

Desirability of Reduced mass Concept, Conflicting CODATA Values of some Constants: Variants of Fine structure constant and Rydberg constant Equations

Ikechukwu Udema

▶ To cite this version:

Ikechukwu Udema. Desirability of Reduced mass Concept, Conflicting CODATA Values of some Constants: Variants of Fine structure constant and Rydberg constant Equations. 2023. hal-04078431

HAL Id: hal-04078431 https://hal.science/hal-04078431v1

Preprint submitted on 23 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Title: Desirability of Reduced mass Concept, Conflicting CODATA
 Values of some Constants: Variants of Fine structure constant and
 Rydberg constant Equations

4 Subtitle: Reduced mass, fine structure constant and Rydberg
 5 constant.

- 6 Author: Ikechukwu Udema^{1*}
- 7 Affiliation :^{1*}Research Division, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Ude International Concepts
- 8 LTD (862217) B.B. Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria.
- 9 **ORCID:** orcid.org/0000-0001-5662-4232.
- 10 *Correspondence: udemaikechukwu75@yahoo.com; Tel.: +234 08037476970
- 11 ABSTRACT

12 Background: The desirability of the use of the reduced mass concept as opposed to the rest mass 13 seems to give conflicting results. Objectives: To derive variants of the equation of the Rydberg constant, 14 fine structure constant, and wave number that relate with the Rydberg constant; Methods: The research 15 is theoretical in nature. **Results**: The Rydberg constant (R_{∞}) equations derived in this research are 16 variants of each other and of those known in the literature; this is also applicable to the fine structure 17 constant (a). The values of R_{\odot} range between 10973731.6 and 1097373.89 /m for the rest mass case, 18 while for the reduced mass case, the range is between 10966253.06 and 1096784.63 /m; regarding a, the 19 derived equations gave results that are the same. The wave number was related to R_{∞} at the atomic level 20 for the first time. The number showed a trend with the square of the atomic number, similar to the square 21 of the average ionisation energy. Conclusion: The use of rest mass and reduced mass gives conflicting 22 values for physical constants. Other variants of the equation for R_{∞} may be determined in the future. 23 Keywords: Average ionisation energy; fine structure constant; reduced mass; rest mass; Rydberg

24 constant; variants of Rydberg constant and fine constant equations

Significance Statement: The deployment of rest mass and reduced mass can give conflicting results if applied to the determination of other physical constants. Notable among the physical constants are Bohr's

27 radius, the theoretically calculated average ionisation energies of hydrogenic atoms (H), and multi-28 electron ions. Deploying the parameters by substituting them into different equations for the Rydberg 29 constant (R_{∞}) can give different results. The goal of the research is to show evidence of this possibility by 30 embarking on the following objectives: 1) Derive variants of the equation of the Rydberg constant; 2) 31 derive variants of the equation relating wave number with the Rydberg constant at the atomic level; 3) 32 show that there could be multiple variants of the equation of the fine structure constant. With rest mass 33 and reduced mass, the values range between 10973731.6 and 10973733.89/m and between 34 10966253.06 and 1096784.63/m, respectively. The former are more similar to the Committee on Data for 35 Science and Technology (CODATA) value than the latter. This result can motivate CODATA to review 36 current data in light of the application of R_{∞} in spectroscopy and other uses and to reexamine the issue of 37 stark broadening of the spectral lines attributed to reduced mass effect.

38 **1.0** Introduction

The desirability of the use of reduced mass concept as opposed to the rest mass seems to give conflicting results. "Reduced mass" is a term for the appropriate mass corresponding to a linear combination of coordinates involving two or more individual particles such as atoms in the context of the investigation carried by the authors [1]; a simpler definition is that in physics the reduced mass is the effective inertial mass appearing in the two-body problem of Newtonian mechanics (wikipedia).

44 In deriving the equation of reduced mass concept, the equations of motion of two mutually 45 interacting bodies is reduced to a single equation describing the motion of one body in a reference frame 46 centred in the other body. (Britannica.com). Barbosa [2] who sees the reduced mass as a quantity studied 47 in a two-particle system problem in mechanics have attempted to explain the origin of the reduced mass 48 concept. According to the author's note [2], the reduced mass of a two-particle system has its origin in the 49 combination of noninertial nature of an observer attached to particle 1 leading to an inertial force (F_{n}); the 50 force $(F_{1(2)})$ which drives the observer is intimately related to the force applied to particle 2 $(F_{2(1)})$ through 51 the Newtonian 3rd law of motion as they arise from the mutual interaction.

52 The reduced mass is known to cause the stark broadening of the spectral lines of the hydrogen 53 atoms; it has also been implicated in the deformation of the central part of the line shape of the spectrum

54 [2]. Meanwhile, the Rydberg constant has a role in spectroscopy and is related to the fine structure 55 constant. Hence the desirability of the reduced mass concept, the conflicting CODATA [3, 4] values of 56 some constants, and the variants of the fine structure constant and Rydberg constant equations have to 57 be examined or investigated. The goal is to reveal a possible conflict in some fundamental physical 58 constants by embarking on the following objectives: 1) Derive variants of the equation of Rydberg 59 constant and compare the resulting value with literature; 2) derive variants of the equation relating wave 60 number with Rydberg constant at the atomic level; 3) show that there could be multiple variants of the 61 equation of fine structure constant, which gives values that are the mass of an electron invariant.

62 2. Materials and Methods

63 The research is theoretical without materials and experiments of any kind.

64 3. Results

65 Worthy of note in this research is the observation that the physical variables, the wave number, 66 average ionisation energies, and the square of the effective nuclear charge vary with the square of 67 nuclear charge in the same way (Figure 1). The square of the nuclear charge is chosen because it best 68 correlates with the physical variable determined based on the average ionisation energy.





Figure 1: The plot illustrating the trend of all related physical variables with the square of atomic 72 number. The legends, IE, WN, and ENC-Sqd represent the average ionisation energy, wave number, effective 73 nuclear charge squared. The IE, WN, and ENC-Sqd values were seperately plotted versus the square of atomic 74 number/10. Division by ten was to enhance resolution of the curves despite sum points of contact or intersections.

The values of the Rydberg constant calculated in this research ranges between 10973731.6-10973733.89/m for the rest mass case while, for the reduced mass case, the range is between 10966253.06-1096784.63/m (Table 1) which compares with the CODATA [3] value of 10973731.568/m. Rest mass values are therefore, more similar to the 2016, CODATA [4] value. This is against the backdrop of higher values of the average ionisation energy of hydrogen and Bohr's radius calculated using rest mass and reduced mass respectively.

Variants of the equations for the fine structure constant (α), Eq. (33) and Eq. (34), in particular, are not known in the literature. The values are exactly the same for the reduced mass case (7.294715912 exp. (-3)) and 7.297352577 exp. (-3), for the rest mass case. The α achieved with accuracy of 81 PPT is equal to 7.297352563 exp. (-3) [2] and the CODATA [4] value (7.2973525664 exp. (-3)) (Table 1). The rest mass value is higher than CODATA and Morel et al values.

86 Table 1: Values of the Rydberg constant and the fine structure constant based on derived
87 equations

S	S/N Equations	Based on rest mass	Based on reduced mass		
1	$R_{\infty} = 8 \pi \varepsilon_0 a_0 E_{\rm H}^2 / h c e^2$	10973731.6	10966253.06		
2	$R_{\infty} = E_{\rm H}/hc$ (Here & in the lit.)	10973731.6	10967784.63		
3.	$R_{\infty} = \alpha^2 m_e c/2 h$ (CODATA-I)	10973731.57	10967758.834		
4.	$R_{\infty} = e^4 m_e / 8 \varepsilon_0^2 ch^3$ (CODATA-II)	10973733.89	10967760.69		
5.	Eq. (33)	7.297352577 exp. (−3)	7.294715912 exp.(−3)		
6.	Eq. (34)	7.297352577 exp. (−3)	7.294715912 exp.(−3)		

Serial number (S/N) 1 equation was derived in this research and S/N 2 equation, was a rederivation in this research, which reproduced the literature (lit.) version. The S/N 3 (CODATA-I) and 4 (CODATA-II) equations are CODATA records and derived equation respectively, in which fine structure constant equation was substituted into S/N 3 equation to give S/N 4 equation. The ionisation energy-based radii of atoms used can be found in the literature [5].

108

88

109 The values of the wave number calculated based on Eq. (40) are not influenced by the mass of 110 the electron if the ionisation based radii were calculated using experimentally determine average ionisation energies. An exception may apply to hydrogen if either the rest mass or reduced mass were applied for the calculation of Bohr's radius. The calculations based on Eq. (37) are influenced by the use of either rest mass or reduced mass. The values ranges between 2.735 and 4.036 exp. (+9)/m for Eq. (37); with Eq. (40) it is between 3.006 and 4.038 exp. (+9)/m (Table 2).

Elements	Н	Не	Ве	В	(C	Ν	0	F
⊽* (Eq. (37))	3.006	4.038	2.490	2.3	48 2.	736	2.983	3.007	3.403
exp. (9)/m									
⊽ (Eq. (37))	3.005	4.036	2.495	2.3	47 2.	735	2.982	3.006	3.401
exp. (9)/m									
∇* (Eq. (40)	3.006	4.038	2.490	2.3	48 2.	736	2.983	3.007	3.402
exp. (9)/m									
∇(Eq. (40)	3.008								
exp. (9)/m									

115 Table 2: Calculated wave numbers based on reduced mass and rest mass of the electron

129 ∇^* is the wave number affected by the use of rest mass. ∇ is the wave number affected by the use of reduced mass 130 Values are approximations to the 3rd decimal places.

The values of the wave number calculated based on Eq. (40) are not influenced by the mass of the electron if the ionisation based radii were calculated using experimentally determine average ionisation energies. An exception may apply to hydrogen if either the rest mass or reduced mass was applied for the calculation of Bohr's radius. The calculations based on Eq. (37) are influenced by the use of either rest mass or reduced mass. The values ranges between 2.735 and 4.036 exp. (+9)/m for Eq. (37); with Eq. (40) it is between 3.006 and 4.038 exp. (+9)/m (Table 2).

138

- 140
- 141
- 142
- 143

144 **3.1** Theory

3.1.1 The ratio of the product of the ionisation energy dependent atomic radius and the
momentum of the orbital electron to the energy level as a function of the composite
fundamental constant

148 In this section, the relationship between the ratio of the product of the momentum and the 149 ionisation energy-dependent radius of the atom to the energy level and a composite fundamental physical 150 constant are derived. In line with pedagogical principle, one begins from the known to the unknown. The 151 Rydberg constant (R_{∞}) is given as:

$$R_{\infty} = \alpha^2 m_e c / 2 h, \tag{1}$$

where α , *c*, and *h* are the fine structure constant, rest mass of an electron, velocity of light in a vacuum, and Planck constant respectively.

where ε_0 is the permittivity in free space; α is the fine structure constant. Substitute Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) to give:

 $\alpha = e^2/2h\varepsilon_0 c$,

 $R_{co} = m_e e^4 / 8 h^3 \varepsilon_0^2 c, \qquad (3)$

159 Equation (3) is definitely not a novelty, but with Eqs (1) and (2), it serves an immediate reference.

160 It has been shown that the effective nuclear charge (Z_{eff}) is inversely proportional to α , directly 161 proportional to the principal quantum number (*n*), and directly proportional to the square root of the 162 average ionisation energy (E_i) [7]; thus,

$$Z_{eff} = n \left(\frac{2E_i}{m_e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \alpha c \qquad , \tag{4}$$

164 Therefore,

$$c = n \left(2E_i / m_e \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / Z_{eff} \alpha, \tag{5}$$

166 Substitute Eq. (5) into Eq. (5) into Eq. (3) to give:

167

169

171

165

163

$$R_{\infty} = \left(Z_{eff} \, m_e e^4 \alpha / 8 \, h^3 \varepsilon_0^2 \, n \, \right) \left(\frac{m_e}{2E_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{6}$$

168 From Eq. (6) is given:

$$E_i = \left(Z_{eff} \, m_e e^4 \alpha / 8 \, h^3 \varepsilon_0^2 \, n \, R_\infty \, \right)^2 / 2 \quad , \tag{7}$$

170 Substitute Eq. (2) into Eq. (7) to get

$$E_i = m_e (Z_{\rm eff} \, m_e e^6 \alpha / 16 \, h^4 \varepsilon_0^3 \, n \, c \, R_{\infty})^2 / 2, \tag{8}$$

6

(2)

172 Solving for Z_{eff} gives:

173
$$Z_{\rm eff} = \left(\frac{512E_i}{m_e^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon_0^3 h^4 n_i R_\infty c/e^6, \tag{9}$$

174 Substitute Eq. (9) into Bohr's equation for ionisation energy to give:

175
$$\left(\frac{512E_i}{m_e^3}\right)^2 (\varepsilon_0^3 h^4 n_i R_\infty c)^2 e^4 m_e / 8\varepsilon_0^2 h^2 n^2 e^{12} = \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}}{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 a_i},$$
 (10a)

176 where *a*_i is the average ionisation energy-dependent radius of any atom.

177 Simplification and solving for Z_{eff} gives:

$$Z_{\rm eff} = \frac{512E_i c_0^5 h^6 R_\infty^2 c^2 a_i \pi}{m_e^2 e^{10}},$$
(10b)

179 From Eq. (10b) is given $\varepsilon_0^2 h^4 \pi^2 / \pi^2 m_e^2 e^4$ which is $\equiv a_0^2 \pi^2$ where a_0 is the Bohr's radius for hydrogen;

180 Therefore, Eq. (10b) becomes:

181
$$Z_{\rm eff} = 512 E_i a_i \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0 \pi}{e^2}\right)^3 (h R_{\infty} c \ a_0)^2, \tag{11}$$

Since the atomic radius is inversely proportional to the average ionisation energy-a kinetic energy- on the basis of Coulomb's law, one can multiply both sides of Eq. (11) by a_i and convert E_i to its relation to momentum (*P*) to give:

185
$$Z_{eff} a_i = 512 P^2 a_i^2 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0 \pi}{e^2}\right)^3 (hR_{\infty}c a_0)^2 / 2 m_e, \qquad (12)$$

186 In Eq. (12), $a_i Z_{\text{eff}}$ is equal to $n^2 h^2 \varepsilon_0 / \pi e^2 m_e = a_0 n^2$; thus,

187
$$p^{2}a_{i}^{2} = \frac{a_{0}n^{2}}{2^{8}} \left(\frac{e^{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}\pi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{hR_{\infty}c a_{0}}\right)^{2},$$
 (13)

188
$$\frac{pa_i}{n} = \frac{1}{16 h c R_{\infty}} \left(\frac{m_e}{a_0} \frac{e^6}{\varepsilon_0^3 \pi^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (14)

189

196

178

190 Given that $(8E_{H}a_{0})^{3} = (e^{2}/\pi\epsilon_{0})^{3}$, one can rewrite, Eq. (14) for the purpose of calculational simplicity, as 191 follows:

192
$$pa_i = na_0 \left(2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / hR_{\infty}c, \tag{15}$$

193 If $a_i = a_0$ and n = 1, then, hydrogen is referred to:

194
$$R_{\infty} = (2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e)^{\frac{1}{2}} / h \, c \, p, \tag{16a}$$

195 Equation (16a) can be simplified to obtain equation similar to a literature version [7]:

$$R_{\infty} = E_{\rm H}/h\,c,\tag{16b}$$

197 There are two clear corollaries:

$$R_{\infty} = na_0 \left(2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / h \, c \, pa_i \qquad , \tag{17a}$$

Equation (17a) can be simplified further if one solves mathematically p (*i.e.* $2m_e E_i$)^{v_a} and a_i (= $\frac{e^2 n}{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 (E_H E_i)^{v_a}}$); after rearrangement the results is: $R_{\infty} = \frac{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 a_0 E_H^2}{2}$, (17b)

 $R_{\infty} = \frac{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 a_0 E_{\rm H}^2}{h \, c \, e^2},$ (17b) Like Eq. (2), one can derive a similar equation for effective nuclear charge as follows: First recall

203 204

206

210

212

that [7]:

202

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{2E_H}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{18}$$

205 Also, *E*_H can be expresses as [7-9]:

$$E_H = n^2 E_i / Z_{\text{eff}}^2, \tag{19}$$

207 Substitute Eq. (19) into Eq. (18) to give after rearrangement:

208
$$m_e c^2 = \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \frac{n^2 E_i}{Z_{eff}^2}$$
, (20)

209 Solving for Z_{eff} gives [7]:

$$Z_{\rm eff} = \frac{n}{\alpha c} \left(\frac{2E_i}{m_e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{21}$$

211 Substitute Eq. (2) into Eq. (21) to give:

$$Z_{\rm eff} = \frac{2nvh\varepsilon_0}{e^2},\tag{22}$$

213 where v is the velocity of the electron and n may be \geq 1; this is determined as the result of $(2E_i/m_e)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

214 Thus, given the reciprocal of Eq. (2), one sees the similarity in the mathematical structure as follows:

215
$$1/\alpha = \frac{2 n_0 c h \varepsilon_0}{e^2}$$
 (23)

216 where n_0 is = 1. The obvious corollary is that:

217
$$\frac{Z_{\rm eff}}{n\,v} = \frac{1}{n_0\,c\,\alpha} = \frac{2h\,\varepsilon_0}{e^2},\tag{24}$$

$$Z_{\rm eff} = \frac{n v}{n_0 c a},\tag{25}$$

219 Equation (14) can be rewritten to give two equations.

220
$$v = \frac{n}{16 a_i h c R_{\infty}} \left(\frac{e^6}{m_e a_0 c_0^3 \pi^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (26)

221 The values of a_i can range between a_0 and $a_0 n^2/Z_{eff}$ where Z_{eff} is between 1 and < 137 and n can range 222 between 1 and 7. 223 3.1.2 Fine structure constant-based equations for the determination of the radius of 224 any atom 225 As in the literature [9], the radius of any atom is expressed as: $a_i = \frac{e^2 n}{8 \pi \epsilon_0 (E_H E_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$ 226 (27) 227 228 Note that if hydrogen is referred to E_{H} is = E_{i} and n = 1; if it is multi-proton hydrogenic ion, E_{H} is $\neq E_{i}$, 229 though *n* remains = 1. One can then re-express a_i in terms of *v* as follows: Given that E_i is = to $E_H Z_{eff}^2/n^2$, 230 Eq. (27) becomes: $a_i = \frac{e^2 n^2}{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 E_H Z_{eff}},$ 231 (28a) 232 Then substituting Eq. (25) into Eq. (28a) gives: $a_i = \frac{e^2 n n_0 c \alpha}{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 E_H v},$ 233 (28b) 234 Taking v as $(2Z_{\text{eff}} e^2/8 \pi \epsilon_0 a_i m_e)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and substitute into Eq. (28b) to give: $a_{i} = \frac{e^{2}n n_{0} c \alpha (8\pi\epsilon_{0}a_{i}m_{e})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi\epsilon_{0}E_{H} (2e^{2}Z_{off})^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ 235 236 Simplification gives: $a_i = \frac{e^2 n_0^2 c^2 \alpha^2 m_e n^2}{16E_H^2 \pi \varepsilon_0 Z_{\text{eff}}},$ 237 (29) 238 Re-substitution of Eq. (25) into Eq. (29) gives: $a_i = \frac{e^2 n_0^3 c^3 \alpha^3 m_e n}{16 E_H^2 \pi \varepsilon_0 v},$ 239 (30) 240 One can repeat the operation leading to Eq. (29) to give: $a_i = \frac{(c \, \alpha \, n_0)^6 m_e^3 \, n^2 e^2}{64 E_H^4 \, \pi \, \varepsilon_0 \, Z_{\text{eff}}},$ 241 (31) 242 Ultimately, one can further re-substitute Eq. (25) into Eq. (31) as in Eq. (29) to give:

243
$$a_i = \frac{(c \ \alpha \ n_0)^7 m_e^3 n \ e^2}{64 E_H^4 \ \pi \ \varepsilon_0 \ v},\tag{32}$$

Clearly, it can be seen that none of the equations is final because there is no end to substitutions.
Experimental information is needed in order to proceed with Eqs (28a), (28b), (29), (30), (31), and (32) all
of which give the same result.

247 **3.1.3** Deductions with respect to fine structure constant

248 Beginning from Eqs (28b), (30), and (32), there are possibilities of reproducing Eq. (18) in terms 249 of the fine structure constant if all the fundamental constants are experimental variables, the average 250 ionisation energies, are substituted into the equations. Beginning from Eqs (28b), (30), and (32), there are 251 possibilities of reproducing Eq. (18) in terms of the fine structure constant if all the fundamental constants 252 are experimental variables, the average ionisation energies, are substituted into the equations. The 253 resulting fine structure constant is approximately 7.2935 exp. (-3). In all cases the equation is: $\alpha =$ $\frac{1}{c n_0} \left(\frac{2E_H}{m_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where n_0 is always equal to one. The equation became a reality after substituting Eq. (27) and 254 255 $(2E/m_e)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ into the respective equations and solving for α . Rederiving the equation based on Eqs (29) and 256 (31) requires substituting Bohr's equation. This is despite information about ionisation energies, a 257 deterministic variable first discovered by a Russian scientist, yet most western scientists (or rather not to 258 be too general, "my west") but not limited to them, have been vehement in criticising Bohr's equation 259 $(a_i = n^2 h^2 \varepsilon_0 / \pi m_e e^2 Z_{eff})$: It appears no motivation is seen in the Russian scientist, yet there is no better 260 alternative that could be seen as a motivation for rejecting the possibility that ionisation energies that are 261 experimentally generated anywhere in the global space can be used to determine the effective nuclear 262 charge. How effective nuclear charge can be calculated based on ionisation energies and enabling 263 equations are available in the literature [7]. With Eqs (29) and (31), the new equations after the 264 substitution and solving for the fine structure constant are respectively:

$$\alpha = \frac{4 h \varepsilon_0 E_{\rm H}}{e^2 m_e c},\tag{33}$$

266 If Bohr's equation for ionisation energy is substituted into Eq. (33), it gives: $\alpha = e^2/2\varepsilon_0 hc$.

267
$$\alpha = \frac{2}{c n_0} \left(\frac{E_{\rm H}^2 h \, \varepsilon_0}{m_e^2 \, e^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} , \qquad (34)$$

268 It must be made known that other variants of the equation can be derived if the series beyond Eq. (32) is 269 continued. The values the fine structure constant expected from Eqs (33) and (34) is approximately 7.2945 exp. (-3). Equation (34) is a major innovation as far as the variants of the equation of fine
structure constant is concerned.

272 **3.1.4** Deductions with respect to wave number.

273 The core atomic physicists, Bohr, Rydberg-Ritz etc have concern for wave number though such 274 concern is restricted to hydrogenic atoms and ions only. Moreover it is all about mainly emission 275 spectrum. It is pointless being in doubt because, a_i and p can be separately calculated as along as the 276 average ionisation energy and energy level are known; this is usually so for most elements. Here, 277 deductively or as a corollary, one can relate the wave number of multi-electron atoms to the Rydberg 278 constant. In this regard, refer to Eq. (26) where the momentum p is equal to $m_e v$ which, in turn, is equal 279 to h/λ , the well-known de Broglie equation where the reciprocal of the wave length (1/ λ) is equal to the 280 wave number (∇) . Therefore, given Eq. (15), derivation is as follows:

281
$$\frac{h a_i}{\lambda} = n a_0 \left(2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / h R_{\infty} c,$$
(35)

282 "No beating the gun", just step-by-step, until one obtains the following:

283
$$\frac{a_i}{n\,\lambda} = a_0 \, (2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e)^{\frac{1}{2}} / h^2 R_{\infty} c, \tag{36}$$

284
$$\nabla = na_0 \left(2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / h^2 R_{\infty} c a_i, \tag{37}$$

285 Two equations attributed to Bohr are: 1) $E_i = Z_{eff}^2 e^4 m_e / 8\epsilon_0^2 n^2 h^2$ and 2) $a_i = n^2 h^2 \epsilon_0 / \pi m_e e^2 Z_{eff}$. 286 Substituting the latter into the former and solving for E_i gives:

287 $E_i = n^2 h^2 \varepsilon_0 / 8 \pi^2 m_e a_i^2,$ (38)

288 Based on Eq. (38), the momentum of the electron is

$$p = nh/2\pi a_{\rm i},\tag{39}$$

290 Consequently, the wave number is:

 $\nabla = n/2 \pi a_i,\tag{40}$

292 With Eqs (17a) and (40) the following can be derived. The equations contain *n*/*a*; therefore,

293
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} = \frac{a_0 \left(2E_{\rm H}^3 m_e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R_{\infty} h^2 c},$$
 (41a)

294
$$R_{\infty} = \frac{a_0 \pi \left(8E_{\rm H}^3 m_e\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^2 c},$$
 (41b)

295

289

291

296

4. Discussion

297 Various equations were derived, notable of which is the equation unknown in the literature for the 298 calculation of the Rydberg constant (R_a) and fine structure constant (α) as well. With the various 299 equations, it has been possible to show that the use of reduced mass as opposed to rest mass leads to 300 lower values of physical constants compared to CODATA values. Higher values of Ra were obtained from 301 all equations in which Bohr's radius and the average ionisation energy of hydrogen were calculated using 302 the rest mass of the electron. These revelations are made possible by the application of the derived 303 equations, which are therefore analysed and discussed as follows: Given Eq. (17a), it could be seen that 304 $R_{\rm a}$ is inversely proportional to the product of the momentum, p, of the orbital electron and its distance 305 from the nucleus, a_i , for multi-electron atoms, whereas it is inversely proportional to the p (Eq. (16a)) for 306 hydrogen and hydrogenic ions as well. Incidentally, R_a is also directly proportional to the square of the 307 average ionisation energy ($E_{\rm H}$) of a hydrogen atom (Eq. 17b) for hydrogen atom only. Also, Eq. (37) 308 shows that $R_{\rm a}$ is inversely proportional to the product of the wave number and the ionisation energy-309 dependent atomic radius and directly proportional to the square root of the 3^{rd} power of the $E_{\rm H}$ for all 310 multi-electron atoms; it is strictly directly proportional to the square root of the 3^{rd} power of the E_{H} for the 311 hydrogen atom only. With this apparent analysis, one can now x-ray the physical implications.

312 It is not certain whether or not the concept of reduced mass is applied in the spectroscopic 313 determination of ionisation energies. If not, all the equations where R_{∞} is $E_{\rm H}$ -dependent should give the 314 same results. On the contrary, if theoretically calculated hydrogenic average ionisation energy is the 315 case, the application of either the rest mass or the reduced mass in Bohr's equation for the equation of 316 average ionisation energy would obviously give different results. Recall that Bohr's equation for the radius 317 is inversely proportional to the mass of the electron; using rest mass gives a lower value of the radius 318 (\approx 5.289643 exp. (-11) m), while the reduced mass (9.104424487 exp. (-31) kg) calculated by deploying 319 all 2012 CODATA [3] values of relevant physical constants gives 5.292523949 exp. (-11) m, which is 320 slightly higher than the 2012 CODATA value of 5.2917721092 exp. (-11) m [3]. If these values are 321 substituted into the Coulomb equation for hydrogen atoms, different values of the kinetic energies ($E_{\rm H}$ 322 values) are obtainable. In a similar way, if the rest mass and the reduced mass are separately substituted

323 into Bohr's equation $(E_i = Z_{eff}^2 e^4 m_e / 8\epsilon_0^2 n^2 h^2)$ for ionisation energy, different results are expected. One 324 is higher than the other (2.179872176 exp. (-18) and 2.178690844 exp. (-18) J). If these values are 325 substituted again into the Coulomb equation for the hydrogen atom, the radii above, the first and the 326 second are expected. Yet all calculations of R_{∞} involving "physical constants" where rest mass featured 327 gave values that were higher than those where reduced mass was the case. Besides, the results from the 328 former (the rest mass case) are very similar to the CODATA value. Therefore, it seems the rest mass 329 played a role in the CODATA value of R_{∞} . Then the question is: what is the desirability of the use of the 330 reduced mass concept as opposed to the rest mass, which seems to give a conflicting result? In any 331 case, if E_{H} is experimentally generated and gives a consistent result, then R_{∞} may be regarded as a 332 fundamental physical constant, considering the fact that the rest mass (an expression of the quantity of 333 matter in any specific object) is also constant.

334 With a clear presence of the ratio of average ionisation energy to any kind of electron mass in all 335 the variants of the equation of fine structure constant (Eqs (33) and (34)), the results of calculation should 336 be electron mass of any kind invariant. A recent experimental study showed the value (7.297352563 exp. 337 (-3)) of the fine structure constant with an accuracy of 81 PPT [5], which is lower than the calculated 338 value in this study and the CODATA value, giving the impression that one or more of the fundamental 339 physical terms in the equation of the fine structure constant may be less accurate. However, one may 340 wish to know if a lower value from any future experiment results in higher accuracy. This has become a 341 pertinent question because the CODATA value or any other unknown in the course of this research 342 seems not to be a standard reference for comparison. Be it as it may, the fine structure constant is 343 directly proportional to $E_{\rm H}$ (Eq. (33)), and it is directly proportional to the cube root of the square of $E_{\rm H}$.

Equation (36), once again, implies that the ratio of the ionisation energy-dependent radius of any atom to the product of the wave length and principal quantum number is equal to the ratio of composite fundamental constants. Ultimately, the wave number for any multi-electron atom is now related to the Rydberg. Note that Eq. (37) is generalisable to all atoms, both hydrogenic and nonhydrogenic atoms and ions. The only experimental information needed, for instance, is the average ionisation energy coupled with the enabling equation, Eq. (27) for instance. In order to evaluate Eq. (37), the first members of the 350 groups in the first period of the period table were used as examples whose first average ionisation 351 energies are known. If there is any way by which a_i can be determined either experimentally or 352 theoretically, it is not just the average ionisation energy that can be calculated according to the 353 conventional Bohr's equation but the wave number as well. The wave number is directly proportional to 354 the square root of the cube of $E_{\rm H}$ and the principal quantum number and inversely proportional to the 355 radius, as shown by equations (37) and (40).

356 There seems to be more interest in fine structure constant than Rydberg constant despite the fact 357 that the latter is related to the former in the equation given as follows [3, 4]: $R_{\infty} = \alpha^2 m_e c / 2h$. The α has 358 been studied for various reasons including reevaluation of the standard model [10, 11]. Some studies are 359 also devoted to measurements in other to achieve better results in terms of higher precision [12-14]; what 360 looks like theoretical method entailed the application of quartic equations to which is related the much 361 talked about (but yet to be fully elucidated) golden ratio and a-not-too clear classical "harmonic 362 proportions" [15, 16]. In this research, however, variants of the equation of α were derived giving results 363 that are always not more than 1/137. These are in addition to the derived equation relating effective 364 nuclear charge to the α [7] and the equations that confirm the universality of R_{∞} ; this is so because such 365 equation can be used to either determine it or be used to determine nuclear property such as mass radius 366 of any nucleon [8]. Recent mention of R_{∞} is in connection with Rydberg atom explored in the 367 determination of the angle-of-arrival of an incident radio-frequency (RF) wave or signal [17]; this seems to 368 be an application of Rydberg concept in technology besides spectroscopic facilities. The α has application 369 in the derivation of the equation of the mass radius of the nucleon and larger subatomic particles [18].

It is interesting to note that the physical variables exhibit a similar trend with an increasing value of the square of the atomic number. It is akin to the chemical periodicity of elements. Different atoms are characterised by different average ionisation energies, which is another name for the average kinetic energy needed to expel an electron from an uncertain position in its ground state to infinity. It is not unlikely that an electron may, under unnatural conditions (or such natural conditions within the stars, the sun being the nearest of such), move to unspecified lower energy with the release of an amount of energy equal to its ionisation energy at its ground-state energy level. Therefore, wave number may not be 377 restricted to the spectra of different elements; any elementary particle in motion has a de Broglie wave378 length and, as such, a wave number.

5. Conclusion

The use of rest mass and reduced mass gives conflicting values for physical constants. The question as to the desirability of the reduced mass concept is valid considering the observed value calculated in this research based on rest mass is very similar to the CODATA value. Other variants of the equations for the fine structure constant and, consequently, the Rydberg constant may be determined in the future.

385 **Author contributions:** The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Funding: "This research received no external funding." However, Science International, under the aegis of LiveDNA, promised a waiver of the article processing charge if the manuscript was accepted for publication.

389 Acknowledgments: The management of the Royal Court Yard Hotel in Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria, is 390 immensely appreciated for the supply of electricity during the preparation of the manuscript. The provider 391 of the QuillBot grammar checker is thanked for improving the English language quality of the manuscript.

392 **Conflicts of Interest:** There is no competing interest; specifically, there is no financial interest with any 393 government, corporate body, or individual except the awaited unpaid retirement benefits by the Delta 394 state government of Nigeria for about four years after retirement.

395 **References**

Truhlar, D.G., Garrett, B.C. 2003. Reduced mass in the one-dimensional treatment of tunneling.
 J. Phys. Chem. A. 107(19): 4006-4007. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp021323f.

Barbosa, V.C. The noninertial origin of the reduced mass 2006. Revista Brasileira de Ensino de
 Fisica 28(1): 123-124. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-47442006000100015.

400 3. Mohr, P.J., Taylor, B.N., Newel, D.B. 2012. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental 401 physical constants. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 41(4):1–84. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4724320.

- 402 4. Mohr, P.J., Newel, D.B., Taylor, B.N., 2016. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental
- 403 physical constants. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 45 (4):1–75. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4954402.

- 404 5. Morel, L., Yao, Z., Cladé, P., Guellati-Khélifa, S. 2020. Determination of the fine-structure
 405 constant with 81 parts-per-trillion accuracy. Nature. 588 (7836): 61-65. https://doi.org/10.1038/
 406 341586-020-2964-7.
- 407 6. Udema, I.I. Renaissance of Bohr's model via derived alternative equation. 2017. Am. J. Mod.
 408 Phys. 6(2):23-31. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajmp.20170602.11
- 409 7. Udema, I.I., 2017. Fine structure constant is related to effective nuclear charge and Bohr's radius
- 410 for any atom. Asian J. Phys. Chem. Sci. 3(4): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJOPACS/2017/36931
- 411 8. Udema, I.I., 2022. The universality of Rydberg constant is investigated with concern for ethics.
- 412 World J. Adv. Res. Rev, 16(02): 1002–1010. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2022.16.2.1246.
- 413 9. Udema, I.I. 2022 Calculation of the radius for any atom based on the Planck constant-free
 414 equation, mathematical complexity, and atomism. 16 (12): 149-159. https://doi.org/10.9734/
 415 AJARR/2022 /v16i12458.
- 416 10. Gabrielse, G., Fayer, S. E., Myers, T. G. and Fan, X. 2019. Towards an improved test of the 417 standard Model's most precise prediction. Atoms 7: 1-17. https://doi.org/10.3390/atoms7020045.
- 418 11. Parker, R. H., Yu, C., Zhong, W., Estey, B. and Muller, H.2018. Measurement of the fine419 structure constant as a test of the Standard Model. Science 360: 191–195. https://doi.org/
 420 10.1126/science.aap7706.
- Yu, C. Zhong, W., Estey, B., Kwan, J., Parker, R.H., *et al.* 2019. Atom-interferometry
 measurement of the fine structure constant. Annalen der Physik. 531 (5): 1-12. https://doi.org/
 10.1002/andp.201800346.
- 424 13. Sherbon, M.A. "Quintessential nature of the fine-structure constant" 2015. Glob. J. Sci. Front.
 425 Res., 15, 4, 23-26. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn,2623754.
- 426 14. Sherbon, M.A. 2017. "Fundamental physics and the fine-structure constant," Int. J. Phys. Res. 5
- 427 (2): 46-48. https://doi.org/10.14419/ijpr.v2i1.1817.
- 428 15. Sherbon, M.A., 2019. Fine-structure Constant from Sommerfeld to Feynman. J. Adv. Phys. 16
- 429 (1): 335-343. https://doi.org/10.24297/jap.v16i1.8402.
- 430 16. Heyrovska, R. 2013. "Golden Ratio based fine structure constant and Rydberg constant for
 431 hydrogen spectra."Int. J. Sci. 2 (5): 28-31. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3348230.

- 432 17. Robinson, A.K., Prajapati, N., Senic, D., Simons, MT., Holloway, C.L. 2021. Determining the
 433 angle-of-arrival of an radio-frequency source with a Rydberg atom based sensor
 434 https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0045601
- 435 18. Udema, I.I. 2020. Theoretical determination of the mass radii of the nucleons and heavier 436 Asian. J. Res. Rev. Phys. 1-10. https://doi.org/ subatomic particles. 3(4): 437 10.9734/AJR2P/2020/v3i430125.