1 Introduction

The Internet of Things (IoT) market has experienced significant growth in recent years and is expected to continue growing, forecasting 50 billion devices at the end of the decade [Ins, DE]. As the number of devices grows, integrating them into information systems becomes more complex, making it important to find new and innovative ways to manage the data generated by these devices. In most cases, this data is presented as Time Series (TS), and the majority of the studies in this field focus on prediction compression or processing [ea20, ea19, ea18]. Nevertheless, a standardized representation has not been established, to the best of our knowledge.

In this paper, we propose a new versatile format for representing TS based on CBOR [BH18]. It uses CBOR[BH20] to represent measurements which use the difference of subsequent values (deltas) in the TS, a tree format to identify where the values are in the TS, and metadata (e.g. time stamp, sensor identifiers, and sensor precision), which give context to the data sent by our proposal. Additionally, we introduce Variable-based TS (VTS) and Measurements-based TS (MTS) templates to group the representation of TS by variables or measurements, respectively. Then, the performance evaluation of the proposed format shows that it can significantly reduce the amount of data sent by IoT devices by between 96% and 76% compared to JSON.

Furthermore, this paper concludes that the format proposed is well-suited for the representation of IoT data and has the potential to improve the efficiency of IoT systems. Deeper explanation details, a better understanding of the technical background, and other binary formats are available in the long version of this paper [ea22].
2 The Proposed Architecture

Fig. 1 illustrates our vision of the IoT architecture on IoT networks. This architecture can be explained in three parts:

1. The user application will produce all the data retrieved from the measurements.
2. A middleware below the user application that will be in charge of (i) regrouping the TS data generated by the sensor in a compact manner with a common representation, and (ii) select the access network (Wi-Fi, 5G, LoRaWAN) to send the data depending on the network requirements.
3. The proxy, where all the data sent by the middleware will converge. This proxy will reconstruct the TS data format proposed in this paper to any data format needed by the data platform or cloud application.

3 TS representation with CBOR Templates

[BH18] defines a CBOR template as a CBOR data item containing one or more variables. These variables are represented as CBOR data item containing a specific identifier called a tag. Furthermore, this CBOR variable definition allows reducing the number of bytes that are sent by IoT devices by only sending the corresponding values and a further transformation into any data format needed by a cloud application.

[BH18] proposed a template, from now on called Static-based Time-Series (STS) template. To explain, consider that we want to represent the JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) structure presented in Listing 1, which has information related to a temperature and humidity sensor transmitting three measurements at the same time.

In this case, the corresponding STS template would be the one depicted in Listing 2. In this template, the variables are identified by the CBOR tag 42(). Nevertheless, we will refer to the CBOR variable as a tag number, Tag Number (TAGN). Finally, a CBOR array is required to undergo substitution and reconstruct the information, which would be: [32, 20, 31, 21, 30, 22] . However, STS is a static template, which means, if this template receives a different CBOR data item with a different amount of measurements (e.g., an array with only 2 measurements [32, 20]), then the template is expecting 6 variables and would not be able to make the substitution.

```json
[{ 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 32},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 20},
 { 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 31},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 21},
 { 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 30},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 22}]
```

Listing 1 – A JSON structure that will be employed in the rest of the paper.

```json
[{ 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 42(0)},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 42(1)},
 { 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 42(2)},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 42(3)},
 { 'n': 'temperature', 'u': 'Cel', 'v': 42(4)},
 { 'n': 'humidity', 'u': '%RH', 'v': 42(5)}
```

Listing 2 – Example of Listing 1 as a template with CBOR variables.

3.1 Tree Formatting and Delta Between Measurements

In this paper, we propose a tree representation inside the TAGN, indicating where the values of the variables will be found in the CBOR data item. This allows the introduction of non-static templates in a further section. Let us now consider [[0,1],[3],[4,5]], this array contains nested arrays in four different levels. Therefore, if it is necessary to select any value according to the new representation of the TAGN proposed, the following values can be selected:

1. The value 1 can be selected with the tag representation: `TAGN [0,1]`. It refers to the first array of data (TAGN [0,1]), in this case ([0,1]), and the second position of that array (TAGN [0,1])
2. It is possible to select the values (4 and 5) adding the value ‘true’ to the TAGN representation: 
\[
\text{TAGN} \ [ 1, 1, \text{true}] \]

Consequently, we introduce the use of deltas in CBOR templates. Here, we propose that the devices will transmit only the difference of the subsequent measurements, by doing that we reduce the amount of data sent by IoT devices. Then, the CBOR data item for the STS template required to reproduce Listing 1 is: 
\[
[ 32, 20, 31, 21, 30, 22 ]
\]
Thus, the difference present in each value for temperature is -1, and for humidity is +1. Therefore, the result array is: 
\[
[32, 20, -1, 1, -1, 1]
\]
Only 8 bytes are used against 10 from the previous array when using CBOR representation. Finally, in the rest of the paper, all examples will be expressed in deltas as described in this section.

3.2 Variable-based TS (VTS) and Measurements-based TS (MTS)

The STS representation helps to reduce the amount of data sent by IoT devices. However, it is limited by knowing the exact number of data items or variables to be replaced.

In this section, using the ‘true’ value in the TAGN, will allow differentiating when it is not possible to know how many values are going to be sent inside a CBOR data item. Thus, it is possible to represent TS data items with a template and an array of information as follows:

\[
\{ \text{‘n’: ‘temperature’, ‘u’: ‘Cel’, ‘v’: TAGN[0, true]} \}, \\
\{ \text{‘n’: ‘humidity’, ‘u’: ‘%RH’, ‘v’: TAGN[1, true]} \}
\]

Listing 3 – VTS template.

Thus, continuing with the structure of the template proposed, the CBOR data item needed to represent the JSON structure presented in Listing 1 must be: 
\[
[ [32, -1, -1], [20, 1, 1] ]
\]

Consequently, this template allows us to send more or fewer values if needed thanks to the ‘true’ value. For example, it allows sending two values for humidity and two for temperature with the following CBOR data item: 
\[
[ [32, -1], [20, 1] ]
\]
Thus, the CBOR data item will be accepted by the VTS template and this would be able to reconstruct the information. Another proposed approach is Measurements-based TS (MTS) template, as a way to order TS by measurements. Listing 4 depicts the MTS template to represent the structure in 1. Thus, the following CBOR data item is required to represent the JSON data values: 
\[
[ [32, 20], [-1,1], [-1,1] ]
\]
A detailed explanation about MTS is present in [ea22].

\[
\{ \text{‘n’: ‘temperature’, ‘u’: ‘Cel’, ‘v’: TAGN[true, 0]} \}, \\
\{ \text{‘n’: ‘humidity’, ‘u’: ‘%RH’, ‘v’: TAGN[true, 1]} \}
\]

Listing 4 – MTS template.

3.3 Metadata

In addition to the actual measurement of the variable, some use-cases may need additional information. Thus, to represent this information we propose metadata. This metadata is represented by a map type ({}) in CBOR, i.e [ {\text{METADATA }}, [32,20],[-1,1],[-1,1] ]. Furthermore, with the metadata it is possible to represent the following values: (i) Base time which indicates, the time when the measurements were taken, it is represented with ‘bt’ e.g ‘bt’:15964834, then (ii) the difference in time which indicates the time, in seconds, between the subsequent value in the CBOR data item, it is represented with ‘dt’ e.g ‘dt’:30, and finally (iii) the base precision which indicates the precision of the measurements, it is represented with ‘bp’, e.g ‘bp’ :3.

4 Performance Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of our proposals, we first compare the JSON example presented in Listing 1 when transformed into CBOR, STS, MTS, and VTS, finally (ii) we take a real-world data set from [Jua22] and perform the same transformation.

1. JSON representation for Listing 1: As shown in Figure. 2a, when Listing 1 is represented using CBOR requires 139 bytes. However, when represented with MTS or VTS is represented with 11 and 10 bytes, a reduction of 92% and 93% respectively. The STS template reduces the 88% but at the expense of a non-flexible template. All measurements and representations are present in [Jua22].
2. **Real-world data**: In this case, the real-world data is composed of the first 100 measurements of an electrophoresis painting plant with 7 sensors sensing every 10 s. This yields 700 measurements. Figure 2b depicts a reduction of 77% (from 9294 to 2141 bytes) when using VTS template, and a reduction of 76% (from 9294 to 2228 bytes) when using MTS template. All measurements and representations are present in [Jua22].

5. **Conclusions and Future Work**

The VTS and MTS templates, as proposed, have shown a compact representation of data collected by IoT devices. Furthermore, their implementation results in a substantial reduction, ranging from 76% to 96%, of the total data transmission as compared to JSON.

The outcome of this proposal has practical applications: (i) improved battery life by reducing the size of the data transmitted, (ii) with a standard format to represent TS, it is possible to reduce the dependency between cloud applications and IoT devices by enabling transformation to any desired data representation.

As for future work, we plan to review the impact of the metadata on the performance of our templates using real deployments, and complex data structures.
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