

# Soil pH influences the structure of virus communities at local and global scales

Sungeun Lee, Jackson Sorensen, Robin Walker, Joanne Emerson, Graeme W. Nicol, Christina Hazard

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sungeun Lee, Jackson Sorensen, Robin Walker, Joanne Emerson, Graeme W. Nicol, et al.. Soil pH influences the structure of virus communities at local and global scales. Soil Biology and Biochemistry,  $2022,\,166\,(2),\,pp.108569.\,\,10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108569\,.\,\,hal-04075705$ 

HAL Id: hal-04075705

https://hal.science/hal-04075705

Submitted on 20 Apr 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Public Domain

Article type: Short Communication Soil pH influences the structure of virus communities at local and global scales Sungeun Lee<sup>1</sup>, Jackson W. Sorensen<sup>2</sup>, Robin L. Walker<sup>3</sup>, Joanne B. Emerson<sup>2</sup>, Graeme W. Nicol<sup>1</sup>, Christina Hazard<sup>1</sup> 1. Environmental Microbial Genomics Group, Laboratoire Ampère, École Centrale de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5005, Université de Lyon, Écully, 69134, France 2. Department of Plant Pathology, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, 95616, California, USA 3. School of Rural Land Use, Scotland's Rural College, Craibstone Estate, Aberdeen, AB21 9YA, Scotland, United Kingdom Corresponding author Email: christina.hazard@ec-lyon.fr Tel: +33 4 72 18 60 91 

## **Abstract**

The influence of biotic and abiotic factors on viral communities across environmental gradients in soil is relatively unknown. While soil pH strongly influences microbial community structure, it is unclear whether there is a similar influence on soil viruses. In this study, prokaryotic and viral communities were characterized in soils from a long-term pH-manipulated soil gradient (pH 4.5 and 7.5), and viral populations also compared to those of other soils ranging in pH (4.0-7.5). Viral communities were significantly influenced by pH at the local scale with 99% of viral operational taxonomic units restricted to pH 4.5 or 7.5 soil only. Analysis of viromes from six other European and North American soil systems demonstrated that a selection of viral clusters from acidic and neutral pH soils were more associated with those from the local gradient pH 4.5 or 7.5 soils, respectively. While direct pH effects on virion integrity and indirect selection via host composition were not distinguished, the results reveal that soil pH is a factor in structuring viral communities at local and global scales.

key words: viruses; prokaryotes; community structure; pH

#### Main

Viruses play a major role in controlling the abundance, structure and evolution of microbial communities through cell lysis and the release of nutrients and modulation of host cell metabolism (Pratama et al., 2018). In soils, viruses are diverse (Emerson et al., 2018), abundant (Williamson et al., 2017), and have potential to impact nutrient cycling and other ecosystem functions (Emerson et al., 2018; Kuzyakov and Mason-Jones, 2018; Trubl et al., 2018). However, fundamental knowledge gaps in soil viral ecology include a basic understanding of the biotic and abiotic drivers of viral communities.

Soil bacterial and viral community dynamics co-vary, with the susceptibility of hosts to infection from individual viruses varying over time (Vos et al., 2009) or viral community shifts occurring as an indirect result of nutrient input altering host community structure (Srinivasiah et al., 2015). While host communities are likely the strongest factor for defining viral community structures, the host range of viruses may also play a role in defining whether their community dynamics vary to the same extent over physicochemical gradients (de Jonge et al., 2019). Closely related host populations may be adapted to growth under different conditions, resulting in niche differentiation and contrasting distribution across an ecological gradient. However, it is unclear whether narrow or broad host ranges of their associated viruses reduce the relative variation in virus community structure compared to prokaryotes. In addition, changes in soil physicochemical characteristics may also directly impact the physical integrity and dispersal of viruses (Trubl et al., 2016). As soil pH is a major determinant of prokaryotic community composition at local and global scales (e.g. Bahram et al., 2018; Bartram et al., 2014; Griffiths et al., 2011; Lammel et al., 2018; Lauber et al.,

2009), it is possible that virus communities may also exhibit pH-influenced community structures.

Soil samples were taken from the extreme ends of an extensively characterized contiguous soil pH gradient (pH 4.5 to 7.5) where total carbon, nitrogen and organic matter do not change significantly across the gradient (Nicol et al., 2008; Bartram et al., 2014). At this site, prokaryotic communities have been shown to vary in response to pH (Nicol et al., 2008; Bartram et al., 2014), and distinct virus populations infecting methylotrophic communities associated with contrasting soil pH have recently been observed (Lee et al., 2021). Both non-targeted total community metagenome and virus-targeted virome libraries were prepared as previously described (Lee et al., 2021), and the assembled predicted viral contigs obtained were clustered into 1,910 viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) (Table S1), and prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene fragments were extracted and classified into 2,312 OTUs (Supplementary Methods). Sequencing depth was sufficient to capture vOTU richness, although further sequencing and sampling may have increased 16S rRNA OTU recovery (Fig. S1).

Of the metagenomic reads, 22.7% were taxonomically defined, with Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria dominating in both pH soils (Fig. 1a). Similar to other soil viral studies, only a small proportion of the prokaryotic viral community (7.0% of vOTUs) was taxonomically defined. A total of 29.1% of vOTUs were linked to predicted hosts, with the majority for both pH soils belonging to Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria (Fig. 1a, Table S2).

Analysis of individual 16S rRNA OTUs and vOTUs demonstrated distinct structures between pH 4.5 and 7.5 soils for both prokaryote and viral communities (Fig. 1b). Specifically, 38.6% of OTUs (pH 4.5 OTUs, 263; pH 7.5 OTUs, 630) and 99.0% of the vOTUs (pH 4.5 vOTUs, 524; pH 7.5 vOTUs, 1,361) were found in only

one soil pH. Prokaryote and viral (virome) alpha-diversity were significantly greater in pH 7.5 soil (Table S3). Although absorption of viruses to soil organic particles can decrease with increasing pH (Sobsey et al., 1980), the effect of soil pH biasing measurements of alpha-diversity may be small as organic matter content does not change significantly across the gradient and a neutral pH buffer was used for extracting viral particles from both soils, with no significant difference in the yields of virome DNA.

While viromes produced 73x more assembled viral contigs than metagenomes, read mapping of individual reads to all vOTUs demonstrated that both approaches produced distinct viral community profiles between soils (Fig. 1b). Decreasing the breadth (length of contig covered by mapped reads) threshold (<75%) for defining vOTU detection disproportionately increased vOTU detection in metagenomes compared to viromes (Fig. S2). This suggests that the appropriate breadth thresholds for detection may be different in viromes compared to total metagenomes, with a cut-off ≥75% potentially too conservative for the total metagenomes. However, care should be taken before reducing breadth threshold in other datasets that do not have paired viromes to corroborate viral detection.

Soil viromes from the gradient soils were compared with those from six other ecosystems varying in pH, soil type, land use and location and where viral contigs were predicted using the same tools and standards (Table S4) (Emerson et al., 2018; ter Horst et al., 2021; Santos-Medellin et al., 2021). Using gene-sharing network analysis (Jang et al., 2019), the number of clusters containing vOTUs from these additional soils and the local gradient (pH 4.5 only, pH 7.5 only or both) were determined (Fig. 2, Table S5). On average, 31% of clusters were shared (range 1-62%) in pairwise comparisons between all soils (Fig. S3). A clear trend was also

observed in comparison with the gradient soils (Fig. 2b) with shared viral clusters in acidic and neutral pH soils more associated with those from the local gradient pH 4.5 or 7.5 soils, respectively. While this demonstrates that certain viral genes were restricted to acidic vs neutral pH soils, no candidate genes were identified as potentially conferring host adaptation to a particular pH range, with the majority encoding uncharacterized hypothetical proteins. In addition, the relative proportion of virus-encoded putative auxiliary metabolic genes for different metabolic functions was similar between soils with no trends associated with pH (Fig. S4).

If individual viruses can infect multiple host populations at different soil pH, there would be a potential for virus community structures to be less distinct over an ecological gradient. However, analysis of samples from a continuous pH gradient demonstrated that contrasting soil pH results in the selection for virus community structures that are at least as distinct as prokaryote host community structures. While a relatively limited number of sites for analysis of viromes in different soil systems and that these analyses do not separate direct effects of pH on virion integrity from indirect effects of host composition, they demonstrate that, as with prokaryote communities, soil pH correlates with distinct patterns of virus community structures.

#### Data availability

Metagenome sequence reads are deposited in NCBI's GenBank under BioProject accession nos. PRJNA621436–PRJNA621447. Metagenome draft assemblies are accessible through the JGI Genome Portal (DOI: 10.25585/ 1487501). Assembled metagenome-derived 16S rRNA gene sequences are available at ftp://ftp-adn.ec-lyon.fr/. Metagenome sequence reads from 'Agriculture 2' site are available through NCBI BioProject PRJNA767554.

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was funded by an AXA Research Chair awarded to GWN. The sequencing data were generated under JGI Community Science Program proposal 503702 awarded to GWN and CH. The sequencing data from the soil pH gradient was produced by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The pH gradient experiment is funded through the Scottish Government RESAS 2016-2021 program. The authors would like to thank Dr. Laurent Pouilloux for assistance with the Newton high performance computing cluster at École Centrale de Lyon. 'Agriculture 2' site data collection and analysis was predominantly funded by the UC Davis College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences and Department of Plant Pathology (new lab start-up to JBE) and also in part by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) Hatch project number CA-D-PPA-2464-H and USDA NIFA grant number 2021-67013-34815-0 to JBE. The authors thank Dr. Laura Zinke for collecting and processing the 'Agriculture 2' site samples.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### References

- 172 Al-Shayeb, B., Sachdeva, R., Chen, L.X., Ward, F., Munk, P., Devoto, A., Castelle,
- 173 C.J., Olm, M.R., Bouma-Gregson, Amano, Y., He, C., Méheust, R., Brooks, B.,
- Thomsa, A., Lavy, A., Matheus-Carnevali, P., Sun, C., Goltsman, D.S.A., Borton, M.A.,
- Sharrar, A., Jaffe, A.L., Nelson, T.C., Kantor, R., Keren, R., Lane, K.R., Farag, I.F.,

- Lei, S., Finstad, K., Amundson, R., Anatharaman, K., Zhou, J., Probst, A.J., Power,
- 177 M.E., Tringe, S.G., Li, W-J., Wrighton, K., Harrison, S., Morowitz, M., Relman, D.A.,
- Doudna, J.A., Lehours, A-C., Warren, L., Cate, J.H.D., Satini, J.M., Banfield, J.F.,
- 2020. Clades of huge phages from across Earth's ecosystems. Nature 578, 425-431.

- 181 Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S.K., Anderson, J.L., Soudzilovskaia, H.A.,
- Bodegom, P.M., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Anslan, S., Coelho, L.P., Harend, H, Huerta-
- 183 Cepas, J., Medema, M.H., Maltz, M.R., Mundra, S., Olsson, P.A., Pent, M., Põlme, S.,
- Sunagawa, S., Ryberg, M., Tendersoo, L., Bork, P., 2018. Structure and function of
- the global topsoil microbiome. Nature 560, 233-237.

186

- 187 Bartram, A.K., Jiang, X., Lynch, M.D., Masella, A.P., Nicol, G.W., Dushoff, J., Neufeld,
- 188 J.D., 2014. Exploring links between pH and bacterial community composition in soils
- from the Craibstone Experimental Farm. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 87, 403-415.

190

- 191 Emerson, J.B., Roux, S., Brum, J.R., Bolduc, B., Woodcroft, B.J., Jang, H.B.,
- 192 Singleton, C.M., Solden, L.M., Naas, A.E., Boyd J.A., Hodgkins, S.B., Wilson, R.M.,
- 193 Trubl, G., Li, C., Frolking, S., Pope, P.B., Wrighton, K.C., Crill, P.M., Chanton, J.P.,
- 194 Saleska, S.R., Tyson G.W., Rich, V.I., Sullivan, M.B., 2018. Host-linked soil viral
- ecology along a permafrost thaw gradient. Nature Microbiology 3, 870-880.

196

- 197 Griffiths, R.I., Thomson, B.C., James, P., Bell, T., Bailey, M., Whiteley, A.S., 2011. The
- bacterial biogeography of British soils. Environmental Microbiology 13, 1642-1654.

- ter Horst, A.M., Santos-Medellin, C., Sorensen, J.W., Zinke, L.A., Wilson, R.M.,
- Johnston, E.R., Truble, G.G., Pett-Ridge, J., Blazewicz, S., Hanson, P.J., Chanton, P.,
- Schadt, C.W., Kostka, J.E., Emerson, J.B., 2021. Minnesota peat viromes reveal
- 203 terrestrial and aquatic niche partitioning for local and global viral populations.
- 204 Microbiome 9, 233.

- Jang, H.B., Bolduc, B., Zablocki, O., Kuhn, J.H., Roux, S., Adriaenssens, E.M., Brister,
- J.R., Kropinski, A.M., Krupovic, M., Lavigne, R., Turner, D., Sullivan, M.B., 2019.
- 208 Taxonomic assignment of uncultivated prokaryotic virus genomes is enabled by gene-
- sharing networks. Nature Biotechnology 37, 632-639.

210

- 211 de Jonge, P.A., Nobrega, F.L., Brouns, S.J.J., Dutilh, B.E, 2019. Molecular and
- evolutionary determinants of bacteriophage host range. Trends in Microbiology 27, 51-
- 213 63.

214

- 215 Kuzyakov, Y., Mason-Jones, K., 2018. Viruses in soil: Nano-scale undead drivers of
- 216 microbial life, biogeochemical turnover and ecosystem functions. Soil Biology and
- 217 Biochemistry 127, 305-317.

218

- Lammel, D.R., Barth, G., Ovaskainen, O., Cruz, L.M., Zanatta, J.A., Ryo, M., de
- Souza, E.M., Pedrosa, F.O., 2018. Direct and indirect effects of a pH gradient bring
- insights into the mechanisms driving prokaryotic community structures. Microbiome 6,
- 222 106.

- Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R., Fierer, N., 2009. Pyrosequencing-based
- assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the
- continental scale. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75, 5111-5120.

- Lee, S., Sieradzki, E.T., Nicolas, A.M., Walker, R.L., Firestone, M.K., Hazard, C.,
- Nicol, G.W., 2021. Methane-derived carbon flows into host-virus networks at different
- trophic levels in soil. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
- 231 States of America 10, e2105124118.

232

- Nicol, G.W., Leininger, S., Schleper, C., Prosser, J.I., 2008. The influence of soil pH
- on the diversity, abundance and transcriptional activity of ammonia oxidizing archaea
- and bacteria. Environmental Microbiology 10, 2966-2978.

236

- 237 Pratama, A.A., van Elsas, J.D., 2018. The 'neglected' soil virome potential role and
- impact. Trends in Microbiology 26, 649–662.

239

- Santos-Medellin, C., Zinke, L.A., ter Horst, A.M., Gelardi, D.L., Parikh, S.J., Emerson,
- J.B., 2021. Viromes outperform total metagenomes in revealing the spatiotemporal
- patterns of agricultural soil viral communities. ISME Journal 15, 1956-1970.

243

- Sobsey, M.D., Dean, C.H., Knuckles, M.E., Wagner, R.A., 1980. Interactions and
- survival of enteric viruses in soil material. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 40,
- 246 92-101.

- Srinivasiah, S., Lovett, J., Ghosh, D., Roy, K., Fuhrmann, J.J., Radosevich, M.,
- 249 Wommack, K.E., 2015. Dynamics of autochthonous soil viral communities parallels
- 250 dynamics of host communities under nutrient stimulation. FEMS Microbiology Ecology
- 251 91, fiv063.

- Trubl, G., Solonenko, N., Chittick, L., Solonenko, S.A., Rich, VI, Sullivan, M.B., 2016.
- Optimization of viral suspension methods for carbon-rich soils along a permafrost thaw
- 255 gradient. PeerJ 17, e1999.

256

- Trubl, G., Jang, H.B., Roux, S., Emerson, J.B., Solonenko, N., Vik, D.R., Vik, D.R.,
- Solden, L., Ellenbogen, J., Runyon, A.T., Bolduc, B., Woodcroft, B.J., Saleska, S.R.,
- 259 Tyson, G.W., Wrighton, K.C., Sullivan, M.B., Rich, V.I., 2018. Soil viruses are
- underexplored players in ecosystem carbon processing. mSystems 3, e000076-18.

261

- Vos, M., Birkett, P.J., Brich, E., Griffiths, R.J., Buckling, A., 2009. Local adaptation of
- bacteriophages to their bacterial hosts in soil. Science 14, 833.

264

- Williamson, K.E., Fuhrmann, J.J., Wommack, K.E., Radosevich, M., 2017. Viruses in
- 266 Soil Ecosystems: An Unknown Quantity Within an Unexplored Territory. Annual
- 267 Review of Virology 4, 201-219.

268

269

270

271

## Figure Legends

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

Fig. 1: Taxonomic composition and community structure of prokaryotic and virus communities in pH 4.5 and 7.5 replicate soil samples taken from the ends of a contiguous pH gradient. a) Relative abundance of taxonomically-defined prokaryotes, taxonomically-defined viruses and the predicted hosts of viruses. For prokaryotes, reads from metagenomes were annotated at the phylum level using the NCBI nr database. Numbers in parenthesis denote the number of mapped reads:total reads analyzed. Viral contigs ≥10 kb were taxonomically defined at the family level based on gene-sharing network analysis (Jang et al., 2019). Host prediction of viruses was determined by using the gene-sharing network, and gene homology analysis (Al-Shayeb et al., 2020). Numbers in parenthesis denote the number of annotated:total reads or contigs for each sample, and plots display the relative proportion of annotated reads only (i.e. annotated reads of prokaryotes or reads mapped to annotated viral contigs). b) Normalized relative abundance of individual 16S rRNA OTUs and vOTUs in soil samples determined by read-mapping. Only vOTUs where reads were mapped with ≥1x coverage over 75% contig breadth were included. Ordinations show the principal coordinate analysis of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities derived from relative abundance tables. For virus communities, reads from both viromes (V) and metagenomes (M) were analyzed for each sample. Details of all methods used are provided in Supplementary Information.

293

294

295

296

297

**Fig. 2:** Network analysis describing linkages of Gradient 4.5 and 7.5 vOTUs with six sets of soils samples ranging in pH from 4.0 to 7.5 from Europe and North America (Table S4). a) Gene sharing network of vOTUs showing viral clusters containing ≥25 vOTUs. b) Relative abundance of clusters from each soil that contain vOTUs shared

with those from gradient pH 4.5 (blue) and gradient pH 7.5 soil (red) or both (grey). Numbers in parenthesis denote total number and percentage of shared viral clusters with Gradient soils. Details of all methods used are provided in Supplementary Information.





