(2k) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. It follows that $M \cap \{\varphi_J(2j) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J)\} = \emptyset$ or $M \cap \{\varphi_K(2k) : 0 \leq k \leq w(K)\} = \emptyset$. For instance, assume that

$$M \cap \{\varphi_J(2j) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J)\} = \emptyset. \quad (27)$$

We distinguish the following two cases.

1. Suppose that $M \cap \{\varphi_J(2j+1) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J) - 1\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, w(J) - 1\}$ such that $\varphi_J(2j+1) \in M$. We have $\varphi_J(2j)\varphi_J(2j+1)\varphi_J(2j+2) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. By (27), $\varphi_J(2j), \varphi_J(2j+2) \notin M$. Since M is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]$, we obtain $\varphi_J(2j)x\varphi_J(2j+2) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ for every $x \in M$. But, for each $v \in V(K)$, we have $\varphi_J(2j)v\varphi_J(2j+2) \notin E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. It follows that $M \cap V(K) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $M \subseteq V(J)$, and hence M is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(J)]$. Since $|M| \geq 2$, we have $w(J) \geq 1$. By Remark 37, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(J)]$ is prime. Therefore, $M = V(J)$, which contradicts (27).
2. Suppose that $M \cap \{\varphi_J(2j+1) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J) - 1\} = \emptyset$. By (27), we have $M \cap V(J) = \emptyset$, and hence $M \subseteq V(K)$. As previously for J , we obtain $w(K) \geq 1$ and $M = V(K)$, which is impossible because $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ and $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(1) \notin E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$.

It follows that $X \subseteq M$. Recall that $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(2j)\varphi_K(2k) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ for $0 \leq j \leq w(J)$ and $0 \leq k \leq w(K)$. Let $j \in \{0, \dots, w(J)\}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, w(K)\}$. We have $\varphi_I(0) \in M$ because $X \subseteq M$. Since $\varphi_I(1)\varphi_J(2j)\varphi_K(2k) \notin E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ and M is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[X \cup V(J) \cup V(K)]$, we obtain $\varphi_J(2j), \varphi_K(2k) \in M$. It follows that $X \cup \{\varphi_J(2j) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J)\} \cup \{\varphi_K(2k) : 0 \leq k \leq w(K)\} \subseteq M$. Clearly, $V(J) \subseteq M$ when $w(J) = 0$. Suppose that $w(J) \geq 1$. By Remark 37, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(J)]$ is prime. Since $M \cap V(J)$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(J)]$ such that $\{\varphi_J(2j) : 0 \leq j \leq w(J)\} \subseteq (M \cap V(J))$, we obtain $V(J) \subseteq M$. Similarly, $V(K) \subseteq M$. Consequently, $M = X \cup V(J) \cup V(K)$. \square

In the next four results, we compare the modules of \mathbb{H} with those of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$.

Lemma 41. *Suppose that \mathbb{H} is connected. For each nontrivial module M of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we have $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, $|M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)| \geq 2$, and $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a module of \mathbb{H} .*

Proof. Let M be a nontrivial module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. First, to prove that $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, it suffices to show that $V(C) \subseteq M$ for every $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. To begin, consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma))$. If $w(C) = 0$, then we clearly have $V(C) \subseteq M$. Hence, suppose that $w(C) \geq 1$. By Remark 37, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$ is prime. For a contradiction, suppose that $|V(C) \cap M| = 1$. Denote by c the unique element of $V(C) \cap M$. Since $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$ is prime, there exist distinct $d, d' \in V(C) \setminus M$ such that $cdd' \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. Since $|M| \geq 2$, there exists $v \in M \setminus V(C)$. Since M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we obtain $vdd' \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, which contradicts the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. It follows that $|V(C) \cap M| \geq 2$. Since $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]$ is prime, $V(C) \subseteq M$. Now, consider $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma) \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma))$. Since \mathbb{H} is connected, there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $CD \in E(\mathbb{H})$. For a contradiction, suppose that $|V(C) \cap M| = 1$. Denote by c the unique element of $V(C) \cap M$. There exists $c' \in V(C) \setminus M$ such that $cc'\varphi_D(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. By Remark 38, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}]$ is prime. Hence, if $|(V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}) \cap M| \geq 2$, then $V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\} \subseteq M$, which contradicts $|V(C) \cap M| = 1$. Therefore, we have $|(V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}) \cap M| = 1$. Since $|M| \geq 2$, there exists $v \in M \setminus (V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\})$. Since M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$,

we obtain $vc'\varphi_D(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, which contradicts the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. It follows that $|V(C) \cap M| \geq 2$. Since $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\}]$ is prime, we obtain $V(C) \cup \{\varphi_D(0)\} \subseteq M$. Consequently, we have $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$.

Second, we prove that $|M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)| \geq 2$. If $v(C) = 1$ for each $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$, then $|M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)| \geq 2$ because $|M| \geq 2$. Hence, consider $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ such that $v(C) \geq 2$. Since \mathbb{H} is connected, there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $C \in \varepsilon$. By Lemma 40, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[\overline{\varepsilon}]$ is prime. We have $V(C) \subseteq M$ because $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$. Since $v(C) \geq 2$, we get $\overline{\varepsilon} \subseteq M$. Thus, we have $\varepsilon \subseteq (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma))$, so $|M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)| \geq 2$.

Third, we prove that $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a module of \mathbb{H} . Let $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\varepsilon \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon \setminus (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$. We distinguish the following two cases.

- Suppose that $|\varepsilon| = 2$. There exist $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = CD$. Thus, there exist distinct $c, c' \in V(C)$ and $d \in V(D)$ such that $cc'd \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. For a contradiction, suppose that $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $\varepsilon \setminus (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$, we have $D \notin M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we have $V(C) \subseteq M$ and $V(D) \cap M = \emptyset$. We obtain $cc'd \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, with $c, c' \in V(C) \cap M$ and $d \in V(D) \setminus M$, which contradicts the fact that M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. It follows that $D \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ and $C \notin M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we have $V(D) \subseteq M$ and $V(C) \cap M = \emptyset$. We obtain $cc'd \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, with $c, c' \in V(C) \setminus M$ and $d \in V(D) \cap M$. Since M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we obtain

$$cc'x \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \text{ for every } x \in M. \quad (28)$$

Consider $D' \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we have $V(D') \subseteq M$. Let $d' \in V(D')$. By (28), $cc'd' \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. It follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that $CD' \in E(\mathbb{H})$.

- Suppose that $|\varepsilon| = 3$. There exist distinct $I, J, K \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = IJK$. Moreover, we can assume that $I \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ and $K \notin M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we have $V(I) \subseteq M$ and $V(K) \cap M = \emptyset$. By the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we obtain $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. Since M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we have $\varphi_J(0) \notin M$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we obtain $V(J) \cap M = \emptyset$. Thus, $\varphi_I(0)\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, with $\varphi_I(0) \in M$ and $\varphi_J(0), \varphi_K(0) \notin M$. Since M is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we obtain

$$x\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \text{ for every } x \in M. \quad (29)$$

Consider any $L \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Since $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$, we have $V(L) \subseteq M$. Let $d \in V(L)$. By (29), $d\varphi_J(0)\varphi_K(0) \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. Since J, K, L are distinct element of $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$, it follows from the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ that $JKL \in E(\mathbb{H})$.

Consequently, $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a module of \mathbb{H} . □

Lemma 42. *Suppose that \mathbb{H} is connected. For a nontrivial module \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{H} , we have $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ or $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{M} be a nontrivial module of \mathbb{H} . Suppose that $\mathbb{M} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$. We have to show that $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$. Since $\mathbb{M} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, there exists $C \in \mathbb{M} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$. Since \mathbb{H} is connected and $\mathbb{M} \not\subseteq V(\mathbb{H})$, there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{M} \neq \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, since \mathbb{M} is a module of \mathbb{H} , we have $|\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{M}| = 1$. It follows that $(\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}) \cup \{C\} \in E(\mathbb{H})$. Since $C \in \mathbb{M} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$, we obtain $|\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}| = 1$ and $(\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$. Lastly, consider $D \in \mathbb{M}$. Since \mathbb{M} is a module of \mathbb{H} , we have $(\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}) \cup \{D\} \in E(\mathbb{H})$. Since $|\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}| \cup \{D\}| = 2$ and $(\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$, we obtain $D \in (\mathbb{M} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma))$. Consequently, we have $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$. \square

The next result follows from Lemmas 41 and 42.

Corollary 43. *Suppose that \mathbb{H} is connected. For each nontrivial module M of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we have $M \subseteq V_1(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let M be a nontrivial module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. It follows from Lemma 41 that $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a nontrivial module of \mathbb{H} . By Lemma 42, $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ or $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$. Since \mathbb{H} is connected, there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\varepsilon \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon \setminus (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$, there exist $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ and $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon = CD$. By Lemma 41, we have $M = \overline{M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)}$. Therefore, we obtain $V(C) \subseteq M$ and $V(D) \cap M = \emptyset$. It follows that $V(C)$ is a nontrivial module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C) \cup V(D)]$, which contradicts Lemma 40. Consequently, we have $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $M \setminus V_1(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, there exists $D \in (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \cap (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma))$. Since \mathbb{H} is connected, there exists $\varepsilon \in E(\mathbb{H})$ such that $\varepsilon \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varepsilon \setminus (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a module of \mathbb{H} , there exists $C \in M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ such that $\varepsilon \cap (M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)) = \{C\}$ and $(\varepsilon \setminus \{C\}) \cup \{D\} \in E(\mathbb{H})$. By Lemma 41, we have $V(D) \subseteq M$. We obtain that $V(D)$ is a nontrivial module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[(\varepsilon \setminus \{C\}) \cup \{D\}]$, which contradicts Lemma 40. Consequently, $M \subseteq V_1(\Gamma)$. \square

Lemma 44. *Given a module \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{H} , if $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$, then $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is a module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{M} be a module of \mathbb{H} . Suppose that $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$. Let $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ such that $e \cap \overline{\mathbb{M}} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \overline{\mathbb{M}} \neq \emptyset$. There exist $c \in e \cap \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ and $x \in e \setminus \overline{\mathbb{M}}$. We have $\{c\} \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Consider $C_x \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ such that $x \in C_x$. For a contradiction, suppose that $e = cdx$, where $d \in \overline{\mathbb{M}} \setminus \{c\}$. We have $\{d\} \in \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \setminus \{\{c\}\}$. Since $cdx \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, we obtain $\{c\}\{d\}C_x \in E(\mathbb{H})$, which contradicts the fact that \mathbb{M} is a module of \mathbb{H} . It follows that $e = cxy$, where $y \in V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{M}}$. Consider $C_y \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ such that $y \in C_y$. Given $d \in \overline{\mathbb{M}}$, we have to verify that $dxy \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. We have $\{d\} \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$. Note that we can have $C_x = C_y$. We distinguish the following two cases.

- Suppose that $C_x = C_y$. Since $cxy \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, we obtain $C_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$, $\{x, y\} = \{\varphi_{C_x}(2i), \varphi_{C_x}(2j+1)\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C_x) - 1$, and $\{c\}C_x \in$

$E(\mathbb{H})$. Since \mathbb{M} is a module of \mathbb{H} , $\{d\}C_x \in E(\mathbb{H})$. Since $C_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$ and $\{x, y\} = \{\varphi_{C_x}(2i), \varphi_{C_x}(2j+1)\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq j \leq w(C_x) - 1$, we obtain $dxy \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$.

- Suppose that $C_x \neq C_y$. Since $cxy \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, we obtain $C_x, C_y \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$, $\{x, y\} = \{\varphi_{C_x}(2i), \varphi_{C_y}(2j)\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq w(C_x)$ and $0 \leq j \leq w(C_y)$, and $\{c\}C_x C_y \in E(\mathbb{H})$. Since \mathbb{M} is a module of \mathbb{H} , $\{d\}C_x C_y \in E(\mathbb{H})$. Since $C_x, C_y \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ and $\{x, y\} = \{\varphi_{C_x}(2i), \varphi_{C_y}(2j)\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq w(C_x)$ and $0 \leq j \leq w(C_y)$, we obtain $dxy \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. \square

Proof of Proposition 18. To begin, suppose that $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is decomposable. If \mathbb{H} is disconnected, then Assertion (C1) does not hold. Hence, suppose that \mathbb{H} is connected. Consider a nontrivial module M of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. It follows from Lemma 41 that $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)$ is a nontrivial module of \mathbb{H} . Furthermore, we have $M \subseteq V_1(\Gamma)$ by Corollary 43. Thus, we have $M/\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$. Consequently, Assertion (C2) does not hold.

Conversely, if \mathbb{H} is disconnected, then it follows from Lemma 39 that $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is decomposable. Hence, suppose that \mathbb{H} is connected. Moreover, suppose that there exists a nontrivial module \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{H} such that $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$. It follows from Lemma 44 that $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is a nontrivial module of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. Hence, $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is decomposable. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 17

In the next remark, we describe a simple way to obtain automorphisms of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$.

Remark 45. For every $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$, consider the function

$$F_C : \begin{array}{lll} V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) & \longrightarrow & V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \\ \varphi_C(m) \ (0 \leq m \leq v(C) - 1) & \longmapsto & \varphi_C(v(C) - 1 - m) \\ v \notin V(C) & \longmapsto & v. \end{array}$$

Clearly, F_C is an automorphism of Γ . It is easy to verify that F_C is an automorphism of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. Moreover, consider a permutation F of $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ such that $F(v) = v$ for each $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$. If for every $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$, $F|_{V(C)} = (F_C)|_{V(C)}$ or $\text{Id}_{V(C)}$, then F is an automorphism of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$.

The next two results are useful to study the criticality of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$. They allow us to prove Theorem 17.

Lemma 46. *Given $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$, the following assertions hold*

1. $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0)$;
2. $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(2w(C)-1), \varphi_C(2w(C))\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2w(C))$;
3. if $w(C) \geq 1$, then for $m \in \{1, \dots, 2w(C) - 1\}$, $\{\varphi_C(m-1), \varphi_C(m+1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(m)$.

Proof. We begin with the first assertion. For every $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, if $\varphi_C(1) \in e$, then $e \subseteq V(C)$ and $\varphi_C(0) \in e$. Consequently, there does not exist $e \in E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0))$ such that $\varphi_C(1) \in e$. Therefore, $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0)$.

For the second assertion, recall that the function F_C is an automorphism of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ (see Remark 45). It follows from the first assertion above that $F_C(V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\})$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - F_C(\varphi_C(0))$, that is, $V(C) \setminus \{\varphi_C(2w(C) - 1), \varphi_C(2w(C))\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2w(C))$.

For the third assertion, suppose that $w(C) \geq 1$. Let $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$. By (26), $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]) - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. Let $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ such that $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, $|e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}| = 1$, $|e \setminus V(C)| = 2$, and $(e \setminus V(C)) \cup \{\varphi_C(2i)\}$, $(e \setminus V(C)) \cup \{\varphi_C(2i+2)\} \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$. Thus, $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. Lastly, suppose that $w(C) \geq 2$, and consider $i \in \{1, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$. By (26), $\{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\}$ is a module of $((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})[V(C)]) - \varphi_C(2i)$. For every $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$, if $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\} \neq \emptyset$, then $e \subseteq V(C)$. Therefore, $\{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i)$. \square

Lemma 47. *Given $C \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{even}}(\Gamma)$, the following assertions hold*

1. $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0)$;
2. $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(2w(C) - 2), \varphi_C(2w(C) - 1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2w(C) - 1)$;
3. if $w(C) \geq 2$, then for $m \in \{1, \dots, 2w(C) - 2\}$, $\{\varphi_C(m-1), \varphi_C(m+1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(m)$.

Proof. For the first assertion, consider $e \in E(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H})$ such that $\varphi_C(1) \in e$. By definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, there exists $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ such that $e = \varphi_C(0)\varphi_C(1)\varphi_D(2k)$, where $0 \leq k \leq w(D)$. Consequently, there does not exist $e \in E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0))$ such that $\varphi_C(1) \in e$. It follows that $V(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\varphi_C(0), \varphi_C(1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(0)$.

As in the proof of the second assertion of Lemma 46, the second assertion is deduced from the first one by using Remark 45.

For the third assertion, suppose that $w(C) \geq 2$. Consider $i \in \{1, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$. We prove that $\{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i)$. Let $e \in E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i))$ such that $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\} \neq \emptyset$. By definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, there exist $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} e = \varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2i-1)\varphi_D(2k), \text{ where } j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\} \\ \text{or} \\ e = \varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2i+1)\varphi_D(2k), \text{ where } j \in \{0, \dots, i\}. \end{cases}$$

In the second instance, we have $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$ because $\varphi_C(2i) \notin e$. By the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we have $\varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2i-1)\varphi_D(2k), \varphi_C(2j)\varphi_C(2i+1)\varphi_D(2k) \in$

$E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i))$. Thus, $\{\varphi_C(2i-1), \varphi_C(2i+1)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i)$. Lastly, consider $i \in \{0, \dots, w(C) - 2\}$. We prove that $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. Let $e \in E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i+1))$ such that $e \cap \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\} \neq \emptyset$ and $e \setminus \{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\} \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, there exist $D \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{odd}}(\Gamma)$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, w(D)\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} e = \varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k), \text{ where } j \in \{i, \dots, w(C) - 1\} \\ \text{or} \\ e = \varphi_C(2i+2)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k), \text{ where } j \in \{i+1, \dots, w(C) - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

In the first instance, we have $j \in \{i+1, \dots, w(C) - 1\}$ because $\varphi_C(2i+1) \notin e$. By the definition of $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$, we have $\varphi_C(2i)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k), \varphi_C(2i+2)\varphi_C(2j+1)\varphi_D(2k) \in E((\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i+1))$. Thus, $\{\varphi_C(2i), \varphi_C(2i+2)\}$ is a module of $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - \varphi_C(2i+1)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 17. To begin, suppose that $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical. In particular, $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is prime. By Proposition 18, Assertions (C1) and (C2) hold. For Assertion (C3), consider $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$. We have $\{v\} \in \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $\mathbb{H} - \{v\}$ is connected. Since $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical, $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - v$ is decomposable. We have

$$(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - v = (\Gamma - v) \bullet (\mathbb{H} - \{v\}).$$

Since $\mathbb{H} - \{v\}$ is connected, it follows from Proposition 18 applied to $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - v$ that there exists a nontrivial module $\mathbb{M}_{\{v\}}$ of $\mathbb{H} - \{v\}$ such that $\mathbb{M}_{\{v\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma - v)$. Since $\mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma - v) = \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \setminus \{\{v\}\}$, we have $\mathbb{M}_{\{v\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma) \setminus \{\{v\}\}$.

Conversely, suppose that Assertions (C1), (C2), and (C3) hold. Since Assertions (C1) and (C2) hold, it follows from Proposition 18 that $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is prime. Furthermore, it follows from Assertion (C3) and Proposition 18 that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - v$ is decomposable for each $v \in V_1(\Gamma)$. Lastly, consider $v \in V(\Gamma) \setminus V_1(\Gamma)$. There exists $C \in \mathfrak{C}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathfrak{C}_1(\Gamma)$ such that $v \in V(C)$. It follows from Lemmas 46 and 47 that $(\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}) - v$ is decomposable. Consequently, $\Gamma \bullet \mathbb{H}$ is critical. \square

Declarations

Funding: not applicable.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: not applicable.

Availability of data and material (data transparency): not applicable.

Code availability (software application or custom code): not applicable.

References

- [1] Y. Boudabbous, P. Ille, Indecomposability graph and critical vertices of an indecomposable graph, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009) 2839–2846.
- [2] Y. Boudabbous, P. Ille, B. Jouve, A. Salhi, Critically twin primitive 2-structures, *Graphs Combin.* 31 (2015) 1223–1247.

- [3] A. Boussaïri, B. Chergui, P. Ille, M. Zaidi, 3-uniform hypergraphs: modular decomposition and realization by tournaments, *Contrib. Discrete Math.* 15 (2020) 121–153.
- [4] A. Boussaïri, B. Chergui, P. Ille, M. Zaidi, Prime 3-uniform hypergraphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics* (2021).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-021-02391-w>
- [5] A. Boussaïri, P. Ille, G. Lopez, S. Thomassé, The C_3 -structure of the tournaments, *Discrete Math.* 277 (2004) 29–43.
- [6] M. Burley, J.P. Uhry, Parity graphs, *Ann. Discrete Math.* 16 (1982) 1–26.
- [7] A. Ehrenfeucht, T. Harju, G. Rozenberg, *The Theory of 2-Structures, A Framework for Decomposition and Transformation of Graphs*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [8] D. Haglin, M. Wolf, On convex subsets in tournaments, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 9 (1996) 63–70.
- [9] P. Ille, Recognition problem in reconstruction for decomposable relations, in: B. Sands, N. Sauer, R. Woodrow (Eds.), *Finite and Infinite Combinatorics in Sets and Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993, pp. 189–198.
- [10] P. Ille, Indecomposable graphs, *Discrete Math.* 173 (1997) 71–78.
- [11] J.H. Schmerl, W.T. Trotter, Critically indecomposable partially ordered sets, graphs, tournaments and other binary relational structures, *Discrete Math.* 113 (1993), 191–205.
- [12] J. Spinrad, P4-trees and substitution decomposition, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 39 (1992) 263–291.