

Analysis of defense-related gene expression and leaf metabolome in wheat during the early infection stages of Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici

Thierry Allario, Alice Fourquez, Maryline Magnin-Robert, Ali Siah, Matthieu Gaucher, Alessandra Maia-Grondard, Marie-Noelle Brisset, Philippe Hugueney, Philippe Reignault, Raymonde Baltenweck, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Thierry Allario, Alice Fourquez, Maryline Magnin-Robert, Ali Siah, Matthieu Gaucher, et al.. Analysis of defense-related gene expression and leaf metabolome in wheat during the early infection stages of Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici. Phytopathology, 2023, 113 (8), pp.1537-1547. 10.1094/PHYTO-10-22-0364-R . hal-04091467

HAL Id: hal-04091467 https://hal.science/hal-04091467v1

Submitted on 9 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Page 1 of 39

1	Analysis of defense-related gene expression and leaf metabolome in wheat during the
2	early infection stages of Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici
3	
4	Thierry Allario, ^{1*} Alice Fourquez, ^{1*} Maryline Magnin-Robert, ¹ Ali Siah, ² Alessandra
5	Maia-Grondard, ⁴ Matthieu Gaucher, ³ Marie-Noelle Brisset, ³ Philippe Hugueney, ⁴
6	Philippe Reignault, ¹ Raymonde Baltenweck, ^{4* §} , Beatrice Randoux ^{1* §}
7	
8	⁽¹⁾ Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, Unité de Chimie Environnementale et Interactions sur le
9	Vivant (UCEIV-UR 4492), SFR Condorcet FR CNRS 3417, CS 80699, F-62228, Calais
10	cedex, France.
11	⁽²⁾ Joint Research Unit 1158 BioEcoAgro, Junia, Univ. Lille, Univ. Liège, UPJV, ULCO,
12	Univ. Artois, INRAE, 2 Rue Norbert Ségard, F-59014, Lille, France.
13	⁽³⁾ IRHS-UMR1345, Université d'Angers, INRAE, Institut Agro, SFR 4207 QuaSaV, F-
14	49071,
15	42 rue Georges Morel, F-49071 Beaucouzé cedex, France.
16	⁽⁴⁾ Université de Strasbourg, INRAE, SVQV UMR-A 1131, F-68000 Colmar, France.
17	
18	* These authors contributed equally to this work
19	§ Corresponding authors: Beatrice Randoux; E-mail: <u>Beatrice.Randoux@univ-</u>
20	littoral.fr; Raymonde Baltenweck; E-mail: raymonde.baltenweck@inrae.fr
21	
22	Keywords: Wheat - RT-qPCR - Metabolomic - Powdery mildew - Plant defense-
23	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides – Pipecolic acid – Phenylpropanoid pathway
24	

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

Funding: This research was conducted in the framework of the project CPER
Alibiotech, funded by the European Union, the French State, the French Region Hauts
de France and ULCO.

28

29 ABSTRACT

30

31 Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici (Bgt) is an obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen 32 responsible for powdery mildew in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Upon Bat 33 infection, the wheat plant activates basal defense mechanisms namely PAMP-34 triggered immunity (PTI) in the leaves during the first few days. Understanding this 35 early stage of quantitative resistance is crucial for developing new breeding tools and 36 evaluating plant resistance inducers for sustainable agricultural practices. In this 37 sense, we used a combination of transcriptomic and metabolomic approaches to 38 analyze the early steps of the interaction between *Bat* and the moderately susceptible 39 wheat cultivar Pakito. Bgt infection resulted in an increasing expression of genes 40 encoding pathogenesis-related proteins (PR-proteins, PR1, PR4, PR5 and PR8), 41 known to target the pathogen, during the first 48 hours post-inoculation. Moreover, RT-42 qPCR and metabolomic analyses pointed out the importance of the phenylpropanoid pathway in quantitative resistance against Bgt. Among metabolites linked to this 43 44 pathway, hydroxycinnamic acid amides containing agmatine and putrescine as amine 45 component accumulated from the second to the fourth day after inoculation. This suggests their involvement in quantitative resistance via cross-linking processes in cell 46 47 wall for reinforcement, what is supported by the up-regulation of PAL (phenylalanine 48 ammonia-lyase), PR15 (encoding an oxalate oxidase) and POX (peroxidase) after 49 inoculation. Finally, pipecolic acid, which is considered as a signal involved in systemic

Page 3 of 39

50	acquired resistance (SAR), accumulated after inoculation. These new insights lead to
51	a better understanding of basal defense in wheat leaves after Bgt infection.

- 52
- 53
- 54

55 INTRODUCTION

56

57 Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the most widespread staple food for humans with 58 a constant increasing demand due to the growing human population (FAO, 2018). This 59 major food crop is constantly threatened by attacks from diverse foliar fungi, and 60 particularly the biotrophic ascomycete fungus Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici (Bgt) 61 causing the powdery mildew disease. Powdery mildew is widely dispersed in 62 temperate and humid regions of the world and provokes powdery white sporulating colonies emerging on wheat leaves and stems. This foliar disease affects wheat grain 63 64 quality and can lead to severe yield losses up to 34% (Gao et al., 2018), and 65 particularly when the fungus affects the ears. Plants suffer as a result of the rerouting 66 of nutrients into the fungus (Mwale et al., 2014; Gao et al. 2018). This fungus 67 overwinters mainly on plant debris with ascospores produced by sexual reproduction, which serve as primary inoculum in favorable environmental conditions. New fungal 68 69 infections are produced by wind-dispersed spores blowing onto uninfected plants, and 70 successive asexual reproduction cycles are responsible for the disease spreading. The 71 generation time (time from spore germination to spore production) of the powdery 72 mildew fungus can be as short as 7 to 10 days and a large number of spores may be 73 produced each asexual generation. The infection process begins when spores germinate and form first a primary germ tube. Then a second germ tube, called 74

Page 4 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

appressorial germ tube, emerges from the spore and forms the appressorium which enters the epidermal cell wall and generates a feeding structure called haustorium by invagination of the plasmalemma. The haustorial plasma membrane establishes a very close interface with the host plasma membrane and extracellular matrix to absorb plant host nutrients (Mwale et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2018). Mycelium develops on leaf surface and new appressoria and haustoria are formed. Asexual spores are then produced again and are rapidly dispersed by wind to infect other wheat plants.

82

83 Because the use of fungicides is not environmentally friendly, cultivation of resistant 84 cultivars appears to be the most effective, economical, and environmentally safe 85 approach to control powdery mildew disease (Gao et al., 2018). The ability of host 86 plants to impede a given microbial pathogen to colonize its tissues determine the 87 degree of susceptibility of the plant to this pathogen (Dangl and Jones, 2001; Jones 88 and Dangl, 2006). To counterattack pathogen infection, plants have developed the 89 ability to sense pathogens and to initiate immune responses. In the case of a biotrophic 90 pathogen, such as *Bgt*, two interconnected defense lines leading to plant immunity 91 have been depicted with namely, the pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered 92 immunity (PTI) and the effector-triggered immunity (ETI). First, molecular structure of 93 constitutive compounds of pathogen cell wall, such as chitin and glucans during a 94 plant-pathogenic fungus interaction, can be recognized by the plant cell as pathogen-95 associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), thanks to transmembrane pattern recognition 96 receptors (PRRs). After recognition, the PAMP-Triggered Immunity (PTI), also known 97 as basal resistance, is activated to impede pathogen proliferation (Nürnberger et al., 98 2004; Zipfel and Felix, 2005). Nevertheless, successful pathogens are able to produce 99 effectors disrupting PTI and strengthening pathogen virulence, which results in

Page 5 of 39

100 effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS; Jones and Dangl, 2006). For instance, the 101 RNase-like effector CSEP0064/BEC1054 produced by Bgt has recently been 102 proposed to inhibit the plant ribosome-inactivating proteins (RIPs) which, otherwise, 103 could lead to host cell death at the infection site and could prevent the colonization of 104 wheat by pathogen fungi (Pennington et al., 2019). Nevertheless, effectors secreted 105 by the pathogen can in turn be identified by a specialized group of plant resistance (R) 106 proteins that induce the effector-triggered immunity (ETI) mobilizing highly efficient 107 plant defense reactions. Plant breeders have mostly developed a breeding strategy 108 conferring a race-specific resistance through hypersensitive reactions (Huang and 109 Röder, 2004, Chen et al., 2005), corresponding to ETI expression. In wheat, up to now, 110 88 powdery mildew resistance (Pm) genes or alleles have been identified (McIntosh et 111 al., 2019: Li et al., 2020: Zhu et al., 2020). However, this kind of qualitative resistance 112 is frequently overcome with the emergence of new races of fungus presenting the 113 corresponding virulence genes (Miedaner et al., 1993; Zhu et al., 2020). Another 114 breeding strategy in wheat, which is race-nonspecific and known as "slow-mildewing" 115 or "partial resistance", consists to play on a set of factors leading to slow down the 116 disease progress and allow the plant to mature before the manifestation of damaging 117 symptoms (Piarulli et al., 2012). Indeed, principal differences between PTI and ETI are 118 quantitative and/or temporal rather than qualitative, engaging plants and microbial 119 pathogens in a race-to-survive which determine plant resistance or plant susceptibility 120 (Yuan et al., 2021; Katagiri et al., 2004). Moreover, new control strategies of plant 121 diseases are currently explored to bring about new control means meeting the 122 requirements of efficacy and environmental protection. Exogenous application of 123 elicitors can result in an induced resistance in susceptible cultivars, via direct induction 124 or priming of plant defenses able to impede or limit a specific step of the fungus

Page 6 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

infectious process (Walters et al., 2013). Understanding basal defense associated with PTI is then crucial to select effective elicitors leading to plant protection. In this sense, exploring the plant responses during a compatible interaction between a moderately susceptible cultivar and an infectious strain of *Bgt* may highlight pathways that could be triggered for induced resistance.

130 In this study, we combined a transcripts analysis with targeted and untargeted 131 metabolomic analysis in order to better characterize the compatible interaction 132 between Bqt and a moderately susceptible cultivar of Triticum aestivum L (cv. Pakito). We used a biomolecular RT-qPCR based low-density microarray tool to analyze the 133 134 expression of 26 genes, considered as molecular markers of the main defense mechanisms, such as genes encoding PR proteins, genes involved in phenylpropanoid 135 136 pathway, isoprenoid pathway, antioxidant system, synthesis of parietal compounds for 137 reinforcement of cell walls or others signaling pathways (Brisset et Dugé de 138 Bernonville, 2011; Dugé de Bernonville et al., 2014). In parallel, targeted and 139 untargeted metabolomic analysis using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography 140 coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry highlighted major changes in wheat leaf 141 metabolome in response to Bgt infection, which could be linked with the induction of 142 specific defense-related genes.

143

144 MATERIALS AND METHODS

145

146 Biological material and growth conditions

147

Page 7 of 39

148 Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cv. Pakito, which was provided by RAGT (Rodez, 149 France), is susceptible to the MPEBgt1 powdery mildew isolate of Blumeria graminis 150 f. sp. tritici (Bgt) (Tayeh et al., 2015; Mustafa et al., 2017). Twenty four wheat grains 151 were soaked overnight in water, then grown into travs containing compost (600 g 152 Terreau Floradur A 70L, Ref 16311470) and transferred in culture chambers 153 (Panasonic, MLR-352H) with a relative humidity of 70%, a photoperiod of 12h/12h, a 154 luminous intensity of 250 µmol.m⁻².s⁻¹, a day temperature of 18°C and a night 155 temperature of 12°C (Randoux et al., 2006).

The fungus was inoculated and maintained on cv. Alixan (Limagrain, Vertaizon, France) in a separate chamber (Randoux et al., 2006). Following a method previously established by Randoux et al. (2006), heavily sporulating leaves were shaken above 11-day old plants in order to obtain fresh sporulating leaves after 10 days for the fungal inoculations in this study.

161

162 Inoculation of wheat plants

163

Twelve-day-old wheat plantlets were inoculated with 5 mL of a suspension of Bgt 164 165 spores (500 000 spores/mL in Fluorinert FC43, heptacosafluorotributhylamine 3M, 166 Cergy-Pontoise, France) with a sprayer (ITW Surfaces et Finitions, Valence, France). 167 Mock-infected plants were spraved with 5 mL of Fluorinert FC43 168 (heptacosafluorotributhylamine 3M, Cergy-Pontoise, France). Twelve days after 169 inoculation, the symptoms were checked through the development of white colonies 170 on the first leaf, what confirms the successful infection of plantlets by Bgt.

171

Page 8 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

172 RNA extraction and real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase173 chain reaction analysis of gene expression

174

175 Samplings for the analysis of defense gene expression were carried out on the first 176 leaves of seedlings, 24 and 48 hours post-inoculation (hpi) by Bgt and corresponding 177 control plants. Sampled leaves were frozen in liquid N and stored at -80°C before use. 178 Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of grounded leaf tissues using the QIAGEN 179 RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions with few modifications concerning 5 additional steps of washing with RPE buffer 180 181 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA contaminating the samples was removed 182 by treatment with the RNAse-Free DNase Set (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA was 183 eluted with 50 µl of RNase Free water (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA guality was 184 checked by running all RNA extracts on TAE Agarose gel 1% and RNA guantification 185 was performed by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm using a biophotometer 186 (Eppendorf, Hambourg, Germany). In total, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed 187 using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermofisher scientific -188 ancient ref. Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's 189 protocol. The expression level of wheat targeted genes was assessed by quantitative 190 PCR using the bio-molecular tool patended by Brisset and Dugé de Bernonville (2011). 191 The tool allows the simultaneous quantification of expression of 26 genes that 192 represent a wide diversity of very well-known defense mechanisms in plants i.e. PR 193 proteins, secondary metabolism, oxidative stress, cell-wall modification, salicylic acid 194 (SA)/jasmonic acid (JA)/ethylene (ET) signaling pathways. Reactions were carried on 195 a Biorad CFX connect (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) using the SYBR SsoAdvanced 196 Universal Green Supermix (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the

Page 9 of 39

197 manufacturer's protocol and the following thermal profile: 15 s at 95°C (denaturation) 198 and 1 min at 60°C (annealing/extension) for 40 cycles. Melting curve assay was 199 performed from 65 to 95°C at 0.5°C/s. Melting peaks were visualized for checking the 200 specificity of each amplification. The real time PCR analysis concerns twenty-six genes 201 associated to several wheat defense mechanisms as listed in Table 1. Tubß, GADPH 202 and Actin were used as internal reference genes for normalization. The results 203 represent the relative expression in leaf tissues of pathogen-inoculated plants (I) 204 versus those corresponding to control (NI) according to the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method described by Schmittgen and Livak (2008) and expressed in log2 fold change. Values of gene 205 206 expression level are the average of gene expressions of three distinct plants (biological 207 replicates) for which three gene expression analysis per plant were made for each 208 gene studied (technical replicates). Two independent experiments (Exp.1 and Exp.2) 209 were realized to confirm the results.

210

211 Targeted metabolomic analysis

212

213 Sampling of infected (I) and mock-infected (NI = non-infected) plants was performed 214 24, 48, 72- and 96-hours post-inoculation (hpi), using nine biological replicates for each 215 condition and time point. Leaves were collected, frozen into liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until analysis. Leaves were freeze-dried for 24 hours (Freezone 2.5, 216 217 Labconco, Kansas City, USA) and each sample was weighed (dry weights were 218 between 20 and 25 mg). Leaves were then transferred into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes and 219 2 stainless steel beads (3.2 mm diameter, Dutscher, Brumath, France) were added. 220 The leaves were crushed 4 times during 30 s at a speed of 30 movements/s in a bead 221 mill (TissueLyser II, Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) to obtain a powder. Metabolites were

Page 10 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

222 extracted with methanol (25 µL/mg dry weight) supplemented with 1 µg.mL⁻¹ apigenin 223 and 5 µg.mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich) as internal standards. The samples 224 were sonicated in an ultrasonic bath (FB15050, Fisher Scientific, Hampton, USA) for 225 10 min and centrifugated at 12000 g for 15 min. Supernatants were analyzed by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography coupled to high resolution mass spectrometry 226 (UHPLC-HRMS) as described previously (de Borba et al., 2021). Metabolites 227 228 belonging to different chemical families were identified based on published works 229 about benzoxazinoids (de Bruijn et al., 2016), flavonoids (Wojakowska et al., 2013) and hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAAs) (Li et al., 2018) from wheat. Putative 230 231 metabolite identifications were proposed based on expertized analysis of the corresponding mass spectra and comparison with published literature. Relative 232 233 quantification of the selected metabolites was performed using the Xcalibur software 234 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For some metabolites, identity was 235 confirmed with the corresponding standard provided by Sigma-Aldrich (France). 236 Differential metabolomic analyses were performed using the Tukey's Honest 237 Significant Difference (HSD) method followed by a false discovery rate (FDR) correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). 238 239 Metabolites of interest were considered differentially accumulated when the false 240 discovery rate was below 5% (FDR < 0.05).

241

242 Non-targeted metabolomic analysis

243

For non-targeted metabolomic analysis, raw data were converted into mzXML format using MSConvert. mzXML data were organized into eight classes according to the infection status (NI/I) and hpi conditions plants. They were then processed using the

Page 11 of 39

247 XCMS software package (Smith et al. 2006). Settings of XCMS were as follows: the 248 method to extract and detect ions used was "centWave", ppm (parts per million) = 3, 249 noise = 30 000, mzdiff = 0.001 (mzdiff representing the minimum difference in m/z 250 dimension required for peaks with overlapping retention times), prefilter = c (5,15000), 251 which means that mass traces are only retained for the analyses if they contain at least 252 5 peaks with intensity \geq 15000, souther signal to noise ratio cutoff) = 6, 253 peak width = c (5,35). Peaks were aligned using the obiwarp function using the 254 following group density settings: bw (defining the bandwidth (standard deviation) to be used) = 10, mzwid (defining the width of overlapping m/z slices to use for creating peak 255 256 density chromatograms and grouping peaks across samples) = 0.005, minimum 257 fraction of samples for group validation: 0.5. Using these settings, 3140 ion identifiers 258 were generated by XCMS script as MxxxTyyy, where xxx is the m/z ratio and yyy the 259 retention time in seconds. For each ion identifier in each sample, relative quantitation 260 data (peak area) were used for statistical analyzes. Differentially accumulated 261 metabolites that discriminate I from NI plants were selected as follows: the "diffrepport" 262 function of the XCMS software was used to perform a two-group Welch t-test for each ion. lons significantly differentially accumulated were selected based on a p-value 263 264 threshold < 0.05 and a fold change threshold \geq 2. Comparison of I and NI leaves at 48 265 hpi, resulted in 81 differentially accumulated ions (Supp. Table 3), which were sorted by retention time (RT) in order to group ions potentially originating from the same 266 molecule. Putative molecular formulas were proposed based on the precise mass of 267 268 the ions, isotopic ratios, adducts and loss of neutrals, as well as by comparison with 269 published literature.

270

271

Page 12 of 39

Thierry Allario Phytopathology

272 **RESULTS**

273

Effect of *Bgt* infection on the expression of defense-related genes in leaf tissues 275

276 The relative expression level of 26 defense-related genes of wheat was monitored 24 277 and 48 hours post-inoculation (hpi) by Bgt spores of twelve-day-old plantlets. The 278 functions of these genes are described in Supp Table 1. The average expression level 279 of each gene was measured in inoculated plants (I-plants) compared to non-inoculated plants (NI-plants) and reported on a heatmap profile (Fig.1) for two independent 280 281 experiments (Exp.1 and Exp.2). Genes with different patterns in their expression levels (i.e. no expression change, up-regulation and down-regulation) between the 282 283 experiment 1 and the experiment 2 were not considered. Most of genes encoding PR-284 proteins were strongly induced during the first day after inoculation. Indeed, PR1 285 (pathogenesis-related protein 1), PR4 (pathogenesis-related protein 4 – hevein like 286 protein) and PR5 (pathogenesis-related protein 5 - thaumatin like protein) were 287 strongly up-regulated 24 hpi and their expression were reinforced at 48 hpi by amount 11-, 9- and 9-fold in Exp.1, and by amount 9-, 8- and 8-fold in Exp.2, respectively. The 288 289 level of *PR15* (pathogenesis-related protein 15 – oxalate oxidase) expression was 290 slightly increased 24 hpi and also strengthened 48 hpi (3-fold), whereas the PR8 291 (pathogenesis-related protein 8 - class I chitinase) was only induced 48 hpi. 292 Concerning the genes encoding proteins involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway, the 293 expression level of PAL (phenylalanine ammonia-lyase) appeared to be up-regulated 294 at 24 and 48 hpi with a peak at 24 hpi reaching 6-fold in Exp.1 and 5-fold in Exp.2, 295 respectively, while FNS (flavone synthase) and CHS (chalcone synthase) were not 296 induced. WRKY (WRKY transcription factor 53), involved in the regulation of oxidative

Page 13 of 39

297 responses to a wide array of stresses in wheat, was strongly up-regulated 24 hpi (6-298 and 5-fold in Exp.1 and Exp.2, respectively) and decreased to reach 3- and 2-fold the 299 next day (Exp.1 and Exp.2, respectively). Regarding antioxidant systems, a strong and 300 constant up-regulation of POX (peroxidase) across the two days (from 10-fold to 11-301 fold in Exp.1 and from 9-fold to 12-fold in Exp.2) accompanied with a slight up-302 regulation of GST (glutathione S-transferase), around 1.5-fold in both experiments, 48 303 hpi, were noticed. The expression profile of genes involved in isoprenoid pathway 304 displayed a contrasted pattern. If HMGR (hydroxymethyl glutarate-CoA reductase) was 305 up-regulated during the first day after inoculation and reduced the next day from 2-fold 306 to 1.5-fold in Exp.1 and from 2-fold to 0.7-fold in Exp.2, no significant change of 307 expression was noticed for FPPS (farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase) while FAR ((E,E)-308 alpha-farnese synthase) was gradually and strongly down-regulated over time. A 309 limited effect of Bgt infection was detected on wheat genes of the ethylene signaling 310 group. ACCS (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase) was transiently and 311 slightly down-regulated 24 hpi between NI-plants and I-plants while no change of 312 expression was observed in EIN3 (EIN3-binding F box protein 1) expression levels.

313 Overall, RT-qPCR analysis performed on wheat plants inoculated with Bgt has 314 revealed specific patterns of defense gene expression. In each group of defense genes 315 studied, specific genes were found upregulated, downregulated or unchanged over 316 time. However, we can cluster each group following the change of gene expression 317 level between 24 hpi and 48 hpi. The expression level of genes from parietal 318 compounds group Ca/S (callose synthase), CesA (cellulose synthase A) and CAD 319 (cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase)), cystein sulfoxide group (CSL (cysteine sulfoxide)) 320 and jasmonic acid signaling group (JAR (jasmonate resistant 1)) was unchanged 321 between NI-plants and I-plants. All genes of the pathogenesis-related group that were

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

upregulated the first day after inoculation, i.e. *PR1*, *PR4*, *PR5*, *PR8* and *PR15*, had their expression level strengthened the next day contrasting the fact that all genes of the phenylpropanoid pathway group, the salicylic acid signaling group and the isoprenoid pathway group that were upregulated (*PAL*, *WRKY*, *HMGR*), or downregulated 24 hpi (*FAR*), had their gene expression level lowered 48 hpi. Finally, genes belonging to the antioxidant systems group were upregulated similarly during the two days (*POX*) or only upregulated the second day (*GST*).

329

330 Impact of *Bgt* inoculation on a selection of wheat leaf metabolites

331 Targeted metabolomic analysis using UHPLC-HRMS was undertaken to assess the impact of Bgt inoculation on the wheat leaf metabolome during a compatible 332 333 interaction. The plant response was investigated 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours after 334 inoculation by comparing leave extracts of infected plants to mock-infected ones. This 335 targeted analysis allowed the detection and the relative quantification of 53 metabolites 336 classified in 5 chemical or functional families: amino acids (AA), benzoxazinoids (BZ), 337 flavonoids (F), phytohormones (H), and hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAAs) 338 (Supplemental Table 2). Benzoxazinoids are plant defense metabolites found in many 339 Poaceae species and are known to display antimicrobial and allelopathic activities 340 (Hashimoto and Shudo, 1996; de Bruijn et al., 2018). Hydroxycinnamic acid amides are a family of plant secondary metabolites reported to be positively correlated with 341 342 plant resistance in several pathosystems (Muroi et al., 2009; Gunnaiah et al., 2012; 343 Yogendra et al., 2014). Phytohormones are particularly crucial in defense against 344 pathogen attacks. Salicylic acid (SA) is considered to be the key hormone regulating 345 defense against biotrophic pathogens, while jasmonic acid (JA) would trigger defense mechanisms toward necrotrophic invaders. Methyl salicylate (MeSA) is an essential 346

Page 15 of 39

347	signal for establishing SAR (Thaler et al., 2012). In the wheat-Bgt pathosystem,
348	salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonate (JA) signaling-related genes were differentially
349	expressed, thus suggesting that they are involved in the defensive response against
350	Bgt infection (Wang et al., 2012).

Page 16 of 39

Thierry Allario Phytopathology

Infection with *Bgt* resulted in substantial differences in global wheat leaf metabolite patterns, as highlighted by a PCA analysis performed on all samples and all quantified metabolites, where the first and the second components explained 21.3%, 21,1% of the variances, respectively (Figure 2). Samples corresponding to (I) conditions are separated from mock-infected controls from 24 hpi on, along the second-dimension axis.

357 A differential analysis was then carried out in order to analyze the impact of Bgt 358 infection on the accumulation of selected metabolites. For each time point, fold 359 changes of significant differentially accumulated metabolites (DAMs) between I and NI 360 conditions are presented as a heatmap (Fig. 3). During the first days after inoculation with Bgt, 11 of the 53 analyzed metabolites were differentially accumulated in Bgt-361 362 infected wheat leaves compared to control leaves (Fig. 3). DAMs included three amino 363 acids (Phe, Glu and His), two amino acid derivatives (agmatine and pipecolic acid), 364 and several HCAAs. Conversely, Bat inoculation did not significantly impact the 365 accumulation of the selected metabolites in the benzoxazinoid and flavonoid families. 366 Looking in more details at the kinetic of wheat infection by *Bgt*, no DAM was detectable 367 at 24 hpi. From 72 hpi, inoculation with Bgt impacted significantly but slightly the 368 accumulation of phenylalanine, glutamine, histidine, and later (96 hpi), pipecolic acid 369 (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Finally, inoculation with Bgt resulted in a massive accumulation of 370 HCAAs, some of them increasing by a factor 20 in Bgt-infected leaves compared to 371 control leaves (Fig.3).

372

373 Untargeted metabolomic analyses of the wheat - *Bgt* interaction

As a complement to the quantification of a set of selected metabolites, untargeted metabolomic were used to further analyze the impact of *Bgt* infection on wheat leaf

Page 17 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

376 metabolome. Combined analysis of raw mass spectrometry data of all samples 377 revealed 3140 ions, which were further considered for differential analyses. Ions of 378 interest were selected based on a statistically significant differential accumulation (p 379 value < 0.05) between *Bqt*-infected and control wheat leaves at 48 hpi, with a fold 380 change > 2. This selection process resulted in a set of 81 differentially accumulated 381 ions (Supp Table 3), which were further investigated for tentative identification of some 382 of the corresponding molecules. To this end, ions with similar retention times, 383 potentially derived from the same molecules, were investigated for identification as 384 pseudo-molecular ions, fragments and isotopes.

385 For example, the mass spectrum with peak identifier M291T255 (group 5) showed a pseudo-molecular ion m/z 291.1451 corresponding to the chemical formula 386 387 $C_{14}H_{19}O_3N_4$ (Supplemental Figure 1). This ion was furthermore associated with a 388 fragment m/z 273. 1346 (C₁₄H₁₇O₂N₄) corresponding to the loss of a water molecule 389 from the molecular ion, and a fragment m/z 147.0440 corresponding to a coumarovl 390 moiety C₉H₇O₂. Combination of this spectral information made it possible to propose 391 that M291T255 and the related ions were derived from coumaroyl-hydroxy-dehydro-392 agmatine (Supplemental Figure 1, Figure 6). This agmatine derivative has recently 393 been identified in wheat leaves infected with Bipolaris sorokiniana, the causative agent 394 of spot blotch of Poaceae (Ube et al., 2019a), and the corresponding hydroxylated 395 agmatine, the p-coumaroyl-3-hydroxy-agmatine, has been characterized in wheat 396 submitted to cold stress (Jin et al., 2003).

397

Taking advantage of the excellent accuracy of the mass measurements (< 1 ppm), indepth analysis of high-resolution mass spectra made it possible to assign a plausible chemical formula and then a structural proposal for 16 of the 81 ions (Supp Table 3).

Page 18 of 39

Thierry Allario Phytopathology

401 Most of the assigned chemical formulas corresponded to HCAAs. Some metabolites 402 have been tentatively identified according to published literature and the PubChem 403 database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Analysis of differential accumulation of 404 these 81 ions between I and NI conditions was performed at different time points 405 (Figure 5). Most of these ions were not significantly differentially accumulated at 24 406 hpi, suggesting that the metabolic impact of Bgt infection starts to be significant after 407 this time point. However, most ions accumulated further and with larger fold changes 408 at 72 and 96 hpi, especially those associated to HCAAs (Figure 5, Supp Table 3).

409

410 **DISCUSSION**

411 In our study, we combined an analysis of defense related-gene expression and 412 metabolite accumulation to provide an overview on the main mechanisms that occur 413 during the first steps of powdery mildew infection in a moderately susceptible bread 414 wheat cultivar. First, PR-protein genes were mainly up-regulated, which is consistent 415 with the observations made in other studies (Liljeroth et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2014), 416 depicting an accumulation of PR protein transcripts in both susceptible and resistant 417 wheat in response to pathogen infection, but with a greater abundance of PR-proteins 418 in the most resistant plants than in the susceptible ones (Geddes et al., 2008). 419 Moreover, the accumulation of glutamine, histidine and phenylalanine suggests an 420 elevated biosynthesis of amino-acids that would serve as building blocks for both PR-421 proteins and phenylpropanoids as described during a compatible interaction between 422 barley and Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei (Voll et al., 2011). In Pakito, PR1, PR4, PR5, 423 PR8 and PR15 are involved in partial defense mechanisms taking place during the first 424 two days after Bgt inoculation. PR4, also known as hevein-like proteins, and PR8, a class I chitinase, are both endochitinases able to disrupt fungal cell wall into small 425

Page 19 of 39

426 components used as elicitors by the plant cell to mediate plant defense response 427 (Shoresh and Harman, 2008). Hevein-like proteins are also able to inhibit the hyphal growth of fungi by binding to chitin and can have an antimicrobial activity in plants 428 429 (Nawrot et al., 2014). Antifungal hevein-type peptides with high inhibitory activity have 430 already been reported in Triticum kiharae seeds facing various pathogenic fungi and 431 bacteria (Huang et al., 2002). According to our results, PR4 is strongly up-regulated 432 from the first 24 hours after Bgt inoculation whereas PR8 expression increased 48 433 hours after inoculation. In the susceptible Orvantis wheat cultivar, the up-regulation of some chitinase-encoding genes occurred between 9 and 21 hpi and was correlated to 434 435 the developmental stage of Bgt leading from conidia with primary germ tube to conidia 436 with appressorial germ tube. This up-regulation of chitinase-encoding genes was 437 followed with an increasing activity of chitinase enzymes 24 hpi, suggesting their role 438 in degrading chitin polymers from the fungal pathogen cell wall at the time of the 439 appressorial germ tube penetration (Tayeh et al., 2015).

Two other PR-proteins, *PR1* and *PR5*, exhibited the same expression patterns than *PR4* with a strong up-regulation 24 hpi strengthened 48 hpi. They code respectively a protein with antimicrobial properties, known to be expressed in association with SAR, and a thaumatin-like protein, known to have antifungal activities targeting pathogenic fungi hyphae and spores (Niderman et al., 1995; Singh et al., 2014; Enoki and Suzuki 2016).

Moreover, pipecolic acid accumulated in wheat leaves 96 hours after inoculation with *Bgt.* In *Arabidopsis,* this compound is involved in local and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) against some bacterial pathogens, and is described as an endogenous mediator of defense amplification and priming (Návarová et al., 2012). Increased levels of pipecolic acid and N-Hydroxypipecolic acid were observed in the

Page 20 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

primary infected leaves, and both are suggested to travel through vascular tissue to distal secondary leaves, acting such as messengers for SAR establishment (Huang et al., 2020). Pipecolic acid could then orchestrate or regulate SAR via SA-dependent and -independent activation pathways (Bernsdorff et al., 2016). The late accumulation of pipecolic acid observed in infected leaves of the cultivar Pakito, which is moderately susceptible to *Bgt*, suggests its involvement in basal resistance against this fungal pathogen, and its participation in SAR or distal increased resistance has to be studied.

458

459 The metabolomic analyses highlight the central role of the phenylpropanoid pathway 460 in defense in wheat challenged by powdery mildew. In the phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, PAL is the upstream enzyme which converts phenylalanine to 461 462 trans-cinnamic acid. This compound which can serve as a precursor of SA, can be 463 allocated for flavonoids, or various hydroxycinnamic acids biosynthesis, in different 464 shunts of the phenylpropanoid pathway. In our study, no accumulation of flavonoids 465 was observed, which is consistent with the lack of CHS up-regulation. However, PAL 466 was over-expressed, and phenylalanine and HCAAs accumulated between the second and the fourth days following inoculation. HCAAs (also known as phenylamides or 467 468 phenolamides) are amides of hydroxycinnamic or benzoic acids, linked with various 469 amines, such as agmatine or putrescine. In our study, HCAAs accumulation was first 470 highlighted by a targeted metabolomic approach applied to compounds previously 471 identified in wheat leaves. Furthermore, the non-targeted metabolomic analysis 472 applied to the same samples showed that many of the major ions accumulated in 473 response to Bgt inoculation were actually derived from HCAAs while other defense-474 related metabolites such as benzoxazinoids remain relatively unaffected.

Page 21 of 39

475 Altogether, our results indicate that HCAAs accumulation is a major metabolic 476 response of the Pakito wheat cultivar subjected to Bgt inoculation. During biotic stresses, these secondary metabolites accumulate mainly but not exclusively in 477 478 resistant plants following microbial infection, then suggesting their role in guantitative 479 -or non-specific- resistance (Morimoto et al., 2018; von Röpenack et al., 1998). In our 480 experiments, caffeoyl agmatine, coumaroyl agmatine, feruoyl agmatine and sinapoyl 481 agmatine accumulated in susceptible wheat leaves from 48h after inoculation with Bgt, 482 as well as caffeoyl putrescine and feruloyl putrescine. Similarly, HCAAs containing 483 agmatine and putrescine as amine component, such as coumaroyl agmatine, feruoyl 484 agmatine, feruoyl putrescine and coumaroyl putrescine, were induced in wheat in 485 response to Bipolaris sorokiniana, the causal agent of spot blotch of Poaceae species 486 (Ube et al., 2019). In addition, other HCAAs than those identified in our study 487 accumulated, like p-coumaric acid amides of hydroxyputrescine, hydroxyagmatine, 488 hydroxydehydroagmatine, N-cinnamoyl-9-hydroxy-8-oxotryptamine and N-cinnamoyl-489 8-oxotryptamine, the two last ones being considered as phytoalexins (Ube et al., 2019). 490 Such compounds and HCAAs in general could be to investigate in our pathosytem in 491 response to resistance induction by various biosourced molecules or beneficial 492 microorganisms, since they could participate to the observed improvement of 493 quantitative resistance. HCAAs also accumulate during some other compatible plant-494 fungi interactions, as reported in sugarcane-Ustilago scitaminea (Legaz et al., 1998). 495 HCAAs containing agmatine and putrescine as amine component could then be 496 involved in basal-resistance in wheat against Bgt. Their protective role could be linked 497 to a direct antimicrobial activity and an involvement in the reinforcement of the cell wall 498 of plants facing the pathogenic agent, via a multistep process involving polymerization 499 through a peroxidase activity (Roumani et al., 2020). HCAAs accumulation, in addition

Page 22 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

500 to POX and PR15 overexpression, is consistent with local cell wall reinforcement at 501 the penetration sites of Bgt. Moreover, the up-regulation of WRKY53, encoding a 502 transcription factor also known as WRKY26 in wheat, confirms the involvement of POX 503 in these first steps of defense. WRKY53 is described to regulate oxidative responses 504 in wheat under stress conditions, targeting a peroxidase (Van Eck et al., 2014). 505 Previous studies noticed that phenolic compounds accumulation in epidermal cell walls 506 is crucial during elicitor-induced resistance in wheat against Bgt (Randoux et al., 2010, 507 Renard-Merlier et al., 2007), pointing out the importance of cell wall reinforcement 508 during basal defenses in wheat against Bgt. In barley seedlings resistant to Erysiphe 509 graminis f. sp. hordei (actually Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei), the accumulation of p-510 coumaroyl-hydroxyagmatine was higher in resistant plantlets than in susceptible ones 511 upon fungal penetration attempts; this compound was supposed to participate to 512 papillae formation and effectiveness via cross-linking processes. Moreover, 513 exogenous application of p-coumaroyl-hydroxyagmatine also inhibited the formation of 514 haustoria in vivo, and the formation of appressoria in vitro (Von Röpenack et al., 1998). 515 In some plant species, compounds derived from the isoprenoid pathway, such as 516 monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, diterpenes and sterols are involved in a broad 517 spectrum of defense mechanisms from direct effects with their biological activities on 518 pathogens (phytoalexins) to indirect effects acting as signal molecules (specific 519 volatiles compounds) (Singh and Sharma, 2015). On the one hand, 3-Hydroxy-3-520 methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGR), the key enzyme that catalyzes essential 521 regulatory steps of the mevalonic acid pathway, is considered as the first rate-limiting 522 enzyme in cytosolic isoprenoid biosynthesis (Gu et al., 2015; Block et al., 2019). On 523 the other hand, Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPPS) and (E, E)-alpha-farnesene 524 synthase (FAR), both related to sesquiterpene biosynthesis, produce volatile

Page 23 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

525 compounds to repel pest or attract pest predators (Zhang et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2017). 526 In the susceptible wheat cultivar Pakito, *Bgt* inoculation resulted in a down regulation 527 of *FAR* and a transient upregulation of *HMGR*. This limited upregulation of *HMGR* is 528 consistent with the fact that wheat has not been found to accumulate significant 529 amounts of terpenoid phytoalexins, unlike other *Poaceae* such as rice (*Oryza sativa*) 530 or maize (*Zea mays*) (Ube et al., 2019).

531

532 In our study, RT-qPCR and metabolomics were used to assay, respectively, some defense genes expression and metabolites accumulation in a cultivar of wheat 533 534 moderately susceptible to Bgt during the first days after inoculation. Pakito wheat 535 exhibited a specific pattern of expression of PR-proteins sharing mainly direct 536 antimicrobial activities, including PR1, PR4, PR5 and PR8, which were continuously 537 up-regulated and strengthened over the two first days of infection, while other defense-538 related genes were more transiently over-expressed at the beginning of the infection 539 with Bgt. Among these genes, some genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway exhibited 540 a significant up-regulation, which was later followed by HCAAs accumulation. HCAAs accumulated concomitantly with an oxalate oxidase (PR15) and a peroxidase (POX) 541 542 gene induction in wheat leaves, which could participate to cell wall reinforcement at 543 the penetration sites of the fungus. Pipecolic acid accumulation suggested a SA-544 mediated signalization. Both transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses pointed out the 545 biosynthesis of HCAAs as a major response to Bgt infection in the Pakito cultivar. 546 These new insights lead to a better understanding of basal defense mechanisms taking 547 place in wheat leaves throughout the first days after Bgt inoculation. Pakito wheat 548 cultivar being moderately susceptible, some of these defense mechanisms related to 549 PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) maybe not sufficient or inactivated consequently to the

Page 24 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

release of some effectors by the pathogen fungus, leading to ETS (Effector-Triggered Susceptibility). Nevertheless, the induction of similar mechanisms in PTI and ETI becomes more and more evident (Yuan et al., 2021). Such results will be very useful to develop new tools and biomarkers for evaluating biocontrol treatments aiming to induce plant defense and to enhance resistance.

- 555
- 556

557 **REFERENCES**

558 Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A 559 practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical 560 Society: Series B (Methodological). 57:289–300.

561 Bernsdorff, F., Döring, A.-C., Gruner, K., Schuck, S., Bräutigam, A., and Zeier, J. 2016.

562 Pipecolic acid orchestrates plant systemic acquired resistance and defense priming via

salicylic acid-dependent and -independent pathways. Plant Cell. 28:102–129.

Block, A. K., Vaughan, M. M., Schmelz, E. A., and Christensen, S. A. 2019.
Biosynthesis and function of terpenoid defense compounds in maize (Zea mays).
Planta. 249:21–30.

567 Brisset, M.-N., and Dugé de Bernonville, T. 2011. Device for determining or studying 568 the state of stimulation of the natural defences of plants or portions of plants.

569 Chen, X. M., Luo, Y. H., Xia, X. C., Xia, L. Q., Chen, X., Ren, Z. L., et al. 2005. 570 Chromosomal location of powdery mildew resistance gene Pm16 in wheat using SSR

571 marker analysis. Plant Breeding. 124:225–228.

572 Dangl, J. L., and Jones, J. D. 2001. Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses

573 to infection. Nature. 411:826–833.

Page 25 of 39

574	De Borba, M. C., Velho, A. C., Maia-Grondard, A., Baltenweck, R., Magnin-Robert, M.,
575	Randoux, B., et al. 2021. The algal polysaccharide ulvan induces resistance in wheat
576	against Zymoseptoria tritici without major alteration of leaf metabolome. Front. Plant
577	Sci. 12:703712.
578	De Bruijn, W. J. C., Vincken, JP., Duran, K., and Gruppen, H. 2016. Mass
579	spectrometric characterization of benzoxazinoid glycosides from Rhizopus-elicited
580	wheat (Triticum aestivum) seedlings. J Agric Food Chem. 64:6267–6276De Bruijn, W.
581	J. C., Gruppen, H., Vincken, J. P. 2018. Structure and biosynthesis of benzoxazinoids:
582	Plant defence metabolites with potential as antimicrobial scaffolds. Phytochemistry
583	155:233-243. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.07.005.

584

585 Dugé de Bernonville, T., Marolleau, B., Staub, J., Gaucher, M., and Brisset, M.-N. 586 2014. Using molecular tools to decipher the complex world of plant resistance inducers: 587 an apple case study. J Agric Food Chem. 62:11403–11411.

Enoki, S., and Suzuki, S. 2016. Pathogenesis-related proteins in grape. In *Grape and Wine Biotechnology*, eds. Antonio Morata and Iris Loira. Rijeka: IntechOpen. Available
at: https://doi.org/10.5772/64873.

591 FAO. 2018. Global Information and Early Warning System on Food and Agriculture 592 (GIEWS). Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Food

⁵⁹³ and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

Gao, H., Niu, J., and Li, S. 2018. Impacts of wheat powdery mildew on grain yield &
quality and its prevention and control methods. American Journal of Agriculture and
Forestry. 6:141–147.

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

- Geddes, J., Eudes, F., Laroche, A., and Selinger, L. B. 2008. Differential expression of
 proteins in response to the interaction between the pathogen Fusarium graminearum
 and its host, Hordeum vulgare. Proteomics. 8:545–554.
- Gu, W., Geng, C., Xue, W., Wu, Q., Chao, J., Xu, F., et al. 2015. Characterization and
- 601 function of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase gene in Alisma orientale
- 602 (Sam.) Juz. and its relationship with protostane triterpene production. Plant Physiology
- and Biochemistry. 97:378–389.
- Gunnaiah, R., Kushalappa, A. C., Duggavathi, R., Fox, S., Somers, D. J. 2012.
- 605 Integrated metabolo-proteomic approach to decipher the mechanisms by which wheat
- 606 QTL (Fhb1) contributes to resistance against Fusarium graminearum. PLoS One.
- 607 7(7):e40695. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040695.
- Hashimoto, Y., Shudo, K. 1996. Chemistry of biologically active benzoxazinoids, *Phytochemistry*,43 (3):551-559, ISSN 0031-9422, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-</u>
 9422(96)00330-5.
- 611
- Huang, R.-H., Xiang, Y., Liu, X.-Z., Zhang, Y., Hu, Z., and Wang, D.-C. 2002. Two
 novel antifungal peptides distinct with a five-disulfide motif from the bark of Eucommia
 ulmoides Oliv. FEBS Lett. 521:87–90.
- Huang, W., Wang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. 2020. Biosynthesis and regulation of
 salicylic acid and N-hydroxypipecolic acid in plant immunity. Mol Plant. 13:31–41.
- Huang, X.-Q., and Röder, M. S. 2004. Molecular mapping of powdery mildew
 resistance genes in wheat: A review. Euphytica. 137:203–223.

Page 27 of 39

619	Jin, S.,	Yoshida,	М.,	Nakajima,	Τ.,	and	Murai,	Α.	2003.	Accumulation	of
620	hydroxyc	innamic ac	id arr	nides in winte	er wh	neat ui	nder sno	w. E	Biosci Bi	otechnol Bioche	em.
621	67:1245-	-1249.									

- Jones, J. D. G., and Dangl, J. L. 2006. The plant immune system. Nature. 444:323– 329.
- Katagiri, F. 2004. A global view of defense gene expression regulation--a highly
 interconnected signaling network. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 7:506–511.
- Legaz, M. E., Armas, R. de, Piñón, D., and Vicente, C. 1998. Relationships between
 phenolics-conjugated polyamines and sensitivity of sugarcane to smut (Ustilago
 scitaminea). Journal of Experimental Botany. 49:1723–1728.
- Li, H., Dong, Z., Ma, C., Xia, Q., Tian, X., Sehgal, S., et al. 2020. A spontaneous wheatAegilops longissima translocation carrying Pm66 confers resistance to powdery
 mildew. Theor Appl Genet. 133:1149–1159.
- Li, Z., Zhao, C., Zhao, X., Xia, Y., Sun, X., Xie, W., et al. 2018. Deep annotation of
 hydroxycinnamic acid amides in plants based on ultra-high-performance liquid
 chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry and its in silico database. Anal
 Chem. 90:14321–14330.
- Liljeroth, E., Santén, K., and Bryngelsson, T. 2001. PR protein accumulation in seminal
 roots of barley and wheat in response to fungal infection the importance of cortex
 senescence. Journal of Phytopathology. 149:447–456.
- Lin, J., Wang, D., Chen, X., Köllner, T. G., Mazarei, M., Guo, H., et al. 2017. An
 (E,E)-α-farnesene synthase gene of soybean has a role in defence against nematodes

Page 28 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

- and is involved in synthesizing insect-induced volatiles. Plant Biotechnol J. 15:510–519.
- McIntosh, R. A., Dubcovsky, J., Rogers, W. J., Xia, X. C., and Raupp, W. J. 2019.
- 644 *Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2019 supplement.* W.J. Raupp. Manhattan (NY).
- Miedaner, T., Schmidt, H. K., and Geiger, H. H. 1993. Components of variation for
 quantitative adult-plant resistance to powdery mildew in winter rye. Phytopathology.
 83:1071–1075.
- Morimoto, N., Ueno, K., Teraishi, M., Okumoto, Y., Mori, N., and Ishihara, A. 2018.
 Induced phenylamide accumulation in response to pathogen infection and hormone
- treatment in rice (Oryza sativa). Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 82:407–416.
- 651 Muroi, A., Ishihara, A., Tanaka, C. et al. 2009. Accumulation of hydroxycinnamic acid 652 induced infection identification agmatine amides bv pathogen and of coumaroyltransferase 653 in Arabidopsis thaliana. Planta 230:517-527. 654 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-009-0960-0
- 655
- Mustafa, G., Khong, N. G., Tisserant, B., Randoux, B., Fontaine, J., Magnin-Robert,
- M., et al. 2017. Defence mechanisms associated with mycorrhiza-induced resistancein wheat against powdery mildew. Functional Plant Biol. 44:443.
- Mwale, V. M., Chilembwe, E. H. C., and Uluko, H. C. 2014. Wheat powdery mildew
 (Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici): Damage effects and genetic resistance developed in
 wheat (Triticum aestivum). International Research Journal of Plant Science. 5:1–16.
- Návarová, H., Bernsdorff, F., Döring, A.-C., and Zeier, J. 2012. Pipecolic acid, an
 endogenous mediator of defense amplification and priming, is a critical regulator of
 inducible plant immunity. Plant Cell. 24:5123–5141.

Page 29 of 39

Nawrot, R., Barylski, J., Nowicki, G., Broniarczyk, J., Buchwald, W., and GoździckaJózefiak, A. 2014. Plant antimicrobial peptides. Folia Microbiol (Praha). 59:181–196.

Niderman, T., Genetet, I., Bruyère, T., Gees, R., Stintzi, A., Legrand, M., Fritig, B.,
Mösinger, E. 1995. Pathogenesis-related PR-1 proteins are antifungal. Isolation and
characterization of three 14-kilodalton proteins of tomato and of a basic PR-1 of
tobacco with inhibitory activity against Phytophthora infestans. Plant Physiol.
108(1):17-27.

672

Nürnberger, T., Brunner, F., Kemmerling, B., and Piater, L. 2004. Innate immunity in
plants and animals: striking similarities and obvious differences. Immunol Rev.
198:249–266.

Pennington, H. G., Jones, R., Kwon, S., Bonciani, G., Thieron, H., Chandler, T., et al.
2019. The fungal ribonuclease-like effector protein CSEP0064/BEC1054 represses
plant immunity and interferes with degradation of host ribosomal RNA. PLoS Pathog.
15:e1007620.

Piarulli, L., Gadaleta, A., Mangini, G., Signorile, M. A., Pasquini, M., Blanco, A., et al.
2012. Molecular identification of a new powdery mildew resistance gene on
chromosome 2BS from Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccum. Plant Sci. 196:101–106.

Randoux, B., Renard, D., Nowak, E., Sanssené, J., Courtois, J., Durand, R., et al.
2006. Inhibition of *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* germination and partial enhancement
of wheat defenses by Milsana. Phytopathology®. 96:1278–1286.

Randoux, B., Renard-Merlier, D., Mulard, G., Rossard, S., Duyme, F., Sanssené, J., et
al. 2010. Distinct defenses induced in wheat against powdery mildew by acetylated
and nonacetylated oligogalacturonides. Phytopathology. 100:1352–1363.

Page 30 of 39

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

- Renard-Merlier, D., Randoux, B., Nowak, E., Farcy, F., Durand, R., and Reignault, P.
 2007. lodus 40, salicylic acid, heptanoyl salicylic acid and trehalose exhibit different
 efficacies and defence targets during a wheat/powdery mildew interaction.
 Phytochemistry. 68:1156–1164.
- Roumani, M., Besseau, S., Gagneul, D., Robin, C., and Larbat, R. 2021. Phenolamides
 in plants: an update on their function, regulation, and origin of their biosynthetic
 enzymes. J Exp Bot. 72:2334–2355.
- 696 Schmittgen, T. D., and Livak, K. J. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the 697 comparative CT method. Nat Protoc. 3:1101–1108.
- Shoresh, M., and Harman, G. E. 2008. Genome-wide identification, expression and
 chromosomal location of the genes encoding chitinolytic enzymes in Zea mays. Mol
 Genet Genomics. 280:173–185.
- Singh, B., and Sharma, R. A. 2015. Plant terpenes: defense responses, phylogenetic
 analysis, regulation and clinical applications. 3 Biotech. 5:129–151.
- Singh, R., Tiwari, J. K., Sharma, V., Singh, B. P., and Rawat, S. 2014. Role of Pathogen
 related protein families in defence mechanism with potential role in applied
 biotechnology. International Journal of Advanced Research. 2:210–226.
- Smith, C. A., Want, E. J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R., and Siuzdak, G. 2006. XCMS:
 processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak
 alignment, matching, and identification. Anal Chem. 78:779–787.
- Tayeh, Ch., Randoux, B., Tisserant, B., Khong, G., Jacques, Ph., and Reignault, Ph.
- 710 2015. Are ineffective defence reactions potential target for induced resistance during

Page 31 of 39

the compatible wheat-powdery mildew interaction? Plant Physiology and Biochemistry.96:9–19.

Thaler, J. S., Humphrey, P. T., Whiteman, N. K. 2012. Evolution of jasmonate and
salicylate signal crosstalk. Trends Plant Sci. 17:260–270. doi:
10.1016/j.tplants.2012.02.010

716

Ube, N., Harada, D., Katsuyama, Y., Osaki-Oka, K., Tonooka, T., Ueno, K., et al. 2019.
Identification of phenylamide phytoalexins and characterization of inducible
phenylamide metabolism in wheat. Phytochemistry. 167:112098.

Van Eck, L., Davidson, R. M., Wu, S., Zhao, B. Y., Botha, A.-M., Leach, J. E., et al.

2014. The transcriptional network of WRKY53 in cereals links oxidative responses to
biotic and abiotic stress inputs. Funct Integr Genomics. 14:351–362.

Voll, L. M., Horst, R. J., Voitsik, A.-M., Zajic, D., Samans, B., Pons-Kühnemann, J., et
al. 2011. Common motifs in the response of cereal primary metabolism to fungal
pathogens are not based on similar transcriptional reprogramming. Front Plant Sci.
2:39.

Von Röpenack, E., Parr, A., and Schulze-Lefert, P. 1998. Structural analyses and dynamics of soluble and cell wall-bound phenolics in a broad-spectrum resistance to the powdery mildew fungus in barley. J Biol Chem. 273:9013–9022.

Walters, D. R., Ratsep, J., and Havis, N. D. 2013. Controlling crop diseases using
induced resistance: challenges for the future. Journal of Experimental Botany.
64:1263–1280.

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

Wang, J. M., Liu, H.Y., Xu, H. M. *et al.* 2012. Analysis of differential transcriptional
profiling in wheat infected by *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* using GeneChip. Mol Biol
Rep 39:381–387. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-011-0749-7</u>

Wojakowska, A., Perkowski, J., Góral, T., and Stobiecki, M. 2013. Structural
characterization of flavonoid glycosides from leaves of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
using LC/MS/MS profiling of the target compounds. J Mass Spectrom. 48:329–339.

Wu, S., Wang, H., Yang, Z., and Kong, L. 2014. Expression comparisons of
Pathogenesis-Related (PR) genes in wheat in response to infection/infestation by
Fusarium, Yellow dwarf virus (YDV) Aphid-Transmitted and Hessian Fly. Journal of
Integrative Agriculture. 13:926–936.

Yogendra, K. N., Pushpa, D., Mosa, K. A., Kushalappa, A. C., Murphy, A., and
Mosquera, T. 2014. Quantitative resistance in potato leaves to late blight associated
with induced hydroxycinnamic acid amides. Funct. Integr. Genomics. 14:285–298. doi:
10.1007/s10142-013-0358-8

747

Yuan, M., Ngou, B. P. M., Ding, P., and Xin, X.-F. 2021. PTI-ETI crosstalk: an integrative view of plant immunity. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 62:102030.

Zhang, Y., Li, Z.-X., Yu, X.-D., Fan, J., Pickett, J. A., Jones, H. D., et al. 2015. Molecular
characterization of two isoforms of a farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase gene in wheat
and their roles in sesquiterpene synthesis and inducible defence against aphid
infestation. New Phytol. 206:1101–1115.

Zhu, T., Wu, L., He, H., Song, J., Jia, M., Liu, L., et al. 2020. Bulked segregant RNASeq reveals distinct expression profiling in chinese wheat cultivar Jimai 23 responding
to powdery mildew. Front Genet. 11:474.

Page 33 of 39

757	Zipfel, C., and Felix, G. 2005. Plants and animals: a different taste for microbes? Curr
758	Opin Plant Biol. 8:353–360.
759	
760	
761	Figure captions
762	
763 764	Figure 1. Profile of defense gene expression, monitored at 24h and 48h following the
765	inoculation of wheat with B. graminis f.sp. tritici (Bgt). Average defense gene
766	expression was obtained by the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ calculation method expressed in log2 fold
767	change, using Bgt-infected plants compared to non-infected control plants for each
768	time point, using 3 biological replicates corresponding to first leaves from 3 different
769	plants. Defense gene expression fold changes are given by shades of red or blue
770	colors according to the scale bar and two experiments (Exp.1 and Exp.2) were
771	performed to confirm the results.
772	
774	Figure 2. Global wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation with B. graminis
775	f.sp. tritici. Principal component analysis was performed on all compounds quantified
776	in the targeted analysis, in all conditions and time points. Data represent nine biological
777	replicates for each condition and time point. The shown principal components explain
778	respectively 21.3% and 21.1% of the variance separating the six groups of plants.
779 780	
781	Figure 3. Heatmap of significant wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation

with *B. graminis* f.sp. *tritici*. Wheat plants were mock infected (NI) or infected (I) with

783 Bgt. Log2 of significant metabolite fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24,

Page 34 of 39

Thierry Allario Phytopathology

48, 72 and 96 hpi are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar. Metabolites were grouped according to their chemical family as amino acids (AA), benzoxazinoids (BZ), flavonoids (F), hormones (H), hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAA) and amines (N). Data represent mean values of nine biological replicates for each condition and time point. Statistical analysis was performed using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference method followed by a false discovery rate (FDR) correction, with FDR < 0.05. For FDR \ge 0.05, log2 fold changes were set to 0.

- 791 792
- 793

Figure 4. Effects of *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *tritici* inoculation on histidine (**a**), glutamine (**b**), phenylalanine (**c**) and pipecolic acid (**d**) accumulation in wheat leaves. Infected (I) and non-infected (NI) leaves were collected at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi. The histograms represent mean values (\pm standard errors) obtained for nine samples per condition, from three experiments (three samples per experiment). Columns headed by different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the different conditions (ANOVA, test post-hoc multiple Bonferroni, P ≤ 0.05).

- 801
- 802

Figure 5. Non-targeted analysis of wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation with *B. graminis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*).

805 lons of interest were selected based on a significant differential accumulation between 806 *Bgt*-infected and control wheat leaves at 48 hpi, with a fold change > 2. Log2 of 807 significant ion fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi are 808 given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar. Statistical analysis 809 was performed using Tukey's HSD method followed by a FDR correction, with FDR <</p>

Page 35 of 39

810	0.05. For FDR \geq 0.05, log2 fold changes were set to 0. Putative identification of the
811	metabolites corresponding to the indicated ions are the following: 1: Hydroxytyrosine,
812	2: Coumaroyl-hydroxyputrescine, 3: Feruloyl-hydroxyputrescine, 4: Coumaroyl-
813	hydroxyagmatine, 5: Coumaroyl-hydroxy-dehydroagmatine, 6: Feruloylputrescine, 7:
814	Feruloyl-hydroxyagmatine,8:Feruloyl-hydroxydehydroagmatine,9:
815	Coumaroylagmatine, 10: Feruloylagmatine, 11: Coumaroyl-dehydroagmatine, 12:
816	Sinapoylagmatine, 13: Dodecanamine. Characteristics of all ions are presented in
817	Supplemental Table 3.
818	
819	

Thierry Allario *Phytopathology*

Figure 6. Biosynthetic pathway of the main HCAAs accumulating following inoculation of wheat cv. Pakito with *Bgt*, based on non-targeted analysis of major differentially accumulated ions. Metabolites indicated in red were putatively identified based expertized analysis of high-resolution mass spectra and comparison with published literature. For each metabolite, log2 of significant ion fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar (data from Fig. 5).

		Ex	Exp.1		Exp.2		
		24H	48H	24H	48H		
	PR1	7.52	11.08	2.92	9.09	> to 9	
	PR2	-0.01	0.46	0.11	0.49	7 to 9	
PATHOGENESIS	PR4	7.76	9.44	6.36	8.09	5 to 7	
RELATED	PR5	7.73	9.35	3.56	7.93	3 to 5	
PROTEINS	PR8	0.74	1.90	0.23	1.50	2 to 3	
	PR14	-0.75	-2.56	-0.74	-0.93	1 to 2	
	PR15	1.83	2.85	2.26	2.94	-1 to 1	
	PAL	6.50	2.74	5.35	2.32	-1 to -2	
PHENYLPROPANOID	CHS	-0.39	0.79	-0.11	0.02	-2 to -3	
PATHWAY	FNS	0.71	-2.11	0.94	-0.07	< to -3	
	PPO	-0.68	-0.64	-0.01	-0.34		
ICODDENIOID	HMGR	2.47	1.50	2.09	0.74		
ISOPRENOID	FPPS	-1.07	-1.09	-0.33	-0.87		
FAIIIWAI	FAR	-2.13	-3.16	-1.21	-2.60		
CYSTEIN SULFOXID	CSL	-0.90	0.39	0.06	-0.41		
	APOX	-0.46	-0.40	-0.22	0.17		
ANTIOXIDANT	GST	0.89	1.54	1.20	1.34		
SYSTEMS	POX	11.03	10.12	8.64	11.55		
	WRKY53	6.06	3.30	5.32	2.49		
DADIETAL	CalS	-0.79	-0.19	-0.51	-0.11		
	CesA	0.09	0.40	-0.05	0.32		
COMPOUNDS	CAD	-0.84	0.54	-0.63	0.06		
SALICYLIC ACID SIGNALING	EDS1	-0.58	0.60	-0.40	0.35		
JASMONIC ACID SIGNALING	JAR	-0.74	0.05	-0.57	-0.23		
ETHYLEN	ACCS	-1.13	-0.51	-1.18	-0.96		
SIGNALING	EIN3	-0.12	0.31	0.23	-0.07		

Figure 1. Profile of defense gene expression, monitored at 24h and 48h following the inoculation of wheat with B. graminis f.sp. tritici (Bgt). Average defense gene expression was obtained by the $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ calculation method expressed in log2 fold change, using Bgt-infected plants compared to non-infected control plants for each time point, using 3 biological replicates corresponding to first leaves from 3 different plants. Defense gene expression fold changes are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar and two experiments (Exp.1 and Exp.2) were performed to confirm the results.

861x484mm (118 x 118 DPI)



Figure 2. Global wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation with B. graminis f.sp. tritici. Principal component analysis was performed on all compounds quantified in the targeted analysis, in all conditions and time points. Data represent nine biological replicates for each condition and time point. The shown principal components explain respectively 21.3% and 21.1% of the variance separating the six groups of plants.

1749x2475mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 3. Heatmap of significant wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation with B. graminis f.sp. tritici. Wheat plants were mock infected (NI) or infected (I) with Bgt. Log2 of significant metabolite fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar. Metabolites were grouped according to their chemical family as amino acids (AA), benzoxazinoids (BZ), flavonoids (F), hormones (H), hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAA) and amines (N). Data represent mean values of nine biological replicates for each condition and time point. Statistical analysis was performed using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference method followed by a false discovery rate (FDR) correction, with FDR < 0.05. For FDR ≥ 0.05, log2 fold changes were set to 0.

1749x2475mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 4. Effects of Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici inoculation on histidine (a), glutamine (b), phenylalanine (c) and pipecolic acid (d) accumulation in wheat leaves. Infected (I) and non-infected (NI) leaves were collected at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi. The histograms represent mean values (\pm standard errors) obtained for nine samples per condition, from three experiments (three samples per experiment). Columns headed by different letters indicate statistically significant differences between the different conditions (ANOVA, test post-hoc multiple Bonferroni, P \leq 0.05).

524x400mm (59 x 59 DPI)



Figure 5. Non-targeted analysis of wheat leaf metabolite changes following inoculation with B. graminis f.sp. tritici (Bgt). Ions of interest were selected based on a significant differential accumulation between Bgt-infected and control wheat leaves at 48 hpi, with a fold change > 2. Log2 of significant ion fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar. Statistical analysis was performed using Tukey's HSD method followed by a FDR correction, with FDR < 0.05. For FDR ≥ 0.05, log2 fold changes were set to 0. Putative identification of the metabolites corresponding to the indicated ions are the following: 1: Hydroxytyrosine, 2: Coumaroyl-hydroxyputrescine, 3: Feruloyl-hydroxyputrescine, 4: Coumaroyl-hydroxyagmatine, 5: Coumaroyl-hydroxy-dehydroagmatine, 6: Feruloylputrescine, 7: Feruloyl-hydroxyagmatine, 8: Feruloyl-hydroxydehydroagmatine, 9: Coumaroylagmatine, 10: Feruloylagmatine, 11: Coumaroyl-dehydroagmatine, 12: Sinapoylagmatine, 13: Dodecanamine. Characteristics of all ions are presented in Supplemental Table 3.

1749x2475mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Page 42 of 49



Figure 6. Biosynthetic pathway of the main HCAAs accumulating following inoculation of wheat cv. Pakito with Bgt, based on non-targeted analysis of major differentially accumulated ions. Metabolites indicated in red were putatively identified based expertized analysis of high-resolution mass spectra and comparison with published literature. For each metabolite, log2 of significant ion fold changes for I/NI pairwise comparisons at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hpi are given by shades of red or blue colors according to the scale bar (data from Fig. 5).

2475x1749mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Page 44 of 49

DEFENSE MECHANISMS	GENE	ENZYME	FUNCTION
	PR1	Pathogenesis-related protein 1	Involved in antimicrobial functions and plant defense signal intensification (Breen et al. 2016)
	PR2	Pathogenesis-related protein 2 (beta 1,3-glucanase)	Cleaves the α -1,3-glucan, one of the major cell wall polysaccharides in filamentous fungi (Sudisha et al. 2012; Yoshimi et al. 2017)
	PR4	Pathogenesis-related protein 4 (hevein-like)	Production of plant antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) acting on cell walls of pathogens (Sudisha et al. 2012)
RELATED PROTEINS	PR5	Pathogenesis-related protein 5 (thaumatin-like, osmotin)	Causes leakage of cell constituents from the fungal hyphae and increases the uptake of anti-fungal proteins (Sudisha et al. 2012)
	PR8	Pathogenesis-related protein 8 (class I chitinase)	Acts as a disruptor of fungi cell wall (Sudisha et al. 2012)
	PR14	Pathogenesis-related protein 14 (lipid transfer protein)	Associated to the cell wall, promotes lipid loading and transfer (Sudisha et al. 2012)
	PR15	Pathogenesis-related protein 15 (oxalate oxidase)	Contributes to reactive oxygen species production directly after pathogen infection (Sudisha et al. 2012)
	PAL	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase	Acts as a positive regulator of salicylic acid signalling to cope with microbial pathogens through its enzymatic activity in the phenylpropanoid pathway (Kim and Hwang 2014)
PHENYLPROPANOID PATHWAY	CHS	Chalcone synthase	Involved in the salicylic acid defense pathway through its role in the flavonoid/isoflavonoid biosynthesis pathway (Dao et al. 2011)
	FNS	Flavone synthase	Serves as potential antioxidants with antimicrobial and insecticidal activities (phytoalexins) (Du et al. 2010)
	PPO	Polyphenol oxidase	Contributes to insect herbivore and pathogen resistance (Constabel and Barbehenn 2008)
ISOPRENOID	HMGR	Hydroxymethyl glutarate-CoA reductase	Involved in the mevalonate pathway that allows biosynthesis of terpenoid defense compounds (Block et al. 2019; Gu et al. 2015)
PATHWAY	FPPS	Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase	Involved in sesquiterpenes biosynthesis that repel pests (Block et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2015)
	FAR	(E,E)-alpha-farnesene synthase	Role in defence against nematodes and insects (Lin et al. 2017)
CYSTEIN SULFOXID	CSL	Alliinase	Participates to inhibit the proliferation of both bacteria and fungi (Borlinghaus et al. 2014)
	APOX	Ascorbate peroxidase	Protects plant cells against ROS accumulation during adverse environmental conditions (Caverzan et al. 2012)
	GST	Glutathion S-transferase	Role in plant responses during biotic stresses through regulating stress-related gene expression and early signaling events linked to plant defense (Dubreuil-Maurizi and Poinssot 2012)
SYSTEMS	POX	Peroxidase	Participates to lignin and suberin formation, synthesis of phytoalexins, metabolism of ROS (reactive oxygen species) and RNS (reactive nitrogen species) acting on the hypersensitive response. (Almagro et al. 2009)
	WRKY	WRKY transcription factor 53	Involved in the regulation of oxidative responses to a wide array of stresses, such as biotic stress in wheat (Van Eck et al. 2014)
	CalS	Callose synthase	Takes part to papilla formation during microbial pathogen intrusion (Voigt 2014)
PARIETAL	CesA	Cellulose synthase A	Synthesizes cellulose at the primary cell wall adapting plant growth to environmental stresses and producing DAMPs (Kesten et al. 2017)
COMPOUNDS	CAD	Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase	Contributes to plant resistance through its regulation of the expression of defense genes and monolignol biosynthesis-related genes (Rong et al. 2016)
SALICYLIC ACID SIGNALING	EDS1	Disease resistance protein EDS1	Mediates resistance response through salicylic acid signaling (Falk et al. 1999; Rustérucci et al. 2001)
JASMONIC ACID SIGNALING	JAR	Jasmonate resistant 1	Involved in jasmonate synthesis to allow jasmonic acid signaling in response to biotic/abiotic stresses (Kazan and Manners 2008; Riemann et al. 2008)
ETHYLEN	ACCS	1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase	Role in plant immunity through ethylene signaling (Yang et al. 2019)
SIGNALING	EIN3	EIN3-Binding F Box Protein 1	Mitigates the oxidative stress (Asensi-Fabado et al. 2012)

Supplemental Table 1. Twenty-six wheat defense-related genes selected for real time qPCR analyses.

Almagro, L., Gómez Ros, L. V., Belchi-Navarro, S., Bru, R., Ros Barceló, A., and Pedreño, M. A. 2009. Class III peroxidases in plant defence reactions. J Exp Bot. 60:377–390.

Asensi-Fabado, M. A., Cela, J., Müller, M., Arrom, L., Chang, C., and Munné-Bosch, S. 2012. Enhanced oxidative stress in the ethylene-insensitive (ein3-1) mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana exposed to salt stress. J Plant Physiol. 169:360–368.

Block, A. K., Vaughan, M. M., Schmelz, E. A., and Christensen, S. A. 2019. Biosynthesis and function of terpenoid defense compounds in maize (Zea mays). Planta. 249:21–30.

Borlinghaus, J., Albrecht, F., Gruhlke, M. C. H., Nwachukwu, I. D., and Slusarenko, A. J. 2014. Allicin: chemistry and biological properties. Molecules. 19:12591–12618.

Breen, S., Williams, S. J., Winterberg, B., Kobe, B., and Solomon, P. S. 2016. Wheat PR-1 proteins are targeted by necrotrophic pathogen effector proteins. The Plant Journal. 88:13–25.

Caverzan, A., Passaia, G., Rosa, S. B., Ribeiro, C. W., Lazzarotto, F., and Margis-Pinheiro, M. 2012. Plant responses to stresses: Role of ascorbate peroxidase in the antioxidant protection. Genet Mol Biol. 35:1011–1019.

Constabel, C. P., and Barbehenn, R. 2008. Defensive Roles of Polyphenol Oxidase in Plants. In *Induced Plant Resistance to Herbivory*, ed. Andreas Schaller. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, p. 253–270. Available at: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4020-8182-8_12 [Accessed August 26, 2022].

Dao, T. T. H., Linthorst, H. J. M., and Verpoorte, R. 2011. Chalcone synthase and its functions in plant resistance. Phytochem Rev. 10:397-412.

Du, Y., Chu, H., Wang, M., Chu, I. K., and Lo, C. 2010. Identification of flavone phytoalexins and a pathogen-inducible flavone synthase II gene (SbFNSII) in sorghum. Journal of Experimental Botany. 61:983–994.

Dubreuil-Maurizi, C., and Poinssot, B. 2012. Role of glutathione in plant signaling under biotic stress. Plant Signal Behav. 7:210–212.

Falk, A., Feys, B. J., Frost, L. N., Jones, J. D., Daniels, M. J., and Parker, J. E. 1999. EDS1, an essential component of R gene-mediated disease resistance in Arabidopsis has homology to eukaryotic lipases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 96:3292–3297.

Gu, W., Geng, C., Xue, W., Wu, Q., Chao, J., Xu, F., et al. 2015. Characterization and function of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase gene in Alisma orientale (Sam.) Juz. and its relationship with protostane triterpene production. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 97:378–389.

Kazan, K., and Manners, J. M. 2008. Jasmonate Signaling: Toward an Integrated View. Plant Physiol. 146:1459–1468.

Kesten, C., Menna, A., and Sánchez-Rodríguez, C. 2017. Regulation of cellulose synthesis in response to stress. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 40:106–113.

Kim, D. S., and Hwang, B. K. 2014. An important role of the pepper phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene (PAL1) in salicylic acid-dependent signalling of the defence response to microbial pathogens. J Exp Bot. 65:2295–2306.

Lin, J., Wang, D., Chen, X., Köllner, T. G., Mazarei, M., Guo, H., et al. 2017. An (E,E)-α-farnesene synthase gene of soybean has a role in defence against nematodes and is involved in synthesizing insect-induced volatiles. Plant Biotechnol J. 15:510–519.

Riemann, M., Riemann, M., and Takano, M. 2008. Rice JASMONATE RESISTANT 1 is involved in phytochrome and jasmonate signalling. Plant Cell Environ. 31:783–792.

Rong, W., Luo, M., Shan, T., Wei, X., Du, L., Xu, H., et al. 2016. A Wheat Cinnamyl Alcohol Dehydrogenase TaCAD12 Contributes to Host Resistance to the Sharp Eyespot Disease. Frontiers in Plant Science. 7 Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2016.01723 [Accessed August 26, 2022].

Rustérucci, C., Aviv, D. H., Holt, B. F., Dangl, J. L., and Parker, J. E. 2001. The disease resistance signaling components EDS1 and PAD4 are essential regulators of the cell death pathway controlled by LSD1 in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 13:2211–2224.

Sudisha, J., Sharathchandra, R. G., Amruthesh, K. N., Kumar, A., and Shetty, H. S. 2012. Pathogenesis Related Proteins in Plant Defense Response. In *Plant Defence: Biological Control*, , p. 379–403. Available at: https://app.dimensions.ai/details/publication/pub.1036536464.

Van Eck, L., Davidson, R. M., Wu, S., Zhao, B. Y., Botha, A.-M., Leach, J. E., et al. 2014. The transcriptional network of WRKY53 in cereals links oxidative responses to biotic and abiotic stress inputs. Funct Integr Genomics. 14:351–362.

Voigt, C. A. 2014. Callose-mediated resistance to pathogenic intruders in plant defense-related papillae. Front Plant Sci. 5:168.

Yang, B., Wang, Y., Guo, B., Jing, M., Zhou, H., Li, Y., et al. 2019. The Phytophthora sojae RXLR effector Avh238 destabilizes soybean Type2 GmACSs to suppress ethylene biosynthesis and promote infection. New Phytologist. 222:425–437.

Yoshimi, A., Miyazawa, K., and Abe, K. 2017. Function and Biosynthesis of Cell Wall α-1,3-Glucan in Fungi. Journal of Fungi. 3:63.

Zhang, Y., Li, Z.-X., Yu, X.-D., Fan, J., Pickett, J. A., Jones, H. D., et al. 2015. Molecular characterization of two isoforms of a farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase gene in wheat and their roles in sesquiterpene synthesis and inducible defence against aphid infestation. New Phytol. 206:1101–1115.

Supplemental Table 2. List of the 53 metabolites from wheat leaves selected for targeted metabolomic analyses. Metabolites were classified according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg) and PubChem (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) databases. Id: identifier; m/z: mass-to-charge ratio; m/z error (difference between the measured m/z and the calculated m/z of an ion, in ppm); RT: retention time. Metabolites in bold were confirmed with authentic standards.

Id	Metabolite	Formula	Class ^a	Detected m/z	m/z error (ppm)	RT (min)	KEGG ID	PubChem CID	family
1	Spermidine	C7H19N3	Amines	146.1653	0.54	0.85	<u>C00315</u>	1102	N
2	Agmatine	C ₅ H ₁₄ N ₄	Amines	131.1292	0.91	0.89	C00179	199	N
3	Pipecolic acid	C ₆ H ₁₁ NO ₂	Amines	130.0863	0.7	1.35	C00408	849	N
4	Methyl-pipecolic acid	C ₇ H ₁₂ NO ₂	Amines	144.1019	0.31	1.17			N
5	Arginine	C6H14N4O2	Amino acids	175.1190	0.20	0.95	C00062	6322	AA
6	Asparagine	C4H8N2O3	Amino acids	133.0609	0.86	1.17	C00152	6267	AA
7	Aspartic acid	C ₄ H ₇ NO ₄	Amino acids	134.0449	0.69	1.09	C00049	5960	AA
8	Glutamic acid	C ₅ H ₉ NO ₄	Amino acids	148.0604	-0.58	1.17	C00025	4525487	AA
9	Glutamine	C ₅ H ₁₀ N ₂ O ₃	Amino acids	147.0764	0.09	1.08	C00064	5961	AA
10	Histidine	C ₆ H ₀ N ₂ O ₂	Amino acids	156.0769	0.68	1.00	C00135	6274	AA
11	Hydroxyproline	C ₅ H ₉ NO ₃	Amino acids	132.0656	0.70	1.27	C01157	5810	AA
12	Isoleucine	C _c H ₁₂ NO ₂	Amino acids	132,1020	0.76	2.96	C00407	6306	AA
13	Leucine	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	Amino acids	132,1020	0.76	2.76	C00123	6106	AA
14	Lysine	C ₄ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₂	Amino acids	147.1129	0.44	0.84	C00047	5962	AA
15	Methionine	C ₅ H ₁ NO ₅ S	Amino acids	150.0584	0.36	2.04	C00073	6137	44
16	Phenylalanine	C ₀ H ₁₁ NO ₂	Amino acids	166.0862	-0.53	4.30	C00079	6140	44
17	Proline	C ₅ H ₀ NO ₂	Amino acids	116.0708	1.69	1.23	C00148	145742	44
18	Threonine	C ₄ H ₀ NO ₂	Amino acids	120.0657	1.22	1.08	C00188	6288	44
19	Tryptophan	C ₁₁ H ₁₂ N ₂ O ₂	Amino acids	205.0973	0.51	4.75	C00078	6305	AA
20	Tyrosine	C ₀ H ₁ NO ₂	Amino acids	182.0813	0.54	3.20	C00082	6057	44
21	Valine	C _c H _u NO ₂	Amino acids	118 0863	0.48	1 14	C00183	6287	44
22	DHBOA-Glc-Glc	CasHasNO ₁₄	Benzoxazinoids	523 1773	0.59	4 48		0207	87
23	DHBOA-Glc-Rh		Benzoxazinoids	490 1557	0.59	4 71			87
24	DHBOA GIC	C14H12NO	Benzoxazinoids	344.09767	0.19	4.29		592555	87
25	DIMBOA	C ₀ H ₀ NO ₅	Benzoxazinoids	212.0555	0.62	5.40	C04720	2358	87
26	HBOA	C _e H ₇ NO ₂	Benzoxazinoids	166.0499	0.34	5.92	C15769	322636	87
27	HBOA-Glc	C. H. NO	Benzoxazinoids	328.1027	0.09	4.71		14605136	87
28	HMBOA-Glc	C.H.NO	Benzoxazinoids	358 1241	-0.61	5.06		14005130	87
20	НИВОА	C-H-NO.	Benzovazinoids	196.0605	0.42	5.00		152212	87
30	Anigenin-6-C-glucoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	433 1129	-0.16	5.18	C01714	162250	52
31	Anigenin-O-glucoside-O-pentoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	565 1553	0.10	5.05	C04858	5280746	, c
32	Chrysoeriol	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	301.0707	0.05	6.42	C04293	5280740	- -
32	Chrysoeriol-6-C-glucoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	463 1237	0.43	5 35	C05990	5280000	г г
34	Chrysperiol_C-bexosyl_O-deoxybexoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	609 1817	0.45	5.24		442011	г г
35	Chrysoeriol-C-bexosyl-O-bexoside	C H O	Flavonoids	625 1766	0.42	5.17		72102674	г г
36	Luteolin-C-bexosyl-deoxybexoside	CHO	Flavonoids	595 1660	0.45	5.02		72193074	, c
37	Chrysperiol-Caffeoyl-bexosyl-bexoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	287 0549	-0.40	6.05	C01514	5280445	, c
37	Luteolin-C-pentosyl C bexoside	CacHarOut	Flavonoids	581 1504	0.51	4 97		1/1258091	F
30	Luteolin-6-C-glucoside	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	449 1080	0.30	5.00	C01750	5280450	, c
40	Tricin	CH. O.	Flavonoids	331 0812	-0.07	6.45	C10193	5280433	- -
40	Tricin-glucoside	Ca2Ha4Ova	Flavonoids	493,1343	0.58	5.56		5201/02	F
41	Tricin 7-(6-malonylglucoside)	C.H.O.	Flavonoids	579 1348	0.55	5.68		1222022	г г
42	Ferulovlagmatine	C.H.N.O.	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	307 1765	-0.05	4 57	C18325	46172276	F LIC
44	Feruloyluginanie	C. H. N.O.	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	265 1548	0.32	4 70	C10497	5291706	цс
44	Caffeovlputrescipe	C ₁₄ . 20, 203	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	251 1390	0.07	4 47	000000	5201/90	нс
45	CoumaroyIputrescine	C H N O	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	235.1441	0.07	4.50	C03002	5911	нс
40	Caffeovlagmatine	C. H. N.O.	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	293 1608	0.06	4.50			нс
47	Sinapovlputrescipe	$C_{14}H_{20}N_{4}O_{3}$	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	295 1653	0.22	4 56			нс
40 /0	Sinapoylagmatine	CuHaN.O.	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	337 187	0.09	4 65			нс цс
49 50	Coumarovlagmatine	C. H. N.O	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	277 166	0.35	4.65	C04408	5280601	нс
51	Eerulovlcadaverine	C.H.N.O	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides	279 1702	0.42	4.85	CU4498	2700021	нс
51	Issmonic acid	C H O	Phytohormones	217.1702	0.42	6.27	C08/91	5001100	HL
52	Methyl issmonate	С Н О	Phytohormones	211.1327	0.13	7.07	C11512	5281166	н
	in ayı jasınınan	C13 ¹¹ 20 ^O 3	1 HytOROLIBORES	223.14033	0.15	7.07	<u></u>	15082	н
^a Cl			1.0		D 1 00 4				

^a Class was classified according to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/) and PubChem (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) databases. Id: identifier; m/z: mass-to-charge ratio; m/z error (difference between the measured m/z and the calculated m/z of an ion, represented in ppm); RT: retention time. Compounds indicated in bold were confirmed with authentic standards.

Supplemental Table 3. Non-targeted analysis of differentially accumulated ions between I and NI leaves at 48 hpi. Ions were selected based on a comparison of I and NI leaves at 48 hpi, with p-value threshold < 0.05 (two-group Welch t-test) and a fold change threshold \geq 2. Ions were sorted by retention time (RT) in order to group ions potentially originating from the same molecule. Putative molecular formulas were proposed based on the precise mass of the ions, isotopic ratios, adducts and loss of neutrals, as well as by comparison with published literature.

	1						
Group	Identifier	fold I / NI	pvalue	m/z	RT (sec)	Molecular formula	Name / Chemical structure
NA	M214T66	16,80	4,24E-03	214,05893	66,1		
NA	M419T78	4.54	3.60F-02	419,15893	78.3	isotope	
NΔ	M418T79	2.93	2 45F-02	418 15552	79.1	C14 H28 O13 N	
	MADECTAAC	2,55	2,45002	410,15552	115.0	C141128 015 N	
NA	IVI2561116	3,28	1,61E-U3	256,08156	115,8		
1	M198T130	6,84	4,55E-04	198,07616	129,5	C9 H12 O4 N	Hydroxytyrosine
NA	M151T245	4,59	1,24E-05	151,04239	245,2	C5 H11 O3 S	
NA	M304T247	2,48	3,60E-02	304,05185	247,4		
2	M251T249	5.49	3.20F-02	251.13897	248.5	C13 H19 O3 N2	Coumaroyl-hydroxyputrescine
2	M291T2E4	0 22	0.965.02	291 14054	2527	C14 H31 O4 N3	Forulay hydroxyputrossing
	112811234	8,32	9,80L-03	281,14934	253,7	C14 H21 04 N2	rerutoyi-ityuroxyputrescille
4	M2931254	22,63	1,32E-02	293,16074	253,9	C14 H21 O3 N4	Coumaroyi-nydroxy-agmatine
4	M294T254	24,36	1,41E-02	294,16406	254,3	C13 ¹³ C H21 O3 N4	isotope of M293T254
5	M147T255	7,96	1,35E-02	147,04400	254,9	C9 H7 O2	coumaroyl fragment of M291T255
5	M291T255	12.98	6.13E-03	291.14510	254.9	C14 H19 O3 N4	CoumarovI-hydroxydehydro-agmatine
G	M202T255	28.00	7 255 02	202 14925	255.2	C12 ¹³ C H10 O2 NA	isotopo of M202T2EE
5	1012 32 12 33	28,09	7,33E-03	232,14833	233,3	C13 CH1903 N4	130100001012321233
5	M2731256	13,70	1,03E-02	273,13456	255,7	C14 H17 O2 N4	fragment of M2911255
5	M274T256	22,65	9,83E-03	274,13788	255,8	C13 ¹³ C H17 O2 N4	isotope of M273T256
6	M266T257	2,50	9,32E-03	266,15799	257,1		isotope du M265T258
6	M265T258	2.91	3.03E-04	265.15466	258.0	C14H21O3N2	ferulovlputrescine
7	M323T258	11.25	7 23F-04	323 17138	258.0	C15 H23 O4 N4	Ferulovl-hydroxy-agmatine
7	M224T259	2 05	2 825 02	224 17467	250,0	015 1125 04 114	isotopo du M222T259
/	1013241238	3,03	2,831-03	324,17407	238,5		130100000000000000000000000000000000000
8	M3211259	5,20	6,32E-03	321,15574	259,1	C15 H21 O4 N4	FeruloyI-hydroxydenydro-agmatine
NA	M178T260	2,02	3,18E-05	178,05327	259,8	C6 H12 O3 N S	
NA	M346T260	2,46	4,84E-05	346,07911	259,9	isotope	
NA	M344T260	2,17	1,47E-04	344,08327	260,0	C11 H22 O7 N S2	
NA	M345T260	2 27	4.97F-04	345.08687	260.0	isotope	
NA	M144T261	1 10	2 8/15-02	144 08085	261.2	C10 H10 N	
40	M2077262	- 4,49	1 105 02	207 17652	201,2	C15 H22 O2 N4	Forwlowlagmating
10	141207 1202	0,60	1,18E-02	507,17052	201,5	12	r ci ulo ylagillatille
9	M278T262	42,76	1,15E-02	278,16922	261,7	C13 ¹³ C H21 O2 N4	isotope of Coumaroylagmatine
10	M308T265	13,91	3,27E-04	308,17977	264,5	C14 ¹³ C H23 O3 N4	isotope of Feruloylagmatine
12	M337T266	2.83	8.24E-04	337,18705	265.7	C16 H25 O4 N4	sinapovlagmatine
11	M275T266	67.35	1 25E-02	275 15028	266.4	C14 H19 O2 N4	Coumaroyl-debydro-agmatine
11	1412737200	2,40	7,250.02	213,13020	200,4	C101120.0C N.C2	countarbyr denyaro aginatine
NA	IVI3141268	3,10	7,52E-06	314,07264	267,7	C10 H20 06 N S2	
NA	M422T271	3,33	1,13E-02	422,15921	270,8		
NA	M227T279	2,18	2,25E-04	227,17533	279,4	C12 H23 O2 N2	
NA	M262T299	2,17	2,91E-02	262,18016	299,2		
NA	M201T299	2,21	2,79E-03	201,11215	299,5		
13	M186T301	5 27	6 12F-04	186 22169	300.9	C12 H28 N	Dodecanamine
NA	M260T202	2.04	2 285 02	260 12802	202.1		boaccananne
IN/A	N41 COT04 2	2,34	3,281-02	300,12892	302,1		
NA	M1631313	2,30	6,14E-03	163,13289	313,2		
NA	M363T313	8,04	2,32E-02	363,14159	313,3	C15 H19 O5 N6	
NA	M342T313	7,04	5,15E-04	342,21231	313,3	C14 H32 O8 N	
NA	M370T313	6,13	1,97E-05	370,24360	313,4	C16 H36 O8 N	
NΔ	M371T314	3 59	3 12F-05	371 24702	313 7		
NA	M20ET217	2,34	1 055 03	205 26402	217.2		
INA	1015951517	2,34	1,95E-02	395,26402	517,2		
NA	WI2221324	3,20	3,11E-03	222,07610	323,8		
NA	M143T325	3,40	1,37E-02	143,10673	324,7		
NA	M467T325	3,64	8,35E-05	467,24892	325,1		
NA	M426T330	2,38	2,21E-04	426,23350	329,9		
NA	M391T330	2.36	8.08F-05	391,19633	330.2	C18H30O9	
NΔ	M462T330	2 21	1.64E-02	462 11847	330.4		
NA	M400T221	2,21	7,042,02	402,1104/	330,4		1
NA NA	1014091551	2,19	7,90E-03	409,20694	331,0		
INA.	11/14221331	4,62	1,12E-04	422,20216	331,3		
NA	M463T334	3,27	2,12E-03	463,25397	334,1		
NA	M481T334	2,91	2,06E-03	481,26456	334,2		
NA	M482T334	4,17	6,03E-05	482,26807	334,3		
NA	M215T334	4.08	2.08E-03	215.20057	334.4	C13H27O2	
NA	M405T343	6 44	3 67E-04	405 21203	342.7		
NA	MA 227242	1 4 4 0	2 015 02	422 2220	2424		
INA NA	11/14231343	4,10	2,01E-03	425,22260	343,4		
NA	IVI/301361	2,07	8,39E-03	/30,34945	361,4		
NA	M742T365	2,42	8,03E-03	742,47383	365,1		
NA	M469T367	6,71	2,54E-06	469,23679	367,1		1
NA	M410T376	24,37	2,55E-02	410,20214	376,2	C17 H32 O10 N	
NA	M290T377	12.07	1.95E-02	290.08695	376.6	C11 H16 O8 N	1
NA	M416T377	10.48	4 36F-02	416 16087	376.7	C24 H22 O4 N3	
NA	M1 277277	10,48	1.035.02	127 02000	276.0		1
	14112/13//	2,82	1,030-03	127,05909	370,0		
NA	1/14291383	4,20	3,93E-04	429,24839	383,0		
NA	M482T384	3,33	3,98E-04	482,29616	383,5		
NA	M430T384	5,27	2,44E-03	430,25176	383,5		
NA	M518T384	5.98	7,16E-03	518,18102	383,8		
NA	M411T385	3 79	1.42F-03	411.23773	384.5		
NA	M466T29E	3,75	1 215 02	466 27222	385 2	1	
	1414001303	3,30	1,210-03	400,27332	303,3		
NA	1/1231 (387	4,27	3,17E-04	231,04993	386,5		
NA	M465T387	7,90	6,59E-04	465,27000	386,6	C18 H37 O8 N6	
NA	M396T387	2,51	1,48E-03	396,22292	386,7		1
NA	M447T387	5,84	1,31E-03	447,25908	386,8	C22 H37 O8	
NA	M448T387	2.61	4.81E-03	448.26248	387.3	isotope	1
NA	M1437392	2,01	3 05E-04	143 10670	391.6		1
NA	M420T20E	2,39	3,031-04	420 21100	205.1	C12H2E07	
INA NA	1414291395	2,51	2,72E-02	429,21198	395,1	C22033U/	
NA	1/1482 (402	2,48	1,55E-03	482,25980	402,0		
NA	M447T403	2,08	3,93E-03	447,22256	402,6		
NA	M465T403	2,60	1,40E-03	465,23331	402,6		1



Supplemental Figure 1. Mass spectrum of the putative p-coumaroyl-3-hydroxydehydroagmatine (M291T255). The fragmentation giving rise to the main fragments is indicated.