

Seismic Travel-time Tomography beneath Merapi Volcano and its Surroundings: A Preliminary Result from DOMERAPI Project

Mohamad Ramdhan, Sri Widiyantoro, Andri Dian Nugraha, Asep Saepuloh, Jean-Philippe Métaxian, Said Kristyawan, Andry Syaly Sembiring, Agus Budi

Santoso

▶ To cite this version:

Mohamad Ramdhan, Sri Widiyantoro, Andri Dian Nugraha, Asep Saepuloh, Jean-Philippe Métaxian, et al.. Seismic Travel-time Tomography beneath Merapi Volcano and its Surroundings: A Preliminary Result from DOMERAPI Project. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2017, 62, pp.012039. 10.1088/1755-1315/62/1/012039. hal-04085202

HAL Id: hal-04085202 https://hal.science/hal-04085202

Submitted on 29 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Seismic Travel-time Tomography beneath Merapi Volcano and its Surroundings: A Preliminary Result from DOMERAPI Project

To cite this article: Mohamad Ramdhan et al 2017 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 62 012039

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Analysis volcano deformation for</u> <u>determining location of the pressure</u> <u>source, hypocentre and magma supply as</u> <u>disaster mitigation efforts: case studies in</u> <u>Merapi volcano</u>

A Basid, I K Mahardika, W Subchan et al.

- Preliminary Results of Receiver Function Forward Velocity Modelling at Merapi Volcano

Volcano M F R Auly, A K Ilahi, I Madrinovella et al.

- <u>Ambient Noise Tomography of Merapi</u> <u>Complex, Central Java, Indonesia: A</u> <u>Preliminary Result</u> Massita Putriastuti, Tedi Yudistira, Andri Dian Nugraha et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 92.184.119.71 on 29/04/2023 at 06:59

Seismic Travel-time Tomography beneath Merapi Volcano and its Surroundings: A Preliminary Result from **DOMERAPI** Project

Mohamad Ramdhan^{1,2}, Sri Widiyantoro³, Andri Dian Nugraha³, Asep Saepuloh⁴, Jean-Philippe Métaxian⁵, Said Kristyawan^{1,2}, Andry Syaly Sembiring^{1,2}, Agus Budi Santoso⁶

¹Graduate Program of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Earth Sciences and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia

²Agency for Meteorology, Climatology, and Geophysics (BMKG), Indonesia

³Global Geophysical Research Group, Faculty of Mining and Petroleum Engineering, Institut Teknologi Bandung

⁴Faculty of Earth Sciences and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung

⁵ISTerre, IRD R219, CNRS, Universit'e de Savoie, Le Bourget-du-Lac, France; ⁶Center for Research and Technology Development of Geological Disaster (BPPTKG), Indonesia

E-mail: mramdhan123@gmail.com

Abstract. Many geophysical methods at various scales have been applied to understand the internal structure beneath Merapi volcano and its magmatic process. As part of the DOMERAPI project, a seismic experiment was conducted from October 2013 to mid April 2015 in order to determine the deep magma source beneath the volcano through seismic traveltime tomography. The earthquake events were identified and picked manually and carefully to determine the hypocenters. They were then relocated to get precise hypocenter locations before running the seismic tomographic imaging. The data from the BMKG network from the same period of time as mentioned above were also incorporated to minimize azimuthal gap, because the majority of events occurred outside the DOMERAPI network. The checkerboard resolution test result depicts that the area around the network can be well resolved. Compared to previous studies, our result shows a higher resolution at shallow depths, i.e., less than 35 km and a low velocity material imaged to ascend diagonally from the deeper area.

Keywords: Merapi, DOMERAPI, BMKG seismic tomography, low velocity. PACS: 91.30.Jk

1. Introduction

The Merapi volcano is one of the most active volcanos in the world. The eruption period occurred from two to six years [1]. The Merapi eruption type is dominated by pyroclastic flows caused by lava dome collapse [1,2]. A different eruption type, which is more explosive and of higher magnitude, such as the 2010 eruption, occurs less frequently, i.e. every 50 to 100 years [3,4]. Many earth scientists have studied various methods to determine the Merapi internal structure from local to regional scale. Two magmatic systems have been identified beneath Merapi down to 5 km depth beneath the summit by seismological studies [1,2]. A low velocity material ascending from the partial melting zone to



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

volcanic arc was detected by travel-time seismic tomography by using MERAMEX network [5,6]. The result was updated by incorporating data from the BMKG network [7]. The seismic ambient noise tomography using MERAMEX network showed the low group velocities beneath Merapi volcano could be deleniated from 2 to 12 s periods [8]. The same method had been applied using DOMERAPI network [9]. The result showed the higher resolution than the previous study. It showed not only low group velocites beneath Merapi and Merapia using a deep magma source [10]. It could not be detected clearly by seismic studies as mentioned above because of the limitation in data resolution. The DOMERAPI seismic experiment, which was conducted from October 2013 to mid April 2015, has a target, i.e. to determine the deep magma source and its magmatic process beneath the volcano through travel-time seismic tomography.

2. Data and Method

The DOMERAPI seismic network covers Merapi and Merbabu volcanoes. The average distance between seismometers is about 4 km. Opak fault is also covered by the network with a fewer density of seismometers compared to the two volcanoes (see figure 1.a). The majority of earthquakes occurred outside of the network. Data from the BMKG network were included in order to minimize the azimuthal gap [11]. The contribution of the earthquakes located outside the DOMERAPI network is essential to delineate the deep structure beneath Merapi volcano given that the volcano-tectonic earthquakes (VT) occur at maximum 5 km below the summit [1,2]. The total number of earthquakes used in this study is 372. These events were recorded by 70 seismic stations (53 from DOMERAPI network and 17 from BMKG network) and were used to compute travel-time seismic tomography. The events and the network are restricted in the area: 108°-112°E and 6°-11°S. Computation of hypocenters and travel-time seismic tomography for P and S phases or P phase only was performed for events recorded at least by six stations. The minimum of six stations is used to obtain over-determined criteria. The Geiger method was applied to compute hypocenter determination with Hypoellipse software [12,13]. The hypocenters were relocated firstly before running travel-time tomography using a double-difference method [14,15]. The SIMULPS12 was used to compute travel-time seismic tomography [16,17]. The method has been successfully applied widely in various places to delineate the subsurface structure. The subducting slab in the Bungo chanel and Shikoku area had been detected successfully by the method [18]. The method also could detect clearly subduction slab beneath Bali island [19]. The method is also reliable to delineate subsurface structure beneath volcano. The magma source beneath Lokon and Guntur volcanoes could be delineated clearly by the method [20,21].

Figure 1.b shows epicenter distribution and seismic networks in the study area. The initial model used for travel-time seismic tomography computation is a 1-D P velocity model obtained with a previous regional study of central Java [5]. It used a Vp/Vs ratio of 1.73 [22]. The smallest horizontal and vertical grid is 10 km and 5 km, respectively. This grid size is smaller than those used in the previous studies [5,6,7].



Figure 1. **a.** The stations distribution of DOMERAPI (black inverted triangles) and BMKG (yellow inverted triangles) networks in the study area.

b. The seismometer and epicenter distribution used in the travel-time seismic tomographic imaging.

3. Results and Discussion

The total number of ray paths we used is 16,101 (11,074 for P wave and 5,054 for S wave). The inversion was performed for Vp and Vp/Vs ratio. For the Vp/Vs ratio inversion we used an equal ray path number of P and S. Ideally, the total number of of P and S wave data is equal, but in the real condition the number of S is less than P wave because in general the signal to noise (S/N) ratio is lower for S wave than for P wave. The low S/N ratio produces an un-clear onset. The Checkerboard Resolution Test (CRT) that we conducted shows that the area beneath the study area could be recovered well, especially for depths lower than 35 km. The recovery of the CRT for the depth of more than 60 km is still reasonably good although the anomalies are weaker than the upper anomalies. In this paper we only shows P wave tomogram as a premilinary result of DOMERAPI project. Figure 2 shows the CRT result and Vp tomogram beneath Merapi volcano.





b. Tomogram Vp beneath Merapi volcano and its surroundings. Black and dashed lines are the same with those in (a).

The previous results had not showed a low velocity material ascends diagonally from depth of about 100 km [5,6,7]. The denser station distribution of this study is likely to enhance the resolution beneath study area. The Vp tomogram shows that the low velocity beneath Merapi volcano is dipping southward. It is consistent with the result from a previous study [5]. The other study with D-InSAR method stated that the conduit is dipping to the north-west direction [24]. An impermeabel zone with high velocity was detected beneath Merapi volcano from the depths between 12 and 27 km. The zone could not be detected clearly in the previous studies due to limitation of data. The low and high velocity zones at 100 km and 12-27 km depths, respectively are consistent with the two main magmatic systems characterized by petrological studies beneath Merapi volcano, known as deep and intermediate magma reservoirs [10]. Shallow magma reservoir could not be detected by this study because of the limited data coverage [1,2,10]. The high velocity materials beneath Merbabu volcano is interpreted as a large solidified magma body/intrusion/conduit. The large body serves as a barrier of melting rock from the deep reservoir to the surface. It may infer that Merbabu volcano is less active than Merapi volcano. The fact shows that the last eruption of the Merbabu volcano was in 1797 [25]. We also interpreted that the boundary between low and high velocities at the southern part of the study area represents the Opak fault. The boundary of these low and high velocities originated from the difference of rock physical properties [5]. The existence of low velocity around the seismogenic zone may change the long-term stress concentration [26, 27].

4. Concluding Remarks

The data taken from both DOMERAPI and BMKG networks are effective to improve the resolution beneath the Merapi volcano, especially for depths less than 35 km. The existence of deep and intermediate reservoirs could be detected clearly at depths of around 27 km and 12 km, respectively. The dipping low velocity material ascends from the depth about 100 km. The results also imply that Merbabu volcano is less active than Merapi volcano. To the south of Merapi volcano there is the contact zone between high and low velocity anomalies interpreted as the Opak fault zone.

5. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), France for funding DOMERAPI network, Center for Volcanology and Geohazard Mitigation as main counterpart of the DOMERAPI Project in Indonesia, and the Agency for Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics (BMKG), Indonesia for providing waveform data used in this study. The gratefulness is extended also to the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for granting Doctoral scholarship to the first author to study at Institute of Technology Bandung (ITB), the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of Indonesia (KEMENRISTEK DIKTI) for the research funding, and Near Surface Geophysics Laboratory, ITB for providing the facility to process the earthquake data and travel-time seismic tomography.

References

- [1] Ratdomopurbo A and Poupinet G 2000 J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 100 193-214.
- [2] Hidayati S Ishihara K Iguchi M and Ratdomopurbo A 2008 *Indonesian Journal of Physics*. **9**(3) 75-82.
- [3] Surono Jousset P Pallister J Boichu M Buongiorno M F Budisantoso A Costa F Andreastuti A Prata F Schneider D Clarisse L Humaida H Sumarti S Bignami C Griswold J Carn S Oppenheimer C and Lavigne F 2012 J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 241-242 121-135.
- [4] Luehr B-G Koulakov I Rabbel W Zschau J Ratdomopurbo A Brotopuspito K S Fauzi and Sahara D P 2013 J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 261 7-19.
- [5] Koulakov I Bohm M Asch G Luhr B-G Manzanares A Brotopuspito K S Fauzi Purbawinata M

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/62/1/012039

A Puspito N T Ratdomopurbo A Kopp H Rabbel W and Shevkunova E 2007 *J. Geophys. Res.* **112** B08310 doi:10.1029/2006JB004712.

- [6] Wagner D Koulakov I Rabbel W Lüchr B-G Wittwer A Kopp H Bohm M Asch G and MERAMEX Scientist 2007 Geophys J Int. 170(2) 923-932 doi:101111/j1365-46X200703435x.
- [7] Rohadi S Widiyantoro S Nugraha A D and Masturyono 2013 International Journal of Tomography and Simulation. 24(3) 1-16.
- [8] Zulfakriza Z Saygin E Cummins P R Widiyantoro S Nugraha A D Lühr B-G and Bodin T 2014 Geophys J Int. 197 630-635 doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu016.
- [9] Trichandi R Yudistira T Nugraha A D Zulfakriza Z and Saygin E 2015 *AIP Conference Proceedings.* **1658** 050010 doi: 10.1063/1.4915049.
- [10] Costa F Andreastuti S Bouvet de Maisonneuve C and Pallister J S 2013 J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 261 209-235.
- [11] Ramdhan M Nugraha A D Widiyantoro S Métaxian J P and Valencia A A 2015 AIP Conference Proceedings. 1658 030007; doi: 10.1063/1.4915015.
- [12] Geiger L 1912 Bulletin of St. Louis University. 8(1) 56-71.
- [13] Lahr J C 1989 HYPOELLIPSE/Version 2.0: A computer program for determining local earthquakes hypocentral parameters magnitude and first-motion pattern. U.S Geol. Surv. Open File Rep. 89-116. http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1989/0116/report.pdf.
- [14] Waldhauser F and Ellsworth W L 2000 Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 90 1353-1368.
- [15] Waldhauser F 2001 HypoDD A Program to Compute Double-Difference Hypocenter Locations U.S Geol. Surv. Open File Rep. 01-113.
- [16] Eberhart-Phillips D 1993 Local earthquake tomography: Earthquake source regions, in Seismic Tomography: Theory and Practice, edited by H. M. Iyer and K. Hirahara. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla. 613-643.
- [17] Evans J Eberhart-Phillips D and Thurber C H 1994 User's manual for SIMULPS12 for imaging Vp and Vp/Vs: A derivative of the 'Thurber' tomographic inversion SIMUL3 for local earthquakes. U.S Geol. Surv. Open File Rep. 94-431.
- [18] Nugraha A D and Mori J 2006 Geophys. Res. Lett. 33 1-5 doi:10.1029/2006GL028479.
- [19] Nugraha A D Kusnandar R Puspito N T Sakti A P and Yudistira T 2015 *AIP Conference Proceedings*. **1658** 030019 doi: 10.1063/1.4915027.
- [20] Firmansyah R Nugraha A D and Kristianto 2015 AIP Conference Proceedings. 1658 050012 doi: 10.1063/1.4915051.
- [21] Nugraha A D Widiyantoro S Gunawan A and Suantika G 2013 J. Math. Fund. Sci. 45(1) 17-28.
- [22] Wadati K 1933 Geophys. Mag. 7 101-111.
- [23] <u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/data/slab/</u>
- [24] Saepuloh A Urai M Aisyah N Sunarta Widiwijayanti C Subandriyo and Jousset P 2013 J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. 261 130-143.
- [25] van Hinlopen Labberton D 1921 Oud Javaansche gegevens omtrent de vulkanologie van Java *Natuurk*. Tijdschr. *Nederl. Ind. v.81.* 154-158.
- [26] Nugraha A D Ohmi S Mori J and Shibutani T Earth Planets Space. 65 871-881.
- [27] Ry R V, Priyono A, Nugraha A D, Basuki A 2015 Seismicity Study of Volcano-Tectonic In and Around Tangkuban Parahu Active Volcano in West Java Region, Indonesia AIP Conf. Proc. 1730 020004.