

Sediment delivery to sustain the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta under climate change and anthropogenic impacts

Jessica Raff, Steven Goodbred, Jennifer Pickering, Ryan Sincavage, John Ayers, Md. Saddam Hossain, Carol Wilson, Chris Paola, Michael Steckler, Dhiman Mondal, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Jessica Raff, Steven Goodbred, Jennifer Pickering, Ryan Sincavage, John Ayers, et al.. Sediment delivery to sustain the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta under climate change and anthropogenic impacts. Nature Communications, 2023, 14 (1), pp.2429. 10.1038/s41467-023-38057-9. hal-04084194

HAL Id: hal-04084194 https://hal.science/hal-04084194

Submitted on 27 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	TITLE
2	Sediment delivery to sustain the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta
3	under climate change and anthropogenic impacts
4	
5	AUTHOR LIST
6	Jessica L. Raff (<u>jessica.l.raff@vanderbilt.edu</u>) ^{a*} , Steven L. Goodbred, Jr.
7	(<u>steven.goodbred@vanderbilt</u> .edu) ^{a*} , Jennifer L. Pickering (<u>ilpckrng@memphis.edu</u>) ^b , Ryan S.
8	Sincavage (<u>rsincavage@radford.edu</u>) ^c , John C. Ayers (<u>john.c.ayers@vanderbilt</u> .edu) ^a , Md.
9	Saddam Hossain (<u>mhssain7@memphis.edu</u>) ^c , Carol A. Wilson (<u>carolw@lsu.edu</u>) ^d , Chris Paola
10	(<u>cpaola@umn.edu</u>) ^e , Michael S. Steckler (<u>steckler@ldeo.columbia.edu</u>) ^f , Dhiman R. Mondal
11	(<u>dmondal@mit.edu</u>) ^h , Jean-Louis Grimaud (<u>jean-louis.grimaud@mines-paristech.fr</u>) ¹ , Celine Jo
12	Grall (<u>celine.grall@univ-lr.fr</u>) ^{f,j} , Kimberly G. Rogers (<u>kgrogers@colorado.edu</u>) ^k , Kazi Matin
13	Ahmed (<u>kmahmed@du.ac.bd</u>) ^I , Syed Humayun Akhter (<u>shakhter@bou.ac.bd</u>) ^m , Brandee N.
14	Carlson (<u>bncarlson@uh.edu</u>) ⁿ , Elizabeth A. Chamberlain (<u>liz.chamberlain@wur.nl</u>) ^o , Meagan
15	Dejter (<u>meagan.dejter@gmail</u> .com) ^a , Jonathan Gilligan (<u>jonathan.gilligan@vanderbilt.edu</u>) ^a ,
16	Richard P. Hale (<u>rphale@odu.edu</u>) ^p , Mahfuzur R. Khan (<u>m.khan@du.ac.bd</u>) ^I , Md. Golam
17	Muktadir (golam.muktadir@bup.edu.bd) ^q , Md. Munsur Rahman
18	(<u>mmrahman@iwfm.buet.ac.bd</u>) ^r , Lauren A. Williams (<u>lauren.williams@energy.virginia.gov</u>) ^a
19	
20	AFFILIATIONS
21	^a Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA
22	^b Center for Applied Earth Science and Engineering Research, The University of Memphis,
23	Memphis, TN, USA
24	^c Department of Geology, Radford University, Radford, VA, USA
25	^d Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
26	^e Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, St Anthony Falls Laboratory, University of
27	Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA
28	^f Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY, USA
29	^h Haystack Observatory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Westford, MA, USA

30 ⁱ Centre de Géosciences, PSL University/ MINES Paris, Fontainebleau, France

- 31 ^{*j*} CNRS Littoral Environnement et Sociétés, La Rochelle University, La Rochelle, France
- 32 ^k Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- 33 ¹ Department of Geology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- ^m Bangladesh Open University, Board Bazar, Gazipur, Bangladesh
- ³⁵ ⁿ Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA
- ³⁶ ^o Soil Geography and Landscape, Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands
- 37 ^p Department of Ocean & Earth Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA
- 38 ^q Department of Environmental Science, Bangladesh University of Professionals, Dhaka,
- 39 Bangladesh
- 40 ^r Institute of Water and Flood Management, University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka,
- 41 Bangladesh
- 42
- 43

44 ABSTRACT

45 The principal nature-based solution for offsetting relative sea-level rise in the Ganges-46 Brahmaputra (G-B) delta is the unabated delivery, dispersal, and deposition of the rivers' ~1 47 billion tons of sediment. Recent hydrological transport modeling suggests that strengthening 48 monsoon precipitation in the 21st century could increase this sediment delivery 34-60%; yet 49 other studies demonstrate that sediment could decline 15-80% if planned dams and river 50 diversions are fully implemented. We validate these modeled ranges by developing a 51 comprehensive field-based sediment budget that quantifies the supply of Ganges and 52 Brahmaputra river sediment under varying Holocene climate conditions. Our data reveal 53 natural responses in sediment supply comparable to previously modeled results and suggest 54 that increased sediment delivery may be capable of offsetting accelerated sea-level rise. This 55 prospect for a naturally sustained G-B delta presents possibilities beyond the dystopian future 56 often posed for this system, but the implementation of currently proposed dams and diversions 57 would preclude such opportunities.

59 **INTRODUCTION**

60 Only in the past few years have global assessments of river-delta response to accelerated 61 sea-level rise and declining sediment supply utilized datasets more complete ^{5,6} than the single 62 mean values often used in earlier studies 7-9. Most earlier assessments yield grave predictions for the response of deltas to climate change and human-related impacts, and while those 63 64 concerns are not unfounded, they generally oversimplify complex system behaviors and can 65 thus mask potentially more positive delta scenarios ⁶. One risk of such negative but simplified 66 assessments is to foster dystopian narratives that uphold engineering structures as the key 67 defense against climate change, thereby undermining local to regional efforts to maintain 68 sediment supply and preserve land in these low-lying landscapes ^{7,10}.

69 A robust supply of clastic sediment is the principal nature-based resource for offsetting 70 relative sea-level rise in river deltas, yet better constraints and data availability on the delivery and dispersal of sediment are needed¹¹ to ensure that future delta scenarios accurately account 71 for both dynamic natural-system behavior ¹² and the continuing impacts of development and 72 73 land-use change. Furthermore, it is increasingly important that coupled human-natural 74 approaches be used to predict delta fate, because as many as 630 million people currently live 75 in regions that may be uninhabitable by 2100 due to relative sea-level rise (RSLR), flooding, and inundation ¹³. Recent global delta studies using highly resolved, spatially and temporally varying 76 77 data inputs indeed suggest that more deltas than generally recognized have been gaining land and that deltas overall may be collectively more robust than previously thought ^{6,14–16}. 78

79 These recognitions are important because the fate of river deltas and their growing populations are closely tied to riverine sediment supply ¹⁴, which has a direct effect on land 80 81 reclamation efforts, household migration decisions, and the ability to occupy marginal (vulnerable) lands ^{17–19}. For instance the relocation of >20,000 Rohingya refugees to a newly 82 83 emergent tidal island in the Ganges-Brahmaputra river-mouth estuary is a vivid example involving each of these issues^{20,21}. In fact, the Bangladesh Delta Plan 2100 proposes numerous 84 85 coastal barriers (i.e., cross-dams) to trap sediment in the same region and accelerate the 86 growth of new land for potential reclamation and human occupation. The social, engineering, 87 and political challenges of relocating displaced communities to emergent lands

88 notwithstanding, a pre-requisite for coastal land reclamation remains the uninhibited delivery 89 of Ganges-Brahmaputra (G-B) river sediment and its effective dispersal across the coastal zone. 90 Among the world's river deltas, the G-B system presents an important example of deltaic 91 response to climate change and sea-level rise due to its naturally large sediment supply, 92 (currently) limited upstream damming, and an immense basin population of ~500 million 93 people ³⁵. The basin's hydrology, climate, and sediment transport are controlled by the 94 seasonal South Asian monsoon, the strength of which varies considerably over decadal to 95 millennial timescales $^{36-38}$. Indeed, both modeling and proxy records document large 96 fluctuations throughout the Holocene, with high precipitation in the early Holocene followed by 97 a general reduction after ~8 ka and a smaller increase in the last millennium or two ^{39–45}. For 98 the coming century, the most recent IPCC AR5 and AR6 reports continue to predict increased 99 and more variable monsoon precipitation by 2100 under nearly all representative GHG concentration pathways (RCPs) ^{46,47}. Such increases may be comparable to periods of stronger 100 101 monsoon earlier in the Holocene, and thus provide a possible analog for future responses. In 102 addition to the strengthening of monsoon precipitation, regional warming may also enhance 103 the melting of Himalayan glaciers and further increase discharge and sediment loads for at least the coming decades or century ^{3,48.} 104

105 Observation-based estimates for the supply of sediment currently delivered to the G-B delta 106 range from <500 to >1,100 Mt/yr (Mt = 10⁶ metric tonnes), representing considerable 107 uncertainty and a critical knowledge gap for projecting delta response to sea-level rise ^{11,28–30}. Looking forward, recent modeling studies by Darby et al. (2015)¹, Dunn et al. (2018)² and 108 109 Higgins et al. (2018) ³ each consider future changes in G-B sediment supply and their potential 110 consequences for the delta. Their findings suggest historically unprecedented levels of change 111 in sediment supply from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers are possible over the next 50–100 112 years, either through reductions from damming and diversions (e.g., Higgins et al. 2018)³, 113 increases from strengthened monsoon precipitation and river discharge (e.g., Darby et. al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2017)^{1 11}, or a combination of these factors (Dunn et al. 2018; Tessler et al., 114 115 $2018)^2$. The modeled changes, although large ($\pm 50-90\%$), are comparable to other Asian river systems that have undergone large changes in sediment load over the past century ^{4,26,27}. 116

117 Toward a validation of such model projections of future sediment delivery to the G-B, we 118 present a highly resolved, mass-balanced sediment budget that: (a) utilizes over 6,000 new 119 field-based measurements from 500 locations (Figure 1) to provide (b) ground-truthing for 120 modeling studies and (c) a holistic view of river system connectivity from the Himalayan source 121 terrains to deltaic continental margin ^{22,23}. The budget is primarily derived from unpublished 122 borehole data, sediment volume, mass, grain size, and provenance, all supplemented by a 123 compilation of data from over 15 other studies (Tables 1, 2, S1). In addition to greatly improved 124 spatiotemporal resolution, this new work is distinct from previous G-B mass budgets ^{24,25} that 125 lacked any information on the river source (i.e., provenance) or grain-size distribution of stored 126 sediments. Both data types introduced here yield valuable results on total bedload contribution 127 to the delta and the varying and asymmetric delivery of sediment from the two main rivers in 128 response to climate change. To our knowledge, no mass balance of comparable detail and 129 longevity exists for a major river delta, thus providing an unparalleled perspective on natural-130 system response to climate change and an opportunity to ground-truth modeled responses 131 under future climate scenarios.

Here we carefully quantify past changes and compare them with the sediment demands that will be required for the G-B delta to offset projected increases in sea-level rise in the coming century. Our work explores the validity of these sediment-delivery projections through comparison with sediment-load responses to Holocene climate change and monsoon strength. From field data we reconstruct the mass and grain-size distribution (caliber) of sediment sequestered in the delta through the Holocene and how that mass was distributed and stored to build the delta system.

139

140 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

141 **Total Delta Sediment Composition**

Reconstructed sediment storage rates from the stratigraphic record represent minimum total riverine sediment loads (bedload and suspended load) delivered to the G-B delta. Unless otherwise noted, sediment delivery will be used to refer to sediment loads reconstructed from stored Holocene sediments. The total mass of sediment stored in the G-B basin over the

146 Holocene (12–0 ka) is >1.2 \times 10⁷ Mt (Table 1), which averages to a minimum mean delivery 147 rate of ~1000 Mt/yr and is comparable to the oft-cited modern load of ~1100 Mt/yr. The grain 148 size of sediment stored in the delta is approximately equally apportioned across muds (<62.5 149 μ m – 30%), very fine to fine sand (62.5–250 μ m – 39%), and medium to coarse sand (250–1000 150 μ m – 31%) (Table 1). For this study, we conservatively classify sediments <250 μ m (muds to fine 151 sand) as suspended load and larger particles 250–1000 μ m as bedload ^{31,49}. These delineations 152 result in Holocene delta deposits comprising ~70% suspended load and 30% bedload 153 sediments, considerably exceeding not only the 10% bedload transport rate often presented in 154 the literature but even high estimates typically placed at 20% ^{31–33,50}. The significance is that 155 much of the long-term construction of the delta has been through the aggradation of bedload 156 sand, which is highly susceptible to upstream trapping in reservoirs and thus an important 157 consideration for future management of the delta⁴. Moreover, the bedload plays a 158 disproportionate role in the growth of bars and other locally elevated river topography upon 159 which many village communities are sited.

160

161 Variable Holocene Sediment Storage

162 Despite similarity between the average modern and Holocene rates for sediment reaching 163 the delta, sediment delivery over millennial timescales varies by >200% from lowest delivery 164 rates of 850 Mt/yr through the mid-late Holocene (6–0 ka) up to maximum delivery rates in the 165 early Holocene of 1200 Mt/yr (8–6 ka) to 2000 Mt/yr (10–8 ka) (Table 1; Figure 2). Fluvial 166 sediment began aggrading in the delta beginning \sim 12 ka at a rate of \sim 820 Mt/yr (12–10 ka) but 167 delivery increased to at least 2000 Mt/yr (double the modern load) during the period of 168 strongest monsoon from 10–8 ka. These results confirm previous findings that the Ganges and 169 Brahmaputra rivers carried a sediment load at least twice as large as the modern for over two 170 millennia. This large supply supported aggradation on the delta sufficient to offset very high 171 rates of sea-level rise that averaged >1 cm/yr over this period. These high early Holocene rates 172 of sediment delivery and sea-level rise are comparable to those anticipated for the next century 173 based on the Darby et al.¹ modeling for sediment supply and IPCC projections for sea-level rise 174 ⁵¹. These similarities between our paleo-reconstructions and the modeled futures of other

studies highlight a potential scenario of sediment supply whereby the delta may be able to
maintain itself against increases in sea-level rise over the next century.

177 Emphasizing that our budget reconstructions represent minimum supply rates, the portions 178 of the sediment load lost through transport to the Swatch of No Ground canyon and G-B fan are 179 not included in the Holocene sediment delivery rates. Such sediment bypass would have been 180 greatest from 12–8 ka when the river channels were constrained to their lowstand valleys and 181 discharged directly to the canyon head. Simple reconstructions of mud deposition in the Swatch of No Ground and active channel of the G-B Fan ^{52–54} suggest that as much as another ~200 182 183 Mt/yr of sediment may have been delivered by the rivers in the early Holocene. After 8 ka, 184 though, most sediment delivered to the margin was efficiently trapped in the delta and 185 accounted for in our sediment budget, as reflected in part by the abrupt drop in sedimentation on the G-B Fan after 9 ka despite continued high river discharge ^{52–54}. Interestingly, it is during 186 187 this period of effective sediment trapping that the sediment budget reveals an average long-188 term sediment load of ~850 Mt/yr, which is slightly above half of the modern supply and 189 indicates that the G-B rivers responded as acutely to monsoon weakening as they did for 190 strengthening in the early Holocene.

191 In addition to variation in total mass delivered, the sediment loads between 12–10 ka and 192 10–8 ka are considerably coarser than the Holocene average and comprise ~40% medium to 193 coarse sand presumably transported as bedload (Table 1). In contrast, the proportion of 194 bedload transported to the delta decreases to ~20-25% after 8 ka. In all, this fraction of bedload comprising delta stratigraphy is more than double the estimated modern value of 10% or less of 195 196 the measured total load ^{31–33,50}. However, we do not anticipate that future sediment supply 197 would be as coarse as these deposits, even under a strengthened monsoon. Nevertheless, the 198 importance of sand delivery by these large braided rivers for future delta stability should not be 199 undervalued, particularly in context of the massive mining of river sands occurring in the G-B and other rivers worldwide 55,56. 200

- 201
- 202
- 203

204 Ganges and Brahmaputra Sediment Provenance

205 Using bulk Sr concentration of stored sediments, which has been shown to be a reliable indicator of river source in this system ^{57–59} (Figure S3), we have quantified the fraction of 206 207 sediments delivered to the delta by the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and smaller local rivers. Results 208 for the Holocene show that nearly 54% of sediments were sourced by the Brahmaputra, ~30% 209 by the Ganges, and the remaining ~14% by local rivers including the Tista river in northwest 210 Bangladesh and others draining the Indo-Burman fold belt and Shillong Massif to the east (see 211 Methods for further details). Both major rivers demonstrate considerable variability in 212 sediment delivery throughout the Holocene, but responses are more pronounced for the 213 Brahmaputra catchment (Table 2, Figure 2). Since 10 ka, the long-term averages for Ganges 214 sediment load ranges 308 to 426 Mt/yr, which is comparable to the variance in modern load estimates of 300–450 Mt/yr ^{30,60,61}. This suggests that Ganges discharge has been relatively 215 216 stable even with considerable regional climate variability, a finding that is consistent with the 217 similarly modest response projected by Darby et al. (2015) under future climate scenarios. Note 218 that the low Ganges value of 116 Mt/yr stored from 12–10 ka (Table 2) is likely an 219 underestimate due to sediment bypassing, as sedimentation on the G-B fan remained high at this time ^{52,54}. 220

221 In contrast, sediment load for the Brahmaputra has been highly variable over the Holocene, 222 with a 4-fold range from a high of \sim 1100 Mt/yr at 10–8 ka to a low of \sim 370 Mt/yr from 6–0 ka. 223 Relative to the Brahmaputra's modern load of 500–650 Mt/yr, these values represent a long-224 term doubling and halving over the Holocene, respectively, reflecting acute response to 225 monsoon strength and related sediment production and transport processes. As for the 226 Ganges, the magnitude of response for our Brahmaputra reconstructions is similar to the 227 projections made by Darby et al. (2015), lending confidence to their results of increased, but 228 differential, future sediment transport for each river. In particular, the differing response of 229 Ganges and Brahmaputra sediment load to climate change will remain highly relevant to 230 managing the G-B delta, given that the largest sediment-source areas for the two rivers lie in 231 India and China, respectively.

233 **Response to monsoon variability**

234 The modeling of river discharge under future climate change suggests that increases in G-B 235 sediment load of 34-60% ¹ are possible, which would be a substantial increase in sediment 236 delivered to the G-B delta and thus a potentially important buffer against accelerated rates of 237 sea-level rise. These modeled values are supported by our findings from the early Holocene 238 period (12–8 ka) of strengthened monsoon, when fluvial sediment loads were enhanced for 239 both river systems compared to periods of weaker monsoon from 8–0 ka. Between periods of 240 stronger and weaker monsoon conditions, Brahmaputra discharge varied from 370-1100 241 Gt/yr377-1119 Mt/yr (i.e., a ±2-fold difference from modern), whereas the Ganges varied only 242 308-426 Mt/yr (i.e., a range comparable to modern estimates). Note we do not include here the 243 low Ganges value of 116 Mt/yr for the 12-10 ka period due to presumed sediment bypassing at 244 this low sea-level stage.

245 Our sediment budget reconstructions are also consistent with the results of Darby et al.¹ 246 further suggesting that the Brahmaputra river catchment is considerably more sensitive to 247 changes in climate, and that during wetter periods it is the more dominant source of sediment 248 to the delta. Importantly, there is no overlap in methods between our paleo-reconstructions 249 and the forward-looking projections of Darby et al. (2015)¹, suggesting that the comparable 250 results provide a reasonable reflection of G-B system dynamics. Among the reasons for such an 251 acute response from the Brahmaputra relative to the Ganges is the catchment's proportionally 252 smaller lowland area ¹ that limits its capacity for sediment storage. This reduced buffering 253 capacity means that variations in sediment yield from the Brahmaputra catchment reach the 254 delta more quickly and with less attenuation than those from the Ganges catchment ²². 255 Another factor contributing to acute response of the Brahmaputra sediment supply to climate 256 is the catchment's large area of relatively arid and sparsely vegetated highlands in Tibet, where 257 modest changes in the water budget can drive much higher or lower erosion rates 6^2 . 258 Moreover, recent (2003–2008) loss of glacial elevation in the Himalayan catchments ⁶³ 259 introduces glacial melt as another source of increased discharge and sediment delivery, 260 although persistence of this contribution may wane beyond 2100 as ice extent contracts³.

261 In contrast to the Brahmaputra, the Ganges catchment lies at the center of the South Asian 262 monsoon system with robust precipitation and vegetation across the Himalayan front range, 263 which is where most sediment and water discharge are generated. The Ganges also hosts a vast 264 foreland filled by alluvial mega-fans that are capable of storing sediment; however, their ability 265 to buffer short-term changes in sediment supply is limited because many fan channels in the 266 Ganges basin are incised and decoupled from the adjacent plains, or are otherwise heavily 267 embanked like the Kosi River fan, causing greater downstream bypass of sediment ^{50,64}. Indeed, 268 during the early Holocene when the monsoon was strong, the Ganges system incised and 269 remobilized megafan sediments that had aggraded in the early post-glacial period^{64–66}. These 270 phenomena in the Ganges catchment support results from models indicating that variable 271 water supply (e.g. due to fluctuations in monsoon strength) can cause periods of aggradation 272 when water flux decreases and periods of incision when water flux increases⁶⁷. Overall, the 273 Ganges fan systems serve to buffer variance in sediment supply as compared with the 274 Brahmaputra that has proportionally less lowland storage area, which is well reflected in both 275 our Holocene reconstructions and the Darby et al.¹ scenarios for the next century. 276 Given interest in the potential increase in sediment load under future climate scenarios, it is 277 important to also consider the timescales at which sediment stored in the upper catchment

278 may be remobilized from hillslope, valley, and floodplain settings and delivered to the delta. 279 Our paleo-reconstructions do not provide great precision on those timescales, but evidence 280 from both the G-B and the Indus delta systems show that changes in the supply of riverine 281 sediment load respond to monsoon precipitation at timescales less than the sub-millennial 282 resolution of radiocarbon-dated stratigraphic sections ^{25,68}. Such short response times would 283 indeed be consistent with hydrologically driven changes in transport and an abundance of 284 available sediment (i.e., a transport limited system) ⁶⁷. Indeed ~95% of the G-B sediment load is 285 delivered during the summer monsoon and resulting period of high river discharge (May-286 October). In this context, more precipitation over the catchment readily remobilizes abundant 287 sediment stored as hillslope regolith and alluvial deposits in the upper catchment. Modern 288 examples also appear to confirm rapid sediment transfer from upland source areas to the 289 Bengal margin, perhaps best exemplified by the decadal-scale transport and delivery of

Brahmaputra bedload introduced by 100s of landslides generated in the major 1950 Assam earthquake (M 8.6) ^{69,70}. Similarly, HydroTrend, the climate-driven hydrological water balance and transport model used by Darby et al. (2015) to consider future basin response to increased precipitation, does not suggest any significant lag time for the delivery of G-B sediment to the delta ¹.

295

296 Can increased supply support sediment needs?

297 To better understand the implications of varying sediment load and rates of sea-level rise 298 on delta sustainability, we have produced mass balance estimates under a variety of past, 299 present, and future scenarios (Figures 3 and 4). The Δ Mass rates (Mt/yr) represent the annual 300 excess (>0) or deficit (<0) supply of sediment for each scenario, and the $f_{(supply)}$ is the fractional 301 excess or deficit of delivered sediment specifically for the medium-demand scenario. Results 302 show an excess of sediment delivery under most natural conditions during the Holocene and 303 modern, even during periods of rapid sea-level rise comparable to the rates anticipated for the 304 coming century (5 to 12.5 mm/yr). The modern mass delivery also readily meets demand using 305 the most often reported sediment load value of 1100 Mt/yr, but the delta would be facing a measurable deficit if the occasionally cited value of 700 Mt/yr were correct ^{28–30,60}. Given the 306 307 G-B delta's persistent growth in land area over the last few decades and centuries ^{71–73}, the 308 higher value of 1100 Mt/yr appears to be more accurate. For the future, unabated sediment 309 delivery in the 2050 and 2100 climate-only scenarios yield a $f_{(supply)}$ ranging from a slight to 310 moderate deficits of 7-20%, meaning that erosion rates and land loss may be correspondingly 311 slow and provide valuable time for mitigation strategies to be implemented. These mass 312 balance results (Figure 3) are consistent with persistent growth of the delta from Holocene to 313 modern and are not simply optimistic calculations. Rather, these reconstructions and future 314 scenarios emphasize natural resilience of the G-B delta linked to the region's robust monsoon 315 precipitation and high sediment yield from the tectonically active Himalayan Mountains. 316 Evidence of a robust and resilient G-B delta has received less attention than scenarios 317 considering a heavily engineered future, where major sediment reductions and high rates of 318 land loss are likely – yet both outcomes are plausible, and the eventual pathway is more

319 contingent on the decisions of policy makers than on climate change. Indeed, our mass balance 320 estimates (Figure 3) show massive sediment deficits of more than 100% to over 2000% under 321 all future scenarios that consider widespread dam construction and water diversions. These 322 futures are the ones that will more aptly compare the G-B delta with the catastrophic land loss 323 already occurring in the Mississippi, Nile, Indus, Mekong, and other deltas fed by heavily dammed rivers ^{9,74,75}. Thus, the G-B delta is not doomed to drown under climate change – it is 324 325 doomed to drown under scenarios of anthropogenic reductions in the delivery and dispersal of sediment to the delta⁷⁶. 326

Many damming and diversion plans for the G-B rivers have been developed but not yet widely implemented ³, thus presenting a window of opportunity to better steward the G-B delta future. A major risk of not acknowledging the G-B delta's intrinsically robust sediment supply and potential resilience against rising sea level is that environmental managers will otherwise plan for the dystopian future of a drowning landscape and the development of policies that steer toward this anticipated outcome of ruin ^{10,77}.

Although the potential for considerably enhanced sediment delivery to the G-B delta exists, it alone would still be insufficient to sustain the system. Rather, delivered sediment must also be allowed to freely disperse to regions of the delta where it is needed to offset subsidence and rising sea level. Within the G-B delta itself, widespread embankments in the tidally influenced coastal zone already limit such sediment delivery to poldered islands ⁷⁸ and have exacerbated local sea-level rise ⁷⁹. Potentially sustainable efforts to manage sediment accretion in these embanked polders are underway but still face implementation challenges ^{14,80,81}.

340 In contrast, in natural areas of the delta such as the Sundarbans mangrove forest, a 341 protected UNESCO World Heritage site, and the Meghna (G-B) River mouth estuary, unhindered 342 sedimentation is rapid and is readily keeping pace with effective sea-level rise (0.5-1.5 cm/yr) 343 ^{76,82,83}. In fact, in the rivermouth estuary, low barriers (i.e., cross dams) built across shallow 344 waterways have been effective at trapping sediment and rapidly converting open water to 345 emergent intertidal and supratidal lands. Such land reclamation projects are a key part of 346 Bangladesh's plans for mitigating the effects of sea-level rise, but the success will require the 347 continued uninhibited delivery and dispersal of sediment. Even under scenarios of enhanced

- 348 sediment delivery, maintaining these natural morphodynamic processes will remain an
- 349 essential ingredient for long-term sustainability of the delta, which cannot be fully managed or
- 350 hardened given its size, complexity, and large mass and energy inputs ^{12,84}.
- 351

352 Anthropogenic threats to future sediment delivery

353 Despite projected increases under a strengthened monsoon, anthropogenic factors may yet 354 dominate the system-scale responses and drive sediment loads to be considerably lower. 355 Specifically, plans across South Asia for hydropower development and interbasinal watershed 356 management portend major reservoir construction and water diversions in the coming century 357 3,85 . Modeling of the impact of these activities on the river basins suggests moderate (30%) to 358 extreme (88%) decreases in sediment discharge under a range of plausible river-management 359 scenarios ^{2,3} (Figure 3). Existing studies focus on the impact of India's National River Linking Project (NRLP) and hydropower projects in Nepal, both of which disproportionately affect the 360 361 Ganges river system ^{2,3}. No study has yet assessed the potential impact of China damming the 362 Yarlung Tsangpo⁸⁵, which is the primary water and sediment source for the Brahmaputra. 363 Uncertainty in the G-B delta sediment budget hinders the ability to create sustainable

development plans ¹¹ and makes it possible for upstream decision-makers to justify continued 364 dam construction and water diversions ^{86–89} at the expense of downstream sediment supply to 365 the delta ^{86,90}. Reduced sediment supply will threaten delta stability, particularly if loads 366 decrease below those needed to maintain the delta surface above sea level ^{80,82}. In other mega-367 368 deltas like the Mekong and Mississippi, reduced sediment loads from damming and sand 369 extraction have already led to increased saltwater intrusion, decreased soil fertility, loss of land 370 area with rising sea level, and elevation deficits that increase susceptibility to flooding and storm 371 surges ^{9,74,75}.

Amidst typically negative risk assessments of the G-B delta, it is rarely acknowledged that the system has persistently gained several km²/yr of land-surface area for at least the last several centuries, a pattern that continues today without any sign of waning ^{76,84}. With the next century of climate change, models indicate that the delivery of sediment to the delta will not only persist but likely increase considerably, with the potential to offset accelerating rates of sea-level rise ^{1,11}. Such projections are consistent with the Holocene sediment reconstructions
presented here (Figures 2 and 3), and these results collectively suggest that the G-B delta may
be among the world's most naturally resilient large coastal systems.

The magnitudes of sediment delivery suggested by our field-based reconstructions and the modeled natural scenarios are very similar, suggesting that the results are reasonably robust; however, even if future increases in sediment load are less than suggested here, a key point is that any increase in sediment supply will help mitigate the impacts of sea-level rise and delay delta drowning. With global warming and sea-level rise baked into the climate system for centuries, any factors such as increased sediment delivery that can delay or reduce impacts may be critically important for effective and manageable human responses.

387

388 Importance of perception to delta futures

389 Increased sediment delivery under a strengthened monsoon supports the possibility for a 390 more favorable G-B delta outcome under a majority of future climate scenarios. These natural-391 system responses to climate variability support conclusions from future model scenarios for the coming century ^{1,91} and modern field-based studies ^{76,82} that the G-B delta is not inherently 392 doomed to drown. Instead, proper management of sediment resources, particularly within at-393 risk coastal regions ^{78,80}, may provide security for coastal populations and livelihoods often 394 395 presented as unviable under future climate scenarios^{10,77,92,93}. However, the persistence of a 396 doomed-to-drown narrative for Bangladesh may perpetuate dystopian views in which particular 397 regions of the delta or livelihoods are considered unsustainable and thus become subject to 398 maladaptive policies ⁷⁷. Such perceived threats shift the focus of mitigation projects toward the 399 strengthening or expanding of hard infrastructure, approaches that have already disrupted 400 sedimentation processes and undermine the delta's natural resilience to sea-level rise ¹². The 401 resulting impacts may exacerbate the displacement of households and increase migration away from these supposedly unsustainable coastal locations ¹⁰. Although hard-engineering responses 402 403 may continue to be part of a sensible coastal management plan, adopting them under threat of 404 a dystopian future may steer policies away from more nature-based solutions and other

405 sustainable development policies that would bolster long-term stability of the coastal zone406 through the effective management of sediment delivery and dispersal.

407 Upstream of the delta, though, a key concern is how the supply of sediment reaching the 408 delta will be impacted by anthropogenic activities in the catchment basin. In other words, any 409 natural increase in sediment delivery resulting from increased monsoon precipitation ^{1,91} will 410 compete with anthropogenic decreases caused by the construction of proposed dams and water diversions upstream of the delta^{2,3,80}. In all, the work presented here and by others ^{6,76} 411 offers the possibility for a more optimistic future that is often absent in the literature and 412 413 media coverage of the G-B delta. The emerging narrative on fate of the delta shifts somewhat 414 away from climate change and more toward the sustainable management of water and 415 sediment resources, both in the upstream catchment and across the delta itself. The caveat is 416 that the G-B delta may be more resilient to climate change only in the absence of major dam 417 construction and water diversions upstream of the delta and major disruptions to the effective 418 delivery and dispersal of sediment across the delta and coastal zone.

419

420 **METHODS**

421 Data source

422 The sediment budget is produced from a largely unpublished dataset of sediment grain-size 423 and geochemistry measured on 6,100 sediment samples from 455 boreholes collected by the 424 authors and colleagues between 2011-2021. The boreholes have a maximum depth of 95 m and were collected in 23 transects across the delta. The stratigraphy for about half of these cores 425 (~200) has been published in five previous papers ^{94–98}, the radiocarbon ages are published in 426 427 Grall et al. (2020), and local grain-size and mass-balance for one sub-basin of the delta 428 published in Sincavage et al. (2019). These data are supplemented by widespread core and 429 seismic data previously published by Ahmed et al. (2010), Bangladesh Agriculture Development 430 Corporation (1992), Shamsudduha et al. (2008), Pate et al. (2009), Department of Public Health 431 Engineering and Japanese International Cooperation Agency (JICA) (2006), JICA (1976), Ghosal 432 et al. (2015), Hait et al. (1996), Hoque et al. (2012), Hoque et al. (2014), Khan & Islam (2008), 433 Michels et al. (1998), Palamenghi et al. (2011), and Sarkar et al. (2009) ^{99–113}. These

434 supplemental data extend across the entire Bengal Basin, including the continental shelf and
435 West Bengal, India where we do not have samples. The complete compilation of data from
436 these sources adds 3,720 sites to the more detailed results from our 455 cores.

437

438 Field and laboratory methods

439 Sediment samples for the cores in this study were collected at 1.5-m depth intervals and photographed, described, and packaged in the field ^{95–97}. In the lab, every third sample with 440 441 depth as well as samples at sedimentologic contacts were analyzed for grain-size distribution 442 using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000E particle size analyzer at $\frac{1}{4} \phi$ intervals from clays (0.49 μ m) 443 to coarse sands (1000 μ m). Samples were also analyzed for bulk geochemistry by X-ray 444 fluorescence using a portable Thermoscientific Niton XL3 Analyzer (pXRF), which returns 445 information on composition of both major and trace elements in the sediments. Strontium 446 concentrations from the XRF results are used to document sediment provenance following 447 published methods ¹¹⁴, effectively distinguishing sediment deposited by the Ganges, 448 Brahmaputra, mixed Ganges-Brahmaputra, or other local river sources ^{57–59} (Figure S3). 449 Sediments having higher bulk Sr values >130 ppm are derived from the Brahmaputra basin, 450 which drains mafic batholiths along the Tsangpo suture zone, compared with lower bulk Sr 451 values < 110 ppm for the Ganges (in central and western G-B) or local sediment sources in the 452 north (Tista River), northeast (Shillong Massif), and east (Indo-Burman Fold Belt). Of the 6100 453 samples analyzed to date for grain size and geochemistry, over 4,000 are Holocene-aged and 454 included in this study.

455

456 Mapping

Mapping of Holocene G-B delta deposits was performed using ArcGIS. The HolocenePleistocene boundary depth was identified using sediment grain size, color as a proxy for
oxidation state, and radiocarbon data from the aggregated dataset ^{94–96,105,115–117} and
supplemented with depth data from cores, wells, and seismic data in reports and previously
published studies ^{99–112}. Simple co-kriging was used to create an interpolated prediction surface
of the depth to the Pleistocene boundary from both the hand drawn contours and depth

463 information from >950 core sites and hundreds of kilometers of seismic lines from many studies 464 ^{99–112}. To synthesize the data from these 6000+ samples and to control for variance related to 465 regional tectonics and structure, antecedent Pleistocene topography, and the backwater and 466 coastal transition, we partitioned the delta into 9 physiographic and depositional regions based 467 on similarities in processes and inputs (see Fig. S2). Several of these regions are parsed further 468 into sub-regions, largely based on the core-data distribution, to increase the sediment budget 469 resolution and to account for downstream fining and fluvial depositional processes. The main 470 provinces include the Jamuna valley, Ganges valley, Sylhet basin, Meghna valley, Fold Belt, 471 Madhupur Terrace, West Bengal, Interfluve, and Offshore. We then regroup these sub-regions 472 into valleys (Jamuna valley, Ganges valley, Meghna valley), interfluves (Madhupur Terrace, 473 Paleo-interfluve, Fold Belt, West Bengal), and basins (Offshore, Sylhet Basin). To better 474 understand the subsurface stratigraphy, we calculated the grain-size distribution of all samples 475 by 5-m depth bins within spatiotemporal units and then multiplied by the total sediment mass 476 in that unit to yield the sediment-mass grain-size distribution by depth for each region (n=251; 477 see supplemental materials).

478 Due to spatially varying subsidence rates and temporally changing eustatic sea-level rise 479 that control accommodation in the delta, simple depth conversions do not correlate well with 480 age of the deposits. In other words, establishing well-defined time horizons from radiocarbon 481 ages is complicated by instantaneous variations in delta surface topography. However, 482 averaged over longer periods typical of channel avulsion and migration (i.e. 1000-2000 years), ^{96,118,119} a stable or growing delta system must infill accommodation generated. Therefore, the 483 484 potential mass of stored sediment at any given interval will be a function of the volume created 485 by subsidence and sea-level rise. Thus, the Holocene unit has been partitioned into Time-486 EQuivalent depositional units (TEQ) based on regional subsidence patterns derived from a large 487 radiocarbon database (n>200) in Grall et al. (2018) ¹¹⁵ and combined with eustatic sea-level reconstructions from Lambeck et al. (2014) ¹²⁰. These relative sea-level rise controls were used 488 489 to map the base-level and delta surface at 6 ka, 8 ka, 10 ka, and 12 ka. The resulting surfaces 490 were spliced in GIS to form TEQ units that correspond to accommodation generated during the 491 periods 6–0 ka, 6–8 ka, 8–10 ka, 10–12 ka. To calculate the delta surface over which

492 sediments were deposited and the volume of material stored during each TEQ, we subtracted 493 the interpolated Holocene surface from the effective subsidence (land subsidence and sea-level 494 rise) over those years in ArcGIS (Fig. S1, Table S1). The volume of each TEQ was multiplied by a 495 mean bulk density based on field measurements to derive the mass of sediment stored on the 496 delta during each TEQ. The bulk densities used range from 1.3 g/cm³ in the shallow units to 1.8 497 g/cm^3 in the deepest units, and a lower value of 1.1 g/cm^3 for muddy coastal and shelf units. To 498 calculate the grain-size distribution of each sediment package, we isolated the samples from 499 each core contained within the TEQ, calculated the average grain-size distribution, and 500 interpolated the grain-size distribution to the total mass of the material in each TEQ.

501

502 Offshore and West Bengal delta regions

503 For the offshore and West Bengal physiographic regions where we have not collected cores, 504 we base the budget calculations on published studies. For the offshore, growth of the 505 subaqueous delta began after ~8 ka and the stored sediment mass determined from seismic 506 and core data ^{52,121}. Our budget calculations proportionally distribute 75% of this offshore 507 delta sediment to the 6–0 ka interval and 25% to the 8–6 ka interval. The source of offshore 508 sediment was partitioned between Brahmaputra (~60%) and Ganges (~40%) based on Sr measurements of shelf sediment by Garzanti et al. (2019) ¹²² and Lupker et al. (2013) ¹²³. The 509 510 grain-size distribution of sediments stored in West Bengal is taken from previously published data in Stanley & Hait (2000) ¹²⁴, who measured the mud:sand ratio to be ~70:30 in a series of 511 512 Holocene-scale cores (<50 m). The average Holocene thickness of sediments in their cores was 513 25 m with a maximum thickness of ~45 m. Although kriging analyses show the maximum 514 predicted thickness of Holocene sediments in West Bengal to be ~70 m, >90% of the total 515 sediment package is stored in the upper 45 m, so the data from Stanley & Hait (2000) can be 516 used. For comparison, our data from the G-B interfluve east of the Ganges valley and just 517 opposite the West Bengal region is a comparable ~63% mud and ~37% sand. Since this grainsize distribution aligns well with measurements from West Bengal ¹²⁴, we apply the same 518 519 distribution for sediment stored in that region.

521 Sediment surplus and deficit calculations

- 522 A simple mass-balance model compares the mass aggradation needed to offset relative sea-
- 523 level rise (RSLR) for the G-B delta with reconstructed sediment delivery rates (Figure 3). The
- 524 upper delta extends from the delta apex to the slope break at the fluvial- to tide-influenced
- 525 transition (Fig. S2), the lower delta from the tidal transition at the slope break to the coast, and
- 526 the marine delta from the coast to base of the subaqueous delta foresets. We apply mean
- 527 subsidence rates and mean eustatic sea level to each of these regions using a bulk density of
- 528 1.5 t/m³ typical of the upper 20 m of sediment. Additional details on calculation steps are
- 529 provided in Figure 3.
- 530

531 **REFERENCES**

- Darby, S. E., Dunn, F. E., Nicholls, R. J., Rahman, M. & Riddy, L. A first look at the influence
 of anthropogenic climate change on the future delivery of fluvial sediment to the Ganges–
 Brahmaputra–Meghna delta. *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* **17**, 1587–1600 (2015).
- 535 2. Dunn, F. E. *et al.* Projections of historical and 21st century fluvial sediment delivery to the 536 Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna, Mahanadi, and Volta deltas. *Sci. Total Environ.* **642**, 105– 537 116 (2018).
- Higgins, S. A., Overeem, I., Rogers, K. G. & Kalina, E. A. River linking in India: Downstream
 impacts on water discharge and suspended sediment transport to deltas. *Elementa* 6,
 (2018).
- 541 4. Syvitski, J. *et al.* Earth's sediment cycle during the Anthropocene. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.*542 3, 179–196 (2022).
- 543 5. Caldwell, R. L. *et al.* A global delta dataset and the environmental variables that predict 544 delta formation on marine coastlines. *Earth Surf. Dyn.* **7**, 773–787 (2019).
- 5456.Nienhuis, J. H. *et al.* Global-scale human impact on delta morphology has led to net land546area gain. *Nature* **577**, 514–518 (2020).
- 5477.Tessler, Z. D. *et al.* Profiling risk and sustainability in coastal deltas of the world. *Science*548(80-.). **349**, 638–643 (2015).
- 5498.Renaud, F. G. *et al.* Tipping from the Holocene to the Anthropocene: How threatened are550major world deltas? *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* **5**, 644–654 (2013).
- 5519.Syvitski, J. P. M. *et al.* Sinking deltas due to human activities. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 681–686552(2009).
- Paprocki, K. On viability: Climate change and the science of possible futures. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* 73, 102487 (2022).
- 555 11. Fischer, S., Pietroń, J., Bring, A., Thorslund, J. & Jarsjö, J. Present to future sediment
 556 transport of the Brahmaputra River: reducing uncertainty in predictions and management.
 557 *Reg. Environ. Chang.* 17, 515–526 (2017).
- 558 12. Passalacqua, P., Giosan, L., Goodbred, S. & Overeem, I. Stable ≠ Sustainable: Delta

- 559 dynamics versus the human need for stability. *Earth's Futur.* **9**, e2021EF002121 (2021).
- 56013.Kulp, S. A. & Strauss, B. H. New elevation data triple estimates of global vulnerability to561sea-level rise and coastal flooding. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, (2019).
- 562 14. Cox, J. R. *et al.* A global synthesis of the effectiveness of sedimentation-enhancing
 563 strategies for river deltas and estuaries. *Glob. Planet. Change* 103796 (2022).
 564 doi:10.1016/J.GLOPLACHA.2022.103796
- 56515.Nienhuis, J. H., Hoitink, A. J. F. T. & Törnqvist, T. E. Future Change to Tide-Influenced566Deltas. Geophys. Res. Lett. 45, 3499–3507 (2018).
- Leuven, J. R. F. W., Pierik, H. J., van der Vegt, M., Bouma, T. J. & Kleinhans, M. G. Sea-levelrise-induced threats depend on the size of tide-influenced estuaries worldwide. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 9, 986–992 (2019).
- 570 17. Gain, A. K. *et al.* Overcoming challenges for implementing nature-based solutions in
 571 deltaic environments: Insights from the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta in Bangladesh.
 572 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **17**, (2022).
- 57318.Mallick, B., Rogers, K. G. & Sultana, Z. In harm's way: Non-migration decisions of people at574risk of slow-onset coastal hazards in Bangladesh. Ambio **51**, 114–134 (2022).
- 575 19. Khatun, F. *et al.* Environmental non-migration as adaptation in hazard-prone areas:
 576 Evidence from coastal Bangladesh. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **77**, 102610 (2022).
- Islam, M. R., Islam, M. T., Alam, M. S., Hussain, M. & Haque, M. M. Is Bhasan Char Island,
 Noakhali district in Bangladesh a sustainable place for the relocated Rohingya displaced
 people? An empirical study. *SN Soc. Sci.* 1, 1–24 (2021).
- 58021.Islam, M. D. & Siddika, A. Implications of the Rohingya Relocation from Cox's Bazar to581Bhasan Char, Bangladesh. Int. Migr. Rev. (2021). doi:10.1177/01979183211064829
- Romans, B. W., Castelltort, S., Covault, J. A., Fildani, A. & Walsh, J. P. Environmental signal
 propagation in sedimentary systems across timescales. *Earth-Science Rev.* 153, 7–29
 (2016).
- 585 23. D'Haen, K., Verstraeten, G. & Degryse, P. Fingerprinting historical fluvial sediment fluxes.
 586 *Prog. Phys. Geogr.* 36, 154–186 (2012).
- 587 24. Goodbred Jr., S. L. & Kuehl, S. A. Holocene and modern sediment budgets for the Ganges588 Brahmaputra river system: Evidence for highstand dispersal to flood-plain, shelf, and
 589 deep-sea depocenters. *Geology* 27, 559–562 (1999).
- 590 25. Goodbred Jr., S. L. & Kuehl, S. A. Enormous Ganges-Brahmaputra sediment discharge 591 during strengthened early Holocene monsoon. *Geology* **28**, 1083–1086 (2000).
- Wang, H. *et al.* Stepwise decreases of the Huanghe (Yellow River) sediment load (19502005): Impacts of climate change and human activities. *Glob. Planet. Change* 57, 331–354
 (2007).
- 595 27. Miao, C., Ni, J., Borthwick, A. G. L. & Yang, L. A preliminary estimate of human and natural
 596 contributions to the changes in water discharge and sediment load in the Yellow River.
 597 *Glob. Planet. Change* **76**, 196–205 (2011).
- 59828.Milliman, J. D. & Syvitski, J. P. M. Geomorphic / Tectonic Control of Sediment Discharge to599the Ocean : The Importance of Small Mountainous Rivers. J. Geol. 100, 525–544 (1992).
- 600 29. Milliman, J. D. & Meade, R. H. World-wide Delivery of River Sediment to the Oceans. J.
 601 Geol. 91, 1–21 (1983).
- 602 30. Rahman, M. et al. Recent sediment flux to the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna delta

- 603 system. *Sci. Total Environ.* **643**, 1054–1064 (2018).
- 60431.Garzanti, E. *et al.* Mineralogical and chemical variability of fluvial sediments 1. Bedload605sand (Ganga-Brahmaputra, Bangladesh). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **299**, 368–381 (2010).
- 606 32. Hay, W. W. Detrital sediment fluxes from continents to oceans. *Chem. Geol.* 145, 287–323
 607 (1998).
- Syvitski, J. P. M. & Saito, Y. Morphodynamics of deltas under the influence of humans. *Glob. Planet. Change* 57, 261–282 (2007).
- Sinha, R., Singh, S., Mishra, K. & Swarnkar, S. Channel morphodynamics and sediment
 budget of the Lower Ganga River using a hydro-geomorphological approach. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms* 1–20 (2022). doi:10.1002/esp.5325
- Szabo, S. *et al.* Population dynamics, delta vulnerability and environmental change:
 comparison of the Mekong, Ganges–Brahmaputra and Amazon delta regions. *Sustain. Sci.* **11**, 539–554 (2016).
- Anderson, D. M., Overpeck, J. T. & Gupta, A. K. Increase in the Asian Southwest Monsoon
 During the Past Four Centuries. *Science (80-.).* 297, 596–599 (2002).
- 618 37. Caesar, J., Janes, T., Lindsay, A. & Bhaskaran, B. Temperature and precipitation projections
 619 over Bangladesh and the upstream Ganges, Brahmaputra and Meghna systems. *Environ.*620 *Sci. Process. Impacts* **17**, 1047–1056 (2015).
- 62138.Mishra, V. & Lilhare, R. Hydrologic sensitivity of Indian sub-continental river basins to622climate change. *Glob. Planet. Change* 139, 78–96 (2016).
- Sandeep, K. *et al.* A multi-proxy lake sediment record of Indian summer monsoon
 variability during the Holocene in southern India. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 476, 1–14 (2017).
- Sarkar, S. *et al.* Monsoon source shifts during the drying mid-Holocene: Biomarker isotope
 based evidence from the core 'monsoon zone' (CMZ) of India. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 123, 144–
 157 (2015).
- Kudrass, H. R., Hofmann, A., Doose, H., Emeis, K. & Erlenkeuser, H. Modulation and
 amplification of climatic changes in the Northern Hemisphere by the Indian summer
 monsoon during the past 80 k.y. *Geology* 29, 63–66 (2001).
- 632 42. Banerji, U. S., Arulbalaji, P. & Padmalal, D. Holocene climate variability and Indian Summer
 633 Monsoon: An overview. *Holocene* **30**, 744–773 (2020).
- 43. Wang, Y. *et al.* Abrupt mid-Holocene decline in the Indian Summer Monsoon caused by
 tropical Indian Ocean cooling. *Clim. Dyn.* 55, 1961–1977 (2020).
- 44. Misra, P., Tandon, S. K. & Sinha, R. Holocene climate records from lake sediments in India:
 Assessment of coherence across climate zones. *Earth-Science Rev.* **190**, 370–397 (2019).
- 45. Zhao, C. *et al.* Paleoclimate Significance of Reconstructed Rainfall Isotope Changes in
 Asian Monsoon Region. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 48, 1–13 (2021).
- 46. IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III
 to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core
 Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. (IPCC, 2014).
- 64347.IPCC. Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of644Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on645Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 645 Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck,
- 646 A. Aleg. (Cambridge University Press, 2022).

- Lutz, A. F., Immerzeel, W. W., Shrestha, A. B. & Bierkens, M. F. P. Consistent increase in
 High Asia's runoff due to increasing glacier melt and precipitation. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 4,
 587–592 (2014).
- Akter, J., Sarker, M. H., Popescu, I. & Roelvink, D. Evolution of the Bengal Delta and Its
 Prevailing Processes. *J. Coast. Res.* **321**, 1212–1226 (2016).
- 50. Sinha, R., Singh, S., Mishra, K. & Swarnkar, S. Channel morphodynamics and sediment
 budget of the Lower Ganga River using a hydro-geomorphological approach. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms* 0–2 (2022). doi:10.1002/esp.5325
- 655 51. Oppenheimer, M. *et al.* Sea Level Rise and Implications for Low-Lying Islands, Coasts and
 656 Communities. in *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*657 (eds. Pörtner, H.-O. et al.) 321–445 (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
 658 doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.006.
- 659 52. Weber, M. E., Wiedicke, M. H., Kudrass, H. R., Hübscher, C. & Erlenkeuser, H. Active
 660 growth of the Bengal Fan during sea-level rise and highstand. *Geology* 25, 315–318 (1997).
- 53. Kottke, B. *et al.* Acoustic facies and depositional processes in the upper submarine canyon
 Swatch of No Ground (Bay of Bengal). *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 50, 979–
 1001 (2003).
- Fournier, L. *et al.* The Bengal fan: External controls on the Holocene Active Channel
 turbidite activity. *The Holocene* 7, 900–913 (2017).
- 666 55. Bendixen, M., Best, J., Hackney, C. & Iversen, L. L. Time is running out for sand. *Nature*667 571, 29–31 (2019).
- 668 56. Hackney, C. R. *et al.* River bank instability from unsustainable sand mining in the lower
 669 Mekong River. *Nat. Sustain.* **3**, 217–225 (2020).
- 670 57. Goodbred Jr., S. L. *et al.* Piecing together the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna river delta:
 671 Use of sediment provenance to reconstruct the history and interaction of multiple fluvial
 672 systems during Holocene delta evolution. *Bull. Geol. Soc. Am.* **126**, 1495–1510 (2014).
- 58. Singh, S. K., Rai, S. K. & Krishnaswami, S. Sr and Nd isotopes in River sediments from the
 Ganga basin: Sediment provenance and spatial variability in physical erosion. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 113, 1–18 (2008).
- 59. Singh, S. K. & France-Lanord, C. Tracing the distribution of erosion in the Brahmaputra
 watershed from isotopic compositions of stream sediments. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 202,
 678 645–662 (2002).
- 679 60. Islam, M. R., Begum, S. F., Yamaguchi, Y. & Ogawa, K. The Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers
 680 in Bangladesh: basin denudation and sedimentation. *Hydrol. Process.* 13, 2907–2923
 681 (1999).
- 682 61. Lupker, M. *et al.* A Rouse-based method to integrate the chemical composition of river
 683 sediments: Application to the Ganga basin. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 116, 1–24 (2011).
- 684 62. Cecil, C. B., Edgar, N. T., Dulong, F. T. & Neuzil, S. G. Concepts, Models, and Examples of
 685 Climatic Controls on Sedimentation: Introduction. in *Climate Controls on Stratigraphy* 5–8
 686 (SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), 2003).
- 687 doi:https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.03.77.0005
- 688
 63. Kääb, A., Berthier, E., Nuth, C., Gardelle, J. & Arnaud, Y. Contrasting patterns of early
 689 twenty-first-century glacier mass change in the Himalayas. *Nature* 488, 495–498 (2012).
- 690 64. Gibling, M. R., Tandon, S. K., Sinha, R. & Jain, M. Discontinuity-bounded alluvial sequences

691 of the southern Gangetic plains, India: Aggradation and degradation in response to 692 monsoonal strength. J. Sediment. Res. 75, 369–385 (2005). 693 65. Goodbred, S. L. Response of the Ganges dispersal system to climate change: a source-to-694 sink view since the last interstade. Sediment. Geol. 162, 83-104 (2003). 695 Williams, M. A. J. & Clarke, M. F. Late Quaternary environments in north-central India. 66. 696 Nature 308, 633-635 (1984). 697 Simpson, G. & Castelltort, S. Model shows that rivers transmit high-frequency climate 67. 698 cycles to the sedimentary record. Geology 40, 1131–1134 (2012). 699 68. Clift, P. D. et al. Holocene erosion of the Lesser Himalaya triggered by intensified summer 700 monsoon. Geology 36, 79-82 (2008). 701 Sarkar, M. H. & Thorne, C. R. Morphological Response of the Brahmaputra–Padma–Lower 69. 702 Meghna River System to the Assam Earthquake of 1950. in Braided Rivers (eds. Jarvis, I., 703 Sambrook Smith, G. H., Best, J. L., Bristow, C. S. & Petts, G. E.) 289–310 (Blackwell 704 Publishing Ltd., 2009). doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444304374.ch14 705 70. Lahiri, S. K. & Sinha, R. Tectonic controls on the morphodynamics of the Brahmaputra 706 River system in the upper Assam valley, India. Geomorphology 169–170, 74–85 (2012). 707 71. Allison, M. A. Historical changes in the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta front. J. Coast. Res. 14, 708 1269–1275 (1998). 709 72. Brammer, H. Bangladesh's dynamic coastal regions and sea-level rise. *Clim. Risk Manag.* 1, 710 51-62 (2014). 711 73. Sarwar, M. G. M. & Woodroffe, C. D. Rates of shoreline change along the coast of 712 Bangladesh. J. Coast. Conserv. 17, 515–526 (2013). 713 74. Dunn, F. E. & Minderhoud, P. S. J. Sedimentation strategies provide effective but limited 714 mitigation of relative sea-level rise in the Mekong delta. Commun. Earth Environ. 3, 715 (2022). 716 75. Smajgl, A. et al. Responding to rising sea levels in the Mekong Delta. Nat. Clim. Chang. 5, 717 167-174 (2015). 718 Rogers, K. G. & Overeem, I. Doomed to drown? Sediment dynamics in the human-76. 719 controlled floodplains of the active Bengal Delta. *Elementa* 5, (2017). 720 Paprocki, K. All That Is Solid Melts into the Bay: Anticipatory Ruination and Climate 77. 721 Change Adaptation. Antipode 51, 295–315 (2019). 722 78. Auerbach, L. W. et al. Flood risk of natural and embanked landscapes on the Ganges-723 Brahmaputra tidal delta plain. Nat. Clim. Chang. 5, 153–157 (2015). 724 Pethick, J. & Orford, J. D. Rapid rise in effective sea-level in southwest Bangladesh: Its 79. 725 causes and contemporary rates. Glob. Planet. Change 111, 237–245 (2013). 726 80. Rahman, M. M. et al. Sustainability of the coastal zone of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-727 Meghna delta under climatic and anthropogenic stresses. Sci. Total Environ. 829, 154547 728 (2022). 729 Barbour, E. J. et al. The unequal distribution of water risks and adaptation benefits in 81. 730 coastal Bangladesh. Nat. Sustain. 5, 294–302 (2022). 731 Bomer, E. J., Wilson, C. A., Hale, R. P., Hossain, A. N. M. & Rahman, F. M. A. Surface 82. 732 elevation and sedimentation dynamics in the Ganges-Brahmaputra tidal delta plain, 733 Bangladesh: Evidence for mangrove adaptation to human-induced tidal amplification. 734 Catena 187, 104312 (2020).

- Akter, J., Roelvink, D. & van der Wegen, M. Process-based modeling deriving a long-term
 sediment budget for the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta, Bangladesh. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 260, 107509 (2021).
- Paszkowski, A., Goodbred, S., Borgomeo, E., Khan, M. S. A. & Hall, J. W. Geomorphic
 change in the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna delta. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 2021* 1–18
 (2021). doi:10.1038/s43017-021-00213-4
- Rampini, C. Hydropower and Sino-Indian Hydropolitics Along the Yarlung-TsangpoBrahmaputra. in *The Political Economy of Hydropower in Southwest China and Beyond*(eds. Rousseau, J. & Habich-Sobiegalla, S.) 235–254 (Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, 2021).
 doi:10.1007/978-3-030-59361-2 12
- 745 86. Grumbine, R. E. & Pandit, M. K. Threats from India's Himalaya dams. *Science (80-.).* 339, 36–37 (2013).
- 747 87. Menon, M. & Kohli, K. From impact assessment to clearance manufacture. *Econ. Polit.*748 *Wkly.* 44, 20–23 (2009).
- Singh, J. S. Sustainable development of the Indian Himalayan region: Linking ecological
 and economic concerns. *Curr. Sci.* **90**, 784–788 (2006).
- Agrawal, R. Polluters Rewarded. *countercurrents.org* (2008). Available at:
 https://countercurrents.org/agrawal040308.htm.
- 90. Arora, N. & Ghoshal, D. India plans dam on Brahmaputra to offset Chinese construction
 upstream. *Reuters* (2020). Available at: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-india-chinahydropower-idUKKBN28B4NN.
- Li, D. *et al.* Exceptional increases in fluvial sediment fluxes in a warmer and wetter High
 Mountain Asia. *Science (80-.).* **374**, 599–603 (2021).
- Dewan, C. *Misreading the Bengal Delta Climate Change, Development, and Livelihoods in Coastal Bangladesh*. (University of Washington Press, 2022).
- Gain, A. K. *et al.* Overcoming challenges for implementing nature-based solutions in
 deltaic environments: insights from the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta in Bangladesh. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 17, 64052 (2022).
- 94. Grimaud, J. L. *et al.* Flexural deformation controls on Late Quaternary sediment dispersal
 in the Garo-Rajmahal Gap, NW Bengal Basin. *Basin Res.* 32, 1252–1270 (2020).
- Pickering, J. L. *et al.* Terrace formation in the upper Bengal basin since the Middle
 Pleistocene: Brahmaputra fan delta construction during multiple highstands. *Basin Res.* **30**, 550–567 (2018).
- Pickering, J. L. *et al.* Late Quaternary sedimentary record and Holocene channel avulsions
 of the Jamuna and Old Brahmaputra River valleys in the upper Bengal delta plain. *Geomorphology* 227, 123–136 (2014).
- 97. Sincavage, R., Goodbred, S. & Pickering, J. Holocene Brahmaputra River path selection and
 variable sediment bypass as indicators of fluctuating hydrologic and climate conditions in
 Sylhet Basin , Bangladesh. 302–320 (2018). doi:10.1111/bre.12254
- 98. Ayers, J. C. *et al.* Sources of salinity and arsenic in groundwater in southwest Bangladesh. *Geochem. Trans.* **17**, 1–22 (2016).
- Ahmed, M. K., Islam, S., Rahman, M. S., Haque, M. R. & Islam, M. M. Heavy Metals in
 Water, Sediment and Some Fishes of Buriganga River, Bangladesh. *Int. J. Environ. Res.* 4,
 321–332 (2010).

- 100. BADC (Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation). Deep Tubewell II Project: Final
 Reports. (1992).
- 101. Khan, S. R. & Islam, B. Holocene stratigraphy of the lower Ganges-Brahmaputra river delta
 in Bangladesh. *Front. Earth Sci. China* 2, 393–399 (2008).
- 102. Michels, K. H., Kudrass, H. R., Hübscher, C., Suckow, A. & Wiedicke, M. The submarine
 delta of the Ganges-Brahmaputra: Cyclone-dominated sedimentation patterns. *Mar. Geol.*149, 133–154 (1998).
- Palamenghi, L., Schwenk, T., Spiess, V. & Kudrass, H. R. Seismostratigraphic analysis with
 centennial to decadal time resolution of the sediment sink in the Ganges-Brahmaputra
 subaqueous delta. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **31**, 712–730 (2011).
- Sarkar, A. *et al.* Evolution of Ganges-Brahmaputra western delta plain: Clues from
 sedimentology and carbon isotopes. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 28, 2564–2581 (2009).
- Pickering, J. L. *et al.* Impact of glacial-lake paleofloods on valley development since glacial
 termination II : A conundrum of hydrology and scale for the lowstand BrahmaputraJamuna paleovalley system a Mt. (2018).
- 106. Shamsudduha, M., Uddin, A., Saunders, J. A. & Lee, M. K. Quaternary stratigraphy,
 sediment characteristics and geochemistry of arsenic-contaminated alluvial aquifers in the
 Ganges-Brahmaputra floodplain in central Bangladesh. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* 99, 112–136
 (2008).
- Pate, R. D., Goodbred, S. L. & Khan, S. R. Delta Double-Stack: Juxtaposed Holocene and
 Pleistocene Sequences from the Bengal Basin, Bangladesh. *Sediment. Rec.* 7, 4–9 (2009).
- 108. DPHE-JICA (Department of Public Health Engineering and Japanese International
 Cooperation Agency). *Development of deep aquifer database and preliminary deep aquifer map. Final Report.* (2006).
- 109. (JICA), J. I. C. A. Geology and Stone material, Part 1: Geology. in 6, 133 (Japan International
 Cooperation Agency, 1976).
- 805 110. Ghosal, U., Sikdar, P. K. & McArthur, J. M. Palaeosol Control of Arsenic Pollution: The
 806 Bengal Basin in West Bengal, India. *Groundwater* 53, 588–599 (2015).
- 807 111. Hait, A. K. *et al.* New dates of Pleisto-Holocene subcrop samples from South Bengal, India.
 808 *Indian J. Earth Sci.* 23, 79–82 (1996).
- 809 112. Hoque, M. A., McArthur, J. M. & Sikdar, P. K. The palaeosol model of arsenic pollution of
 810 groundwater tested along a 32km traverse across West Bengal, India. *Sci. Total Environ.*811 431, 157–165 (2012).
- 812 113. Hoque, M. A., Mcarthur, J. M. & Sikdar, P. K. Sources of low-arsenic groundwater in the
 813 Bengal Basin: investigating the influence of the last glacial maximum palaeosol using a
 814 115-km traverse across Bangladesh. *Hydrogeol. J.* 22, 1535–1547 (2014).
- 815 114. Goodbred, Jr., S. L. *et al.* Piecing together the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna River delta:
 816 Use of sediment provenance to reconstruct the history and interaction of multiple fluvial
 817 systems during Holocene delta evolution. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 126, 1495–1510 (2014).
- 818 115. Grall, C. *et al.* A base-level stratigraphic approach to determining Holocene subsidence of
 819 the Ganges–Meghna–Brahmaputra Delta plain. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 499, 23–36 (2018).
- Sincavage, R., Goodbred, S. & Pickering, J. Holocene Brahmaputra River path selection and
 variable sediment bypass as indicators of fluctuating hydrologic and climate conditions in
 Sylhet Basin, Bangladesh. *Basin Res.* **30**, 302–320 (2018).

- 823 117. Sincavage, R. S., Paola, C. & Goodbred, S. L. Coupling Mass Extraction and Downstream
 824 Fining With Fluvial Facies Changes Across the Sylhet Basin of the Ganges-Brahmaputra825 Meghna Delta. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 124, 400–413 (2019).
- Reitz, M. D. *et al.* Effects of tectonic deformation and sea level on river path selection:
 Theory and application to the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna River Delta. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 120, 671–689 (2015).
- 829 119. Goodbred, S. L. *et al.* Piecing together the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna river delta: Use
 830 of sediment provenance to reconstruct the history and interaction of multiple fluvial
 831 systems during Holocene delta evolution. *Bull. Geol. Soc. Am.* **126**, 1495–1510 (2014).
- 120. Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y. & Sambridge, M. Sea level and global ice
 volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*111, 15296–15303 (2014).
- Kuehl, S. A., Levy, B. M., Moore, W. S. & Allison, M. A. Subaqueous delta of the GangesBrahmaputra river system. *Mar. Geol.* 144, 81–96 (1997).
- 837 122. Garzanti, E. *et al.* Provenance of Bengal Shelf Sediments: 2. Petrology and Geochemistry
 838 of Sand. *Minerals* 9, 642 (2019).
- Lupker, M., France-Lanord, C., Galy, V., Lavé, J. & Kudrass, H. Increasing chemical
 weathering in the Himalayan system since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 365, 243–252 (2013).
- Stanley, D. J. & Hait, A. K. Holocene depositional patterns, neotectonics and Sundarban
 mangroves in the western Ganges-Brahmaputra delta. *J. Coast. Res.* 16, 26–39 (2000).
- 844 125. Wilson, C. A. & Goodbred Jr., S. L. Construction and Maintenance of the Ganges845 Brahmaputra-Meghna Delta: Linking Process, Morphology, and Stratigraphy. *Ann. Rev.*846 *Mar. Sci.* 7, 67–88 (2015).
- 847 126. Rogers, K. G., Goodbred, S. L. & Mondal, D. R. Monsoon sedimentation on the
 648 'abandoned' tide-influenced Ganges-Brahmaputra delta plain. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*849 131, 297–309 (2013).
- 850 127. Pate, R. D. Multiple-proxy records of delta evolution and dispersal system behavior: fluvial
 851 and coastal borehole evidence from the Bengal Basin, Bangladesh. (Vanderbilt University,
 852 2008).
- Ullah, M. S. Provenance analysis and depositional system of the Late Quaternary sediment
 from the Ganges-Brahmaputra (G-B) delta, Bangladesh: Application of strontium
 geochemistry. (Vanderbilt University, 2010).
- 856 129. Woodruff, J. D., Irish, J. L. & Camargo, S. J. Coastal flooding by tropical cyclones and sea857 level rise. *Nature* 504, 44–52 (2013).
- 130. Kay, S. *et al.* Modelling the increased frequency of extreme sea levels in the Ganges–
 Brahmaputra–Meghna delta due to sea level rise and other effects of climate change. *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts* 17, 1311–1322 (2015).
- 131. Tessler, Z. D., Vörösmarty, C. J., Overeem, I. & Syvitski, J. P. M. A model of water and
 sediment balance as determinants of relative sea level rise in contemporary and future
 deltas. *Geomorphology* **305**, 209–220 (2018).
- 132. Clemens, S. C. *et al.* Remote and local drivers of Pleistocene South Asian summer
 monsoon precipitation : A test for future predictions. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabg3848 (2021).

867 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 868 This work was supported in part by U.S. National Science Foundation grants OISE-0968354 to
- 869 S.L.G., M.S.S., and C.P., RISE-1342946 to S.L.G., OCE-1600319 to S.L.G., J.C.A., J.M.G., OCE-
- 870 1600258 to C.A.W., BCS-1716909 to C.A.W., K.G.R., and J.M.G., and U.S. Office of Naval
- 871 Research grant N00014-11-1-0683 to S.L.G., J.C.A., M.S.S. and J.M.G. The authors also thank
- 872 contributions from Rachel L. Bain and Thomas R. Hartzog and field support from the
- 873 Department of Geology, University of Dhaka and Nazrul Islam Bachchu of Pugmark Tours and
- 874 Travels.
- 875

876 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

- 877 S.L.G., C.P., and M.S.S. designed the original project, and all authors contributed to its
- intellectual development and success. J.L.R., J.L.P., R.S.S., M.S.H., C.A.W., D.R.M., J.-L.G., C.J.G.,
- 879 K.G.R., M.D., R.P.H., M.G.M., and L.A.W. conducted the field sampling and lab analyses. J.L.R.,
- 880 S.L.G., J.L.P., R.S.S., and C.A.W. developed and analyzed the dataset. J.L.R. and S.L.G. wrote the
- 881 manuscript with contributions from J.L.P., R.S.S., J.C.A., C.A.W., C.P., M.S.S., C.J.G., K.G.R.,
- 882 E.A.C., and R.P.H. All authors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.
- 883

884 **COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT**

885 The authors declare no competing interests.

886

- 888 **Figure 1.** Map of Bengal basin and the Ganges-Brahmaputra River (G-B) delta in South Asia
- showing the study area and sampling and data locations. The G-B delta and lower Ganges and
- 890 Brahmaputra rivers are located in Bangladesh and West Bengal, India. The Holocene delta
- 891 developed on an incised Pleistocene surface and the boundary of Holocene deposits is shown
- by the black dashed line. The subaqueous portion of the Holocene delta is located on the shelf
- and bordered by the Swatch of No Ground canyon and Bay of Bengal to the south. Over 450
- boreholes from this study are denoted by the dark and light gray--filled circles ^{115,127,128}, with
- the light gray circles demarking Transect G cores shown in Figure S3. Core and acoustic-flection
- data from other studies used to define the thickness and extent of Holocene delta deposits are
 shown by white-filled circles ^{99–104,106,108,110–112}. The border of Bangladesh is outlined in pink, and
- shown by white-filled circles ^{99–104,106,108,110–112}. The border of Bangladesh is outlined in pink, and
 the pink-shaded region represents the higher-risk coastal zone based on the model domain
- used in Akter et al. (2021) ⁸³. World Ocean Base layer is from Esri, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, HERE,
- 900 Garmin, and other contributors; the world countries shapefile is from Esri, Garmin
- 901 International, Inc., and the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (The World Factbook); and the
- 902 hydrology shapefiles (World Water Bodies and World Linear Water) are from Esri and Garmin
- 903 International, Inc.



905 **Table 1.** STILL GOING TO UPDATE THIS TABLE TO REMOVE the 'a' onshore-only values and just

906 include the 'b' total values for the 6-0 and 8-6 ka periods. Average mass storage rates and grain

907 size distributions for deposits stored on the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta over the last 12 kyr.

908 Highest rates of sediment delivery and storage in the delta occur between 10-8 ka and

909 correspond with a sandier sediment load.

910

Years	Mud (Mt/yr) (<62.5 μm)	Fine sand (Mt/yr) (62.5-250 μm)	Medium-coarse sand (Mt/yr) (250-1000 μm)		
6-0 ka ^ª	226	249 137			
6-0 ka ^b	389	294	162		
8-6 ka ^a	262	474	284		
8-6 ka ^b	425	519	309		
10-8 ka	505	824	671		
12-10 ka	171	277	371		
^a Onchoro material only included ^b Offeboro mass distributed with 75% of material					

[°] Onshore material only included. [°] Offshore mass distributed with 75% of material contained in the 6-0 ka unit and 25% of material contained in the 8-6 ka unit.

911

912 **Table 2.** Average mass storage rates and percent contribution for each river system throughout

913 the Holocene. The Brahmaputra is the main contributor of sediment in the early-mid Holocene

914 (12–6 ka), while the Ganges delivers most of the sediment over the last 6 kyr. Storage rate data

- 915 are plotted in Figure 2C.
- 916

6–0 ka			
Source	Storage Rate (Mt/yr)	Percent Contribution	
Ganges	426	50.4%	
Brahmaputra	377	44.7%	
Mixed G+B	7	0.8%	
Other	35	4.1%	
Total	845		
10101	645		

8–6 ka				
Source	Storage Rate (Mt/yr)	Percent Contribution		
Ganges	308	24.6%		
Brahmaputra	726	58.0%		
Mixed G+B	17	1.3%		
Other	202	16.1%		
Total	1253			

10–8 ka				
Source	Storage Rate (Mt/yr)	Percent Contribution		
Ganges	413	20.7%		
Brahmaputra	1119	55.9%		
Mixed G+B	128	6.4%		
Other	340	17.0%		
Total	2001			

12–10 ka				
Source	Storage Rate (Mt/yr)	Percent Contribution		
Ganges	116	14.2%		
Brahmaputra	512	62.6%		
Mixed G+B	16	2.0%		
Other	174	21.2%		
Total	818			

- 918 **Figure 2.** Changes in paleosalinity (A) and atmospheric moisture (B) correspond with observed
- 919 changes in the mass and caliber (C-D) of sediment stored on the Ganges-Brahmaputra delta. A)
- 920 A paleosalinity record from sediment cores collected in the northern Bay of Bengal ⁴¹ provides a
- 921 proxy record for an enhanced early Holocene monsoon, when runoff and river discharge
- 922 increased and lowered salinity of the surface mixed layer. Salinities decrease after 6 ka under
- weakening monsoon precipitation and reduced (but still large) river discharge. B) Terrestrial
 records of leaf-wax stable carbon isotopes from lacustrine sediments in Lonar Lake, central
- 925 India ⁴⁰ provide evidence for increased atmospheric moisture during the early Holocene
- 926 Climatic Optimum (~9-5 ka), with sharply decreasing moisture levels with monsoon weakening
- 927 after ~6 ka. C) In the early Holocene, most sediment was deposited by the Brahmaputra river,
- followed by the Ganges. For the last 6 kyr, the two rivers have deposited by the Brainhaputra river,
- mass of sediment on the delta but with few deposits reflecting any mixing between them.
- 930 Other tributary sources have locally contributed sediment to the delta, but the amount is
- 931 comparatively minor. Observed sediment delivery rates over the Holocene are denoted in
- 932 white boxes and the reconstruction of the maximum potential reconstructed sediment load
- based on bypassing estimates are shown in gray text within the dashed boxes.



935

937

938

939 **Figure 3.** Modern, Holocene, and future mass-balance calculations comparing rates of sediment

940 delivery against the mass required to offset sea-level rise and subsidence. (A) Summary of the

941 mass-balance scenarios, showing input values for sediment supply and sea-level rise and the 942 calculated outputs for Δ *Mass* and $f_{(supply)}$. Calculations of required mass for each scenario can

- 942 calculated outputs for Δ *Mass* and $f_{(supply)}$. Calculations of required mass for each scenario can 943 be found in Table S4. (B) An explanation of the calculated terms, the conditions for each Δ *Mass*
- case, and the data sources are provided along with (C) a schematic diagram of the mass-
- balance model for the delta. The Holocene: 10-8 ka scenario considers only the high sediment

946 demand case, as the whole delta aggraded in response to rapid sea-level rise during this period.

947

Α	Supply	S.L. Rise	⊿ Mass (Mt/yr)		f(supply)	B CALCULATED TERMS:	
Scenario	(Mt/yr)	(mm/yr)	low	medium	high	medium	△ Mass = Mass _(supply) - Mass _(required) where:
20^{th} century: typical Qs	1,100 ª	2.5 ^f	367	232	88	27%	• Mass _(required) = SUM[Area _(sub-region) x RSLR _(sub-region)]
20^{th} century: low Q _s	700 ^a	2.5 ^f	-33	-168	-313	-19%	for each sub-region upper, lower, and marine
Holocene: 6-0 ka	845 ^b	0.5 ^g	419	344	283	69%	• RSLR _(sub-reg) = Eustatic SLR + Subsidence _(sub-reg)
Holocene: 8-6 ka	1,253 ^b	4.8 ^g	384	220	29	21%	$f_{(supply)}$ = fraction of sediment supply for which delta is
Holocene: 10-8 ka	2,001 ^b	12.5 ^g			792	66% (high)	in excess or deficit (medium sediment case only)
2050 climate only ^a	1,349 °	5.6 ^f	139	-89	-361	-6%	<u>△-MASS_CASES</u> :
2100 climate only ^a	1,624 ^c	8.5 ^f	-32	-347	-739	-18%	• low sediment demand = the lower delta aggrades at
River-linking (partial) ^b	866 ^d	8.5 ^f	-790	-1,105	-1,497	-56%	the pace of RSLR, with the upper and marine deltas aggrading at 0.5 x RSLR – allows some non-
River-linking (all) ^b	600 ^d	8.5 ^f	-1,056	-1,371	-1,763	-70%	deposition in higher portions of upper delta and
2100 full impacts ^c	85 ^e	8.5 ^f	-1,571	-1,886	-2,278	-96%	some likely coastal erosion and ravinement of shelf.
C sediment supply (Q _s) sediment aggradation supper delta area: 40,000 km ² subsidence: 1 mm/yr o compared by the subsidence of					 marine area receive full aggradation to offset RLSR and protect the coast, and the upper delta accretes at 0.5 x RSLR – a reasonable scenario to maintain shoreline stability and limit lower-delta flooding. high sediment demand = the entire delta requires full aggradation to offset RSLR – less likely scenario requiring all areas to receive maximum sediment. <u>SUPPLY and SEA-LEVEL RISE SCENARIOS</u>: ^a Rahman et al. (2018), <i>Sci. Tot. Enviro.</i>, 643:1054. ^b this study ^c Darby et al. (2015), <i>Enviro. Science</i>, 17:1587. ^d Higgins et al. (2019), <i>Enviro. Res. Letters</i>, 14:084034. ^f IPCC (2019), <i>Cambridge Press</i>, SROCC Chapter 4. ^g Lamberk et al. (2014), <i>PNAS</i> 111(43):15296 		

948

950 Figure 4. A) Comparison of future changes in sea level (shown relative to 1992 CE) ¹²⁹ to B) Holocene changes in global sea level (shown relative to EGM96) ¹²⁰. Local sea level (not shown) 951 952 ¹³⁰ and relative sea level is expected to rise by ~1 m by 2100 (blue line, panel A). C) In the 953 future, predicted changes in monsoon strength ¹ and river damming with the National River Linking Project (NRLP) ^{3,131} indicate that sediment fluxes reaching the delta may increase or be 954 955 reduced. Minimum required sediment delivery is calculated using the whole delta area (Table 956 S4), so less sediment delivery may still sustain the at-risk portions of the delta against rising sea 957 level. D) The rate of sediment storage on the delta exceeded the necessary sediment required 958 to keep pace with sea level between 10–8 ka, and for the last 8 ka, whole-delta storage rates 959 have closely matched or exceeded sediment requirements contributing to progradation of the 960 delta. Ultimately, changes in sediment delivery to the delta are likely to occur from river 961 damming ^{3,131}, sand mining (Sickmann et al., in prep), strengthening monsoon ¹³², and 962 anthropogenic climate change ^{1,132}.

