HAL
open science

VARIETIES COVERED BY AFFINE SPACES, UNIFORMLY RATIONAL VARIETIES AND THEIR CONES

Ivan Arzhantsev, Sh. Kaliman, M Zaidenberg

To cite this version:

Ivan Arzhantsev, Sh. Kaliman, M Zaidenberg. VARIETIES COVERED BY AFFINE SPACES, UNIFORMLY RATIONAL VARIETIES AND THEIR CONES. 2023. hal-04072304v2

HAL Id: hal-04072304

https://hal.science/hal-04072304v2

Preprint submitted on 28 Apr 2023 (v2), last revised 31 Oct 2023 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

VARIETIES COVERED BY AFFINE SPACES, UNIFORMLY RATIONAL VARIETIES AND THEIR CONES

I. ARZHANTSEV, S. KALIMAN AND M. ZAIDENBERG

Abstract. It was shown in [KZ23b] that the affine cones over flag manifolds and rational smooth projective surfaces are elliptic in the sense of Gromov. The latter remains true after successive blowups of points on these varieties. In the present note we extend this to smooth projective spherical varieties (in particular, toric varieties) successively blown up along smooth subvarieties. The same also holds, more generally, for uniformly rational projective varieties, in particular, for projective varieties covered by affine spaces.

1. Introduction

We work over algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero. All the varieties in this paper are algebraic varieties defined over $\mathbb{K}$; $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{A}^n$ stand for the projective resp. affine n-space over $\mathbb{K}$; $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$ stand for the one-dimensional algebraic torus and the one-dimensional unipotent algebraic group over $\mathbb{K}$, respectively. "Ellipticity" below means "Gromov's ellipticity".

1.1. **Gromov's ellipticity.** The notion of Gromov ellipticity appeared first in analytic geometry where it serves in order to establish the Oka-Grauert Principle in the most general form, see [Gro89] and [For17]. Gromov considered as well an analogous notion of ellipticity in the setup of algebraic varieties. It is known that an elliptic smooth algebraic variety X of dimension n admits a surjective morphism from $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ which also is smooth and surjective on an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, see [Kus22a]. This implies that the endomorphism monoid $\mathrm{End}(X)$ is highly transitive on X, see [KZ23b, Appendix A]. Furthermore, for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is finite, see [Kus22b].

Recall that a smooth algebraic variety X is called *elliptic* if it admits a dominating Gromov spray (E, p, S) where $p\colon E \to X$ is a vector bundle with zero section Z and $s\colon E \to X$ is a morphism such that $s|_Z = p|_Z$ and s is dominating at any point $x \in X$, that is, the restriction $s|_{E_x}$ to the fiber $E_x = p^{-1}(x)$ is dominant at the origin $0_x \in E_x$. The image $O_x = s(E_x) \subset X$ is called the *s-orbit of x*.

According to [Gro89, 3.5.B] if the ellipticity holds locally on an open covering of X, then it holds globally. Moreover, the ellipticity of X holds if X is subelliptic, that is, there is a dominating collection of sprays on X instead of a single spray, see [For17]

Key words and phrases. Gromov ellipticity, spray, uniformly rational variety, toric variety, spherical variety, affine cone.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification* Primary 14J60, 14M25, 14M27; Secondary 32Q56.

The first author was supported by the grant RSF-DST 22-41-02019.

and [KZ23a]. In other words, one can always replace a dominating collection of sprays on X by a single dominating spray.

1.2. **Ellipticity of cones.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension n. The affine cone $\mathrm{cone}(X)$ in $\mathbb{A}^{N+1}$ blown up at the origin gives rise to a line bundle $F = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ on X whose zero section Z_F is the exceptional divisor of the blowup. The associated principal $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-fiber bundle $Y \to X$ with fiber $\mathbb{A}^1_* = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ is isomorphic to $F \setminus Z_F$ and so, to the punctured affine cone over X that is, the affine cone with its vertex removed:

$$Y = F \setminus Z_F \simeq_X \mathrm{cone}(X) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Our aim is to establish the ellipticity of Y provided X is elliptic, under certain additional assumptions on X. In [KZ23b] the second and the third authors suggested criteria of ellipticity of Y based on the so called *curve-orbit property* for some families of smooth rational curves and sprays on X. In particular, it was shown in [KZ23b] that the punctured affine cones over a flag variety G/P blown up in several points and infinitesimally near points are elliptic and the same holds for any rational smooth projective surface, see [KZ23b, Theorem 0.1]. In the present note we develop further the technique of [KZ23b]. This allows us to establish similar facts for uniformly rational varieties, in particular, for varieties of class $\mathcal{A}_0$, therefore, for smooth projective toric and, more generally, spherical varieties. Recall that a spherical variety is a normal G-variety which contains an open B-orbit, where G is a reductive algebraic group and B is a Borel subgroup in G. A flag variety G/P and a normal toric variety are spherical varieties. For G/P the latter follows from the Bruhat decomposition $G = BWB$, and for a toric T-variety it suffices to choose $G = B = T$.

1.3. **Varieties of class $\mathcal{A}_0$.** One says that a variety X belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$ if there is an open cover $\{A_i\}$ on X by affine cells $A_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^n$ where $n = \dim(X)$. It is well known that a variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$ is elliptic; see e.g. [Gro89, Sec. 3.5]. The blowup of a variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$ in a point is again a variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$, see [Gro89, 3.5D]. More generally, suppose X is a variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $Z \subset X$ is a closed subvariety such that the pair $(A_i, Z \cap A_i)$ is isomorphic for any i to a pair $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathbb{A}^k)$ with $n - k \geq 2$; in this case Z is called a *linear subvariety of* X. The blowup of a linear subvariety Z in X results again in a variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$, see [APS14, Section 4, Statement 9].

1.4. **Uniformly rational varieties.** This class of varieties strictly contains the class $\mathcal{A}_0$, see Examples 4.8.

Definition 1.1. An algebraic variety X is called *uniformly rational* [1] if for each $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood X_0 of x in X isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$.

In [Gro89, 3.5.E‴] Gromov asked whether a smooth complete rational variety is uniformly rational. It seems that this question is still open, see [BB14, Question 1.1] and [CPPZ21, p. 41]. On the other hand, not every complete uniformly rational variety

[1] In other terms, regular, plain or locally flattenable, see [Gro89, 35.D], [BHSV08] and [Pop20], respectively.

belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$. For instance, none of the smooth rational cubic fourfolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$ and none of the smooth threefold intersections of a pair of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ contains a Zariski open set isomorphic to an affine space, see [PS88], and [Pro94]. However, these varieties are uniformly rational, see [BB14] and Examples 4.8 below.

For the following property of uniformly rational varieties see [Gro89, Proposition 3.5E″], [BHSV08, Theorem 4.4] and [BB14, Proposition 2.6].

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a uniformly rational variety and $\tilde{X} \to X$ be the blowing of X up along a smooth subvariety of codimension at least 2. Then $\tilde{X}$ is uniformly rational.*

1.5. **Main results.** We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.3)**.** *Let X be a complete uniformly rational variety of positive dimension. Then X is elliptic. Let further X be projective, D be an ample divisor on X and $Y = F \setminus Z_F$ be the principal $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-fiber bundle associated with a line bundle F where either $F = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ or $F = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Then Y is elliptic.*

Notice that up to isomorphism over X which inverses the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action, $Y = F \setminus Z_F$ stays the same under replacing D by $-D$. Observe also that for a trivial line bundle F the variety $Y \simeq_X X \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ is not elliptic and $\pi_1(Y)$ is infinite for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$.

From Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we deduce the following fact.

Corollary 1.4. *The variety X' resulting from a sequence of blowups of a complete uniformly rational variety X along smooth subvarieties is elliptic.*

A closely related result in [LT17, Corollary 7] says that the blowup X' with a smooth center of the complement to a subvariety of codimension at least 2 in a variety X of class $\mathcal{A}_0$ is subelliptic. Hence, X' is elliptic by [KZ23a, Theorem 0.1]. See also [KKT18] for a similar result.

Smooth complete spherical varieties and smooth complete rational T-varieties of complexity one belong to class $\mathcal{A}_0$, see [BLV86] and [APS14]. Hence, these varieties are uniformly rational. So, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5 (cf. Corollary 4.6)**.** *Let a smooth projective variety X be either toric, or spherical, or rational T-variety of complexity one. Then the conclusions of Theorem 1.3 hold for X successively blown up along smooth subvarieties.*

1.6. **Generalized affine cones.** By Theorem 1.3 the punctured affine cones over uniformly rational projective varieties are elliptic. Let us mention further examples.

Recall that an effective $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action $\lambda\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \times \bar{Y} \to \bar{Y}$ on a normal affine variety $\bar{Y}$ is called *good* if there exists a point $y_0 \in \bar{Y}$ which belongs to the closure of any λ-orbit. The structure algebra $A = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{Y})$ of such a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-variety $\bar{Y}$ is positively graded: $A = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} A_k$ where $A_0 = \mathbb{K}$ and A_k for $k > 0$ consists of λ-homogeneous elements of weight k. Let $Y = \bar{Y} \setminus \{y_0\}$ and $X = \mathrm{Proj}(A) = Y/\lambda$. Then X is a normal projective variety, see [Dem88, Proposition 3.3]. According to [Dem88, Theorem 3.5], see also [Dol07, Theorem 3.3.4], there exists an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor $D = \sum_i p_i/q_i D_i$ on X, where the D_i are prime divisors and the integers p_i, q_i are coprime, such that

$$A_k = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor kD \rfloor)) \quad \text{for every} \quad k \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action λ on Y is free if and only if D is a Cartier divisor that is, $q_i = 1\ \forall i$, see [Dem88, Corollaire 2.8.1].

Conversely, given a smooth projective variety X and an ample Cartier divisor D on X one can consider the generalized affine cone

$$\bar{Y} = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0\left(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)\right)\right).$$

This is a normal affine variety equipped with a good $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action, see [Dem88, Sec. 3] or [Dol07, Proposition 3.3.5]. Letting $Y = \bar{Y} \setminus \{y_0\}$ where $y_0 \in \bar{Y}$ is the unique $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-fixed point, one gets a morphism $\pi\colon Y \to X = Y/\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Every fiber of π is reduced, irreducible and isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1_*$, see [Dem88, Proposition 2.8] and [Dol07, Proposition 3.4.5]. Furthermore, the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action on Y is free and π is locally trivial, see [Dem88, the proof of Proposition 2.8].

Consider also the line bundle $F = \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \to X$ equipped with the associated $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-action. We have a birational morphism $F \to \bar{Y}$ contracting the zero section Z_F to a normal point $y_0 \in \bar{Y}$, cf. [Dem88, 3.4]. It restricts to an equivariant isomorphism of smooth quasiaffine varieties

$$F \setminus Z_F \simeq \bar{Y} \setminus \{y_0\}$$

equipped with free $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-actions, see [Dem88, Corollaire 2.9], [Dol07, Sec. 3.4, p. 49] and [KPZ13, Sec. 1.15]; cf. [Pin77, p. 183].

Notice that while $\bar{Y}$ above is normal, for $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ the affine cone $\operatorname{cone}(X)$ is normal if and only if the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is projectively normal, that is, for every $d \geq 1$ the linear system cut out on X by the hypersurfaces of degree d is complete, see [Har04, Chap. II, Example 7.8.4]. Thus, if D is a hyperplane sections of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ then $\bar{Y}$ as above is the normalization of the affine cone $\operatorname{cone}(X)$. In particular, the punctured cone $\operatorname{cone}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ is $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\bar{Y} \setminus \{y_0\}$.

2. The curve-orbit property

We need the following more general analog of the curve-orbit property $(*)$ for $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-sprays defined in [KZ23b, Definition 2.7].

Definition 2.1. Given a smooth variety B of dimension $n-1$ consider the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-action on the cylinder $V = B \times \mathbb{A}^1$ by shifts on the second factor:

$$s_V\colon \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}} \times V \to V, \quad (t, (b, v)) \mapsto (b, v + t)$$

along with the associated $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-*spray* (E_V, p_V, s_V) on V where $E_V = V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and $p_V\colon E_V \to V$ is the first projection.

Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n. Assume that X admits a birational morphism $\psi\colon V \to X$ biregular on an open dense subset $V_0 \subset V$ with image $X_0 \subset X$. Consider the spray (E_0, p_0, s_0) on X_0 with values in X conjugate to $(E_V, p_V, s_V)|_{V_0}$ via ψ. That is, $E_0 = X_0 \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $p_0\colon E_0 \to X_0$ is the first projection and

$$s_0\colon E_0 \to X, \quad (x, t) \mapsto \psi(s_V(t, \psi^{-1}(x))).$$

Extend (E_0, p_0, s_0) to a rank 1 spray (E, p, s) on X; the latter spray exists due to Gromov's Extension Lemma, see [Gro89, 3.5B], [For17, Propositions 6.4.1-6.4.2] or [KZ23b, Proposition 6.1]. We call (E, p, s) a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-*like spray on* X. This spray is associated with the birational $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-action on X conjugate via ψ to the standard $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-action on the cylinder V, see [Dem70, Chap. 1].

Remark 2.2. The closure $C_x = \overline{O_x}$ in X of the s_0-orbit O_x of a point $x \in X_0$ is a rational curve. By construction, the intersection $C_x \cap X_0$ is smooth and (E, p, s) restricts to a dominating spray on $O_x \cap X_0$, cf. Lemma 2.4 below. Moreover, the morphism $s\colon E_x \simeq \mathbb{A}^1 \to C_x$ admits a lift $\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$, and the latter morphism to normalization is an embedding.

However, the curve C_x can have singularities off X_0. Thus, the setup of Definition 2.1 does not guarantee that X verifies on X_0 either the curve-orbit property $(*)$ of [KZ23b, Definition 2.7], or the enhanced curve-orbit property $(**)$ of [KZ23b, Proposition 3.1]. Indeed, the latter properties postulate the smoothness of C_x for $x \in X_0$, which occurs to be a rather restrictive condition for our purposes. The question arises whether any smooth complete variety of class $\mathcal{A}_0$, or even every complete uniformly rational variety, verifies the curve-orbit property $(*)$ of [KZ23b] with smooth rational curves; cf. Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 3.1 below. Notice that this property holds for smooth complete rational surfaces and for flag varieties G/P, see [KZ23b].

In order to use a criterion of ellipticity of cones over projective varieties from [KZ23b, Corollary 2.9] we introduce the following objects.

Definition 2.3. Let X and B be as in Definition 2.1. Consider a $\mathbb{P}^1$-cylinder $W = B \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Assume that X admits a birational morphism $\varphi\colon W \to X$ biregular on an open dense subset $W_0 \subset W$ with image $X_0 \subset X$. Given a point $u \in \mathbb{P}^1$ consider the cylinder $V_u := B \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{u\}) \simeq B \times \mathbb{A}^1$, the birational morphism $\psi_u = \varphi|_{V_u}\colon V_u \to X$ and the open dense subsets $V_u \cap W_0 \subset V_u$ and $X_u = \psi_u(V_u \cap W_0) \subset X_0$. Thus, the data (W, φ, X_0) yields a one-parameter family of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-like sprays (E_u, p_u, s_u) on X where $u \in \mathbb{P}^1$, see Definition 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. *Under the setup of Definition 2.3 let for $x \in X_0$,*

$$w = \varphi^{-1}(x) = (b, u_x) \in W_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_x = \varphi(\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \subset X. \tag{1}$$

Then C_x is a complete rational curve in X through x such that $C_x \cap X_0$ is smooth. If $x \in X_u$, that is $\varphi(b, u) \neq x$, then the s_u-orbit $O_{u,x}$ of x is one-dimensional and (E_u, p_u, s_u) restricts to a spray on C_x dominating at x and such that $s_u|_{E_{u,x}}\colon E_{u,x} \to O_{u,x}$ is a birational morphism étale over x.

Proof. The $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-like spray (E_u, p_u, s_u) inherits a kind of the composition property of a $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-action. Namely, for any $x' \in O_{u,x} \cap X_0$ the s_u-orbits $O_{u,x'}$ and $O_{u,x}$ coincide. This implies that (E_u, p_u, s_u) restricts to a spray on C_x. The rest of the proof is easy and is left to the reader. $\square$

Definition 2.5. Modifying [KZ23b, Definition 2.7] we say that a complete rational curve C on a smooth variety X verifies the *strengthened two-orbit property* at a smooth point $x \in C$ if

($*$) there exists a pair of rank 1 sprays (E_i, p_i, s_i) $(i = 1, 2)$ on X such that C is covered by the one-dimensional s_i-orbits $O_{i,x}$, $s_i \colon E_{i,x} \to O_{i,x}$ is a birational morphism étale over x and (E_i, p_i, s_i) restricts to a spray on $O_{i,x}$ dominating at x.

If for any $x \in X$ there exists a curve $C = C_x$ as above, then we say that X verifies the *strengthened curve-orbit property.*

Remark 2.6. In fact, to show ellipticity of cones over an elliptic variety the following weaker condition could be used:

- for each point $x \in X$ there exists a rational curve C in X and a pair of sprays $\{(E_i, p_i, s_i)\}_{i=1,2}$ on X such that $x \in C$ is a smooth point, $C = O_{1,x} \cup O_{2,x}$ and $s_i \colon E_{i,x} \to O_{i,x}$ is a birational morphism étale over x.

However, in the setup of the present paper the stronger property ($*$) holds for each $x \in X$.

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, and let (W, φ, X_0) be a data as in Definition 2.3. For $x \in X_0$ let C_x be a curve as in (1). Then C_x verifies the strengthened two-orbit property ($*$) at x with a pair of $\mathbb{G}_a$-like sprays. If for each $x \in X$ there exists a data (W, φ, X_0) such that $x \in X_0$ then the strengthened curve-orbit property holds on X with pairs of $\mathbb{G}_a$-like sprays.*

Proof. Let $\varphi^{-1}(x) = (b, u_x) \in W_0$. Pick two distinct points $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ different from u_x and consider the corresponding $\mathbb{G}_a$-like sprays $(E_i, p_i, s_i) = (E_{u_i}, p_{u_i}, s_{u_i})$, $i = 1, 2$, see Definition 2.3. Due to Lemma 2.4 these sprays fit in Definition 2.5 of the strengthened two-orbit property. This yields the first assertion. Now the second is immediate. □

Using Definitions 2.1 and 2.3 we can generalize the ellipticity criterion for cones in [KZ23b, Corollary 2.9] as follows. The proof repeats verbatim the proof of Corollary 2.9 in [KZ23b] with minor changes.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $\varrho \colon F \to X$ be an ample line bundle. Suppose that X is elliptic and for any point $x \in X$ there exists a data (W, φ, X_0) as in Definition 2.3 such that $x \in X_0$. Then $Y = F \setminus Z_F$ is elliptic.*

Proof. A dominating spray (E, p, s) on X lifts to a spray $(\hat{E}, \hat{p}, \hat{s})$ of rank $n = \dim(X)$ on Y where $\hat{E}$ fits in the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{E} & \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\varrho}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varrho|_Y} \\ E & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{E} & \xrightarrow{\hat{s}} & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\varrho}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varrho|_Y} \\ E & \xrightarrow{s} & X \end{array} \tag{2}$$

see [KZ23b, Lemma 2.3].

Given $y \in Y$ we let $x = \varrho(y) \in X$ and let C_x be a rational curve on X passing through x which is smooth at x and such that (C_x, x) satisfies the strengthened two-orbit property with a pair of $\mathbb{G}_a$-like sprays (E_i, p_i, s_i) on X, $i = 1, 2$, see Definition 2.5 and Corollary 2.7. Due to [KZ23b, Lemma 2.3] these sprays admit a lift to a pair of rank 1 sprays $(\hat{E}_i, \hat{p}_i, \hat{s}_i)$ on Y.

We will show, following the lines of the proof of Proposition 2.6 in [KZ23b], that the triplet of sprays $(\hat{E}, \hat{p}, \hat{s})$ and $(\hat{E}_i, \hat{p}_i, \hat{s}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ is dominating at y. This implies the assertion.

Notice that the tangent space at y to the $\hat{s}$-orbit is a hyperplane $H \subset T_yY$ such that $d\varrho(H) = T_xX$. We claim that the pair of tangent vectors at y to the $\hat{s}_i$-orbits $\hat{O}_{i,y}$ on Y span a plane P in T_yY such that $d\varrho(P) = T_xC_x$. Accepting this claim, there exists a nonzero vector $v \in P$ such that $d\varrho(v) = 0$. Hence $v \notin H$ and so, $\mathrm{span}(H, P) = T_yY$, which gives the desired domination.

To show the claim, consider a morphism of normalization $\varphi_x \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to C_x$ and the pullback line bundle $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(x) = \varphi_x^*(F|_{C_x}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Since $F \to X$ is ample one has $[F] \cdot [C_x] \neq 0$. Hence, $\tilde{F} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is nontrivial and so is the associated principal $\mathbb{G}_m$-fiber bundle $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}(x) = \varphi_x^*(Y|_{C_x}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$. For $i = 1, 2$ consider the pullback $\tilde{p}_i \colon \tilde{E}_i \to \tilde{Y}$ of the line bundle $\hat{p}_i \colon \hat{E}_i \to Y$ via the induced morphism $\tilde{Y} \to Y|_{C_x}$.

According to the second diagram in (2) we have

$$\varrho(\hat{O}_{i,y}) = \varrho \circ \hat{s}_i(\hat{E}_{i,y}) = s_i(E_{i,x}) = O_{i,x} \subset C_x.$$

The birational morphism $s_i|_{E_{i,x}} \colon E_{i,x} = \mathbb{A}^1 \to C_x$ admits a lift to normalization $\tilde{s}_{i,x} \colon \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In fact, $\tilde{s}_{i,x}$ is a birational morphism smooth at 0. Hence, $\tilde{s}_{i,x}$ sends $\mathbb{A}^1$ isomorphically onto $U_i := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{u_i\}$, the notation being as in the proof of Corollary 2.7.

By Lemma 2.4 (E_i, p_i, s_i) restricts to a $\mathbb{G}_a$-like spray on C_x. It is easily seen that there is a pullback $\varphi_x^*((E_i, p_i, s_i)|_{C_x})$ to a $\mathbb{G}_a$-like spray (E_i', p_i', s_i') on $\mathbb{P}^1$ dominating at x and such that the s_i'-orbit of x coincides with U_i. Now, the pullback of (E_i', p_i', s_i') to $\tilde{Y}$ via $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ gives a $\mathbb{G}_a$-like spray $(\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{p}_i, \tilde{s}_i)$ on $\tilde{Y}$ with $\tilde{p}_i \colon \tilde{E}_i \to \tilde{Y}$ as above.

There are trivializations $\tilde{Y}|_{U_i} \cong_{U_i} U_i \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$, $i = 1, 2$. The standard $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $U_i \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$ lifts to a $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on $\tilde{Y}|_{U_i}$ whose orbits are the constant sections. Since any morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1_*$ is constant, the one-dimensional $\tilde{s}_i$-orbits in $\tilde{Y}$ also are constant sections. Since the $\mathbb{G}_m$-fiber bundle $\tilde{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is nontrivial, it admits no global section. In an appropriate affine coordinate z on $U_1 \cap U_2$ the transition function equals z^k with $k \neq 0$. It follows that the constant sections over U_1 meet transversally the ones over U_2.

The normalization morphism $\varphi \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to C_x$ is étale over x. Hence, also the morphism $\tilde{Y} \to Y|_{C_x}$ is étale over y. Finally, the $\hat{s}_i$-orbits $\hat{O}_{1,y}$ and $\hat{O}_{2,y}$ meet transversally at y. This proves our claim. □

3. Uniformly rational varieties

In order to apply Proposition 2.8 to uniformly rational smooth projective varieties we need the following Lemmas 3.1–3.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a uniformly rational smooth complete variety. Then for any point $x \in X$ there exists a data (W, φ, X_0) as in Definition 2.3 such that $x \in X_0$.*

Proof. Since X is uniformly rational there are open subsets $x \in X_0 \subset X$, $V_0 \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ and an isomorphism $h_0 \colon V_0 \xrightarrow{\simeq} X_0$. Embed $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and let $H = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathbb{A}^n$. Let also $h \colon \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$ be the rational extension of h_0. Shrinking V_0 and X_0, respectively, we may assume that $D = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus V_0$ is a divisor which contains H as a component.

Let $v = h_0(x) \in V_0$. Fix a general linear projection $\tau \colon \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ and an open subset $B \subset \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ which contains $\tau(v)$. Consider the cylinder $\Pi = \tau^{-1}(B) \simeq B \times \mathbb{A}^1$ in $\mathbb{A}^n$. Embed Π in $W = B \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The closure in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of each ruling $l_b = \{b\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ of Π passes through the same point $w \in H$. Hence the embedding $\Pi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ extends to a morphism $\psi \colon W \to \mathbb{P}^n$ which contracts $B \times \{\infty\} = W \setminus \Pi$ to w, where $\{\infty\} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{A}^1$.

We claim that after shrinking B appropriately, the composition $\varphi = h \circ \psi \colon W \dashrightarrow X$ becomes a birational morphism.

Indeed, consider the normalization $\hat{X}$ of the closure of the graph $\Gamma(h) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times X$. The canonical projections to the factors yield proper birational morphisms f and g fitting in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \hat{X} \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\
\mathbb{P}^n \arrow[rr, dashed, "h"] & & X \\
 & W \arrow[ul, "\psi"] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\varphi"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\hat{X}$ is normal the codimension of the singular locus of $\hat{X}$ is at least 2 and the same holds for the codimension in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of the indeterminacy locus of $h \colon \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$. By the Zariski Main Theorem, see [Har04, Corollary III.11.4], for a general point p of a component of D there is a point $\hat{p} \in \hat{X}$ such that $f(\hat{p}) = p$ and $f^{-1}(f(\hat{p})) = \hat{p}$. Furthermore, h extends regularly to p and $h(p) = g(\hat{p})$.

Since τ is a general linear projection, the point w is general in the hyperplane H and the ruling $l_{\tau(v)} \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$ of Π passing through $v \in \Pi$ meets D in general points of the corresponding components of D. Shrinking B appropriately we may suppose that each point in $\Pi \cap D$ is a general point of a component of D and so, h is regular on $\Pi \cup \{w\}$. Since $\psi(W) = \Pi \cup \{w\}$, the map $\varphi = h \circ \psi$ is regular on W, as desired. □

Lemma 3.2. *A uniformly rational smooth complete variety X is elliptic.*

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of Lemma 3.1. Recall that the embedding $h_0|_{\Pi \cap V_0} \colon \Pi \cap V_0 \hookrightarrow X$ extends to the morphism $\varphi \colon W \to X$. Via the conjugation with φ, the $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-action on Π by shifts along the rulings of Π yields a rank 1 $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$-like spray (E, p, s) on X, see Definition 2.1. The restriction of this spray to $l_{\tau(v)}$ is dominating at $v \in l_{\tau(v)}$. Since $\tau \colon \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ is a general linear projection, the tangent vector at v to the orbit

$l_{\tau(v)}$ of v under the $\mathbb{G}_a$-action on Π is a general vector in T_vV_0. Hence, the differential $\mathrm{d}s$ sends $T_{0_x}E_x$ to a general line in T_xX. In this way one can find n different rank 1 sprays (E_i, p_i, s_i), $i = 1, \dots, n$ on X such that the lines $\mathrm{d}s_i(T_{0_{i,x}}E_{i,x})$ span the tangent space T_xX. The composition of these sprays gives a rank n spray on X dominating at x, see [KZ23a, Corollary 1.2]. This implies that X is subelliptic and hence, elliptic, see [KZ23a, Theorem 0.1]. □

We can now deduce our main result.

Theorem 3.3. *For a complete uniformly rational variety X the following holds.*

(i) *X is elliptic.*

(ii) *Assume that X is projective and let $F \to X$ be an ample or anti-ample line bundle on X with zero section Z_F. Then $Y = F \setminus Z_F$ is elliptic.*

Proof. Statement (i) follows from Lemma 3.2 and (ii) follows from Proposition 2.8 due to Lemma 3.1. □

In Corollary 3.7 below we slightly generalize statement (i). Let us introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.4. We say that a variety X is *stably uniformly rational* if for some $k \geq 0$ the variety $X \times \mathbb{A}^k$ is uniformly rational.

Remark 3.5. There exist non-rational stably rational varieties, see [BCTSSD85]. However, we do not know whether every stably uniformly rational variety is uniformly rational. One may also ask whether there exists a non-rational stably uniformly rational variety.

On the other hand, we have the following lemma suggested by Làrusson, see [KKT18, Proposition 1.9].

Lemma 3.6. *If the product $X = X_1 \times X_2$ of smooth varieties X_1 and X_2 is elliptic, then the X_i are elliptic.*

For the reader's convenience we sketch the proof.

Proof. let (E, p, s) be a dominating spray on X. Pick a point $P \in X_2$ and consider the restriction $p_1 \colon E_1 \to X_1$ of $p \colon E \to X$ to $X_1 \times \{P\} \subset X$. Letting now

$$s_1 = \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ s|_{E|_{X_1 \times \{P\}}} \colon E_1 \to X_1$$

yields a desired dominating spray (E_1, p_1, s_1) on X_1, cf. the proof of Proposition 1.9 in [KKT18]. □

Corollary 3.7. *A complete stably uniformly rational variety X is elliptic.*

Proof. Let $X \times \mathbb{A}^k$ be uniformly rational. Then also $\hat{X} = X \times \mathbb{P}^k$ is. By Theorem 3.3(i) $\hat{X}$ is elliptic. By Làrusson's Lemma 3.6 X is elliptic too. □

4. Examples

We start with the following well known example. For the reader's convenience we provide an argument.

Lemma 4.1. *A smooth complete toric variety X belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

Proof. Let N be a lattice of rank $n = \dim(X)$ and Σ be the complete fan in $N_{\mathbb{Q}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ associated with X. Since X is smooth every n-cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is simplicial generated by vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in N$ which form a base of N. The corresponding affine variety X_σ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n$ and the affine charts X_σ cover X, see e.g. [Ful93, Sec. 1.4]. □

For the proof of the next lemma we address the original paper.

Lemma 4.2 ([APS14, Theorem 5])**.** *A smooth complete rational variety X with a torus action of complexity 1 belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

Remark 4.3. There is an analog of these results for affine varieties. Namely, every smooth rational affine variety with a torus action of complexity 0 or 1 is uniformly rational, see [LP19].

Lemma 4.1 extends to complete spherical varieties. For the reader's convenience we sketch a proof.

Lemma 4.4 ([BLV86, Sec. 1.5, Corollaire])**.** *A smooth complete spherical variety X belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

Proof. Recall first the Local Structure Theorem, see [BLV86, Théorème 1.4]. Consider a normal G-variety Z and a point $z \in Z$ such that the orbit Gz is a projective variety. Then the stabilizer G_z is a parabolic subgroup. Let $P \subset G$ be the opposite parabolic subgroup with the Levi decomposition $P = LP^u$ where P^u is the unipotent radical of P and $L = P \cap G_z$ is the Levi subgroup in P. The Local Structure Theorem asserts that there is a locally closed affine subset $V \subset Z$ such that $z \in V$, $LV = V$, P^uV is open in Z and the action of P^u on Z defines an isomorphism $P^uV \cong P^u \times V$.

Returning to Lemma 4.4 we let $Z = X$ and we apply the notation above. It suffices to show that any point $x \in X$ whose orbit Gx is closed in X admits a neighborhood in X isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^n$. Indeed, for any $y \in X$ the closure of the orbit Gy contains such a point x.

Since X is spherical, in the setup of the Local Structure Theorem the reductive Levi subgroup L of $P = G_x^-$ acts on the corresponding smooth affine variety V with an open orbit and with an L-fixed point x. Applying Luna's Étale Slice theorem, see e.g. [PV94, Corollary of Theorem 6.7], we deduce that V is equivariantly isomorphic to an L-module. It follows that x has a neighborhood in X isomorphic to $P^u \times V$, which is an affine space. □

Remark 4.5. A similar argument proves the following fact, see [Pop20, Theorem 3]. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group and X be a smooth affine G-variety. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_X(X)^G = \mathbb{K}$ and the unique closed G-orbit O in X is rational. Then X is uniformly rational.

Due to Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 the following corollary of Theorem 3.3 is immediate.

Corollary 4.6. *The punctured affine and generalized affine cones over a smooth projective toric or spherical variety equipped with an ample polarization are elliptic. This remains true after successively blowing up such a variety in smooth subvarieties. The same holds for a smooth projective rational variety X with a torus action of complexity* 1.

Remark 4.7. Recall that the normalization of the affine cone $\mathrm{cone}(X)$ over a smooth toric variety X in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a normal affine toric variety with no torus factor. It is known that such a variety is flexible, see [AKZ12, Theorem 0.2(2)]. It follows that $Y = \mathrm{cone}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ is elliptic. However, it is not clear whether the flexibility of $\mathrm{cone}(X)$ survives under blowing-up a point in X.

Let us mention some known examples of uniformly rational smooth Fano varieties.

Examples 4.8. 1. It is known that a smooth rational cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$ and a smooth intersection of two smooth quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n+2}$, $n \geq 3$ [2], are uniformly rational, cf. [Gro89, 3.5.E‴] and [BB14, Examples 2.4 and 2.5]. According to Theorem 3.3, such a variety X is elliptic and the punctured (generalized) affine cone Y over X equipped with an ample polarization is elliptic.

However, for $n = 3$ the threefolds X as above do not belong to class $\mathcal{A}_0$. The latter follows from the classification of smooth Fano threefolds with Picard number 1 which contain an open subset isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^3$, see [CPPZ21, Theorem 4.31].

2. It is shown in [BB14, Proposition 3.2] that the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ of stable n-pointed rational curves is a complete uniformly rational variety. By Theorem 3.3 it is elliptic, hence is the image of an affine space under a surjective morphism.

3. The same holds for a small algebraic resolution of a nodal cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$, see [BB14, Example 2.4 and Theorem 3.5]. See also [BB14, Section 3] for further examples.

4. Any smooth Fano-Mukai fourfold with Picard number 1, genus 10 and index 2 is rational. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of such fourfolds is one-dimensional. With one exception, every such fourfold X_t belongs to class $\mathcal{A}_0$, see [PZ23, Theorem 2]. The exceptional Fano-Mukai fourfold X_0 is covered by open $\mathbb{A}^2$-cylinders $Z_i \times \mathbb{A}^2$ where the Z_i are smooth rational affine surfaces, see [PZ23, Proposition 7.3]. Since the Z_i are uniformly rational, so is X_0. By Theorem 3.3 the punctured affine cones and generalized affine cones over $X_t \in \mathcal{M}$ are elliptic. In fact, all these cones over X_t, without any exception, are even flexible, see [PZ23, Theorem 1].

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Yuri Prokhorov, who drew our attention to uniformly rational varieties, to the paper [BB14] and to Example 4.8.1.

[2] Which is always rational, see e.g. [GH94, p. 796].

References

[AKZ12] I. V. Arzhantsev, K. G. Kuyumzhiyan, and M. G. Zaidenberg, *Flag varieties, toric varieties, and suspensions: Three instances of infinite transitivity*, Sbornik: Mathematics 203:7 (2012), 923–949.

[APS14] I. Arzhantsev, A. Perepechko, and H. Süss, *Infinite transitivity on universal torsors*, J. London Math. Soc. 89:3 (2014), 762–778.

[BCTSSD85] A. Beauville, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Variétś stablement rationnelles non rationnelles.* Ann. Math. 121 (1985), 283–318.

[BHSV08] G. Bodnàr, H. Hauser, J. Schicho, and O. Villamayor U, *Plain varieties.* Bull. London Math. Soc. 40:6 (2008), 965–971. doi:10.1112/blms/bdn078

[BB14] F. Bogomolov and C. Böhning, *On uniformly rational varieties.* In: *Topology, geometry, integrable systems, and mathematical physics*, 33–48. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 234. Amer. Math. Soc., 2014.

[BLV86] M. Brion, D. Luna, and Th. Vust, *Expaces homogénes sphériques*, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 617–632.

[CPPZ21] I. Cheltsov, J. Park, Yu. Prokhorov, and M. Zaidenberg, *Cylinders in Fano varieties*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 8 (2021), 39–105, DOI 10.4171/EMSS/44.

[Dem70] M. Demazure, *Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona.* Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 3 (1970), 507–588.

[Dem88] M. Demazure, *Anneaux gradués normaux. Introduction à la théorie des singularités*, II, 35–68, Travaux en Cours 37, Hermann, Paris, 1988.

[Dol07] I. Dolgachev, *McKay correspondence.* Lecture cours, Winter 2006/07, available at: http:/www. math.lsa.umich.edu/ ∼idolga/lecturenotes.html.

[For17] F. Forstnerič, *Stein Manifolds and Holomorphic Mapping. The Homotopy Principle in Complex Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2011.

[Ful93] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties.* Annals of Math. Studies 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[GH94] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry.* 2nd ed. Wiley Classics Library. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1994.

[Gro89] M. Gromov, *Oka's principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), 851–897. MR1001851. DOI10.2307/1990897.

[Har04] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer 2004.

[KKT18] S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, and T. T. Truong, *On subelliptic manifolds*, Israel J. Mathem. 228 (2018), 229–247.

[KZ23a] S. Kaliman and M. Zaidenberg, *Gromov ellipticity and subellipticity.* arXiv:2301.03058 (2023).

[KZ23b] S. Kaliman and M. Zaidenberg, *Gromov ellipticity of cones over projective manifolds.* arXiv:2303.02036 (2023).

[KPZ13] T. Kishimoto, Yu. Prokhorov, and M. Zaidenberg, $\mathbb{G}_a$*-actions on affine cones.* Transform. Groups 18:4 (2013), 1137–1153.

[Kus22a] Y. Kusakabe. *Surjective morphisms onto subelliptic varieties.* arXiv:2212.06412 (2022).

[Kus22b] Y. Kusakabe. *On the fundamental groups of subelliptic varieties.* arXiv:2212.07085 (2022).

[KPS18] A. Kuznetsov, Y. Prokhorov, and C. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds.* Japan. J. Math. 13 (2018), 109–185.

[LT17] F. Lárusson and T. T. Truong, *Algebraic subellipticity and dominability of blow-ups of affine spaces.* Doc. Math. 22 (2017), 151–163.

[LP19] A. Liendo and C. Petitjean, *Uniformly rational varieties with torus action.* Transform. Groups 24:1 (2019), 149–153. Zbl 1418.32017; MR 3916093; DOI: 10.1007/S00031-017-9451-8.

[Pin77] H. Pinkham, *Normal surface singularities with* $\mathbb{C}^*$*-action.* Math. Ann. 227 (1977), 183–193.

[PS88] T. Peternell and M. Schneider, *Compactifications of* $\mathbb{C}^3$. I, Math. Ann. 280:1 (1988), 129–146.

[Pop20] V. L. Popov, *Variations on the theme of Zariski's cancellation problem.* In: Kuroda, Shigeru (ed.) et al., *Polynomial rings and affine algebraic geometry. Selected papers based on the presentations at the conference, PRAAG 2018, Tokyo, Japan, February 12–16, 2018*, 233–250. Cham: Springer. Springer Proc. Math. Stat. 319, 2020.

[PV94] V. L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg, *Invariant Theory.* In *Algebraic Geometry, IV*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 55, pp. 123–278. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-03073-8_2. ISBN 978-3-642-08119-4.

[Pro94] Yu. Prokhorov, *Compactifications of* $\mathbb{C}^4$ *of index* 3, In: *Algebraic Geometry and Its Applications.* Yaroslavl′, 1992. A. Tikhomirov and A. Tyurin, eds., pp. 159–169. Aspects Math. vol. E25. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994.

[PZ23] Yu. Prokhorov, M. Zaidenberg, *Affine cones over Fano-Mukai fourfolds of genus 10 are flexible. The Art of Doing Algebraic Geometry*, Th. Dedieu, F. Flamini, C. Fontanari, C. Galati and R. Pardini (eds.), 363–383. Trends in Mathematics. A special volume dedicated to Ciro Ciliberto's 70th birthday. Birkhäuser, Cham, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11938-5, SBN 978-3-031-11937-8

HSE University, Faculty of Computer Science, Pokrovsky Boulevard 11, Moscow, 109028 Russia
Email address: arjantsev@hse.ru

Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124, USA
Email address: kaliman@math.miami.edu

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IF, 38000 Grenoble, France
Email address: mikhail.zaidenberg@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr