

## Differences in reading proficiency correlate with variations in vowel duration and dynamics

Anisia Popescu, Elina Rubertus, Aude Noiray

## ▶ To cite this version:

Anisia Popescu, Elina Rubertus, Aude Noiray. Differences in reading proficiency correlate with variations in vowel duration and dynamics. 8th International Conference on Speech Motor Control 2022, Aug 2022, Groningen, The Netherlands, Netherlands. hal-04086093

HAL Id: hal-04086093

https://hal.science/hal-04086093

Submitted on 1 May 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Differences in reading proficiency correlate with variations in vowel duration and dynamics

Anisia Popescu<sup>1</sup>, Elina Rubertus<sup>1</sup>, Aude Noiray<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universität Potsdam, <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire Dynamique du Langage

The present study investigates the production of vowels in three speech modalities (repeated vs. read aloud vs. phonics style primed read speech) in German children (second and third graders) and adults with varying levels of reading proficiency. Recent studies have shown that speech production interacts with metalinguistic skills, such as phonological awareness [1] and reading proficiency [2]. Beginning readers of transparent alphabetical scripts use grapheme-phoneme correspondences to decode (non)words one grapheme at a time. With practice phonological decoding becomes more fluent and automatic. In this study we investigate to what extent reading proficiency (i.e., decoding capabilities) influences vowel duration and formant trajectories in different modalities (i.e., read vs. repeated speech). In addition to the classic reading and repetition modalities we add a third, inspired by synthetic phonics, a reading instruction method which uses phoneme decomposition and spelling out letters before putting them together to form a word (e.g., d-o-g – dog). This is a standard method used for transparent languages such as German [3]. We expect poorer readers to show greater variability in vowel duration and formant trajectories across modalities. In more proficient readers vowel production should not vary as a function of modality, indicating a more automated decoding process.

A total of 48 native German participants were recorded: 16 monolingual  $2^{nd}$  graders (age span: 7;03 (Y;MM) – 8;03), 16 monolingual  $3^{rd}$  graders (age span: 8;03 – 9;05) and 16 adults were recorded. Stimuli for all three modality blocks consisted of  $C_1VC_2$ 9 pseudowords (C=/b,d,g/, V=/i,e,a,o,u/,  $C_1\neq C_2$ ) following the indefinite article /amə/. The production task was split into three sequential sections corresponding to the three modalities: reading (stimuli appeared on screen), repetition (pre-recorded audio stimuli) and primed reading ( $C_1$  and V of each stimulus appeared separately before the word). Reading proficiency was evaluated using the standard SLRT-II test for German [4]. Measures of vowel duration and spectral dynamics (F2 trajectories) were carried out in Praat. Vowel duration was evaluated using linear mixed models with modality, proficiency, cohort, and their interaction as predictors. GAMM binary difference smooths [5] were used to analyze differences in formant trajectories between modalities for different proficiency levels.

We present preliminary findings from 12 speakers, the two best and poorest readers from each cohort (adults – A, 2<sup>nd</sup> graders – P2, 3<sup>rd</sup> graders - P3). Results indicate that vowel duration is significantly longer in the reading compared to priming and shortest for the repetition modality in poorer readers, including adults. This is not the case for the best readers for whom vowels have comparable duration in the reading and primed-reading conditions (Figure 1). This suggests that poorer readers benefit from seeing the letters of the first syllable prior to decoding the printed word. These patterns are reflected in spectral dynamics – with significant differences in formant trajectories based on modality noted only for poorer readers. These findings indicate unskilled phoneme decoding is reflected in segmental duration and formant transitions.




Figure 1: Vowel duration (s) as a function of modality (repeated, read and primed-read speech), cohort (adults -A,  $2^{nd}$  graders -P2,  $3^{rd}$  graders P3) and reading proficiency (best vs. poorer readers). Data from 12 speakers.

## References:

[1] Noiray, A., Popescu, A., Killmer, H., Rubertus, E., Krüger, S., & Hintermeier, L., (2019). Spoken language development and the challenge of skill integration, *Frontiers in Psychology, Language Sciences, 10.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02777 [2] Popescu, A., & Noiray, A., (2021). Learning to Read Interacts with Children's Spoken Language Fluency, *Language Learning and Development*, DOI: 10.1080/15475441.2021.1941032 [3] Landerl, K. (2000) Influences of orthographic consistency and reading instruction on the development of nonword reading skills, *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 15*, 239–257 [4] Moll, K., & Landerl, K. (2010). *SLRT-II: Lese-und Rechtschreibtest; Weiterentwicklung des Salzburger Lese-und Rechtschreibtests (SLRT)*. Huber. [5] Wieling, M. (2018). Analyzing dynamic phonetic data using generalized additive mixed modeling: A tutorial focusing on articulatory differences between L1 and L2 speakers of English. *Journal of Phonetics*, 70, 86–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2018.03.002