Two-photon interference from a quantum emitter in hexagonal boron nitride

Clarisse Fournier, Sébastien Roux, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Stéphanie Buil, Julien Barjon, Jean-Pierre Hermier, Aymeric Delteil

Influence of the post-selection on the HOM visibility

It is well known that dephasing degrades the visibility of two-photon interference when the time difference between coincidences is larger than the dephasing time [33-35]. Time postselection of photon detection events, allowed by suitable detector resolution, can thus increase the Hong-Ou-Mandel (HOM) visibility and has been used to boost the fidelity of quantum protocols based on HOM interference – at the price of a lower count rate [3,4]. In this section, we calculate the evolution of the HOM visibility upon modification of the post-selection time window based on numerical integration of the master equation.

Our system is modeled by a two-level system with computational states $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$, and is described in the rotating frame, in the absence of coherent laser drive. As a consequence, the time evolution is purely dissipative, governed by the Lindblad master equation $\dot{\rho} = \sum_{c_i} c_i \rho c_i^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ c_i^{\dagger} c_i, \rho \right\}$, with c_i the relevant collapse operators. The dissipation terms are spontaneous emission at rate $\Gamma_{\rm sp}$ and pure dephasing at rate γ^* . The corresponding collapse operators read, respectively, $\sqrt{\Gamma_{\rm sp}}\sigma^-$ and $\sqrt{\gamma^*/2}\sigma_z$ (in the convention where $\gamma^* = 1/T_2^*$ [32]), where $\sigma_z = |e\rangle\langle e| - |g\rangle\langle g|$, and $\sigma^- = |g\rangle\langle e|$. The effect of the non-resonant laser pulse is accounted for by taking $|e\rangle$ as the initial state. In the far field, the field operators are locked to the source operators [36], such that $a(t) \propto \sigma^- (t - r/c)$, with a(t) the photon annihilation operator. The field observables are therefore expressed in terms of source operators in the following.

The HOM visibility can be expressed as a function of the first- and second-order coherence of the light field [37]:

$$g_{\text{HOM}}^{(2)}(0) = \frac{1}{2}g_{\text{HBT}}^{(2)}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N^2}\int\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}t' \left|G^{(1)}(t,t')\right|^2\right)$$

with $G^{(1)}(t,t') = \langle \sigma^+(t)\sigma^-(t') \rangle$, N a normalization factor $N = \int dt \langle \sigma^+(t)\sigma^-(t) \rangle = \int dt \langle \sigma_{ee}(t) \rangle$ and $g^{(2)}_{\rm HBT}(0) = 0$ for an ideal single-photon source.

 $G^{(1)}(t,t')$ is numerically calculated based on the quantum regression theorem using the Qutip toolbox [38,39]. To account for the temporal post-selection, the integrals run over $t, t' \in [0, \Delta t]$, where Δt is the post-selection window size. $g^{(2)}_{\text{HOM}}(0)$ is calculated as a function of Δt for various values of γ^* .

Figure 1 shows the result of the calculation for six values of γ^* . It can be seen that the visibility $V_{\rm HOM} = 1 - 2g_{\rm HOM}^{(2)}(0)$ decays with increasing Δt . The decay time depends on the dephasing, and is plotted as a function of γ^* in main text figure 3b. The visibility for $\Delta t \approx 0$ is always high since the photons are always indistinguishable at short delays [33], and decays faster for larger dephasing rates. The long-time asymptote, corresponding to integration of all detection events, coincides with the bare (non-postselected) indistinguishability $T_2/2T_1 = \Gamma_{\rm sp}/(\Gamma_{\rm sp} + 2\gamma^*)$ [30].



FIG. 1: V_{HOM} as a function of Δt for different values of γ^* .

Influence of the Purcell factor on the HOM visibility

As mentioned in the previous section, the integrated HOM visibility (without any post-selection) for an ideal emitter is $V_{\text{HOM}} = T_2/2T_1$ [30] – the ideal case $T_2 = 2T_1$ providing $V_{\text{HOM}} = 1$. In a cavity, the Purcell effect modifies the lifetime according to $T'_1 = T_1/F_p$, where F_p is the Purcell factor. Given our estimation of $T^*_2 = 2.4$ ns, an indistinguishability of 0.80 (resp 0.90) corresponds to $F_p = 7$ (resp. $F_p = 15$).

^[3] H. Bernien, B. Hensen, W. Pfaff, G. Koolstra, M. S. Blok, L. Robledo, T. H. Taminiau, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, L. Childress, and R. Hanson, Heralded entanglement between solid-state qubits separated by three metres, Nature **497**, 86 (2013).

^[4] A. Delteil, Z. Sun, W.-B. Gao, E. Togan, S. Fält, and A. Imamoğlu, Generation of heralded entanglement between distant hole spins, Nature Phys. **12**, 218 (2016).

^[30] J. Bylander, I. Robert-Philip, and I. Abram, Interference and correlation of two independent photons, Eur. Phys. J. D **22**, 295 (2003).

^[32] J. A. Martínez, R. A. Parker, K. C. Chen, M. Purser, L. Li, C. P. Michaels, A. M. Stramma, R. Debroux, I. B. Harris, M. H. Appel, *et al.*, Photonic Indistinguishability of the Tin-Vacancy Center in Nanostructured Diamond, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 173603 (2022).

^[33] R. Proux, M. Maragkou, E. Baudin, C. Voisin, P. Roussignol, and C. Diederichs, Measuring the Photon Coalescence Time Window in the Continuous-Wave Regime for Resonantly Driven Semiconductor Quantum Dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 067401 (2015).

^[34] J.-H. Kim, T. Cai, C. J. K. Richardson, R. P. Leavitt, and E. Waks, Two-photon interference from a bright single-photon source at telecom wavelengths, Optica **3**, 577 (2016).

^[35] C. Nawrath, F. Olbrich, M. Paul, S. L. Portalupi, M. Jetter, and P. Michler, Coherence and indistinguisha-

bility of highly pure single photons from non-resonantly and resonantly excited telecom C-band quantum dots, Appl. Phys. Lett. 115, 023103 (2019).

[36] R. Loudon, The Quantum Theory of Light, 2nd ed. (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).
[37] K. A. Fischer, K. Müller, K. G. Lagoudakis, and J. Vučković, Dynamical modeling of pulsed two-photon interference, New J. Phys. 18, 113053 (2016).

[38] J. R. Johansson, P. D. Nation, and F. Nori, QuTiP: An opensource Python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183, 1760 (2012).

[39] J. R. Johansson, P. D. Nation, and F. Nori, QuTiP 2: A Python framework for the dynamics of open quantum systems, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184, 1234 (2013).