



HAL
open science

Scalar evaluations of fuzzy sets - Overview and applications

Didier Dubois, Henri Prade

► **To cite this version:**

Didier Dubois, Henri Prade. Scalar evaluations of fuzzy sets - Overview and applications. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 1990, 3 (2), pp.37–42. 10.1016/0893-9659(90)90010-9 . hal-04074787

HAL Id: hal-04074787

<https://hal.science/hal-04074787>

Submitted on 20 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Scalar Evaluations of Fuzzy Sets: Overview and Applications

DIDIER DUBOIS AND HENRI PRADE

Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse,
 Laboratoire Langages et Systèmes Informatiques, Université Paul Sabatier

Abstract. The different representations of a fuzzy set, by a membership function or as weighted collections of crisp sets, induce various ways (which may sometimes turn to be equivalent) of extending confidence measures (probability, belief, possibility, ...) to fuzzy events, or more generally any evaluation dealing with sets (cardinality, distance, extremum over a set, average, perimeter, ...) to fuzzy sets.

1. REPRESENTATIONS OF A FUZZY SET

A fuzzy set F (Zadeh [22]) was originally and is usually defined by its membership function μ_F which is a function from its universe X to the real interval $[0, 1]$. However, it was soon recognized that a fuzzy set F can be viewed as a collection of ordinary sets F_α called its α -cuts and defined by

$$\forall \alpha \in (0, 1], F_\alpha = \{x \in X, \mu_F(x) \geq \alpha\} \quad (1)$$

Then, we have the following representation formula (Zadeh [24])

$$\forall x \in X, \mu_F(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1]} \min(\mu_{F_\alpha}(x), \alpha) \quad (2)$$

Note that in (2), any operation $*$ such that $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1], 1 * \alpha = \alpha$, and $0 * \alpha = 0$ may replace \min .

Later, another representation was discovered (see Dubois and Prade [4]); namely, if the set $M = \{\alpha \in [0, 1], \exists x \in X, \mu_F(x) = \alpha\}$ of membership degrees is finite, then we have

$$\forall x \in X, \mu_F(x) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in M} \mu_{F_{\alpha_i}}(x) \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) \quad (3)$$

where $m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) = \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}$ and the elements of M are decreasingly ordered: $1 = \alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_i > \dots > \alpha_{n+1} = 0$. (We assume that F is normalized, i.e. $\alpha_1 = 1$ as well as its component, i.e. $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$). Note that $\sum_{i=1,n} m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) = 1$. When M is not finite, (3) can be generalized by

$$\forall x \in X, \mu_F(x) = \int_0^1 \mu_{F_\alpha}(x) \cdot d\alpha. \quad (4)$$

2. EQUIVALENT VIEWS OF THE EXTENSION OF A PROBABILITY MEASURE
TO FUZZY EVENTS

Zadeh [23] proposed the following definition of the probability of a fuzzy event F , in terms of a Lebesgue integral, as the expectation of its membership function

$$P(F) = \int \mu_F \cdot dP \quad (5)$$

where P is a probability measure on a Borel field in \mathbf{R}^n . In the case of a finite set X , the definition writes

$$P(F) = \sum_{x \in X} \mu_F(x) \cdot P(\{x\}) \quad (6)$$

Viewing F as a weighted collection of α -cuts leads to other scalar or nonscalar definitions of the probability of a fuzzy event. First, in the representation (3), F is equivalent to the pair (\mathcal{F}, m_F) with $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\alpha_1}, \dots, F_{\alpha_n}\}$, and then F may be viewed as a random set, i.e. F_{α_i} is a crisp realization of F with probability $m_F(F_{\alpha_i})$; m_F may also be regarded as a basic probability assignment in the sense of Shafer [17]. Then the probability of the fuzzy event F could be defined as the random number $(P(F_{\alpha_i}), m_F(F_{\alpha_i}))_{i=1, n}$; see Dubois and Jaulent [2] for instance. A scalar counterpart of this definition is the expected value (in the sense of m_F)

$$P'(F) = \sum_{i=1, n} P(F_{\alpha_i}) \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) \quad (7)$$

in the finite case. If M is not finite, the above definition is generalized by

$$P'(F) = \int_0^1 P(F_\alpha) \cdot d\alpha \quad (8)$$

It is worth noticing that the two definitions (5) and (8) lead to the same evaluation, i.e. we have

$$P(F) = P'(F) \quad (9)$$

Indeed $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mu_F \cdot dP = \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\int_0^1 \mu_{F_\alpha} \cdot d\alpha) \cdot dP = \int_0^1 (\int_{\mathbf{R}} \mu_{F_\alpha} \cdot dP) \cdot d\alpha$ using Fubini theorem. The equality (9) was first discovered by Höhle [11] and is also used by Puri and Ralescu [15] in a mathematical proof having a different concern.

Second, using the representation (2), we can define a fuzzy-valued probability measure \tilde{P} , where $\tilde{P}(F)$ is the fuzzy set defined by

$$\forall r \in [0, 1], \mu_{\tilde{P}(F)}(r) = \sup\{\eta_F(S) \mid P(S) = r\} \quad (10)$$

with $\eta_F(S) = \inf\{\mu_F(x) \mid x \in S\}$ where S is an ordinary subset which includes the core of F (i.e. the 1-cut) and which is included in the support of F , i.e. $\{x \in X, \mu_F(x) > 0\}$; see Dubois and Prade [5]. This definition remedies some drawbacks of a proposal by Yager [21] where $\mu_{\tilde{P}(F)}(r)$ was defined as $\sup\{\alpha \in (0, 1] \mid P(F_\alpha) = r\}$; indeed the subsets S considered in (10) are either α -cuts of S or are nested between two α -cuts. Dubois and Prade [6] proved in a finite setting that $P(F)$ (defined by Eq. 6 or 7) and $\tilde{P}(F)$ are related in the following way (E denotes the expectation)

$$E(\tilde{P}(F)) = P(F) \quad (11)$$

where

$$E(\tilde{P}(F)) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r \cdot d\Phi(r) = \sum_{i=1, n} r_i \cdot (\Phi(r_i) - \Phi(r_{i+1})) = \sum_{i=1, n} r_i \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i})$$

with $\forall r \in [0, 1], \Phi(r) = 1 - \max_{s > r} \mu_{\tilde{P}(F)}(s)$ (i.e. Φ is a distribution function derived from $\mu_{\tilde{P}(F)}$) and the r_i 's are the values decreasingly ordered of the $P(F_{\alpha_i})$'s. In other words, Φ is the probability distribution function associated with the above-mentioned random number (up to a complementation to 1).

Given a so-called basic probability assignment m , i.e. a set function from 2^X to $[0, 1]$ such that $m(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\sum_A m(A) = 1$, Shafer [17], in the finite case, defines a so-called belief function Bel and its associated plausibility function by $P1(A) = 1 - \text{Bel}(X - A)$ ('-' denotes the set difference), as

$$\text{Bel}(F) = \sum_A m(A) \cdot \min_{x \in A} \mu_F(x); P1(F) = \sum_A m(A) \cdot \max_{x \in A} \mu_F(x) \tag{12}$$

in the case where F is an ordinary subset (where $\min_{x \in A} \mu_F(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow F \subseteq A$ and $\max_{x \in A} \mu_F(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow F \cap A \neq \emptyset$). Smets [18] defines the degree of belief in (resp. plausibility of) a fuzzy event F as the lower (resp. upper) expectation, in the sense of Dempster [1], of its membership function, i.e.

$$\text{Bel}(F) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu_F \cdot d\Phi^*; P1(F) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu_F \cdot d\Phi_* \tag{13}$$

with $\Phi^*(t) = P1(\{r | \mu_F(r) \leq t\})$ and $\Phi_*(t) = \text{Bel}(\{r | \mu_F(r) \leq t\})$, in the general case. Smets [17] proves that it leads to expressions (12) where μ_F is now the membership function of a fuzzy set. Similarly to the equivalence between expression (6) and (7), it can be readily check in the finite case that

$$\text{Bel}(F) = \sum_{i=1,n} \text{Bel}(F_{\alpha_i}) \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i}); P1(F) = \sum_{i=1,n} P1(F_{\alpha_i}) \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) \tag{14}$$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1,n} \text{Bel}(F_{\alpha_i}) \cdot m_F(F_{\alpha_i}) &= \sum_{i=1,n} (\sum_{A \subseteq F_{\alpha_i}} m(A)) \cdot (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq F_{\alpha_1}} m(A) \cdot \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=2,n} \sum_{A \not\subseteq F_{\alpha_{i-1}}, A \subseteq F_{\alpha_i}} m(A) \cdot \alpha_i \\ &= \sum m(A) \cdot (\min_{x \in A} \mu_F(x)) = \text{Bel}(F) \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha_i = \inf_{x \in F_{\alpha_i}} \mu_F(x) = \inf_{x \in A} \mu_F(x)$ if $A \subseteq F_{\alpha_i}$ and $A \not\subseteq F_{\alpha_{i-1}}$.

In the general case, using Fubini theorem again, as in (9), it can be seen that we have $\text{Bel}(F) = \int_0^1 \text{Bel}(F_\alpha) \cdot d\alpha; P1(F) = \int_0^1 P1(F_\alpha) \cdot d\alpha$, i.e. the equality with (13) holds.

3. EXTENSIONS OF OTHER EVALUATION TO FUZZY EVENTS

Clearly, the extension of a set function to fuzzy sets can be contemplated in the style of (5-8), as soon as a distribution function can be associated with this set function. It only requires that the set function be monotonic with respect to set-inclusion. Sugeno's "fuzzy measures" [19], can thus be extended to fuzzy events by means of a Lebesgue integral. See Höhle [12], Weber [20], and Murofushi and Sugeno [13] for works and discussions along this line. However, note that for any set function T only (7-8) makes sense under the form

$$T(F) = \int_0^1 T(F_\alpha) \cdot d\alpha \tag{15}$$

provided that the integral exists, even if no distribution function is associated with T . This is an alternative to Sugeno [19]'s fuzzy integral

$$\int \mu_F \circ T = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \min(\alpha, T(F_\alpha)) \tag{16}$$

where T is supposed to be a fuzzy measure in the sense of Sugeno; then (16) is, as such, restricted to monotonic set functions whose range is $[0, 1]$. The extension of a possibility measure \prod to fuzzy events, as proposed by Zadeh [25], is an example of Sugeno's fuzzy integral; namely

$$\begin{aligned} \prod(F) &= \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1]} \min(\alpha, \prod(F_\alpha)) & (17) \\ &= \sup_{x \in X} \min(\mu_F(x), \pi(x)) \quad \text{with } \prod(F_\alpha) \\ &= \sup_{x \in F_\alpha} \pi(x), \text{ using (2).} \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is interesting to contrast the definition (17) of the possibility of a fuzzy event, with the definition (13) applied to a possibility measure (since mathematically speaking a possibility measure is a particular case of plausibility function); see Dubois and Prade [7] for a detailed study of the differences between these two ways of extending possibility measures to fuzzy events.

N.B.: $\prod(F)$ is still equal to

$$\prod(F) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1]} \min(\alpha, \mu_{CP(F;E)}(\alpha)) \quad (18)$$

where $CP(F; E)$ is the compatibility (in the sense of Zadeh [25]) of F with respect to the fuzzy set E corresponding to the possibility distribution π , i.e. $\pi = \mu_E$ which represents the available evidence; we have $\mu_{CP(F;E)}(\alpha) = \sup\{\mu_E(x) | \mu_F(x) = \alpha\}$.

When applied in finite cases, (15) turns to be a weighted mean, while (16) is a median, which stresses the difference of nature between these two types of evaluation.

4. APPLICATIONS

The evaluation of fuzzy sets is a basic problem, many instances of which are often encountered in practice. In the following, we briefly mention some of them.

Apart from confidence measures, the cardinality of a fuzzy set is a classical example of such a problem; see Dubois and Prade [6] for an overview. Indices related to cardinality (denoted by $||$) such as Yager's measure of specificity $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} .d\alpha$, or Higashi-Klir's measure of imprecision $\int_0^1 \log_2(|F_\alpha|) .d\alpha$ are examples of integral (15).

The definitions of scalar or fuzzy-valued distances between fuzzy sets (a question on which there exists many papers and which has applications in many fields) can be also discussed along the lines sketched in this short note. In this case we have to deal with pairs of level-cuts (F_α, G_β) , with possibly $\alpha \neq \beta$ [2].

Dubois and Prade [8] have defined the mean value of a fuzzy number M (a convex normalized fuzzy set of the real line with an upper semi-continuous membership function) in terms of lower and upper expectations in the sense of Dempster. This mean value is an interval $[e_*(M), e^*(M)]$ whose bounds can be computed in practice by

$$e_*(M) = \int_0^1 \inf\{M_\alpha\} .d\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad e^*(M) = \int_0^1 \sup\{M_\alpha\} .d\alpha \quad (19)$$

where $\inf\{M_\alpha\}$ and $\sup\{M_\alpha\}$ are the bounds of the α -cut of M . The compatibility $CP(F; \cdot)$, introduced above, is in general a fuzzy number defined in $[0, 1]$. It can be shown (Dubois and Prade [7]), that $e^*(CP(F; E))$ is the possibility (in the sense of Eq. 13) of the fuzzy event F , while $e_*(CP(F; E))$ gives the value of the associated necessity measure.

In databases, we may have to evaluate for instance the average of the salaries of young people (Prade [14], Dubois and Prade [10]), where the salary is supposed to be precisely known for each person registered in the database. Here, clearly, "young" is a vague predicate

which delimits a fuzzy set F of people. We may use for the evaluation $\int_0^1 \text{av}(F_\alpha) \cdot d\alpha$ where $\text{av}(F_\alpha)$ is the average of salaries of people in F_α . If the salaries are imprecisely known $\text{av}(F_\alpha)$ is a fuzzy number which may be approximated by $e_*(\text{av}(F_\alpha))$ and $e^*(\text{av}(F_\alpha))$. Then, $[\int_0^1 e_*(\text{av}(F_\alpha)) \cdot d\alpha, \int_0^1 e^*(\text{av}(F_\alpha)) \cdot d\alpha]$ gives an evaluation of the possible range of the average salary of young people. We may also apply the approach to the maximum or the minimum salary (rather than the average salary). This is then an example of finding the extremum of a function over a fuzzy domain; see [3] for an account of early works on this question.

Fuzzy digital pictures offer also examples of problems of parameter evaluations, e.g. diameter, perimeter, etc... of a fuzzy region. See Dubois and Jaulent [2], where scalar evaluations of the kind of (15) are used and related to previous proposals by Rosenfeld and others (e.g. [16]).

Lastly, in criteria aggregation problems, where criteria are of unequal importance, we are led to evaluate an alternative by computing a "measure" of the fuzzy set of goals achieved by this alternative, which is another example of fuzzy set evaluation. Here, the measure expresses a way of weighting the goals [9].

REFERENCES

1. A.P. Dempster, *Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multi-valued mapping*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 38 (1967), 325-339.
2. D. Dubois D. and M.-C. Jaulent, *A general approach to parameter evaluation in fuzzy digital pictures*, Pattern Recognition Letters 6 (1987), 251-259.
3. D. Dubois and H. Pradeb, "Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications," Academic Press, New York, 1980.
4. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *On several representations of an uncertain body of evidence*, in "Fuzzy Information and Decision Processes," M.M. Gupta, E. Sanchez, (Eds.), North-Holland, 1982, pp. 167-181.
5. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Towards fuzzy differential calculus. Part 2: Integration on fuzzy intervals*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8 (1982), 105-116.
6. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Fuzzy cardinality and the modeling of imprecise quantification*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 16 (1985), 199-230.
7. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Evidence measures based on fuzzy information*, Automatica 21 (1985), 547-562.
8. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *The mean value of a fuzzy number*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 24 (1987), 279-300.
9. D. Dubois and H. Prade, "Possibility Theory: An Approach to Computerized Processing of Uncertainty," Plenum Press, New York, 1988.
10. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Measuring properties of fuzzy sets: A general technique and its use in fuzzy query evaluation*, Special Issue of Fuzzy Sets and Systems on Fuzzy Databases and Information Retrieval (1989) (to appear), P. Buckles, J. Kacprzyk, F. Petry, (Eds.).
11. U. Höhle, *Maße auf unscharfen Mengen*, Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete 36 (1976), 179-188.
12. U. Höhle, *Integration with respect to fuzzy measures*, in "Proc. IFAC Symp. on Theory and Application of Digital Control," Pergamon Press, New Delhi, 1982, pp. 35-37.
13. T. Murofushi and M. Sugeno, *An interpretation of fuzzy measures and Choquet's integral as an integral with respect to a fuzzy measure*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 29 (1989), 201-227.
14. H. Prade, *Global evaluations of fuzzy sets of items in fuzzy databases*, Proc. Inter. Workshop on Fuzzy Systems Applications (1986), 137-138.
15. M.L. Puri and D.A. Ralescu, *Integration on fuzzy sets*, Advances in Applied Mathematics 3 (1982), 430-434.
16. A. Rosenfeld and S. Haber, *The perimeter of a fuzzy set*, Pattern Recognition 18 (1985), 125-130.
17. G. Shafer, "A Mathematical Theory of Evidence," Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1976.
18. P. Smets, *The degree of belief in a fuzzy event*, Information Sciences 25 (1981), 1-19.
19. M. Sugeno, *Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications*, Doctoral Thesis, Tokyo Inst. of Techn.
20. S. Weber, *\perp -decomposable measures and integrals for Archimedean t -conorms \perp* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 101 (1984), 114-138.
21. R.R. Yager, *A note on probabilities of fuzzy events*, Information Sciences 18 (1979), 113-129.
22. L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
23. L.A. Zadeh, *Probability measures of fuzzy events*, J. Math. Anal. & Appl. 23 (1968), 421-427.
24. L.A. Zadeh, *Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings*, Information Sciences 3 (1971), 177-200.

25. L.A. Zadeh, *PRUF: A meaning representation language for natural languages*, Int. J. Man-Machine Studies 10 (1978), 395-460.

Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse, Laboratoire Langages et Systèmes Informatiques, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, FRANCE